

The structure of the extracellular domains of human interleukin 11 α receptor reveals mechanisms of cytokine **engagement**

Received for publication, December 18, 2019, and in revised form, April 23, 2020 Published, Papers in Press, April 24, 2020, DOI 10.1074/jbc.RA119.012351

 R iley D. Metcalfe¹ ©, Kaheina Aizel^{1,2}, Courtney O. Zlatic¹, Paul M. Nguyen^{2,3}, Craig J. Morton¹, Daisy Sio-Seng Lio^{1,4}, **Heung-Chin Cheng**¹ **, Renwick C. J. Dobson**1,5**, Michael W. Parker**1,6**, [Paul R. Gooley](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0323-449X)**¹ [X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0323-449X)**, [Tracy L. Putoczki](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1639-4932)**2,3,7,‡[X](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1639-4932)**,** and [Michael D. W. Griffin](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9845-7735)^{1,*,‡}C

*From the ¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute and the
⁷Department of Medical Biology and Department of Surgery University of Melbourne, Parkyille, Vict Department of Medical Biology and Department of Surgery, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia, the* 2 *Inflammation,* ³ *Personalised Oncology, and* ⁴ *Structural Biology Divisions, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Victoria, Australia, the* ⁵ *Biomolecular Interaction Centre and School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, and the* ⁶ *Australian Cancer Research Foundation Rational Drug Discovery Centre, St. Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia*

Edited by Wolfgang Peti

Interleukin (IL) 11 activates multiple intracellular signaling \mathbf{p} athways by forming a complex with its cell surface α -receptor, IL-11Rα, and the *β*-subunit receptor, gp130. Dysregulated **IL-11 signaling has been implicated in several diseases, includ-** $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ some cancers and fibrosis. Mutations in IL-11R $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ that reduce **signaling are also associated with hereditary cranial malformations. Here we present the first crystal structure of the extracel** l ular domains of human IL- $11R\alpha$ and a structure of human **IL-11 that reveals previously unresolved detail. Disease-associ**ated mutations in IL-11Ra are generally distal to putative **ligand-binding sites. Molecular dynamics simulations showed** that specific mutations destabilize $IL-11R\alpha$ and may have indi**rect effects on the cytokine-binding region. We show that IL-11** and IL-11R α form a 1:1 complex with nanomolar affinity and **present a model of the complex. Our results suggest that the thermodynamic and structural mechanisms of complex forma**tion between IL-11 and IL-11Rα differ substantially from those **previously reported for similar cytokines. This work reveals key** determinants of the engagement of IL-11 by IL-11R α that may **be exploited in the development of strategies to modulate for**mation of the IL- 11 –IL- $11R\alpha$ complex.

Interleukin 11 (IL-11) is a member of the IL-6 family of cytokines, which includes IL-6, leukemia inhibitory factor, oncostatin M, ciliary neutrophilic factor, IL-27, IL-31, cardiotrophin-1, cardiotrophin-like cytokine, and neuropoietin [\(1\)](#page-14-0). Activation of downstream signaling pathways by these cytokines is generally initiated via the formation of oligomeric receptor complexes that include the β -subunit signaling receptor, gp130, and one or more cytokine-specific co-receptors [\(2,](#page-14-1) [3\)](#page-14-2). The majority of our structural and mechanistic understanding of this cytokine family is based on structural information available for IL-6, leukemia inhibitory factor, and their receptors [\(4–](#page-14-3)[6\)](#page-14-4).

Characterization of the *in vivo* source of IL-11 has only recently begun, as a result of emerging links to multiple pathologies. IL-11 has classically been associated with hematopoiesis [\(7\)](#page-14-5); however, it has more recently been identified as the major cytokine involved in gastrointestinal tumorigenesis and is a promising therapeutic target [\(8\)](#page-14-6). IL-11 also has emerging roles in cardiovascular and liver fibrosis [\(9,](#page-14-7) [10\)](#page-14-8). Mutations in the IL-11–specific α -receptor, IL-11R α , have gained increased interest as a result of their causative role in hereditary diseases that are typified by craniosynostosis and delayed tooth eruption [\(11–](#page-14-9)[14\)](#page-15-0). Several of these mutations have been shown to impair IL-11 signaling *in vitro* [\(11\)](#page-14-9).

Following secretion, IL-11 is believed to interact with $IL-11R\alpha$, which is expressed in tissue-specific cell populations [\(15\)](#page-15-1). This binary complex is thought to subsequently engage gp130 [\(6,](#page-14-4) [16\)](#page-15-2). Previous mutagenesis and structural studies indicate that IL-11 interacts with its receptors through three independent sites on its surface [\(17\)](#page-15-3). Site I is responsible for IL-11R α binding; site II binds a gp130 molecule and contributes to the formation of a trimeric complex; and site III engages with a second gp130 molecule, resulting in the cooperative formation of a hexameric signaling complex containing two copies of each component [\(16\)](#page-15-2).

Upon formation of the signaling complex, Janus kinases (JAKs) associated with the cytoplasmic regions of gp130 are activated, although the exact mechanisms of activation remain unclear [\(18\)](#page-15-4). Because IL-11R α does not bind JAKs at its cytoplasmic domain, signaling is thought to result from transactivation of JAK molecules bound to the cytoplasmic domains of the two gp130 molecules of the hexameric signaling complex. JAK activation then leads primarily to phosphorylation and activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 3. Activation of other signaling pathways, including the extracellular signal–regulated kinase/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway and the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway, is less well-understood.

The structural basis of IL-6 signaling has been well-studied, and the structure of the hexameric IL-6 signaling complex has

This article contains [supporting information.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)
[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

^{*} For correspondence: Michael D. W. Griffin, [mgriffin@unimelb.edu.au.](mailto:mgriffin@unimelb.edu.au)

Table 1

X-ray data collection and structure refinement statistics for IL-1R α **and IL-11** $_{\Delta10}$

The values for the highest resolution shell are given in parentheses.

 ${}^aR_{\mathrm{sym}} = \sum_{\mathrm{hk}}\sum_{\mathrm{i}}[I_{\mathrm{i}}(hkl) - |/\sum_{\mathrm{hk}}\sum_{\mathrm{i}}I_{\mathrm{i}}(hkl).$
 ${}^bR_{\mathrm{meas}} = \sum_{\mathrm{hk}}[N/(N-1)]^{\mathrm{h}}\sum_{\mathrm{i}}[I_{\mathrm{i}}(hkl) - |/\sum_{\mathrm{hk}}\sum_{\mathrm{i}}I_{\mathrm{i}}(hkl).$
 ${}^cR_{\mathrm{prim}} = \sum_{\mathrm{hk}}[1/(N-1)]^{\mathrm{h}}\sum_{\mathrm{i}}I_{\mathrm{i}}(hk) - |/\sum_{\mathrm$

 c $R_{\rm{pim}} = \sum_{\rm{hkl}} [1/(N-1)]^{\rm{th}} \sum_{\rm{i}}] I_{\rm{i}}(hkl) - < I(hkl)$ $>$ $|/\sum_{\rm{hkl}} \sum_{\rm{i}} I_{\rm{i}}(hkl)$.
 d $\rm{CC}_{\rm{V_2}}$ = Pearson correlation coefficient between independently merged half data sets.

been solved [\(6\)](#page-14-4). Low-resolution EM studies of the IL-11 signaling complex suggest that the overall arrangement is likely similar to that of IL-6 [\(19\)](#page-15-5). We previously reported the first crystal structure of human IL-11 [\(17\)](#page-15-3) and showed that although the topology is similar to IL-6, IL-11 is significantly elongated, suggesting different geometry of the signaling complex. Despite the growing biological importance of IL-11 signaling, molecular understanding of the structure and assembly of the IL-11 signaling complex remains in its infancy.

Here, we present the first crystal structure of human IL-11R α and a new, more complete structure of IL-11 that reveals structural details of functionally important regions. Disease-associated mutations in IL-11R α are generally located distal to putative binding surfaces of the receptor. Molecular dynamics simulations reveal the mechanisms by which several of these mutations disrupt the structure of IL-11R α and thereby prevent signaling. We present a model of the IL-11–IL-11R α complex and in combination with biophysical and mutagenic characterization of the cytokine–receptor interaction show that IL-11R α and IL-6R α engage their cognate cytokines with similar affinities but use surprisingly different thermodynamic and structural mechanisms. Our work provides structural and mechanistic detail of the first step of formation of the IL-11 signaling complex that may be exploited in the development of molecules that can modulate complex formation.

Results and discussion

The structure of the extracellular domains of the interleukin 11 -*-receptor*

The complete extracellular region of IL-11R α (IL-11R α_{EC} ; residues 1–341 of the mature protein after signal peptide cleavage) was expressed in the insect cell line Sf21 and purified from the cell culture supernatant. To reduce formation of disulfide-linked dimers, the C226S mutation [\(20\)](#page-15-6) was present in all IL-11R α constructs described in this work. Crystals of IL-11R α_{EC} were in space group $P6₅22$. Initial phase estimates were obtained by molecular replacement using domains from unpublished Fab-bound structures of IL-11R α , and the structure was refined at a resolution of 3.43 Å (PDB code [6O4P\)](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb6O4P/pdb). Data and refinement statistics are presented in [Table 1,](#page-1-0) and representative electron density is shown in [Fig. S1 \(](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*A* and *B*).

The structure of IL-11R α_{EC} consists of an N-terminal Ig-like domain (D1) and two fibronectin type III (FnIII) domains (D2,

 ${\sf Figure}$ 1. The crystal structure of IL-11R $\alpha_{\sf EC}$. A, two views of the structure of IL-11R $\alpha_{\sf EC}$. Each of the domains and the section of the C-terminus that is defined in the electron density are indicated. The transmembrane domain is at the C-terminal region of the receptor. *B*, the asymmetric unit of the IL-11R α crystal structure, formed by two IL-11R molecules, with an extensive contact between D2 of the two molecules. *C*, the structure (*left panel*) and topology (*top right panel*) of D1 from chain B of IL-11Rα_{EC} with disulfide bonds indicated. Loops are colored *pink*, the two strands contributing to the smaller, anti-parallel β-sheet are *blue*, and the five strands contributing to the larger, mixed parallel/anti-parallel *β*-sheet are *orange*. A topology diagram of the typical s-type Ig domain is also shown (bottom right panel). D, continuous sedimentation coefficient (*c*(*s*)) distributions for IL-11R α_{EC} at three concentrations, showing that IL-11R α_{EC} is primarily monomeric in solution under the conditions tested. Slight concentration dependence in the sedimentation coefficient suggests the formation of a transient oligomer. *E*, *c*(*s*) distributions for IL-11R α_{D1-D3} at several concentrations. *F*, small-angle X-ray scattering data for IL-11R α_{D1-D3} , overlaid with the theoretical scattering profile calculated from molecule A of the crystal structure of IL-11R $\alpha_{\rm EC}$ (χ^2 = 1.05).

D3) that form the cytokine-binding homology region (CHR) [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)*A*). By homology to other cytokine receptors, IL-11 likely binds to the loops present at the D2/D3 junction [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)*A*). The receptor is L-shaped, with D2 and D3 forming the CHR. The arrangement of the three domains is similar to other IL-6 family cytokine receptors [\(Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C*). The α -carbon RMSD between IL-11R α and IL-6R α (PDB code [1N26\)](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb1N26/pdb) is 5.5 Å, and that between IL-11R α and D1-D3 of gp130 (PDB code [1I1R\)](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb1I1R/pdb) is 5.8

Å, indicating moderate structural similarity. The primary deviations between the three structures are in the position of D1. The putative cytokine-binding region in IL-11R α shows less surface charge than that of IL-6R α [\(Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)D).

