REVIEW PAPER

Molecular and genetic bases of heat stress responses in crop plants and breeding for increased resilience and productivity



Michela Janni^{1,2,1}, Mariolina Gullì^{3,1}, Elena Maestri^{3,1}, Marta Marmiroli^{3,1}, Babu Valliyodan^{4,5,1}, Henry T. Nguyen⁴ and Nelson Marmiroli^{3,6,*}

¹ Institute of Bioscience and Bioresources (IBBR), National Research Council (CNR), Via Amendola 165/A, 70126 Bari, Italy

² Institute of Materials for Electronics and Magnetism (IMEM), National Research Council (CNR), Parco Area delle Scienze 37/A, 43124 Parma, Italy

³ Department of Chemistry, Life Sciences and Environmental Sustainability, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze, 11/A, 43124 Parma, Italy

⁴ Division of Plant Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

⁵ Lincoln University, Jefferson City, MO 65101, USA

⁶ CINSA Interuniversity Consortium for Environmental Sciences, Parma/Venice, Italy

* Correspondence: nelson.marmiroli@unipr.it

Received 1 May 2019; Editorial decision 14 January 2020; Accepted 20 January 2020

Editor: Christine Foyer, University of Birmingham, UK

Abstract

To ensure the food security of future generations and to address the challenge of the 'no hunger zone' proposed by the FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization), crop production must be doubled by 2050, but environmental stresses are counteracting this goal. Heat stress in particular is affecting agricultural crops more frequently and more severely. Since the discovery of the physiological, molecular, and genetic bases of heat stress responses, cultivated plants have become the subject of intense research on how they may avoid or tolerate heat stress by either using natural genetic variation or creating new variation with DNA technologies, mutational breeding, or genome editing. This review reports current understanding of the genetic and molecular bases of heat stress in crops together with recent approaches to creating heat-tolerant varieties. Research is close to a breakthrough of global relevance, breeding plants fitter to face the biggest challenge of our time.

Keywords: Breeding, climate change, cultivated plants, food crops, food security, global warming, heat stress, omics, phenomics.

Introduction

Current analysis conducted by scientific communities including NASA's Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) indicates that the average global temperature on Earth has increased by \sim 0.8 °C since 1880. Two-thirds of the warming has

occurred since 1975, at a rate of roughly 0.15–0.20 °C per decade (Lorenz *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in its last report assesses that even limiting global warming to just 1.5 °C would require

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

an unprecedented change in many aspects of society (https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/).

For many years, scientists have studied the physiological mechanisms underlying heat stress response (HSR) and tolerance in plants (Fahad *et al.*, 2017; Prasad *et al.*, 2017; Govindaraj *et al.*, 2018). However, after the discovery of the molecular responses and regulation of heat shock proteins (HSPs) and the activation of other genes, understanding of the HSR process became more mechanistic (Key *et al.*, 1981; Altschuler and Mascarenhas, 1982). Major advances have been made in the molecular mechanisms of HSR (Ohama *et al.*, 2017) and identification of genes or quantitative trait loci (QTLs) associated with heat tolerance in plants.

The production of plants with new alleles in HS-responsive genes and the possibility of testing these plants on innovative phenotyping platforms offer the opportunity fully to establish the role of these genes in the HSR and to develop crop plants with an increased tolerance to heat (Comastri *et al.*, 2018).

Temperature stress, crop yield, and food security

Temperature is one of the major environmental factors which affect plant growth, development, and yield. Temperatures persistently above optimal for plant growth may induce HS and reduce yields. At some threshold, they will be lethal. Extreme heat events can be measured in different ways: the maximum temperatures reached (intensity), how often the events occur (frequency), and how long they last (duration). HS has negative effects on crop physiology (e.g. decreased photosynthesis, increased respiration) and plant growth and yield (Prasad *et al.*, 2017). Another adverse effect of HS is the negative influence on the plant root system, which provides support, nutrient and water uptake, and transport to other plant organs (Valdés-López *et al.*, 2016), resulting in disrupted pollination, flowering, root development, and root growth stages (Sehgal *et al.*, 2017; Cho, 2018).

In many species, for both cool and warm seasons, yield reduction after HS is observed as a consequence of decreasing seedor fruit-set percentage (Otero et al., 2011; Shah et al., 2011; Singh and Jwa, 2013; Jangid and Dwivedi, 2016; Fahad et al., 2017; Sehgal et al., 2017; Comastri et al., 2018; Devasirvatham and Tan, 2018; Govindaraj et al., 2018). In wheat, exposure to short episodes (2-5 d) of HS (>24 °C) at the reproductive stage (start of heading) resulted in substantial damage to floret fertility, while a mean daily temperature of 35 °C caused total failure. Increasing the duration of high temperature at this stage reduced the grain weight linearly (Maestri et al., 2002; Prasad and Djanaguiraman, 2014); similarly for pea (Bhattacharya, 2019), lentil (Barghi et al., 2012), and chickpea (Wang et al., 2006). An extensive review on the threshold temperatures for several crop species was reported by Kaushal et al., 2016. Table 1 shows threshold temperatures in vegetative and reproductive development for several crop species, together with literature indications about the losses (or gains) attributed to HS alone.

HS can impair several physiological processes linked to seed size and quality. HS during grain filling markedly decreased starch accumulation in wheat (Hurkman *et al.*, 2003), rice

(Yamakawa and Hakata, 2010), and maize (Yang et al., 2018). The levels of sugars such as fructose, sugar nucleotides, and hexose phosphate also declined due to HS (Yang et al., 2018). The decrease in sugars may be related to assimilate utilization for purposes other than edible component production (Asthir et al., 2012). In maize, waxy grain starch content decreased, whereas protein content increased, resulting in a change of grain quality (Yang et al., 2018). Moreover, increasing temperature and CO₂ reduced protein and micronutrient content in grain (Chakraborty and Newton, 2011) and soybean (Li et al., 2018). In soybean under HS, the nutritional value of total free amino acids was reduced together with total protein concentration, while the oil concentration was significantly increased. As a general evaluation, reductions in total yield are mainly due to an alteration in balance of the source and sink activities that take place under HS.

The capacity of crop plants to overcome temperature stress has been interpreted in terms of avoidance, escape, or tolerance (Osmond *et al.*, 1987). Avoidance is any mechanism that permits the plant to be or to become non-susceptible to the stressor effect, annulling in this way most of its deleterious effects (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2013). In escape, plants can alter their growth cycle before the stress hits hard, such as anticipating reproduction or shedding vegetative structures. From the agronomic point of view, tolerance is certainly more interesting; being based on the endurance of the plant in stress conditions, it does not involve substantial modification of the growth habit with potential deleterious effects on yield. A heat-tolerant plant is therefore inclined to continue its growth cycle quite independently of the stress (Barnabás *et al.*, 2008).

The contributions of 'omics'

Conventional plant breeding strategies based mainly on phenotype selection and qualitative genetics have led breeders, after the green revolution, to achieve continuous increases in seed yield and improved yield stability, independently of the environmental cost of achieving them (Setia and Setia, 2008). However, the growing worldwide demand for enhancing yields of major crops is placing pressure on breeding programs to provide elite cultivars more adaptable to the ongoing changes. The complexity of the information needed to meet this challenge has prompted advances in understanding the biochemical and molecular processes that underlie important metabolic, physiological, and developmental traits which affect the ability of plants to cope with the upcoming climate change. This has provided new insights into how plants function, and promoted the development of new scientific disciplines, mainly based on high-throughput approaches.

The progress of 'omics' technologies, in particular genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, has enabled direct and unbiased monitoring of the factors affecting crop growth and yield in response to environmental threats. Overall, omics constitute powerful tools to reveal the complex molecular mechanisms underlying plant growth and development, and their interactions with the environment, which ultimately determine yield, nutritional value (Setia and Setia, 2008; Soda and Wallace, 2015), and the required level of agricultural inputs.

Table 1. Yield losses due to heat stress in cool and warm season crops

Species	Threshold temperatures for the species ^a	World production in 2017 [♭] (kg ha ⁻¹)	Average yield reduction (%)	Reference
Cool-season crops				
Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.)	Not reported	3136	15	Weichert et al. (2017)
Chick pea (Cicer arietinum L.)	15–30 °C for growth, 25 °C for reproductive growth	1015	19–50	Devasirvatham and Tan (2018)
Citrus (Citrus spp.)	35 °C for vegetative growth	9600	N/A	N/A
Lentils (Lens culinaris Medik.)	Not reported	1153	38–58	Sita <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.)	Not reported	29 993	50	Yan <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Wheat (Triticum spp.)	20–30 °C for vegetative growth, 15 °C for reproductive growth	3531	6	Lobell <i>et al.</i> (2011); Zampieri <i>et al.</i> (2017); Comastri <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Warm-season crops				
Grapes (Vitis vinifera L.)	Not reported	10 716	35–50	Greer and Weedon (2013)
Maize (<i>Zea may</i> s L.)	33–38 °C for photosynthesis and pollen viability	5755	7–40	Valdés-López <i>et al.</i> (2016); Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2017); Meseka <i>et al.</i> (2018); Prasad <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Peanut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.)	29–33 °C for vegetative growth, 39–40 °C for seed set and yield	1686	6	Prasad <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.)	Not reported	20 111	18–23	Hancock <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Rapeseed (Brassica napus L.)	About 30 °C for flowering	2195	Up to 85%	Koscielny et al. (2018); Sparks (2018)
Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)	33 °C for biomass, 35 °C limiting for grain formation and yield	4602	3	Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.)	26–34 °C for vegetative growth,	1416	17–44	Tack <i>et al.</i> (2017)
	40 °C for reproductive growth and yield	0054	0.7	Valdás Lázaz et al. 2010: Zhao et al.
Soybean [Giycine max (L.) Merr.]	development, 39 °C lethal	2854	3-7	(2017) valdes-Lopez <i>et al.</i> , 2016; Zhao <i>et al.</i>
Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.)	Not reported	1804	10–70	Debaeke <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)	37 °C for vegetative growth, 28–30 °C for reproductive development	37 600	28	Snider <i>et al.</i> (2012); Lamaoui <i>et al.</i> (2018)

^a Data from Luo (2011) and Kaushal et al. (2016).

^b Data obtained by world production and world cultivated extension for each crop, from FAOSTAT 2017, http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC/visualize.

The following sections provide some examples showing the successful application of omics in crop improvement.

Genomics and transcriptomics

Molecular responses to HS have been investigated in many plant species to identify the complex processes and pathways regulated in acclimation and protection against temperature stress (Qu et al., 2013; Driedonks et al., 2016). Regulation of gene expression through transcriptional and posttranscriptional mechanisms is certainly linked to both stress recognition and stress response; this aspect has been investigated at different stress levels and comparing different genetic resources. The conventional transcriptomic approach has been applied to study gene expression changes under stress conditions in many crop species, such as rice (Sarkar et al., 2014; González-Schain et al., 2016; Mangrauthia et al., 2017), tomato (Frank et al., 2009; Bita et al., 2011), barley (Mangelsen et al., 2011), maize (Frey et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2017b), wheat (Qin et al., 2008), soybean (Chung et al., 2013; Gillman et al., 2019), brassica (Dong et al., 2015), and grape (Liu et al., 2012a). More recently, application of advanced genome-wide transcriptome analyses has elucidated the roles of relevant genes from HS perception to signal transduction and stress response (Table 2). In general, most of the HS-responsive genes are involved in primary and secondary metabolism, translation, transcription, regulation, and responses to processes such as calcium, phytohormone, sugar, and lipid signaling, or protein modifications including phosphorylation (Jha *et al.*, 2014; Takahashi and Shinozaki, 2019). Up-regulation under HS conditions is confined mainly to transcription factors and HSPs.

The activation and production of heat shock factors (HSFs) and HSPs and the increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS)scavenging activity play a key role in the responses and acclimation of plants to HS (Maestri *et al.*, 2002; Driedonks *et al.*, 2016; Comastri *et al.*, 2018). ROS-related genes are within the main up-regulated category under HS in different plant species (Chao *et al.*, 2008; Chou *et al.*, 2012; Mittal *et al.*, 2012; Suzuki *et al.*, 2014) and have been associated with basal heat tolerance (Almeselmani *et al.*, 2006, 2009; Kang *et al.*, 2009; Bhattacharjee, 2012). Moreover, ROS play an important role as signal molecules involved in the transduction of intracellular and intercellular signals controlling gene expression and activity of anti-stress systems (Petrov and Van Breusegem, 2012), suggesting a role for ROS in the activation of HSFs during HS (Driedonks *et al.*, 2016).

Both HSFs and HSPs are central to the HSR and in the acquisition of thermotolerance in plants (Scharf *et al.*, 2012; Ohama *et al.*, 2017). As a result of advances in whole-genome sequencing, additional information has been gathered for the

Heat stress and food crops: a climate change perspective | 3783

Table 2. Review of the transcriptomic, proteomic and metabolomic analyses performed to dissect the mechanisms involved in the heat stress response

Species	Primary stress	Secondary stresses	Tissue or development stage analyzed	Simulation conditions	Molecular techniques applied	References
Barley	Heat	N/A	Anthesis	42 °C	Transcriptomics (Affymetrix Barley1 GeneChips)	Mangelsen <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Barley	Heat	Drought	Plant leaf	26 °C	Metabolomics IC-MS/MS	Templer <i>et al.,</i> (2017)
Barley	Heat	Mild drought and combination	Flag leaves	30 °C+50% FC	Transcriptomics (RNA-seq)	Cantalapiedra <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Chickpea	Heat	N/A	Whole plant development	Up to 42 °C	Proteomics (LC-MS)	Parankusam <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Chickpea	Heat	General oxidative stress	Plant leaf	37 °C	Targeted metabolomics	Salvi <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Citrus	Heat	Drought	Plant leaf	40 °C	Metabolomics GC-MS LC-MS	Zandalinas et al. (2017)
Grape	Heat	Various temperatures	Leaves	35, 40, and 45 °C	Proteomics iTRAQ LC-MS/MS	Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Grape	Heat	Followed by recovery	Plant leaves	43 °C	Proteomics (iTRAQ LC-MS/MS)	Liu <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Grapes	Heat	N/A	Leaves	45 °C	Transcriptomics (Affymetrix gene chip)	Liu <i>et al.</i> (2012a)
Lentils	Heat	N/A	Pods	Up to 33 °C	Enzyme activities	Sita <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Lentils	Heat	N/A	Leaves and pods	30–50 °C	Enzyme activities	Chakraborty and Pradhan (2011)
Lentils	Heat	Drought, also combined	Leaves and pods	30 °C	Enzyme activities	Sehgal <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Maize	Heat	Drought	Leaves	42 °C	Proteomics (iTRAQ LC-MS/MS)	Hu et al. (2015); Zhao et al. (2016)
Maize	Heat	Drought	Chloroplasts	42 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF/ TOF MS/MS)	Hu <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Maize	Heat	N/A	Seedlings	32–38 °C	Transcriptomics (RNA-seq)	Frey et al. (2015)
Maize	Heat	N/A	Seedlings	42 °C	Transcriptomics (RNA-seq)	Shi et al. (2017b)
Maize	Heat	High CO ₂	Plant leaf	32 °C	Metabolomics (GC-MS)	Qu et al. (2018)
Mung bean	Heat	Exogenous glutathione	Plant leaves	42°	Targeted lipidomics	Nahar <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Peanut	Heat	N/A	Seedlings	40 °C and 30 °C	Physiological measurements, metabolomics	Singh <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Peanut	Heat	N/A	Leaves	45 °C	Transcriptomics (qRT–PCR), physiological measurements	Chakraborty <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Potato	Heat	N/A	Leaves, tubers	Up to 30 °C	Metabolomic (GC/MS), physiological measurements, transcriptomic (microarray)	Hancock <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Potato	Heat, drought		Leaves	35 °C	Transcriptomics (RNAseq)	Tang <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Potato	Heat	Potato virus Y (PVY)	Leaves	28 °C	Transcriptomics (gRT–PCR)	Makarova et al. (2018)
Potato	Heat		Tubers, skin and phelloderm	33–35 °C	Transcriptomics (qRT–PCR)	Ginzberg et al. (2009)
Rapeseed	Heat	N/A	Flowering	Up to 35 °C	GWAs	Rahaman <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Rapeseed	Heat	N/A	Developing seed	Up to 35 °C	Transcriptomics (95k EST microarray)	Yu <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Rapeseed	Heat, drought	Combination of the two stresses		29±0.5 °C from the 38th day after sowing, 30% field capacity	Metabolomics, physiological measurements	Elferjani and Soolanayakanahally (2018)
Rice	Heat	N/A	Anthers	Up to 37 °C in	Proteomics (iTRAQ LC-MS/MS)	Mu et al. (2017)
Rice	Heat	N/A	Grain and Leaves	30 °C	Transcriptomics (gRT-PCR)	Phan <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Rice	Heat	Open field	Leaves, early milky stage of rice grains, spikelets	42/32, 38, 28 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF/TOF MS/MS)	Liao et al. (2014); Das et al. (2015)
Rice	Heat	Cold	Suspension cell	44 °C	Proteomics (label-free in-gel digestion together with LC-MS/MS)	Gammulla <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Rice	Heat	Various temperatures	Seedlings, anthesis	35 °C, 40 °C and 45 °C, 38 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF MS/MS)	Han <i>et al.</i> (2009); Jagadish <i>et al.</i> (2010)

