Hindawi BioMed Research International Volume 2020, Article ID 8196798, 8 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8196798

## Research Article

# Relationship between the 10-Year Risk for Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease and the Dietary Inflammatory Index among Korean Adults Based on the Seventh Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES)

## Ye-Na Lee 10 and Purum Kang 10 2

<sup>1</sup>College of Nursing, Korea University, Republic of Korea <sup>2</sup>College of Nursing, Woosuk University, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Purum Kang; kpurum@gmail.com

Received 30 January 2020; Revised 24 April 2020; Accepted 11 May 2020; Published 27 May 2020

Academic Editor: Giovanni Mariscalco

Copyright © 2020 Ye-Na Lee and Purum Kang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Worldwide, atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases (ASCVD) are the leading cause of death and are considered a major public health concern. Exposure to repeated inflammation may contribute to the development of ASCVD, and diet plays a vital role in inflammation. In this study, we explored the correlation between the dietary inflammatory index (DII) and the 10-year ASCVD risk in Korean adults. We used multistage, stratified sampling to analyze a representative sample of Korean adults aged 40-64 years from the 7<sup>th</sup> Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data. Logistic regression was carried out to evaluate the association between 10-year high risk for ASCVD and dietary variables including DII. Participants were separated by quartiles, from Q1 to Q4, according to DII scores. Participants in the Q1 group had the lowest DII scores indicating a more anti-inflammatory diet. Participants in the Q4 group had the highest DII scores indicating more proinflammatory diets. Estimated risk of ASCVD results was categorized into the low-risk (less than 7.5% risk) and high-risk (greater than 7.5% risk) groups. In men, participants in the Q3 group had a risk for ASCVD of 1.20 times higher than the Q1 group participants and participants in the Q4 group had a risk of 1.34 times higher than the participants in the Q1 group. In women, ASCVD risk was not significantly associated with DII scores. These results provide systematically analyzed evidence for dietary interventions in ASCVD prevention efforts, especially in men.

## 1. Introduction

Globally, the proportion of the aging population is expanding at a rapid rate. Korea faces the prospect of having the largest aged population in the world [1]. Age-related reduction of physical function is a natural process; however, a variety of factors can expedite this process and in turn reduce an individual's capacity to perform activities of daily living. Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death in the elderly [2]. This condition is a result of both lifestyle and genetic factors and can reduce the quality of life for individuals as well as increase medical costs nationally [3]. Coronary artery disease, stroke, and peripheral artery disease are all conditions

of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD). In November 2013, the American Heart Association (AHA) and the American College of Cardiology (ACC) published a risk assessment tool for ASCVD [4]. The assessment calculates the risk of developing ASCVD within 10 years by evaluating factors such as age, blood pressure, smoking history, cholesterol levels, and diseases known to cause atherosclerosis. The assessment estimates the potential for disease development and offers targeted prevention strategies.

Inflammation is often a result of tissue damage [5]. When vascular inflammation occurs, it affects collagen and elastin, which in turn can damage the vascular endothelium that controls vascular contraction [6]. This may lead to permanent

2 BioMed Research International

endothelial damage. The vascular endothelium plays a key role in regulating vascular contractility and is difficult to recover once the damage has occurred. When exposed to repeated inflammation, the vascular system is pathologically restructured over time, and the body's physiological feedback system may not respond properly [7]. This may contribute to the development of ASCVD and its related complications. Diet also plays an important role in inflammation levels, as shown by extensive research [8]. For example, recent studies show that folate intake may reduce inflammatory markers, and high-fiber diets may also be associated with reduced inflammation [9, 10]. Increased consumption of fruits and vegetables was found to reduce C-reactive protein and tissue plasminogen activator antigen (t-PA antigen), the inflammatory markers that are associated with vascular endothelial damage [11]. There is also a correlation between the consumption of food high in glucose and the elevated C-reactive protein levels [12]. Therefore, it can be concluded that dietary patterns and inflammation are closely related. A recent study showed that Koreans are consuming an increasing amount of sweetened beverages and fast food, which are known to elevate inflammatory markers, suggesting that dietary-related risk factors should be considered [13].

The dietary inflammatory index (DII) is a model derived from the results of 1943 articles and assesses the inflammatory effect of an individual's diet regardless of population and independent of specific dietary assessment methods [14]. Forty-five specific types of food, nutrients, and other bioactive compounds for consumption have been assessed for their capacity for changing the levels of specific inflammatory markers.

Regionally representative datasets based on diet surveys from 11 countries were used to establish comparative standards for each of the 45 parameters [15]. Several studies have focused on proinflammatory diets, as estimated by the DII, which have been associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and an elevated risk of a first myocardial infarction [16]. Studies using data from Korean adults showed that DII is positively correlated with increased C-reactive protein levels [17]. However, there is still a need to identify the dietary factors associated with high risk for ASCVD in the Korean population. Therefore, this study investigated the relationship between the dietary related factors, including DII, and the 10-year ASCVD risk in Korean adults based on the 7th Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) data. The study is aimed at enhancing the understanding of ASCVD and at suggesting methods of risk reduction. Moreover, the findings of this study could be used as an educational resource to reduce ASCVD and promote health programs.

#### 2. Methods

2.1. Study Design. This is a descriptive, cross-sectional study that reviews data from the 7<sup>th</sup> KNHANES to determine the relationship between the DII and the risk of ASCVD in Korean adults.

2.2. Data Collection. This study used data from the 7<sup>th</sup> KNHANES conducted in 2016 and 2017 under the supervision of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention under the Ministry of Health and Welfare. The KNHANES is an annual national research survey conducted since 1969 for direct public welfare. Its purpose is to provide representative and reliable national and municipal data on the health of the general population. The survey data are then used to guide health policies, develop and evaluate the goals of the Comprehensive National Health Promotion Plan, and design health promotion programs. The KNHANES uses data from the most recent population housing survey available at the time of sampling and can be used to extract representative samples of the target population (i.e., people over the age of 1 year) living in Korea. Data are collected via a health survey, screening survey, and nutrition survey.

In total, 16,277 individuals participated in the KHANES from 2016 to 2017. The participants ≥40 and ≤64 years old were included for the present study since the ASCVD risk calculation is specific for the age range 40 to 79 years and the food intake survey is conducted on participants under 64 years of age. Participants were excluded from the study if they were pregnant or had insufficient information to calculate the ASCVD risk or DII value. Finally, a total of 4185 participants were included in the analysis. The study design was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Korea University (IRB-2019-0174) and was considered exempt.

2.3. Calculation of the Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII). The dietary inflammatory index (DII) was calculated using the food intake survey data obtained through a 24-hour recall method used in the KNHANES. Individual interviews were used to collect food intake data, and a nutrition research team consisting of two nutritionists visited households to investigate accurate information including spices, processed foods, and dietary supplements used in food with open question. To ensure validity, an interview was conducted a week later of medical examination, because there are many influencing factors that affect food intake such as fasting and drinking alcohol the day before the medical examination. The calculation of the DII was conducted based on a method previously reported on by Shivappa et al. [14]. A database consisting of diet surveys from 11 countries, including Korea, the USA, Australia, Bahrain, Denmark, India, Japan, New Zealand, Taiwan, and Mexico, was used to establish comparative standards for the parameters. The DII score was calculated based on the DII methods paper [14]. In brief, a standard mean for each parameter based on data from the global database was subtracted from individual scores from the KNHANES. The results were then divided by their standard deviation to generate Z scores. Z scores were converted to proportions to minimize the effect of outliers. The proportions were multiplied by two and then subtracted to achieve a symmetric distribution of inflammation scores for each DII factor. This information was used to obtain an overall DII score.