Two protein molecules are present in the asymmetric unit (α -carbon RMSD of 2.0 Å), forming a crystallographic dimer in a "head-to-head" configuration through an interaction between D2 of each receptor molecule [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)*B*). The C terminus of the

receptor is more complete in chain A, forming a crystal contact with a protein molecule in a neighboring asymmetric unit. The absence of density for the complete C terminus may be a result of disorder or caused by the presence of endoproteinase Glu-C during the crystallization experiment. *N*-Linked glycans are observed at Asn¹⁰⁵ and Asn¹⁷².

D1 of IL-11R α forms an Ig-like domain with an unusual s-type topology [\(22\)](#page-15-7) [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)*C*). Strand A in the β sandwich forms a non-canonical mixed parallel/anti-parallel β sheet with strands G, F, C, and D. This is a similar overall topology to D1 of IL-6R α ; in both cases the Ig-fold is distorted [\(21\)](#page-15-8). Two disulfide bonds are present in D1: one between Cys²⁶ in the strand B/strand C linker and Cys^{72} in strand F. A disulfide bond in a similar position is present in the D1 of IL-6R α [\(21\)](#page-15-8). A second disulfide bond is present between $Cys⁴$ and $Cys²⁵$ in strand B, which was not predicted from sequence analysis or homology to other receptors. The disulfide bond is well-supported in the electron density and confirmed in a simulated-annealing omit map [\(Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*A*). The unusual fold of D1 may be a consequence of these disulfides, with the $Cys^4 - Cys^{25}$ disulfide serving to sterically constrain strand A, preventing the formation of a typical anti-parallel β -sheet with strands B and E.

D2 of IL-11R α contains the two disulfide bonds expected for this domain (between Cys^{98} and Cys^{108} and between Cys^{148} and Cys¹⁵⁸). D3 of IL-11R α contains the conserved tryptophan– arginine ladder (comprising tryptophan residues 246, 282, and 285 and arginine residues 235, 239, 270, and 274), which includes the strongly conserved WS*X*WS sequence motif. Like other cytokine receptors, the sequence containing the WS*X*WS motif forms a short polyproline type II helix that is stabilized by side chain–main chain interactions and the tryptophan– arginine ladder.

The interface formed between the two IL-11R α monomers in the asymmetric unit of the crystal structure has a buried surface area of 1088 Å² [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)*B*) [\(23\)](#page-15-9). To establish whether IL-11R α_{EC} self-associates in solution, we used sedimentation velocity– analytical ultracentrifugation (SV-AUC) at protein concentrations of $6.5-19.5 \mu \text{m}$ (0.25–0.75 mg/ml) [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)D and [Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)E, *panel i*). These experiments show that IL-11 $R\alpha_{EC}$ is predominantly monomeric in solution with a standardized sedimentation coefficient ($s_{20,w}$) of 2.70 at 13.0 μ M. This represents a molecular mass of 41.3 kDa, with a frictional ratio (f/f_0) of 1.57 calculated from the fit to the SV data [\(Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*E*, *panel i*), in good agreement with the expected molecular mass from the sequence (38.2 kDa). The theoretical sedimentation coefficient, calculated from the crystal structure coordinates of chain A using *HYDROPRO* [\(24\)](#page-15-10) was 2.92, consistent with the experimental value. A small, concentration-dependent increase in weight-average sedimentation coefficient was observed, from 2.67 S at 6.5 μ M to 2.72 S at 19.5 μ M, likely indicating the formation of a weak-affinity dimer. It is possible that any weakaffinity dimerization is increased at the cell membrane, where the receptor may be concentrated in lipid rafts, analogous to other cytokine receptors [\(25,](#page-15-11) [26\)](#page-15-12), and can diffuse in only two dimensions, increasing its effective concentration.

To study the solution properties of IL-11R α without the C-terminal extension, we generated a construct comprising only domains D1-D3 (IL-11R α_{D1-D3} ; residues 1-297 of the mature protein). The standardized sedimentation coefficient of IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1}-\text{D3}}$ measured at a protein concentration of 15.5 μ M (0.5 mg/ml) was 2.62 S [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)*E* and [Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*E*, *panel ii*), corresponding to a molecular mass of 34.9 kDa, with a f/f_0 value of 1.47, in good agreement with the sequence molecular mass (32.1 kDa). Similar to IL-11R α_{EC} , a small concentration-dependent increase in weight average sedimentation coefficient was observed from 2.62 S at 7.8 μ M to 2.69 S at 23.3 μ M, suggesting that possible weak dimerization is mediated by the structured, extracellular domains of IL-11R α and is not a consequence of the disordered C terminus. The small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) profile of IL-11R $\alpha_{\rm D1-D3}$ agrees well with the monomer of the crystal structure coordinates ($\chi^2 = 1.05$) [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0)*F*, [Table](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) [S1, and Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*F*), confirming that the crystal structure accurately represents the solution conformation of the structured, extracellular domains of IL-11R α .

Pathogenetic mutations disrupt the structure of IL-11R α

A number of pathogenic mutations have been identified in the gene for IL-11R α , *IL11RA*, resulting in point-substitution mutations in IL-11R α that cause a genetic disease featuring craniosynostosis and delayed tooth eruption [\(11–](#page-14-9)[14\)](#page-15-0). Mapping the disease-associated mutations onto our structure of IL-11R α indicates that very few of the mutations are in the putative IL-11 or gp130 binding sites [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)*A*). The P178T, P199R, and R274W mutations have previously been studied *in vitro* [\(11\)](#page-14-9); however, the lack of structural information on IL-11R α has hindered understanding of the molecular impact of the mutations.

To investigate the effects of the mutations on the structure of IL-11R α , we ran a series of short (50 ns) all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on IL-11R α [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)*B*) and several of the disease mutants. In IL-11R α , the C α RMSD and backbone amide bond order parameters (S^2) calculated from the MD trajectory indicate a low level of local disorder and overall local rigidity within each of the three domains [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)*B* and [Fig. S2](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*A*). However, the three domains were dynamic with respect to each other throughout the simulation [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)*B* and [Fig. S2](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*B*). The loops comprising the putative IL-11 binding site were relatively rigid and do not undergo large motions on the time scale of the simulation.

MD simulations of IL-11R α with the disease-associated mutations suggest that several of them destabilize key structural elements in the receptor or destabilize interdomain interfaces. One mutation, C72F, removes a disulfide bond in D1, which likely has a role in stabilizing the unusual Ig fold of D1. Introducing this mutation to D1 resulted in the loop joining strands F and G adopting a markedly different conformation, which may alter the stability of the domain [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)*C*, [Fig. S2](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C*, [and Movie S1\)](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1).

A second mutation, P178T, is located in a loop in D2 that faces D1. This mutation resulted in a shift in the relative pose of D1 and D2, likely because of removal of the interaction of $Pro¹⁷⁸$ with a pocket on D1 that stabilizes the D1/D2 interface. However, in each replicate simulation, the final relative orientation of D1 and D2 differed. [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)*D*, [Fig. S2,](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) *D* and *E*, [and Movie S2\)](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1).

The R274W mutation is situated within the tryptophan– arginine ladder in D3 of the receptor. This mutation destabi-

Figure 2. Craniofacial disease-associated mutations in IL-11R α **. A, disease-associated mutations that have been identified in IL-11R** α **. These mutations are** shown mapped onto the structure and primarily occur in D1, interdomain turns, and D3. *B*, structural dynamics from a 50-ns MD simulation of IL-11R. *Panel i*, superposition of frames from the simulation. Five frames are shown, colored by simulation time. Coordinates were aligned to D2 in IL-11R. *Panel ii*, frames are shown colored by C α RMSD. C, frames from a 50-ns MD simulation of the C72F mutant. Frames are shown overlaid through the simulation. The WT IL-11R α simulation is shown for direct comparison. *D*, the structural impact of the P178T mutation, showing the location of Pro¹⁷⁸ at the D1/D2 interface (*panel i*) and showing frames from the MD simulation (*panel ii*), showing that the P178T mutation destabilizes the native position of the D1. *E*, the structural impact of the
R274W mutation, showing the position of Arg²⁷⁴, at the ext network, stabilizing the D2/D3 interface, frames from an MD simulation (*panel ii*), showing that the R274W mutation disrupts the tryptophan–arginine ladder and the D2/D3 interface. F, frames from a 50-ns MD simulation of the P199R mutant. Frames are shown overlaid through the simulation, with the WT IL-11R α simulation shown for direct comparison.

lized the tryptophan–arginine ladder and resulted in the destabilization of the membrane-distal region of D3. $Arg²⁷⁴$ also contributes to a hydrogen-bonding network at the D2/D3 interdomain interface in theWT receptor [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)*E*, [Fig. S2](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*F*, and

[Movie S3\)](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1). The mutation thus destabilizes the D2/D3 linker and results in an increase in flexibility at the D2/D3 interface, potentially disrupting the IL-11– binding interface and reducing cytokine affinity.

The P199R mutation is located in the D2–D3 interdomain linker. The mutation causes a slight increase in the D2–D3 interdomain distance but does not otherwise greatly alter the interdomain pose or dynamics of IL-11R α [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0)*F*, [Fig. S2](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*G*, [and Movie S4\)](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1).

Several other pathogenic mutations have little appreciable impact on the structural dynamics within the time scale of the simulation. For example, P43T does not greatly alter the flexibility of the affected loop in D1, C108S does not appear to significantly alter D2 through the simulation, nor does R239C destabilize D3 or the tryptophan–arginine ladder in which it is situated [\(Fig. S2,](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) *H*–*J*). One mutation (H276R) is close to the putative gp130-binding region of D3 and thus may act by directly altering signaling complex formation at this interface.

Together our simulations show that the effect of a subset of the craniosynostosis mutations in IL-11 $R\alpha$ is to destabilize the structure of IL-11R α . The P178T, R274W, and P199R mutations have previously been shown to result in incomplete glycosylation, leading to retention in the endoplasmic reticulum and poor cell surface expression, contributing to reduced IL-11–mediated STAT3 activation [\(11\)](#page-14-9). Our results suggest that destabilization of the structure caused by the P178T and R274W mutations is sufficient to stall correct trafficking of the receptor. D1 of IL-6R α has previously been shown to be involved in intracellular trafficking of the receptor [\(27\)](#page-15-13). Thus, destabilization of D1 or the D1/D2 interface in IL-11R α by the P178T mutation may result in a lack of correct processing of the receptor. In the case of the R274W and P199R mutations, destabilization of the cytokine-binding surface at the junction between D2 and D3 may also reduce the IL-11– binding capacity of mutant IL-11R α that is correctly expressed at the cell surface, further reducing the potential for formation of the active signaling complex. The apparently minor structural effects of some mutations, such as P199R, that are positioned distal to the putative cytokine- and gp130-binding regions of the receptor, suggest alternative mechanisms that impair IL-11 signaling, for example, disruption of protein expression or global receptor folding.

The high-resolution structure of IL-11

In our previous structure of human IL-11, parts of the long loops between helices A and B and between helices C and D were poorly defined [\(17\)](#page-15-3). Mutagenesis suggests that the AB loop is involved in binding IL-11R α [\(28\)](#page-15-14), and in the structure of the IL-6 signaling complex, the AB loop forms contacts to both IL-11R α and gp130 [\(6\)](#page-14-4). To gain insight into these loops, we solved a higher-resolution structure of IL-11.

To facilitate growth of crystals that diffracted to high resolution, we truncated IL-11 by 10 residues at the N terminus. We named this new construct IL-11_{Δ 10} (residues 11–178 of the mature protein) and the full-length protein IL- 11_{FL} . Both IL-11 $_{FL}$ and IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ have similar high thermal stability, as measured by differential scanning fluorimetry [\(Fig. S3](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*A*) [\(29\)](#page-15-15). Stimulation of human colon cancer cell line, DLD1 with either IL-11₄₁₀ or IL-11_{FL} results in similar levels of activation of STAT1 and STAT3 [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*A*), indicating that they have similar biological activity. We note that N-terminally truncated IL-11

constructs have been used previously with no reported alteration in biological activity [\(30,](#page-15-16) [31\)](#page-15-17).

