SIGRAS4 mediates a novel regulatory pathway promoting chilling tolerance in tomato

Yudong Liu^{1,2} (D), Yuan Shi^{1,2}, Ning Zhu³, Silin Zhong³, Mondher Bouzayen^{2,4,*} and Zhengguo Li^{1,2,*} (D)

¹Key Laboratory of Plant Hormones and Development Regulation of Chongqing, School of Life Sciences, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China
 ²Center of Plant Functional Genomics, Institute of Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China
 ³State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, School of Life Sciences, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
 ⁴UMR990 INRA/INP-ENSAT, Université de Toulouse, Castanet-Tolosan, France

Received 31 October 2019; revised 14 December 2019; accepted 25 December 2019. *Correspondence (Tel +86-23-65678902; fax +86-23-65678902; email zhengguoli@cqu.edu.cn (Z.L.), Tel +33-534323871; fax +33-534323873; email bouzayen@ensat.fr (M.B.))

Keywords: chilling injury, GRAS, CBF, antioxidant capacity, tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.).

Summary

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) plants are cold-sensitive, and the fruit are susceptible to postharvest chilling injury when stored at low temperature. However, the mechanisms underlying cold stress responses in tomato are poorly understood. We demonstrate that *SIGRAS4*, encoding a transcription factor induced by low temperature, promotes chilling tolerance in tomato leaves and fruit. Combined genome-wide ChIP-seq and RNA-seq approaches identified among cold stress-associated genes those being direct targets of SIGRAS4 and protein studies revealed that SIGRAS4 forms a homodimer to self-activate its own promoter. SIGRAS4 can also directly bind tomato *SICBF* promoters to activate their transcription without inducing any growth retardation. The study identifies the SIGRAS4-regulon as a new cold response pathway conferring cold stress tolerance in tomato independently of the ICE1-CBF pathway. This provides new track for breeding strategies aiming to improve chilling tolerance of cultivated tomatoes and to preserve sensory qualities of tomato fruit often deteriorated by storage at low temperatures.

Introduction

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is known as a cold-sensitive crop which greatly limits the geographical areas where this important crop plant can be cultivated and shorten the period of its growing seasons. Chilling injury also represents a major issue with regard to the loss of sensory quality during postharvest storage and transportation of tomato fruit. Storage at low temperature is the most common method to limit postharvest losses and deterioration, but many fruit species including tomato are sensitive to temperatures below 12 °C as they develop chilling injury resulting in a number of physiological disorders such as uneven ripening, pitting and most importantly flavour deterioration (Zhang et al., 2016). In addition, low temperature has been reported to impact cell membrane conformation and structure, resulting in attenuated vegetative growth and reduced crop yield and quality (Sevillano et al., 2009). Plants from temperate regions such as Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana L.), wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and Brassica napus L. exhibit freezing tolerance when they are pre-exposed to temperatures in the range of 0 to 12 °C, a phenomenon called cold acclimation. By contrast, many tropical plants such as maize (Zea mays L.), rice (Oryza sativa L.) and tomato suffer from chilling injury when exposed to cold acclimation temperatures (Zhang et al., 2004). An important step towards deciphering the mechanisms underlying cold acclimation has been the discovery that the C-repeat (CRT) binding factor (CBF), a transcriptional regulator, plays important roles in this process in Arabidopsis. Subsequently, the so-called 'CBF regulon' has been further clarified, revealing that CBF proteins can directly bind to the CRT element in the promoter regions of COLD-RESPONSIVE (COR) genes to activate

their expression under cold stress, thus contributing to freezing tolerance via enhancement of cryoprotective substances production (Gilmour et al., 1998; Jaglo-Ottosen et al., 1998; Kasuga et al., 1999; Liu et al., 1998; Stockinger et al., 1997; Thomashow, 2001; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 1994). The expression of CBF is also rapidly induced by multiple transcription factors under cold stress, including inducer of CBF expression 1 (ICE1) (Chinnusamy et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2015), calmodulin-binding transcription activator 3 (CAMTA3) (Doherty et al., 2009; Kidokoro et al., 2017), brassinazole-resistant 1/ brassinosteroid-insensitive 1-EMS-suppressor 1 (BZR1/BES1) (Li et al., 2017b), CESTA (Eremina et al., 2016) and circadian clockassociated 1/late elongated hypocotyl (CCA1/LHY) (Dong et al., 2011). On the other hand, the expression of CBF is repressed by MYB15 (Agarwal et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2017), phytochromeinteracting factors (PIFs) (Jiang et al., 2017), ethylene insensitive 3 (EIN3) (Shi et al., 2012) and suppressor of overexpression of constans 1 (SOC1) (Seo et al., 2009), as reviewed recently by Shi et al. (2018). ICE1 is regarded as the most important regulator of CBF expression and was shown to undergo multiple post-translational modifications that are essential for its functionality including a ubiquitination process mediated by the high expression of responsive gene 1 (HOS1) (Dong et al., 2006) and a sumoylation mediated by SIZ1 (Miura et al., 2007). It was reported that OPEN STOMATA 1 (OST1) suppresses HOS1-mediated ICE1 degradation under cold stress, through its phosphorylation which enhances its stability and potentiates its transcriptional activity (Ding et al., 2015). On the other hand, it was shown that MPK3 and MPK6 interact with and phosphorylate ICE1 to promote its degradation, thus attenuating the freezing tolerance (Li et al., 2017a; Zhao et al., 2017).

The CBF pathway associated with cold response is highly conserved in flowering plants, and not limited to those displaying cold acclimation, such as Brassica napus L. and barley (Hordeum vulgare L.), but also operates in plants unable to acclimate to cold stress, such as rice and tomato (Choi et al., 2002; Dubouzet et al., 2003; Jaglo et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004). In tomato, there are three CBF homologues, and overexpression of LeCBF1 in Arabidopsis stimulates the expression of CBF-target genes and increases freezing tolerance, indicating that tomato CBF1 encodes a functional homologue of the Arabidopsis CBF proteins, supporting the idea that tomato has a complete CBF cold response pathway although the tomato CBF family members have been reported to display less diversified function than Arabidopsis CBFs (Zhang et al., 2004). Of particular note, it was reported that at least 28% of the cold-responsive genes were not regulated by CBFs in Arabidopsis, suggesting the existence of additional, but yet unveiled, low-temperature regulons (Fowler and Thomashow, 2002). More recently, it was shown that SIICE1overexpressing tomato plants exhibit higher antioxidant activity and enhanced chilling tolerance associated with increased SICBF1 expression (Miura et al., 2012a, 2012b). Also, SIICE1a, an ICE1-like transcription factor, was reported to bind to the MYCrecognition elements on the promoters of SICBF1 and SICBF3, and to confer cold tolerance in transgenic tobacco (Feng et al., 2013). Overall, these data support the notion that responses to low temperature rely on the intervention of diverse types of transcription factors, most of which remain unknown in the case of tomato, a species of major economic importance but highly sensitive to chilling injury.

The GRAS gene family encodes plant-specific transcription factors reported to play critical roles in plant growth and development, and remarkably, several GRAS genes are highly inducible by different abiotic stresses (Huang et al., 2015, 2017; Lee et al., 2008). Some DELLA proteins, belonging to the GRAS sub-family, are involved in abiotic stress resistance via increasing the expression level of genes encoding enzymes that detoxify reactive oxygen species (ROS), thus reducing ROS levels, delaying cell death and promoting tolerance (Achard et al., 2008a). We previously reported that overexpression of SIGRAS40 in tomato enhances drought and salt resistance by regulating auxin and gibberellin homeostasis (Liu et al., 2017). Interestingly, among the 53 GRAS genes present in the tomato genome, only SIGRAS4 (Solvc01g100200) exhibits substantial expression increase under low-temperature stress (Huang et al., 2015), yet, it remains to be elucidated whether this GRAS gene is involved in responses to low-temperature stress. In the present study, we show that SIGRAS4 promotes cold tolerance in tomato mainly through direct regulation of many genes participating in the adaptation to low temperature as well as in inducing the expression of SICBF genes. The outcome of the study uncovers a novel cold response mechanism in which SIGRAS4 promotes chilling injury resistance in tomato via multiple biological pathways and at least partly through the CBF pathway.