For the present study, we included the following 37 components to compute the DII score: carbohydrates, protein, total fat, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), saturated fat, transfat, n-3 fatty

BioMed Research International 3

acid, n-6 fatty acid, cholesterol, fiber, vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_2$ , niacin, vitamin  $B_6$ , vitamin  $B_{12}$ , folic acid, vitamin A, vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_2$ , rollic acid, vitamin  $B_3$ , vitamin  $B_4$ , vitamin  $B_5$ , vitamin  $B_6$ , vitamin  $B_1$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_7$ , vitamin  $B_8$ , vitamin  $B_8$ , vitamin  $B_8$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_8$ , vitamin  $B_1$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_2$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_2$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_2$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_2$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_1$ , vitamin  $B_2$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_2$ , vitamin  $B_2$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_2$ , folic acid, vitamin  $B_2$ , valuence, garlic, caffeine, onion, green and black tea, alcohol, and calories. The nutritional content data used in this study were from the Functional Ingredients Table (Rural Development Administration), Computer-Aided Nutritional Analysis (The Korean Nutrition Society), and data provided by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Several previous studies have validated the use of the 37 parameters for the DII score [18–20]. For the assessment, positive scores indicate more proinflammatory diets and negative values are more anti-inflammatory.

2.4. ASCVD 10-Year Risk Calculation. According to the American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines, the 9 variables included in the ASCVD 10-year risk calculation are race, sex, age, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, blood pressure, diabetes diagnosis, treatment for hypertension, and smoking. Age was grouped by 10-year intervals. The variables were calculated using the pooled cohort equations (PCEs) through a web-based calculator (http://my.americanheart.org/cvriskcalculator) to compute the 10-year risk of ASCVD. The results were categorized into the low-risk (less than 7.5% risk), and high-risk (greater than 7.5% risk) groups [4].

2.5. Data Analysis. KNHANES is a cross-sectional survey of the Koreans which assessed the overall health and nutrition. This dataset was designed as a complex, stratified, multistage, and probability-cluster sampling which has been certified as appropriate for representative statistics. Thus, it is a nationally representative sample of individuals from the noninstitutionalized Korean population. Thus, we used a complex sampling method that considers the characteristics of the raw data to analyze the KNHANES data. To analyze the KNHANES data, we used a complex sampling method that considers the characteristics of the raw data. Analysis of the mixed-sample design utilized stratification, niche, and weights provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to increase the representativeness and accuracy of variable estimates. The collected data was analyzed using SPSS v. 22.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). p value of <0.05 was considered significant for all statistical tests. The general characteristics of the participants are summarized with descriptive statistics. The 10-year risk of ASCVD and the DII in Korean adults were compared between groups by the analysis of variance and  $\chi^2$ test. Logistic regression analyses were performed to identify the association between the quartile of DII and the ASCVD 10-year risk according to sex.

### 3. Results

3.1. General Characteristics of the Study Population. The general characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. Among 4185 participants, 1712 were men and

2473 were women. The mean age was  $52.51 \pm 0.17$  years. Participants were separated by quartiles, from Q1 to Q4, according to DII scores. Participants in the Q1 group had the lowest DII scores indicating a more anti-inflammatory diet. Participants in the Q4 group had the highest DII scores indicating more proinflammatory diets.

3.2. Effect of DII and Dietary-Associated Factors on the ASCVD Risk Group in Men and Women. Characteristics of the study participants according to sex in quartiles of the DII are presented in Table 2. In both men and women, subjects with higher DII scores were characterized by lower household income, lower subjective body image, poor subjective health status, current smoker, diabetes mellitus diagnosis, poor treatment for hypertension, and ASCVD high-risk group compared to subjects with lower DII scores (p < 0.001, respectively). We observed statistically significant positive associations between the quartiles of the DII scores and the ASCVD high-risk group (≥7.5) in men with 36.9% in Q1 and 45.1% in Q4 (p < 0.001). In women, the quartiles of the DII scores were significantly positively related to the ASCD high-risk group  $(\geq 7.5)$  with 4.7% in Q1 and 4.8% in Q4 (p < 0.001).

To evaluate the effects of DII scores on the risk of ASCVD in Korean men and women, a multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed (Table 3). In men, subjective health status had a significant effect on ASCVD risk (p < 0.001). According to subjective health status, the ASCVD risk for the "poor" group was 1.75 times higher than that for the "good" group, and the risk in the "moderate" group was 1.32 times higher than that in the "good" group. DII scores according to quartiles were significantly associated with ASCVD risk (p < 0.05). Participants in the Q3 group had a risk for ASCVD of 1.20 times higher than the Q1 group participants, and participants in the Q4 group had a risk 1.34 times higher than the participants in the Q1 group. In women, subjective health status had a significant effect on the risk of ASCVD (p < 0.001). However, ASCVD risk was not significantly associated with DII scores (Table 3).

#### 4. Discussion

This study is aimed at analyzing multistage, stratified sampling data representative of the population to determine 10-year ASCVD risk among Koreans aged 40-64 years and at classifying risk according to dietary variables. The results show that elevated DII scores in Korean men are positively associated with a higher risk for ASCVD over a 10-year period. In women, subjective health status is associated with ASCVD risk, but DII scores are not an accurate predictor of 10-year ASCVD risk.

Understanding and classifying factors associated with a higher risk of ASCVD is important to guide prevention efforts. The 10-year ASCVD risk calculation was developed for estimating risk for ASCVD and classifying high-risk groups using multiple factors such as age, race, HDL-C, TC, SBP, sex, smoking status, and treatment for hypertension or diabetes mellitus [4]. Since cardiovascular disease is closely

Table 1: General characteristics of participants from the 7<sup>th</sup> Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.

