

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Fig. 1. Chest Xray of patient 2 showing lower lobe patchy consolidation consistent with (COVID) pneumonia.

The same systemic review [5] noted that 96 % of COVID-19 women were delivered by CS; however, we suspect that these were likely to be elective procedures for obstetric indications, like the last four women in our series, where COVID-19 had been detected several weeks prior to delivery in relatively stable patients.

We end this letter by reminding clinicians that many pregnant women with COVID-19 present with mild or even no symptoms. In the United Kingdom, we currently do not have the capacity or funds to screen all pregnant women as recommended by Sutton et al. [1] but any recent onset of cough and anosmia warrants a high level of suspicion for screening.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] Sutton D, Fuchs K, D'Alton M, Goffman D. Universal screening for SARS-CoV-2 in women admitted for delivery. N Engl J Med 2020;(April)13, doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1056/NEJMc2009316 [Epub ahead of print].
- [2] Zeng L, Xia S, Yuan W, Yan K, Xiao F, Shao J, et al. Neonatal early-onset infection with SARS-CoV-2 in 33 neonates born to mothers with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Pediatr 2020; (March): 26, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.0878.
- [3] Corona virus infection in pregnancy. Information for healthcare professionals. V8 17/4/. Royal College of Midwives. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists; 2020. https://www.rcog.org.uk/globalassets/documents/guidelines/2020-04-17-coronavirus-covid-19-infection-in-pregnancy.pdf.
- [4] Chen H, Guo J, Wang C, et al. Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. Lancet 2020, doi:http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30360-3.
- [5] Della Gatta AN, Rizzo R, Pilu G, Simonazzi G. COVID19 during pregnancy: a systematic review of reported cases. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2020;17(April), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2020.04.013 pii: S0002-9378(20)30438-5. [Epub ahead of print].

A. Govind^a S. Essien^a A. Karthikeyan^a

A. Fakokunde^a

D. Janga^a W. Yoong^{a,*}

A. Nakhosteen^b

^aDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, North Middlesex University Hospital, London, UK

^bDepartment of Radiology, North Middlesex University Hospital, London, UK

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: waiyoong@nhs.net (W. Yoong).

Received 23 April 2020

Use of 4 robotic arms performing Senhance® robotic surgery may reduce the risk of coronavirus infection to medical professionals during COVID-19



Dear Editor,

Recent guidelines suggest minimizing the staff number participating in an operating theatre during COVID-19 pandemic [1]. It is also recommended that trainees, in particular, should not be involved with cases unnecessarily. To reduce the chances of COVID-19 infection during the hospital stay, patients should be admitted into relatively free COVID-19 hospitals with a strict policy in screening staff. At a minimum checking of temperatures of all staff, entering the hospital and the use of basic surgical mask within the hospital is mandatory. Apart from aerosolizing procedures, which are classed as high-risk situations, the use of simple surgical masks should therefore be encouraged in the hospital when a social distancing of 2 m or more cannot be maintained [2]. The safety and management of surgical smoke in the age of COVID-19 and laparoscopy is an additional source of aerosol airborne pollution generated by pneumoperitoneum [3]. The risk to operating staff for SARS-CoV-2 is likely to be related to

aerosol-generating ventilatory procedures (tracheal intubation, non-invasive ventilation, mask ventilation, head and neck surgery etc.) rather than the abdominal surgical procedure which probably have a negligible risk for operating staff although. The recommendations for protection gains surgical smoke are clearly described in a review by Mowbray et al. [3].

Senhance® robotic platform has been introduced in 2012 and the use of three robotic arms plus one trocar for the assistant for gynaecological surgery is still a standard [4]. The issue of using robotic 4 arms and possible advantages related to it have not been addressed in this type of surgery so far. The use of 4 Senhance® robotic arms has been in details described trying to standardize sigmoid resection for diverticular disease [5], but one of the arms stays at rest during different three steps of surgery, allowing to economy docking and re-docking time, as well avoiding repositioning of robotic arms. In our hospital, Senhance® robotic surgery has been implemented in general and colorectal surgery, gynaecology and urology from November 2019, and our overall experience already exceeds 300 cases.

Of 100 different types of gynaecological operations performed in our hospital to date, 10 were performed using 4 robotic arms (Table 1) with single gynaecologist and a scrub nurse, aiming to avoid the need of assistant during the surgery. One robotic arm was used for traction and in a 'stay' mode ('assistant' arm), while one

Table 1 Types of procedures performed with 4 robotic arms technique.

