

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Sci Transl Med.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 August 14.

Published in final edited form as:

Sci Transl Med. 2019 August 14; 11(505): . doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaw5680.

Regulatable interleukin-12 gene therapy in patients with recurrent high-grade glioma: Results of a phase 1 trial

E. Antonio Chiocca^{1,2,*}, John S. Yu³, Rimas V. Lukas^{4,5,6}, Isaac H. Solomon⁷, Keith L. Ligon⁷, Hiroshi Nakashima¹, Daniel A. Triggs¹, David A. Reardon², Patrick Wen², Brittany M. Stopa¹, Ajay Naik¹, Jeremy Rudnick³, Jethro L. Hu³, Priya Kumthekar^{4,5}, Bakhtiar Yamini⁶, Jill Y. Buck⁸, Nathan Demars⁸, John A. Barrett⁸, Arnold B. Gelb⁸, John Zhou⁸, Francois Lebel⁸, Laurence J. N. Cooper^{8,9}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

²Center for Neuro-Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

³Department of Neurosurgery, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA 90048, USA.

⁴Ken and Ruth Davee Department of Neurology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL 60611, USA.

⁵Lou and Jean Malnati Brain Tumor Institute of the Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL 60611, USA.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS stm.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/11/505/eaaw5680/DC1

^{*}Corresponding author. eachiocca@bwh.harvard.edu.

Author contributions: E.A.C., J.S.Y., R.V.L., D.A.R., P.W., J.R., J.L.H., P.K., and B.Y. were responsible for the clinical trial at each institution, data acquisition, data analysis, and manuscript drafting. I.H.S., K.L.L., H.N. D.A.T., B.M.S., and A.N. were responsible for data acquisition and patient analyses. J.Y.B., N.D., J.A.B., A.B.G., J.Z., L.J.N.C., and F.L. were responsible for trial sponsorship, data analyses, and manuscript drafting. E.A.C. and F.L. were responsible for final manuscript draft.

Competing interests: J.Y.B., N.D., J.A.B., A.B.G., J.Z., L.J.N.C., and F.L. are employees and own equity interest in Ziopharm Oncology Inc. E.A.C. is currently an advisor to Advantagene Inc., Alcyone Lifesciences, INSIGHTEC Inc., Sigilon Therapeutics, and DNAtrix Inc. and has equity interest in DNAtrix. He has also advised Oncorus, Merck, Tocagen, Stemgen, NanoTx, Ziopharm Oncology, Cerebral Therapeutics, Genenta, Janssen, Karcinolysis, and JI Shanghai Biotech. He has received research support from the NIH, U.S. Department of Defense, American Brain Tumor Association, National Brain Tumor Society, Alliance for Cancer Gene Therapy, Neurosurgery Research & Education Foundation, Advantagene, NewLink Genetics, and Amgen. He is also a named inventor on patents related to oncolytic HSV1. R.V.L. has received NIH funding via NCI Brain Tumor SPORE P50CA221747, has served on the advisory boards for Monteris and Ziopharm, and has received consulting fees from AbbVie, NewLink Genetics, and ReNeuron and travel support from Genentech-Roche to present at a meeting, honoraria for medical editing for MedLink Neurology, honoraria for review of content for medical accuracy for EBSCO Publishing, and honoraria for creating and presenting content for CME board review courses for American Physician Institute. He has received personal fees from AbbVie for internal presentations. D.A.R. reports research support from Acerta Pharmaceuticals, Agenus, Celldex Therapeutics, EMD Serono, Incyte, Midatech Pharma, Omniox, and Tragara Pharmaceuticals. He has also received personal fees from Agenus, Celldex Therapeutics, EMD Serono, AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Genentech-Roche, Inovio Pharmaceuticals, Merck, Merck KGaA, Monteris, Novocure, Oncorus, OxiGENE, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Stemline Therapeutics, and Taiho Oncology Inc. L.J.N.C. reports royalties from City of Hope and MD Anderson Cancer Center; equity interest in Secure Transfusion Services, Ignite Power, Chalice Wealth Partners, CytoSen Therapeutics, Kiadis Pharma, Immatics Biotechnologies, and Targazyme Inc.; and intellectual property led by or licensed to Sangamo Therapeutics, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Ziopharm Oncology Inc., Intrexon Inc. (PCT/US2011/029682, 62/690,552, 62/834,685, and 62/854,771). K.L.L. reports equity in Travera LLC and research support from Deciphera Pharmaceuticals, Tragara Pharmaceuticals, Amgen, Eli Lilly and Company, BMS, and X4 Pharmaceuticals. He also serves on the advisory board for Integragen and is a consultant to BMS and RareCyte Inc. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data associated with this study are present in the paper or the Supplementary Materials. Trial registration number: NCT02026271. Original clinical data are available via J. Buck (Jbuck@ziopharm.com), Ziopharm Oncology Inc., One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, Charlestown, Boston, MA 02129, USA.

⁶University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA.

⁷Division of Neuropathology, Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

⁸Ziopharm Oncology, Inc., One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, Charlestown, Boston, MA 02129, USA.

⁹MD Anderson Cancer Center, University of Texas, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

Abstract

Human interleukin-12 (hIL-12) is a cytokine with anticancer activity, but its systemic application is limited by toxic inflammatory responses. We assessed the safety and biological effects of an hIL-12 gene, transcriptionally regulated by an oral activator. A multicenter phase 1 dose-escalation trial (NCT02026271) treated 31 patients undergoing resection of recurrent high-grade glioma. Resection cavity walls were injected (day 0) with a fixed dose of the hIL-12 vector (Ad-RTShIL-12). The oral activator for hIL-12, veledimex (VDX), was administered preoperatively (assaying blood-brain barrier penetration) and postoperatively (measuring hIL-12 transcriptional regulation). Cohorts received 10 to 40 mg of VDX before and after Ad-RTS-hIL-12. Dose-related increases in VDX, IL-12, and interferon- γ (IFN- γ) were observed in peripheral blood, with about 40% VDX tumor penetration. Frequency and severity of adverse events, including cytokine release syndrome, correlated with VDX dose, reversing promptly upon discontinuation. VDX (20 mg) had superior drug compliance and 12.7 months median overall survival (mOS) at mean follow-up of 13.1 months. Concurrent corticosteroids negatively affected survival: In patients cumulatively receiving >20 mg versus 20 mg of dexamethasone (days 0 to 14), mOS was 6.4 and 16.7 months, respectively, in all patients and 6.4 and 17.8 months, respectively, in the 20-mg VDX cohort. Reresection in five of five patients with suspected recurrence after Ad-RTS-hIL-12 revealed mostly pseudoprogression with increased tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes producing IFN- γ and programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1). These inflammatory infiltrates support an immunological antitumor effect of hIL-12. This phase 1 trial showed acceptable tolerability of regulated hIL-12 with encouraging preliminary results.

INTRODUCTION

Recurrent high-grade glioma (rHGG), also known as grade III or IV astrocytoma or glioblastoma (GBM), is an aggressive brain tumor with poor prognosis, with a median overall survival (mOS) of 6 to 9 months (1, 2). Treatment of rHGG has been limited partly because of incomplete understanding of the tumor microenvironment and immune evasion (3-6). rHGGs are spatially and temporally heterogenous, with diverse cell lineages bearing different mutational profiles that become expanded by chemotherapy and radiation (7-12). The tumor mass includes abnormal vasculature, an acellular structural framework, and an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment consisting of cells found during predominant T helper cell 0 ($T_{\rm HO}$) polarization, such as myeloid-derived and T suppressor cells, with a paucity of natural killer (NK) and cytotoxic T cells that are impaired by checkpoint signaling (13-16). Immune checkpoint inhibitors (iCPIs) may have a therapeutic role in the treatment of rHGG as early-stage clinical trials have recently reported (5, 15, 17, 18).

However, the timing of drug dosing and the ability of iCPIs to sustain antitumor effects as monotherapy for most patients with recurrent GBM remain to be elucidated. Several products (bevacizumab, carmustine wafer, NovoTTF-100A, and lomustine), approved by the Food and Drug Administration, are not curative. Additional therapeutic options include nitrosoureas, temozolomide rechallenge, bevacizumab, administration of targeted biologics, and gross total resection (GTR) of contrast-enhancing area: These provide patients with rHGG with therapeutic options, but more effective therapies for rHGG are still needed (3, 19, 20).

Interleukin-12 (IL-12), a heterodimeric cytokine, enhances natural and adaptive immunity, potently stimulates production of interferon- γ (IFN- γ), and changes the tumor microenvironment from one that contains less differentiated $T_H 0$ cells to one that has more inflammatory T_H1 cells (21-25). IL-12 has been shown to increase CD8⁺ T cell counts, improving survival in the GL-261 mouse glioma model (26, 27). There was interest in the use of recombinant IL-12 in humans with cancer, and clinical trials of systemic IL-12 were undertaken but had to be stopped because the cytokine, administered as a recombinant soluble protein, was poorly tolerated (28-32). With the objective of minimizing systemic toxicity, a ligand-inducible expression switch [RheoSwitch Therapeutic System (RTS)] was developed to locally control production of IL-12 in the tumor microenvironment. In this system, transcription of the IL-12 transgene occurs only in the presence of the activator ligand, veledimex (VDX) (26, 33). In mice, VDX regulates the RTS gene switch in an engineered replication-incompetent adenoviral Ad-RTS-mIL-12 gene therapy vector, resulting in VDX dose-dependent production of mouse IL-12 (mIL-12) in a model of GBM (26, 33). VDX crossed the blood-brain barrier (BBB) in the GL-261 orthotopic model of mouse glioma, with about 50% of the VDX plasma concentration present in the tumor.

Here, we report the results of an open-label, phase 1 dose-escalation study to determine safety and tolerability of variable VDX doses with a fixed intratumoral Ad–RTS–hIL-12 dose in patients undergoing rHGG resection. We show that VDX regulates production of IL-12 gene therapy in rHGG, converting an immunologically "cold" tumor microenvironment to inflamed "hot" due to increased influx of IFN-γ-producing T cells. We present preliminary evidence of encouraging mOS compared to historical controls, which is further improved when use of corticosteroids is minimized.