Crystals of IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ were rod-like plates in space group $P2₁2₁2$. Initial phase estimates were obtained by molecular replacement using our previous structure of IL-11 (PDB code [4MHL\)](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb4MHL/pdb), and the new structure was refined at a resolution of 1.62 Å (PDB code [6O4O;](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb6O4O/pdb) see summary statistics in [Table 1](#page-1-0) and representative electron density in [Fig. S3](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*B*). Overall, the structure of IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ is similar to our previously solved structure of IL-11 (RMSD 1.5 Å, [Fig. S3](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C*), forming a typical cytokine four- α -helical bundle [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*B*). The three receptor-binding sites of the cytokine are not significantly altered in the structure [\(Fig.](#page-6-0) 3*[C](#page-6-0)*) [\(17\)](#page-15-3). A *cis* proline (Pro¹⁰³) is observed at the C-terminal end of the 3_{10} helical section of helix C. The equivalent proline in our previous structure of IL-11 is in the *trans* configuration [\(Fig. S3](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*D*). Both proline isomers are strongly supported by electron density in their respective structures, suggesting that Pro¹⁰³ can adopt either the *cis* or *trans* isomer and that the 3_{10} helix is dynamic in solution.

Our high-resolution structure of IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ allows the extended loops joining helices A and B and helices C and D to be included in the model. The AB loop is formed by 26 residues between Phe⁴³ and Leu⁶⁹ [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)D). The position of the loop is stabilized by a hydrogen bond between Ser⁵³ and His⁸⁶ in helix B, and this region of the loop is thus well-defined in the election density. Mutagenesis has previously implicated the C-terminal end of the loop in receptor binding [\(28\)](#page-15-14). This portion of the loop is adjacent to site I and poorly defined in the electron density.

The CD loop of IL-11 forms an unusually long polyproline type II (PP2) helix [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*E*), comprising 14 residues. The CD loop is stabilized by several contacts between the loop and the core of the cytokine [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*E*). To our knowledge, an equivalently long polyproline helix has not been observed in the structure of any other cytokine. The role of the PP2 helix is likely structural, to efficiently join the C-terminal end of helix C and the N-terminal end of helix D, which are 44 Å apart, with a relatively short sequence of 21 residues.

To further study the dynamic nature of the loops of IL-11, we ran a series of short (100 ns) molecular dynamics simulations on IL-11 [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*F*). In the time scale of the simulation, the four- α -helical bundle was stable and did not undergo large movements [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*F* and [Fig. S3](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*E*). In agreement with NMR studies of other IL-6 family cytokines, the α -helices showed "helical fraying" and were more dynamic at the ends of the helices, compared with the core [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*F*) [\(32,](#page-15-18) [33\)](#page-15-19). The PP2 helix structure of the CD loop was preserved throughout the simulation, although the loop underwent lateral movements. The AB loop was generally highly dynamic on the time scale of the simulation, although the central portion of the loop was stabilized by interactions with the α -helical core. The C-terminal end of the loop, which is implicated in IL-11R α binding, was highly dynamic on the time scale of the simulation.

We also used SV-AUC to show that IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ is monomeric, with no concentration-dependent increase in sedimentation coefficient. The sedimentation coefficient was measured as 1.7 S [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*G* and [Fig. S3](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*F*), representing a molecular mass of 17.2 kDa ($f/f₀$ 1.28), in agreement with the sequence molecular mass

Figure 3. Biological activity and crystal structure of IL-1110. *A*, Western blotting, showing activation of STAT1 and STAT3 by IL-11FL and IL-11¹⁰ in the colon cancer cell line, DLD1. B, two views of the structure of IL-11_{A10}. The four helices in the structure are labeled. C, regions previously implicated in binding
the IL-11 receptors. Site I is involved in binding IL-11 A and B helices (AB loop; *blue*). The residues mutated in the IL-11 antagonist are indicated. *Panel ii*, the interaction between the loop and core 4-helix bundle
structure, with His¹⁰⁵ and Ser⁷⁵ forming a hydrogen bo panel i. The interactions stabilizing the N- and C-terminal parts of the polyproline helix are shown in *panel ii*. F, 100-ns MD simulation of IL-11 ₄₁₀. Frames are overlaid at 20-ns intervals, colored by α carbon (C α) RMSD. The α -helical core is stable through the simulation, whereas the loops undergo dynamic motions. *G*, continuous sedimentation coefficient (*c*(*s*)) distributions for IL-11₄₁₀, at three concentrations, showing that it is monomeric in solution. *H*, small-angle X-ray scattering data for IL-11₄₁₀, overlaid with the theoretical scattering profile calculated from the crystal structure coordinates (χ^2 = 1.43).

(18.2 kDa). The theoretical sedimentation coefficient calculated from the crystal structure was 1.8 S, in good agreement with the experimental value. SAXS data for IL- $11_{\Delta10}$ also agrees well with the theoretical scattering profile calculated for the

crystal structure coordinates (χ^2 = 1.43) [\(Fig. 3](#page-6-0)*H*, [Table S1, and](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) [Fig. S3G\)](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1). These experiments confirm that IL- $11_{\Delta10}$ is monomeric in solution. Similar experiments show that IL- 11_{FL} is monomeric in solution [\(Fig. S4,](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) *A*–*D*).

Figure 4. SV-AUC analysis of the IL-11–IL-11R α **complex.** A, continuous sedimentation coefficient (*c*(s)) distributions for the complex between IL-11R $\alpha_{\sf EC}$ and IL-11_{Δ10} (*panel i*) and IL-11R α_{D1-D3} and IL-11_{Δ10} (*panel ii*). The complex was formed by mixing 5 μ M IL-11 and 5 μ M IL-11R α prior to the experiment, with no further purification. The *c*(s) distribution for 5 μ m IL-11R $\alpha_{\sf EC}$ or IL-11R $\alpha_{\sf D1-D3}$ is shown in all panels. *B*, SEC-MALS chromatograms (showing light scattering at 90° against elution volume) for the IL-11_{A10}–IL-11R α_{D1-D3} complex (absolute molecular mass, 51.1 kDa). *C*, the *c*(*s*) distribution for muGFP–IL-11, and muGFP–IL-11 in complex with IL-11R α . The complex was formed by mixing 5 μ M muGFP–IL-11 and IL-11R α prior to the experiment, with no further purification. The $c(s)$ distribution for 5 μ M IL-11R α_{EC} is also shown. *D*, fluorescent-detected *c*(*s*) distributions for the muGFP–IL-11–IL-11R α complex at concentrations close to the K_D of the interaction. IL-11R concentrations are indicated in the figure, and muGFP–IL-11 was at a constant concentration of 150 nM. *E*, sedimentation coefficient isotherm for muGFP–IL-11 binding to IL-11R α . The concentration of muGFP–IL-11 was 150 nm, titrated with increasing concentrations of IL-11R α . The best fit to the data yielded a K_D of 22 nm (68% CI 14-35 nm).

IL-11 and IL-11R- *interact with nanomolar affinity*

We used SV-AUC to investigate the interaction between IL-11 and IL-11R α . For these experiments, the complex was formed by mixing 5 μ M IL-11_{Δ 10} and 5 μ M IL-11R α_{EC} immediately prior to the experiment, with no further purification. The appearance of a peak in the $c(s_{20,w})$ distribution with a sedimentation coefficient of 3.2 S, larger than either IL- $11_{\Delta 10}$ and IL-11R α_{EC} alone, indicated formation of a complex between

IL-11R α_{EC} and IL-11_{Δ 10} [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)*A*, *panel i*). The estimated molecular mass of this species was 60.8 kDa, with f/f_0 of 1.71, consistent with a complex forming with 1:1 stoichiometry [\(Fig.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) [S5](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)A). A similar complex was formed between IL- 11_{A10} and IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1}-\text{D3}}$ [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)*A*, *panel ii*: sedimentation coefficient, 3.3; molecular mass, 55.8 kDa; $f/f₀$, 1.61), between IL-11_{FL} and IL-11R α_{EC} (sedimentation coefficient, 3.2; molecular mass, 60.5 kDa; f/f_0 , 1.71), and between IL-11_{FL} and IL-11R α_{D1-D3}

Similar to N, see Ref. 60

[\(Figs. S6](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*A* and S7*D*; sedimentation coefficient, 3.3; molecular mass, 55.9 kDa; $f/f₀$, 1.61).

We used multi-angle light scattering coupled with size-exclusion chromatography (SEC-MALS) to provide additional evidence for the formation of a 1:1 complex between IL-11 and IL-11R α . We measured the absolute molecular mass of IL-11_{Δ 10} as 21.0 kDa, that of IL-11R α _{D1–D3} as 36.3 kDa [\(Fig.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) [S6](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*B*), and that of the IL-11_{Δ 10}–IL-11R α _{D1–D3} complex as 51.1 kDa [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)*B*), consistent with a 1:1 complex.

To determine the dissociation constant for the IL-11–IL- $11R\alpha$ interaction, we used fluorescence-detected SV-AUC (FD-AUC), which can accurately measure proteins present at nanomolar and picomolar concentrations [\(34\)](#page-15-20). We expressed IL-11 $_{\rm FL}$ N-terminally fused to a monomeric, ultrastable GFP (muGFP) [\(35\)](#page-15-21). SV-AUC showed that muGFP–IL-11 is monomeric across a wide concentration range [\(Figs. S6](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C* and S8*E*) and forms a complex with IL-11R $\alpha_{\rm EC}$ in a 1:1 stoichiometry at concentrations of 5 μ M of each component [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)*C* and [Fig.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) [S5](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*B*). Complex formation was apparent at concentrations of IL-11R α_{EC} in the nanomolar range, with two peaks observed in $c(s_{20,w})$ distributions corresponding to free muGFP–IL-11 and muGFP-IL-11 in complex with IL-11R α_{EC} [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)D and [Fig.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) [S5](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C*). We generated a sedimentation coefficient isotherm for the titration of IL-11R α_{EC} against muGFP–IL-11, which, when fit to a 1:1 binding model, gave a K_D of 22 nm (68% confidence interval, 14–35 nM) [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)*E* and [Fig. S5](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C*). This is consistent with the dissociation constant for similar site I cytokine– α receptor interactions. For example, IL-6 and IL-6R α interact with a K_D of 9 nm [\(6\)](#page-14-4), IL-2 and IL-2R β interact with a K_D of 144 n_M [\(36\)](#page-15-22), and IL-7 interacts with IL-7R α with a K_D of \sim 50 nm [\(37\)](#page-15-23). In each of these cases, the complete signaling complex is formed by further high-affinity interactions between the cytokine– α receptor complex and other receptors. These experiments show that the IL-11–IL-11R α_{EC} interaction also fits into this paradigm; an initial low-nanomolar affinity step to form the complex between IL-11 and IL-11R α occurs first, allowing subsequent engagement by gp130.

The tendency of GFP to form weakly associating dimers with a K_D of \sim 100 μ m has previously limited the use of GFP in quantitative biophysical experiments [\(38\)](#page-15-24). The monomeric, ultrastable GFP used here does not detectably dimerize [\(35\)](#page-15-21), allowing it to be used as a genetically encoded fluorescent tag for biophysical experiments. Previous efforts to use FD-AUC to measure high-affinity protein–protein interactions have generally relied on covalent modification of one of the interacting partners with a fluorescent dye, with previous studies noting that the use of covalent dyes as fluorescent labels alters the binding properties of the proteins under investigation [\(39\)](#page-15-25). The use of a genetically encoded, monomeric fluorescent fusion tag overcomes this limitation, allowing the accurate measurement of nanomolar-affinity dissociation constants in the analytical ultracentrifuge, without requiring the covalent modification of one of the proteins involved in the interaction.