Results

SIGRAS4 expression is induced by low temperature

We previously identified 53 GRAS members in the tomato genome (Huang *et al.*, 2015), but only *SIGRAS4* was significantly induced by low-temperature stress, raising the hypothesis of its potential role in tomato responses to cold treatment. To gain insight on the putative involvement of *SIGRAS4* in cold stress

responses, we first investigated its expression pattern at the transcript level in tomato leaves and fruit under low-temperature treatment. In tomato leaves, *SIGRAS4* transcripts undergo rapid and massive accumulation (more than 100-fold increase) starting 1 h after placing the plants at 4 °C (Figure S1). The same cold treatment applied to mature green fruit also induced transcript accumulation but significantly later (24 h) and at much lower amplitude (five fold increase) than in leaves (Figure S1). This suggests that *SIGRAS4* may act via different modes in tomato leaves and fruit subjected to cold stress.

SIGRAS4 plays a positive role in controlling cold tolerance in tomato plants

To address the functional significance of *SIGRAS4*, tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. Micro-Tom) overexpressing (OE) and down-regulated (RNAi) lines were generated. More than 10 independent lines were obtained for each construct among which three phenotypically representative lines were selected for subsequent physiological and molecular characterization. Transcript levels assessed by q-RT-PCR were 61–75 times higher in OE leaves than in WT, and the increase in transcript levels was between 31 to 42 times higher in OE mature green fruit compared to WT fruit at the same stage. In RNAi lines, *SIGRAS4* transcript levels represented 33%–41% the amount in WT leaves and 55%–62% that in WT mature green fruit.

The behaviour of down-regulated and overexpressing lines in response to cold stress was assessed using 45-day-old plants placed at 4 °C for 4 days. In contrast to WT plants that displayed severe wilting symptoms, OE lines exhibited remarkable cold tolerance, with only very few leaves showing slight wilting (Figure S2). When subjected to the same cold treatment, RNAi plants observed similar wilting damage than WT plants. Reducing the duration of cold treatment to 1 day revealed higher sensitivity to low temperature of under-expressing lines, with WT plants displaying only slight wilting symptoms, whereas RNAi plants exhibiting more severe damages (Figure S2). The damages induced by low-temperature treatment were further investigated by assessing malondialdehyde (MDA) content. It is known that under cold stress, the production of reactive oxygen species causes cellular oxidative damage, and the accumulation of MDA, as product of ROS attacking the lipids, reflects the embodiment of the membrane oxidative damage. Remarkably, cold-treated leaves of OE lines accumulated lower amount of MDA than WT (Figure S2), indicating that overexpression of SIGRAS4 results in lower oxidative damage. On the contrary, suppression of SIGRAS4 reduces the plant capacity to control the negative effects induced by low-temperature stress as indicated by the higher accumulation of MDA content in RNAi leaves (Figure S2). These results support the idea that SIGRAS4 promotes chilling tolerance in tomato plants at least partly via controlling oxidative damage.

SIGRAS4 positively regulates chilling injury resistance in tomato fruit

Tomato fruit are known as sensitive to cold-induced physiological disorder which depreciates their sensory and commercial qualities. This, together with the increased expression of *SIGRAS4*, under low temperature in tomato fruit (Figure S1) prompted the investigation of the potential involvement of *SIGRAS4* in fruit tolerance to chilling injury. WT and transgenic fruit picked at mature green stage were stored at 5 °C for 14 days and then brought back to 25 °C for 14 days. When subjected to this

chilling treatment, WT fruit exhibited damage symptoms that can be visually observed including pitted skin and uneven ripening (Figure 1a). More severe injury symptoms were observed in RNAi fruit, with all fruit being severely damaged with pitted skin and impaired ripening as indicated by the presence of yellow and light orange colour (Figure 1a). By contrast, no obvious injury symptoms were detected in the OE fruit subjected to the same cold treatment, and once moved to room temperature (25 °C), the fruit ripen normally with no sign of pitting on the skin (Figure 1a). Consistently, fruit overexpressing SIGRAS4 showed much lower CI index than WT and RNAi ones (Figure 1b). Assessing the 'a' colour parameter by a colorimeter indicated that OE fruit were more towards the red colour than WT after CI treatment, whereas RNAi fruit were more towards the green (Figure 1c). In lines with the displayed colour differences, -carotene content in OE fruit was higher than in WT and RNAi fruit, while total chlorophyll content was higher in RNAi fruit (Figure S3). Fruit firmness of cold-treated OE fruit was lower than WT fruit, whereas RNAi fruit showed higher firmness and contained more pectin and cellulose in pericarp tissue (Figure S3). Water loss in OE fruit was also lower than in WT and RNAi fruit after cold treatment (Figure S3). These data are indicative of a marked slowdown of the ripening process in SIGRAS4 downregulated fruit.

No significant differences in total sugar content and titratable acidity were observed between WT and transgenic fruit after chilling injury-inducing treatment (Figure S3). By contrast, assessing phenolics, known to contribute to the antioxidant capacity, revealed higher total phenolics and total flavonoid content in OE compared to WT cold-treated fruit, and total flavonoid content exhibited lower level in RNAi fruit (Figure 1d,e). We then performed DPPH (1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) and ABTS (2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6)-sulphonic acid) assays to monitor free radical scavenging capacity and FRAP (ferric ion reducing antioxidant power) assay to assess total antioxidant capacity (Figure 1f–h). The DPPH, ABTS and FRAP abilities were significantly higher in OE fruit than in WT under chilling injury condition, suggesting the overexpression of *SlGRAS4* increases antioxidant capacity in a broad way.

SIGRAS4-target genes identified by combined ChIP-seq and RNA-seq

To gain insight on the mechanisms by which SIGRAS4 confers enhanced chilling injury tolerance, we investigated the putative SIGRAS4-binding sites at the genome-wide level by a ChIP-seq approach. Up to 5245 peaks were detected (Data S1) and the analysis of their genome-wide distribution revealed that 18% of the SIGRAS4 binding sites were enriched in the gene promoter regions, 1 kb upstream of the coding regions (Figure 2a). Enriched GO categories (Figure 2b) of the putative SIGRAS4-binding genes (Data S1) suggested that SIGRAS4 participates in multiple processes and de novo motif prediction performed with the SIGRAS4-binding regions identified revealed four putative DNAbinding motifs (Table S1). However, combining ChIP-seg and RNAseq data revealed that motif 4 is by far the most abundant in the promoter of DEGs which guided the study towards the role of this specific motif in regulating the expression of selected DEGs (Figure 2c, Appendix S1). Further analysis performed by yeast-one hybrid and dual-luciferase assays revealed that motif 4 is efficient in directing SIGRAS4-mediated gene expression, although the transcriptional activity varies from strong to mild depending on the target promoter (Figure 3b,c).

Global transcriptomic profiling performed by RNA-seg on OE, WT and RNAi fruit samples at mature green stage identified differentially expressed genes in these fruit with reference to WT fruit subjected to the same treatment. The samples analysed included untreated fruit the day of harvest (0d), cold-treated for 14 days after harvest (CI 14d), and cold-treated for 14 days and then placed at room temperature (25 °C) for 14 days (CI 14d + RT 14d). The complete lists of differentially expressed genes screened by pairwise comparison at different time points are given in Data S2-S4. In the absence of cold treatment (0d), up to 995 DEGs were found when cumulating RNAi and OE lines compared to their expression level in WT. Using the WT reference samples, 1861 DEGs were found in cold-treated group (CI 14d) and 4564 DEGs in cold-treated followed by storage at room temperature CI 14d + RT 14d (Figure 2d, Data S2–S4). Of particular note, the most important changes in gene expression (highest number of DEGs) were observed when the fruit were put back at room temperature following a storage at low temperature, regardless of the nature of the sample taken into consideration. Crossing the genome-wide transcriptomic data with the ChIP-seg data revealed that 125, 192 and 714 genes are both differentially expressed and direct binding targets of SIGRAS4 in Od, CI 14d, and CI 14d + RT 14d samples, respectively (Figure 2d, Data S2-S4).