RESULTS

Accrued patients' characteristics represent a heavily pretreated population

Demographics—Thirty-one patients (mean, 49 years; range, 26 to 74 years) were treated with Ad–RTS–hIL-12 + VDX (Table 1), including 20 (64.5%) with isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH)–wild type GBM, 5 (16.1%) with IDH-mutant GBM, 3 (9.7%) with GBM not otherwise specified, 2 (6.5%) with IDH-mutant astrocytoma, and 1 (3.2%) with IDH–wild type astrocytoma. Twelve (38.7%) had O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (*MGMT*) promoter methylation, which, in tumor cells, correlates with improved survival outcome in newly diagnosed GBM and improved response to temozolomide chemotherapy (34, 35). Eight (25.8%) were unmethylated, and 11 (35.5%) had unknown methylation statuses (statuses are unavailable from historical pathology reports).

Prior therapies—Patients were previously treated with a mean of 2.3 (range, 1 to 5) lines of therapy. Ten (32%) patients had failed to respond to bevacizumab. Eighteen patients (58%) received corticosteroids within 4 weeks of Ad–RTS–hIL-12 + VDX.

Treatment—Eleven patients (35%) underwent GTR based on the first postoperative scan (baseline). VDX (once per day) was started on postoperative day 1 for 14 days. The patient flow (CONSORT) diagram is shown in Fig. 1, with patient accrual at three institutions shown as the number of patients by VDX dosing level. Although no assessment of vector particles in tumor could be performed, the assays of human IL-12 (hIL-12) production shown in Fig. 2 provide evidence that enough vector particles transduced cells in the cavity to express the transgene.

Safety—The primary analysis was to determine the tolerability of escalating VDX doses with administration of a single fixed dose of 2×10^{11} vector particles (vp) of replicationincompetent Ad–RTS–hIL-12 (Fig. 1). To determine a maximum tolerated VDX dose, a starting dose of 20 mg was administered to the first cohort. VDX was next escalated to 40 mg and then deescalated to 30 mg. These two doses were relatively poorly tolerated because of adverse events (AEs), resulting in dose holds and the inability to complete VDX dosing. On the basis of this result, although the protocol-defined maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was not reached because dosing in the 30-mg cohort was held before the development of dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs), 20 mg of VDX was declared the optimal dose for further development in an expansion cohort of eight additional patients. In addition, a cohort using 10 mg of VDX was assessed to determine a minimally effective dose.

Dose-dependent VDX peak-plasma concentrations correlated significantly (P < 0.05) with the dose, with significant differences between 10 and 30 mg of VDX (P < 0.02), 10 and 40 mg of VDX (P < 0.03), and 20 and 40 mg of VDX (P < 0.04) (Fig. 2A). AEs were reported at all VDX dose levels, with higher incidence of drug discontinuation at the higher doses (Table 2). All AEs were reversible after VDX interruption. Central nervous system (CNS) AEs were generally grade 2 and confounded by underlying disease and surgery. Related grade 3 CNS AEs reported included three events of headache and single events of brain edema, confusional state, and aseptic meningitis. The most common related grade 3 AEs were lymphopenia/leukopenia, elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT)/aspartate aminotransferase (AST), neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and hyponatremia. Among the most common AEs, including individual AE components of cytokine release syndrome (CRS), the mean time to reversal (return to baseline or grade 1) upon VDX discontinuation was 4.5, 6.8, 8.8, and 9.1 days for lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, and elevated ALT/AST, respectively. Although normalization of elevated body temperature was the first clinical sign of recovery, timing was confounded by concurrent use of antipyretics (including acetaminophen) and/or corticosteroids and the frequency of temperature recording. Transient flu-like symptoms and signs consistent with CRS occurred with varying severity but without observed grade 4 CRS. Frequency of grade 3 CRS was 0, 12.5, 25, and 50% in the 10-, 20-, 30-, and 40-mg dose groups, respectively, and was reversed upon holding or terminating VDX. In patients with grade 3 CRS, significant increases in serum IFN- γ over baseline (9 ± 6 pg/ml versus 239 ± 62 pg/ml, P< 0.01) and IL-12 (0.6 ± 0.2

Page 5

pg/ml versus 105 ± 33 pg/ml, P < 0.02) were observed. In patients with milder or no CRS, significant increases in serum IFN- γ over baseline (0 ± 0 pg/ml versus 13 ± 6 pg/ml, P < 0.05) and IL-12 (0.6 ± 0.2 pg/ml versus 17 ± 5 pg/ml, p < 0.02) were also observed but to a lesser extent. A comparison of the peak cytokine concentrations in no or milder CRS versus grade 3 CRS showed significant increases in both serum IFN- γ (13 ± 6 pg/ml versus 239 ± 62 pg/ml, P < 0.02) and IL-12 (17 ± 5 pg/ml versus 105 ± 33 pg/ml, P < 0.04) in patients with CRS. In one patient with a grade 3 CRS, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) sampling revealed high concentrations of IL-12 and IFN- γ by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and pleocytosis with elevated NK cell (75% of CSF lymphocytes) and CD3⁺ T cell counts (20%) detected by flow cytometry.

VDX crosses the BBB and regulates transcription of recombinant IL-12, eliciting and sustaining an intratumoral immune response

Dose-dependent increases in plasma and tumor VDX concentrations were observed, with about 40% of VDX plasma concentrations detected in resected rHGG tissue after a single VDX dose (Fig. 2B), consistent with BBB penetration. Sampling before ("off," baseline value before VDX dosing), during ("on," peak value for each patient during the 14 days of VDX administration with samples collected on days 1, 3, 7, and 14), and after VDX ("off," 2 weeks after cessation of VDX administration) revealed an increase in IL-12 and IFN- γ serum concentrations proportional to VDX dose (Fig. 2, C and D), indicating that VDX stimulated IL-12 transcription and subsequent generation of its downstream effector, IFN-y. IL-12 and IFN- γ serum concentrations returned to baseline after VDX discontinuation, consistent with transient regulation of hIL-12 transcription. Three (± 2) hours after VDX administration, the peak IL-12 serum concentration across the four cohorts was 25 to 109 pg/ml, and the peak IFN-γ serum concentration was 15 to 168 pg/ml. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is routinely used to monitor the progression of HGG. In addition, the contrast imaging agent, gadolinium (Gd), is administered intravenously to patients. The agent remains intravascular in the brain because it does not cross the BBB. However, if the BBB is disrupted by tumor, inflammation, or necrosis, then Gd leaks out (36). This uptake of contrast in brain tissue is the accepted surrogate to measure HGG/rHGG and its response to therapy. Because this uptake of Gd can also occur during inflammation or necrosis from previous treatments (such as radiation), this measurement by Gd uptake may also be unrelated to tumor growth. This has been called pseudoprogression to distinguish it from true tumor progression (37-41). Five patients with progressive MRI enhancement underwent another resection 1, 1.5, 4.3, 5.3, and 5.8 months after Ad-RTS-hIL-12 injection. In all five patients, there was evidence of increased inflammatory infiltrates, and immunohistochemistry revealed visible increases in CD8⁺ T cell infiltrates in the tumors (fig. S1). The patient (PT10) who recurred at 1 month could tolerate only six doses of VDX (40 mg), and post-injection tissue showed mostly recurrent tumor, but the other four patients' post-injection excised tissues were more consistent with pseudoprogression. Neuropathologic analysis showed a decrease in vascular proliferation in the patient (PT17) with suspected recurrence at 1.5 months (table S1).

A representative patient (PT37) MRI series is shown in Fig. 3A, including areas with gradual increases in enhancement in both the occipital and parietal needle tracks. The size of

the parietal lesion appeared to increase and then subsequently decrease, consistent with pseudoprogression (Fig. 3A). Re-resected tissue revealed new mixtures of immune cells, interspersed with varying amounts of tumor cells, and reactive changes. Sufficient tissue for multiplexed immunofluorescence of GBMs before and after gene therapy was available for three of five patients (PT37, PT38, and PT39; Fig. 3B). This showed a 17-fold increase in the mean number of CD3⁺ T cells [tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs)] after treatment, as well as increases in CD3⁺CD8⁺ T cells, PD-1⁺ immune cells, and PD-L1–expressing cells (Fig. 3C). In contrast, there was no difference in CD3⁺CD4⁺FoxP3⁺ T regulatory cells or CD56⁺ NK cells by immunohistochemistry before and after IL-12 therapy (Fig. 3C). Intratumoral (localized) IFN- γ was measured with means \pm SEM of 4 \pm 3 pg/g and 226 \pm 138 pg/g before and after gene therapy, respectively (Fig. 3D). Concurrent serum IFN- γ was below the limit of quantification in these three patients whose tumors were re-resected and analyzed after gene therapy. These results suggested that IL-12 gene therapy elicited sustained tumor infiltration of T cells producing IFN- γ , thereby promoting a

Preliminary efficacy analyses suggest encouraging OS

proinflammatory tumor environment.

The 20-mg VDX cohort exhibited a mOS of 12.7 months with 13.1 months of mean followup (Fig. 4A). Survival in the 20-mg cohort at 12, 18, and 24 months was 60, 26.7, and 13.3%, respectively. Survival at 12 months in the 10-, 20-, 30-, and 40-mg cohorts was 0, 60, 0, and 30%, respectively. One patient receiving the 40-mg VDX dose was alive at the time of data cutoff (~30 months) (Fig. 4B). Twenty-one patients elected to pursue additional therapies with a median start time of 2.6 months (minimum, 1 month; maximum, 7.4 months).