Table 2. Continued

Species	Primary stress	Secondary stresses	Tissue or development stage analyzed	Simulation conditions	Molecular techniques applied	References
Rice	Heat	N/A	Anthers	38 °C	Proteomics (label-free in-gel digestion together with LC-MS/ MS)	Kim <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Rice	Heat	N/A	Plants at flowering	38 °C for 1 d	Transcriptomics (RNAseq, large-scale gRT–PCR)	González-Schain <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Rice	Heat	N/A	Seeds	Germination at 30 °C (control) and 42 °C (stress)	Transcriptomics (RNA-seq, qRT–PCR)	Mangrauthia <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Rice	Heat and drought	Sugar starvation	Floral organs		Metabolomic and Transcriptomics	Li <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Rice	Heat	Oxidative stress	10-day-old seedlings	42 ± 1 °C for 30 min with 10 mM H ₂ O ₂	Transcriptomics (60mer rice 44k array, gRT–PCR)	Mittal <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Rice	Heat	N/A	Pollen	37 °C	Targeted metabolomics	Feng <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Rice	Heat	N/A	Leaves	45 °C	Transcriptomics (RNA-seg)	Fang <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Rice	Heat	N/A	Grains	38 °C	Transcriptomics (BNA-seq)	Liao <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Dice	Heat		Diant loof	40.00	Target lipidemice	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Elabor}(2010) \\ \text{Sharma at al.} (2010) \\ \end{array} $
Dise	lleat			40 0		
RICE	Heal	Exogenous brassinosteroids	Plantieal	47 0	larget lipidomics	(2015)
Sorghum	Heat	Drought	Seedlings	28 °C and 50 °C, water withholding. Combination of the stress	Transcriptomics (microarray)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Soybean	Heat	High humidity	Pre-harvest seed	40 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF/TOF MS/MS)	Wang et al. (2012)
Soybean	Heat	N/A	3- to 4-week-old plants	45 °C for 3, 6, 24 h	Transcriptomics (qRT–PCR)	Chung <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Soybean	Heat	High CO ₂	Plant leaf	36 °C	Metabolomics (GC-MS)	Xu et al. (2016)
Sovbean	Heat	N/A	Roots	40 °C	Proteomics (LC-MS/MS):	Valdés-López <i>et al.</i>
					Transcriptomics (RNA-seg)	(2016)
Soybean	Heat	N/A	Dry, imbibed, or germinated seeds from heat-tolerant and heat-sensitive cultivars	Field conditions with heat stress	Transcriptomics (RNA-seq)	Gillman <i>et al.</i> (2019)
Spinach	Heat	N/A	Leaves	37 °C, 35 °C	Physiological, enzyme activity, proteomic (trypsin digestion and iTRAQ labeling), transcriptomics (NGS)	Yan <i>et al.</i> (2016); Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Sunflower	Heat	Light	Leaves and immature seed	37 °C	Transcriptomics	Hewezi <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Tomato	Heat	Melatonin		Up to 42 °C	Metabolomics	Xu et al. (2016)
Tomato	Heat	Oxidative stress	Flower buds	36 °C\25 °C for 3 months	Proteomics (SDS–PAGE followed	Mazzeo <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Tomato	Heat	Lipid antioxidant and galactolipid remodeling	5- to 6-week-old plants	38 °C	Metabolomics (MS)	Spicher et al. (2016)
Tomato	Heat	N/A	Different developmental stages of tomato pollen	38 °C	Proteomics (mass accuracy precursor alignment (MAPA) plus LC/MS)	Chaturvedi <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Tomato	Heat	Waterlogging in open field	Leaves	39 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF/TOF MS/MS)	Lin <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Tomato	Heat	N/A	Anthers	32 °C for 2, 6, 16 or 30 h	Transcriptomics (cDNA-AFLP; 90 K Custom TomatoArray 1.0)	Bita <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Tomato	Heat	N/A	Flower buds	43–45 °C for 2h	Transcriptomics (Affymetrix GeneChip® Tomato Genome Array)	Frank <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Tomato	Heat	N/A	week-old tomato plants	1 h at 39 °C	Transcriptomics (massive analysis of cDNA ends (MACE)	Fragkostefanakis <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Tomato	Heat	N/A	Pollen	38 °C	Metabolomics (LC-QTOF-MS plus LTQ Orbitrap XL, mass spectrometer)	Paupière <i>et al.</i> (2017)

Table 2. Continued

Species	Primary stress	Secondary stresses	Tissue or development stage analyzed	Simulation conditions	Molecular techniques applied	References
Tomato	Heat	N/A	Pollen tubes	37 °C	Targeted metabolomics	Muhlemann <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Tomato	Heat	Cold	Plant leaves	38, 20, 10 °C	Untargeted lipidomics LC/MS	Spicher et al. (2016)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Seeds in filling stage	37 °C for 4 h	Metabolomics, transcriptomics	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Wheat	Heat	Lipid alteration	Flowering	Up to 35 °C	Metabolomics	Narayanan <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Seedlings	Up to 42 °C	Transcriptomics	Comastri et al. (2018)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Seedlings	35 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF/TOF MS/MS)	Gupta <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Leaves, stems, and spikes, flag leaf	, 38 °C, 37 °C	Proteomics (iTRAQ LC-MS/MS)	Lu <i>et al.</i> (2017); Kumar <i>et al.</i> (2019)
Wheat	Heat	Open field	Grain	40 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF/TOF MS/MS)	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Wheat	Heat	Low nitrogen	Plant leaf	32 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF/TOF MS/MS)	Yousuf <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Wheat	Heat	Drought	Post-anthesis	35 °C	Proteomics (MALDI TOF/TOF MS/MS)	Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Flag leaf	35 °C	Proteomics	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Leaf of heat-susceptible 'Chinese Spring' (CS) and heat-tolerant 'TAM107' (TAM)	40 °C for 1 h with and without heat acclimation (34 °C, 3 h)	Transcriptomics (wheat genome array)	Qin <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Flag leaf, seedlings	37/17 °C for 3 days in anthesis stage	s Proteomics	Lu <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Spikelet post-anthesis	35 °C	Metabolomics (LC/HRMS)	Thomason et al. (2018)
Wheat	Heat	Drought	Seedlings	40 °C, 20 % (w/v) PEG-6000	Transcriptomics (RNA-seq)	Liu <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Plant leaf	35 °C	Lipidomics ICP-MS	Narayanan <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Pollen	35 °C	Lipidomics ICP-MS	Narayanan et al. (2018)
Wheat	Heat	N/A	Plant leaf	35 °C	Lipidomics ICP-MS/MS	Djanaguiraman <i>et al.</i> (2018)

Abbreviations: cDNA-AFLP, cDNA-amplified fragment length polymorphism; GWAS, genome-wide association study; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; IC-MS/ MS, ion chromatography tandem MS; ICP-MS, inductively coupled plasma MS; iTRAQ, isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation; LC/HRMS, liquid chromatography-high resolution MS; MACE, massive analysis of cDNA ends; MALDI TOF/TOF MS/MS, matrix assisted laser desorption/ionizationtime of flight tandem MS; MAPA, mass accuracy precursor alignment; N/A, not applicable; NGS, next-generation sequencing; qRT–PCR, quantitative reverse transcription–PCR; QTOF, quadrupole time of flight; RNA-seq, RNA sequencing,

Hsp gene family (Chen *et al.*, 2018). HS transcription factors (TFs) involved in heat sensing and signaling are highly conserved both structurally and functionally throughout the eukaryotic kingdom and are key players for the expression of *Hsp* genes (Scharf *et al.*, 2012). The *HSF* gene family has been identified and characterized in several crop species such as grape (Liu *et al.*, 2012b), soybean (Scharf *et al.*, 2012; Chung *et al.*, 2013), maize (Lin *et al.*, 2011), wheat (Xue *et al.*, 2014), rice (Mittal *et al.*, 2009; Jin *et al.*, 2013; Lavania *et al.*, 2018), and tomato (Yang *et al.*, 2016). Progress in genome annotation has led to the development of the specialized HEATSTER database (http://www.cibiv.at/services/hsf/info#anfang) which can identify and correctly annotate new *HSF* genes in plant species (Berz *et al.*, 2019).

The involvement of HSPs in the defense response following HS has been extensively reported in several plant species (Park and Seo, 2015; Comastri *et al.*, 2018). Gene expression analysis was performed in tomato leaves applying MACE (massive analysis of cDNA ends), which showed that 2203 genes (9.6% of the total) had enhanced transcript abundance in response to HS (Fragkostefanakis *et al.*, 2015). Those encoding small HSP

(sHSP) family members showed the strongest induction, with nine out of 100 *Hsp40* genes up-regulated in response to heat. *HSF* genes showed basal and stable expression in non-stressed conditions and, for some, a strong induction in response to HS. These studies also reported the importance of TFs in the regulation of HSR, since 92 of the genes up-regulated upon HS are TF genes (*bHLH*, *bZIP*, *ERF*, *MYB*, and *WRKY* families). These are well-known major elements in HSR and thermotolerance, highlighting the complex regulatory networks activated beyond those directly controlled by HSFs. The tomato HsfA1a TF seems to have a unique function as a master regulator for acquired thermotolerance and cannot be replaced by any other HSF (Scharf *et al.*, 2012).

In wheat, 6560 probe sets displayed changes in expression after heat treatment at 40 °C, with or without acclimation at 34 °C; representing *Hsp* genes, *HSF* genes, and genes encoding proteins involved in phytohormone biosynthesis/signaling, calcium and sugar signal pathways, RNA metabolism and ribosomes, as well as primary and secondary metabolism (Kotak *et al.*, 2007; Qin *et al.*, 2008). In barley, the effects of a shortterm HS on central developmental functions of the caryopsis were studied at the transcriptional level (Mangelsen *et al.*, 2011). Over 2000 differentially expressed genes were identified, equally divided between up- and down-regulation. The core HSR includes some conserved processes such as abscisic acid- (ABA) responsive gene activation, HSP-mediated protein folding, calcium signaling, ROS scavenging, and the biosynthesis of compatible solutes, which are rapidly induced. Within the down-regulated genes, it is noticeable that those involved in carbon and nitrogen metabolism and cellular growth, which determine long-term negative effects, differ significantly depending on the plant developmental stage at the time of the stress.

During grain development, the main negative effects of HS are related to the decreased accumulation of storage compounds, which can have a detrimental effect on both seed quality and final yield (Mangelsen *et al.*, 2011; Hurkman *et al.*, 2013). In wheat, HSPs can play a crucial role in gluten formation as a 'glue' between the reserve proteins (glutenins and gliadins) and starch (Maestri *et al.*, 2002). During flowering, a significant reduction in the expression of non-essential photosynthetic genes may constitute an energy-saving strategy to facilitate other key stress responses with the aim of maintaining sugar metabolism and consequently protecting pollen viability (X. Li *et al.*, 2015). Unraveling the complexity of high temperature tolerance may benefit from a systems biology approach (see Fig. 1).

Proteomics

Protein profiling under HS conditions helps to identify stressresponsive proteins, and the detailed investigation of these proteins reveals their function in stress tolerance mechanisms (Priya *et al.*, 2019). The synthesis and accumulation of HSPs is a prompt response after exposure to high temperature and it is considered one of the most important adaptive strategies to overcome the deleterious effects of HS (Wahid *et al.*, 2007; Keller and Simm, 2018). The majority of HSPs are molecular chaperones involved in protein stabilization and signal transduction during HS (Sung *et al.*, 2001; Arce *et al.*, 2018). HSPs prevent accumulation of proteins with anomalous conformations and eliminate non-native aggregations formed during stress, with ubiquitin-mediated degradation of these proteins (Kotak *et al.*, 2007).

Proteomics allows the study of the direct gene products, which often differ with the level of gene regulation. This technique has been applied to investigate heat responses in rice, wheat, tomato, maize, soybean, grape, and chickpea (for references, see Table 2). The types of technique utilized for these studies have been mostly 2D gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) for protein separation, followed by MS:MALDI-TOF (matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight), MS, or TOF/TOF MS/MS for protein isolation, and iTRAQ (isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation) with LC-MS/MS for relative quantification (Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Wiese *et al.*, 2007). Other techniques have been deployed including label-free in-gel digestion together with LC-MS/MS or Orbitrap; SDS–PAGE followed by LC-MS/MS; and mass accuracy precursor alignment (MAPA) plus LC-MS (Chaturvedi *et al.*, 2005).

2015). Many successful examples of proteomics applied to the study of HSPs and other HS-induced proteins have been reported (Malcevschi and Marmiroli, 2012; Table 2).

Different stages of plant development have been investigated using proteomics, from seedling, to flower (anthers and pollen especially in rice and tomato), to fruit, but also spikelet and grain maturation. In rice, where HS has been studied in isolation, all classes of HSPs (high and low molecular weight) have been found among the predominant protein categories, although other protein types and Gene Ontology (GO) classes varied extensively according to the part of the plant investigated. For instance, in pollen and anthers, late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins were present in high numbers. Interestingly, proteins related to oxidative stress were identified in most of the studies on high HS, alone or in combination with other stresses. ROS are produced during HSR, which results in an oxidative imbalance within the cell. Furthermore, chloroplast function and photosynthesis are both strongly affected by ROS generated during HS, and it seems that HSPs can prevent ROS damage to the photosystems or the organelle structure. As an example, in maize, it was found that sHSP26 protects chloroplasts under HS (Hu et al., 2015). Other GO categories involved were sugar metabolism, the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, regulatory proteins, and proteins that function in energy metabolism.

More information on stress-associated active proteins (SAAPs) in wheat has recently been reported (Kumar *et al.*, 2019). This study identified ~4272 SAAPs in wheat using absolute quantification methods. Some of the differentially abundant SAAPs identified were Rubisco, Rubisco activase (RCA), oxygen-evolving extrinsic protein (OEEP), HSP17, superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK). Pathway analysis showed the carbon assimilation pathway, followed by starch metabolism, to be most perturbed under HS in wheat. A positive correlation was established between the expression of SAAPs at transcript and protein levels in wheat under HS (Kumar *et al.*, 2019).

Adaptive responses to HS also involve various posttranslational modifications (PTMs) of proteins. There is a detailed literature on the effects of HS on PTMs such as protein phosphorylation in rice and wheat (Chen et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2014). Currently, >300 PTMs have been detected and partly characterized, and the numbers are increasing (Wu et al., 2016). HS has also been shown to enhance the small ubiquitin-like modification (SUMOylation) of particular proteins (Miller and Vierstra, 2011). SUMOvlation of accessible lysines on target proteins is mediated in plants by a sequential three enzyme conjugation pathway: the E1 activating enzyme heterodimer (SAE1a or b combined with SAE2), a single E2 conjugating enzyme (SCE1), and at least two E3 ligases (SIZ1 and MMS21/HPY2). In Arabidopsis thaliana L. (Heynh), the activity of the HS TF HSFA2 was shown to be regulated by SUMOylation (Cohen-Peer et al., 2010). Moreover, in potato, rapid changes in protein SUMOylation and serine phosphorylation were observed in response to HS (Colignon et al., 2019). In addition, the overexpression of SISIZ1 E3 ligase was shown to enhance heat tolerance in tomato (Zhang et al., 2018).



Fig. 1. Scatterplots obtained by multidimensional scaling of the matrix of biological process Gene Ontology (GO) terms across transcriptomic data obtained from heat-stressed tomato (A) and maize (B). The color of each spot indicates the level of enrichment from red (lowest p) to blue (highest p). The labels refer only to the most frequent GO terms. (A) Tomato transcripts identified by Fragkostefanakis *et al.* (2015) are analyzed through their Arabidopsis orthologs, 67 genes in total; (B) maize transcripts have been identified in Frey *et al.* (2015) as significantly induced, 454 genes in total. Enriched GO classes have been identified with AgriGO v.2 (http://systemsbiology.cau.edu.cn/agriGOv2/; Du *et al.*, 2010) and the results visualized with ReviGO (http:// revigo.irb.hr; Supek *et al.*, 2011).

Metabolomics and lipidomics

Major environmental stresses cause metabolic reorganization towards homeostasis, maintaining essential metabolism and synthesizing metabolites with stress-protective and signaling characteristics. In plants such as tomato (Paupière et al., 2017), maize (Qu et al., 2018), barley (Templer et al., 2017), wheat (Thomason et al., 2018), soybean (Xu et al., 2016), and citrus (Zandalinas et al., 2017), metabolism reprogramming under HS has been studied by untargeted metabolomics. For example, in maize, under CO₂ stress and a sudden HS, malate, valine, isoleucine, glucose, starch, sucrose, proline, glycine, and serine were successfully found as key players in the combined stress response (Qu et al., 2018). Under both heat and drought stress, amounts of amino acids, and antioxidants such as glutathione and α -tocopherol, were highly affected in two cultivars of barley (Templer et al., 2017); in particular, this combination of stresses led to accumulation of free amino acids in the leaf. In postanthesis wheat, the amounts of some amino acids increased, while others decreased [L-arginine, L-histidine, L-tryptophan. L-threonine, 4-aminobutanoate (GABA), L-aspartate, and L-phenylalanine]; sugars, sugar alcohols, and other organic compounds were among the major groups of metabolites whose concentration decreased in tissue due to HS.