SIGRAS4 regulates the promoter activity of genes participating in multiple biological processes

Genes that belong to both the ChIP-seq and DEG groups were regarded as best candidates to be direct targets of SIGRAS4 and therefore to contribute to the cold tolerance mechanism (Figure 2d, Data S2–S4). Several among these overlapping genes were selected to further confirm their regulation by SIGRAS4, and these included genes known to be involved in antioxidant capacity like peroxidase (Solyc02g094180), glutathione S-transferase/peroxidase (Solyc07q056480), L-ascorbate peroxidase (Solyc02g083620), lipoxygenase (Solyc08g014000) and glutaredoxin (Solyc10g008150). As well as calcium-transporting ATPase (Solvc02q064680) and calmodulin-binding protein (Solvc07q040710) are related to calcium signalling. Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase (Solyc02g077860) participating in photosynthesis, and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (Solyc04g076880) and *malate dehydrogenase* (Solyc01g090710) involved in energy metabolism were also investigated. Interestingly, multiple putative SIGRAS4-binding sequence motifs (Figure 2c) were identified by in silico search in the promoter region of all these target genes (Figure 3a), and the ability of SIGRAS4 to directly bind to their promoters was demonstrated by yeast-one hybrid assay (Figure 3b). Furthermore, dual-luciferase assay revealed that SIGRAS4 can directly activate these promoters (Figure 3c), supporting the conclusion that SIGRAS4 regulates the transcription of genes involved in multiple biological processes including antioxidant capacity, calcium signalling, photosynthetic activity and energy metabolism pathways. However, whether or not these genes and the related processes contribute to the chilling injury resistance mediated by SIGRAS4 remains to be elucidated.

SIGRAS4 increases antioxidant capacity

Because SIGRAS4 is shown here to activate the promoter of genes involved in antioxidant capacity, and given that *SIGRAS4* expression is significantly induced in WT tomato leaves sprayed with H_2O_2 (Figure 4a), we therefore performed oxidative stress test by





Figure 1 SIGRAS4 positively regulates chilling injury tolerance of tomato fruit. (a) Chilling injury symptoms in WT and transgenic tomato fruit following cold treatment. Transgenic and WT fruit harvested at mature green stage were either stored at 25 °C for 28 days (upper panel), or treated 14 days at 5 °C and then replaced at 25 °C for 14 days. (b–e) Chilling injury (CI) index (b), colour parameter *a* (c), total phenolic content (d), and total flavonoid content (e) of WT and transgenic tomato fruit subjected to cold stress as described above. Total phenolic content and total flavonoid were expressed as mg GAE/g FW and mg RE/g FW, respectively. (f–h) DPPH ability (f), ABTS ability (g) and FRAP ability (h) of WT and transgenic fruit subjected to cold stress as described above. The antioxidant capacities were expressed as mol TE/g FW. In (b) and (d) to (h), data are the mean values of three independent replacates and error bars show the s.d. In (c), three independent repeats were performed showing similar results, and the data showed here are the mean values of one replicate and error bars show s.d. (n = 6). In all cases, asterisks indicate significant differences between wild-type and transgenic lines (two-tailed Student's *t*-test, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01).

spraying 45-day-old WT and transgenic plants with 100 μ M MV (methyl viologen) once a day for 3 days, and then illuminating the plants for 4 days. In response to the intense oxidative stress applied, WT and RNAi plants exhibited more severe withering than OE plants (Figure 4b,c) and total chlorophyll content in leaves was significantly lower than in OE (Figure 4d), indicating that *SIGRAS4* expression attenuates oxidative stress damages. Moreover, *SIGRAS4* overexpression also enhanced oxidative stress tolerance during seed germination and seedling growth (Figure 4e–g). Together, these data support the idea that *SIGRAS4* promotes oxidative stress tolerance in tomato plants.

SIGRAS4 forms a protein homodimer that directly binds and activates its own promoter

Several SIGRAS4-binding motifs (Figure 2c) were found in the *SIGRAS4* promoter (Figure 5a), consistent with the identification of *SIGRAS4* among the target genes revealed by ChIP-seq assay

(Figure 5b, Data S1). The ability of SIGRAS4 to bind its own promoter was validated by both yeast-one hybrid and dualluciferase assays (Figure 5c,d). In addition, yeast transcriptional activity test, using either full-length or truncated SIGRAS4 proteins, restricted to the transcriptional activation domain located corresponding to the N-terminal region, indicating that SIGRAS4 works as transcriptional activator (Figure 5e). Subsequently, a deletion series of the N-terminal part of the protein identified the transactivation domain in a region encompassing amino acid residues 150-200 (Figure 5e). The truncated SIGRAS4 Δ N5 (200–667) and SIGRAS4 Δ N6 (286–667) proteins lacking the transcriptional activation domain were thereafter used in protein-protein interaction assays by yeast-two hybrid approach, to demonstrate the ability of SIGRAS4 proteins to self-dimerize (Figure 5f). The ability for homo-dimerization was further confirmed by bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay (Figure 5g). Taken together, these data suggest

1624 Yudong Liu et al.



Figure 2 SIGRAS4 target genes identified by combined genome-wide ChIP-seq and RNA-seq approaches. (a) Genome-wide distribution analysis of the SIGRAS4-binding peaks. (b) Gene ontology (GO) categorization of SIGRAS4-binding genes. Enriched GO categories of SIGRAS4 compared with all *Solanum lycopersicum* L genes are shown. A false discovery rate (FDR) cut-off was implemented on the basis of a *P*-value <0.001. Numbers indicate *P*-values. (c) The putative DNA-binding motif of SIGRAS4 was determined based on the occurrence with the highest frequency in the promoter region of the target genes identified by ChIP-seq. (d) Venn diagram showing the overlapping genes between the SIGRAS4-binding targets revealed by ChIP-seq (red circle) and the differentially expressed genes (DEGs, green circle) identified by RNA-seq in *SIGRAS4*-overexpressing and down-regulated lines. DEGs refer to those showing differential expression either after 14 days of cold treatment or 14 days of cold treatment followed by 14 days at room temperature when the chilling injury symptoms are observed. The complete lists of overlapping genes are given in Data S2–S4.

that the expression of *SIGRAS4* is at least partly under self-regulation.

SIGRAS4 can directly bind and activate the promoters of *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3*

Multiple SIGRAS4-binding motifs (Figure 2c) present in the promoters of *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3* genes (Figure 6a) and the ChIP-seq data indicated that SIGRAS4 has the ability to bind the *SICBF1* and *SICBF3* promoters (Figure 6b, Data S1). The ability of SIGRAS4 to bind and activate the promoters of *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3* was further confirmed by yeast-one hybrid and dual-luciferase assays (Figure 6c–e). And the expression levels of *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3*

On the other hand, there were no continuous high expression levels of *SICBFs* in *SIGRAS4*-OE fruit under cold stress and exhibited the similar expression patterns to low temperature to that of the wild type, and three *SICBFs* were also seriously decreased in OE fruit after treated 1 day (Figure 6f). These results indicate that other CBF regulators also participate in regulating chilling tolerance in tomato fruit. This raises the hypothesis that *SIGRAS4* may enhance chilling injury tolerance in tomato, through both *SICBF*-dependent and *SICBF*-independent pathways.

Discussion

Uncovering the mechanisms and factors underlying responses to cold stress is instrumental to the future design of efficient strategies to improving cold tolerance of important crop species.



