

Mounting Weight of Evidence on the Importance of Body Weight for Men With Prostate Cancer

Catherine H. Marshall, MD¹ and Corinne E. Joshi, MPH, PhD^{1,2}

Obesity is a well-established public health problem in the United States, with approximately 40% of people in the country categorized as obese.¹ Obesity is set to overtake tobacco as the leading cause of preventable cancer in the United States.² Among men with prostate cancer, obesity has been associated with a higher risk of recurrence after localized disease, higher risk of developing metastatic disease, and higher risk of prostate cancer–specific mortality.³ Observational evidence also suggests that even modest weight gain is associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer recurrence,^{4,5} fatal prostate cancer,⁶ and prostate cancer–specific mortality after a diagnosis of prostate cancer.⁷

Because observational studies of obesity and prostate cancer have focused on weight and weight change before or near the time of diagnosis, less is known about the impact of postdiagnostic obesity and/or postdiagnostic weight change on outcomes among these men. In the article accompanying this editorial, Troeschel et al⁸ help to answer how body mass index (BMI) after a diagnosis of prostate cancer, along with subsequent weight change, affects prostate cancer–specific, cardiovascular, and all-cause mortality among a large, prospective cohort of men with non-metastatic prostate cancer from the Cancer Prevention Study-II Nutrition Cohort.

Using data from 8,330 men, Troeschel et al⁸ evaluated the association between postdiagnostic obesity and prostate cancer mortality. Although risk was non-significantly higher overall, there was a significant positive association between postdiagnostic obesity and prostate cancer mortality among men with low-risk tumors (defined as T1/T2 or Gleason ≤ 7 tumors). Among the 6,942 men with repeated weight measures, men who gained $> 5\%$ of body weight after their prostate cancer diagnosis also had a higher risk of death resulting from prostate cancer when compared with men who maintained a stable weight, even after adjustment for baseline BMI. These are important observations, given men with nonmetastatic prostate cancer have been noted to have a long life expectancy.⁹ This highlights the importance not only of obesity avoidance but also of weight maintenance. It also brings forth an obvious question: if obesity and weight gain are risk factors for death resulting from prostate cancer, is weight loss protective?

Across disciplines, clinicians recommend weight loss for overweight and obese patients, given the negative effect obesity has on many clinical outcomes. However, there is a paucity of evidence within prostate cancer as to whether weight loss improves cancer-specific outcomes. In this study, weight loss was not associated with prostate cancer mortality. However, the evaluation of self-reported weight loss, which could be a consequence of underlying illness, is not the same as an evaluation of the impact of intentional weight loss among men with prostate cancer. Indeed, in a review of the National Cancer Institute research portfolio of a decade of studies on physical activity and energy balance among cancer survivors, officials implored researchers to evaluate the impact of weight loss interventions among cancer survivors on outcomes relevant to survivors and clinicians.¹⁰ Fortunately, accumulating evidence from completed and ongoing trials is now addressing the impact of weight loss on clinical outcomes among men with prostate cancer (ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers: [NCT03261271](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT03261271) and [NCT03971591](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT03971591)) and across disease types, including, for example, men with low- to intermediate-risk disease (PALS trial; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: [NCT02454517](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT02454517)) and men with biochemical recurrence (EMPOWER trial; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: [NCT04266431](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT04266431)).¹¹

Importantly, Troeschel et al⁸ also evaluated the influence of obesity and weight change on cardiovascular disease (CVD) –related mortality. Although weight change was not associated with CVD mortality, postdiagnostic obesity was associated with a significantly higher risk of CVD-related mortality. Androgen-deprivation therapy, the primary treatment for advanced prostate cancer, has also been associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular risk factors and mortality in some studies.¹² In sensitivity analyses where these men were removed, obese men still had a significantly higher risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. Although this association has been reported for men without prostate cancer, it is still important to keep in mind with regard to men with prostate cancer, because CVD is now the leading cause of noncancer death in men with prostate cancer.¹³