The IL-11–IL-11R- *interaction is entropically driven*

We used isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) to complement our FD-AUC binding experiments above and to examine the thermodynamic basis of cytokine–receptor engagement [\(Table 2\)](#page-8-0). ITC showed that IL-11_{Δ 10} interacts with IL-11R α_{EC} and IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1}-\text{D3}}$ with similar affinities, with K_D values of 40 \pm 20 and 23 \pm 3 nm, respectively ($n = 3$, standard error; [Fig. 5](#page-9-0)A, *panels i* and *ii*). These values are consistent with our SV-AUC experiments and show that the C-terminal extension of IL-11R α does not affect IL-11 binding. We also measured the affinity for the interaction between IL- $11_{\rm FL}$ and IL- $11R\alpha_{\rm EC}$, K_D of 55 ± 14 nm ($n = 3$, standard error; [Fig. S6](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)D), showing that deletion of the N terminus of IL-11 does not significantly alter affinity for IL-11R α ($p = 0.58$). The thermodynamics of the IL-11_{A10}–IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1}-\text{D3}}$ interaction are strongly driven by entropy ($\Delta H = -25 \pm 2$ kJ/mol, $\Delta S = 66 \pm 7$ J/(mol·K)). We also measured the IL-11_{Δ 10}–IL-11R α _{D1–D3} interaction using ITC at two additional temperatures (283 and 298 K) to determine the heat capacity of the reaction, ΔC_p [\(Fig. S6](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*E*, *panels i–iii*, and [Table 2\)](#page-8-0). The heat capacity was measured as $-3.3 \pm$ 0.07 kJ/(mol·K) (means \pm S.E.). An empirical relationship exists between heat capacity and total buried surface area, a large negative $\Delta C_{\rm p}$ being consistent with a large buried surface area [\(40–](#page-15-26)[42\)](#page-15-27). This suggests that the IL-11–IL-11R α interaction is hydrophobic in nature, resulting in the burying of a large hydrophobic surface.

The cytokine-binding site of IL-11R α_{EC} lacks large charged or hydrophilic regions, consistent with a hydrophobic interaction that is primarily driven by a positive change in entropy. This contrasts strongly with the IL-6–IL-6R α interaction, which is strongly exothermic, with a corresponding unfavorable entropy change (ΔH –100 kJ/mol, ΔS –192 J/(mol·K) at 10 °C), a consequence of the structural differences between the two cytokines and receptors [\(6\)](#page-14-4). Thus, despite apparent structural similarity, IL-6R α and IL-11R α employ different thermodynamic mechanisms to engage their cognate cytokines.

Figure 5. Thermodynamics and molecular model of the interaction between IL-11 and IL-11Ra. A, isothermal titration calorimetry isotherms for the interaction between lL-11_{∆10} and lL-11R $\alpha_{\sf EC}$ ($K_D=$ 40 \pm 20 nm) (*panel i*), between lL-11_{∆10} and lL-11R $\alpha_{\sf D1{\rm -}D3}$ ($K_D=$ 23 \pm 3 nm) (*panel ii*), and between lL-11_{∆10} and IL-11R $\alpha_{\rm D1-D3/Aloop}$ (K $_D$ = 8 \pm 4 nm) (*panel iii*). A representative titration of three replicates is shown for each. All experiments were conducted at 30 °C (303 K) with ~10 μм IL-11Rα in the cell and a 10-fold molar excess of IL-11_{Δ10} in the syringe. *B*, model of the IL-11Rα_{EC}–IL-11_{Δ10} complex. *Panel i*, two views of the
complex. *Panel ii*, details of the interface with re [Fig. S9D.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) *D*, continuous sedimentation coefficient (*c*(s)) distributions for the complex between IL-11R $\alpha_{\rm D1-D3}$ and IL-11_{A10/R169A}. The broad peak in the *c*(s) distribution suggests that the complex formed is lower affinity compared with IL-11 $_{\rm A10}$. E , $c(s)$ distributions showing that IL-11R $\alpha_{\rm D3}$ does not interact with IL-11_{Δ 10} at high affinity. No significant complex formation was observed with increasing concentrations of IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ in the presence of 5 μ M IL-11R $\alpha_{\rm D3}$. *F*, *c*(*s*) distributions for the complex between IL-11R $\alpha_{D1-D3/Aloo}$ and IL-11_{A10}. The complex was formed by mixing 5 μM IL-11R $\alpha_{D1-D3/Aloo}$ with 5 μ M IL-11_{A10} and centrifuged without further purification.

Cytokines generally bind to the CHR surface at the junction between FnIII domains D2 and D3, with D3 also involved in interacting with other receptors comprising the complete sig-naling complex [\(1\)](#page-14-0). This region of IL-11R α is made up of four loops, formed by residues 98–106 (between strands A and B), residues 129–145 (between strands C and D) and 160–169 (between strands D and E) in D2, and residues 220–232 (between strands B and C) in D3. Part of the loop between strands C and D of D2 (residues 132–139 of chain A and 132– 141 of chain B) was not defined in the electron density. To our knowledge, a similar large and disordered loop in the CHR has not yet been described for any other cytokine receptor. The membrane-proximal region of D3 serves to engage gp130, to complement the site II interaction on the cytokine. This region is similar in topology and surface charge in both IL-6R α and IL-11R α , suggesting that the mechanism of α -receptor engagement with gp130 is similar between the two receptors.

The configuration of the CHR differs between IL-11R α and IL-6R α [\(Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C*, *panel i*). In IL-11R α , the relative positioning of D2 and D3, which is more similar to that of gp130 [\(Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C*, *panel ii*), creates a smaller cytokine-binding surface than IL-6R α . The electrostatic surface potential in the cytokinebinding sites also differ between the two proteins [\(Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*D*). The IL-6–binding site in IL-6R α is noticeably more charged than that of IL-11R α , with a negatively charged patch formed by several acidic residues in the loop formed between strands F and G in D3, which mediate a number of electrostatic contacts to IL-6 in the IL-6 signaling complex [\(Fig. S1](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*D*) [\(6,](#page-14-4) [21\)](#page-15-8). These structural differences suggest that IL-11R α employs different structural mechanisms from IL-6R α to engage its cognate cytokine at Site-I.

To investigate the structural mechanism of IL-11 binding by IL-11R α , we constructed a model of the IL-11–IL-11R α complex. Using the structure of the IL-6 signaling complex (PDB code [1P9M](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb1P9M/pdb) [\(6\)](#page-14-4)), we aligned IL-11 and IL-11R α to their homologous chains in the IL-6 complex and refined this model using RosettaDock of the *Rosie* server [\(43,](#page-15-28) [44\)](#page-15-29). Models were scored using RosettaDock, and the top-scoring model was taken as the representative model [\(Fig. 5](#page-9-0)*B*). An overlay of the initial model and the final model is shown in [Fig. S9](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*A*, the top 10 scoring models are shown in [Fig. S9](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*B*. Relative to the initial model, the docked model shows a significant rotation of the pose of cytokine with respect to the binding site on the receptor. The model shows that the missing CD loop in D2 of IL-11R α , which we did not include in the model, is in close proximity to the binding site.

Our model has a buried surface area of 567 $\rm \AA^2$ at the interface between IL-11 and IL-11R α , similar to that of the IL-6–IL-6R α interface in the IL-6 signaling complex (706 $\rm \AA^2$). This is consistent with the initial cytokine–receptor interaction forming a transiently stable complex. The pose of D2 with respect to D3 of IL-11R α is different from that of IL-6R α , resulting in a differently shaped cytokine-binding surface [\(Fig. S9](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*C*), which may account for the small difference in buried surface area. The binding mode of the cytokine is overall similar, consistent with

previous mutagenesis on IL-11 and our structure of IL-11 [\(17,](#page-15-3) [45–](#page-15-30)[47\)](#page-16-1).

SAXS analysis of the IL-11-IL-11R α complex supports the docked model. The complex was formed by mixing IL-11R α_{D1-D3} and IL-11_{A10} at an equimolar ratio, prior to SAXS measurement. The molecular mass was measured as 50.1 kDa, consistent with a 1:1 complex, and in agreement with the mass and stoichiometry determined by SV-AUC and SEC-MALS [\(Table S1\)](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1). Theoretical scattering for the docked model fits the experimental SAXS data well (χ^2 = 1.03) [\(Fig. 5](#page-9-0)*C*, [Table](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) [S1, and Fig. S7](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*A*), showing that the model accurately represents the overall shape of the binary IL-11R α –IL-11 complex. Similarly, the model agrees well with an *ab initio* model of the complex, generated using DAMMIN [\(Fig. S9,](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) *D* and *E*). Likewise, the theoretical sedimentation coefficient (3.3 S) matches the experimentally determined sedimentation coefficient of the IL-11R α_{D1-D3} –IL-11_{A10} complex (3.3 S) [\(Fig. 4](#page-7-0)*A*, *panel ii*), further supporting the 1:1 stoichiometry of the complex.

We used the PISA server to analyze the interactions formed between the two proteins in the docked model. The major interacting residues of IL-11 are Arg³³, Met⁵⁹, Ala⁶¹, Gly⁶², and several residues in the C terminus of the cytokine, particularly Arg¹⁶⁹ [\(Fig. 5](#page-9-0)*B*). Arg³³, in the N-terminal helix of the cytokine, and His¹⁶¹ helix D both form hydrophobic interactions with Phe²⁷⁶, Leu²⁷⁷, and Asp²⁷⁸ in the FG loop in D3 of the receptor. Similar contacts are formed in the five top scoring models. An extensive contact is formed between the C-terminal region of the cytokine and the receptor in the model. IL-11 residues Asp¹⁶⁵, Trp¹⁶⁶, Arg¹⁶⁹, Leu¹⁷² and Leu¹⁷³ form an extensive hydrophobic interaction with His²²⁹ and Phe²³⁰ in the BC loop of D3 of the receptor, with a small contribution from Ty^{103} in the AB loop of D2. A contact is also formed by Met^{59} , Ala⁶¹, and Gly⁶² in the AB loop of IL-11 with $\rm{Tyr^{166}}$ in the EF loop of D2 of the receptor.

Because Arg¹⁶⁹ of IL-11 makes a key intermolecular contact in our model, we constructed and purified the IL- $11_{\Delta10/RI69A}$ mutant. SV-AUC analysis of 5 μ M IL-11R α_{D1-D3} in the presence of 5 μ M IL-11_{Δ 10/R169A} results in a peak in the sedimentation coefficient distribution of 2.7 S [\(Fig. 5](#page-9-0)*D* and [Fig. S8](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*A*), less than that for the IL-11_{A10}–IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1}-\text{D3}}$ complex (3.3 S), showing that the R169A mutation substantially decreases affinity for IL-11R α . Stimulation of DLD1 cells with IL-11_{Δ 10/R169A} showed greatly reduced potency in activation of STAT3 than the WT cytokine [\(Fig. S7](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*B*), consistent with the reduction in IL-11R α binding leading to impaired formation of the active signaling complex. Residues important for biological activity of IL-11 have previously been identified by site-directed mutagenesis of human and mouse IL-11 [\(45–](#page-15-30)[47\)](#page-16-1), and these mutagenesis experiments further support our model [\(Fig. S9](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*F*). Substitution of Arg³³, Asp¹⁶⁵, Trp¹⁶⁶, Arg¹⁶⁹, Leu¹⁷², and Leu¹⁷³ all reduce the biological activity of IL-11 [\(45–](#page-15-30)[47\)](#page-16-1). The N-terminal region of the AB loop of IL-11, containing the interacting residues Met⁵⁹, Ala⁶¹, and Gly⁶² has previously been targeted by phage display and mutagenesis to alter the binding of IL-11 to IL-11R α ; thus, this region has also been shown to be key for the interaction.