Potential prognostic variables for overall survival (OS) were examined using Cox regression (Fig. 5). *P* values and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the estimate of the hazard ratio identified that cumulative dexamethasone exposure to less versus more than 20 mg (P= 0.0321) and male gender (P= 0.0085) had a significant, positive association with OS. IDH (mutated) status at initial diagnosis (P= 0.0607) and prior dexamethasone use (P= 0.0719) had no significant association with OS. Age, functional status, MGMT methylation at initial diagnosis, and extent of tumor resection failed to correlate with OS. Analysis using a multivariate Cox regression model demonstrated that VDX dose (20 mg versus 30 mg, P= 0.0004) and gender (P= 0.0456) remained statistically significant for a positive association with OS, whereas dexamethasone did not show a significant correlation with OS (P= 0.08).

In the overall patient population, concurrent corticosteroids negatively affected survival: In patients cumulatively receiving >20 mg versus 20 mg of dexamethasone (days 0 to 14), mOS was 6.4 months (mean follow-up, 8.0 months) versus 16.7 months (mean follow-up, 16.0 months), respectively (Fig. 6A). In the 20-mg cohort, in patients cumulatively receiving >20 mg versus 20 mg of dexamethasone (days 0 to 14), mOS was 6.4 months (mean follow-up, 9.6 months) versus 17.8 months (mean follow-up, 18.4 months), respectively (Fig. 6B). To examine whether survival could be predicted on the basis of the impact of IL-12 on the immune system, we analyzed the changes in CD8⁺ (cytotoxic) and FoxP3⁺ (regulatory) T cell counts in the peripheral blood of patients on days 14 to 28 after treatment.

When compared to baseline counts, a positive correlation (R = 0.6, P = 0.0071) between patient OS and percentage of change in the CD8⁺/FoxP3⁺ ratio was observed (Fig. 6C), suggesting that a systemic measurement of immune activation may correlate with therapeutic success of local administration of IL-12.

DISCUSSION

Finding effective immunotherapy approaches against rHGG remains challenging because of the highly immunosuppressive nature of the tumor microenvironment (42). IL-12 potently activates several immune effector functions against cancer cells (32). However, trials with recombinant systemic IL-12 administration failed because of intolerable toxicity in humans, mainly because of uncontrolled CRS (29). We hypothesized that a drug-inducible gene therapy approach would minimize toxicity and would be tolerated in humans while preserving the antitumor effects of hIL-12. Here, we have shown in humans that VDX-inducible gene therapy allows for regulatable expression of hIL-12 in rHGG, resulting in IFN- γ generation and increased TILs with tolerable and reversible side effects.

This gene therapy approach could be applied in a variety of cancers to regulate local production of proteins that show low tolerability when delivered systemically. Previously, a dose of 2×10^{11} vp of an adenoviral vector constitutively delivering IFN- β was shown to cause intolerable neurotoxicity (43). In contrast, the main advantage of our study relies on the ability to control and, as necessary, halt cytokine expression using the ligand-inducible gene switch. There have been other gene therapy trials that have used adenoviral vectors to deliver IL-12 for oncologic disease (44-46). These trials did not use ligand-activated transcriptional regulation and were not performed in GBM, where toxicity from continuously uncontrolled production of IL-12 could be more deleterious. The use of a gene therapy vector to deliver IL-12 uses a different mechanism of tumor killing from the ones described in recent clinical trials with oncolytic viruses that have direct cytolytic effects from active viral replication or other gene delivery approaches, such as adenoviral vectors expressing herpes simplex thymidine kinase conferring cytotoxicity to valacyclovir (47-51).

These phase 1 data established that VDX crosses the BBB. We found a positive correlation between VDX dose and serum IL-12, as well as IFN- γ concentrations. VDX modulated the timing, duration, and magnitude of *IL-12* gene expression. Serum IL-12 and IFN- γ reflect local (intratumoral) cytokine production and seepage of IL-12 through the vasculature. Transcriptional control of hIL-12 was tightly regulated by RTS as evidenced by the negligible detection of this cytokine in the blood upon discontinuation of VDX, which is consistent with absence of clinically relevant leakage. The 10-mg VDX cohort showed a slightly higher serum IL-12 concentration than anticipated, but this finding may be explained by the small size of this cohort, which included one patient taking multiple CYP 3A4–interacting medications that likely resulted in increased VDX concentrations that led to IL-12 concentrations higher than 200 pg/ml (33).

Neurologic AEs were generally mild to moderate (grade 2) and potentially confounded by underlying disease and surgery. The frequency and severity of all AEs correlated with VDX dose and reversed promptly upon holding or discontinuing VDX. Compared to the higher

VDX dose cohorts (30 and 40 mg), the 10- and 20-mg cohorts presented less severe CRS and much better tolerability, resulting in higher compliance with treatment. When more severe CRS was observed, it correlated with increased serum IFN- γ concentrations and, to a lesser extent, with serum IL-12. Blood collection timing was possibly suboptimal to unequivocally document C_{max} . These data highlight that production of hIL-12 within the CNS is well tolerated and that systemic side effects can be mitigated using a gene transcriptional "switch."

GBM has been characterized as an immunological "desert" with scant TILs (3, 42). This likely limits the therapeutic potential of iCPIs. When present, resident T cells are profiled as exhausted, highlighting the immunosuppression of HGG (4, 52). These data contrast with our finding of persistent infiltration of T cells in five of five patients, weeks to months after completion of VDX. This interpretation is also supported by the observation that increased numbers of CD3⁺ and CD8⁺ lymphocytes infiltrating the tumor microenvironment may be associated with better clinical outcome in GBM (53, 54). The observation of sustained and markedly increased IFN- γ concentrations in the tumor microenvironment after the peritumoral administration of Ad–RTS–hIL-12 and activation of IL-12 gene expression by VDX is aligned with cytotoxic effector T cell function rather than with T cell anergy or exhaustion. Furthermore, local IFN- γ (presumably generated by activated TILs) in the absence of detectable IFN- γ in peripheral blood is consistent with the absence of AEs after completion of VDX. As a result, intratumoral localization of hIL-12 may allow for a more durable antitumor effect without the substantial systemic toxicity that was historically associated with systemic administration of IL-12. These data contribute to our understanding of IL-12 as a "master regulator" of the immune system and highlight that even the transient production of this cytokine may function as a match to turn tumors from cold to hot. IL-12 has also been reported to have anti-angiogenic effects (55). In one patient (PT17) with suspected recurrence 1.5 months after injection, re-resected tumor showed visual evidence of reduced vascular proliferation when compared to pre-injection tissue. However, this was not evident in the other four patients. PT10, who underwent repeat craniotomy 31 days after injection, could only tolerate six doses of VDX and thus may not have had sufficient IL-12 for angiogenesis. The other three patients were re-resected several months after injection and already had a low number of blood vessels in tumors at baseline. Therefore, IL-12 antiangiogenic effects may not have been easy to detect in these samples.

Of all doses tested, not only did 20 mg have a better safety profile, but also it improved survival, possibly because of superior treatment compliance with VDX. However, there was a higher proportion of IDH-mutant and IDH-unknown tumors in the 20-mg cohort in comparison to other cohorts. We attempted to compare the mOS of this subgroup to historical controls, but there are limitations to this type of analysis. The mOS of 12.7 months with a mean follow-up of 13.1 months appears better than the weighted median from historical controls (8.14 months) (19, 56-67). The survival rates at 6, 9, and 12 months compared favorably to previously reported results (19, 56-67). In contrast, cohorts of 30 and 40 mg revealed shorter mOS, presumably because these patients did not tolerate the VDX treatment. In addition, in the 30-mg cohort, the patients were slightly older (60 versus 46 years), had previously undergone more failed lines of therapy (3.0 versus 2.2), had a higher rate of previous bevacizumab exposure (4 of 4 versus 4 of 15), and received a higher

cumulative dose of steroids during active VDX dosing (106 mg versus 48 mg). Patients who received a cumulative dose of 20 mg of dexamethasone during active VDX dosing had the longest survival (16.7-month mOS in all patients treated, improving to 17.8-month mOS in the 20-mg VDX cohort), possibly because they were less likely to experience steroid immunosuppression and impairment of immune activation. Alternatively, dexamethasone-based induction of CYP 3A4 could have increased the elimination of VDX, thus inhibiting transcriptional activation of IL-12. The apparent deleterious impact of dexamethasone when dosed with VDX highlights that patients with rHGG may benefit from limiting systemic exposure of corticosteroids to maximize the benefit of immunotherapy such as hIL-12.

MRI interpretation is particularly challenging in the rHGG population. Numerous studies have shown that the correlation between increased Gd enhancement and survival is at best limited (68, 69). The reliability of MRI in assessing tumor progression is particularly difficult in patients who have had previous surgery. Varying degrees of tumor reduction have been seen, as well as new and fluctuating lesions, consistent with previously reported imaging with viral vector–based immunotherapy (47, 50). Inflammatory and potentially delayed responses to immunotherapy further compound interpretation of response by MRI even with recent guidelines (68). Our findings with three patients who underwent posttreatment biopsy when suspected of clinical progression revealed a marked immune cell infiltrate and low tumor cell content, consistent with pseudoprogression rather than tumor progressive disease during immunotherapy. With these cautionary statements in mind, measured progression-free survival per immunotherapy Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (iRANO) criterion was 0.9 months (95% CI, 0.4 to 2.0 m).

The impact of tumor resection on postrecurrence survival is difficult to assess, but one prospective, randomized study showed a survival of 23.4 weeks (5.4 months) in patients with more than 75% resection at reoperation (59). A more recent nonrandomized report estimated ranges from 6.5 months for patients with incomplete tumor resection to 11.4 months for patients with GTR and 9.8 months for patients who did not undergo surgery (70). An additional recent study revealed that resection of recurrent rGBM improved mOS from 4.7 to 9.6 months (71).