Figure 3 SIGRAS4 directly binds to and activates promoters of several target genes participate in multiple biological processes. (a) The promoter structure of SIGRAS4 target genes. The red triangles indicate the position of SIGRAS4-binding sites corresponding to motif 4 present in the promoter of target genes. The displayed length of each promoter was amplified and cloned into reporter vectors for dual-luciferase assays. The regions underlined in orange indicate promoter fragments used for yeast-one hybrid assays. (b) Interaction of SIGRAS4 with fragments of target gene promoters assessed by yeast-one hybrid assays. (c) The transcription activation ability of SIGRAS4 tested on the promoters of target genes by dual-luciferase assays. The LUC/REN ratio of empty effector plus the promoter reporter was used as calibrator (set as 1). Data are the mean values of five independent replicates, and error bars show the s.d. Asterisks indicate significant differences between SIGRAS4-effector group and control empty vector (two-tailed Student's t-test, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01). In all cases, *POD* indicates *glutaredoxin*, *Cal-ATPase* indicates *calcium-transporting ATPase*, *Cam* indicates *calmodulin-binding protein*, *Rubisco* indicates *ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase*, *PEPCK* indicates *phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase*, and *MDH* indicates *malate dehydrogenase*.

The present study shows that overexpression of *SIGRAS4* in tomato confers chilling tolerance in both leaves and fruit resulting in minimal cellular damage compared to WT (Figures 1 and S2). Several cold stress-associated genes involved in antioxidant activity, calcium signalling, photosynthesis and energy metabolism are directly regulated by SIGRAS4 (Figure 3). And the expression of these genes is also induced by chilling injury in wild-type fruit in fact (Figure S4), suggesting that SIGRAS4 increases chilling tolerance in tomato fruit through these pathways. Indeed, the promoters of several genes encoding antioxidant enzymes exhibited high activation intensity mediated by SIGRAS4 (Figure 3c), consistent with the enhanced antioxidant capacity exhibited by

SIGRAS4-overexpressing lines (Figure 4). These data suggest that SIGRAS4 confers chilling tolerance in tomato at least partially by increasing antioxidant capacity. However, it has been reported that heterologous expression of the Arabidopsis *CBF1* in tomato enhanced chilling tolerance via increasing antioxidant enzyme activities (Hsieh *et al.*, 2002; Singh *et al.*, 2011; Zhang *et al.*, 2011), and considering the up-regulation of *CBF* genes in *SIGRAS4*overexpression lines (Figure 6f), it cannot be ruled out that *CBFs* also contribute to mediating the increased antioxidant capacity in *SIGRAS4*-OE plants.

The outcome of our study supports a working model (Figure 7) where two pathways operate in tomato during chilling tolerance,



Figure 4 *SIGRAS4* overexpression enhances antioxidant capacity. (a) The response of *SIGRAS4* to oxidative stress in WT leaves following spraying the whole plants with 100 mM H₂O₂ for 0, 1, 3, 6, 12h and 24 h. (b–c) Representative plants (WT, OE and RNAi lines) after treatment for 7 days with 100 m MV (methyl viologen) compared to untreated plants (mocks). (d) Total chlorophyll content of WT and transgenic leaves treated or not (mock) with 100 m MV for 7 days. (e) Seed germination rate of WT and transgenic lines treated or not (mock) with 10 m MV for 7 days. (g) Primary root length of WT and transgenic seedling treated or not (mock) with 10 m MV for 14 days. (g) Primary root length of WT and transgenic seedling treated or not (mock) with 10 m MV for 14 days. In (a), *SIGRAS4* transcript levels determined by q-RT-PCR were represented as the values relative to at time 0 h of the treatment, and the transcript level at 0 h was set as 1. Data are the mean values of three independent replicates, and error bars show the s.d. Asterisks indicate significant differences relative to the transcript level at 0 h (two-tailed Student's *t*-test, **P* < 0.01). In (d) and (e), data are the mean values of three independent replicates and error bars show the s.d. (n = 14). Asterisks indicate significant differences between wild-type and transgenic lines (two-tailed Student's *t*-test, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01).

one mediated by 'CBF-regulon' and a second one based on the 'SIGRAS4-regulon'. In the proposed model, the SIGRAS4 pathway intersects the ICE1/CBF pathway down-stream of the ICE1 step, given the absence of interaction between SIGRAS4 and ICE1 proteins and considering that *ICE1* expression is not affected in *SIGRAS4* OE and RNAi lines. Although *SICBFs* can be regulated by either SIGRAS4 or ICE1, the expression of *SICBFs* in tomato is not strictly dependent on SIGRAS4 as indicated by their high

expression levels in *SIGRAS4* down-regulated lines under cold stress (Figure S2). In a recent study, Wang *et al.* (2019) revealed the crosstalk of SIPIF4 and SIDELLA modulating *SICBF* transcript and hormone homeostasis in cold response in tomato, the high expression level of *SICBFs* in *SIGRAS4*-RNAi leaves under low temperature, presumably via a SIGRAS4-independent pathway. Strikingly, *SIGRAS4*-down-regulated plants exhibit higher sensitivity to cold stress than WT in despite of the strong expression of

SIGRAS4 promotes chilling tolerance in tomato 1627



Figure 5 SIGRAS4 directly binds to and activates its own promoter and forms a homodimer by protein–protein interaction. (a) The promoter structure of *SIGRAS4*. The red triangles indicate the position of SIGRAS4-binding sites corresponding to motif 4 present in its own promoter, the 1500 bp length of promoter was amplified and cloned into reporter vector used for dual-luciferase assay, and the regions underlined in orange indicate promoter fragment used for yeast-one hybrid assay. (b) Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) image of the *SIGRAS4* gene in ChIP-seq reads. (c) Interaction of SIGRAS4 with its own promoter fragment by yeast-one hybrid assay. (d) Dual-luciferase assay to test the transcription activation ability of SIGRAS4 on its own promoter. The LUC/REN ratio of empty effector plus *SIGRAS4* promoter reporter was used as the calibrator (set as 1). Data are the mean values of five independent replicates, and error bars show the s.d. Asterisks indicate significant differences between SIGRAS4-effector group and empty group (two-tailed Student's *t*-test, ***P* < 0.01). (e) Determining the transactivation domain of SIGRAS4 by deletion series and transcriptional activation test in yeast. C and N indicate deletion of the C and N terminus of SIGRAS4 protein, respectively, and numbers in bracket indicate the amino acid composition of the native and truncated SIGRAS4 proteins. (f) SIGRAS4 homo-dimerization tested by protein–protein interaction in yeast. DDO indicates SD/-Leu/-Trp medium, and QDO indicates SD/-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp medium. AD-T + BD-53 is the positive control, and AD-T + BD-Lam is the negative control. (g) SIGRAS4 forms a homodimer as assessed by protein–protein interaction in *Nicotiana benthamiana* L. leaves.

SICBF1. These data support the notion that 'SIGRAS4-regulon' plays an important role in promoting cold tolerance in tomato plants and seems to operate, at least partially, independently of the *SICBF* pathway.