Thomason et al. (2018) identified the metabolites that exhibited the greatest decreases during HS in wheat: drummondol, anthranilate, dimethylmaleate, galactoglycerol, guanine, and also glycerone. Soybean subjected to both HS and excess CO₂ had decreased starch, fructose, glucose, sucrose, and maltose concentrations, whereas pinitol increased with temperature (Xu et al., 2016). Zandalinas et al. (2017) found in citrus that water stress and HS, and their combination, altered metabolite levels related to glycolysis and the TCA cycle, the chloroplastic phase of the glyoxylate/dicarboxylate cycle, and the quantity of polar metabolites participating in the phenylpropanoid pathway arising from shikimic acid, depending on the level of stress. In tomato pollen, under HS, most of the putatively identified secondary metabolites belonged to three major groups: flavonoids, polyamines, and alkaloids (Paupière et al., 2017). Other examples come from untargeted metabolomics experiments where it was found that salicylic acid, ascorbic acid, sulfurcontaining molecules (glutathione), phenolic secondary metabolites, and in general all antioxidant molecules can reduce HS symptoms in many plant species (Mobin et al., 2017; Feng et al., 2018; Muhlemann et al., 2018; Niu and Xiang, 2018; Salvi et al., 2018; Ihsan et al., 2019). Other major aspects of high temperature stress are cell signaling, molecular transport, and the changes in lipid metabolism as a basic mechanism to regulate membrane fluidity.

Lipids, which are major components of the membranes of cells and organelles, are among the first targets of ROS produced during HS and directly by high temperatures (Narayanan *et al.*, 2016, 2018). Stress-induced lipid peroxidation of unsaturated fatty acids and other changes in membrane lipid profiles can lead to membrane damage, electrolyte leakage, and cell death (Liu and Huang, 2000). Under severe HS and long-term HS conditions, both chloroplastic and extra-plastidial glycerolipids are oxidized, yielding oxylipin-containing glycerolipids and

other cytotoxic molecules such as acrolein and methyl vinyl ketone (MVK), derived from peroxidation of trienoic ω -3 fatty acids (Vu *et al.*, 2012).

Accumulation of highly saturated fatty acids helps confer HS tolerance by reducing structural membrane fluidity, which is increased at high temperatures (Escandón et al., 2018). There is an interesting correlation between the type of metabolites involved and the need to protect specific cellular functions or cell compartments from the adverse effects of stress. In addition to acyl oxidation, plants regulate other aspects of membrane lipid composition in response to changes in temperature; in particular, the chloroplast membranes that host the photosynthetic apparatus are thought to be highly vulnerable to damage caused by HS (Welti et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2011). Severe HS results in increasing frequency of membrane phase separation of non-bilayer-forming glycerolipids. Moderate HS (30-45 °C) results in thylakoid grana membrane destacking (Higashi and Saito, 2019). During HS, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the major site for membrane phosphatidylcholine (PC) synthesis in plants (Jessen et al., 2015). Free fatty acids are exported from chloroplasts and re-esterified to CoA in the cytosol. PC synthesis in the ER utilizes a mixed pool of acyl-CoA substrates via the Kennedy pathway and the PC acyl editing pathway (Lands cycle) (Wang et al., 2012a). Fatty acid desaturase 2 (FAD2) converts PC-bound 18:1 to 18:2 in the ER and, during HS, FAD2 mediates polyunsaturation of ER glycerolipids and plays a role in the plant ER stress response to HS (Martinière et al., 2011). Chloroplastic galactolipid biosynthesis through the eukaryotic pathway needs a transfer of glycerolipids from the ER to chloroplasts by phospholipid flippase ALA10 which interacts with FAD2 affecting the degree of PC saturation. LACS4/9 proteins are also involved in this mechanism (Botella et al., 2016).

At the chloroplastic outer and inner membranes, five trigalactosyldiaglycerols (TGDs) and proteins TGD1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 form a transporter complex that mediates ER to plastid lipid trafficking (Xu et al., 2008). However, there is no concerted induction of these membrane proteins at the transcriptional level under HS in Arabidopsis (Higashi et al., 2015). In chloroplasts, long-term HS (>1 d) decreases the levels of glycerolipids containing 16:3 and/or 18:3, and reduces the activity of all FAD enzymes (Higashi and Saito, 2019). In general, during HS and other environmental stresses, conversion of monogalactosyldiacylglycerol (MGDG) into digalactosyldiacylglycerol (DGDG) increases through the action of DGDG synthases, encoded by DGD1 and DGD2. Terrestrial plants increase the ratio of DGDG to MGDG to improve thylakoid membrane stability under various abiotic stresses (Higashi et al., 2018). MGDGs are also decreased through the action of specific lipases (DAD1; PLIP1, 2, and 3; and HIL1). These recent studies suggest that lipases which are localized in chloroplasts have an important role in the lipid remodeling process under HS (Higashi and Saito, 2019).

Glycolipids and phospholipids are converted to triacylglycerol (TAG) in the form of oil bodies, as a transient storage depot for acyl groups prior to membrane lipid recycling/degradation: phospholipid:diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 (PDAT1) transfers an acyl group from PC to diacylglycerol (DAG), and produces TAG. Mutant *pdat1* seedlings are more sensitive to HS than the wild type; therefore, PDAT1 contributes to HS-induced TAG accumulation, and plays a role in HS response in Arabidopsis seedlings (Mueller *et al.*, 2017). Other chloroplast-localized enzymes that maintain the structure of the thylakoid membranes during HS are FAX 1, 2, and 3, and VIPP1(Zhang *et al.*, 2012; N. Li *et al.*, 2015). HS also changes the lipid composition of the plasma membrane and of the ER; PC and PE (phosphatidylethanolamine) phospholipids are major components of extra-plastidial membranes. A decrease in the PE polyunsaturation level is likely to improve membrane stability under HS (Narayanan *et al.*, 2016). Long-term HS increases phosphatidylserine (PS) content in the leaves of wheat (Narayanan *et al.*, 2016).

Most of the lipidomics investigations of the response to HS have been performed on Arabidopsis, with only a few studies on crops such as wheat and rice. One example of lipidomics applied to HS in wheat found that the decrease in the photosynthetic rate under HS is due to lipid desaturation, oxidation, acylation, and consequent damage to organelles (Djanaguiraman *et al.*, 2018). The membrane protein–lipid associations are of great importance for the maintenance of membrane fluidity; in this context, overexpression of the gene OsFBN1 (coding for a fibrillin) facilitates the import of lipids to the chloroplast during HS and the consequent grain filling (Djanaguiraman *et al.*, 2018).

It has been suggested that diminishing the ROS pool directly has positive consequences on the state of the lipids. For example, modulating antioxidant defenses and the detoxification of methylglyoxal (MG) through the application of exogenous glutathione to mung bean [Vigna radiata (L.) R.Wilczek] increased the tolerance of the plants to HS in the short term (48 h) (Nahar et al., 2015). The increase in certain plant hormones can also be beneficial to the lipid status in rice during HS (Lim et al., 2017). For example, high concentrations of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) or brassinosteroids can successfully initiate a mechanism for the decrease of primary ROS caused by HS that attack lipid membranes (MGDGs and sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerols) and thylakoids (Thussagunpanit et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2018). In a non-targeted lipidomics experiment in rice under both HS and water stress, 298 lipids responded to the changes in conditions; of these, 128 were identified. Interestingly, in the case of HS, a decrease in the unsaturation of lipids was predominant and can be linked to an increase in the cell membranes' rigidity (Navarro-Reig et al., 2019). In a lipidome-wide study of tomato leaf under HS, changes in 791 molecules were detected between 20 °C and 38 °C. These results indicate that the most important changes at the lipidome-wide level occur in tocopherols, plastoquinone/ plastoquinol (and their metabolites), and in the degree of fatty acid saturation of galactolipids (Spicher et al., 2016).

Epigenetic modifications

The epigenetic changes that occur at the DNA level through methylation of cytosine residues or at the level of chromatin by post-translational modifications of histones can result in altered gene transcription, and are an important mechanism in regulating gene expression during development and in response to environmental stimuli (Zhang and Hsieh, 2013). The persistence of a memory of temperature stress is dependent not only on the persistence of HSPs and their TFs but also on the expression of certain genes relevant for epigenetic signatures (Liu et al., 2015). A primary stress episode can sensitize plants for acclimation to subsequent stresses, resulting in faster or stronger changes in gene expression upon repeated exposure (Vriet et al., 2015), constituting a physiological priming mechanism. This primary event is fundamental in the acquisition of thermotolerance (Sanyal et al., 2018). A comprehensive RNA-Seq (RNA sequencing) analysis of gene expression and splicing events in HS-primed and non-primed plants has been carried out (Ling et al., 2018). This study showed alternative splicing as a novel and significant part of HS priming-induced memory and that this is critical for enhanced stress tolerance. However, most studies have been carried out in Arabidopsis, and in cultivated plants the understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved still represents a challenge (Friedrich et al., 2019).

Further epigenetic control is due to miRNAs, which are emerging as factors involved in transcriptional regulation and HS memory. miRNAs are involved directly in HS adaptation by acting as post-transcriptional regulators. Plant miRNAs bind their target transcripts and cleave and/or degrade the target mRNA molecule (Budak and Akpinar, 2015; Alptekin *et al.*, 2017). The regulation of stress-responsive genes through activation of miRNAs is particularly important under abiotic stress conditions (Liu *et al.*, 2017; Gahlaut *et al.*, 2018).

Experimental validation of miRNA targets is still a challenge in polyploid crops including wheat. Recently, HS-responsive miRNAs have been reported from several susceptible and tolerant cultivars, with responses primarily being invoked within 24 h of treatment (Qin et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2015). Furthermore, Ravichandran et al. (2019) performed a detailed miRNA and isomiR (miRNA isoforms) annotation and validated the HS-regulated miRNA target genes associated with thermotolerance. They confirmed a high degree of conservation between dicots and monocots for miR156 regulation of squamosa promoter-binding-like protein (Stief et al., 2014), miR159 regulation of MYB transcription factor (Wang et al., 2012b), miR166 regulation of homeobox leucine-zipper protein (Arikit et al., 2014), and miR398 regulation of SOD (Jagadeeswaran et al., 2009). New miRNA targets were also identified for organelle-specific transcripts such as pentatricopeptide repeat-containing proteins and mitochondrial transcription termination factor-like proteins.

Interestingly, several miRNAs showed altered expression patterns under heat and cold stresses in wheat, including miR164 (targeting HSP17) and miR319 (targeting a MYB transcription factor). These are up-regulated during a cold stress response but down-regulated in response to HS. On the contrary, miR160 and miR164 were down-regulated in response to heat, resulting in the induction of HSP expression, and up-regulated under cold stress. Regulating mechanisms triggered by high temperature can be reversed by cold stress, although the underlying mechanisms may be similar (Alptekin *et al.*, 2017).

Breeding for heat tolerance

To ensure food security for future generations, agriculture must double the current crop production rate despite the predicted threats, which include climate change (Sedeek *et al.*, 2019), decrease in arable land and desertification, salinization, and emerging diseases. Plant breeders need affordable and scalable solutions to achieve a more sustainable production. Approaches to reach the essential goal of producing more with less include (i) harnessing natural and artificial mutations; (ii) exploiting the available genetic resources to produce new genetic material more tolerant to HS and related secondary stresses; (iii) improving the ability to screen and identify the available sources of resilience; and (iv) developing new breeding techniques.

Conventional breeding approach

The effects of HS and prolonged heat waves on food production are heightened by a greater genetic uniformity in crop plants resulting from the narrowing of the varieties used in developed countries (Fu, 2015; Lopes *et al.*, 2015). This has prompted increased efforts to identify new genetic resources and useful traits to mitigate or counteract the effects of climate change on crop productivity (Pignone *et al.*, 2015; Janni *et al.*, 2018) (Table 3).

It is well established that responses in crop species to abiotic stress, including HS, form a complex quantitative trait whose inheritance is controlled by a synergy between genes identified as QTLs, distributed throughout the genome. Traditionally QTL mapping has been used to identify new genetic variability and new sources of tolerance for introduction to breeding programs. However, QTL regions can be quite large and may contain many genes to be investigated as potential candidate genes, and many QTL studies had limited value for breeding because of low marker density. More recently, genotyping-bysequencing (GBS) has allowed an increase in the number of markers, in particular SNPs (single nuclear polymorphisms), evenly distributed throughout the genome (Spindel and Iwata, 2018). In this way, it is now possible to obtain genetic maps with high resolution and precise mapping of QTLs, and in some cases identifying candidate genes controlling associated quantitative traits (Bhat *et al.*, 2016). The application of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) permits narrowing down the candidate regions to explore specific haplotypes in natural populations and even wild species (George and Cavanagh, 2015; Verdeprado *et al.*, 2018).

In wheat, several genomic regions associated with heat tolerance have been mapped using QTL analysis, often combined with GWAS and GBS. Major QTL clusters associated with drought and heat tolerance have been mapped on several chromosomes (Vijayalakshmi et al., 2010; Paliwal et al., 2012; Talukder et al., 2014; Acuña-Galindo et al., 2015; Shirdelmoghanloo et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2017; Maulana et al., 2018). GWAS of sorghum seedlings under HS has identified associated key genomic regions, and specific alleles from these regions can be used to develop heat-tolerant sorghum cultivars (Chopra et al., 2017). Through inheritance studies, Marfo and Hall (1992) reported two dominant genes controlling most of the heritable tolerance to heat at pod set in cowpea. Four QTLs were identified in cowpea as associated with pod set number per peduncle under HS, and markers were utilized in breeding applications (Lucas et al., 2013; Pottorff et al., 2014). Syntenic analysis of the closely related soybean genome identified HSPs and HSFs in these QTL regions (Liu et al., 2019). In rice, heat tolerance at the flowering stage is controlled by several QTLs that have been used in breeding programs based on gene pyramiding (Ye et al., 2012, 2015; Kilasi et al., 2018).

A major QTL for thermotolerance was successfully identified and cloned in African rice (*Oryza glaberrima* Steud.); *thermo-tolerance* 1 (*TT1*) encodes an α 2 subunit of the 26S proteasome involved in the degradation of ubiquitinated proteins. The allele *OgTT1* of the thermotolerant accession permitted increased thermotolerance through a more efficient elimination of cytotoxic denatured proteins and effective maintenance of

Species	Countries of origin	Number of accessions	Source	Type of study	Reference
Barley	Spain and Germany	N/A	Saatzucht Breun GmbH	Gene expression studies	Cantalapiedra et al. (2017)
Chickpea	Several countries	300	N/A	GWAS	Thudi <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Chickpe0a	India	280	ICRISAT	Identification of heat tolerance superior lines	Krishnamurthy <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Maize	N/A	100 inbred lines	N/A	Field trial	Naveed et al. (2016)
Rice	Several countries	455	N/A	QTL identification	Ye <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Rice	Several countries	511	IRRI	Identification of heat tolerance superior lines	Tenorio <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Tomato	Several countries	81	University of Naples (Italy)	GWAS	Ruggieri et al. (2019)
Tomato	N/A	44	TGRC, University of California, Davis	Identification of heat tolerance superior lines	Alsamir <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Wheat	Several countries	1711	CYMMIT	GWAS	Singh <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Wheat	Mexico	2255	CYMMIT	Identification of heat tolerance superior lines	Hede <i>et al.</i> (1999)

CYMMIT, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center; GWAS, genome-wide association study; ICRISAT, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics; IRRI, International Rice Research Institute; N/A not applicable; QTL, quantitative trait locus; TGRC, Tomato Genetics Resource Center

Table 3. Harnessing plant genetic resources for heat stress breeding

heat response processes than the non-tolerant cultivar carrying the *OsTT1* allele. Overexpression of *OgTT1* was associated with enhanced thermotolerance in rice, Arabidopsis, and *Festuca elata* Keng f. ex E.B.Alexeev (X.M. Li *et al.*, 2015).

In tomato, several QTLs involved in heat tolerance have been identified (Xu *et al.*, 2017), while two QTL hot spots for heat tolerance with respect to grain yield were found in maize (Jha *et al.*, 2014; Frey *et al.*, 2016).