Age, years (SE)         (n=4185)         Weighted % (SE)         (n=1712)         Weighted % (SE)         (n=2473)         Weighted % (SE)           Age, years (SE)         Lower middle         92         100 07         52.44 (320)         52.87 (220)         0.00           Household income lighted in come lighted by skinny         Lower middle         192         125 (03)         366         196 (11)         616         23.3 (11)           Subjective body image         Lower middle         193         29.2 (10)         475         82.6 (13)         80.0 (13)         30.0 (11)           Subjective body image         Moderate         1678         98 (0.6)         210         12.1 (10)         193         7.6 (0.6)           Subjective body image         Moderate         1678         98 (0.6)         85.0 (1.3)         979         40.1 (1.3)           Subjective body image         Moderate         1678         8.6 (0.5)         85.0 (0.6)         19.0 (1.3)         979         40.1 (1.3)           Subjective body image         Moderate         160         28.3 (0.8)         85.0 (0.8)         86.1 (0.6)         99.0 (0.5)         10.1 (0.1)           Subjective body image         Moderate         160         28.3 (0.8)         86.1 (0.8)         10.1 (0.0)         1	Variables			Total		Men	M	Women
Lowest 492 10.0 (0.7) 192 92 (0.9) 52.87 (0.20) Lower middle 982 21.5 (9.9) 475 526 (1.1) 616 Lower middle 1190 29.2 (1.0) 475 28.2 (1.3) 616 Lighty eximp 403 98.4 (1.4) 678 42.0 (1.7) 840 Slightly skimpy 403 98 (0.6) 210 (1.0) 618 Slightly skimpy 114 2.9 (0.3) 74 42 (0.6) 979 Slightly skimpy 403 98 (0.6) 210 (1.1) 616 Slightly skimpy 114 2.9 (0.3) 644 42 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 42 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 644 93.0 (1.1) 971 Slightly skimpy 116 28.3 (0.8) 671 Slightly skimpy 116 68 684 Slightl			(n = 4185)	Weighted % (SE)	(n = 1712)	Weighted % (SE)	(n = 2473)	Weighted % (SE)
Lowest 492 100 (0.7) 192 92 (0.9) 300  Lower middle 882 21.5 (0.9) 366 196 (1.1) 616  Upper middle 1190 292 (1.0) 475 828 (1.3) 715  Highest 1518 394 (1.4) 678 429 (1.7) 840  Slightly skinny 114 29 (0.3) 74 420 (0.1) 840  Slightly skinny 114 60.2 882 86 (0.6) 899 406 (1.3) 979  Slightly obese 1605 884 (0.9) 699 406 (1.3) 979  Aure, male Spannol, Spannol, Spannol, Lish 1194 (0.2) 885 85 (0.6) 889  Aure, male Spannol, Lish 1194 (0.2) 889  Aure, male Spannol, Rish 1194 (0.2) 889  Aure,	Age, years (SE)		52.51 (0.17)		52.14 (0.20)		52.87 (0.20)	
Lower middle   982   21.5 (0.9)   366   196 (1.1)   616     Upper middle   1190   292 (1.0)   475   282 (1.3)   715     Highest   1518   39.4 (1.4)   678   42.9 (1.7)   840     Very skinny   114   2.9 (0.3)   74   4.2 (0.6)   979     Slightly skinny   403   94.6 (0.9)   644   4.2 (0.6)   979     Slightly obese   1658   8.5 (0.5)   85   5.0 (0.6)   979     Very obese   385   8.5 (0.5)   85   5.0 (0.6)   979     Auts   Moderate   2224   55.7 (0.9)   879   54.5 (1.4)   134.5     Auts   Moderate   2224   55.7 (0.9)   879   54.5 (1.4)   134.5     Boor   705   16.0 (0.7)   24.1   13.7 (0.1)   144.2     Auts   119.4 (0.34)   18.7 (0.7)   121.35 (0.45)   198.50 (0.97)     Auts   Auts   200.95 (0.67)   198.50 (0.97)   198.50 (0.97)     Auts   Auts   22.1 (0.44)   25.1 (0.8)   25.1 (0.8)     Auts   Auts   22.5 (1.2)   20.5 (1.2)   20.5 (1.2)     Auts   Auts   22.5 (1.2)   22.5 (1.2)     Auts   Auts   22.5 (		Lowest	492	10.0 (0.7)	192	9.2 (0.9)	300	10.7 (0.8)
Upper middle   1190   292 (1.0)   475   28.2 (1.3)   715     Highest   1518   39.4 (1.4)   678   42.9 (1.7)   840     Very skinny   114   2.9 (0.3)   74   42.0 (0.5)   840     Slightly skinny   1678   40.4 (0.9)   649   40.6 (1.3)   979     Slightly skinny   1678   38.6 (0.9)   644   38.0 (1.4)   961     Very obese   385   85 (0.5)   85 (0.5)   85 (0.6)   300     Substitution   40.0   22.4   25.7 (0.9)   879   24.1 (1.2)   26.2 (1.2)     Anoderate   22.24   25.7 (0.9)   879   24.1 (1.2)   26.2 (1.2)     Anoderate   22.24   25.7 (0.9)   879   24.1 (1.2)   24.1 (1.2)     Anotherate   22.24   25.7 (0.9)   879   24.1 (1.2)   24.1 (1.2)     Anotherate   22.24   25.7 (0.9)   879   24.1 (1.2)   24.1 (1.2)     Anotherate   22.24   25.7 (0.9)   879   24.1 (1.2)     Anotherate   22.24   25.7 (0.9)   24.1 (1.2)   24.1 (1.2)     Anotherate   22.24   25.7 (0.9)   24.1 (0.3)     Anotherate   22.24   25.2 (0.9)   24.1 (0.3)     Anotherate   22.24   25.1 (0.8)   25.2 (1.2)     Anotherate   22.24   22.2 (1.2)   24.1 (0.2)     Anotherate   22.2 (1.2)   24.1 (0.2)	II successible of the second	Lower middle	982	21.5 (0.9)	366	19.6 (1.1)	616	23.3 (1.1)
Highest 1518 39.4 (1.4) 678 42.9 (1.7) 840  Very skinmy 114 2.9 (0.3) 74 4 42 (0.6) 40  Slightly skinmy 403 9.8 (6.6) 210 12.1 (1.0) 193  Moderate 1678 84.0.9) 649 40.6 (1.3) 979  Slightly scese 1865 85.0.5) 85.0 (6.6) 30.0  Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 54.5 (1.4) 1345  So Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 84.6 (1.3) 1345  so Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 84.6 (1.3) 1345  so Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 84.6 (1.3) 1345  so Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 84.6 (1.3) 1345  so Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 84.6 (1.3) 1446  so Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 84.6 (1.3) 1446  so Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 84.6 (1.3) 1446  so Moderate 2224 55.7 (6.9) 879 84.6 (1.3) 1446  so Moderate 2224 11.3 (6.6) 15.2 (6.9) 15.5 (6.9) 15.5 (6.9) 15.5 (6.9) 16.6 (9.7) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 16.6 (1.1) 17.5 (6.2) 17	nousenoid income	Upper middle	1190	29.2 (1.0)	475	28.2 (1.3)	715	30.1 (1.1)
Very skinny         114         29 (0.3)         74         4.2 (0.6)         40           Slightly skinny         403         9.8 (0.6)         210         12.1 (1.0)         193           Moderate         1678         40.4 (0.9)         699         40.6 (1.3)         979           Slightly obese         1605         38.4 (0.9)         644         38.0 (1.4)         961           Very obese         385         8.5 (0.5)         85         5.0 (0.6)         300           s         Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         54.5 (1.4)         961           s         Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         54.5 (1.4)         1345           s         Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         54.5 (1.4)         1345           s         Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         464         442           s         Moderate         270 (0.7)         11.7 (0.9)         642         13.7 (1.1)         442           s         312         7 (0.5)         18.7 (0.5)         11.5 (1.1)         11.5 (1.1)         11.5 (1.1)           s         41.4 (0.5)         10.2.1 (0.3) <td< td=""><td></td><td>Highest</td><td>1518</td><td>39.4 (1.4)</td><td>829</td><td>42.9 (1.7)</td><td>840</td><td>35.9 (1.5)</td></td<>		Highest	1518	39.4 (1.4)	829	42.9 (1.7)	840	35.9 (1.5)
Slightly skinny         403         9.8 (6.6)         210         12.1 (1.0)         193           Moderate         1678         40.4 (9.9)         689         406 (1.3)         979           Slightly obese         1605         38.4 (0.9)         644         380 (1.4)         961           Very obese         385         8.5 (0.5)         85         5.0 (0.6)         300           Sood         1109         28.3 (0.8)         517 (1.2)         592           s         Moderate         2224         557 (0.9)         879         54.5 (1.4)         961           sois, %         1109         16.0 (0.7)         241         1345         104           sois, %         312         12.0 (0.5)         157         442         104           sisin, %         119.47 (0.34)         12.1 (0.5)         12.5 (0.5)         117.65 (0.2)         117.65 (0.42)           J/L (SE)         102.21 (0.44)         18.7 (0.5)         12.6 (1.1)         21.6 (1.1)         117.65 (0.42)           J/L (SE)         102.21 (0.44)         10.5 (0.5)         12.6 (1.2)         99.09 (0.52)           J/L (SE)         104.6 (0.23)         47.24 (0.31)         25.7 (1.2)         59.9           Q1 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Very skinny</td><td>114</td><td>2.9 (0.3)</td><td>74</td><td>4.2 (0.6)</td><td>40</td><td>1.5 (0.3)</td></td<>		Very skinny	114	2.9 (0.3)	74	4.2 (0.6)	40	1.5 (0.3)
Moderate         1678         404 (0.9)         699         406 (1.3)         979           Slightly obese         1605         38.4 (0.9)         644         380 (1.4)         961           Very obese         385         8.5 (0.5)         85         50 (0.6)         300           s         Good         1109         28.3 (0.8)         517         31.7 (1.2)         592           s         Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         54.5 (1.4)         1345           sois, %         160         705         160 (0.7)         241         13.7 (0.9)         464           sois, %         312         7.0 (0.5)         157         8 (0.7)         155           sision, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           sision, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         121.35 (0.45)         8 (0.7)         155           sision, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         121.35 (0.45)         21.6 (1.1)         442           sision, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         124.6 (0.5)         21.6 (1.1)         20.6 (1.1)         20.6 (1.1)           sill/L (SE)         10.221 (0.44)         10.4 (0.24)         25.1 (0.8) </td <td></td> <td>Slightly skinny</td> <td>403</td> <td>9.8 (0.6)</td> <td>210</td> <td>12.1 (1.0)</td> <td>193</td> <td>7.6 (0.6)</td>		Slightly skinny	403	9.8 (0.6)	210	12.1 (1.0)	193	7.6 (0.6)
Slightly obese         1605         38.4 (0.9)         6444         380 (1.4)         961           Very obese         385         85 (0.5)         85         50 (0.6)         300           Good         1109         28.3 (0.8)         517         31.7 (1.2)         592           Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         545 (1.4)         1345           Poor         749         16.0 (0.7)         241         13.7 (0.9)         464           osis, %         312         7.0 (0.5)         157         8(0.7)         104           osion, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           sion, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           sion, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           L (SE)         10.2.1 (0.44)         18.7 (0.7)         105.45 (0.65)         21.6 (1.1)         442           L (SE)         10.2.1 (0.44)         10.2.4 (0.31)         47.24 (0.31)         21.6 (1.1)         20.3 (0.85)           L (SE)         21.04 (0.23)         21.04 (0.23)         22.7 (1.2)         20.7 (1.2)         20.7 (1.2)	Subjective body image	Moderate	1678	40.4 (0.9)	669	40.6 (1.3)	626	40.2 (1.3)