To better inform treatment decisions, particularly for men with advanced disease, more work is needed to

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

See accompanying article on page 2018

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Accepted on April 2, 2020 and published at ascopubs.org/journal/jco on May 5, 2020; DOI <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.20.00791>

© 2020 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

refine our understanding of the competing risk of CVD mortality in men with prostate cancer. For example, in addition to body composition, cardiorespiratory fitness is an important prognostic measure for all-cause mortality and, within the CVD literature, has been shown to be a stronger risk factor for incident CVD and all-cause mortality than traditional CVD risk factors.^{14,15} Emerging data suggest that cardiorespiratory fitness, a physiologic measure that represents the amount of energy used for activity,¹⁶ reflecting a combination of physical activity, genetics, and the overall functional health of various organ systems,¹⁷ is also an important prognostic tool for men with prostate cancer and has previously been demonstrated to be important for men and women with lung and colon cancer.¹⁸

In this study, weight loss of < 5% was also associated with an increased risk of death, although as previously noted, because this self-reported weight loss could have been a consequence of illness as opposed to a cause of illness, the authors appropriately caution against causal interpretation. To better understand the associations seen in this study of weight loss > 5% with increased risk of death, future studies may consider how body mass and weight are captured. As emerging evidence suggests that both fat mass and lean mass are important to health, it is unlikely that BMI alone, which does not distinguish between fat and

lean mass, provides a complete picture.¹⁹⁻²¹ More work is needed to determine which has more impact on health in men with prostate cancer: the accumulation of fat mass, the loss of lean mass, or a combination. Although this information has been difficult to collect because it required the use of an often cost-prohibitive dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry scan, emerging methods allow for an estimation of body composition from easier-to-collect anthropometrics and routinely collected computed tomography scans.^{22,23} Better understanding of the impact of body composition, particularly in the setting of weight change, will inform the types of interventions most beneficial for health.

In conclusion, Troeschel et al⁸ highlight the negative impact of obesity and weight gain on outcomes in men with nonmetastatic prostate cancer in their large, prospective cohort study. This study highlights the importance of considering prostate cancer-specific outcomes, as well as CVD outcomes, in men with prostate cancer and highlights areas for future research, including the impact of intentional weight loss and potential roles of fitness and body composition among men with prostate cancer. Furthermore, it emphasizes the increasing need to consider shared risk factors for both cancer and CVD for best management of both diseases.²⁴

AFFILIATIONS

¹Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

²Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Catherine H. Marshall, MD, Johns Hopkins Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, 201 N Broadway, Box 7, Baltimore, MD 21287; e-mail: chm@jhmi.edu.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Disclosures provided by the authors and data availability statement (if applicable) are available with this article at DOI <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.20.00791>.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Adult obesity facts. <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html>
- Sung H, Siegel RL, Rosenberg PS, et al: Emerging cancer trends among young adults in the USA: Analysis of a population-based cancer registry. *Lancet Public Health* 4:e137-e147, 2019
- Cao Y, Ma J: Body mass index, prostate cancer-specific mortality, and biochemical recurrence: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 4:486-501, 2011
- Joshy CE, Mondul AM, Menke A, et al: Weight gain is associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer recurrence after prostatectomy in the PSA era. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 4:544-551, 2011
- Whitley BM, Moreira DM, Thomas JA, et al: Preoperative weight change and risk of adverse outcome following radical prostatectomy: Results from the Shared Equal Access Regional Cancer Hospital database. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* 14:361-366, 2011
- Chen Q, Chen T, Shi W, et al: Adult weight gain and risk of prostate cancer: A dose-response meta-analysis of observational studies. *Int J Cancer* 138:866-874, 2016
- Bonn SE, Wiklund F, Sjölander A, et al: Body mass index and weight change in men with prostate cancer: Progression and mortality. *Cancer Causes Control* 25:933-943, 2014

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: All authors

Data analysis and interpretation: All authors

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Supported in part by National Institutes of Health Cancer Center Core Grant No. P30 CA006973 (C.H.M., C.E.J.) and American Cancer Society Grant No. Rsg-18-147-01-Cce (C.E.J.).