Type of robotic procedure	Four arms used
Total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophrectomy	6
Bilateral or unilateral salpingo-oophrectomy	2
Total robotic assisted vaginal hysterectomy	2
Total	10

arm holding the telescope and two working arms were in use. The age in this group was 50.5 (age range 39–59), and operating time 117 min (65–175). The collision of robotic arms during 10 operations using 4 robotic arms was seen twice frequently comparing to collision in surgeries, using three arms and a trocar for surgical assistant, and as stated earlier operating time was a not significantly longer in a 4 robotic arm group. None of the 10 operations in a 4 robotic arm group needed conversion to three robotic arm and a trocar for surgical assistant procedure, conventional laparoscopy or open surgery. No other disadvantages of this approach were noted, and different types of gynaecological robotic procedures were able to be performed with one gynaecologist and a scrub nurse. We would suggest using this technique in all COVID-19 positive or suspected patients.

Conclusion

Use of 4 robotic arms performing Senhance[®] robotic surgery may reduce the risk of coronavirus infection to medical professionals during COVID-19 pandemic, as it can be performed with an operating surgeon and a nurse, avoiding a need of surgical assistant being present in an operating room. However, there is lack of evidence of usage of this technique and randomized controlled studies may provide adequate evidence in the future.

Institutional review board approval

Approved.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] Brindle M, Gawande A. Managing COVID-19 in surgical systems. Ann. Surg. 2020, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SLA.000000000003923.
- [2] Stewart Camille L, Thornblade Lucas W, Diamond Don J, Fong Yuman, Melstrom Laleh G. Personal protective equipment and COVID-19 a review for surgeons. Ann Surg 2020, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000003991.
- [3] Mowbray NG, Ansell J, Horwood J, Cornish J, Rizkallah P, Parker A, et al. Safe management of surgical smoke in the age of COVID-19. Br J Surg 2020, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bjs.11679.
- [4] Rumolo V, Rosati A, Tropea A, Biondi A, Scambia G. Senhance robotic platform for gynecologic surgery: a review of literature. Updates Surg 2019;71(3):419–27.
- [5] Darwich I, Stephan D, Klöckner-Lang M, Scheidt M, Friedberg R, et al. A roadmap for robotic-assisted sigmoid resection in diverticular disease using a Senhance TM Surgical Robotic System: results and technical aspects. J Robot Surg 2020;14 (2):27-304

Narimantas Evaldas Samalavicius^{a,b,c}

^aKlaipeda University Hospital, 41 Liepojos str, LT-92288, Klaipeda, Lithuania

^bInstitute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, LT-03101, Vilnius, Lithuania

^cHealth Research and Innovation Science Center, Faculty of Health Sciences, Klaipeda University, Herkaus Manto street 84, Klaipeda, Lithuania

> Raimondas Siaulys Vinsas Janusonis Vita Klimasauskiene

Klaipeda University Hospital, 41 Liepojos str, LT-92288, Klaipeda, Lithuania

Audrius Dulskas^{a,b,c,*} ^aInstitute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, LT-03101, Vilnius, Lithuania

^bDepartment of Abdominal and General Surgery and Oncology, National Cancer Institute, 1 Santariskiu Str., Vilnius, LT–08406, Lithuania

^cUniversity of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Health Care, 45 Didlaukio Str., LT-08303, Vilnius, Lithuania

* Corresponding author at: Department of Surgical Oncology, National Cancer Institute, Vilnius, Lithuania. E-mail address: audrius.dulskas@gmail.com (A. Dulskas).

Received 25 April 2020

A novel methotrexate protocol for the resolution of tubal ectopic pregnancies: Methodological issues on prognostic studies



To the editor

I was interested to read the paper by Leonardi M et al. published in the Apr 2020 issue of Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol [1]. The authors aimed to evaluate if a decreasing human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) between day (D) 1 and D7 is an equal or better predictor of tubal ectopic pregnancy (EP) resolution following methotrexate (MTX) treatment than the current standard of care. They used a retrospective cohort study

of women with a transvaginal ultrasound (TVS)-confirmed tubal EP. After single-dose MTX treatment, D4/7 hCG ratios were compared with that of D1/D7 in terms of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) to predict EP resolution. They reported that a D1/D7 hCG ratio $\leq\!0.85$ predicted successful resolution of tubal EPs (P < 0.001) treated with MTX with sensitivity 0.84, specificity 0.71, PPV 0.84, NPV 0.84, which is comparable to the prognostic performance of the D4/7 protocol.

However, these results are not appropriate estimates for prediction of an outcome. First, it is good to know that the concept and definition of diagnostic accuracy and prediction are completely different. Confusing diagnostic and prediction can easily cause misleading messages. Moreover, depending on the quantitative or