The observed survival in the 20-mg cohort is encouraging given the number of previous recurrences (11 of 15 patients with two or more), previous bevacizumab failures (4 of 15), concomitant steroid use, lack of exclusion for bulky or multifocal tumors, and GTR in only 35% of patients. The sustained production of IFN- γ , marked CD8⁺ T cell infiltration, and increased expression of programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) and programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) after treatment support an immune basis for the mechanism of action of IL-12 and warrant studies including combination with iCPIs, which are currently in progress (NCT03636477, clinicaltrials.gov). However, the observed survival benefit needs further confirmation given the small number of patients and the absence of a control arm. An alternative to the use of historical controls as a control arm would have been to use comparable cohorts of patients who were treated with standard of care at each participating institution. However, this analysis would also have been limited by the lack of agreement on what constitutes standard of care in the comparand rHGG patient population, by the number

of pretreatments this comparand cohort would have gone through, and by the type of concurrent treatments that this comparand cohort was subjected to. This type of analysis may be easier to do in the newly diagnosed HGG setting, as done in (51). In addition, treatment modalities in phase 1 studies such as this aim to establish safety and tolerability, but because the treatment is delivered during neurosurgical resection, evaluation of side effects is rendered difficult by side effects caused by the surgery itself.

In summary, this phase 1 trial reports the use of a transcriptional switch to safely control dosing of hIL-12, highlighting that this can be accomplished across the BBB to remodel the tumor microenvironment with an influx of activated immune cells. The trial showed encouraging mOS (12.7 months) in patients with rHGG compared to historical controls, which further improved to 17.8 months when dexamethasone use was limited during active dosing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

ATI001-102 (NCT02026271, clinicaltrials.gov) used a standard phase 1 open-label, unblinded, 3 + 3 dose escalation design to evaluate the safety and tolerability of Ad–RTS– hIL-12 (a single intratumoral injection, 2×10^{11} vector particles) with four oral VDX dose levels (10, 20, 30, and 40 mg) in patients with rHGG scheduled for tumor resection (either for a GTR, defined as greater than 90% removal of Gd-enhancing tumor by MRI within 48 hours or subtotal) on day 0. The arm of the trial being presented in the current study required that patients could undergo a surgical resection of tumor, but we have also accrued patients to a second arm in the clinical trial to assess the safety of stereotactic Ad–RTS–hIL-12 injection in tumors that could not be resected. The primary end point was assessment of safety of Ad–RTS–hIL-12 + VDX. Secondary end points included OS, VDX concentration, correlative measures of immune response, overall response rate, and progression-free survival. To obtain a preliminary assessment of efficacy, we used survival results from published literature (see below).

Patients

Institutional review boards approved the study, and informed consent was obtained from patients before enrollment. AEs were evaluated on the basis of National Cancer Institute's Common Terminology Criteria for AEs, version 4.03. Patients were prospectively selected on the basis of age (18 to 75 years), diagnosis of supratentorial, histologically confirmed HGG (World Health Organization grade III or IV) and evidence of recurrence as determined by MRI according to the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) criteria after receiving standard initial therapy (68, 69). Patients were required to have a Karnofsky performance status of 70, adequate bone marrow, liver, and kidney functions, and ability to undergo standard MRI with contrast. Patients and their tumors had to be deemed eligible for a craniotomy for tumor resection.

Patients were excluded if they had radiotherapy within 4 weeks, clinically significant concurrent medical conditions, or uncontrolled seizures. There were no limitations on the

number of previous therapies, previous recurrences, or previous bevacizumab or dexamethasone use. Use of cytochrome P450 system (CYP 3A4)–interacting medications (excluding dexamethasone) was prohibited because CYP 3A4 metabolizes VDX (26, 33).

Ad–RTS–hIL-12 and VDX

Ad–RTS–hIL-12 is a replication-incompetent adenoviral serotype 5 vector encoding the hIL-12 p70 transgene under the control of the RTS gene switch (26, 72). VDX is an orally active small-molecule activator ligand(R)-N'-(3,5-dimethylbenzoyl)-N'-(2,2-dimethylhexan-3-yl)-2-ethyl-3-methoxybenzohydrazide. Data related to the pharmacokinetics of VDX in mice and monkeys have been published (26, 33).

Treatment

About 3 hours before resection, patients received one VDX dose. Peripheral blood was collected at the time of resection (on day 0). Immediately after resection, patients received intraoperative freehand injections of Ad–RTS–hIL-12 to two peritumoral sites for a total volume of 0.1 ml. Injection sites were selected by the neurosurgeon and had to be noncontiguous with the ventricle. The type and mode of injection have been described before (43, 49-51). Briefly, a 25-gauge needle attached to a tuberculin syringe was inserted into the white matter of the resected tumor cavity, and 50 µl was slowly injected over about 30 to 60 s. The needle was slowly retracted. Routine neurosurgical hemostasis was carried out.

Imaging and tumor evaluation

After the resection, baseline MRI was performed within 72 hours after Ad–RTS–hIL-12 administration. Imaging assessments were performed using the RANO/iRANO criteria (68, 69). Tumor response was evaluated radiographically at study sites and through a central reading laboratory using serial MRI scans. Radiographic tumor size was assessed using perpendicular bidimensional measurements per RANO/iRANO criterion (68, 69). Tumor response was assessed at 2 weeks (day 14), 4 weeks (day 28 ± 7 days), 8 weeks (day 56 ± 7 days), and every 8 weeks thereafter for all patients until the occurrence of confirmed tumor progression. There were no reports of ischemia or infarction at the sites of vector injection.

Measurement of VDX

Brain tumor and plasma samples were analyzed for VDX using a liquid chromatographymass spectrometry method (33).

Cytokine analyses

Serum hIL-12 and IFN- γ were measured by ELISA using kits from R&D Systems Inc. [catalog nos. D1200 (IL-12) and DIF50 (IFN- γ)]. IL-12 and IFN- γ in CSF (intraoperative sampling) were measured by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay using a human "V-PLEX" custom kit obtained from Meso Scale Discovery (catalog no. K151A0H-01). All assays were run according to the manufacturers' procedures. Samples were assayed in triplicate.

Tumor immunoprofiling

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor samples were prepared from surgical resection before treatment and biopsies after treatment at the time of suspected progression. A conventional hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained slide was reviewed (NeoGenomics Inc.) to confirm the presence of tumor and at least 500 cells before proceeding with immunofluorescence labeling. A virtual bright-field-type image of the tissue was created similar to a conventional histochemical H&E-stained tissue section, using fluorescence images (73). In this method, nuclear labeling from the 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) channel was assigned a purple pseudocolor to simulate hematoxylin staining, and nonnuclear structural characteristics derived from tissue autofluorescence in the Cy2 (fluorescein isothiocyanate) channel were assigned a pink pseudocolor to simulate eosin counterstaining. Fifteen to thirty regions of interest per slide were then manually selected for analysis by a trained pathologist from the actual serial section being multiplex immunolabeled using this "virtual" H&E stain. Multiplexed immunofluorescence was performed using MultiOmyx technology [MultiOmyx: Multi-Molecular Multiplexing Methodology, NeoGenomics Inc. (74)] to label up to 12 biomarkers on a single slide, including antibodies with specificities for CD3, CD4, CD8, CD20, CD56, CD68, CD45RO, PD-1, PD-L1, CTLA-4, FoxP3, and GFAP (glial fibrillary acidic protein). Each of the six cycles of labeling was performed using a pair of antibodies directly conjugated to either Cy3 or Cy5, followed by imaging using an IN Cell Analyzer 2200 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and then dye inactivation (75, 76). Nucleated cells (25,000 to 80,000) per sample were analyzed with proprietary software (MultiOmyx: Multi-Molecular Multiplexing Methodology, NeoGenomics Inc.), using the DAPI channel to align markers. Exploratory image analysis was performed using proprietary algorithms (MultiOmyx: Multi-Molecular Multiplexing Methodology, NeoGenomics Inc.) to quantify expression of markers, detect and classify cells by immunophenotype, and determine the density of cells per unit area by immunophenotype.

Historical controls

An initial literature search of randomized phase 2, 2/3, or 3 studies for patients with rHGG receiving approved treatments of lomustine, carmustine wafer, bevacizumab, temozolomide, and NovoTTF-100A identified 13 studies with a total of 2339 patients. This dataset was further refined to only include studies using North American and/or European standard of care: bevacizumab or lomustine as monotherapy (9 studies; 10 arms; 698 patients) and was used as the basis for our historical controls (55-66). We calculated a weighted mOS using the following formula

Weighted mOS =
$$\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{w_i}{\text{mOS}_i}\right)}, w_i = ni / \sum_{k=1}^{k} n_i$$

where W = weight and n = sample size.

Statistical analysis

Safety of Ad–RTS–hIL-12 + VDX was determined by AE severity and frequency to decide on DLT and MTD. VDX concentration ratios between brain tumor and plasma and peak cytokine (IL-12 and IFN- γ) concentrations are expressed as means ± SEM. Statistical analysis for VDX and cytokine concentration consisted of a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and when appropriate (when a comparison between specific treatment groups was needed), an unpaired *t* test was performed. Differences were considered significant at *P* < 0.05. Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate mOS and 12-, 18-, and 24-month OS rates. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis were used to determine the effect of selected variables on OS.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

This paper is dedicated to the memory of the patients and their families who participated in this trial. We thank J. W. Ragheb at NeoGenomics Inc. for pathological review of tumor samples. We thank the study participants and their families for participation, as well as the research staff for hard work on the trial, and A. Gwosdow for editorial support.