Very obese         385         8.5 (0.5)         85         5.0 (0.6)         300           Good         1109         28.3 (0.8)         517         31.7 (1.2)         592           Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         54.5 (1.4)         1345           Poor         705         16.0 (0.7)         241         13.7 (0.9)         464           ssion, %         312         7.0 (0.5)         157         8 (0.7)         104           sion, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           sion, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           sion, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         121.35 (0.45)         8 (0.7)         155           L (SE)         10.2.1 (0.44)         10.5.45 (0.65)         105.45 (0.65)         105.45 (0.65)         105.45 (0.65)         105.45 (0.65)         105.45 (0.65)         105.45 (0.65)         105.45 (0.65)         105.50 (0.97)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.85)         200.33 (0.		Slightly obese	1605	38.4 (0.9)	644	38.0 (1.4)	961	38.8 (1.1)
Good         1109         28.3 (0.8)         517         31.7 (1.2)         592           Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         54.5 (1.4)         1345           Poor         705         16.0 (0.7)         241         13.7 (0.9)         464           ssion, %         312         7.0 (0.5)         157         8 (0.7)         155           sion, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           mmHg (SE)         102.21 (0.44)         121.35 (0.45)         21.6 (1.1)         442           L (SE)         102.21 (0.44)         105.45 (0.65)         20.5 (0.67)         20.33 (0.85)           L (SE)         200.95 (0.67)         198.50 (0.97)         20.33 (0.85)           L (SE)         200.95 (0.67)         47.24 (0.31)         54.74 (0.29)           L (SE)         20.96 (0.67)         20.7 (1.3)         53.9           A (1 (SE)         25.1 (0.8)         37.5         25.7 (1.2)         59.9           A (2 (0.67)         25.1 (0.8)         37.5         25.7 (1.2)         59.9           A (2 (0.67)         25.1 (0.8)         37.5         25.7 (1.2)         664           A (2 (0.68)         25.1 (0.8)         25		Very obese	385	8.5 (0.5)	85	5.0 (0.6)	300	11.9 (0.7)
Moderate         2224         55.7 (0.9)         879         54.5 (1.4)         1345           Poor         705         16.0 (0.7)         241         13.7 (0.9)         464           Poor         749         21.4 (0.9)         642         38.6 (1.5)         104           sion, %         312         7.0 (0.5)         157         8 (0.7)         155           sion, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         1155         1155           mmHg (SE)         119.47 (0.34)         121.35 (0.45)         21.6 (1.1)         442           L (SE)         102.21 (0.44)         105.45 (0.65)         20.50         99.09 (0.52)           AL (SE)         200.95 (0.67)         198.50 (0.97)         203.34 (0.85)         203.34 (0.85)           AL (SE)         1046         25.5 (0.9)         507         29.7 (1.3)         54.7 (0.29)           Q1         1046         25.1 (0.8)         375         22.5 (1.2)         559           Q2         1046         25.1 (0.8)         383         22.1 (1.3)         664           Q4         1047         24.2 (0.9)         7.46 (0.16)         22.1 (1.3)         24.7 (0.06)		Good	1109	28.3 (0.8)	517	31.7 (1.2)	592	25.1 (1.0)
Poor         705         16.0 (0.7)         241         13.7 (0.9)         464           gnosis, %         312         21.4 (0.9)         642         38.6 (1.5)         104           tension, %         312         7.0 (0.5)         157         8 (0.7)         155           tension, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           nc, mmHg (SE)         119.47 (0.34)         121.35 (0.45)         117.65 (0.42)         99.09 (0.52)           nol/L (SE)         200.95 (0.67)         198.50 (0.97)         198.50 (0.97)         203.34 (0.85)           nol/L (SE)         51.04 (0.23)         47.24 (0.31)         547 (0.29)         547 (0.29)           nol/L (SE)         1046         25.5 (0.9)         507         29.7 (1.3)         539           Q1         1046         25.1 (0.8)         447         25.7 (1.2)         599           Q2         1046         25.1 (0.8)         375         22.5 (1.2)         664           Q4         1047         24.2 (0.9)         38.3         22.1 (1.3)         247 (0.06)	Subjective health status	Moderate	2224	55.7 (0.9)	879	54.5 (1.4)	1345	56.8 (1.2)
gnosis, % tension, % tension, % tension, %         312         7.0 (0.5)         642         38.6 (1.5)         104           tension, % tension, %         312         7.0 (0.5)         157         8 (0.7)         155           tension, % tension, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           tension, % tension, %         859         18.7 (0.7)         417         21.6 (1.1)         442           tension, % tension, %         119.47 (0.34)         121.35 (0.45)         121.35 (0.45)         117.65 (0.42)           ol/L (SE)         102.21 (0.44)         105.45 (0.65)         198.50 (0.97)         203.34 (0.85)         203.34 (0.85)           nol/L (SE)         21.04 (0.23)         47.24 (0.31)         54.74 (0.29)         54.74 (0.29)           nol/L (SE)         1046         25.1 (0.8)         447         25.7 (1.2)         599           Q2         1046         25.1 (0.8)         375         22.5 (1.2)         671           Q4         1047         24.2 (0.9)         383         22.1 (1.3)         664           Q4         4.93 (0.10)         7.46 (0.16)         7.46 (0.16)         2.47 (0.06)		Poor	705	16.0 (0.7)	241	13.7 (0.9)	464	18.1 (0.9)
gnosis, % tension, %	Current smoker, %		749	21.4 (0.9)	642	38.6 (1.5)	104	4.6 (0.5)
tension, % 859 18.7 (0.7) 417 21.6 (1.1) 442  u., mmHg (SE) 119.47 (0.34) 121.35 (0.45) 121.35 (0.45) 117.65 (0.42)  ol/L (SE) 102.21 (0.44) 105.21 (0.44) 105.45 (0.65) 198.50 (0.97) 200.95 (0.67) 198.50 (0.97) 21.04 (0.23) 21.04 (0.23) 25.5 (0.9) 207 (1.31) 247 (0.29) 247 (0.29) 25.1 (0.8) 25.1 (0.8) 25.1 (0.8) 25.1 (0.8) 25.2 (1.2) 25.5	Diabetes mellitus diagnosis	%;	312	7.0 (0.5)	157	8 (0.7)	155	6.1 (0.6)
re, mmHg (SE)       119.47 (0.34)       121.35 (0.45)       117.65 (0.42)         ol/L (SE)       102.21 (0.44)       105.45 (0.65)       99.09 (0.52)         nol/L (SE)       200.95 (0.67)       198.50 (0.97)       203.34 (0.85)         nol/L (SE)       51.04 (0.23)       47.24 (0.31)       54.74 (0.29)         nol/L (SE)       1046       25.5 (0.9)       507       29.7 (1.3)       539         Q2       1046       25.1 (0.8)       447       25.7 (1.2)       599         Q3       1046       25.1 (0.8)       375       22.5 (1.2)       671         Q4       1047       24.2 (0.9)       746 (0.16)       247 (0.06)	Treatment for hypertension	η, %	859	18.7 (0.7)	417	21.6 (1.1)	442	15.8 (0.9)
ol/L (SE)         102.21 (0.44)         105.45 (0.65)         99.09 (0.52)           nol/L (SE)         200.95 (0.67)         198.50 (0.97)         203.34 (0.85)           nol/L (SE)         51.04 (0.23)         47.24 (0.31)         54.74 (0.29)           nol/L (SE)         51.04 (0.23)         57         29.7 (1.3)         539           Q1         1046         25.1 (0.8)         447         25.7 (1.2)         599           Q3         1046         25.1 (0.8)         375         22.5 (1.2)         671           Q4         1047         24.2 (0.9)         383         22.1 (1.3)         664           A+93 (0.10)         7.46 (0.16)         7.46 (0.16)         2.47 (0.06)	Systolic blood pressure, mn	nHg (SE)	119.47 (0.34)		121.35 (0.45)		117.65 (0.42)	
nol/L (SE)         200.95 (0.67)         198.50 (0.97)         203.34 (0.85)           nol/L (SE)         51.04 (0.23)         47.24 (0.31)         54.74 (0.29)           nol/L (SE)         Q1         1046         25.5 (0.9)         507         29.7 (1.3)         539           Q2         1046         25.1 (0.8)         447         25.7 (1.2)         599           Q3         1046         25.1 (0.8)         375         22.5 (1.2)         671           Q4         1047         24.2 (0.9)         383         22.1 (1.3)         664           A+93 (0.10)         7.46 (0.16)         7.46 (0.16)         2.47 (0.06)	Fasting glucose, mmol/L (S	E)	102.21 (0.44)		105.45 (0.65)		99.09 (0.52)	
nol/L (SE)       51.04 (0.23)       47.24 (0.31)       54.74 (0.29)         Q1       1046       25.5 (0.9)       507       29.7 (1.3)       539         Q2       1046       25.1 (0.8)       447       25.7 (1.2)       599         Q3       1046       25.1 (0.8)       375       22.5 (1.2)       671         Q4       1047       24.2 (0.9)       383       22.1 (1.3)       664         A+93 (0.10)       7.46 (0.16)       7.46 (0.16)       2.47 (0.06)	Total cholesterol, mmol/L (	(SE)	200.95 (0.67)		198.50 (0.97)		203.34 (0.85)	
Q1       1046       25.5 (0.9)       507       29.7 (1.3)       539         Q2       1046       25.1 (0.8)       447       25.7 (1.2)       599         Q3       1046       25.1 (0.8)       375       22.5 (1.2)       671         Q4       1047       24.2 (0.9)       383       22.1 (1.3)       664         4.93 (0.10)       7.46 (0.16)       2.47 (0.06)	HDL cholesterol, mmol/L (	(SE)	51.04 (0.23)		47.24 (0.31)		54.74 (0.29)	
Q2     1046     25.1 (0.8)     447     25.7 (1.2)     599       Q3     1046     25.1 (0.8)     375     22.5 (1.2)     671       Q4     1047     24.2 (0.9)     383     22.1 (1.3)     664       4.93 (0.10)     7.46 (0.16)     2.47 (0.06)		QI	1046	25.5 (0.9)	507	29.7 (1.3)	539	21.5 (1.0)
Q3     1046     25.1 (0.8)     375     22.5 (1.2)     671       Q4     1047     24.2 (0.9)     383     22.1 (1.3)     664       4.93 (0.10)     7.46 (0.16)     2.47 (0.06)	III	Q2	1046	25.1 (0.8)	447	25.7 (1.2)	599	24.5 (1.0)
Q4 1047 24.2 (0.9) 383 22.1 (1.3) 664 4.93 (0.10) 7.46 (0.16) 2.47 (0.06)	DII	Q3	1046	25.1 (0.8)	375	22.5 (1.2)	671	27.8 (1.1)
4.93 (0.10) 7.46 (0.16)		Q4	1047	24.2 (0.9)	383	22.1 (1.3)	664	26.3 (1.1)
	ASCVD risk, % (SE)		4.93 (0.10)		7.46 (0.16)		2.47 (0.06)	

