

support from the law-enforcement agencies to facilitate the movement of these patients to the treatment centers. Moreover, treatment-seeking will remain low despite the lifting of restrictions unless the safety concerns of this group are addressed adequately.

In a binary logistic regression model, number of days since last use of alcohol (odds ratio, 0.90 [95% confidence interval, 0.84–0.97], $P = 0.007$) was the only variable independently associated (inverse association) with attempt to seek alcohol during the lockdown period.

There is a need to address barriers to help-seeking going ahead as we continue to deal with COVID-19 in the coming months. A contingency plan should be put in place to ensure continuity of care for persons with alcohol use disorder in such extraordinary situations.

Acknowledgment


We acknowledge the support of Professor Ravinder Goswami, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi; and Professor Ramakrishnan Lakshmy, Department of Cardiac Biochemistry, AIIMS, New Delhi. The project was funded by the Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi, India through a research grant.

Disclosure statement

None declared.

References

1. Poyyal S. The lockdown shows India's ill-preparedness to deal with rampant alcoholism. *Re:Set* 2020. [Cited 20 April 2020.] Available from URL <https://resetfest.com/the-lockdown-shows-indias-ill-preparedness-to-deal-with-rampant-alcoholism/>
2. Lachenmeier DW, Rehm J, Gmel G. Surrogate alcohol: What do we know and where do we go? *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 2007; **31**: 1613–1624.
3. D'Silva J. India's problem with toxic alcohol. *BMJ* 2015; **351**: h4536.
4. Andersohn F, Kiefer F. Depressive mood and craving during alcohol withdrawal: Association and interaction. *Ger. J. Psychiatr.* 2004; **7**: 6–11.
5. Wetterling T, Junghanns K. Psychopathology of alcoholics during withdrawal and early abstinence. *Eur. Psychiatry* 2000; **15**: 483–488.
6. Balhara YPS. A curious case of the World Health Organization's (WHO) approach on alcohol use disorders: Inferences from the WHO list of essential drugs. *Addiction* 2013; **108**: 2030.
7. Feng S, Grépin KA, Chunara R. Tracking health seeking behavior during an Ebola outbreak via mobile phones and SMS. *NPJ Digit. Med* 2018; **1**: 51.

Yatan Pal Singh Balhara, MD, DNB, MNAMS  Swarndeep Singh, MD and Parul Narang, BDS

National Drug Dependence Treatment Center and Department of Psychiatry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India
Email: ypsbalhara@gmail.com

Received 15 May 2020; accepted 20 May 2020.

E-mental health options in the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond

doi:10.1111/pcn.13079

According to the United Nations, there is a high risk that the COVID-19 crisis will evolve into a mental health crisis if no immediate action is taken.¹ Potential causes of psychological distress during the pandemic are many, including fear of infection and consequences of physical and social distancing (e.g., loneliness) or economic turmoil (e.g., job loss). The United Nations recommends the widespread availability and use of mental

health care and psychosocial support as a means to minimize the psychological consequences of the COVID-19 crisis.¹ However, mental health care is often underfunded and structurally poorly prepared for the challenges ahead. Currently, there are also unique challenges to contact-based mental health services, such as risk of infection (or fear thereof) in inpatient settings or in community initiatives (e.g., self-help groups). Thus, the transmissibility of COVID-19 via direct contact hinders many forms of traditional treatment options in mental health care.

To date, there is common agreement that e-mental health provides valuable options for mental health care during the pandemic.^{1–4} E-mental health encompasses the use of digital technologies to deliver, support, or enhance mental health services.⁵ For example, during the pandemic in China, e-mental health options (e.g., online psychological counseling, online mental health education, and online psychological self-help interventions) were widely used.² In Germany, reimbursement possibilities for recently deregulated video consultations have been expanded in response to the COVID-19 outbreak. Thus, the pandemic may accelerate regulatory processes required for e-mental health services. As a quick emergency response, governments worldwide should expand the legal frameworks required for the application and reimbursement of e-mental health options.