Screening for genotypic differences, and effective selection techniques, are crucial for identifying heat-tolerant parental sources and for inheritance studies, and also for crop improvement by combining other traits which are influenced by heat tolerance (Marfo and Hall, 1992; Hall, 2004). Since photosynthesis and reproductive development processes are directly adversely affected by HS (Prasad et al., 2008), desired characteristics of a heat-tolerant variety will be higher photosynthetic rates (e.g. stay-green leaves), enhanced membrane thermostability, and stable pod set or grain production under high temperature conditions (Bita and Gerats, 2013). Methods for breeding for heat tolerance in cowpea involved selection for abundant flower production and greater pod set under higher night temperatures and long-day growing conditions (Marfo and Hall, 1992). These efforts resulted in release of the HStolerant cowpea variety California Blackeye 27 (CB27) with better yield (Ehlers et al., 2000). This variety was crossed with Pima (a Nigerian heat-tolerant germplasm) giving Apagbaala, a cowpea variety intended for Ghana (Padi et al., 2004). However, this was not heat tolerant in Ghana even though it performed well under Californian growing conditions.

The common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) has also been investigated. Initial screening at CIAT (Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical) of a germplasm core set for HS tolerance identified 30 resistant lines. Breeding programs utilizing these genetic resources helped to develop heat-tolerant bush (CIAT, 2006) and climbing bean lines (Blair *et al.*, 2006). Moreover, introgression of Tepary bean (*Phaseolus acutifolious* A.Gray) genes into *P. vulgaris* gave an interspecific line that was used as a parent for breeding heat-tolerant lines (Polanía *et al.*, 2017). Recent screening of chickpea genotypes indicated the existence of extensive genotypic variation for reproductive stage heat tolerance; further studies led to the release of heat-tolerant breeding line ICCV 92944 for late-sown conditions (Gaur *et al.*, 2019).

Targeted breeding efforts will help to build heat tolerance in crops (Reynolds *et al.*, 2011). A conceptual model to improve heat tolerance in wheat was proposed involving genetically determined physiological traits such as light interception, radiation use efficiency, and partitioning of total assimilates. The physiological breeding approach combines all these traits towards generating a cumulative genetic effect on yield (Cossani and Reynolds, 2012). Data sets from three different spring bread wheat nurseries at CIMMYT (International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center) with different breeding goals were extensively analyzed, and the results showed that spring wheat breeding targeted against abiotic stress delivers better genetic gains in warmer environments (Gourdji *et al.*, 2013). The performance of yield traits under heat and non-stressed environments has been used to identify heat-tolerant

genotypes for breeding programs (Ni *et al.*, 2018; Gaur *et al.*, 2019). The identification of critical genes controlling heat tolerance in common wheat led to the release of new cultivars of bread wheat and durum wheat capable of withstanding severe heat (Tadesse *et al.*, 2019), for example the cultivar Faraj, which is able to maintain yield under heat and drought conditions El Hassouni *et al.* (2019).

It has been shown that compared with direct selection for grain yield, indirect selection through secondary traits with high heritability and significant association with grain yield under stress is a more effective approach in stress tolerance breeding (Bänziger and Lafitte, 1997; Bänziger et al., 2000; Bheemanahalli et al., 2017). In maize, traits associated with reproductive success under heat stress (anthesis-silking interval, pollen viability, stigma receptivity, tassel blast, tassel sterility, and seed set percentage under open pollinated conditions) and other morpho-physiological traits (leaf firing, senescence, and chlorophyll content) were studied along with grain yield for the selection of HS-tolerant germplasms (Alam et al., 2017). As a result, two maize genotypes, VL05728 and VL05799, with better seed setting due to reproductive success under stress were identified as tolerant lines for heat stress (Alam et al., 2017). Several maize breeding programs have successfully increased yields in HS conditions (Cairns and Prasanna, 2018). The identification of suitable donors, highly tolerant to HS and to the combination of HS and drought stress, was successfully achieved by evaluating 300 (Cairns et al., 2013) or 29 (CIMMYT) maize inbred lines (Dinesh et al., 2018). These studies are the best examples to show the significant molecular diversity among maize inbred lines selected for heat tolerance

Reproductive stage HS in rice causes substantial yield loss. Delayed flowering, reduced pollen dispersal, low pollen production, spikelet sterility due to poor anther dehiscence, and impaired starch synthesis during grain development are the major problem areas (Jagadish et al., 2016; Arshad et al., 2017). Natural genetic variation for HS tolerance exists in rice, and significant genetic components controlling these variations have been identified. Several QTLs and genes associated with HS tolerance have been reported (Ye et al., 2015;Shanmugavadivel et al., 2017; Kilasi et al., 2018), and some have been characterized (Krishnan et al., 2011), but more translational research is needed in this area. Guodao 6 and Xieyou 46 are heat-tolerant hybrids developed with stable high rates of grain setting and spikelet fertility under HS (Tao et al., 2008). Other varieties including Fusaotome (tolerant), Hanahikari, Koshijiwase, and Tentakaku (moderately tolerant) were used in breeding for grain quality under heat stress (Ishizaki, 2006). In rice, HS-tolerant traits from line N22 (Jagadish et al., 2008) have been used for introgression into other varieties for developing climate change-ready rice (Ye et al., 2015). QTLs for HS tolerance during the reproductive stage were identified using a recombinant inbred population between N22 and IR64 (Ye et al., 2012).

In tomatoes, reproduction is particularly sensitive to continuous mild heat, which mainly affects pollen viability. The Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC) has identified 39 tolerant lines after several years of natural genetic variation studies. Some of these were used in their tomato breeding programs which produced HS-tolerant lines, such as Equinox (Scott *et al.*, 1995) and Sun Leaper (Gardner, 2000). Another example is the development of 'Amelia', a heat-tolerant tomato cultivar for tropical conditions (Gil *et al.*, 2004). Identification of more QTLs associated with heat tolerance in tomatoes (Wen *et al.*, 2019) could enhance breeding efforts for development of stress-tolerant tomato varieties.

The development of molecular tools to accelerate breeding is crucial for the identification of useful traits and their application in breeding programs. In particular, marker-assisted selection (MAS) to improve breeding efficiency has become commonplace. Many MAS strategies have been developed, including marker-assisted backcrossing with foreground and background selection, enrichment of favorable alleles in early generations, and selection for quantitative traits using markers at multiple loci and across multiple cycles of selection (Bassi et al., 2016). MAS requires the use of markers flanking the target locus, and is considered one of the most efficient methods when working on complex traits having a quantitative hereditary characteristic, such as HS tolerance (Tayade et al., 2018). MAS can be used in forward breeding to enrich the allelic frequency for a few desired traits with strong additive QTLs in early selection cycles, to introgress favorable alleles into an elite background, and for integration of (native) traits into a breeding pipeline. This approach has been used in maize to develop improved lines for stress-prone environments (Beyene et al., 2016).

The exploitation of GWAS has led to the approach called genomic selection (GS) a promising tool to design novel breeding programs and to develop new marker-based models for genetic evaluation. The most important factor for its successful and effective implementation in crop species is the availability of genome-wide high-throughput, cost-effective, and flexible molecular markers, having low ascertainment bias, suitable for large population sizes, as well as for both model and non-model crop species with or without the reference genome sequence (Bhat et al., 2016). As a pre-breeding tool, it can serve to identify genetic materials with beneficial variation for complex traits (Wang et al., 2018), predicting the breeding value of an individual within a breeding population. It also provides new opportunities to increase genetic gain of complex traits efficiently. GS has been applied to active breeding programs in several crop species including wheat (Song et al., 2017), rice (Spindel and Iwata, 2018), soybean (Duhnen et al., 2017; Matei et al., 2018), sunflower (Dimitrijevic and Horn, 2017), maize (Cerrudo et al., 2018), chickpea (Roorkiwal et al., 2016), and grapes (Fodor et al., 2014; Viana et al., 2016).

GS and marker-assisted recurrent selection (MARS), widely used in the private sector, are proving efficient for the development of novel cultivars in many crops (Tayade *et al.*, 2018). The major advantage of GS with respect to MAS/MARS is that alleles with minor effects can be captured and used in selection (Cairns and Prasanna, 2018). For both approaches, success depends on excellent phenotypic characterization during the discovery or training phase, respectively.

New breeding techniques to increase heat tolerance

Genetic modification through biotechnology and other new breeding techniques (NBTs) is a powerful strategy that offers

novel opportunities to improve crop adaptability. However, general public concerns and complex legislation are limiting the application of NBTs. Encouraging data collected from genetics can be exploited to significantly increase tolerance to both biotic stresses and abiotic stresses such as salinity, drought, heat, and cold (Zou et al., 2011; Raza et al., 2019). Several studies have used TF genes and other genes associated with abiotic stress tolerance as targets for development of new varieties (Lamaoui et al., 2018). Crops including wheat, maize, tomato, and rice have been genetically modified to enhance thermotolerance, targeting mainly HSPs and HSFs (Fu et al., 2008; Qi et al., 2011; Xue et al., 2015; Casaretto et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Trapero-Mozos et al., 2018). Transgenic cotton plants developed to overexpress an HSP, AtHSP101, in pollen had improved pollen germination and pollen tube growth under high temperature. This significantly enhanced the overall heat tolerance of reproductive tissues and reduced yield losses due to high temperature, supported by both greenhouse and field evaluation of transgenic plants (Burke and Chen, 2015). A survey of the transgenic lines identified to enhance heat tolerance in several species is reported in Table 4.

The availability of genomic sequences for several crops together with genome editing techniques has opened up new breeding possibilities for almost any given desirable trait (Jaganathan et al., 2018). The most common technique available for genome editing, clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9), modifies a genome in a targeted manner, and has been used in nearly 20 crop species so far including rice, tomato, potato, cotton, soybean, maize, sorghum, and wheat (Table 5) (Ricroch et al., 2017; Jaganathan et al., 2018; Zaidi et al., 2018). There are at least 13 different patents on new CRISPR/Cas9 approaches, which makes editing even more simple and secure, and new perspectives in the production of novel genetic variability are opened up by its application as an allelic-drive tool, engineering and repairing pathways, and introducing specific point mutations or insertions (Guichard et al., 2019).

Currently, attempts exploiting genome editing to increase heat tolerance target several genes mainly involved in the ethylene response and TFs, with the final aim to increase yield under abiotic stresses including HS (Li *et al.*, 2016; Shi *et al.*, 2017*a*; Kim *et al.*, 2018). However, despite the abundance of novel genetic material generated through CRISPR, few field experiments have been conducted associated with breeding programs.

Mutational breeding

Mutational breeding strategies have been applied since the late 1990s to generate new variability in plants (Gilliham *et al.*, 2017; Uauy, 2017). An important breakthrough came with the development of the TILLING (targeting induced local lesions in genome) approach, which provides a relatively simple strategy to identify mutations (lesions) in a target sequence independently of their phenotypic effect (Uauy, 2017). TILLING approaches require a population of, typically, ethyl methanesulfonate- (EMS) induced mutants based on the capacity of the chemical agent to generate

Table 4. List of selected neat stress- (HS) toleral	nt transgenic plants
--	----------------------

Crop	Target gene or protein/sources	Promoter	Stress or trait	Reference
Maize	OsMYB55/rice	Maize ubiquitin Ubi1 promoter/ overexpression	Increased drought and HS tolerance	Casaretto <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Maize	<i>ZmNF-YB2/</i> maize	Rice actin 1 constitutive promoter/overexpression	Enhanced drought tolerance and photosynthetic capacity	Nelson <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Potato	<i>HSc70</i> allelic variant/ potato	HSc70 native promoter	Greater tolerance to HS as determined by improved yield	Trapero-Mozos <i>et al.</i> (2018)
Rice	HSP70/rice	CaMV 35S	Overexpression manifested enhanced tolerance to HS	Qi <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Rice	Athsp101 /Arabidopsis	CaMV 35S promoter	Increased tolerance to high temperature	Katiyar-Agarwal <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Rice	OsRab7	CaMV 35S	Greater tolerance to HS as determined by improved yield	El-Esawi and Alayafi (2019)
Rice	TaMBF1c/wheat	Maize ubiquitin 1	Higher thermotolerance than control plants at both seedling and reproductive stages	Qin <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Soybean	P5CR/Arabidopsis		Enhanced HS tolerance	De Ronde <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.)	HSP70-1/tobacco	CaMV 35S	Transgenics possessed enhanced tolerance to HT stress	Montero- Barrientos <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Tobacco	HSP70-1/brassica		Enhanced tolerance to HT stress	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Tomato	HSP21/tomato	CaMV 35S	Overexpression protected PSII from temperature- dependent oxidative stress; early accumulation of carotenoids noted	Neta-Sharir <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Wheat	Hsf6A /wheat	Barley HVA1s promoter/ drought inducible, up-regulated	Improved thermotolerance	Xue <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Wheat	<i>EF-Tu/</i> maize	Maize ubiquitin 1 promoter/ overexpression	Improved thermotolerance	Fu <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Wheat	Sucrose transporter gene <i>HvSUT1/</i> barley	Hordein B1 promoter	Increased enhanced in sucrose transport and shows a superior performance for many yield-related traits compared with control	Weichert <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Wheat	TaHsfA6f/wheat	HVA1s	Improved thermotolerance	Xue <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Wheat	TaFER-5B/wheat	Maize ubiquitin 1	Enhance thermotolerance	Zang <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Wheat	TaGASR1/wheat	NA	Improved tolerance to HS and oxidative stress	Zhang et al. (2017)
Wheat	TaHsfC2a/wheat	NA	Improved thermotolerance	Hu <i>et al.</i> (2018)

Table 5. Genome editing approaches used for heat stress breeding

Crop	Target gene	Stress or trait	Reference
Maize	ARGOS8	Improved yield under drought stress condition	Shi <i>et al.</i> (2017 <i>a</i>)
Rice	OsPDS, OsMPK2, OsBADH2	Abiotic stress tolerance	Shan <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Rice	OsMPK2, OsDEP1	Yield under stress	Shan <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Rice	GS3, Gn1a	Grain size and number increase	Shen <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Rice	GW2, GW5, TGW6	Grain weight increase	Xu <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Rice	Gn1a, DEP1, GS3	Grain size and number Increase in dense, erect panicles	Li <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Wheat	TaDREB2, TaERF3	Abiotic stress tolerance	Kim <i>et al.</i> (2018)

point mutations distributed randomly in the genome, and a screening method to identify individuals with mutations in the target gene (Wang *et al.*, 2010). TILLING and its updated form, established for polyploidy species through the use of exome capture and the development of the *in silico* TILLING database (Krasileva *et al.*, 2017), have been used extensively to investigate genetic variability in several species mainly targeting quality traits.

Although in TILLING approaches mutagenesis is untargeted and does not provide the versatility of genome editing, crops improved using chemical or radiation mutagenesis via TILLING are not regulated as transgenic organisms in most jurisdictions, increasing their commercial competitiveness with the more precise genome editing approaches (Kumar *et al.*, 2017).

Recently, Comastri *et al.* (2018) identified a collection of four new small *Hsp26* (*sHsp26*) alleles suitable for enhancing heat tolerance in durum wheat using both *in silico* and *in vivo* TILLING approaches. Following application of TILLING, the ability of a mutated HSP to enhance heat tolerance in tomato has also been demonstrated (Marko *et al.*, 2019). In rice, a TILLING population has been screened for mutations in the HSP genes, and a number of lines showing preliminary enhanced tolerance to HS may be useful for future breeding programs (Yona, 2015; Table 6).

3794 | Janni et al.

Table 6. Mutational breeding in crops

Species	Target trait /genes	Reference
Barley	Starch increases	Sparla <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)	Starch branching enzyme	Botticella et al. (2011)
Chickpea	Salt tolerance	Kaashyap <i>et al.</i> (2017)
Durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf)	Heat stress	Comastri <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf)	Amylose content	Sestili <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Durum wheat(Triticum durum Desf), bread wheat	High amylose	Slade <i>et al.</i> (2012)
(Triticum aestivum L.)		
Oat (Avena sativa L.)	Improved β -glucan, antioxidants and omega-3 fatty acid	Chawade <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Peanut	Allergen reduction	Knoll <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Peanut	LOX gene	Guo <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Potato	Waxy mutant	Muth <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Rapeseed	Erucic acid synthesis	Wang <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Rapeseed	Sinapine biosynthesis	Harloff et al. (2012)
Sorghum	Reduction of cyanogenic glucosides	Blomstedt et al. (2012)
Sunflower	Accumulation of fatty acids	Kumar <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Tobacco	Leaf yield	Reddy et al. (2012)
Tomato	Fruit biology and ripening	Okabe <i>et al.</i> (2011, 2013)
Tomato	Virus resistance	Piron <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Tomato	Increased pigment and nutrient content	Jones <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Tomato	Early flowering, a solitary flower	MacAlister et al. (2012)
Tomato	Reduced ethylene sensitivity, delayed fruit ripening,	Okabe <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	prolonged fruit shelf life	
Tomato	Decreased ascorbate	Baldet <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Tomato	Decreased carotenoid content	Gady <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Tomato	Increased lycopene content	Silletti et al. (2013)
Tomato	Reduced phenolics content	Di Matteo <i>et al.</i> (2013)

Conclusions

There are several examples of heat-tolerant varieties in major crops such as wheat, maize, rice, tomato, and legumes successfully developed through conventional breeding. These efforts can be fast-tracked using modern genomic and phenotyping tools. The first HS gene was identified and cloned in tobacco with the pioneering work of Barnett *et al.* (1979). This gene was shown to encode HSP70, which strongly accumulated in heat-stressed tissue.