Abbreviations: SE: standard error; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; DII: dietary inflammatory index; ASCVD: atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Table 2: Characteristics of the study participants according to sex in quartiles of the DII scores.

8 (Mean, SE)	,			>	Men $(n = 1712)$				Mo	Women $(n = 2473)$		
507         447         375         383         539         599         671         664           5233,032         5186,033         5222,037         5211,036         0.73         5346,033         5321,034         5227,033         5227,033           -363,005         -116,003         0.08,004         3.48,007         <00011         -357,005         -116,003         0.09,003         3.55,003           25,49%         33,45%         46,104%         88,16,8%         -00011         -357,005         -116,003         0.09,003         3.55,003           148,302%         115,243%         48,104%         18,104%         113,191%         140,222%         81,101%         101,138%           245,503%         175,35%         175,39%         124,379%         -00011         227,44%         19,380%         191,299%           17,35%         17,39%         16,415%         24,54%         49,118%         37,61%         48,80%         53,13%           25,110%         58,124%         48,118%         0.0011         227,44%         19,39%         11,13%           193,407%         17,39%         10,138%         20,51%         225,83%         20,111%         11,13%           25,110%         58,110% <td< th=""><th>Variables</th><th></th><th>Q1</th><th></th><th>Q3</th><th>Q4</th><th>p value</th><th>Q1</th><th></th><th>Q3 Q3</th><th></th><th>p value</th></td<>	Variables		Q1		Q3	Q4	p value	Q1		Q3 Q3		p value
25.33, 0.32         51.86, 0.33         52.22, 0.37         52.11, 0.36         0.73         53.46, 0.33         53.21, 0.34         52.67, 0.30         52.27, 0.33           -3.63, 0.05         -11.6, 0.03         0.08, 0.04         3.48, 0.07         <0.001***         -3.57, 0.05         -11.6, 0.03         0.79, 0.03         3.57, 0.03           25, 4.9%         33, 6.7%         46, 10.4%         88, 16.8%         <0.001***         47, 76.%         76, 10.9%         81, 10.18         101, 13.8%           89, 14.6%         115, 24.3%         84, 20.4%         78, 19.9%         <0.001***         47, 76.%         76, 10.9%         81, 10.18         101, 13.8%           245, 50.3%         175, 39%         112, 37.9%         24, 54.8%         24, 54.8%         24, 54.8%         24, 54.8%         10.01         11, 17.9         11, 17.8         11, 17.8         10, 13.8%         21, 11.8%	и		507	447	375	383		539	599	671	664	
-3.63, 0.05         -1.16, 0.03         -0.08, 0.04         3.48, 0.07         <0.001**         -3.57, 0.05         -1.16, 0.03         0.79, 0.03         3.55, 0.03           25, 4.9%         33, 6.7%         46, 10.4%         88, 16.8%         42, 76%         76, 10.9%         81, 10.1%         101, 13.8%           89, 1.46%         115, 24.3%         84, 20.4%         78, 19.9%         <0.001**	Age, years (Mean, SE)		52.33, 0.32	51.86, 0.33	52.22, 0.37	52.11, 0.36	0.73	53.46, 0.33	53.21, 0.34	52.67, 0.30	52.27, 0.33	0.03*
25, 49%         33, 67%         46, 10.4%         88, 16.8%         42, 76%         76, 10.9%         81, 10.1%         101, 13.8%           89, 146%         115, 24.3%         84, 20.4%         78, 19.9%         40, 76%         76, 10.9%         81, 10.1%         10, 11.3%         10, 13.8%           148, 30.2%         115, 24.3%         84, 20.4%         78, 19.9%         40, 11.8%         164, 29.0%         203, 32.3%         101, 29.9%           245, 50.3%         176, 42.3%         112, 30.1%         22, 5.5%         245, 28.0%         201, 31.1%         190, 29.9%           17, 35%         176, 42.3%         164, 45%         24, 5.4%         27, 44.7%         219, 38.0%         201, 31.8%         10, 12.9%         1	DII (Mean, SE)		-3.63,0.05	-1.16,0.03	0.08,0.04	3.48, 0.07	<0.001**	-3.57,0.05	-1.16,0.03	0.79, 0.03	3.55, 0.03	<0.001**
89, 14.6%         115, 24.3%         84, 20.4%         78, 19.9%         -0.001**         115, 19.1%         140, 22.2%         184, 26.4%         179, 24.4%         179, 24.4%         179, 24.4%         179, 24.4%         179, 24.4%         179, 24.4%         179, 24.5%         112, 30.1%         22, 25.5%         -0.001**         157, 28.6%         164, 29.0%         203, 32.3%         191, 29.9%           245, 50.3%         176, 42.3%         133, 39.0%         124, 37.9%         227, 44.7%         219, 38.0%         201, 31.1%         193, 31.8%           17, 3.5%         17, 3.9%         16, 45.%         24, 5.4%         27, 11.9%         7, 11.9%         17, 11.9%         10, 12.9%           199, 40.1%         181, 39.6%         16, 41.5%         151, 39.5%         0.001**         227, 44.3%         249, 41.7%         27, 11.9%         12, 15.8%           199, 40.1%         181, 39.6%         153, 36.8%         129, 34.7%         200.11**         200, 44.3%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49.4%         25, 49		Lowest	25, 4.9%	33, 6.7%	46, 10.4%	88, 16.8%		42, 7.6%	76, 10.9%	81, 10.1%	101, 13.8%	
148, 302%         123, 26.7%         112, 30.1%         92, 25.5%         Occording         157, 28.6%         164, 29.0%         203, 32.3%         191, 29.9%           245, 50.3%         176, 42.3%         112, 30.1%         92, 25.5%         227, 44.7%         19, 38.0%         201, 31.1%         191, 29.9%           17, 3.5%         176, 42.3%         16, 45.%         24, 5.4%         11, 17%         10, 12%         7, 1.1%         121, 15%           55, 11.0%         58, 12.4%         48, 13.6%         49, 11.8%         37, 61.%         48, 80%         55, 82%         53, 7.6%           199, 40.1%         174, 40.7%         161, 41.5%         151, 39.5%         0.001**         229, 44.3%         249, 41.7%         267, 40.2%         53, 7.6%           199, 40.1%         181, 39.6%         153, 36.8%         129, 34.7%         0.001**         209, 44.3%         267, 40.2%         53, 7.6%           199, 40.1%         181, 39.6%         153, 36.8%         153, 36.8%         150, 36.1%         30, 87.8         20, 84.9         25, 14.0%         30, 13.2%           246, 51.7%         17, 34%         165, 30.1%         30, 87.8         200, 51.4%         30, 54.1%         30, 54.1%         30, 51.3%           48, 8.3%         13, 3.2%         20.	Household income	Lower middle	89, 14.6%	115, 24.3%	84, 20.4%	78, 19.9%	***************************************	113, 19.1%	140, 22.2%	184, 26.4%	179, 24.4%	** 100 0/
245, 50.3%         176, 42.3%         133, 390%         124, 37.9%         227, 44.7%         219, 38.0%         201, 31.1%         193, 31.8%         193, 31.8%         124, 37.9%         124, 37.9%         11, 1.7%         10, 1.2%         7,11%         193, 31.8%         121, 15%         1	(n, weighted %)	Upper middle	148, 30.2%	123, 26.7%	112, 30.1%	92, 25.5%	<0.001	157, 28.6%	164, 29.0%	203, 32.3%	191, 29.9%	\0.001
17,35%         17,39%         16,45%         24,54%         11,17%         10,12%         7,11%         12,15%           55,110%         58,124%         48,136%         49,118%         37,61%         48,80%         55,82%         53,76%           213,407%         174,407%         161,415%         151,395%         <0001**		Highest	245, 50.3%	176, 42.3%	133, 39.0%	124, 37.9%		227, 44.7%	219, 38.0%	201, 31.1%	193, 31.8%	