The COVID-19 crisis does not only lead to short-term psychological difficulties, but negative long-term mental health consequences are also expected.¹ In light of the growing demand and expected economic turmoil, which may limit resources, sustainable, innovative, and cost-effective solutions in mental health care are needed in the long term. The current crisis provides an opportunity to align mental health-care policies with the current state of knowledge regarding the effectiveness of e-mental health options.⁶ National health policy-makers should further accelerate e-mental health options. To meet this aim, sustainable policy measures are needed that include adequate funding and reimbursement strategies, but also high standards of usability and rigorous quality control for e-mental health products. Importantly, not all available e-mental health options must necessarily be implemented or reimbursed. For example, thus far, the evidence for the effectiveness of standalone apps in mental health care is rather limited.⁷ Adequately funded research is needed to assess how the applicability and effectiveness of e-mental health options in routine practice and future crises can be further improved, taking into account users' perspectives.^{8,9} Many mental health professionals are gaining firsthand experience with e-mental health options in the current pandemic, which may positively influence attitudes towards their use in clinical practice. Specific training of mental health professionals will be necessary to meet quality standards for safe and effective use of e-mental health options. In sum, we support current calls for the upscaling of e-mental health options in the face of the COVID-19 crisis. Additionally, sustainable policy solutions, training capacities, and adequate research funding are imperative to ensuring the long-term uptake, acceptance, and quality of e-mental health options.

Disclosure statement

Professor Gaebel and Dr Stricker have nothing to disclose.

References

1. United Nations. Policy Brief: COVID-19 and the Need for Action on Mental Health. 2020. [Cited 18 Jun 2020.] Available from URL: https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/un_policy_brief-covid_and_mental_health_final.pdf
2. Liu S, Yang L, Zhang C *et al.* Online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020; **7**: E17–E18.
3. Torous J, Myrick KJ, Rauseo-Ricupero N, Firth J. Digital mental health and COVID-19: Using technology today to accelerate the curve on access and quality tomorrow. *JMIR Mental Health* 2020; **7**: e18848.
4. Wind TR, Rijkeboer M, Andersson G, Riper H. The COVID-19 pandemic: The 'black swan' for mental health care and a turning point for e-health. *Internet Interv.* 2020; **20**: 100317.
5. World Psychiatric Association. WPA position statement on e-mental health. 2017. [Cited 18 Jun 2020.] Available from URL: https://3ba346defde6-473f-b1da-536498661f9c.filesusr.com/ugd/e172f3_6c100e940fbc4c6e91a79c9dd98193d.pdf

6. Yellowlees P, Shore JH. *Telepsychiatry and Health Technologies: A Guide for Mental Health Professionals*. American Psychiatric Association Publishing, Washington, DC, 2018.
7. Weisel KK, Fuhrmann LM, Berking M, Baumeister H, Cuijpers P, Ebert DD. Standalone smartphone apps for mental health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *NPJ Digit. Med.* 2019; **2**: 118.
8. Gaebel W, Lukies R, Kerst A *et al.* Upscaling e-mental health in Europe: A six-country qualitative analysis and policy recommendations from the eMEN project. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-020-01133-y>
9. E-mental Health Innovation and Transnational Implementation Platform North-West Europe (eMEN), Gaebel W, Trost N, Diekmann S, Lukies R, Zielasek J. Transnational policy for e-mental health: A guidance document for European policymakers and stakeholders. Co-funded by the EU Interreg North-West-Europe Programme. 2020. [Cited 18 Jun 2020.] Available from URL: https://www.nweurope.eu/media/10450/emn_transnational-policy-for-e-mental-health_guidance-document_3-2020.pdf

Wolfgang Gaebel, MD,^{1,2†} and Johannes Stricker, PhD^{1,2‡}

¹Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical Faculty, LVR-Klinikum Düsseldorf, Heinrich-Heine-University, and ²WHO Collaborating Centre on Quality Assurance and Empowerment in Mental Health, Düsseldorf, Germany

Email: wolfgang.gaebel@uni-duesseldorf.de

[†]Both authors contributed equally to this manuscript.
Received 29 May 2020; accepted 31 May 2020.

Mental health services in Italy during the COVID-19 pandemic

doi:10.1111/pcn.13082

To date, very little is known about the way in which mental health systems worldwide are facing the current COVID-19 global health emergency.^{1–3} Italy was the first Western country to be severely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, and only local reports relating to Italian psychiatric services have been published so far.^{4–7} In this short report, we present preliminary data emerging from a survey conducted by the Italian Society of Psychiatry to study the impact of the current emergency on the functioning of the Italian Departments of Mental Health (MHD), comprising community mental health centers (CMHC), residential facilities (RF), and psychiatric wards in general hospitals (GHPW).

Between 1 and 11 April 2020, all heads of the MHD received a 40-item multiple-choice questionnaire focused on CMHC, and a 30-item questionnaire on GHPW. Responses were analyzed according to geographical area and to the rates of COVID-19 cases per 1000 inhabitants in the reference area. To date, 71 questionnaires have been returned from the 134 Italian MHD (52.9%) and 107 from the 318 (33.6%) GHPW.