Funding: The clinical trial and correlative studies were funded by Ziopharm Oncology Inc. and by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant numbers 2P01CA163205 and CA069246-20 to E.A.C.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Kamiya-Matsuoka C, Gilbert MR, Treating recurrent glioblastoma: An update. CNS Oncol. 4, 91– 104 (2015). [PubMed: 25768333]
- Mrugala MM, Advances and challenges in the treatment of glioblastoma: A clinician's perspective. Discov. Med 15, 221–230 (2013). [PubMed: 23636139]
- Reardon DA, Wen PY, Wucherpfennig KW, Sampson JH, Immunomodulation for glioblastoma. Curr. Opin. Neurol 30, 361–369 (2017). [PubMed: 28368867]
- 4. Ricklefs FL, Alayo Q, Krenzlin H, Mahmoud AB, Speranza MC, Nakashima H, Hayes JL, Lee K, Balaj L, Passaro C, Rooj AK, Krasemann S, Carter BS, Chen CC, Steed T, Treiber J, Rodig S, Yang K, Nakano I, Lee H, Weissleder R, Breakefield XO, Godlewski J, Westphal M, Lamszus K, Freeman GJ, Bronisz A, Lawler SE, Chiocca EA, Immune evasion mediated by PD-L1 on glioblastoma-derived extracellular vesicles. Sci. Adv 4, eaar2766 (2018). [PubMed: 29532035]
- Da Ros M, De Gregorio V, Iorio AL, Giunti L, Guidi M, de Martino M, Genitori L, Sardi I, Glioblastoma chemoresistance: The double play by microenvironment and blood-brain barrier. Int. J. Mol. Sci 19, E2879 (2018). [PubMed: 30248992]
- Tomaszewski W, Sanchez-Perez L, Gajewski TF, Sampson JH, Brain tumor microenvironment and host state: Implications for immunotherapy. Clin. Cancer Res 25, 4202–4210 (2019). [PubMed: 30804019]
- Meyer M, Reimand J, Lan X, Head R, Zhu X, Kushida M, Bayani J, Pressey JC, Lionel AC, Clarke ID, Cusimano M, Squire JA, Scherer SW, Bernstein M, Woodin MA, Bader GD, Dirks PB, Single cell-derived clonal analysis of human glioblastoma links functional and genomic heterogeneity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 112, 851–856 (2015). [PubMed: 25561528]
- 8. Nathanson DA, Gini B, Mottahedeh J, Visnyei K, Koga T, Gomez G, Eskin A, Hwang K, Wang J, Masui K, Paucar A, Yang H, Ohashi M, Zhu S, Wykosky J, Reed R, Nelson SF, Cloughesy TF, James CD, Rao PN, Kornblum HI, Heath JR, Cavenee WK, Furnari FB, Mischel PS, Targeted therapy resistance mediated by dynamic regulation of extrachromosomal mutant EGFR DNA. Science 343, 72–76 (2014). [PubMed: 24310612]

- Patel AP, Tirosh I, Trombetta JJ, Shalek AK, Gillespie SM, Wakimoto H, Cahill DP, Nahed BV, Curry WT, Martuza RL, Louis DN, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Suva ML, Regev A, Bernstein BE, Singlecell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. Science 344, 1396– 1401 (2014). [PubMed: 24925914]
- 10. Soeda A, Hara A, Kunisada T, Yoshimura S, Iwama T, Park DM, The evidence of glioblastoma heterogeneity. Sci. Rep 5, 7979 (2015). [PubMed: 25623281]
- Sottoriva A, Spiteri I, Piccirillo SG, Touloumis A, Collins VP, Marioni JC, Curtis C, Watts C, Tavaré S, Intratumor heterogeneity in human glioblastoma reflects cancer evolutionary dynamics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 110, 4009–4014 (2013). [PubMed: 23412337]
- 12. Wang Q, Hu B, Hu X, Kim H, Squatrito M, Scarpace L, deCarvalho AC, Lyu S, Li P, Li Y, Barthel F, Cho HJ, Lin Y-H, Satani N, Martinez-Ledesma E, Zheng S, Chang E, Sauve C-EG, Olar A, Lan ZD, Finocchiaro G, Phillips JJ, Berger MS, Gabrusiewicz KR, Wang G, Eskilsson E, Hu J, Mikkelsen T, DePinho RA, Muller F, Heimberger AB, Sulman EP, Nam D-H, Verhaak RGW, Tumor evolution of glioma-intrinsic gene expression subtypes associates with immunological changes in the microenvironment. Cancer Cell 32, 42–56.e6 (2017). [PubMed: 28697342]
- Nduom EK, Weller M, Heimberger AB, Immunosuppressive mechanisms in glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol. 17 (Suppl. 7), vii9–vii14 (2015). [PubMed: 26516226]
- Wei J, Gabrusiewicz K, Heimberger A, The controversial role of microglia in malignant gliomas. Clin. Dev. Immunol 2013, 285246 (2013). [PubMed: 23983766]
- Johanns TM, Dunn GP, Applied cancer immunogenomics: Leveraging neoantigen discovery in glioblastoma. Cancer J. 23, 125–130 (2017). [PubMed: 28410300]
- Platten M, Ochs K, Lemke D, Opitz C, Wick W, Microenvironmental clues for glioma immunotherapy. Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep 14, 440 (2014). [PubMed: 24604058]
- 17. Cloughesy TF, Mochizuki AY, Orpilla JR, Hugo W, Lee AH, Davidson TB, Wang AC, Ellingson BM, Rytlewski JA, Sanders CM, Kawaguchi ES, Du L, Li G, Yong WH, Gaffey SC, Cohen AL, Mellinghoff IK, Lee EQ, Reardon DA, O'Brien BJ, Butowski NA, Nghiemphu PL, Clarke JL, Arrillaga-Romany IC, Colman H, Kaley TJ, de Groot JF, Liau LM, Wen PY, Prins RM, Neoadjuvant anti-PD-1 immunotherapy promotes a survival benefit with intratumoral and systemic immune responses in recurrent glioblastoma. Nat. Med 25, 477–486 (2019). [PubMed: 30742122]
- Ito H, Nakashima H, Chiocca EA, Molecular responses to immune checkpoint blockade in glioblastoma. Nat. Med 25, 359–361 (2019). [PubMed: 30842671]
- Chiocca EA, Nassiri F, Wang J, Peruzzi P, Zadeh G, Viral and other therapies for recurrent glioblastoma: Is a 24-month durable response unusual? Neuro Oncol. 21, 14–25 (2019). [PubMed: 30346600]
- Omuro A, DeAngelis LM, Glioblastoma and other malignant gliomas: A clinical review. JAMA 310, 1842–1850 (2013). [PubMed: 24193082]
- 21. Lasek W, Zago d on R, Jakobisiak M, Interleukin 12: Still a promising candidate for tumor immunotherapy? Cancer Immunol. Immunother 63, 419–435 (2014). [PubMed: 24514955]
- 22. Lotze MT, Interleukin 12: Cellular and molecular immunology of an important regulatory cytokine. Introduction. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci 795, xiii–xix (1996).
- 23. Trinchieri G, Interleukin-12 and the regulation of innate resistance and adaptive immunity. Nat. Rev. Immunol 3, 133–146 (2003). [PubMed: 12563297]
- Curtsinger JM, Johnson CM, Mescher MF, CD8 T cell clonal expansion and development of effector function require prolonged exposure to antigen, costimulation, and signal 3 cytokine. J. Immunol 171, 5165–5171 (2003). [PubMed: 14607916]
- 25. Micallef MJ, Ohtsuki T, Kohno K, Tanabe F, Ushio S, Namba M, Tanimoto T, Torigoe K, Fujii M, Ikeda M, Fukuda S, Kurimoto M, Interferon-γ-inducing factor enhances T helper 1 cytokine production by stimulated human T cells: Synergism with interleukin-12 for interferon-γ production. Eur. J. Immunol 26, 1647–1651 (1996). [PubMed: 8766574]
- 26. Barrett JA, Cai H, Miao J, Khare PD, Gonzalez P, Dalsing-Hernandez J, Sharma G, Chan T, Cooper LJN, Lebel F, Regulated intratumoral expression of IL-12 using a RheoSwitch Therapeutic System (RTS) gene switch as gene therapy for the treatment of glioma. Cancer Gene Ther. 25, 106–116 (2018). [PubMed: 29755109]