55,11.0%         58,12.4%         48,13.6%         49,11.8%         37,61%         48,80%         55,82%         53,76%           213,40.7%         174,40.7%         161,41.5%         151,39.5%         <0001**		Very skinny	17, 3.5%	17, 3.9%	16, 4.5%	24, 5.4%		11, 1.7%	10, 1.2%	7, 1.1%	12, 1.5%	
213, 40.7%         174, 40.7%         161, 41.5%         151, 39.5%         <0.001**         229, 44.3%         249, 41.7%         267, 40.2%         234, 40.2%           199, 40.1%         181, 39.6%         135, 36.8%         129, 34.7%         200, 38.4%         237, 39.7%         266, 38.8%           23, 4.7%         17, 3.4%         15, 3.6%         30, 8.7%         54, 9.5%         55, 9.3%         92, 14.1%         90, 11.9%           193, 40.0%         141, 33.0%         105, 30.1%         78, 21.0%         130, 25.5%         148, 26.1%         176, 26.1%         138, 12.8%           246, 51.7%         229, 53.8%         202, 55.9%         202, 57.7%         <0.001**	•	Slightly skinny	55, 11.0%	58, 12.4%	48, 13.6%	49, 11.8%		37, 6.1%	48, 8.0%	55, 8.2%	53, 7.6%	
199, 40.1%         181, 39.6%         135, 36.8%         129, 34.7%         208, 38.4%         237, 39.7%         250, 36.3%         266, 38.8%           23, 4.7%         17, 3.4%         15, 3.6%         30, 8.7%         30, 8.7%         54, 9.5%         55, 9.3%         92, 14.1%         99, 11.9%           193, 40.0%         141, 33.0%         105, 30.1%         78, 21.0%         300, 58.4%         309, 54.1%         176, 26.1%         138, 22.8%           246, 51.7%         229, 53.8%         202, 55.9%         202, 57.7%         <0.001**	Subjective body image (n. weighted %)	Moderate	213, 40.7%	174, 40.7%	161, 41.5%	151, 39.5%	<0.001**	229, 44.3%	249, 41.7%	267, 40.2%	234, 40.2%	<0.001**
23,4.7%       17,3.4%       15,3.6%       30,8.7%       54,9.5%       55,9.3%       92,14.1%       99,11.9%         193,40.0%       141,33.0%       105,30.1%       78,21.0%       130,25.5%       148,26.1%       176,26.1%       92,14.1%       99,11.9%         246,51.7%       202,53.8%       202,57.7%       <0.001**	(1) weighted (0)	Slightly obese	199, 40.1%	181, 39.6%	135, 36.8%	129, 34.7%		208, 38.4%	237, 39.7%	250, 36.3%	266, 38.8%	
193, 40.0%         141, 33.0%         105, 30.1%         78, 21.0%         130, 25.5%         148, 26.1%         176, 26.1%         176, 26.1%         138, 22.8%           246, 51.7%         229, 53.8%         202, 55.9%         202, 57.7%         <0.001**		Very obese	23, 4.7%	17, 3.4%	15, 3.6%	30, 8.7%		54, 9.5%	55, 9.3%	92, 14.1%	99, 11.9%	
246, 51.7%         229, 53.8%         202, 55.9%         202, 57.7%         <0.001**         300, 58.4%         309, 54.1%         374, 57.4%         362, 57.3%           43, 8.3%         63, 13.2%         52, 14.0%         83, 21.3%         <0.001**		Good	193, 40.0%	141, 33.0%	105, 30.1%	78, 21.0%		130, 25.5%	148, 26.1%	176, 26.1%	138, 22.8%	
43, 8.3%       63, 13.2%       52, 14.0%       83, 21.3%       89, 16.1%       130, 19.9%       107, 16.5%       138, 19.9%         148, 29.8%       153, 36.8%       152, 41.7%       189, 49.5%       <0.001**	Subjective health status	Moderate	246, 51.7%	229, 53.8%	202, 55.9%	202, 57.7%	<0.001**	300, 58.4%	309, 54.1%	374, 57.4%	362, 57.3%	<0.001**
148, 29.8%       153, 36.8%       152, 41.7%       189, 49.5%       <0.001**       13, 2.6%       22, 3.9%       23, 3.0%       49, 8.5%         39, 7.4%       44, 8.1%       33, 8.2%       41, 8.4%       <0.001**	(1) 11.25(1)	Poor	43, 8.3%		52, 14.0%	83, 21.3%		89, 16.1%	130, 19.9%	107, 16.5%	138, 19.9%	
39, 7.4%       44, 8.1%       33, 8.2%       41, 8.4%       < 0.001**       28, 5.5%       40, 5.6%       43, 6.4%       44, 6.5%         127, 22.7%       106, 19.6%       90, 21.4%       94, 22.5%       < 0.001**	Current smoker (n, weigl	hted %)	148, 29.8%	153, 36.8%	152, 41.7%	189, 49.5%	<0.001**	13, 2.6%	22, 3.9%	23, 3.0%	49, 8.5%	<0.001**
127, 22.7%         106, 19.6%         90, 21.4%         94, 22.5%         <0.001**         94, 15.0%         105, 15.5%         117, 15.8%         126, 16.9%           121.5, 0.80         119.99, 0.74         121.33, 0.90         122.75, 0.92         0.11         117.53, 0.73         116.60, 0.78         118.60, 0.78         117.73, 0.75           104.92, 1.06         105.31, 1.53         105.40, 1.37         106.24, 1.46         0.91         97.98, 0.78         98.33, 0.10         99.77, 0.98         100.0, 1.10           199.91, 1.57         197.47, 1.97         197.69, 2.13         198.61, 1.99         0.78         201.64, 1.76         200.60, 1.66         205.90, 1.53         204.58, 1.77           48.24, 0.58         46.55, 0.53         46.75, 0.62         47.20, 0.60         0.15         55.12, 0.62         55.20, 0.63         54.31, 0.57         54.4, 0.51           222, 36.9%         201, 34.2%         178, 41.1%         209, 45.1%         <0.001**	Diabetes mellitus diagno ( <i>n</i> , weighted %)	sis	39, 7.4%		33, 8.2%	41, 8.4%	<0.001**	28, 5.5%	40, 5.6%	43, 6.4%	44, 6.5%	<0.001**
121.5, 0.80       119.99, 0.74       121.33, 0.90       122.75, 0.92       0.11       117.53, 0.73       116.60, 0.78       118.60, 0.78       117.73, 0.75         104.92, 1.06       105.31, 1.53       105.40, 1.37       106.24, 1.46       0.91       97.98, 0.78       98.33, 0.10       99.77, 0.98       100.0, 1.10         199.91, 1.57       197.47, 1.97       197.69, 2.13       198.61, 1.99       0.78       201.64, 1.76       200.60, 1.66       205.90, 1.53       204.58, 1.77         48.24, 0.58       46.55, 0.53       46.75, 0.62       47.20, 0.60       0.15       55.12, 0.62       55.20, 0.63       54.31, 0.57       54.4, 0.51         222, 36.9%       201, 34.2%       178, 41.1%       209, 45.1%       <0.001**	Treatment for hypertens: ( <i>n</i> , weighted %)	ion	127, 22.7%	106, 19.6%	90, 21.4%	94, 22.5%	<0.001**	94, 15.0%	105, 15.5%	117, 15.8%	126, 16.9%	<0.001**
104.92, 1.06105.31, 1.53105.40, 1.37106.24, 1.460.9197.98, 0.7898.33, 0.1099.77, 0.98100.0, 1.10199.91, 1.57197.47, 1.97197.69, 2.13198.61, 1.990.78201.64, 1.76200.60, 1.66205.90, 1.53204.58, 1.7748.24, 0.5846.55, 0.5346.75, 0.6247.20, 0.600.1555.12, 0.6255.20, 0.6354.31, 0.5754.4, 0.51222, 36.9%201, 34.2%178, 41.1%209, 45.1%<0.001**	Systolic blood pressure, 1 SE)	nmHg (Mean,	121.5, 0.80	119.99, 0.74	121.33, 0.90	122.75, 0.92	0.11	117.53, 0.73	116.60, 0.78	118.60, 0.78	117.73, 0.75	0.35
199.91, 1.57     197.47, 1.97     197.69, 2.13     198.61, 1.99     0.78     201.64, 1.76     200.60, 1.66     205.90, 1.53     204.58, 1.77       48.24, 0.58     46.55, 0.53     46.75, 0.62     47.20, 0.60     0.15     55.12, 0.62     55.20, 0.63     54.31, 0.57     54.4, 0.51       222, 36.9%     201, 34.2%     178, 41.1%     209, 45.1%     <0.001**	Fasting glucose, mmol/L	(Mean, SE)	104.92, 1.06	105.31, 1.53	105.40, 1.37	106.24, 1.46	0.91	97.98, 0.78	98.33, 0.10	99.77, 0.98	100.0, 1.10	0.31
48.24, 0.58 46.55, 0.53 46.75, 0.62 47.20, 0.60 0.15 55.12, 0.62 55.20, 0.63 54.31, 0.57 54.4, 0.51 222, 36.9% 201, 34.2% 178, 41.1% 209, 45.1% <0.001** 31, 4.7% 30, 4.0% 36, 4.5% 41, 4.8%	Total cholesterol, mmol/.	L (Mean, SE)	199.91, 1.57	197.47, 1.97	197.69, 2.13	198.61, 1.99	0.78	201.64, 1.76	200.60, 1.66	205.90, 1.53	204.58, 1.77	0.07
$222, 36.9\%  201, 34.2\%  178, 41.1\%  209, 45.1\%  <0.001^{**}  31, 4.7\%  30, 4.0\%  36, 4.5\%  41, 4.8\% $	HDL cholesterol (Mean,	SE)	48.24, 0.58	46.55, 0.53	46.75, 0.62	47.20, 0.60	0.15	55.12, 0.62	55.20, 0.63	54.31, 0.57	54.4, 0.51	0.61
	ASCVD high-risk group	(n, weighted %)	222, 36.9%	201, 34.2%	178, 41.1%	209, 45.1%	<0.001**	31, 4.7%	30, 4.0%	36, 4.5%	41, 4.8%	<0.001**