Our model predicts that IL-11 forms interfaces of 225 and 369 A^2 with D2 and D3, respectively. Previously, the isolated D3

of IL-11R α was reported to bind IL-11 with an affinity of 48 nm [\(20\)](#page-15-6). We expressed, purified, and refolded D3 of IL-11R α (IL-11 $R\alpha_{D3}$; residues 192–315 of the mature protein) from *Escherichia coli* inclusion bodies. SV-AUC analysis of IL-11R α_{D3} showed a single, narrow peak in the $c(s_{20,w})$ distribution with a sedimentation coefficient of 1.5 S (calculated from the fit to the data at 28 μ M) and no concentration-dependent change [\(Figs.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1) S7*C* [and S8](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*F*), indicating a homogenous product that did not self-associate in the concentration range measured. CD spectra of the refolded protein showed a characteristic all- β spectrum, with a positive peak at 230 nm, likely because of π -stacking interactions in the WSXWS motif [\(48\)](#page-16-2) [\(Fig. S7](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)D). ¹⁵N-¹H heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectra from the purified, refolded IL-11R α_{D3} were well-dispersed and showed seven resolved tryptophan indole NH resonances of different intensities and line widths, indicating that the protein is folded [\(Fig. S7](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*E*).

SV-AUC analysis of IL-11R α_{D3} (5 μ M) with increasing concentrations of IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ showed no concentration-dependent increase in sedimentation coefficient, with weight average $s_{20,w}$ values of 1.67 S at 10 μ m IL-11_{Δ 10}, 1.66 S at 20 μ m, and 1.62 S at 40 μ*M* [\(Fig. 5](#page-9-0)*E* and [Fig. S8](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)*B*). Because the theoretical sedimentation coefficient of the IL-11–IL-11R α_{D3} complex is 2.62 S, these data suggest that IL-11R α_{D3} does not bind IL-11 with high affinity.

An apparently unique feature of the IL-11– binding site in IL-11R α is a dynamic loop between strands C and D in D2 of the receptor. Our model of the binary complex suggests that this loop may contact bound cytokine and therefore could have a role in binding IL-11, through the formation of polar contacts between the loop and cytokine or by providing additional buried surface area. To investigate this, we generated a construct, IL-11R $\alpha_{D1-D3/\Delta loop}$, in which residues 132–140 in the loop were removed and replaced by two glycine residues. SV-AUC showed that IL-11R $\alpha_{D1-D3/\Delta loop}$ is monomeric in solution and formed a complex with IL-11_{Δ 10} with the expected 1:1 stoichi-ometry [\(Fig. 5](#page-9-0) F and [Fig. S8](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)C). ITC showed that the K_D of the interaction between IL-11_{Δ 10} and IL-11R α _{D1–D3/ Δ loop} is 8 \pm 4 n_M (*n* = 3; [Fig. 5](#page-9-0)*A*, *panel iii*), not significantly different from that of IL-11_{Δ 10} and IL-11R α _{D1–D3} ($p = 0.21$). Thus, removal of the loop does not significantly alter the affinity for the interaction between IL-11R α and IL-11_{Δ 10}, suggesting that the loop does not participate directly in cytokine binding.

It is possible that the dynamic loop functions to partially shield the hydrophobic regions of the cytokine-binding surface in the absence of cytokine, thereby reducing the potential of this region to participate in deleterious, nonspecific interactions. This function would be consistent with our observation that other cytokine receptors that have more hydrophilic character at their cytokine-binding regions, such as IL-6R α , do not possess this dynamic loop structure.

Conclusion

The increasing identification of roles for IL-11 in a broad range of diseases underscores the need to thoroughly understand the structure of IL-11, its receptors, and the overall molecular mechanism of IL-11 signaling complex formation. Here, we have solved the crystal structure of human IL-11R α and a new structure of human IL-11 that reveals detail of functionally important loop regions. We show that several mutations in IL-11R α that are associated with disease act to disrupt key structural elements in IL-11R α , for example through disrupting interdomain interfaces or conserved structural motifs within the receptor. We present a model of the complex and support this model through biophysical and mutagenic analysis. We propose that a dynamic loop proximal to the cytokinebinding region of IL-11R α functions to protect this region from nonspecific interactions. Our data elucidate the structural and thermodynamic mechanisms of IL-11 binding by IL-11R α and show that this engagement is mediated by both D2 and D3 of the receptor. Together, this work reveals key structural determinants of cytokine engagement by IL-11R α on the pathway to formation of the active signaling complex. This molecular detail can be exploited in future development of agents that can modulate this process.

Experimental procedures

Protein expression and purification

Human IL-11R α_{EC} with N-terminal honeybee-melittin signal peptide and C-terminal His₈ tag, was expressed in Sf21 insect cells. Recombinant protein was purified using nickelaffinity chromatography and gel-filtration chromatography. IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1}-\text{D3}}$ and IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1}-\text{D3}/\Delta\text{loop}}$ with N-terminal honeybee-melittin signal peptide, His $_{8}$ tag, and TEV cleavage site were expressed in Sf21 cells. Recombinant protein was purified from conditioned media using nickel-affinity chromatography and gel-filtration chromatography. Cleavable tags were removed using TEV protease. IL-11R α_{D3} was refolded and purified from bacterial inclusion bodies as previously described [\(20\)](#page-15-6). All IL-11R α constructs contained the C226S mutation to reduce formation of disulfide cross-linked dimers [\(20\)](#page-15-6).

IL-11 $_{\rm FL}$ IL-11 $_{\Delta10'}$ and IL-11 $_{\Delta10/R169A}$ with the N-terminal $His₆$ tag, maltose-binding protein, and a TEV protease cleavage site (MBP-IL- 11_{FL} or MBP-IL- 11_{A10}) were expressed in BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells. All constructs were purified by nickelaffinity chromatography, followed by cation exchange chromatography and gel-filtration chromatography. Tag removal was achieved using TEV protease. muGFP–IL-11 was expressed and purified as above with no TEV cleavage.

Crystallization and X-ray diffraction data collection

IL-11R α_{EC} was crystallized using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method. Initial crystals were obtained at 293 K in the precipitant 28% PEG 400, 0.2 M calcium chloride, and 0.1 M sodium HEPES, pH 7.5. Crystallization drops were produced by mixing 1.1 mg/ml IL-11R α_{EC} in a ratio of 1:0.9:0.1 with the precipitant and the endoproteinase Glu-C. Spherulites appeared after 24 h and were used to prepare a microseed stock [\(49\)](#page-16-3). Subsequent seeding gave needle clusters in the condition 20% PEG 3350, 0.2 M lithium citrate. Seeding using these needle crystals produced single crystals in the condition 0.1 M HEPES, pH 8, 20 mm sodium chloride, 1.6 m ammonium sulfate, 67 mm NDSB-195. Crystallization drops were produced by mixing 1.5 μ l of IL-11R α_{EC} (1 mg/ml), 0.65 μ l of precipitant, 0.4 μ l of NDSB-195, 0.15 μ l of Glu-C, and 0.3 μ l of seed. Spindle-like

crystals appeared after 48 h and grew to the approximate dimensions 20 μ m \times 7 μ m \times 7 μ m.

IL-11 $_{\text{A10}}$ was crystallized using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method. Crystals were obtained at 293 K in the precipitant 30% PEG 3350, 0.2 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5. Crystals appeared after 24 h as thick bundles of two-dimensional plates. These crystals were used for microseeding, providing single crystals in the precipitant 18% PEG 3350, 0.1 M Bis-Tris propane, pH 9, 0.2 M ammonium sulfate, 5 mM praseodymium chloride. Crystallization drops were produced by mixing 1.5 μ l of IL-11_{A10} (5 mg/ml), 1.5 μ l of precipitant, and 0.5 μ l of seed. Plates appeared overnight and grew to the approximate dimensions 500 \times 20 \times 5 μ m after equilibration against precipitant for 1 week. Crystals were flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen directly from crystallization drops, and X-ray diffraction data were collected at 100 K at the Australian Synchrotron MX2 Beamline [\(50\)](#page-16-4).

X-ray diffraction data processing and structure refinement

Diffraction data were indexed, integrated and scaled using XDS [\(51\)](#page-16-5), analyzed using POINTLESS [\(52\)](#page-16-6) and merged using AIMLESS [\(53\)](#page-16-7) from the CCP4 suite. Initial phase estimates for IL-11R α were obtained by molecular replacement with Phaser [\(54\)](#page-16-8), using individual domains of IL-11R α from unpublished Fab-bound structures as the search models. Refinement was performed using phenix.refine with noncrystallographic symmetry torsion restraints [\(55\)](#page-16-9), followed iteratively by manual building using Coot [\(56\)](#page-16-10). Several cycles of simulated annealing were performed early in the refinement to reduce potential model bias. Translation/libration/screw (TLS) refinement was performed in the final rounds, with each domain defined as a separate TLS group. Simulated annealing composite omit maps were calculated using Phenix.

Initial phase estimates for IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ were obtained using molecular replacement with Phaser [\(54\)](#page-16-8), using our previous structure of IL-11 (PDB code [4MHL\)](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb4MHL/pdb) [\(17\)](#page-15-3) as the search model. Auto-building with simulated annealing was performed in phenix.autobuild to reduce phase bias from the search model. Refinement was performed in phenix.refine [\(55\)](#page-16-9) with iterative manual building using Coot [\(56\)](#page-16-10). TLS refinement was performed using a single TLS group containing all protein atoms. Explicit riding hydrogens were used throughout refinement and included in the final model; the atomic position and B factors for hydrogens were not refined. Structures were visualized in PyMOL and aligned with the *CE* [\(57\)](#page-16-11) algorithm in PyMOL. Buried surface area was determined using the PISA server [\(23\)](#page-15-9)

Residues of both structures are numbered according to the mature protein sequence after cleavage of signal peptides.

Absorbance-detected sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation

Absorbance-detected SV-AUC experiments were conducted using a Beckman Coulter XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge, equipped with UV-visible scanning optics. Reference and sample solutions were loaded into double-sector 12-mm cells with quartz windows and centrifuged using an An-60 Ti or An-50 Ti rotor at 50,000 rpm (201,600 \times g) and 20 °C. Radial absorbance data were collected at 280 nm, in continuous mode. All exper-

Structure of human interleukin 11- *receptor*

iments were conducted in TBS (20 mm Tris, 150 mm sodium chloride), pH 8 or 8.5. IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ and muGFP–IL-11 was centrifuged at concentrations of 0.8, 0.4, and 0.2 mg/ml. IL-11R α was centrifuged at concentrations of 0.75, 0.5, and 0.25 mg/ml. Complexes of IL-11 and IL-11R α were prepared by mixing 5 μ M each of IL-11 and IL-11R α and centrifuged without further purification. Sedimentation data were fitted to a continuous sedimentation coefficient, *c*(*s*), model, and the frictional ratio (*f*/*f*₀) was fit using SEDFIT [\(58\)](#page-16-12). Buffer density, viscosity, and the partial specific volume of the protein samples were calculated using SEDNTERP [\(59\)](#page-16-13). For the complexes between IL-11 and IL-11R α and between muGFP–IL-11 and IL-11R α , the partial specific volume used was 0.73 ml/g. The theoretical sedimentation coefficients of IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ and IL-11R α were calculated using HYDROPRO, using standard conditions (water, 20 °C) [\(24\)](#page-15-10).

Fluorescence-detected sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation

Fluorescence-detected SV experiments were conducted using a Beckman XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge, equipped with an Aviv Biomedical fluorescence detection system. Sample solutions were loaded into double-sector 12-mm cells with quartz windows and centrifuged using an An-50 Ti rotor. Experiments were conducted at 50,000 rpm (201,600 \times g) and 20 °C. muGFP–IL-11 was centrifuged at a concentration of 150 nM (0.007 mg/ml).