- Vom Berg J, Vrohlings M, Haller S, Haimovici A, Kulig P, Sledzinska A, Weller M, Becher B, Intratumoral IL-12 combined with CTLA-4 blockade elicits T cell-mediated glioma rejection. J. Exp. Med 210, 2803–2811 (2013). [PubMed: 24277150]
- Leonard JP, Sherman ML, Fisher GL, Buchanan LJ, Larsen G, Atkins MB, Sosman JA, Dutcher JP, Vogelzang NJ, Ryan JL, Effects of single-dose interleukin-12 exposure on interleukin-12associated toxicity and interferon-γ production. Blood 90, 2541–2548 (1997). [PubMed: 9326219]
- 29. Car BD, Eng VM, Lipman JM, Anderson TD, The toxicology of interleukin-12: A review. Toxicol. Pathol 27, 58–63 (1999). [PubMed: 10367675]
- 30. Zhang L, Morgan RA, Beane JD, Zheng Z, Dudley ME, Kassim SH, Nahvi AV, Ngo LT, Sherry RM, Phan GQ, Hughes MS, Kammula US, Feldman SA, Toomey MA, Kerkar SP, Restifo NP, Yang JC, Rosenberg SA, Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes genetically engineered with an inducible gene encoding interleukin-12 for the immunotherapy of metastatic melanoma. Clin. Cancer Res 21, 2278–2288 (2015). [PubMed: 25695689]
- 31. Atkins MB, Robertson MJ, Gordon M, Lotze MT, DeCoste M, DuBois JS, Ritz J, Sandler AB, Edington HD, Garzone PD, Mier JW, Canning CM, Battiato L, Tahara H, Sherman ML, Phase I evaluation of intravenous recombinant human interleukin 12 in patients with advanced malignancies. Clin. Cancer Res 3, 409–417 (1997). [PubMed: 9815699]
- 32. Rodolfo M, Colombo MP, Interleukin-12 as an adjuvant for cancer immunotherapy. Methods 19, 114–120 (1999). [PubMed: 10525447]
- 33. Cai H, Sun L, Miao J, Krishman S, Lebel F, Barrett JA, Plasma pharmacokinetics of veledimex, a small-molecule activator ligand for a proprietary gene therapy promoter system, in healthy subjects. Clin Pharmacol. Drug Dev 6, 246–257 (2017). [PubMed: 27364771]
- 34. Hegi ME, Diserens A-C, Gorlia T, Hamou M-F, de Tribolet N, Weller M, Kros JM, Hainfellner JA, Mason W, Mariani L, Bromberg JEC, Hau P, Mirimanoff RO, Cairncross JG, Janzer RC, Stupp R, MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. N. Engl. J. Med 352, 997– 1003 (2005). [PubMed: 15758010]
- Rollinger JM, Ewelt J, Seger C, Sturm S, Ellmerer EP, Stuppner H, New insights into the acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of *Lycopodium clavatum*. Planta Med. 71, 1040–1043 (2005). [PubMed: 16320206]
- Wilms G, Marchal G, Demaerel PH, Van Hecke P, Baert AL, Gadolinium-enhanced MRI of intracranial lesions. A review of indications and results. Clin. Imaging 15, 153–165 (1991). [PubMed: 1933642]
- Booth TC, Ashkan K, Brazil L, Jager R, Waldman AD, Re: Tumour progression or pseudoprogression? A review of post-treatment radiological appearances of glioblastoma. Clin. Radiol 71, 495–496 (2016). [PubMed: 26896081]
- Brandsma D, Stalpers L, Taal W, Sminia P, van den Bent MJ, Clinical features, mechanisms, and management of pseudoprogression in malignant gliomas. Lancet Oncol. 9, 453–461 (2008). [PubMed: 18452856]
- Brandsma D, van den Bent MJ, Pseudoprogression and pseudoresponse in the treatment of gliomas. Curr. Opin. Neurol 22, 633–638 (2009). [PubMed: 19770760]
- 40. Ellingson BM, Chung C, Pope WB, Boxerman JL, Kaufmann TJ, Pseudoprogression, radionecrosis, inflammation or true tumor progression? challenges associated with glioblastoma response assessment in an evolving therapeutic landscape. J. Neurooncol 134, 495–504 (2017). [PubMed: 28382534]
- Galldiks N, Kocher M, Langen K-J, Pseudoprogression after glioma therapy: An update. Expert Rev. Neurother 17, 1109–1115 (2017). [PubMed: 28862482]
- 42. Reardon DA, Wucherpfennig K, Chiocca EA, Immunotherapy for glioblastoma: On the sidelines or in the game? Discov. Med 24, 201–208 (2017). [PubMed: 29278673]
- 43. Chiocca EA, Smith KM, McKinney B, Palmer CA, Rosenfeld S, Lillehei K, Hamilton A, DeMasters BK, Judy K, Kirn D, A phase I trial of Ad.hIFN-β gene therapy for glioma. Mol. Ther 16, 618–626 (2008).
- 44. Sangro B, Mazzolini G, Ruiz J, Herraiz M, Quiroga J, Herrero I, Benito A, Larrache J, Pueyo J, Subtil JC, Olagüe C, Sola J, Sádaba B, Lacasa C, Melero I, Qian C, Prieto J, Phase I trial of

intratumoral injection of an adenovirus encoding interleukin-12 for advanced digestive tumors. J. Clin. Oncol 22, 1389–1397 (2004). [PubMed: 15084613]

- 45. Mazzolini G, Alfaro C, Sangro B, Feijoó E, Ruiz J, Benito A, Tirapu I, Arina A, Sola J, Herraiz M, Lucena F, Olague C, Subtil J, Quiroga J, Herrero I, Sadaba B, Bendandi M, Qian C, Prieto J, Melero I, Intratumoral injection of dendritic cells engineered to secrete interleukin-12 by recombinant adenovirus in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal carcinomas. J. Clin. Oncol 23, 999–1010 (2005). [PubMed: 15598979]
- 46. Rosewell Shaw A, Porter CE, Watanabe N, Tanoue K, Sikora A, Gottschalk S, Brenner MK, Suzuki M, Adenovirotherapy delivering cytokine and checkpoint inhibitor augments CAR T cells against metastatic head and neck cancer. Mol. Ther 25, 2440–2451 (2017). [PubMed: 28974431]
- 47. Lang FF, Conrad C, Gomez-Manzano C, Yung WKA, Sawaya R, Weinberg JS, Prabhu SS, Rao G, Fuller GN, Aldape KD, Gumin J, Vence LM, Wistuba I, Rodriguez-Canales J, Villalobos PA, Dirven CMF, Tejada S, Valle RD, Alonso MM, Ewald B, Peterkin JJ, Tufaro F, Fueyo J, Phase I study of DNX-2401 (Delta-24-RGD) oncolytic adenovirus: Replication and immunotherapeutic effects in recurrent malignant glioma. J. Clin. Oncol 36, 1419–1427 (2018). [PubMed: 29432077]
- Desjardins A, Gromeier M, Herndon II JE, Beaubier N, Bolognesi DP, Friedman AH, Friedman HS, McSherry F, Muscat AM, Nair S, Peters KB, Randazzo D, Sampson JH, Vlahovic G, Harrison WT, McLendon RE, Ashley D, Bigner DD, Recurrent glioblastoma treated with recombinant poliovirus. N. Engl. J. Med 379, 150–161 (2018). [PubMed: 29943666]
- 49. Cloughesy TF, Landolfi J, Hogan DJ, Bloomfield S, Carter B, Chen CC, Elder JB, Kalkanis SN, Kesari S, Lai A, Lee IY, Liau LM, Mikkelsen T, Nghiemphu PL, Piccioni D, Walbert T, Chu A, Das A, Diago OR, Gammon D, Gruber HE, Hanna M, Jolly DJ, Kasahara N, McCarthy D, Mitchell L, Ostertag D, Robbins JM, Rodriguez-Aguirre M, Vogelbaum MA, Phase 1 trial of vocimagene amiretrorepvec and 5-fluorocytosine for recurrent high-grade glioma. Sci. Transl. Med 8, 341ra75 (2016).
- 50. Chiocca EA, Abbed KM, Tatter S, Louis DN, Hochberg FH, Barker F, Kracher J, Grossman SA, Fisher JD, Carson K, Rosenblum M, Mikkelsen T, Olson J, Markert J, Rosenfeld S, Nabors LB, Brem S, Phuphanich S, Freeman S, Kaplan R, Zwiebel J, A phase I open-label, dose-escalation, multi-institutional trial of injection with an *E1B*-Attenuated adenovirus, ONYX-015, into the peritumoral region of recurrent malignant gliomas, in the adjuvant setting. Mol. Ther 10, 958–966 (2004). [PubMed: 15509513]
- 51. Wheeler LA, Manzanera AG, Bell SD, Cavaliere R, McGregor JM, Grecula JC, Newton HB, Lo SS, Badie B, Portnow J, Teh BS, Trask TW, Baskin DS, New PZ, Aguilar LK, Aguilar-Cordova E, Chiocca EA, Phase II multicenter study of gene-mediated cytotoxic immunotherapy as adjuvant to surgical resection for newly diagnosed malignant glioma. Neuro Oncol. 18, 1137–1145 (2016). [PubMed: 26843484]
- Woroniecka KI, Rhodin KE, Chongsathidkiet P, Keith KA, Fecci PE, T-cell dysfunction in glioblastoma: Applying a new framework. Clin. Cancer Res 24, 3792–3802 (2018). [PubMed: 29593027]
- 53. Kmiecik J, Poli A, Brons NHC, Waha A, Eide GE, Enger PØ, Zimmer J, Chekenya M, Elevated CD3⁺ and CD8⁺ tumor-infiltrating immune cells correlate with prolonged survival in glioblastoma patients despite integrated immunosuppressive mechanisms in the tumor microenvironment and at the systemic level. J. Neuroimmunol 264, 71–83 (2013). [PubMed: 24045166]
- 54. Keskin DB, Anandappa AJ, Sun J, Tirosh I, Mathewson ND, Li S, Oliveira G, Giobbie-Hurder A, Felt K, Gjini E, Shukla SA, Hu Z, Li L, Le PM, Allesøe RL, Richman AR, Kowalczyk MS, Abdelrahman S, Geduldig JE, Charbonneau S, Pelton K, Iorgulescu JB, Elagina L, Zhang W, Olive O, McCluskey C, Olsen LR, Stevens J, Lane WJ, Salazar AM, Daley H, Wen PY, Chiocca EA, Harden M, Lennon NJ, Gabriel S, Getz G, Lander ES, Regev A, Ritz J, Neuberg D, Rodig SJ, Ligon KL, Suva ML, Wucherpfennig KW, Hacohen N, Fritsch EF, Livak KJ, Ott PA, Wu CJ, Reardon DA, Neoantigen vaccine generates intratumoral T cell responses in phase Ib glioblastoma trial. Nature 565, 234–239 (2019). [PubMed: 30568305]
- 55. Siddiqui F, Ehrhart EJ, Charles B, Chubb L, Li C-Y, Zhang X, Larue SM, Avery PR, Dewhirst MW, Ullrich RL, Anti-angiogenic effects of interleukin-12 delivered by a novel hyperthermia induced gene construct. Int. J. Hyperthermia 22, 587–606 (2006). [PubMed: 17079216]