Abbreviations: Q: quartile; DII: dietary inflammatory index; SE: standard error; HDL cholesterol: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ASCVD high-risk group: atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease high-risk group: \*\*p values < 0.001 are considered significant.

BioMed Research International

Table 3: Weighted logistic regression analysis of factors affecting high risk of 10-year ASCVD according to sex.

			OR	95% CI
	DII*	Q1	Reference	
		Q2	0.89	0.66-1.21
		Q3	1.20	0.86-1.67
Men		Q4	1.34	0.10-1.8
		Good	Reference	
	Subjective health status**	Moderate	1.32	1.03-1.69
		Poor	1.75	1.23-2.47
	DII	Q1	Reference	
		Q2	0.75	0.39-1.44
		Q3	0.94	0.51-1.73
Women		Q4	0.98	0.52-1.83
	Subjective health status**	Good	Reference	
		Moderate	1.82	0.92-3.57
		Poor	3.09	1.49-6.40

Abbreviations: OR: odds ratio; DII: dietary inflammatory index. \*\*p values < 0.001 are considered significant. \*p values < 0.05 are considered significant.

related to lifestyle [21], we evaluated the relationship between 10-year ASCVD risk and DII scores, which reflect dietary lifestyle.

6

The DII score is based on the relationship between systemic inflammatory biomarkers and diet and indicates the overall inflammatory potential of a diet using 45 parameters [14]. In this study, the quartile of DII reflected differences in demographic variables that could affect the quality of the diet, such as subjective body image [22], household income [23], and subjective health status [24]. Thus, those vulnerable demographic characters seem to be related to unhealthy dietary habit, contributing to the potential systemic inflammation state. Moreover, since chronic inflammation also has an important role in the pathogenesis of ASCVD [25], the DII is used to reflect an individual's amount of potential inflammatory exposure through diet and therefore also reflects the risk for ASCVD. These results are consistent with previous research that finds a close relationship between systemic inflammation and ASCVD, including atherosclerosis [26].