To generate the sedimentation coefficient isotherm, the concentration of muGFP–IL-11 was 150 nM, and a 1.5-fold serial dilution series of IL-11R α was prepared starting from a concentration of 1 μ M in TBS, pH 8.0. To prevent nonspecific absorption of muGFP–IL-11 to cell components, 0.2 mg/ml κ -casein (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the samples [\(34\)](#page-15-20). Sedimentation velocity data were processed in *SEDFIT* as above. *c*(*s*) distributions were integrated between 1.0 and 6.0 S. The isotherm was fitted to a 1:1 heteroassociation model in SEDPHAT, with K_A and the sedimentation coefficients of muGFP–IL-11 and the complex floated in the analysis [\(60\)](#page-16-0). The 68% confidence interval was estimated using SEDPHAT.

Small-angle X-ray scattering

SAXS experiments were conducted at the Australian Synchrotron SAXS/WAXS Beamline, using co-flow to limit radiation damage and allow higher X-ray flux onto the sample and an optimized chromatography system to limit sample dilution [\(61–](#page-16-14)[63\)](#page-16-15). The X-ray beam energy was 11,500 eV ($\lambda = 1.078$ Å). For IL-11 $_{\text{A10}}$ and IL-11_{FL}, the sample-to-detector distance used was 2038 mm, providing a total q range of $0.007 - 0.664 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, $q = (4\pi \sin\theta)/\lambda$. For IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1-D3}}$ and the IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1-D3}}$ –IL- 11_{A10} complex, the sample-to-detector distance used was 2539 mm, providing a total q range of 0.006 – 0.534 Å⁻¹. The data were collected following fractionation with an in-line sizeexclusion chromatography column (Superdex 200 5/150 Increase, GE Healthcare,) pre-equilibrated in TBS, pH 8.5, 0.2% sodium azide. The IL-11R α_{D1-D3} –IL-11_{A10} complex was prepared by mixing IL-11R α_{D1-D3} and IL-11₄₁₀ in a 1:1.5 molar ratio. The data were collected from a 1.5-mm capillary under continuous flow, with frames collected every second. Data

reduction was performed using the Scatterbrain software, SEC-SAXS analysis using CHROMIXS [\(64\)](#page-16-16), and the ATSAS suite [\(64,](#page-16-16) [65\)](#page-16-17). Theoretical scattering profiles from the crystal structure coordinates were calculated and fit to the experimental scattering data using CRYSOL [\(66\)](#page-16-18). *Ab initio* models were calculated using DAMMIF [\(67\)](#page-16-19) and DAMMIN [\(68,](#page-16-20) [69\)](#page-16-21). Ten models were calculated using DAMMIF, the models were averaged using DAMAVER, and the averaged model was used as a starting model for DAMMIN. A summary of the SAXS data acquisition and processing is given in [Table S1.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)

Molecular dynamics simulations

All MD simulations were performed using NAMD 2.1.3b1 [\(70\)](#page-16-22) and the CHARMM22 force field [\(70,](#page-16-22) [71\)](#page-16-23) at 310 K in a water box with periodic boundary conditions. Simulations were ana-lyzed in VMD 1.9.3 [\(72\)](#page-16-24). A model of IL-11R α was created based on chain B of the crystal structure. The missing loop (residues 132–141) was rebuilt using the PHYRE2 server [\(73\)](#page-16-25). The missing loop was excluded from all representations of the trajectories and the analysis. The disordered C terminus was not simulated in the model. The structures were solvated (box size, $88.8 \times 126.6 \times 53.9$ Å), and ions were added to an approximate final concentration of 0.15 M NaCl. Simulations of IL-11R α was carried out with 10-ps minimization, followed by 50-ns MD. Mutations were introduced to this equilibrated model, and a further simulation was carried out with 10-ps minimization and then 50-ns MD. An additional 50-ns MD was also performed for the unmutated IL-11R α . The interdomain distance distributions were calculated using a script in VMD, which defined a centroid for each of the three domains and measured the change in distance through the MD simulation. A model of the complete IL-11 $_{\text{A10}}$ structure was created based on the crystal structure. For residues with multiple orientations, only one orientation was selected. The structure was solvated (box size, 53.6 \times 53.1 \times 74.9 Å), and ions were added to an approximate final concentration of 0.15 M NaCl. A MD simulation was performed using a 10-ps minimization time, followed by 100-ns MD.

Differential scanning fluorimetry

Protein samples were analyzed by differential scanning fluorimetry at a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml in TBS, pH 8.5, with $2.5\times$ SYPRO Orange dye (Sigma–Aldrich). 20 μ l of the sample was loaded into a 96-well quantitative PCR plate (Applied Biosystems), and four technical replicates of each sample were analyzed. The plates were sealed, and the samples were heated in an Applied Biosystems StepOne Plus quantitative PCR instrument, from 4 to 95 °C, with a 1% gradient. The unfolding data were analyzed using a custom script in MATLAB r2016a. The temperature of hydrophobic exposure (T_h) was defined as the minimum point of the first derivative curve and used to compare the thermal stability of different proteins [\(29\)](#page-15-15).

Isothermal titration calorimetry

Protein samples were buffer exchanged into TBS, pH 8.5, using gel filtration before analysis by ITC. ITC data were collected at 303 K using a MicroCal iTC200 (GE Healthcare). Titrations were performed using 15 injections of 2.5 μ l of IL-11,

In vitro cell culture

DLD1 cells were grown in $RPMI + 10%$ fetal calf serum, in a 5% $CO₂$ atmosphere. The cells were grown to confluency in 6-well plates, the medium was removed, and the cells were treated with IL-11 $_{\text{A10}}$ or IL-11_{FL} at a concentration of 50 ng/ml in RPMI or RPMI as a vehicle control and incubated for 1 h. The cells were then washed with cold PBS and lysed in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer. Protein concentration was determined by the bicinchoninic acid assay. Lysates were diluted with SDS-PAGE loading buffer, resolved on a 10% polyacrylamide gel, and wet-transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membranes were blocked, incubated with the indicated primary antibodies (for phospho-STAT3 CST catalog no. 9145; for phospho-STAT1 CST catalog no. 9167, for total STAT3 CST catalog no. 4904 for total STAT1 CST catalog no. 9172, and for actin Sigma catalog no. A1978), then detected using conjugated fluorescent secondary antibodies (Odyssey catalog no. 926-32211/926-68072), and visualized using the Odyssey IR imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences). Original membranes are shown in [Fig. S10.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)

Docking

In silico docking was performed using the Docking2.0 algorithm, part of the ROSIE server [\(43,](#page-15-28) [44,](#page-15-29) [76\)](#page-16-28). An initial approximation of the complex orientation was generated by overlaying the IL-11R α and IL-11 structures with IL-6R α and IL-6 in the IL-6 signaling complex structure [\(6\)](#page-14-4). This model was used as input to the docking_local_refine protocol of RosettaDock, which limits rotations/transitions of the complex components. The models were scored by RosettaDock. The top-scoring model was taken as the representative model. Buried surface area and interacting residues were determined using the PISA server [\(23\)](#page-15-9).

CD spectroscopy

CD experiments were conducted using an Aviv CD spectrometer (410-SF). Spectra were collected for 12 μ M IL-11R α_{D3} at 20 °C, in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 8.0, over a wavelength range of 260–190 nm in 1-nm steps with an averaging time of 4 s, using a 1-mm-path length quartz cuvette. Each measurement (sample and blank) was collected in triplicate. The buffer signal was subtracted, and the data were converted to mean residue ellipticity.

Multi-angle light scattering

SEC-MALS data were collected using a Shimadzu LC-20AD HPLC, coupled to a Shimadzu SPD-20A UV detector, Wyatt Dawn MALS detector and Wyatt Optilab refractive index detector. The data were collected following in-line fractionation with a Zenix-C SEC-300 4.6×300 -mm SEC column

(Sepax Technologies), pre-equilibrated in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM sodium chloride, pH 8.5, running at a flow rate of 0.35 ml/min. 10 μ l of sample was applied to the column at a concentration of \sim 2 mg/ml. The IL-11R $\alpha_{\text{D1}-\text{D3}}$ –IL-11_{A10} complex was prepared by mixing equimolar concentrations of the components prior to the experiment. MALS data were analyzed using ASTRA, version 7.3.2.19 (Wyatt). The detector response was normalized using monomeric BSA (Pierce, catalog no. 23209). Protein concentration was determined using differential refractive index, using a *dn*/*dc* of 0.184.

NMR spectroscopy

 15 N-IL-11R $\alpha_{\rm D3}$ was expressed using the method of Marley *et al.* [\(77\)](#page-16-29), purified, and refolded as previously described [\(20\)](#page-15-6), and successful incorporation of ¹⁵N was confirmed using electrospray ionization-TOF MS. ¹⁵N-¹H heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectra were collected on an 18.8 T Bruker Avance II spectrometer (¹H resonance frequency 800 MHz), at 283 K, on 130 μ m ¹⁵N-IL-11R α_{D3} , 20 mm Bis-Tris, 50 mm arginine, 10% ²H₂O, pH 7. The spectra were processed using NMRPipe [\(78\)](#page-16-30) and visualized using NMRFAM-SPARKY [\(79\)](#page-16-31).

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed, paired *t* test in Microsoft Excel, version 16.27 for Mac OSX.

Data availability

Coordinates and structure factors for IL-11R α_{EC} and IL-11 $_{\Delta10}$ have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes [6O4P](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb6O4P/pdb) and [6O4O,](https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb6O4O/pdb) respectively. SAXS data and models for IL- $11_{\Delta10}$, IL-11_{FL}, IL-11R α_{D1-D3} , and the IL-11_{A10}–IL-11R α_{D1-D3} complex have been deposited in the Small Angle Scattering Biological Data Bank with accession codes [SASDGH2,](https://identifiers.org/sasbdb:SASDGH2) [SASDGJ2,](https://identifiers.org/sasbdb:SASDGJ2) [SASDGG2,](https://identifiers.org/sasbdb:SASDGG2) and [SASDGK2,](https://identifiers.org/sasbdb:SASDGK2) respectively. All other data are contained within the manuscript and the [supporting information.](https://www.jbc.org/cgi/content/full/RA119.012351/DC1)

Acknowledgments—Parts of this research were conducted at the SAXS/WAXS and MX2 Beamlines of the Australian Synchrotron, part of the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation and made use of the Australian Cancer Research Foundation Detector at the MX2 Beamline. Initial crystallization screens were conducted at the CSIRO Collaborative Crystallisation Centre (Melbourne, Australia).

Author contributions—R. D. M., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. conceptualization; R. D. M., K. A., C. O. Z., C. J. M., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. formal analysis; R. D. M., K. A., C. J. M., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. validation; R. D. M., K. A., C. O. Z., P. M. N., C. J. M., D. S. S. L., R. C. J. D., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. investigation; R. D. M. visualization; R. D. M., K. A., C. J. M., D. S. S. L., H.-C. C., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. methodology; R. D. M., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. writing-original draft; R. D. M., K. A., C. O. Z., P. M. N., C. J. M., R. C. J. D., M. W. P., P. R. G., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. writing-review and editing; M. W. P., P. R. G., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. resources; M. W. P., P. R. G., T. L. P., and M. D. W. G. supervision; T. L. P. and M. D. W. G. funding acquisition; T. L. P. and M. D. W. G. project administration.

Funding and additional information—This work was supported by National Health & Medical Research Council of Australia Grants APP1147621 and APP1080498), Australian Research Council Future Fellowship Project FT140100544 (to M. D. W. G), Victorian Cancer Agency Fellowship MCRF16009 (to T. L. P.), National Health & Medical Research Council of Australia Research Fellowship APP1117183 (to M. W. P.), and Contract UOC1506 from the Victorian Government Operational Infrastructure Support Scheme to St. Vincent's Institute and the New Zealand Royal Society Marsden Fund (to R. C. J. D.).