- 56. Batchelor TT, Mulholland P, Neyns B, Nabors LB, Campone M, Wick A, Mason W, Mikkelsen T, Phuphanich S, Ashby LS, Degroot J, Gattamaneni R, Cher L, Rosenthal M, Payer F, Jurgensmeier JM, Jain RK, Sorensen AG, Xu J, Liu Q, van den Bent M, Phase III randomized trial comparing the efficacy of cediranib as monotherapy, and in combination with lomustine, versus lomustine alone in patients with recurrent glioblastoma. J. Clin. Oncol 31, 3212–3218 (2013). [PubMed: 23940216]
- 57. Brandes AA, Carpentier AF, Kesari S, Sepulveda-Sanchez JM, Wheeler HR, Chinot O, Cher L, Steinbach JP, Capper D, Specenier P, Rodon J, Cleverly A, Smith C, Gueorguieva I, Miles C, Guba SC, Desaiah D, Lahn MM, Wick W, A phase II randomized study of galunisertib monotherapy or galunisertib plus lomustine compared with lomustine monotherapy in patients with recurrent glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol. 18, 1146–1156 (2016). [PubMed: 26902851]
- 58. Brandes AA, Finocchiaro G, Zagonel V, Reni M, Caserta C, Fabi A, Clavarezza M, Maiello E, Eoli M, Lombardi G, Monteforte M, Proietti E, Agati R, Eusebi V, Franceschi E, AVAREG: A phase II, randomized, noncomparative study of fotemustine or bevacizumab for patients with recurrent glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol. 18, 1304–1312 (2016). [PubMed: 26951379]
- 59. Brem H, Piantadosi S, Burger PC, Walker M, Selker R, Vick NA, Black K, Sisti M, Brem S, Mohr G, Muller P, Morawetz R, Schold SC; The Polymer-brain Tumor Treatment Group, Placebo-controlled trial of safety and efficacy of intraoperative controlled delivery by biodegradable polymers of chemotherapy for recurrent gliomas. Lancet 345, 1008–1012 (1995). [PubMed: 7723496]
- 60. Chamberlain MC, Johnston SK, Salvage therapy with single agent bevacizumab for recurrent glioblastoma. J. Neurooncol 96, 259–269 (2010). [PubMed: 19593660]
- 61. Field KM, Simes J, Nowak AK, Cher L, Wheeler H, Hovey EJ, Brown CS, Barnes EH, Sawkins K, Livingstone A, Freilich R, Phal PM, Fitt G; CABARET COGNO investigators, Rosenthal MA, Randomized phase 2 study of carboplatin and bevacizumab in recurrent glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol. 17, 1504–1513 (2015). [PubMed: 26130744]
- Friedman HS, Prados MD, Wen PY, Mikkelsen T, Schiff D, Abrey LE, Yung WK, Paleologos N, Nicholas MK, Jensen R, Vredenburgh J, Huang J, Zheng M, Cloughesy T, Bevacizumab alone and in combination with irinotecan in recurrent glioblastoma. J. Clin. Oncol 27, 4733–4740 (2009). [PubMed: 19720927]
- 63. Kreisl TN, Kim L, Moore K, Duic P, Royce C, Stroud I, Garren N, Mackey M, Butman JA, Camphausen K, Park J, Albert PS, Fine HA, Phase II trial of single-agent bevacizumab followed by bevacizumab plus irinotecan at tumor progression in recurrent glioblastoma. J. Clin. Oncol 27, 740–745 (2009). [PubMed: 19114704]
- 64. Stupp R, Wong ET, Kanner AA, Steinberg D, Engelhard H, Heidecke V, Kirson ED, Taillibert S, Liebermann F, Dbaly V, Ram Z, Villano JL, Rainov N, Weinberg U, Schiff D, Kunschner L, Raizer J, Honnorat J, Sloan A, Malkin M, Landolfi JC, Payer F, Mehdorn M, Weil RJ, Pannullo SC, Westphal M, Smrcka M, Chin L, Kostron H, Hofer S, Bruce J, Cosgrove R, Paleologous N, Palti Y, Gutin PH, NovoTTF-100A versus physician's choice chemotherapy in recurrent glioblastoma: A randomised phase III trial of a novel treatment modality. Eur. J. Cancer 48, 2192–2202 (2012). [PubMed: 22608262]
- 65. Taal W, Oosterkamp HM, Walenkamp AME, Dubbink HJ, Beerepoot LV, Hanse MCJ, Buter J, Honkoop AH, Boerman D, de Vos FYF, Dinjens WNM, Enting RH, Taphoorn MJB, van den Berkmortel FWPJ, Jansen RLH, Brandsma D, Bromberg JEC, van Heuvel I, Vernhout RM, van der Holt B, van den Bent MJ, Single-agent bevacizumab or lomustine versus a combination of bevacizumab plus lomustine in patients with recurrent glioblastoma (BELOB trial): A randomised controlled phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 15, 943–953 (2014). [PubMed: 25035291]
- 66. Wick W, Gorlia T, Bendszus M, Taphoorn M, Sahm F, Harting I, Brandes AA, Taal W, Domont J, Idbaih A, Campone M, Clement PM, Stupp R, Fabbro M, Le Rhun E, Dubois F, Weller M, von Deimling A, Golfinopoulos V, Bromberg JC, Platten M, Klein M, van den Bent MJ, Lomustine and bevacizumab in progressive glioblastoma. N. Engl. J. Med 377, 1954–1963 (2017). [PubMed: 29141164]
- 67. Wick W, Puduvalli VK, Chamberlain MC, van den Bent MJ, Carpentier AF, Cher LM, Mason W, Weller M, Hong S, Musib L, Liepa AM, Thornton DE, Fine HA, Phase III study of enzastaurin

compared with lomustine in the treatment of recurrent intracranial glioblastoma. J. Clin. Oncol 28, 1168–1174 (2010). [PubMed: 20124186]

- 68. Okada H, Weller M, Huang R, Finocchiaro G, Gilbert MR, Wick W, Ellingson BM, Hashimoto N, Pollack IF, Brandes AA, Franceschi E, Herold-Mende C, Nayak L, Panigrahy A, Pope WB, Prins R, Sampson JH, Wen PY, Reardon DA, Immunotherapy response assessment in neuro-oncology: A report of the RANO working group. Lancet Oncol. 16, e534–e542 (2015). [PubMed: 26545842]
- 69. Wen PY, Macdonald DR, Reardon DA, Cloughesy TF, Sorensen AG, Galanis E, Degroot J, Wick W, Gilbert MR, Lassman AB, Tsien C, Mikkelsen T, Wong ET, Chamberlain MC, Stupp R, Lamborn KR, Vogelbaum MA, van den Bent MJ, Chang SM, Updated response assessment criteria for high-grade gliomas: Response assessment in neuro-oncology working group. J. Clin. Oncol 28, 1963–1972 (2010). [PubMed: 20231676]
- 70. Suchorska B, Weller M, Tabatabai G, Senft C, Hau P, Sabel MC, Herrlinger U, Ketter R, Schlegel U, Marosi C, Reifenberger G, Wick W, Tonn JC, Wirsching H-G, Complete resection of contrast-enhancing tumor volume is associated with improved survival in recurrent glioblastoma—Results from the DIRECTOR trial. Neuro Oncol. 18, 549–556 (2016). [PubMed: 26823503]
- Wann A, Tully PA, Barnes EH, Lwin Z, Jeffree R, Drummond KJ, Gan H, Khasraw M, Outcomes after second surgery for recurrent glioblastoma: A retrospective case-control study. J. Neurooncol 137, 409–415 (2018). [PubMed: 29294233]
- Komita H, Zhao X, Katakam AK, Kumar P, Kawabe M, Okada H, Braughler JM, Storkus WJ, Conditional interleukin-12 gene therapy promotes safe and effective antitumor immunity. Cancer Gene Ther. 16, 883–891 (2009). [PubMed: 19444303]
- 73. Kenny K, U.S. Patent 8,639,013, (2014).
- 74. Nagy ML, Hanifi A, Tirupsur A, Wong G, Fang J, Hoe N, Au Q, Padmanabhan RK, Efficient large-scale cell classification and analysis for MultiOmyx[™] assays: A deep learning approach. Cancer Res. 78, 2256 (2018).
- 75. Gerdes MJ, Sevinsky CJ, Sood A, Adak S, Bello MO, Bordwell A, Can A, Corwin A, Dinn S, Filkins RJ, Hollman D, Kamath V, Kaanumalle S, Kenny K, Larsen M, Lazare M, Li Q, Lowes C, McCulloch CC, McDonough E, Montalto MC, Pang Z, Rittscher J, Santamaria-Pang A, Sarachan BD, Seel ML, Seppo A, Shaikh K, Sui Y, Zhang J, Ginty F, Highly multiplexed single-cell analysis of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded cancer tissue. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 110, 11982– 11987 (2013). [PubMed: 23818604]
- 76. Sood A, Miller AM, Brogi E, Sui Y, Armenia J, McDonough E, Santamaria-Pang A, Carlin S, Stamper A, Campos C, Pang Z, Li Q, Port E, Graeber TG, Schultz N, Ginty F, Larson SM, Mellinghoff IK, Multiplexed immunofluorescence delineates proteomic cancer cell states associated with metabolism. JCI Insight 1, 87030 (2016). [PubMed: 27182557]

Author Manuscript



Fig. 1. Study CONSORT diagram.

Patient accrual at four institutions shown as the number of patients treated at doses of 10, 20, 30, and 40 mg of VDX. The percentage of compliance represents the number of days that the VDX was orally administered versus the total number of days that each patient was expected to take the drug over 14 days. SAE, serious adverse event; QD, once a day.





(A) Peak plasma concentrations of VDX at each drug dosage. Each symbol represents plasma from a single patient. (B) VDX in plasma and intratumorally at the time of surgical resection. VDX was administered to each patient about 3 hours before the start of the craniotomy. Serum and tumor obtained at the time of resection were assayed for VDX concentrations. (C) IL-12 in peripheral blood before, during, and after VDX dosing. (D) IFN- γ in peripheral blood before, during, and after VDX dosing. **P* < 0.05.



Fig. 3. Radiologic and immunologic analyses of tumors after treatment.