On the other hand, the transcriptional levels of *SICBFs* are increased rapidly in wild-type fruit under chilling treatment and

seriously decreased after 12 h (Figure 6f), whereas an significant induction of *SIGRAS4* is observed until treated for 1 day (Figure S1), suggesting the responses of *SICBFs* to low temperature in wild-type fruit may not dependent on SIGRAS4. Furthermore, there are no persistent high levels of *SICBFs* in *SIGRAS4*-OE fruit under chilling stress; meanwhile, their



Figure 6 *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3* are under direct regulation of SIGRAS4 expression. (a) The promoter structure of *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3*. The red triangles indicate the position of SIGRAS4-binding sites corresponding to motif 4 present in the promoter of *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3*. The displayed length of promoters was amplified and cloned into reporter vectors used for dual-luciferase assays, and the regions underlined in orange indicate promoter fragments used for yeast-one hybrid assays. (b) Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) image of the *SICBF1* and *SICBF3* genes as revealed by SIGRAS4 ChIP-seq reads. (c) Interaction of SIGRAS4 with *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3* promoter fragments assessed by yeast-one hybrid assays. (d) Effector and reporters constructs used for dual-luciferase assays. (e) The transcription activation ability of SIGRAS4 tested on *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3* promoters by dual-luciferase assays. The LUC/REN ratio of empty effector plus the promoter reporter was used as calibrator (set as 1). (f) Expression pattern of *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3*, independent replicates and error bars show the s.d. Asterisks indicate significant differences between SIGRAS4-effector group and empty group (two-tailed Student's *t*-test, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01). In (f), the transcript levels of *SICBF1*, *SICBF2* and *SICBF3* in WT and transgenic fruit at different treatment points were relative to WT 0 h, and data are the mean values of three independent replicates and error bars show the s.d.

expression is also seriously decreased in OE fruit after treated 12 h (Figure 6f). These data also suggest that other CBF regulators participate in regulating chilling tolerance in tomato fruit. SIGRAS4 may enhance chilling tolerance through both *SICBF*-dependent and *SICBF*-independent pathways in tomato.

It is worth noting that *CBF* overexpression in Arabidopsis, potato and *B. napus* L. resulted in a 'stunted' growth phenotype (Gilmour *et al.*, 2000; Jaglo *et al.*, 2001; Liu *et al.*, 1998; Pino *et al.*, 2008) and that overexpression of *AtCBF1* in tomato also

exhibited growth retardation with reduced fruit and seed number (Zhang *et al.*, 2004). By contrast, *SIGRAS4* overexpression have no detrimental effect on tomato growth which display normal plant height, leaf size, fruit set and fruit size, and seed numbers. Moreover, unlike the situation resulting from the overexpression *CBF1* in Arabidopsis which results in the inactivation of the GA signalling pathway and the associated growth defects (Achard *et al.*, 2008b), the expression level of genes involved in GA metabolism is not affected in *SIGRAS4*-OE lines. These data argue



Figure 7 Proposed model for SIGRAS4-dependent regulation of chilling injury tolerance in tomato. Our study uncovers a SIGRAS4-regulated pathway underlying chilling injury tolerance. Deciphering the mechanism of this new pathway revealed that SIGRAS4 forms a homodimer that activates its own expression. SIGRAS4 is able to bind and activate the promoters of target genes involved in antioxidant capacity, calcium signalling, photosynthesis ability and energy metabolism. In this way, SIGRAS4 seems to operate independently of the 'ICE1/CBF' regulon. SIGRAS4 can also directly regulate *SICBF1, SICBF2* and *SICBF3* expression by binding to their promoters. Therefore, the up-regulation of *SICBFs* may contribute to the higher chilling tolerance of *SIGRAS4*-overexpressing lines. We provide a mechanism that SIGRAS4 positively regulates chilling injury resistance in tomato mainly by increasing antioxidant capacity and at least partially by CBF pathway.

for the existence of a new cold stress pathway in tomato and sustain the idea that the newly uncovered 'SIGRAS4-regulon' plays a more prominent role than the 'CBF-pathway' in conferring cold stress tolerance to tomato fruit and plants. The SIGRAS4 pathway provides new targets for novel breeding strategies aiming to enhance tomato tolerance to low temperature and to improve sensory qualities of tomato fruit that are often deteriorated by storage in temperature below 15°C.

Experimental procedures

Plant material growth conditions and generation of transgenic tomato lines

To generate *SIGRAS4* overexpression (OE) plants, the ORF of *SIGRAS4* without the stop codon was cloned into modified plant binary vector K303 under the CaMV 35S promoter (Liu *et al.*, 2017). The *SIGRAS4* RNA-interference (RNAi) construct was generated by cloning a 320-bp sequence fragment amplified by PCR into modified plant binary vector pCambia 1301 under the CaMV 35S promoter. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 was used to transform wild-type tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. Micro-Tom) following standard methods. Positive transgenic lines were screened by kanamycin (100 mg/L) selection and then confirmed by PCR, and the relative expression level was confirmed by q-RT-PCR using homozygous lines from T2 or T3 generations. All plants were grown in greenhouse in controlled conditions (18-h light/6-h dark cycles, 25 °C day/18 °C night, and 60% relative humidity).

Low-temperature stress treatment

To assess the impact of low-temperature stress on gene expression, 30-day-old wild-type plants treated at 4 °C condition and leaves (5th from cotyledon) were harvested after 1-, 3-, 6-, 12-, and 24-h cold treatment, and untreated leaves were used as control. For each sample, leaves from six different plants were mixed and the treatments were performed in three independent experiments at different times. Wild-type and transgenic tomato fruit at mature green stage were harvested and washed by distilled water, and then treated at 4 °C for 1, 3, 6, 12 h, 1, 2 and 3 days, and untreated fruit were used as control. For each sample, six fruits were mixed and all treatments were performed three times in three independent replicates. All samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for RNA extraction and q-RT-PCR.

To assess low-temperature tolerance of tomato plants, 45-dayold WT and transgenic plants grown under normal conditions were transferred to 4 °C condition. For physiological assessments, OE plants were treated for 4 days and RNAi plants for 1 day before collecting leaves, with leaves from plants not subjected to cold treatment used as control. At least 10 OE plants and 10 RNAi plants each corresponding to independent transformation events were used for low-temperature stress treatment, and three independent repeats were performed.

For fruit chilling injury test, fruit at mature green stage were harvested and washed by distilled water, and divided into two lots, one placed at 5 °C for 14 days, and then restored to 25 °C condition for 14 days, the other group used as control was placed 25 °C for 28 days. Cold-treated fruit were sampled at each time point and frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at -80 °C for further experiments. At least thirty fruits from ten plants of each transgenic line were used for chilling injury treatment, and three independent repeats from different plants were performed. The CI index (chilling injury index) was used to determine the chilling injury tolerance for fruit as subjective evaluation in this study (Cruz-Mendívil et al., 2015). Briefly, CI index = (ILU + ILW)/2, ILU indicates injury as the level of uneven ripening (a five-point scale based on the ripening stage for each criterion (0 = red, 1 = orange. 2 = yellow, 3 = breaker and 4 = green)), and ILW indicates injury as the level of pitting (a five-point scale based on the percentage of tissue affected for each criterion (0 = no injury, 1 10%, 2 = 11%-25%, 3 = 26% - 40% and 4 40%)). Fruit firmness was performed by GY-4 digital fruit sclerometer (Aiwoshi, China). Colour parameter was measured by a colorimeter (Lovibond, Germany).

Oxidative stress treatment

To determine *SIGRAS4* transcript accumulation in response to oxidative stress, 30-day-old wild-type plants were sprayed with 100 mM hydrogen peroxide, and leaves (5th from cotyledons) were harvested after 1-, 3-, 6-, 12- and 24-h treatment, while untreated leaves were used as control. For each sample, leaves from six plants were mixed and all treatments were performed three independent times. All samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until RNA extraction for q-RT-PCR.

For oxidative stress test, 45-day-old WT, OE and RNAi plants were sprayed with 100 μ M MV (methyl viologen) once a day for three days, and placed thereafter in continuous illumination condition for 4 days until the leaves display symptoms of wilting and desiccation, and then, leaf samples (5th and 6th from cotyledon) were harvested for total chlorophyll measurement.

For oxidative stress test at germination stage, seeds of WT, OE and RNAi lines were sterilized and sown on $\frac{1}{2}$ MS alone and

 $^{1\!/_2}\,$ MS containing 10 μM MV, and incubated under 18-h light (25 °C)/6-h dark (18 °C) cycle conditions. Seed germination rate was assessed after 7 days, and the lengths of primary roots were measured after 14 days. The treatment was performed three independent times, and at least 30 seeds were used for each treatment.