Conflict of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: IL, interleukin; R, receptor; RMSD, root-mean-square deviation; JAK, Janus kinase; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; CHR, cytokinebinding homology region; FnIII, fibronectin type III; SV-AUC, sedimentation velocity–analytical ultracentrifugation; SAXS, small-angle X-ray scattering; MD, molecular dynamics; PP2, polyproline type II; SEC-MALS, multi-angle light scattering coupled with size-exclusion chromatography; FD-AUC, fluorescence-detected SV-AUC; muGFP, monomeric, ultrastable GFP; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; TLS, translation/libration/screw.

References

- 1. Boulanger, M. J., and Garcia, K. C. (2004) Shared cytokine signaling receptors: structural insights from the GP130 system. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **68,** 107–146 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3233(04)68004-1) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15500860)
- 2. Hibi, M., Murakami, M., Saito, M., Hirano, T., Taga, T., and Kishimoto, T. (1990) Molecular cloning and expression of an IL-6 signal transducer, gp130. *Cell* **63,** 1149–1157 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90411-7) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2261637)
- 3. Taga, T., and Kishimoto, T. (1997) Gp130 and the interleukin-6 family of cytokines. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **15,** 797–819 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.15.1.797) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9143707)
- 4. Skiniotis, G., Lupardus, P. J., Martick, M., Walz, T., and Garcia, K. C. (2008) Structural organization of a full-length gp130/LIF-R cytokine receptor transmembrane complex. *Mol. Cell* **31,** 737–748[CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.011) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18775332)
- 5. Boulanger, M. J., Bankovich, A. J., Kortemme, T., Baker, D., and Garcia, K. C. (2003) Convergent mechanisms for recognition of divergent cytokines by the shared signaling receptor gp130. *Mol. Cell* **12,** 577–589 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(03)00365-4) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14527405)
- 6. Boulanger, M. J., Chow, D.-C., Brevnova, E. E., Garcia, K. C. (2003) Hexameric structure and assembly of the interleukin-6/IL-6 α -receptor/gp130 complex. *Science* **300,** 2101–2104 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1083901) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12829785)
- 7. Paul, S. R., Bennett, F., Calvetti, J. A., Kelleher, K., Wood, C. R., O'Hara, R. M., Jr., Leary, A. C., Sibley, B., Clark, S. C., and Williams, D.A. (1990) Molecular cloning of a cDNA encoding interleukin 11, a stromal cellderived lymphopoietic and hematopoietic cytokine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **87,** 7512–7516 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.19.7512) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2145578)
- 8. Putoczki, T. L., Thiem, S., Loving, A., Busuttil, R. A., Wilson, N. J., Ziegler, P.K., Nguyen, P. M., Preaudet, A., Farid, R., Edwards, K. M., Boglev, Y., Luwor, R. B., Jarnicki, A., Horst, D., Boussioutas, A., *et al.* (2013) Interleukin-11 is the dominant Il-6 family cytokine during gastrointestinal tumorigenesis and can be targeted therapeutically. *Cancer Cell* **24,** 257–271 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2013.06.017) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23948300)
- 9. Schafer, S., Viswanathan, S., Widjaja, A. A., Lim, W.-W., Moreno-Moral, A., DeLaughter, D. M., Ng, B., Patone, G., Chow, K., Khin, E., Tan, J., Chothani, S. P., Ye, L., Rackham, O. J. L., Ko, N. S. J., *et al.* (2017) IL11 is a crucial determinant of cardiovascular fibrosis. *Nature* **552,** 110–115 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature24676) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29160304)
- 10. Widjaja, A. A., Singh, B. K., Adami, E., Viswanathan, S., Dong, J., D'Agostino, G. A., Ng, B., Lim, W. W., Tan, J., Paleja, B. S., Tripathi, M., Lim, S. Y., Shekeran, S. G., Chothani, S. P., Rabes, A., *et al.* (2019) Inhibiting interleukin 11 signaling reduces hepatocyte death and liver fibrosis, inflammation, and steatosis in mouse models of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology* **157,** 777–792.e14 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.05.002) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31078624)
- 11. Agthe, M., Brügge, J., Garbers, Y., Wandel, M., Kespohl, B., Arnold, P., Flynn, C. M., Lokau, J., Aparicio-Siegmund, S., Bretscher, C., Rose-John, S., Waetzig, G. H., Putoczki, T., Grötzinger, J., and Garbers, C. (2018)

Mutations in craniosynostosis patients cause defective interleukin-11 receptor maturation and drive craniosynostosis-like disease in mice. *Cell Rep.* **25,** 10–18.e5 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.09.005) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30282020)

- 12. Nieminen, P., Morgan, N. V., Fenwick, A. L., Parmanen, S., Veistinen, L., Mikkola, M. L., van der Spek, P. J., Giraud, A., Judd, L., Arte, S., Brueton, L. A., Wall, S. A., Mathijssen, I. M., Maher, E. R., Wilkie, A. O., *et al.* (2011) Inactivation of IL11 signaling causes craniosynostosis, delayed tooth eruption, and supernumerary teeth. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **89,** 67–81 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.05.024) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21741611)
- 13. Brischoux-Boucher, E., Trimouille, A., Baujat, G., Goldenberg, A., Schaefer, E., Guichard, B., Hannequin, P., Paternoster, G., Baer, S., Cabrol, C., Weber, E., Godfrin, G., Lenoir, M., Lacombe, D., Collet, C., *et al.* (2018) IL11RA-related Crouzon-like autosomal recessive craniosynostosis in 10 new patients: resemblances and differences. *Clin. Genet.* **94,** 373–380 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cge.13409) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29926465)
- 14. Keupp, K., Li, Y., Vargel, I., Hoischen, A., Richardson, R., Neveling, K., Alanay, Y., Uz, E., Elcioğlu, N., Rachwalski, M., Kamaci, S., Tunçbilek, G., Akin, B., Grötzinger, J., Konas, E., *et al.* (2013) Mutations in the interleukin receptor IL11RA cause autosomal recessive Crouzon-like craniosynostosis. *Mol. Genet. Genomic Med.* **1,** 223–237 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.28) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24498618)
- 15. Fagerberg, L., Hallström, B. M., Oksvold, P., Kampf, C., Djureinovic, D., Odeberg, J., Habuka, M., Tahmasebpoor, S., Danielsson, A., Edlund, K., Asplund, A., Sjöstedt, E., Lundberg, E., Szigyarto, C. A., Skogs, M., *et al.* (2014) Analysis of the human tissue-specific expression by genome-wide integration of transcriptomics and antibody-based proteomics. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **13,** 397–406 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M113.035600) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24309898)
- 16. Barton, V. A., Hall, M. A., Hudson, K. R., and Heath, J. K. (2000) Interleukin-11 signals through the formation of a hexameric receptor complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275,** 36197–36203 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M004648200) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10948192)
- 17. Putoczki, T. L., Dobson, R. C., and Griffin, M. D. (2014) The structure of human interleukin-11 reveals receptor-binding site features and structural differences from interleukin-6. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **70,** 2277–2285 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S1399004714012267) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25195742)
- 18. Morris, R., Kershaw, N. J., and Babon, J. J. (2018) The molecular details of cytokine signaling via the JAK/STAT pathway. *Protein Sci.* **27,** 1984–2009 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pro.3519) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30267440)
- 19. Matadeen, R., Hon, W. C., Heath, J. K., Jones, E. Y., and Fuller, S. (2007) The dynamics of signal triggering in a gp130–receptor complex. *Structure* **15,** 441–448 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2007.02.006) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17437716)
- 20. Schleinkofer, K., Dingley, A., Tacken, I., Federwisch, M., Müller-Newen, G., Heinrich, P. C., Vusio, P., Jacques, Y., and Grötzinger, J. (2001) Identification of the domain in the human interleukin-11 receptor that mediates ligand binding. *J. Mol. Biol.* **306,** 263–274 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4387) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11237599)
- 21. Varghese, J. N., Moritz, R.L., Lou, M. Z., Van Donkelaar, A., Ji, H., Ivancic, N., Branson, K.M., Hall, N. E., and Simpson, R. J. (2002) Structure of the extracellular domains of the human interleukin-6 receptor α -chain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **99,** 15959–15964 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.232432399) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12461182)
- 22. Bork, P., Holm, L., and Sander, C. (1994) The immunoglobulin fold: structural classification, sequence patterns and common core. *J. Mol. Biol.* **242,** 309–320 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1994.1582) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7932691)
- 23. Krissinel, E., and Henrick, K. (2007) Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J. Mol. Biol.* **372,** 774–797 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.05.022) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17681537)
- 24. Ortega, A., Amorós, D., and García de la Torre, J. (2011) Prediction of hydrodynamic and other solution properties of rigid proteins from atomic- and residue-level models. *Biophys. J.* **101,** 892–898 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2011.06.046) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21843480)
- 25. Brown, R. J., Adams, J. J., Pelekanos, R. A., Wan, Y., McKinstry, W. J., Palethorpe, K., Seeber, R. M., Monks, T. A., Eidne, K. A., Parker, M. W., and Waters, M. J. (2005) Model for growth hormone receptor activation based on subunit rotation within a receptor dimer. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **12,** 814–821 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsmb977) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16116438)
- 26. Giese, B., Roderburg, C., Sommerauer, M., Wortmann, S. B., Metz, S., Heinrich, P. C., and Müller-Newen, G. (2005) Dimerization of the cytokine receptors gp130 and LIFR analysed in single cells. *J. Cell Sci.* **118,** 5129–5140 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jcs.02628) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16254248)
- 27. Vollmer, P., Oppmann, B., Voltz, N., Fischer, M., and Rose-John, S. (1999) A role for the immunoglobulin-like domain of the human IL-6 receptor: intracellular protein transport and shedding. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **263,** 438–446 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1327.1999.00511.x) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10406952)
- 28. Lee, C. G., Hartl, D., Matsuura, H., Dunlop, F. M., Scotney, P. D., Fabri, L. J., Nash, A. D., Chen, N. Y., Tang, C. Y., Chen, Q., Homer, R. J., Baca, M., and Elias, J. A. (2008) Endogenous IL-11 signaling is essential in Th2- and IL-13-induced inflammation and mucus production. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **39,** 739–746 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2008-0053OC) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18617680)
- 29. Seabrook, S. A., and Newman, J. (2013) High-throughput thermal scanning for protein stability: making a good technique more robust. *ACS Comb. Sci.* **15,** 387–392 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/co400013v) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23710551)
- 30. Wang, X.-M., Wilkin, J.-M., Boisteau, O., Harmegnies, D., Blanc, C., Montero-Julian, A. A., Jacques, Y., Content, J., and Vandenbussche, P. (2002) Engineering and use of 32P-labeled human recombinant interleukin-11 for receptor binding studies. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **269,** 61–68 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.0014-2956.2002.02622.x) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11784299)
- 31. Jung, Y., Ahn, H., Kim, D. S., Hwang, Y. R., Ho, S. H., Kim, J. M., Kim, S., Ma, S., and Kim, S. (2011) Improvement of biological and pharmacokinetic features of human interleukin-11 by site-directed mutagenesis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **405,** 399–404 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.01.041) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21238428)
- 32. Bobby, R., Robustelli, P., Kralicek, A. V., Mobli, M., King, G. F., Grötzinger, J., and Dingley, A. J. (2014) Functional implications of large backbone amplitude motions of the glycoprotein 130-binding epitope of interleukin-6. *FEBS J.* **281,** 2471–2483 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/febs.12800) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24712547)
- 33. Yao, S., Smith, D. K., Hinds, M. G., Zhang, J. G., Nicola, N. A., and Norton, R. S. (2000) Backbone dynamics measurements on leukemia inhibitory factor, a rigid four-helical bundle cytokine. *Protein Sci.* **9,** 671–682 [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10794409)
- 34. Zhao, H., Mayer, M. L., and Schuck, P. (2014) Analysis of protein interactions with picomolar binding affinity by fluorescence-detected sedimentation velocity. *Anal. Chem.* **86,** 3181–3187 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac500093m) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24552356)
- 35. Scott, D. J., Gunn, N. J., Yong, K. J., Wimmer, V. C., Veldhuis, N. A., Challis, L. M., Haidar, M., Petrou, S., Bathgate, R. A. D., and Griffin, M. D. W. (2018) A novel ultra-stable, monomeric green fluorescent protein for direct volumetric imaging of whole organs using CLARITY. *Sci. Rep.* **8,** 667 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18045-y) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29330459)
- 36. Rickert, M., Boulanger, M. J., Goriatcheva, N., and Garcia, K. C. (2004) Compensatory energetic mechanisms mediating the assembly of signaling complexes between interleukin-2 and its α , β , and γ c receptors. *J. Mol. Biol.* **339,** 1115–1128 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004.04.038) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15178252)
- 37. McElroy, C. A., Dohm, J. A., and Walsh, S. T. (2009) Structural and biophysical studies of the human IL-7/IL-7R α complex. *Structure* 17, 54 – 65 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2008.10.019) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19141282)
- 38. Zacharias, D. A., Violin, J. D., Newton, A. C., and Tsien, R. Y. (2002) Partitioning of lipid-modified monomeric GFPs into membrane microdomains of live cells. *Science* **296,** 913–916 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1068539) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11988576)
- 39. Zhao, H., Lomash, S., Glasser, C., Mayer, M. L., and Schuck, P. (2013) Analysis of high affinity self-association by fluorescence optical sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation of labeled proteins: opportunities and limitations. *PLoS One* **8,** e83439 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083439) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24358283)
- 40. Murphy, K. P., Xie, D., Garcia, K. C., Amzel, L. M., and Freire, E. (1993) Structural energetics of peptide recognition: angiotensin II/antibody binding. *Proteins* **15,** 113–120 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prot.340150203) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8441749)
- 41. Gómez, J., and Freire, E. (1995) Thermodynamic mapping of the inhibitor site of the aspartic protease endothiapepsin. *J. Mol. Biol.* **252,** 337–350 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1995.0501) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7563055)
- 42. Murphy, K. P., and Freire, E. (1992) Thermodynamics of structural stability and cooperative folding behavior in proteins. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **43,** 313–361 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3233(08)60556-2) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1442323)
- 43. Lyskov, S., and Gray, J. J. (2008) The RosettaDock server for local protein–protein docking. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36,** W233–W238 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn216) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442991)
- 44. Lyskov, S., Chou, F. C., Conchúir, S. Ó., Der, B. S., Drew, K., Kuroda, D., Xu, J., Weitzner, B. D., Renfrew, P. D., Sripakdeevong, P., Borgo, B., Havranek, J. J., Kuhlman, B., Kortemme, T., Bonneau, R., *et al.* (2013) Serverification of molecular modeling applications: the Rosetta Online Server that Includes Everyone (ROSIE). *PLoS One* **8,** e63906 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063906) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23717507)
- 45. Barton, V. A., Hudson, K. R., and Heath, J. K. (1999) Identification of three distinct receptor binding sites of murine interleukin-11. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274,** 5755–5761 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.9.5755) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10026196)