Our study showed a difference in ASCVD risk according to sex. Specifically, the DII score was associated with the high-risk group in Korean men, whereas there was no significant association between the DII score and the risk in Korean women. This is consistent with a previous study that found a difference in risk for cardiovascular disease according to sex [27]. It has also been reported that women tend to be less susceptible to environmental stress, such as oxidative stress, than men [28]. One factor influencing the difference may be related to sex hormones. Estrogen is a potent sexual steroid hormone in women that is known for its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effect [29]. Thus, a systemic inflammatory state related to dietary habits may have less of an effect on women. Another explanation for the difference may be associated with a poorer lifestyle. In the present study, men had a high prevalence of unhealthy lifestyle habits such as smoking. Thus, lifestyle modification, including dietary intervention, is needed to control and prevent ASCVD in high-risk groups of men.

This study has some limitations. First, the 10-year ASCVD risk score was developed based on Western sample populations; thus, it may not be completely suitable for Koreans. Second, there were potential limitations to the accuracy of the DII score because DII calculation was conducted by a self-reported questionnaire. In addition, information was not available for some DII parameters. Furthermore, since this was a cross-sectional study, it is difficult to determine causality. Despite these limitations, this is the first study to confirm that the DII score is positively correlated with the 10-year ASCVD risk using a well-defined, nationally representative sample in Korea.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study evaluated the association of quartile of DII and 10-year ASCVD risk in Korean adults. In conclusion, we demonstrated that the quartile of DII is associated with the 10-year ASCVD risk in Korean men, providing evidence for link between the highly proinflammatory diet identified as DII and the risk of ASCVD. In addition, these results provide systematically analyzed evidence for dietary interventions in ASCVD prevention efforts, especially in men.

#### **Data Availability**

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2019R1I1A1A01061528).

#### References

- [1] Y.-S. Kim, S.-H. Han, J.-M. Lee, G. J.-E. Shin, J.-K. Choi, and J.-M. Park, "Senior friendly hospital: a new paradigm for the hospital-based care of the elderly," *Korean Journal of Clinical Geriatrics*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 8–14, 2017.
- [2] H Organization, Cardiovascular disease: on world heart day WHO calls for accelerated action to prevent the world's leading global killer, World Health Organization, Geneva, 2018.
- [3] K. L. Davis, J. Meyers, Z. Zhao, P. L. McCollam, and M. Murakami, "High-risk atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in a real-world employed Japanese population: prevalence, cardiovascular event rates, and costs," *Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis.*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1287–1304, 2015.
- [4] D. C. Goff, D. M. Lloyd-Jones, G. Bennett et al., "2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines," *Journal* of the American College of Cardiology, vol. 63, no. 25, pp. 2935– 2959, 2014.
- [5] M. El Assar, J. Angulo, and L. Rodríguez-Mañas, "Oxidative stress and vascular inflammation in aging," Free Radical Biology and Medicine, vol. 65, pp. 380–401, 2013.
- [6] T. J. Guzik and R. M. Touyz, "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and vascular aging in hypertension," *Hypertension*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 660–667, 2017.
- [7] T. T. van Sloten, R. M. A. Henry, J. M. Dekker et al., "Endothelial dysfunction plays a key role in increasing cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetes: the Hoorn study," *Hypertension*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 1299–1305, 2014.
- [8] W. Na, T. Y. Yu, and C. Sohn, "Development of a food-based index of dietary inflammatory potential for Koreans and its relationship with metabolic syndrome," *Nutrition research and practice.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 150–158, 2019.
- [9] Y. Ma, J. A. Griffith, L. Chasan-Taber et al., "Association between dietary fiber and serum C-reactive protein," *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 760–766, 2006.
- [10] N. Bertran, J. Camps, J. Fernandez-Ballart et al., "Diet and lifestyle are associated with serum C-reactive protein concentrations in a population-based study," *Journal of Labo*ratory and Clinical Medicine., vol. 145, no. 1, pp. 41–46, 2005.
- [11] S. G. Wannamethee, G. D. Lowe, A. Rumley, K. R. Bruckdorfer, and P. H. Whincup, "Associations of vitamin C status, fruit and vegetable intakes, and markers of inflammation and hemostasis," *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 567–574, 2006.
- [12] M. Mazidi, A. P. Kengne, D. P. Mikhailidis, A. F. Cicero, and M. Banach, "Effects of selected dietary constituents on highsensitivity C-reactive protein levels in U.S. adults," *Annals of Medicine*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2017.
- [13] H. Lim, H. J. Lee, R. Choue, and Y. Wang, "Trends in fast-food and sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and their associa-

- tion with social environmental status in South Korea," *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, vol. 118, no. 7, pp. 1228–1236.e1, 2018.
- [14] N. Shivappa, S. E. Steck, T. G. Hurley, J. R. Hussey, and J. R. Hébert, "Designing and developing a literature-derived, population-based dietary inflammatory index," *Public health nutrition*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 1689–1696, 2014.
- [15] N. Shivappa, J. R. Hebert, A. Marcos et al., "Association between dietary inflammatory index and inflammatory markers in the HELENA study," *Molecular nutrition & food research*, vol. 61, no. 6, 2017.
- [16] S. Bodén, M. Wennberg, B. Van Guelpen et al., "Dietary inflammatory index and risk of first myocardial infarction; a prospective population-based study," *Nutrition Journal*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 21, 2017.
- [17] D. Shin, K. W. Lee, L. Brann, N. Shivappa, and J. R. Hébert, "Dietary inflammatory index is positively associated with serum high- sensitivity C-reactive protein in a Korean adult population," *Nutrition*, vol. 63-64, pp. 155–161, 2019.
- [18] H. S. Kim, M. Kwon, H. Y. Lee et al., "Higher proinflammatory dietary score is associated with higher hyperuricemia risk: results from the case-controlled Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study\_Cardiovascular Disease Association Study," *Nutrients*, vol. 11, no. 8, p. 1803, 2019.
- [19] S. Lee, A. L. Quiambao, J. Lee et al., "Dietary inflammatory index and risk of breast cancer based on hormone receptor status: a case-control study in Korea," *Nutrients*, vol. 11, no. 8, p. 1949, 2019.
- [20] N. Shivappa, C. Bosetti, A. Zucchetto et al., "Association between dietary inflammatory index and prostate cancer among Italian men," *The British Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 278–283, 2015.
- [21] K. N. Doughty, N. X. Del Pilar, A. Audette, and D. L. Katz, "Lifestyle medicine and the management of cardiovascular disease," *Current cardiology reports*, vol. 19, no. 11, 2017.
- [22] D. A. Williamson, S. E. Barker, L. J. Bertman, and D. H. Gleaves, "Body image, body dysphoria, and dietary restraint: factor structure in nonclinical subjects," *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 85–93, 1995.
- [23] S. Nakamura, T. Inayama, K. Hata et al., "Association of household income and education with eating behaviors in Japanese adults: a cross-sectional study," *BMC public health*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2015.
- [24] M. McInerney, I. Csizmadi, C. M. Friedenreich et al., "Associations between the neighbourhood food environment, neighbourhood socioeconomic status, and diet quality: an observational study," BMC public health, vol. 16, no. 1, 2016
- [25] E. Golia, G. Limongelli, F. Natale et al., "Inflammation and cardiovascular disease: from pathogenesis to therapeutic target," *Current atherosclerosis reports.*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 435–435, 2014.
- [26] P. Libby, P. M. Ridker, and A. Maseri, "Inflammation and atherosclerosis," *Circulation*, vol. 105, no. 9, pp. 1135–1143, 2002.
- [27] W. Zheng, A. Zhao, Y. Xue et al., "Gender and urbanrural difference in anthropometric indices predicting dyslipidemia in Chinese primary school children: a cross-sectional study," *Lipids in health and disease*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2016.

- [28] M. C. Kander, Y. Cui, and Z. Liu, "Gender difference in oxidative stress: a new look at the mechanisms for cardiovascular diseases," *Journal of cellular and molecular medicine.*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 1024–1032, 2017.
- [29] A. A. Knowlton and A. R. Lee, "Estrogen and the cardiovascular system," *Pharmacology & therapeutics.*, vol. 135, no. 1, pp. 54–70, 2012.