Physiological measurement

Total chlorophyll content and MDA content were measured according to the method described in our previous study (Liu *et al.*, 2017). Total phenolic content and total flavonoid content were performed as described by Jian *et al.* (2019), total phenolic was expressed as mg GAE (Gallic acid)/g FW and total flavonoid was expressed as mg RE (Rutin)/g FW. DPPH ability, FRAP ability and ABTS ability were performed according to the protocol described previously with minor modification (Zhang *et al.*, 2014), and these antioxidant capacities were expressed as mol TE (Trolox)/g FW.

ChIP-seq assay

Mature green transgenic tomato fruit expressing a GFP-tagged SIGRAS4 protein (SIGRAS4-ORF fused with GFP under 35S promoter) were used for chromatin immunoprecipitation, and the ChIP assay was performed as described by Lü et al. (2018). Briefly, fruit tissues were fixed in 1x PBS with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min under vacuum and ground to fine power under liquid nitrogen. Subsequently, nuclei were isolated in nuclei extraction buffer, then sonicated the chromatin to 300- to 500-bp fragments with Covaris M220 in TE buffer containing 0.2% SDS and protease inhibitors, and diluted with low-salt wash buffer with 1% Triton X-100. The chromatin samples were first pre-cleared with empty Dynabeads protein A/G and incubated overnight with Dynabeads with anti-GFP antibody (Millipore). The beads were then washed twice with low-salt buffer, followed by two times high-salt and LiCl washing buffer. The ChIPmentation method was used for ChIP-seg library construction (ChIPmentation: fast, robust, low-input ChIP-seg for histones and transcription factors). Beads washed above were resuspended in 30 µL of the tagmentation reaction buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10% DMF) containing 1 µL Tagment DNA Enzyme from the Nextera DNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina) and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min in a thermocycler. The tagmented beads were then washed with low-salt, high-salt and TE washing buffers. At last, the samples were eluted in elution buffer for reverse cross-linking overnight. After purification, the final DNA was used for PCR and sequencing. Raw reads were mapped to tomato genome (http://solgenomics.net/) using Bowtie2. And the ChIP-seq datasets were supplied to MACS2 for peak calling. Peaks were then associated to genes if they were located within the gene body or the region 1 kb upstream of the TSS. Transcription factor binding motifs were predicted with HOMER.

RNA-seq assay

Overexpression line OE #18 and down-expression line RNAi #10 were used for RNA-seq assay, with wild type used as control. Total RNA was extracted (RNeasy kit, QIAGEN, Germany) from mature green fruit (0d), mature green fruit treated 14 days at 5 °C (CI 14d) and mature green fruit treated 14 days at 5 °C (then replaced at 25 °C for 14 days (CI 14d + RT 14d). cDNA libraries (Illumina) were then constructed for sequencing on the BGI-Seq 500 system (BGI Inc., China). For each sample, the summary of sequencing data is shown in Data S2–S4, respectively. *HISAT* was

used to map clean reads to the reference genome of *Solanum lycopersicum* L. in the Tomato Sol Genomic Network database (http://solgenomics.net/), and *Bowtie2* was used to map clean reads to reference gene. And the homogenized data were used to calculate gene expression levels with *RSEM*. For Od samples, differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were detected with *DEGseq* with the following parameters: fold change 2.00 and adjusted *P*-value (*Q*-value) 0.001. For the samples of CI 14d and CI 14d + RT 14d, DEGs were detected with *NOIseq* with the following parameters: fold change 2.00 and probability 0.8. Sequence data of RNA-seq from this article can be found in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under accession number PRJNA594085.

Yeast-one hybrid assay

The promoters of target genes containing SIGRAS4-binding motif were amplified by PCR and cloned into pAbAi vector as the baits. Recombined bait-pAbAi plasmids were digested by BstBI, and the linearized plasmids were transformed into Y1HGold yeast strain according to Yeastmaker Yeast Transformation System 2 (Clontech). The positive Y1HGold [bait/AbAi] strains were confirmed by colony PCR using Matchmaker Insert Check PCR Mix 1 (Clontech) and then screened inhibitory concentration of aureobasidin A (AbA) to avoid self-activation by spreading gradient concentration SD/-Ura/AbA plates. The full length of SIGRAS4 ORF lacking the stop codon was cloned into pGADT7 vector to construct the prey, the recombined SIGRAS4-pGADT7 plasmid was transformed into Y1HGold [bait/AbAi] strains and spread on SD/-Leu/AbA plates, and transformed empty pGADT7 plasmid was used as control. Protein–DNA interaction was determined based on growth ability of the transformed yeast cells on SD/-Leu/AbA medium following the manufacturer's protocol (Clontech).

Dual-luciferase assay

The full-length ORF of *SIGRAS4* was cloned into pGreenII 62-SK binary vector to generate an effector construct, and about 1.5-kb-length promoter fragment of target genes was amplified by PCR and cloned into pGreenII 0800-LUC binary vector as reporter constructs. The recombined plasmids were co-transformed with pSoup plasmid into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101, transfected to tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana* L.) leaves for transient gene expression analysis. The transformed tobacco plants were incubated at 25 °C in dark for 16 h and then replaced at 25 °C in normal light cycles for 3 days, and then, the leaves were subjected to LUC assays using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Transactivation in yeast and yeast-two hybrid assays

The full-length ORF of *SIGRAS4* (Appendix S2) and the truncated *SIGRAS4* versions were cloned into pGBDT7 vector. The recombined SIGRAS4-pGBDT7 plasmids named SIGRAS4 (1-667), SIGRAS4 Δ C (1-285), SIGRAS4 Δ N1 (50-667), SIGRAS4 Δ N2 (100-667), SIGRAS4 Δ N3 (130-667), SIGRAS4 Δ N4 (150-667), SIGRAS4 Δ N5 (200-667) and SIGRAS4 Δ N6 (286-667) were transformed into Y2HGold strain and spread on SD/-Trp, SD/-Trp/X--gal and SD/-Trp/X--gal/AbA plates for transcriptional activation test. The SIGRAS4 Δ N5 (200-667) and SIGRAS4 Δ N6 (286-667) have no transcriptional activation activity as baits were co-transformed into Y2HGold strain and spread on SD/-Leu/-Trp/X--gal (DDO/X--gal), SD/-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp (QDO), and

SD/-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp/X--gal/AbA (QDO/X--gal/AbA) plates for protein–protein interaction test following the manufacturer's protocol (Clontech).

Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay

The vectors of BiFC (pXY104 and pXY106) were described previously (Liu and Howell, 2010; Yu *et al.*, 2008). The full-length ORF of *SIGRAS4* was cloned into pXY104 vector to generate a C-terminal YFP *fluorescent* fusion protein and into pXY106 vector to generate a N-terminal YFP *fluorescent* protein. The BiFC assay was performed as described by Luo *et al.* (2014). The recombined plasmids were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101, and tobacco leaves were used for transient expression. The transformed tobacco plants were incubated at 25 °C in the dark for 16 h and then replaced at 25 °C in the normal light cycles for 3 days, and then, fluorescence was observed by confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica, Germany).

Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy kit (QIAGEN, Germany), and first-strand cDNA was synthesized with PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Perfect Real Time) (TAKARA, Japan). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Tli RNaseH Plus), and the PCR amplification cycles were set according to the instructions (TAKARA, Japan). Melting curve analysis was performed in the temperature ranging 60– 95 °C to verify the specificity of the amplicon for each primer pairs. The 2^{- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct} method was used to calculate relative fold differences (Bio-Rad), using *SIActin* as an internal reference gene. All the primers used for q-RT-PCR are listed in Table S2.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFD0400101), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 31772370 and 31572175) and Hong Kong GRF 14108117 and AoE/M-403/16.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Author contributions

Y.L. and Z.L. designed research. Y.L. and Y.S. performed most of the described experiments. N.Z. and S.Z. performed the ChIP assay. Y.L. and M.B. analysed data. Y.L. wrote the paper. M.B. and Z.L. revised the paper.