Subsequent molecular studies revealed a more complex system with the discovery of HSFs (reviewed in Guo *et al.*, 2016), organelle-specific HSPs (Waters and Vierling, 1999; Waters, 2013), and linkage between HS and the induction of an alternative splicing system (Ling *et al.*, 2018) capable of directing *de novo* HSP synthesis in conditions where most proteins were either produced abnormally or were degraded (Vierling, 1991), remodeling the global protein machinery in cells. However, neither these discoveries nor the networking of all the known elements have produced a satisfying picture of the plant heat stress response. QTLs for heat tolerance offer a different, potentially complementary, interpretation with a stronger emphasis on physiology of reproduction in heat stress conditions.

Current data indicate that at least two different genetic systems can protect plants from the otherwise lethal effects of heat stress: (i) a series of Mendelian HS genes acting possibly in a dominant or semi-dominant way; and (ii) a small number of QTLs which allow plant growth and reproduction under different stress conditions. There has been little progress using transgenic approaches in studies of model plant to crop plant translational genetics. Extensive knowledge has become available on physiological, biochemical, and molecular regulation through advanced phenotyping and multi-omics tools developed over the last decade. All of this suggests that significant advances in crop improvement will be achieved, resulting in the development of new high-yielding varieties with greater heat tolerance and adaptation to climate change.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Consortium CINSA for the financial support for the preparation of this review. This review is dedicated to the pioneers of HS response research in plants: Professor Joseph P. Mascarenhas, Department of Biological Sciences, State University of New York at Albany, New York and Joe L. Key, Department of Botany, University of Georgia Athens (GA). The authors also recognize the dedication of Dr Natale Di Fonzo, Former Director of CREA Foggia (Italy), breeder, researcher, and friend. The authors wish to acknowledge the support of the project SUSTAINOLIVE, grant agreement no. 1811, PRIMA Partnership for Research and innovation in the Mediterranean Area and RGV FAO DM 10271.

Author contributions

The authors contributed equally to the preparation of the review. MJ took on the responsibility of connecting all the different tasks distributed as follows: EM, gene ontology and system biology; MM, protein and metabolites; MG, gene expression and epigenetic modification; BV, gene expression and breeding; and MJ, induction of HS response; NM and HTN coordinated the planning and the writing of the review.

References

Acuña-Galindo MA, Mason RE, Subramanian NK, Hays DB. 2015. Meta-analysis of wheat QTL regions associated with adaptation to drought and heat stress. Crop Science **55**, 477–492.

Alam MA, Seetharam K, Zaidi PH, Dinesh A, Vinayan MT, Nath UK. 2017. Dissecting heat stress tolerance in tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.). Field Crops Research **204**, 110–119.

Almeselmani M, Deshmukh P, Sairam R. 2009. High temperature stress tolerance in wheat genotypes: role of antioxidant defence enzymes. Acta Agronomica Hungarica **57**, 1–14.

Almeselmani M, Deshmukh PS, Sairam RK, Kushwaha SR, Singh TP. 2006. Protective role of antioxidant enzymes under high temperature stress. Plant Science **171**, 382–388.

Alptekin B, Langridge P, Budak H. 2017. Abiotic stress miRNomes in the Triticeae. Functional & Integrative Genomics **17**, 145–170.

Alsamir M, Ahmad NM, Keitel C, Mahmood T, Trethowan R. 2017. Identification of high-temperature tolerant and agronomically viable tomato (*S. lycopersicum*) genotypes from a diverse germplasm collection. Advances in Crop Science and Technology **5**, 1000299.

Altschuler M, Mascarenhas JP. 1982. Heat shock proteins and effects of heat shock in plants. Plant Molecular Biology 1, 103–115.

Arce D, Spetale F, Krsticevic F, Cacchiarelli P, Las Rivas J, Ponce S, Pratta G, Tapia E. 2018. Regulatory motifs found in the small heat shock protein (sHSP) gene family in tomato. BMC Genomics **19**, 860.

Arikit S, Xia R, Kakrana A, et al. 2014. An atlas of soybean small RNAs identifies phased siRNAs from hundreds of coding genes. The Plant Cell **26**, 4584–4601.

Arshad MS, Farooq M, Asch F, Krishna JSV, Prasad PVV, Siddique KHM. 2017. Thermal stress impacts reproductive development and grain yield in rice. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **115**, 57–72.

Asthir B, Koundal A, Bains NS. 2012. Putrescine modulates antioxidant defense response in wheat under high temperature stress. Biologia Plantarum **56**, 757–761.

Baldet P, Bres C, Okabe Y, Mauxion J-P, Just D, Bournonville C, Ferrand C, Mori K, Ezura H, Rothan C. 2013. Investigating the role of vitamin C in tomato through TILLING identification of ascorbate-deficient tomato mutants. Plant Biotechnology **30**, 309–314.

Bänziger M, Edmeades GO, Beck DL, Bellon MR. 2000. Breeding for drought and nitrogen stress tolerance in maize: from theory to practice. Mexico: CIMMYT.

Bänziger M, Lafitte HR. 1997. Efficiency of secondary traits for improving maize for low-nitrogen target environments. Crop Science **37**, 1110–1117.

Barghi SS, Mostafaii H, Peighami F, Zakaria RA. 2012. Path analysis of yield and its components in lentil under end season heat condition. International Journal of Agriculture: Research and Review **2**, 969–974.

Barnabás B, Jäger K, Fehér A. 2008. The effect of drought and heat stress on reproductive processes in cereals. Plant, Cell & Environment **31**, 11–38.

Barnett T, Altschuler M, McDaniel CN, Mascarenhas JP. 1979. Heat shock induced proteins in plant cells. Genesis 1, 331–340.

Bassi FM, Bentley AR, Charmet G, Ortiz R, Crossa J. 2016. Breeding schemes for the implementation of genomic selection in wheat (*Triticum* spp.). Plant Science **242**, 23–36.

Berz J, Simm S, Schuster S, Scharf KD, Schleiff E, Ebersberger I. 2019. HEATSTER: a database and web server for identification and classification of heat stress transcription factors in plants. Bioinformatics and Biology Insights **13**, 1177932218821365.

Beyene Y, Semagn K, Mugo S, et al. 2016. Performance and grain yield stability of maize populations developed using marker-assisted recurrent selection and pedigree selection procedures. Euphytica **208**, 285–297.

Bhat JA, Ali S, Salgotra RK, *et al.* 2016. Genomic selection in the era of next generation sequencing for complex traits in plant breeding. Frontiers in Genetics 7, 221.

Bhattacharjee S. 2012. An inductive pulse of hydrogen peroxide pretreatment restores redox-homeostasis and oxidative membrane damage under extremes of temperature in two rice cultivars. Plant Growth Regulation **68**, 395–410.

Bhattacharya A. 2019. Effect of high temperature on crop productivity and metabolism of macro molecules. London: Academic Press.

Bheemanahalli R, Sathishraj R, Manoharan M, Sumanth HN, Muthurajan R, Ishimaru T, Krishna JS. 2017. Is early morning flowering an effective trait to minimize heat stress damage during flowering in rice? Field Crops Research **203**, 238–242.

Bita CE, Gerats T. 2013. Plant tolerance to high temperature in a changing environment: scientific fundamentals and production of heat stress-tolerant crops. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 273.

Bita CE, Zenoni S, Vriezen WH, Mariani C, Pezzotti M, Gerats T. 2011. Temperature stress differentially modulates transcription in meiotic anthers of heat-tolerant and heat-sensitive tomato plants. BMC Genomics **12**, 384.

Blair MW, Iriarte G, Beebe S. 2006. QTL analysis of yield traits in an advanced backcross population derived from a cultivated Andean × wild common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cross. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **112**, 1149–1163.

Blomstedt CK, Gleadow RM, O'Donnell N, et al. 2012. A combined biochemical screen and TILLING approach identifies mutations in *Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench resulting in acyanogenic forage production. Plant Biotechnology Journal **10**, 54–66.

Botella C, Sautron E, Boudiere L, Michaud M, Dubots E, Yamaryo-Botté Y, Albrieux C, Marechal E, Block MA, Jouhet J. 2016. ALA10, a phospholipid flippase, controls FAD2/FAD3 desaturation of phosphatidylcholine in the ER and affects chloroplast lipid composition in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiology **170**, 1300–1314.

Botticella E, Sestili F, Hernandez-Lopez A, Phillips A, Lafiandra D. 2011. High resolution melting analysis for the detection of EMS induced mutations in wheat Sbella genes. BMC Plant Biology **11**, 156.

Budak H, Akpinar BA. 2015. Plant miRNAs: biogenesis, organization and origins. Functional & Integrative Genomics **15**, 523–531.

Burke JJ, Chen J. 2015. Enhancement of reproductive heat tolerance in plants. PLoS One 10, e0122933.

Cairns JE, Crossa J, Zaidi PH, et al. 2013. Identification of drought, heat, and combined drought and heat tolerant donors in maize. Crop Science 53, 1335.

Cairns JE, Prasanna BM. 2018. Developing and deploying climateresilient maize varieties in the developing world. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **45**, 226–230.

Cantalapiedra CP, García-Pereira MJ, Gracia MP, Igartua E, Casas AM, Contreras-Moreira B. 2017. Large differences in gene expression responses to drought and heat stress between elite barley cultivar Scarlett and a Spanish landrace. Frontiers in Plant Science 8, 647.

Casaretto JA, El-Kereamy A, Zeng B, Stiegelmeyer SM, Chen X, Bi YM, Rothstein SJ. 2016. Expression of OsMYB55 in maize activates stress-responsive genes and enhances heat and drought tolerance. BMC Genomics 17, 312.

Cerrudo D, Cao S, Yuan Y, Martinez C, Suarez EA, Babu R, Zhang X, Trachsel S. 2018. Genomic selection outperforms marker assisted selection for grain yield and physiological traits in a maize doubled haploid population across water treatments. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 366.

Chakraborty K, Bishi SK, Singh AL, Zala PV, Mahatma MK, Kalariya KA, Jat RA. 2018. Rapid induction of small heat shock proteins improves physiological adaptation to high temperature stress in peanut. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **204**, 285–297.

Chakraborty S, Newton AC. 2011. Climate change, plant diseases and food security: an overview. Plant Pathology **60**, 2–14.

Chakraborty U, Pradhan D. 2011. High temperature-induced oxidative stress in *Lens culinaris*, role of antioxidants and amelioration of stress by chemical pre-treatments. Journal of Plant Interactions **6**, 43–52.

Chao Y-Y, Hsu YT, Kao CH. 2008. Involvement of glutathione in heat shock- and hydrogen peroxide-induced cadmium tolerance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings. Plant and Soil **318**, 37.

Chaturvedi P, Doerfler H, Jegadeesan S, Ghatak A, Pressman E, Castillejo MA, Wienkoop S, Egelhofer V, Firon N, Weckwerth W. 2015. Heat-treatment-responsive proteins in different developmental stages of tomato pollen detected by targeted mass accuracy precursor alignment (tMAPA). Journal of Proteome Research **14**, 4463–4471.

Chawade A, Sikora P, Bräutigam M, Larsson M, Vivekanand V, Nakash MA, Chen T, Olsson O. 2010. Development and characterization of an oat TILLING-population and identification of mutations in lignin and β -glucan biosynthesis genes. BMC Plant Biology **10**, 86.

Chen B, Feder ME, Kang L. 2018. Evolution of heat-shock protein expression underlying adaptive responses to environmental stress. Molecular Ecology **27**, 3040–3054.

3796 | Janni et al.

Chen X, Zhang W, Zhang B, Zhou J, Wang Y, Yang Q, Ke Y, He H. 2011. Phosphoproteins regulated by heat stress in rice leaves. Proteome Science 9, 37.

Cho R. 2018. How climate change will alter our food. State of the Planet. New York: Columbia University Press.

Chopra R, Burow G, Burke JJ, Gladman N, Xin Z. 2017. Genome-wide association analysis of seedling traits in diverse *Sorghum germplasm* under thermal stress. BMC Plant Biology **17**, 12.

Chou TS, Chao YY, Kao CH. 2012. Involvement of hydrogen peroxide in heat shock- and cadmium-induced expression of ascorbate peroxidase and glutathione reductase in leaves of rice seedlings. Journal of Plant Physiology **169**, 478–486.

Chung E, Kim KM, Lee JH. 2013. Genome-wide analysis and molecular characterization of heat shock transcription factor family in *Glycine max*. Journal of Genetics and Genomics **40**, 127–135.

CIAT. 2006. Annual Report of the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT). https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstream/handle/10568/73453/CIAT_ Annual_Report_2015-2016_Synthesis.pdf?sequence=6

Cohen-Peer R, Schuster S, Meiri D, Breiman A, Avni A. 2010. Sumoylation of Arabidopsis heat shock factor A2 (HsfA2) modifies its activity during acquired thermotholerance. Plant Molecular Biology **74**, 33–45.

Colignon B, Delaive E, Dieu M, Demazy C, Muhovski Y, Antoine A, Raes M, Mauro S. 2019. Dual coordination of the SUMOylation and phosphorylation pathways during the response to heat stress in *Solanum tuberosum*. Environmental and Experimental Botany **162**, 192–200.

Comastri A, Janni M, Simmonds J, Uauy C, Pignone D, Nguyen HT, Marmiroli N. 2018. Heat in wheat: exploit reverse genetic techniques to discover new alleles within the *Triticum durum* sHsp26 family. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 1337.

Cossani CM, Reynolds MP. 2012. Physiological traits for improving heat tolerance in wheat. Plant Physiology **160**, 1710–1718.

Das S, Krishnan P, Mishra V, Kumar R, Ramakrishnan B, Singh NK. 2015. Proteomic changes in rice leaves grown under open field high temperature stress conditions. Molecular Biology Reports **42**, 1545–1558.

De Ronde JA, Cress WA, Krüger GHJ, Strasser RJ, Van Staden J. 2004. Photosynthetic response of transgenic soybean plants, containing an Arabidopsis P5CR gene, during heat and drought stress. Journal of Plant Physiology **161**, 1211–1224.

Debaeke P, Casadebaig P, Flenet F, Langlade N. 2017. Sunflower crop and climate change: vulnerability, adaptation, and mitigation potential from case-studies in Europe. OCL **24**, D102.

Devasirvatham V, Tan D. 2018. Impact of high temperature and drought stresses on chickpea production. Agronomy **8**, 145.

Di Matteo A, Ruggieri V, Sacco A, Rigano MM, Carriero F, Bolger A, Fernie AR, Frusciante L, Barone A. 2013. Identification of candidate genes for phenolics accumulation in tomato fruit. Plant Science **205–206**, 87–96.

Dimitrijevic A, Horn R. 2017. Sunflower hybrid breeding: from markers to genomic selection. Frontiers in Plant Science **8**, 2238.

Dinesh A, Patil A, Zaidi PH, Kuchanur PH, Vinayan MT, Seetharam K. 2018. Genetic diversity, linkage disequilibrium and population structure among CIMMYT maize inbred lines, selected for heat tolerance study. Maydica **61**, 7.

Djanaguiraman M, Boyle DL, Welti R, Jagadish SVK, Prasad PVV. 2018. Decreased photosynthetic rate under high temperature in wheat is due to lipid desaturation, oxidation, acylation, and damage of organelles. BMC Plant Biology **18**, 55.

Dong X, Yi H, Lee J, Nou IS, Han CT, Hur Y. 2015. Global geneexpression analysis to identify differentially expressed genes critical for the heat stress response in *Brassica rapa*. PLoS One **10**, e0130451.

Driedonks N, Rieu I, Vriezen WH. 2016. Breeding for plant heat tolerance at vegetative and reproductive stages. Plant Reproduction **29**, 67–79.

Du Z, Zhou X, Ling Y, Zhang Z, Su Z. 2010. agriGO: a GO analysis toolkit for the agricultural community. Nucleic Acids Research 38, W64–W70.

Duhnen A, Gras A, Teyssèdre S, Romestant M, Claustres B, Daydé J, Mangin B. 2017. Genomic selection for yield and seed protein content in soybean: a study of breeding program data and assessment of prediction accuracy. Crop Science **57**, 1325. **EI-Esawi MA, Alayafi AA.** 2019. Overexpression of rice Rab7 gene improves drought and heat tolerance and increases grain yield in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Genes **10**, E56.

Elferjani R, Soolanayakanahally R. 2018. Canola responses to drought, heat, and combined stress: shared and specific effects on carbon assimilation, seed yield, and oil composition. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**, 1224.

Ehlers JD, Hall AE, Patel PN, Roberts PA, Matthews WC. 2000. Registration of 'California Blackeye 27' cowpea. Crop Science **40**, 854–855.