A total of 14% of CMHC have been closed and approximately 25% have reduced their hours of access. A decrease has been registered (approximately –78%) in the number of operational day hospitals, which are largely involved in the clinical monitoring and treatment of subacute but not severe cases, whilst an even greater reduction (–85%) has been observed in the number of operational day centers focusing on psychosocial and rehabilitation activities. Only RF, units specifically deputed to medium to long-term rehabilitation, have remained almost fully operational. The routine mode of operation in CMHC has changed substantially. Urgent psychiatric consultations, both on-site and at home, are continuing as usual, in the same way as interventions for compulsory treatments, psychiatric prison consultations, and on-site and home administration of long-acting injectable antipsychotics; however, all other activities have been affected by a significant decrease. Indeed, scheduled psychiatric consultations, both at home and on-site, have only gone ahead for selected cases, being replaced in approximately 75% of cases by

scheduled remote contact, mainly phone calls (100% of MHD), videocalls (67%), or emails (19%), with 41% of units adopting all these means of contact. All other activities have been affected by a significant decrease, including psychiatric consultations for general hospitals (approximately –25%), individual psychotherapies (approximately –60%), group psychotherapies and psychosocial interventions (approximately –90% and –95%), and monitoring of cases manifested in RF (–40%) and among offenders affected by mental disorders assigned by the Court to CMHC (–40%). COVID+ cases have been registered among both staff members (52% of CHMC) and facility users (52% of CHMC), although slightly lower rates have been reported for residents living in RF (less than 40% of RF). As expected, a significantly higher number of cases have been reported in the northern Italian regions (i.e., areas featuring the highest rates of infection). Finally, a limited number of CMHC (21%) have reported cases of increased aggressiveness or violence, either towards the self or others, among community patients, with 8.6% constituting severe cases.

Major issues in the supply of personal protective equipment for staff members have been reported, particularly for infrared thermometers, high-protection masks, safety glasses, and disposable gloves. A certain reduction in the number of GHPW wards (–13%) has been observed, largely due to conversion into general COVID-19 units for positive patients, as well as in the number of beds available (approximately –30%) due to a need to increase the distance between patients and to set up isolation rooms. An overall reduction of admissions has been registered (87% of GHPW), partly due to a restriction of scheduled admissions (64% of GHPW). Only 8% of GHPW have reported an increase in compulsory admissions. The vast majority of GHPW have continued to guarantee psychiatric consultations for emergency rooms and medical and surgical units, with psychiatric consultations for COVID-19 units being performed in approximately one-fifth of GHPW. Mood disorders, psychoses, anxiety disorders, and attempted suicides represent the most frequent reasons for consultations. Only 8% of wards have registered an increased rate of violence towards the self or others among inpatients. Fifty percent of GHPW have reported the availability of swabs for patients, although only 20% of these are able to request swabs on both admission and discharge. Approximately 60% of GHPW have reported the admission of symptomatic, COVID+ psychiatric patients to general COVID-19 units, whilst severely ill and non-collaborative COVID+ patients are generally admitted to specific COVID-19 GHPW, or to purpose-adapted isolated areas of the wards. Indeed, although the Italian MHD has effectively succeeded in facing the challenges manifested and has implemented a widespread use of telepsychiatry, numerous issues related in particular to psychosocial interventions and family support will need to be addressed in the future should the current operational restrictions continue.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest. All authors contributed equally to this letter.

References

1. Li W, Yang Y, Liu ZH *et al.* Progression of mental health services during the COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 2020; **16**: 1732–1738.
2. Cui LB, Wang XH, Wang HN. Challenges facing coronavirus disease 2019: Psychiatric services for patients with mental disorders. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2020; **74**: 371–372.
3. Xiang YT, Zhao YJ, Liu ZH *et al.* The COVID-19 outbreak and psychiatric hospitals in China: Managing challenges through mental health service reform. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 2020; **16**: 1741–1744.
4. Sani G, Janiri D, Di Nicola M, Janiri L, Ferretti S, Chieffo D. Mental health during and after the COVID-19 emergency in Italy. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2020; **74**: 372.
5. Fagioli A, Cuomo A, Frank E. COVID-19 diary from a psychiatry department in Italy. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 2020; **81**: 20com13357.
6. de Girolamo G, Cerveri G, Clerici M *et al.* Mental health in the coronavirus disease 2019 emergency—The Italian response. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.1276>