References

- Achard, P., Renou, J.P., Berthomé, R., Harberd, N.P. and Genschik, P. (2008a) Plant DELLAs restrain growth and promote survival of adversity by reducing the levels of reactive oxygen species. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 656–660.
- Achard, P., Gong, F., Cheminant, S., Alioua, M., Hedden, P. and Genschik, P. (2008b) The cold-inducible CBF1 factor-dependent signaling pathway modulates the accumulation of the growth-repressing DELLA proteins via its effect on gibberellin metabolism. *Plant Cell*, **20**, 2117–2129.
- Agarwal, M., Hao, Y., Kapoor, A., Dong, C.H., Fujii, H., Zheng, X. and Zhu, J.K. (2006) A R2R3 type MYB transcription factor is involved in the cold regulation of *CBF* genes and in acquired freezing tolerance. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 37636–37645.

- Chinnusamy, V., Ohta, M., Kanrar, S., Lee, B.H., Hong, X., Agarwal, M. and Zhu, J.K. (2003) ICE1: a regulator of cold-induced transcriptome and freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis. Genes Dev.* **17**, 1043–1054.
- Choi, D.W., Rodriguez, E.M. and Close, T.J. (2002) Barley Cbf3 gene identification, expression pattern, and map location. Plant Physiol. 129, 1781–1787.
- Cruz-Mendívil, A., López-Valenzuela, J.A., Calderón-Vázquez, C.L., Vega-García, M.O., Reyes-Moreno, C. and Valdez-Ortiz, A. (2015) Transcriptional changes associated with chilling tolerance and susceptibility in 'Micro-Tom' tomato fruit using RNA-Seq. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **99**, 141–151.
- Ding, Y., Li, H., Zhang, X., Xie, Q., Gong, Z. and Yang, S. (2015) OST1 kinase modulates freezing tolerance by enhancing ICE1 stability in *Arabidopsis. Dev. Cell*, **32**, 278–289.
- Doherty, C.J., Van Buskirk, H.A., Myers, S.J. and Thomashow, M.F. (2009) Roles for *Arabidopsis* CAMTA transcription factors in cold-regulated gene expression and freezing tolerance. *Plant Cell*, **21**, 972–984.
- Dong, C.H., Agarwal, M., Zhang, Y., Xie, Q. and Zhu, J.K. (2006) The negative regulator of plant cold responses, HOS1, is a RING E3 ligase that mediates the ubiquitination and degradation of ICE1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **103**, 8281–8286.
- Dong, M.A., Farré, E.M. and Thomashow, M.F. (2011) CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED 1 and LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL regulate expression of the C-REPEAT BINDING FACTOR (CBF) pathway in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **108**, 7241–7246.
- Dubouzet, J.G., Sakuma, Y., Ito, Y., Kasuga, M., Dubouzet, E.G., Miura, S., Seki, M. et al. (2003) OsDREB genes in rice, Oryza sativa L., encode transcription activators that function in drought-, high-salt- and coldresponsive gene expression. Plant J. 33, 751–763.
- Eremina, M., Unterholzner, S.J., Rathnayake, A.I., Castellanos, M., Khan, M., Kugler, K.G., May, S.T. *et al.* (2016) Brassinosteroids participate in the control of basal and acquired freezing tolerance of plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **113**, E5982–E5991.
- Feng, H.L., Ma, N.N., Meng, X., Zhang, S., Wang, J.R., Chai, S. and Meng, Q.W. (2013) A novel tomato MYC-type ICE1-like transcription factor, SIICE1a, confers cold, osmotic and salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **73**, 309–320.
- Fowler, S. and Thomashow, M.F. (2002) Arabidopsis transcriptome profiling indicates that multiple regulatory pathways are activated during cold acclimation in addition to the CBF cold response pathway. *Plant Cell*, **14**, 1675–1690.
- Gilmour, S.J., Zarka, D.G., Stockinger, E.J., Salazar, M.P., Houghton, J.M. and Thomashow, M.F. (1998) Low temperature regulation of the *Arabidopsis* CBF family of AP2 transcriptional activators as an early step in cold-induced *COR* gene expression. *Plant J.* **16**, 433–442.
- Gilmour, S.J., Sebolt, A.M., Salazar, M.P., Everard, J.D. and Thomashow, M.F. (2000) Overexpression of the Arabidopsis *CBF3* transcriptional activator mimics multiple biochemical changes associated with cold acclimation. *Plant Physiol.* **124**, 1854–1865.
- Hsieh, T.H., Lee, J.T., Yang, P.T., Chiu, L.H., Charng, Y.Y., Wang, Y.C. and Chan, M.T. (2002) Heterology expression of the Arabidopsis *C-repeatl dehydration response element binding factor 1* gene confers elevated tolerance to chilling and oxidative stresses in transgenic tomato. *Plant Physiol.* **129**, 1086–1094.
- Huang, W., Xian, Z., Kang, X., Tang, N. and Li, Z. (2015) Genome-wide identification, phylogeny and expression analysis of GRAS gene family in tomato. *BMC Plant Biol.* **15**, 209.
- Huang, W., Peng, S., Xian, Z., Lin, D., Hu, G., Yang, L., Ren, M. et al. (2017) Overexpression of a tomato miR171 target gene SIGRAS24 impacts multiple agronomical traits via regulating gibberellin and auxin homeostasis. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **15**, 472–488.
- Jaglo, K.R., Kleff, S., Amundsen, K.L., Zhang, X., Haake, V., Zhang, J.Z., Deits, T. et al. (2001) Components of the Arabidopsis C-repeat/dehydrationresponsive element binding factor cold-response pathway are conserved in *Brassica napus* and other plant species. *Plant Physiol.* **127**, 910–917.
- Jaglo-Ottosen, K.R., Gilmour, S.J., Zarka, D.G., Schabenberger, O. and Thomashow, M.F. (1998) Arabidopsis CBF1 overexpression induces COR genes and enhances freezing tolerance. Science, 280, 104–106.