El Hassouni K, Belkadi B, Filali-Maltouf A, Tidiane-Sall A, Al-Abdallat A, Nachit M, Bassi FM. 2019. Loci controlling adaptation to heat stress occurring at the reproductive stage in durum wheat. Agromomy 9, 414.

Escandón M, Meijón M, Valledor L, Pascual J, Pinto G, Cañal MJ. 2018. Metabolome integrated analysis of high-temperature response in *Pinus radiata*. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**, 485.

Fahad S, Bajwa AA, Nazir U, et al. 2017. Crop production under drought and heat stress: plant responses and management options. Frontiers in Plant Science 8, 1147.

Fang C, Dou L, Liu Y, Yu J, Tu J. 2018. Heat stress-responsive transcriptome analysis in heat susceptible and tolerant rice by high-throughput sequencing. Ecological Genetics and Genomics 6, 33–40.

Feng B, Zhang C, Chen T, Zhang X, Tao L, Fu G. 2018. Salicylic acid reverses pollen abortion of rice caused by heat stress. BMC Plant Biology 18, 245.

Fodor A, Segura V, Denis M, Neuenschwander S, Fournier-Level A, Chatelet P, Homa FA, Lacombe T, This P, Le Cunff L. 2014. Genomewide prediction methods in highly diverse and heterozygous species: proofof-concept through simulation in grapevine. PLoS One 9, e110436.

Fragkostefanakis S, Simm S, Paul P, Bublak D, Scharf KD, Schleiff E. 2015. Chaperone network composition in *Solanum lycopersicum* explored by transcriptome profiling and microarray meta-analysis. Plant, Cell & Environment **38**, 693–709.

Frank G, Pressman E, Ophir R, Althan L, Shaked R, Freedman M, Shen S, Firon N. 2009. Transcriptional profiling of maturing tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) microspores reveals the involvement of heat shock proteins, ROS scavengers, hormones, and sugars in the heat stress response. Journal of Experimental Botany **60**, 3891–3908.

Frey FP, Presterl T, Lecoq P, Orlik A, Stich B. 2016. First steps to understand heat tolerance of temperate maize at adult stage: identification of QTL across multiple environments with connected segregating populations. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **129**, 945–961.

Frey FP, Urbany C, Hüttel B, Reinhardt R, Stich B. 2015. Genome-wide expression profiling and phenotypic evaluation of European maize inbreds at seedling stage in response to heat stress. BMC Genomics **16**, 123.

Friedrich T, Faivre L, Bäurle I, Schubert D. 2019. Chromatin-based mechanisms of temperature memory in plants. Plant, Cell & Environment 42, 762–770.

Fu J, Momcilović I, Clemente TE, Nersesian N, Trick HN, Ristic Z. 2008. Heterologous expression of a plastid EF-Tu reduces protein thermal aggregation and enhances CO₂ fixation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) following heat stress. Plant Molecular Biology **68**, 277–288.

Fu YB. 2015. Understanding crop genetic diversity under modern plant breeding. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **128**, 2131–2142.

Gady ALF, Vriezen WH, Van de Wal MHBJ, Huang P, Bovy AG, Visser RGF, Bachem CWB. 2012. Induced point mutations in the phytoene synthase 1 gene cause differences in carotenoid content during tomato fruit ripening. Molecular Breeding **29**, 801–812.

Gahlaut V, Baranwal VK, Khurana P. 2018. miRNomes involved in imparting thermotolerance to crop plants. 3 Biotech **8**, 497.

Gammulla CG, Pascovici D, Atwell BJ, Haynes PA. 2010. Differential metabolic response of cultured rice (*Oryza sativa*) cells exposed to high- and low-temperature stress. Proteomics **10**, 3001–3019.

Gardner RG. 2000. 'Sun Leaper', a hybrid tomato, and its parent, NC HS-1. HortScience 35, 960-961.

Gaur PM, Samineni S, Thudi M, et al. 2019. Integrated breeding approaches for improving drought and heat adaptation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Plant Breeding **138**, 389–400.

George AW, Cavanagh C. 2015. Genome-wide association mapping in plants. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **128**, 1163–1174.

Gil MA, López CM, Cuadra MED, Sánchez JA, Coca BM, Martínez SP, Zueco JC. 2004. 'Amalia': a medium-fruit-size, heat-tolerant tomato cultivar for tropical conditions. HortScience **39**, 1503–1504.

Gilliham M, Able JA, Roy SJ. 2017. Translating knowledge about abiotic stress tolerance to breeding programmes. The Plant Journal 90, 898–917.

Gillman JD, Biever JJ, Ye S, Spollen WG, Givan SA, Lyu Z, Joshi T, Smith JR, Fritschi FB. 2019. A seed germination transcriptomic study contrasting two soybean genotypes that differ in terms of their tolerance to the deleterious impacts of elevated temperatures during seed fill. BMC Research Notes **12**, 522.

Ginzberg I, Barel G, Ophir R, Tzin E, Tanami Z, Muddarangappa T, de Jong W, Fogelman E. 2009. Transcriptomic profiling of heat-stress response in potato periderm. Journal of Experimental Botany **60**, 4411–4421.

González-Schain N, Dreni L, Lawas LM, Galbiati M, Colombo L, Heuer S, Jagadish KS, Kater MM. 2016. Genome-wide transcriptome analysis during anthesis reveals new insights into the molecular basis of heat stress responses in tolerant and sensitive rice varieties. Plant & Cell Physiology 57, 57–68.

Gourdji SM, Mathews KL, Reynolds M, Crossa J, Lobell DB. 2013. An assessment of wheat yield sensitivity and breeding gains in hot environments. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **280**, 20122190.

Govindaraj M, Pattanashetti SK, Patne N, Kanatti AA. 2018. Breeding cultivars for heat stress tolerance in staple food crops. In: Çiftçi YÖ, ed. Next generation plant breeding. InTechOpen.

Greer DH, Weedon MM. 2013. The impact of high temperatures on *Vitis vinifera* cv. Semillon grapevine performance and berry ripening. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 491.

Guichard A, Haque T, Bobik M, Xu XS, Klanseck C, Kushwah RBS, Berni M, Kaduskar B, Gantz VM, Bier E. 2019. Efficient allelic-drive in Drosophila. Nature Communications 10, 1640.

Guo Y, Abernathy B, Zeng Y, Ozias-Akins P. 2015. TILLING by sequencing to identify induced mutations in stress resistance genes of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). BMC Genomics **16**, 157.

Guo M, Liu JH, Ma X, Luo DX, Gong ZH, Lu MH. 2016. The plant heat stress transcription factors (HSFs): structure, regulation, and function in response to abiotic stresses. Frontiers in Plant Science **7**, 114.

Gupta OP, Mishra V, Singh NK, Tiwari R, Sharma P, Gupta RK, Sharma I. 2015. Deciphering the dynamics of changing proteins of tolerant and intolerant wheat seedlings subjected to heat stress. Molecular Biology Reports **42**, 43–51.

Hall AE. 2004. Breeding for adaptation to drought and heat in cowpea. European Journal of Agronomy 21, 447–454.

Han F, Chen H, Li X-J, Yang M-F, Liu G-S, Shen S-H. 2009. A comparative proteomic analysis of rice seedlings under various high-temperature stresses. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **1794**, 1625–1634.

Hancock RD, Morris WL, Ducreux LJM, et al. 2014. Physiological, biochemical and molecular responses of the potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) plant to moderately elevated temperature: systems biology of heat stress in potato. Plant, Cell & Environment **37**, 439–450.

Harloff H-J, Lemcke S, Mittasch J, Frolov A, Wu JG, Dreyer F, Leckband G, Jung C. 2012. A mutation screening platform for rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) and the detection of sinapine biosynthesis mutants. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **124**, 957–969.

Hasanuzzaman M, Nahar K, Alam MM, Roychowdhury R, Fujita M. 2013. Physiological, biochemical, and molecular mechanisms of heat stress tolerance in plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 14, 9643–9684.

Hede AR, Skovmand B, Reynolds MP, Crossa J, Vilhelmsen AL, Stølen O. 1999. Evaluating genetic diversity for heat tolerance traits in Mexican wheat landraces. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 46, 37–45.

Hewezi T, Léger M, Gentzbittel L. 2008. A comprehensive analysis of the combined effects of high light and high temperature stresses on gene expression in sunflower. Annals of Botany **102**, 127–140.

Higashi Y, Okazaki Y, Myouga F, Shinozaki K, Saito K. 2015. Landscape of the lipidome and transcriptome under heat stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Scientific Reports **5**, 10533.

Higashi Y, Okazaki Y, Takano K, Myouga F, Shinozaki K, Knoch E, Fukushima A, Saito K. 2018. HEAT INDUCIBLE LIPASE1 remodels chloroplastic monogalactosyldiacylglycerol by liberating α -linolenic acid in Arabidopsis leaves under heat stress. The Plant Cell **30**, 1887–1905.

Higashi Y, Saito K. 2019. Lipidomic studies of membrane glycerolipids in plant leaves under heat stress. Progress in Lipid Research 75, 100990.

Hu X-J, Chen D, Lynne McIntyre C, Fernanda Dreccer M, Zhang Z-B, Drenth J, Kalaipandian S, Chang H, Xue G-P. 2018. Heat shock factor C2a serves as a proactive mechanism for heat protection in developing grains in wheat via an ABA-mediated regulatory pathway. Plant, Cell & Environment 41, 79–98.

Hu X, Wu L, Zhao F, Zhang D, Li N, Zhu G, Li C, Wang W. 2015. Phosphoproteomic analysis of the response of maize leaves to drought, heat and their combination stress. Frontiers in Plant Science 6, 298.

Hurkman WJ, McCue KF, Altenbach SB, et al. 2003. Effect of temperature on expression of genes encoding enzymes for starch biosynthesis in developing wheat endosperm. Plant Science **164**, 873–881.

Hurkman WJ, Tanaka CK, Vensel WH, Thilmony R, Altenbach SB. 2013. Comparative proteomic analysis of the effect of temperature and fertilizer on gliadin and glutenin accumulation in the developing endosperm and flour from *Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Butte 86. Proteome Science **11**, 8.

Ihsan MZ, Daur I, Alghabari F, Alzamanan S, Rizwan S, Ahmad M, Waqas M, Shafqat W. 2019. Heat stress and plant development: role of sulphur metabolites and management strategies. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B–Soil & Plant Science **69**, 332–342.

Ishizaki K. 2006. Evaluation of various screening systems for high grain quality in rice cultivars under high-temperature grain-filling conditions, and the selection of their standard cultivars. Japanese Journal of Crop Science **75**, 502–506.

Jagadeeswaran G, Saini A, Sunkar R. 2009. Biotic and abiotic stress down-regulate miR398 expression in Arabidopsis. Planta **229**, 1009–1014.

Jagadish SV, Bahuguna RN, Djanaguiraman M, Gamuyao R, Prasad PV, Craufurd PQ. 2016. Implications of high temperature and elevated CO_2 on flowering time in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 913.

Jagadish SVK, Craufurd PQ, Wheeler TR. 2008. Phenotyping parents of mapping populations of rice for heat tolerance during anthesis. Crop Science **48**, 1140–1146.

Jagadish SVK, Muthurajan R, Oane R, Wheeler TR, Heuer S, Bennett J, Craufurd PQ. 2010. Physiological and proteomic approaches to address heat tolerance during anthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Experimental Botany **61**, 143–156.

Jaganathan D, Ramasamy K, Sellamuthu G, Jayabalan S, Venkataraman G. 2018. CRISPR for crop improvement: an update review. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 985.

Jangid KK, Dwivedi P. 2016. Physiological responses of drought stress in tomato: a review. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology 9, 53.

Janni M, Cadonici S, Bonas U, Grasso A, Dahab AAD, Visioli G, Pignone D, Ceriotti A, Marmiroli N. 2018. Gene-ecology of durum wheat HMW glutenin reflects their diffusion from the center of origin. Scientific Reports 8, 16929.

Jessen D, Roth C, Wiermer M, Fulda M. 2015. Two activities of long-chain acyl-coenzyme A synthetase are involved in lipid trafficking between the endoplasmic reticulum and the plastid in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **167**, 351–366.

Jha UC, Bohra A, Singh NP. 2014. Heat stress in crop plants: its nature, impacts and integrated breeding strategies to improve heat tolerance. Plant Breeding **133**, 679–701.

Jiang J, Liu X, Liu C, Liu G, Li S, Wang L. 2017. Integrating omics and alternative splicing reveals insights into grape response to high temperature. Plant Physiology **173**, 1502–1518.

Jin GH, Gho HJ, Jung KH. 2013. A systematic view of rice heat shock transcription factor family using phylogenomic analysis. Journal of Plant Physiology **170**, 321–329.

Johnson SM, Lim F-L, Finkler A, Fromm H, Slabas AR, Knight MR. 2014. Transcriptomic analysis of *Sorghum bicolor* responding to combined heat and drought stress. BMC Genomics **15**, 456.

Jones MO, Piron-Prunier F, Marcel F, et al. 2012. Characterisation of alleles of tomato light signalling genes generated by TILLING. Phytochemistry **79**, 78–86.

3798 | Janni et al.

Kaashyap M, Ford R, Bohra A, Kuvalekar A, Mantri N. 2017. Improving salt tolerance of chickpea using modern genomics tools and molecular breeding. Current Genomics **18**, 557–567.

Kang NJ, Kang YI, Kang KH, Jeong BR. 2009. Induction of thermotolerance and activation of antioxidant enzymes in H_2O_2 pre-applied leaves of cucumber and tomato seedlings. Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science **78**, 320–329.

Katiyar-Agarwal S, Agarwal M, Grover A. 2003. Heat-tolerant basmati rice engineered by over-expression of hsp101. Plant Molecular Biology **51**, 677–686.

Kaushal N, Bhandari K, Siddique KHM, Nayyar H. 2016. Food crops face rising temperatures: an overview of responses, adaptive mechanisms, and approaches to improve heat tolerance. Cogent Food & Agriculture 2, 1134380.

Keller M, Simm S; SPOT-ITN Consortium. 2018. The coupling of transcriptome and proteome adaptation during development and heat stress response of tomato pollen. BMC Genomics **19**, 447.

Key JL, Lin CY, Chen YM. 1981. Heat shock proteins of higher plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **78**, 3526–3530.

Kilasi NL, Singh J, Vallejos CE, Ye C, Jagadish SVK, Kusolwa P, Rathinasabapathi B. 2018. Heat stress tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): identification of quantitative trait loci and candidate genes for seedling growth under heat stress. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 1578.

Kim D, Alptekin B, Budak H. 2018. CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in wheat. Functional & Integrative Genomics 18, 31–41.

Kim M, Kim H, Lee W, Lee Y, Kwon S-W, Lee J. 2015. Quantitative shotgun proteomics analysis of rice anther proteins after exposure to high temperature. International Journal of Genomics **2015**, 1–9.

Knoll JE, Ramos ML, Zeng Y, Holbrook CC, Chow M, Chen S, Maleki S, Bhattacharya A, Ozias-Akins P. 2011. TILLING for allergen reduction and improvement of quality traits in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). BMC Plant Biology **11**, 81.

Koscielny CB, Gardner SW, Duncan RW. 2018. Impact of high temperature on heterosis and general combining ability in spring canola (*Brassica napus* L.). Field Crops Research **221**, 61–70.

Kotak S, Larkindale J, Lee U, von Koskull-Döring P, Vierling E, Scharf KD. 2007. Complexity of the heat stress response in plants. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **10**, 310–316.

Krasileva KV, Vasquez-Gross HA, Howell T, et al. 2017. Uncovering hidden variation in polyploid wheat. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 114, E913–E921.

Krishnamurthy L, Gaur PM, Basu PS, Chaturvedi SK, Tripathi S, Vadez V, Rathore A, Varshney RK, Gowda CLL. 2011. Large genetic variation for heat tolerance in the reference collection of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) germplasm. Plant Genetic Resources **9**, 59–69.

Krishnan A, Gupta V, Ritvik, Nongkynrih B, Thakur J. 2011. How to effectively monitor and evaluate NCD programmes in India. Indian Journal of Community Medicine **36**, S57–S62.

Kumar AP, Boualem A, Bhattacharya A, Parikh S, Desai N, Zambelli A, Leon A, Chatterjee M, Bendahmane A. 2013. SMART–Sunflower Mutant population And Reverse genetic Tool for crop improvement. BMC Plant Biology **13**, 38.

Kumar APK, McKeown PC, Boualem A, Ryder P, Brychkova G, Bendahmane A, Sarkar A, Chatterjee M, Spillane C. 2017. TILLING by sequencing (TbyS) for targeted genome mutagenesis in crops. Molecular Breeding **37**, 14.

Kumar RR, Pathak H, Sharma SK, Kala YK, Nirjal MK, Singh GP, Goswami S, Rai RD. 2015. Novel and conserved heat-responsive microRNAs in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Functional & Integrative Genomics **15**, 323–348.