8. Troeschel AN, Hartman TJ, Jacobs EJ, et al: Postdiagnosis body mass index, weight change, and mortality from prostate cancer, cardiovascular disease, and all causes among survivors of nonmetastatic prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 38:2018-2027, 2020
9. Pound CR, Partin AW, Eisenberger MA, et al: Natural history of progression after PSA elevation following radical prostatectomy. *JAMA* 281:1591-1597, 1999
10. Alfano CM, Bluethmann SM, Tesouro G, et al: NCI funding trends and priorities in physical activity and energy balance research among cancer survivors. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 108:djv285, 2015
11. Demark-Wahnefried W, Rais-Bahrami S, Desmond RA, et al: Presurgical weight loss affects tumour traits and circulating biomarkers in men with prostate cancer. *Br J Cancer* 117:1303-1313, 2017
12. Melloni C, Roe MT: Androgen deprivation therapy and cardiovascular disease. *Urol Oncol* 38:45-52, 2020
13. Sturgeon KM, Deng L, Bluethmann SM, et al: A population-based study of cardiovascular disease mortality risk in US cancer patients. *Eur Heart J* 40:3889-3897, 2019
14. Aadahl M, Kjaer M, Kristensen JH, et al: Self-reported physical activity compared with maximal oxygen uptake in adults. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 14:422-428, 2007
15. Minder CM, Shaya GE, Michos ED, et al: Relation between self-reported physical activity level, fitness, and cardiometabolic risk. *Am J Cardiol* 113:637-643, 2014
16. Jetté M, Sidney K, Blümchen G: Metabolic equivalents (METs) in exercise testing, exercise prescription, and evaluation of functional capacity. *Clin Cardiol* 13:555-565, 1990
17. Carnethon MR, Evans NS, Church TS, et al: Joint associations of physical activity and aerobic fitness on the development of incident hypertension: Coronary artery risk development in young adults. *Hypertension* 56:49-55, 2010
18. Marshall CH, Al-Mallah MH, Dardari Z, et al: Cardiorespiratory fitness and incident lung and colorectal cancer in men and women: Results from the Henry Ford Exercise Testing (FIT) cohort. *Cancer* 125:2594-2601, 2019
19. Lee DH, Keum N, Hu FB, et al: Predicted lean body mass, fat mass, and all cause and cause specific mortality in men: Prospective US cohort study. *BMJ* 362:k2575, 2018
20. Srikanthan P, Horwich TB, Tseng CH: Relation of muscle mass and fat mass to cardiovascular disease mortality. *Am J Cardiol* 117:1355-1360, 2016
21. Abramowitz MK, Hall CB, Amodu A, et al: Muscle mass, BMI, and mortality among adults in the United States: A population-based cohort study. *PLoS One* 13:e0194697, 2018 [Erratum: *PLoS One* 13:e0198318, 2018]
22. Lee DH, Keum N, Hu FB, et al: Development and validation of anthropometric prediction equations for lean body mass, fat mass and percent fat in adults using the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999-2006. *Br J Nutr* 118:858-866, 2017
23. van Vugt JLA, Levolger S, Gharbharan A, et al: A comparative study of software programmes for cross-sectional skeletal muscle and adipose tissue measurements on abdominal computed tomography scans of rectal cancer patients. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 8:285-297, 2017
24. Handy CE, Quispe R, Pinto X, et al: Synergistic opportunities in the interplay between cancer screening and cardiovascular disease risk assessment: Together we are stronger. *Circulation* 138:727-734, 2018



AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Mounting Weight of Evidence on the Importance of Body Weight for Men With Prostate Cancer

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/authors/author-center.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians ([Open Payments](#)).

Catherine H. Marshall

Consulting or Advisory Role: McGraw-Hill Education, Bayer

Research Funding: Conquer Cancer Foundation/Bristol-Myers Squibb

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Dava Oncology, Bayer

No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.