1632 Yudong Liu et al.

- Jian, W., Cao, H., Yuan, S., Liu, Y., Lu, J., Lu, W., Li, N. et al. (2019) SIMYB75, an MYB-type transcription factor, promotes anthocyanin accumulation and enhances volatile aroma production in tomato fruits. *Hortic. Res.* 6, 22.
- Jiang, B., Shi, Y., Zhang, X., Xin, X., Qi, L., Guo, H., Li, J. et al. (2017) PIF3 is a negative regulator of the CBF pathway and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 114, E6695–E6702.
- Kasuga, M., Liu, Q., Miura, S., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. and Shinozaki, K. (1999) Improving plant drought, salt, and freezing tolerance by gene transfer of a single stress-inducible transcription factor. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **17**, 287–291.
- Kidokoro, S., Yoneda, K., Takasaki, H., Takahashi, F., Shinozaki, K. and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2017) Different cold-signaling pathways function in the responses to rapid and gradual decreases in temperature. *Plant Cell*, 29, 760–774.
- Kim, Y.S., Lee, M., Lee, J.H., Lee, H.J. and Park, C.M. (2015) The unified ICE-CBF pathway provides a transcriptional feedback control of freezing tolerance during cold acclimation in *Arabidopsis. Plant Mol. Biol.* **89**, 187–201.
- Kim, S.H., Kim, H.S., Bahk, S., An, J., Yoo, Y., Kim, J.Y. and Chung, W.S. (2017) Phosphorylation of the transcriptional repressor MYB15 by mitogen-activated protein kinase 6 is required for freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis. Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 6613–6627.
- Lee, M.H., Kim, B., Song, S.K., Heo, J.O., Yu, N.I., Lee, S.A., Kim, M. *et al.* (2008) Large-scale analysis of the GRAS gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **67**, 659–670.
- Li, H., Ding, Y., Shi, Y., Zhang, X., Zhang, S., Gong, Z. and Yang, S. (2017a) MPK3- and MPK6-mediated ICE1 phosphorylation negatively regulates ICE1 stability and freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis. Dev. Cell*, **43**, 630–642.
- Li, H., Ye, K., Shi, Y., Cheng, J., Zhang, X. and Yang, S. (2017b) BZR1 positively regulates freezing tolerance via CBF-dependent and CBF-independent pathways in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant*, **10**, 545–559.
- Liu, J.X. and Howell, S.H. (2010) bZIP28 and NF-Y transcription factors are activated by ER stress and assemble into a transcriptional complex to regulate stress response genes in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*, **22**, 782–796.
- Liu, Q., Kasuga, M., Sakuma, Y., Abe, H., Miura, S., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. and Shinozaki, K. (1998) Two transcription factors, DREB1 and DREB2, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought- and low-temperature-responsive gene expression, respectively, in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, **10**, 1391–1406.
- Liu, Y., Huang, W., Xian, Z., Hu, N., Lin, D., Ren, H., Chen, J. et al. (2017) Overexpression of SIGRAS40 in tomato enhances tolerance to abiotic stresses and influences auxin and gibberellin signaling. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 1659.
- Lü, P., Yu, S., Zhu, N., Chen, Y.R., Zhou, B., Pan, Y., Tzeng, D. et al. (2018) Genome encode analyses reveal the basis of convergent evolution of fleshy fruit ripening. *Nat. Plants*, 4, 784–791.
- Luo, Q., Lian, H.L., He, S.B., Li, L., Jia, K.P. and Yang, H.Q. (2014) COP1 and phyB physically interact with PIL1 to regulate its stability and photomorphogenic development in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell*, **26**, 2441–2456.
- Miura, K., Jin, J.B., Lee, J., Yoo, C.Y., Stirm, V., Miura, T., Ashworth, E.N. et al. (2007) SIZ1-mediated sumoylation of ICE1 controls CBF3/DREB1A expression and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell, 19, 1403–1414.
- Miura, K., Sato, A., Shiba, H., Kanga, S.W., Kamada, H. and Ezura, H. (2012a) Accumulation of antioxidants and antioxidant activity in tomato, *Solanum lycopersicum*, are enhanced by the transcription factor SIICE1. *Plant Biotechnol.* **29**, 261–269.
- Miura, K., Shiba, H., Ohta, M., Kang, S.W., Sato, A., Yuasa, T., Iwaya-Inoue, M. et al. (2012b) SIICE1 encoding a MYC-type transcription factor controls cold tolerance in tomato, Solanum lycopersicum. Plant Biotechnol. 29, 253–260.
- Pino, M.T., Skinner, J.S., Jeknić, Z., Hayes, P.M., Soeldner, A.H., Thomashow, M.F. and Chen, T.H. (2008) Ectopic *AtCBF1* over-expression enhances freezing tolerance and induces cold acclimation-associated physiological modifications in potato. *Plant Cell Environ.* **31**, 393–406.
- Seo, E., Lee, H., Jeon, J., Park, H., Kim, J., Noh, Y.S. and Lee, I. (2009) Crosstalk between cold response and flowering in Arabidopsis is mediated through the flowering-time gene SOC1 and its upstream negative regulator FLC. Plant Cell, 21, 3185–3197.
- Sevillano, L., Sanchez-Ballesta, M.T., Romojaro, F. and Flores, F.B. (2009) Physiological, hormonal and molecular mechanisms regulating chilling injury

in horticultural species. Postharvest technologies applied to reduce its impact. J. Sci. Food Agric. 89, 555–573.

- Shi, Y., Tian, S., Hou, L., Huang, X., Zhang, X., Guo, H. and Yang, S. (2012) Ethylene signaling negatively regulates freezing tolerance by repressing expression of CBF and type-A *ARR* genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, **24**, 2578– 2595.
- Shi, Y., Ding, Y. and Yang, S. (2018) Molecular regulation of CBF signaling in cold acclimation. *Trends Plant Sci.* 23, 623–637.
- Singh, S., Rathore, M., Goyary, D., Singh, R.K., Anandhan, S., Sharma, D.K. and Ahmed, Z. (2011) Induced ectopic expression of *At-CBF1* in marker-free transgenic tomatoes confers enhanced chilling tolerance. *Plant Cell Rep.* **30**, 1019–1028.
- Stockinger, E.J., Gilmour, S.J. and Thomashow, M.F. (1997) Arabidopsis thaliana CBF1 encodes an AP2 domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to the C-repeat/DRE, a cis-acting DNA regulatory element that stimulates transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **94**, 1035–1040.
- Thomashow, M.F. (2001) So what's new in the field of plant cold acclimation? Lots! *Plant Physiol.* **125**, 89–93.
- Wang, F., Chen, X., Dong, S., Jiang, X., Wang, L., Yu, J. and Zhou, Y. (2019) Crosstalk of PIF4 and DELLA modulates CBF transcript and hormone homeostasis in cold response in tomato. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* https://doi.org/ 10.1111/pbi.13272.
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. and Shinozaki, K. (1994) A novel cis-acting element in an Arabidopsis gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, lowtemperature, or high-salt stress. *Plant Cell*, **6**, 251–264.
- Yu, X., Li, L., Li, L., Guo, M., Chory, J. and Yin, Y. (2008) Modulation of brassinosteroid-regulated gene expression by jumonji domain-containing proteins ELF6 and REF6 in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **105**, 7618– 7623.
- Zhang, X., Fowler, S.G., Cheng, H., Lou, Y., Rhee, S.Y., Stockinger, E.J. and Thomashow, M.F. (2004) Freezing-sensitive tomato has a functional CBF cold response pathway, but a CBF regulon that differs from that of freezing-tolerant *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **39**, 905–919.
- Zhang, Y.J., Yang, J.S., Guo, S.J., Meng, J.J., Zhang, Y.L., Wan, S.B., He, Q.W. *et al.* (2011) Over-expression of the Arabidopsis *CBF1* gene improves resistance of tomato leaves to low temperature under low irradiance. *Plant Biol.* **13**, 362–367.
- Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., Xi, W., Shen, Y., Qiao, L., Zhong, L., Ye, X. *et al.* (2014) Phenolic compositions and antioxidant capacities of Chinese wild mandarin (*Citrus reticulata Blanco*) fruits. *Food Chem.* **145**, 674–680.
- Zhang, B., Tieman, D.M., Jiao, C., Xu, Y., Chen, K., Fei, Z., Giovannoni, J.J. et al. (2016) Chilling-induced tomato flavor loss is associated with altered volatile synthesis and transient changes in DNA methylation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 12580–12585.
- Zhao, C., Wang, P., Si, T., Hsu, C.C., Wang, L., Zayed, O., Yu, Z. et al. (2017) MAP kinase cascades regulate the cold response by modulating ICE1 protein stability. *Dev. Cell*, 43, 618–629.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Figure S1 *SIGRAS4* is significantly induced by low temperature. **Figure S2** SIGRAS4 promotes cold tolerance in tomato plants.

Figure S3 Other physiological phenotypes in WT and transgenic fruit after chilling injury treatment.

Figure S4 The response to chilling stress of SIGRAS4-targeted genes in wild type fruit.

Table S1 The potential SIGRAS4-binding motifs analysed based on ChIP-seq results

 Table S2 Primers used in this study

Appendix S1 Nucleotide sequences of promoters of SIGRAS4target genes Appendix S2 SIGRAS4 nucleotide sequence and encoded amino acid sequence

Data S1 SIGRAS4-binding peaks and SIGRAS4-binding genes identified from ChIP-seq analysis

Data S2 RNA-seq data of 0d group and overlapping ChIP-seq and RNA-seq genes

Data S3 RNA-seq data of CI 14d group and overlapping ChIP-seq and RNA-seq genes **Data S4** RNA-seq data of CI 14d + RT 14d group and overlapping

ChIP-seq and RNA-seq genes