Kumar RR, Singh K, Ahuja S, *et al.* 2019. Quantitative proteomic analysis reveals novel stress-associated active proteins (SAAPs) and pathways involved in modulating tolerance of wheat under terminal heat. Functional & Integrative Genomics **19**, 329–348.

Lamaoui M, Jemo M, Datla R, Bekkaoui F. 2018. Heat and drought stresses in crops and approaches for their mitigation. Frontiers in Chemistry 6, 26.

Lavania D, Dhingra A, Grover A. 2018. Analysis of transactivation potential of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) heat shock factors. Planta **247**, 1267–1276. Li M, Li X, Zhou Z, Wu P, Fang M, Pan X, Lin Q, Luo W, Wu G, Li H. 2016. Reassessment of the four yield-related genes Gn1a, DEP1, GS3, and IPA1 in rice using a CRISPR/Cas9 system. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 377.

Li N, Gügel IL, Giavalisco P, Zeisler V, Schreiber L, Soll J, Philippar K. 2015. FAX1, a novel membrane protein mediating plastid fatty acid export. PLoS Biology **13**, e1002053.

Li X, Lawas LM, Malo R, *et al.* 2015. Metabolic and transcriptomic signatures of rice floral organs reveal sugar starvation as a factor in reproductive failure under heat and drought stress. Plant, Cell & Environment **38**, 2171–2192.

Li XM, Chao DY, Wu Y, et al. 2015. Natural alleles of a proteasome $\alpha 2$ subunit gene contribute to thermotolerance and adaptation of African rice. Nature Genetics 47, 827–833.

Li Y, Yu Z, Jin J, Zhang Q, Wang G, Liu C, Wu J, Wang C, Liu X. 2018. Impact of elevated CO_2 on seed quality of soybean at the fresh edible and mature stages. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 1413.

Liao J-L, Zhou H-W, Peng Q, Zhong P-A, Zhang H-Y, He C, Huang Y-J. 2015. Transcriptome changes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in response to high night temperature stress at the early milky stage. BMC Genomics **16**, 18.

Liao J-L, Zhou H-W, Zhang H-Y, Zhong P-A, Huang Y-J. 2014. Comparative proteomic analysis of differentially expressed proteins in the early milky stage of rice grains during high temperature stress. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 655–671.

Lim GH, Singhal R, Kachroo A, Kachroo P. 2017. Fatty acid- and lipidmediated signaling in plant defense. Annual Review of Phytopathology **55**, 505–536.

Lin H-H, Lin K-H, Syu J-Y, Tang S-Y, Lo H-F. 2016. Physiological and proteomic analysis in two wild tomato lines under waterlogging and high temperature stress. Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology **25**, 87–96.

Lin YX, Jiang HY, Chu ZX, Tang XL, Zhu SW, Cheng BJ. 2011. Genomewide identification, classification and analysis of heat shock transcription factor family in maize. BMC Genomics **12**, 76.

Ling Y, Serrano N, Gao G, et al. 2018. Thermopriming triggers splicing memory in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany 69, 2659–2675.

Liu C-W, Chang T-S, Hsu Y-K, Wang AZ, Yen H-C, Wu Y-P, Wang C-S, Lai C-C. 2014. Comparative proteomic analysis of early salt stress responsive proteins in roots and leaves of rice. Proteomics **14**, 1759–1775.

Liu GT, Wang JF, Cramer G, Dai ZW, Duan W, Xu HG, Wu BH, Fan PG, Wang LJ, Li SH. 2012a. Transcriptomic analysis of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) leaves during and after recovery from heat stress. BMC Plant Biology **12**, 174.

Liu GT, Wang JF, Cramer G, Dai ZW, Duan W, Xu HG, Wu BH, Fan PG, Wang LJ, Li SH. 2012b. Transcriptomic analysis of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) leaves during and after recovery from heat stress. BMC Plant Biology **12**, 174.

Liu Q, Yan S, Yang T, Zhang S, Chen YQ, Liu B. 2017. Small RNAs in regulating temperature stress response in plants. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **59**, 774–791.

Liu X, Huang B. 2000. Heat stress injury in relation to membrane lipid peroxidation in creeping bentgrass. Crop Science **40**, 503–510.

Liu Y, Li J, Zhu Y, Jones A, Rose RJ, Song Y. 2019. Heat stress in legume seed setting: effects, causes, and future prospects. Frontiers in Plant Science 10, 938.

Liu Z, Xin M, Qin J, Peng H, Ni Z, Yao Y, Sun Q. 2015. Temporal transcriptome profiling reveals expression partitioning of homeologous genes contributing to heat and drought acclimation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). BMC Plant Biology **15**, 152.

Lobell DB, Schlenker W, Costa-Roberts J. 2011. Climate trends and global crop production since 1980. Science **333**, 616–620.

Lopes MS, El-Basyoni I, Baenziger PS, et al. 2015. Exploiting genetic diversity from landraces in wheat breeding for adaptation to climate change. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 3477–3486.

Lorenz R, Stalhandske Z, Fischer EM. 2019. Detection of a climate change signal in extreme heat, heat stress, and cold in europe from observations. Geophysical Research Letters **46**, 8363–8374.

Lu Y, Li R, Wang R, Wang X, Zheng W, Sun Q, Tong S, Dai S, Xu S. 2017. Comparative proteomic analysis of flag leaves reveals new insight into wheat heat adaptation. Frontiers in Plant Science **8**, 1086.

Lucas MR, Ehlers JD, Huynh B-L, Diop N-N, Roberts PA, Close TJ. 2013. Markers for breeding heat-tolerant cowpea. Molecular Breeding **31**, 529–536.

Luo Q. 2011. Temperature thresholds and crop production: a review. Climate Change 109, 583–598.

MacAlister CA, Park SJ, Jiang K, Marcel F, Bendahmane A, Izkovich Y, Eshed Y, Lippman ZB. 2012. Synchronization of the flowering transition by the tomato *TERMINATING FLOWER* gene. Nature Genetics **44**, 1393–1398.

Maestri E, Klueva N, Perrotta C, Gulli M, Nguyen HT, Marmiroli N. 2002. Molecular genetics of heat tolerance and heat shock proteins in cereals. Plant Molecular Biology **48**, 667–681.

Makarova S, Makhotenko A, Spechenkova N, Love AJ, Kalinina NO, Taliansky M. 2018. Interactive responses of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) plants to heat stress and infection with Potato virus Y. Frontiers in Microbiology **9**, 2582.

Malcevschi A, Marmiroli N. 2012. Plant protein analysis. In: Hazelwood J, ed. Proteomic applications in biology. IntechOpen.

Mangelsen E, Kilian J, Harter K, Jansson C, Wanke D, Sundberg E. 2011. Transcriptome analysis of high-temperature stress in developing barley caryopses: early stress responses and effects on storage compound biosynthesis. Molecular Plant **4**, 97–115.

Mangrauthia SK, Agarwal S, Sailaja B, Sarla N, Voleti SR. 2016. Transcriptome analysis of *Oryza sativa* (rice) seed germination at high temperature shows dynamics of genome expression associated with hormones signalling and abiotic stress pathways. Tropical Plant Biology **9**, 215–228.

Mangrauthia SK, Bhogireddy S, Agarwal S, Prasanth VV, Voleti SR, Neelamraju S, Subrahmanyam D. 2017. Genome-wide changes in microRNA expression during short and prolonged heat stress and recovery in contrasting rice cultivars. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 2399–2412.

Marfo KO, Hall AE. 1992. Inheritance of heat tolerance during pod set in Cowpea. Crop Science **32**, 912.

Marko D, El-shershaby A, Carriero F, Summerer S, Petrozza A, Iannacone R, Schleiff E, Fragkostefanakis S. 2019. Identification and characterization of a thermotolerant TILLING allele of heat shock binding protein 1 in tomato. Genes 10, 516.

Martinière A, Shvedunova M, Thomson AJ, Evans NH, Penfield S, Runions J, McWatters HG. 2011. Homeostasis of plasma membrane viscosity in fluctuating temperatures. New Phytologist **192**, 328–337.

Matei G, Woyann LG, Milioli AS, de Bem Oliveira I, Zdziarski AD, Zanella R, Coelho ASG, Finatto T, Benin G. 2018. Genomic selection in soybean: accuracy and time gain in relation to phenotypic selection. Molecular Breeding **38**, 117.

Maulana F, Ayalew H, Anderson JD, Kumssa TT, Huang W, Ma XF. 2018. Genome-wide association mapping of seedling heat tolerance in winter wheat. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 1272.

Mazzeo MF, Cacace G, Iovieno P, Massarelli I, Grillo S, Siciliano RA. 2018. Response mechanisms induced by exposure to high temperature in anthers from thermo-tolerant and thermo-sensitive tomato plants: a proteomic perspective. PLoS One **13**, e0201027.

Meseka S, Menkir A, Bossey B, Mengesha W. 2018. Performance assessment of drought tolerant maize hybrids under combined drought and heat stress. Agronomy 8, 274.

Miller MJ, Vierstra RD. 2011. Mass spectrometric identification of SUMO substrates provides insights into heat stress-induced SUMOylation in plants. Plant Signaling & Behavior **6**, 130–133.

Mittal D, Chakrabarti S, Sarkar A, Singh A, Grover A. 2009. Heat shock factor gene family in rice: genomic organization and transcript expression profiling in response to high temperature, low temperature and oxidative stresses. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **47**, 785–795.

Mittal D, Madhyastha DA, Grover A. 2012. Gene expression analysis in response to low and high temperature and oxidative stresses in rice: combination of stresses evokes different transcriptional changes as against stresses applied individually. Plant Science **197**, 102–113.

Mobin M, Khan MN, Abbas ZK, Ansari HR, Al-Mutairi KA. 2017. Significance of sulfur in heat stressed cluster bean (*Cymopsis tetragonoloba* L. Taub) genotypes: responses of growth, sugar and antioxidative metabolism. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science **63**, 288–295. Montero-Barrientos M, Hermosa R, Nicolás C, Cardoza RE, Gutiérrez S, Monte E. 2008. Overexpression of a *Trichoderma* HSP70 gene increases fungal resistance to heat and other abiotic stresses. Fungal Genetics and Biology **45**, 1506–1513.

Mu Q, Zhang W, Zhang Y, Yan H, Liu K, Matsui T, Tian X, Yang P. 2017. ITRAQ-based quantitative proteomics analysis on rice anther responding to high temperature. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **18**, 1811.

Mueller SP, Unger M, Guender L, Fekete A, Mueller MJ. 2017. Phospholipid:diacylglycerol acyltransferase-mediated triacylglyerol synthesis augments basal thermotolerance. Plant Physiology **175**, 486–497.

Muhlemann JK, Younts TLB, Muday GK. 2018. Flavonols control pollen tube growth and integrity by regulating ROS homeostasis during high-temperature stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **115**, E11188–E11197.

Muth J, Hartje S, Twyman RM, Hofferbert H-R, Tacke E, Prüfer D. 2008. Precision breeding for novel starch variants in potato. Plant Biotechnology Journal 6, 576–584.

Nahar K, Hasanuzzaman M, Alam MdM, Fujita M. 2015. Exogenous glutathione confers high temperature stress tolerance in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) by modulating antioxidant defense and methylglyoxal detoxification system. Environmental and Experimental Botany **112**, 44–54.

Narayanan S, Prasad PV, Welti R. 2016. Wheat leaf lipids during heat stress: II. Lipids experiencing coordinated metabolism are detected by analysis of lipid co-occurrence. Plant, Cell & Environment **39**, 608–617.

Narayanan S, Prasad PVV, Welti R. 2018. Alterations in wheat pollen lipidome during high day and night temperature stress. Plant, Cell & Environment 41, 1749–1761.

Navarro-Reig M, Tauler R, Iriondo-Frias G, Jaumot J. 2019. Untargeted lipidomic evaluation of hydric and heat stresses on rice growth. Journal of Chromatography. B, Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences **1104**, 148–156.

Naveed M, Ahsan M, Akram HM, Aslam M, Ahmed N. 2016. Genetic effects conferring heat tolerance in a cross of tolerant × susceptible maize (*Zea mays* L.) Genotypes. Frontiers in Plant Science **7**, 729.

Nelson DE, Repetti PP, Adams TR, et al. 2007. Plant nuclear factor Y (NF-Y) B subunits confer drought tolerance and lead to improved corn yields on water-limited acres. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 104, 16450–16455.

Neta-Sharir I, Isaacson T, Lurie S, Weiss D. 2005. Dual role for tomato heat shock protein 21: protecting photosystem II from oxidative stress and promoting color changes during fruit maturation. The Plant Cell **17**, 1829–1838.

Ni Z, Li H, Zhao Y, Peng H, Hu Z, Xin M, Sun Q. 2018. Genetic improvement of heat tolerance in wheat: recent progress in understanding the underlying molecular mechanisms. The Crop Journal 6, 32–41.

Niu Y, Xiang Y. 2018. An overview of biomembrane functions in plant responses to high-temperature stress. Frontiers in Plant Science 9, 915.

Ohama N, Sato H, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2017. Transcriptional regulatory network of plant heat stress response. Trends in Plant Science **22**, 53–65.

Okabe Y, Ariizumi T, Ezura H. 2013. Updating the Micro-Tom TILLING platform. Breeding Science **63**, 42–48.

Okabe Y, Asamizu E, Saito T, Matsukura C, Ariizumi T, Brès C, Rothan C, Mizoguchi T, Ezura H. 2011. Tomato TILLING technology: development of a reverse genetics tool for the efficient isolation of mutants from micro-Tom mutant libraries. Plant & Cell Physiology **52**, 1994–2005.

Osmond CB, Austin MP, Berry JA, Billings WD, Boyer JS, Dacey JWH, Nobel PS, Smith SD, Winner WE. 1987. Stress physiology and the distribution of plants. BioScience **37**, 38–48.

Otero A, Goni C, Jifon JL, Syvertsen JP. 2011. High temperature effects on citrus orange leaf gas exchange, flowering, fruit quality and yield. Acta Horticulturae 1069–1075.

Padi FK, Denwar NN, Kaleem FZ, Salifu AB, Clottey VA, Kombiok J, Haruna M, Hall AE, Marfo KO. 2004. Registration of 'Apagbaala' cowpea. Crop Science 44, 1486.

Paliwal R, Röder MS, Kumar U, Srivastava JP, Joshi AK. 2012. QTL mapping of terminal heat tolerance in hexaploid wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics **125**, 561–575.

3800 | Janni et al.

Parankusam S, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Sharma KK. 2017. Heat responsive proteome changes reveal molecular mechanisms underlying heat tolerance in chickpea. Environmental and Experimental Botany **141**. 132–144.

Park CJ, Seo YS. 2015. Heat shock proteins: a review of the molecular chaperones for plant immunity. Plant Pathology Journal **31**, 323–333.

Paupière MJ, van Haperen P, Rieu I, Visser RGF, Tikunov YM, Bovy AG. 2017. Screening for pollen tolerance to high temperatures in tomato. Euphytica **213**, 130.

Petrov VD, Van Breusegem F. 2012. Hydrogen peroxide—a central hub for information flow in plant cells. AoB Plants **2012**, pls014.

Phan TTT, Ishibashi Y, Miyazaki M, Tran HT, Okamura K, Tanaka S, Nakamura J, Yuasa T, Iwaya-Inoue M. 2013. High temperature-induced repression of the rice sucrose transporter (OsSUT1) and starch synthesis-related genes in sink and source organs at milky ripening stage causes chalky grains. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Scence **199**, 178–188.

Pignone D, De Paola D, Rapanà N, Janni M. 2015. Single seed descent: a tool to exploit durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) genetic resources. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution **62**, 1029–1035.

Piron F, Nicolaï M, Minoïa S, Piednoir E, Moretti A, Salgues A, Zamir D, Caranta C, Bendahmane A. 2010. An induced mutation in tomato eIF4E leads to immunity to two potyviruses. PLoS One 5, e11313.

Polanía JA, Chaves N, Lobaton JD, Cajiao VCH, Rao IM, Raatz B, Beebe SE. 2017. Heat tolerance in common bean derived from interspecific crosses. International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT). https://hdl. handle.net/10568/89450

Pottorff M, Roberts PA, Close TJ, Lonardi S, Wanamaker S, Ehlers JD. 2014. Identification of candidate genes and molecular markers for heat-induced brown discoloration of seed coats in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp]. BMC Genomics **15**, 328.

Prasad PVV, Bheemanahalli R, Jagadish SVK. 2017. Field crops and the fear of heat stress—opportunities, challenges and future directions. Field Crops Research **200**, 114–121.

Prasad PVV, Craufurd PQ, Kakani VG, Wheeler TR, Boote KJ. 2001. Influence of high temperature during pre- and post-anthesis stages of floral development on fruit-set and pollen germination in peanut. Functional Plant Biology **28**, 233–240.

Prasad PVV, Djanaguiraman M. 2014. Response of floret fertility and individual grain weight of wheat to high temperature stress: sensitive stages and thresholds for temperature and duration. Functional Plant Biology **41**, 1261–1269.