- 46. Tacken, I., Dahmen, H., Boisteau, O., Minvielle, S., Jacques, Y., Grötzinger, J., Küster, A., Horsten, U., Blanc, C., Montero-Julian, F. A., Heinrich, P. C., and Müller-Newen, G. (1999) Definition of receptor binding sites on human interleukin-11 by molecular modeling-guided mutagenesis. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **265,** 645–655 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1327.1999.00755.x) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10504396)
- 47. Czupryn, M., Bennett, F., Dube, J., Grant, K., Scoble, H., Sookdeo, H., and McCoy, J. M. (1995) Alanine-scanning mutagenesis of human interleukin-11: identification of regions important for biological activity. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **762,** 152–164 [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7668526)
- 48. Dagil, R., Knudsen, M. J., Olsen, J. G., O'Shea, C., Franzmann, M., Goffin, V., Teilum, K., Breinholt, J., and Kragelund, B. B. (2012) The WS*X*WS motif in cytokine receptors is a molecular switch involved in receptor activation: insight from structures of the prolactin receptor. *Structure* **20,** 270–282 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2011.12.010) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22325776)
- 49. Luft, J. R., and DeTitta, G. T. (1999) A method to produce microseed stock for use in the crystallization of biological macromolecules. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **55,** 988–993 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0907444999002085) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10216295)
- 50. Aragão, D., Aishima, J., Cherukuvada, H., Clarken, R., Clift, M., Cowieson, N. P., Ericsson, D. J., Gee, C. L., Macedo, S., Mudie, N., Panjikar, S., Price, J. R., Riboldi-Tunnicliffe, A., Rostan, R., Williamson, R., *et al.* (2018) MX2: a high-flux undulator microfocus beamline serving both the chemical and macromolecular crystallography communities at the Australian Synchrotron. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **25,** 885–891 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S1600577518003120) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29714201)
- 51. Kabsch, W. (2010) XDS. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66,** 125–132 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909047337) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20124692)
- 52. Evans, P. (2006) Scaling and assessment of data quality.*Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **62,** 72–82 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0907444905036693) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16369096)
- 53. Evans, P. R., and Murshudov, G. N. (2013) How good are my data and what is the resolution? *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **69,** 1204–1214 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0907444913000061) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23793146)
- 54. McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C., and Read, R. J. (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **40,** 658–674 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0021889807021206) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19461840)
- 55. Afonine, P. V., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Echols, N., Headd, J. J., Moriarty, N. W., Mustyakimov, M., Terwilliger, T. C., Urzhumtsev, A., Zwart, P. H., and Adams, P. D. (2012) Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **68,** 352–367 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0907444912001308) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22505256)
- 56. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G., and Cowtan, K. (2010) Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66,** 486–501 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20383002)
- 57. Shindyalov, I. N., and Bourne, P. E. (1998) Protein structure alignment by incremental combinatorial extension (CE) of the optimal path. *Protein Eng.* **11,** 739–747 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/protein/11.9.739) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9796821)
- 58. Schuck, P. (2000) Size-distribution analysis of macromolecules by sedimentation velocity ultracentrifugation and lamm equation modeling. *Biophys. J.* **78,** 1606–1619 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76713-0) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10692345)
- 59. Laue, T. M., Shah, B. D., Ridgeway, T. M., and Pelletier, S. L. (1992) *Analytical Ultracentrifugation in Biochemistry and Polymer Science*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK
- 60. Zhao, H., and Schuck, P. (2015) Combining biophysical methods for the analysis of protein complex stoichiometry and affinity in SEDPHAT. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **71,** 3–14 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S1399004714010372) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25615855)
- 61. Kirby, N. M., Mudie, S. T., Hawley, A. M., Cookson, D. J., Mertens, H. D. T., Cowieson, N., and Samardzic-Boban, V. (2013) A low-background-intensity focusing small-angle X-ray scattering undulator beamline. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **46,** 1670–1680 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S002188981302774X)
- 62. Kirby, N., Cowieson, N., Hawley, A. M., Mudie, S. T., McGillivray, D. J., Kusel, M., Samardzic-Boban, V., and Ryan, T. M. (2016) Improved radiation dose efficiency in solution SAXS using a sheath flow sample environment. *Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol.* **72,** 1254–1266 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S2059798316017174) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27917826)
- 63. Ryan, T. M., Trewhella, J., Murphy, J. M., Keown, J. R., Casey, L., Pearce, F. G., Goldstone, D. C., Chen, K., Luo, Z., Kobe, B., McDevitt, C. A., Watkin, S. A., Hawley, A. M., Mudie, S. T., Samardzic Boban, V., *et al.* (2018) An optimized SEC-SAXS system enabling high X-ray dose for rapid SAXS assessment with correlated UV measurements for biomolecular structure analysis:. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **51,** 97–111 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S1600576717017101)
- 64. Panjkovich, A., and Svergun, D. I. (2018) CHROMIXS: automatic and interactive analysis of chromatography-coupled small-angle X-ray scattering data. *Bioinformatics* **34,** 1944–1946 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx846) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29300836)
- 65. Franke, D., Petoukhov, M. V., Konarev, P. V., Panjkovich, A., Tuukkanen, A., Mertens, H. D. T., Kikhney, A. G., Hajizadeh, N. R., Franklin, J. M., Jeffries, C. M., and Svergun, D. I. (2017) ATSAS 2.8: a comprehensive data analysis suite for small-angle scattering from macromolecular solutions. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **50,** 1212–1225 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S1600576717007786) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28808438)
- 66. Barberato, C., and Koch, M. H. J. (1995) CRYSOL: a program to evaluate X-ray solution scattering of biological macromolecules from atomic coordinates. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **28,** 768–773 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0021889895007047)
- 67. Franke, D., and Svergun, D. I. (2009) DAMMIF, a program for rapid *abinitio* shape determination in small-angle scattering. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **42,** 342–346 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0021889809000338) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27630371)
- 68. Volkov, V. V., and Svergun, D. I. (2003) Uniqueness of *ab initio* shape determination in small-angle scattering. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **36,** 860–864 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0021889803000268)
- 69. Svergun, D. (1999) Restoring low resolution structure of biological macromolecules from solution scattering using simulated annealing. *Biophys. J.* **76,** 2879–2886 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(99)77443-6) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10354416)
- 70. Phillips, J. C., Braun, R., Wang, W., Gumbart, J., Tajkhorshid, E., Villa, E., Chipot, C., Skeel, R. D., Kalé, L., and Schulten, K. (2005) Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J. Comput. Chem.* **26,** 1781–1802 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20289) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16222654)
- 71. Brooks, B. R., Brooks, I. I. I., Mackerell, C. L., Jr., Nilsson, A. D. L., Petrella, R. J., Roux, B., Won, Y., Archontis, G., Bartels, C., Boresch, S., Calfish, A., Caves, L., Cui, Q., Dinner, R., Feig, M., *et al.* (2009) CHARMM: the biomolecular simulation program. *J. Comput. Chem.* **30,** 1545–1614 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21287) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19444816)
- 72. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A., and Schulten, K. (1996) VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J. Mol. Graph.* **14,** 33–38, 27–28 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8744570)
- 73. Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M.N., and Sternberg, M.J. (2015) The Phyre2 web portal for protein modelling, prediction, and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **10,** 845–858 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.053) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25950237)
- 74. Brautigam, C. A., Zhao, H., Vargas, C., Keller, S., and Schuck, P. (2016) Integration and global analysis of isothermal titration calorimetry data for studying macromolecular interactions. *Nat. Protoc.* **11,** 882–894[CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.044) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27055097)
- 75. Keller, S., Vargas, C., Zhao, H., Piszczek, G., Brautigam, C. A., and Schuck, P. (2012) High-precision isothermal titration calorimetry with automated peak shape analysis. *Anal. Chem.* **84,** 5066–5073 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac3007522) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22530732)
- 76. Chaudhury, S., Berrondo, M., Weitzner, B. D., Muthu, P., Bergman, H., and Gray, J. J. (2011) Benchmarking and analysis of protein docking performance in Rosetta v3.2. *PLoS One* **6,** e22477 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022477) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21829626)
- 77. Marley, J., Lu, M., and Bracken, C. (2001) A method for efficient isotopic labeling of recombinant proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR* **20,** 71–75 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1011254402785) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11430757)
- 78. Delaglio, F., Grzesiek, S., Vuister, G. W., Zhu, G., Pfeifer, J., and Bax, A. (1995) NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. *J. Biomol. NMR* **6,** 277–293 [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8520220)
- 79. Lee, W., Tonelli, M., and Markley, J. L. (2015) NMRFAM-SPARKY: enhanced software for biomolecular NMR spectroscopy. *Bioinformatics* **31,** 1325–1327 [CrossRef](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu830) [Medline](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25505092)