(A) Three patients with suspected progression after treatment underwent re-resection of contrast-enhancing suspected tumor. The MRI images shown are from one patient who had a right occipital recurrent GBM resected. The MRI scans from 1 day after surgery (baseline) and from weeks 4, 8, and 24 are shown. The injections were given in an area of the occipital lobe and one area more superior toward the parietal lobe. Red and yellow arrows show areas with changes in enhancement in the occipital and parietal needle tracks. (B) Left panels: GBM from the patient shown in (A) at the time of resection before injection of Ad–RTS– hIL-12 [shown in the top panel at 20× magnification (scale bar, 100 μ m) and in the bottom panel at 100× magnification (scale bar, 50 μ m)]. Right panels: GBM from the same patient 175 days after treatment (at the time of suspected pseudoprogression). Resected material

from the occipital lesion was analyzed by immunofluorescence histochemistry for expression of CD3⁺ (yellow), CD8⁺ (red), CD3⁺CD8⁺ (orange), PD-1⁺ (green), PD-L1⁺ (cyan), and GFAP (white) [shown in the top panel at 20× magnification (scale bar, 100 μ m) and in the bottom panel at 100× magnification (scale bar, 50 μ m)]. (C and D) Quantitative analyses of baseline and posttreatment expression of immunologic markers in tumors for the three patients undergoing re-resection after injection. (C) Counts of CD3⁺, CD3⁺CD8⁺, PD-1⁺-, CD3⁺CD4⁺FoxP3⁺-, CD56⁺-, and PD-L1⁺–expressing cells per square millimeter of tumor. (D) IFN- γ in the three GBMs before and after treatment.



Fig. 4. Analyses of treatment efficacy.

(A) Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival (OS) for the 20-mg (blue line) versus combined 10-, 30-, and 40-mg cohorts (green line). The + censored label refers to the single patient alive at time of data cutoff. (B) Survival swimmer plot. The *x* axis lists survival time in months, with each patient number on the *y* axis. Blue and green colors represent patients who received 20 mg or less or more than 20 mg of cumulative dexamethasone, respectively, during days 0 to 14 of VDX treatment. The 10-, 20-, 30-, and 40-mg V designations at the end of each bar represent the dose of VDX that each patient received. Patients on steroids at entry, timing of progressive disease, and other therapy events are listed. The median OS was 12.7 months.

Subgroup (n/N) vs. (n/N.)	Hazard ratio	P value	HR (95% CI)
Age >55 (12/12) vs. ≤55 (18/19)	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	0.3803	1.40 (0.66-2.97)
Gender Male (18/19) vs. female (12/12)	I← -	0.0085	0.31 (0.13-0.74)
MGMT Methylated (10/11) vs. unmethylated (6/6)	⊢ • <u>−</u> −1	0.4562	0.66 (0.23–1.95)
IDH Mutated (6/7) vs. wild type (21/21)	I → -I	0.0607	0.38 (0.13-1.04)
KPS ≥90 (17/18) vs. ≥70/<90 (13/13)	⊢ • <u>−</u> -1	0.3122	0.68 (0.32–1.44)
Surgery Gross total (13/13) vs. subtotal (17/18)	⊢ • -1	0.1308	0.55 (0.25–1.19)
Prior dexamethasone use Y (14/14) vs. N (14/14)	↓ ↓	0.0719	2.12 (0.94-4.82)
Dexamethasone use during treatment ≤20 (9/10) vs. >20/≤100 (12/12) ≤20 (9/10) vs. >100 (9/9) ≤20 (9/10) vs. >20 (21/21)	++ ≠- +	0.1920 0.0029 0.0321	0.55 (0.22–1.35) 0.13 (0.03–0.50) 0.41 (0.18–0.93)
VDX dose 20 mg (15/15) vs. 10 mg (6/6) 20 mg (15/15) vs. 30 mg (4/4) 20 mg (15/15) vs. 40 mg (5/6) 20 mg (15/15) vs. 10/30/40 mg (15/16)		0.0655 0.0006 0.8689 0.1503	0.37 (0.13–1.07) 0.09 (0.02–0.35) 1.09 (0.39–3.08) 0.58 (0.27–1.22)
Univariate analysis	P val	ie Ha	azard ratio (95% CI)

Univariate analysis		P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Day 0–14 dexamethasone use (continuous)		0.0061	1.012 (1.003–1.020)
Baseline CD8/FoxP3 ratio (continuous)		0.0757	1.004 (1.000–1.008)
Maximum % change in CD8/FoxP3 ratio (Day 0 to days 14–28) (continuous)		0.0402	0.996 (0.991–1.000)
Multivariate analysis		P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Day 0–14 dexamethasone use (continuous)		0.0800	1.008 (0.999–1.017)
Gender	Male vs. female	0.0456	0.391 (0.156–0.982)
VDX dose	20 mg vs. 10 mg	0.0884	0.396 (0.136–1.149)
VDX dose	20 mg vs. 30 mg	0.0004	0.074 (0.017–0.316)
VDX dose	20 mg vs. 40 mg	0.9844	0.990 (0.344–2.844)

Fig. 5. Forest plots of prognostic factors of subgroups examined for OS.

HR, hazard ratio.

Author Manuscript



Fig. 6. Additional efficacy analyses.

(**A** and **B**) Kaplan-Meier survival curves based on cumulative dexamethasone dosage (red line, 20 mg of dexamethasone; black line, >20 mg of dexamethasone) for patients treated with (A) 10, 20, 30, or 40 mg of VDX (days 0 to 14) or (B) 20 mg of VDX (days 0 to 14). (**C**) Correlation of survival with peripheral blood CD8⁺ (cytotoxic T cell)/FOXP3⁺ [regulatory T cell (T_{reg})] ratio at 14 to 28 days after viral injection. Triangles represent deceased patients, and square represents an alive patient (P= 0.0071, R = 0.6).

Table 1.

Patient characteristics. vp, vector particles; NOS, not otherwise specified; KPS, Karnofsky performance score; LFTs, liver function tests; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; IDH, isocitrate dehydrogenase; MGMT, methylguanine methyltransferase.

		AA DTC hu	(and Hot > 0) (1-1)	
	$\begin{array}{l} 10\text{-mg VDX} \\ n=6 \end{array}$	$20 - \max VDX$ $n = 15$	$30-\max VDX$ $n=4$	$\begin{array}{l} 40\text{-mg VDX} \\ n=6 \end{array}$
Age (years): mean (min-max)	49 (29–61)	46 (26–68)	60 (43–74)	48 (36–58)
Gender (male:female)	3: 3	10: 5	2: 2	4: 2
Recurrence				
First	2	4	1	7
Second	4	S	2	2
Third or more	0	9	1	2
Prior lines of treatment (mean)	2.0	2.2	3.0	2.5
Prior bevacizumab				
Yes	1	4	4	1
No	5	11	0	5
Disease at study entry				
IDH-wild type GBM	4	×	ю	5
IDH-mutant GBM	1	ω	0	1
GBM, NOS	0	6	1	0
IDH-wild type astrocytoma	1	0	0	0
IDH-mutant astrocytoma	0	7	0	0
MGMT status				
Methylated	1	S	7	3
Unmethylated	2	7	0	2
Unknown	3	×	2	1
KPS at screening				
06	3	6	2	4
70 and <90	3	9	2	2
Steroid use within 4 weeks before first dose				
Yes	3	×	7	4
No	0	7	-	2

1
2
\subseteq
#
2
0
~
\geq
മ
Ē
5
õ
Υ.
<u> </u>
Q
_

Author Manuscript

$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
2
Ħ.
Ъ
0
-
-
\leq
Ø
5
7
5
Š.
$\overline{\Omega}$
<u> </u>
0
+

		Ad-RTS-hIL-	$12 \; (2 \times 10^{11} \; vp)$	
	$10-\mathrm{mg}\mathrm{VDX}$ $n=6$	$\begin{array}{l} 20\text{-mg VDX} \\ n=15 \end{array}$	30-mg VDX $n = 4$	$40-\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{VDX}$ $n=6$
Unknown	0	0	1	0
Total steroid use (mg)				
Days 0 to 14	82 (0-102)	48 (0–140)	106 (0–136)	49.5 (10–102)
Median (min-max)				
Veledimex dosing		0	63	02
Compliance (%)	61	94	60	00
Dose-limiting toxicities contributing to assessment of maximum tolerated dose		Aseptic meningitis		CRS, elevated LFTs

-
Ŧ
_
0
\simeq
_
_
<
_
a
a
an
anu
anu
anus
anusc
anuscr
anuscri
anuscrip
anuscrip

Table 2.

AEs and VDX compliance.

	$10-\mathrm{mg}\mathrm{VDX}$ $n=6$	$\begin{array}{l} 20\text{-mg VDX} \\ n=15 \end{array}$	$30 \mod of \text{ VDX} \\ n = 4$	$\begin{array}{l} 40 \mod \text{of VDX} \\ n=6 \end{array}$
Event term	(%) <i>u</i>	n (%)	n (%)	(%) u
Grade 3–related AEs				
Lymphopenia/lymphocyte count decrease	1 (16.7%)	3 (20.3)	2 (50.0)	2 (33.3)
Increased LFTs	2 (33.3)	1 (6.7)	0	2 (33.3)
Leukopenia/leukocyte count decrease	1 (16.7)	1 (6.7)	0	0
Neutropenia/neutrophil count decrease	1 (16.7)	1 (6.7)	0	0
Thrombocytopenia/platelet count decrease	0	2 (13.3)	0	0
Hyponatremia	0	2 (13.3)	0	1 (16.7)
Cytokine release syndrome				
CRS grade 2 ^a	2 (33.3)	4 (26.7)	2 (50.0)	2 (33.3)
CRS grade 3 ^{<i>a</i>}	0	2 (13.3)	1 (25.0)	3 (50.0)
Grade 3 neurologic AEs				
Headache	0	2 (13.3)	0	0
Brain edema	0	0	1 (25.0)	0
Confusional state	0	0	0	1 (16.7)
Aseptic meningitis	0	1 (6.7)	0	0
VDX dosing				
Compliance (%)	79	84	63	58

Sci Transl Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 August 14.

like symptoms; grading of certain hepatic (transaminitis), hematological (lymphopenia), neurological, renal, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, and/or cardiac toxicities; any oxygen requirement or need for

vasopressor(s); and/or increased peripheral cytokine concentrations.