Prasad PVV, Pisipati SR, Mutava RN, Tuinstra MR. 2008. Sensitivity of grain sorghum to high temperature stress during reproductive development. Crop Science **48**, 1911.

Priya M, Dhanker OP, Siddique KHM, HanumanthaRao B, Nair RM, Pandey S, Singh S, Varshney RK, Prasad PVV, Nayyar H. 2019. Drought and heat stress-related proteins: an update about their functional relevance in imparting stress tolerance in agricultural crops. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **132**, 1607–1638.

Prasad R, Gunn SK, Rotz CA, Karsten H, Roth G, Buda A, Stoner AMK. 2018. Projected climate and agronomic implications for corn production in the Northeastern United States. PLoS One **13**, e0198623.

Qi Y, Wang H, Zou Y, Liu C, Liu Y, Wang Y, Zhang W. 2011. Overexpression of mitochondrial heat shock protein 70 suppresses programmed cell death in rice. FEBS Letters **585**, 231–239.

Qin D, Wang F, Geng X, Zhang L, Yao Y, Ni Z, Peng H, Sun Q. 2015. Overexpression of heat stress-responsive TaMBF1c, a wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) Multiprotein Bridging Factor, confers heat tolerance in both yeast and rice. Plant Molecular Biology **87**, 31–45.

Qin D, Wu H, Peng H, Yao Y, Ni Z, Li Z, Zhou C, Sun Q. 2008. Heat stress-responsive transcriptome analysis in heat susceptible and tolerant wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by using wheat genome array. BMC Genomics **9**, 432.

Qu AL, Ding YF, Jiang Q, Zhu C. 2013. Molecular mechanisms of the plant heat stress response. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications **432**, 203–207.

Qu M, Chen G, Bunce JA, Zhu X, Sicher RC. 2018. Systematic biology analysis on photosynthetic carbon metabolism of maize leaf following sudden heat shock under elevated CO₂. Scientific Reports **8**, 7849.

Rahaman M, Mamidi S, Rahman M. 2018. Genome-wide association study of heat stress-tolerance traits in spring-type *Brassica napus* L. under controlled conditions. The Crop Journal **6**, 115–125.

Ravichandran S, Ragupathy R, Edwards T, Domaratzki M, Cloutier S. 2019. MicroRNA-guided regulation of heat stress response in wheat. BMC Genomics **20**, 488.

Raza A, Razzaq A, Mehmood S, Zou X, Zhang X, Lv Y, Xu J. 2019. Impact of climate change on crops adaptation and strategies to tackle its outcome: a review. Plants 8, 34.

Reddy TV, Dwivedi S, Sharma NK. 2012. Development of TILLING by sequencing platform towards enhanced leaf yield in tobacco. Industrial Crops and Products **40**, 324–335.

Reynolds M, Bonnett D, Chapman SC, Furbank RT, Manès Y, Mather DE, Parry MA. 2011. Raising yield potential of wheat. I. Overview of a consortium approach and breeding strategies. Journal of Experimental Botany **62**, 439–452.

Ricroch A, Clairand P, Harwood W. 2017. Use of CRISPR systems in plant genome editing: toward new opportunities in agriculture. Emerging Topics in Life Sciences **1**, 169–182.

Roorkiwal M, Rathore A, Das RR, et al. 2016. Genome-enabled prediction models for yield related traits in chickpea. Frontiers in Plant Science **7**, 1666.

Ruggieri V, Calafiore R, Schettini C, Rigano M, Olivieri F, Frusciante L, Barone A. 2019. Exploiting genetic and genomic resources to enhance heat-tolerance in tomatoes. Agronomy 9, 22.

Salvi P, Kamble NU, Majee M. 2018. Stress-inducible galactinol synthase of chickpea (CaGolS) is implicated in heat and oxidative stress tolerance through reducing stress-induced excessive reactive oxygen species accumulation. Plant & Cell Physiology **59**, 155–166.

Sanyal RP, Misra HS, Saini A. 2018. Heat-stress priming and alternative splicing-linked memory. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 2431–2434.

Sarkar NK, Kim YK, Grover A. 2014. Coexpression network analysis associated with call of rice seedlings for encountering heat stress. Plant Molecular Biology 84, 125–143.

Scharf KD, Berberich T, Ebersberger I, Nover L. 2012. The plant heat stress transcription factor (Hsf) family: structure, function and evolution. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **1819**, 104–119.

Scott JW, Olson SM, Howe TK, Stoffella PJ, Bartz JA, Bryan HH. 1995. 'Equinox' heat-tolerant hybrid tomato. HortScience **30**, 647–648.

Sedeek KEM, Mahas A, Mahfouz M. 2019. Plant genome engineering for targeted improvement of crop traits. Frontiers in Plant Science 10, 114.

Sehgal A, Sita K, Kumar J, Kumar S, Singh S, Siddique KHM, Nayyar H. 2017. Effects of drought, heat and their interaction on the growth, yield and photosynthetic function of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus) genotypes varying in heat and drought sensitivity. Frontiers in Plant Science **8**, 1776.

Sestili F, Palombieri S, Botticella E, Mantovani P, Bovina R, Lafiandra D. 2015. TILLING mutants of durum wheat result in a high amylose phenotype and provide information on alternative splicing mechanisms. Plant Science **233**, 127–133.

Setia RC, Setia N. 2008. The '-OMICS' technologies and crop improvement. In: Setia RC, Nayyar H, Setia N, eds. Crop improvement:strategies and applications. New Dehli: International Publishing House Pvt. Ltd, 1–18.

Shah F, Huang J, Cui K, Nie L, Shah T, Chen C, Wang K. 2011. Impact of high-temperature stress on rice plant and its traits related to tolerance. Journal of Agricultural Science **149**, 545–556.

Shan Q, Wang Y, Li J, *et al.* 2013. Targeted genome modification of crop plants using a CRISPR–Cas system. Nature Biotechnology **31**, 686–688.

Shan Q, Wang Y, Li J, Gao C. 2014. Genome editing in rice and wheat using the CRISPR/Cas system. Nature Protocols 9, 2395–2410.

Shanmugavadivel PS, Amitha Mithra SV, Prakash C, MK R, Tiwari R, Mohapatra T, Singh NK. 2017. High resolution mapping of QTLs for heat tolerance in rice using a 5K SNP array. Rice **10**, 28.

Sharma DK, Torp AM, Rosenqvist E, Ottosen CO, Andersen SB. 2017. QTLs and potential candidate genes for heat stress tolerance identified from the mapping populations specifically segregating for Fv/Fm in wheat. Frontiers in Plant Science 8, 1668.

Sharma L, Dalal M, Verma RK, Kumar SVV, Yadav SK, Pushkar S, Kushwaha SR, Bhowmik A, Chinnusamy V. 2018. Auxin protects spikelet fertility and grain yield under drought and heat stresses in rice. Environmental and Experimental Botany **150**, 9–24.

Shen L, Hua Y, Fu Y, et al. 2017. Rapid generation of genetic diversity by multiplex CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in rice. Science China Life Sciences 60, 506–515.

Shi J, Gao H, Wang H, Lafitte HR, Archibald RL, Yang M, Hakimi SM, Mo H, Habben JE. 2017a. ARGOS8 variants generated by CRISPR– Cas9 improve maize grain yield under field drought stress conditions. Plant Biotechnology Journal **15**, 207–216.

Shi J, Yan B, Lou X, Ma H, Ruan S. 2017b. Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals the transcriptional alterations in heat-resistant and heat-sensitive sweet maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties under heat stress. BMC Plant Biology **17**, 26.

Shirdelmoghanloo H, Taylor JD, Lohraseb I, Rabie H, Brien C, Timmins A, Martin P, Mather DE, Emebiri L, Collins NC. 2016. A QTL on the short arm of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) chromosome 3B affects the stability of grain weight in plants exposed to a brief heat shock early in grain filling. BMC Plant Biology **16**, 100.

Silletti MF, Petrozza A, Stigliani AL, Giorio G, Cellini F, D'Ambrosio C, Carriero F. 2013. An increase of lycopene content in tomato fruit is associated with a novel Cyc-B allele isolated through TILLING technology. Molecular Breeding **31**, 665–674.

Singh D, Balota M, Collakova E, Isleib TG, Welbaum GE, Tallury SP. 2016. Heat stress related physiological and metabolic traits in peanut seed-lings. Peanut Science **43**, 24–35.

Singh S, Vikram P, Sehgal D, et al. 2018. Harnessing genetic potential of wheat germplasm banks through impact-oriented-prebreeding for future food and nutritional security. Scientific Reports 8, 12527.

Singh R, Jwa NS. 2013. Understanding the responses of rice to environmental stress using proteomics. Journal of Proteome Research 12, 4652–4669.

Sita K, Sehgal A, Bhandari K, Kumar J, Kumar S, Singh S, Siddique KH, Nayyar H. 2018. Impact of heat stress during seed filling on seed quality and seed yield in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus) genotypes. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture **98**, 5134–5141.

Slade AJ, McGuire C, Loeffler D, et al. 2012. Development of high amylose wheat through TILLING. BMC Plant Biology **12**, 69.

Snider JL, Russo VM, Roberts W, Wann EV, Raper RL. 2012. Cultural and environmental factors governing tomato production: local production under elevated temperatures. HortScience 47, 1022–1028.

Soda N, Wallace SA. 2015. Omics study for abiotic stress responses in plants. Advances in Plants & Agricultural Research **2**, 00037.

Song J, Carver BF, Powers C, Yan L, Klápště J, El-Kassaby YA, Chen C. 2017. Practical application of genomic selection in a doubled-haploid winter wheat breeding program. Molecular Breeding **37**, 117.

Sparks DL. 2018. Advances in agronomy. New York: Academic Press.

Sparla F, Falini G, Botticella E, Pirone C, Talamè V, Bovina R, Salvi S, Tuberosa R, Sestili F, Trost P. 2014. New starch phenotypes produced by TILLING in barley. PLoS One **9**, e107779.

Spicher L, Glauser G, Kessler F. 2016. Lipid antioxidant and galactolipid remodeling under temperature stress in tomato plants. Frontiers in Plant Science **7**, 167.

Spindel J, Iwata H. 2018. Genomic selection in rice breeding. In: Sasaki T, Ashikari M, eds. Rice genomics, genetics and breeding. Singapore: Springer Singapore, 473–496.

Stief A, Altmann S, Hoffmann K, Pant BD, Scheible WR, Bäurle I. 2014. Arabidopsis miR156 regulates tolerance to recurring environmental stress through SPL transcription factors. The Plant Cell **26**, 1792–1807.

Sung D-Y, Kaplan F, Guy CL. 2001. Plant Hsp70 molecular chaperones: protein structure, gene family, expression and function. Physiologia Plantarum **113**, 443–451.

Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. 2011. REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of Gene Ontology terms. PLoS One **6**, e21800.

Suzuki N, Rivero RM, Shulaev V, Blumwald E, Mittler R. 2014. Abiotic and biotic stress combinations. New Phytologist **203**, 32–43.

Tack J, Lingenfelser J, Jagadish SVK. 2017. Disaggregating sorghum yield reductions under warming scenarios exposes narrow genetic diversity in US breeding programs. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **114**, 9296–9301.

Tadesse W, Suleiman S, Tahir I, Sanchez-Garcia M, Jighly A, Hagras A, Thabet SH, Baum M. 2019. Heat-tolerant QTLs associated

with grain yield and its components in spring bread wheat under heatstressed environments of Sudan and Egypt. Crop Science **59**, 199.

Takahashi F, Shinozaki K. 2019. Long-distance signaling in plant stress response. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 47, 106–111.

Talukder SK, Babar MA, Vijayalakshmi K, Poland J, Prasad PV, Bowden R, Fritz A. 2014. Mapping QTL for the traits associated with heat tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). BMC Genetics **15**, 97.

Tang R, Zhu W, Song X, Lin X, Cai J, Wang M, Yang Q. 2016. Genomewide identification and function analyses of heat shock transcription factors in potato. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 490.

Tao L-X, Tan H-J, Wang X, Cao L-Y, Song J, Cheng S-H. 2008. Effects of high-temperature stress on flowering and grain-setting characteristics of guodao 6. Acta Agronomica Sinica **34**, 609–674.

Tayade R, Nguyen T, Oh SA, Hwang YS, Yoon IS, Deshmuk R, Jung K-H, Park SK. 2018. Effective strategies for enhancing tolerance to high-temperature stress in rice during the reproductive and ripening stages. Plant Breeding and Biotechnology **6**, 1–18.

Templer SE, Ammon A, Pscheidt D, et al. 2017. Metabolite profiling of barley flag leaves under drought and combined heat and drought stress reveals metabolic QTLs for metabolites associated with antioxidant defense. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 1697–1713.

Tenorio FA, Ye C, Redoña E, Sierra S, Laza M, Argayoso MA. 2013. Screening rice genetic resources for heat tolerance. SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics **45**, 371–381.

Thomason K, Babar MA, Erickson JE, Mulvaney M, Beecher C, MacDonald G. 2018. Comparative physiological and metabolomics analysis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) following post-anthesis heat stress. PLoS One **13**, e0197919.

Thudi M, Upadhyaya HD, Rathore A, et al. 2014. Genetic dissection of drought and heat tolerance in chickpea through genome-wide and candidate gene-based association mapping approaches. PLoS One 9, e96758.

Thussagunpanit J, Jutamanee K, Kaveeta L, Chai-arree W, Pankean P, Homvisasevongsa S, Suksamrarn A. 2015. Comparative effects of brassinosteroid and brassinosteroid mimic on improving photosynthesis, lipid peroxidation, and rice seed set under heat stress. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation **34**, 320–331.

Trapero-Mozos A, Morris WL, Ducreux LJM, McLean K, Stephens J, Torrance L, Bryan GJ, Hancock RD, Taylor MA. 2018. Engineering heat tolerance in potato by temperature-dependent expression of a specific allele of HEAT-SHOCK COGNATE 70. Plant Biotechnology Journal **16**, 197–207.

Uauy C. 2017. Wheat genomics comes of age. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **36**, 142–148.

Valdés-López O, Batek J, Gomez-Hernandez N, et al. 2016. Soybean roots grown under heat stress show global changes in their transcriptional and proteomic profiles. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 517.

Verdeprado H, Kretzschmar T, Begum H, Raghavan C, Joyce P, Lakshmanan P, Cobb JN, Collard BCY. 2018. Association mapping in rice: basic concepts and perspectives for molecular breeding. Plant Production Science **21**, 159–176.

Viana AP, de Resende MDV, Riaz S, Walker MA, Viana AP, de Resende MDV, Riaz S, Walker MA. 2016. Genome selection in fruit breeding: application to table grapes. Scientia Agricola **73**, 142–149.

Vierling E. 1991. The roles of heat shock proteins in plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **42**, 579–620.

Vijayalakshmi K, Fritz AK, Paulsen GM, Bai G, Pandravada S, Gill BS. 2010. Modeling and mapping QTL for senescence-related traits in winter wheat under high temperature. Molecular Breeding **26**, 163–175.

Vriet C, Hennig L, Laloi C. 2015. Stress-induced chromatin changes in plants: of memories, metabolites and crop improvement. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 72, 1261–1273.

Vu HS, Tamura P, Galeva NA, Chaturvedi R, Roth MR, Williams TD, Wang X, Shah J, Welti R. 2012. Direct infusion mass spectrometry of oxylipin-containing Arabidopsis membrane lipids reveals varied patterns in different stress responses. Plant Physiology **158**, 324–339.

Wahid A, Gelani S, Ashraf M, Foolad M. 2007. Heat tolerance in plants: an overview. Environmental and Experimental Botany **61**, 199–223.

Wang J, Gan YT, Clarke F, McDonald CL. 2006. Response of chickpea yield to high temperature stress during reproductive development. Crop Science 46, 2171–2178.

Wang L, Ma H, Song L, Shu Y, Gu W. 2012a. Comparative proteomics analysis reveals the mechanism of pre-harvest seed deterioration of soybean under high temperature and humidity stress. Journal of Proteomics **75**, 2109–2127.

Wang L, Shen W, Kazachkov M, Chen G, Chen Q, Carlsson AS, Stymne S, Weselake RJ, Zou J. 2012b. Metabolic interactions between the Lands cycle and the Kennedy pathway of glycerolipid synthesis in Arabidopsis developing seeds. The Plant Cell **24**, 4652–4669.

Wang N, Wang Y, Tian F, King GJ, Zhang C, Long Y, Shi L, Meng J. 2008. A functional genomics resource for Brassica napus: development of an EMS mutagenized population and discovery of FAE1 point mutations by TILLING. New Phytologist **180**, 751–765.

Wang T, Uauy C, Till B, Liu CM. 2010. TILLING and associated technologies. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 52, 1027–1030.

Wang X, Dinler BS, Vignjevic M, Jacobsen S, Wollenweber B. 2015. Physiological and proteome studies of responses to heat stress during grain filling in contrasting wheat cultivars. Plant Science **230**, 33–50.

Wang X, Xu Y, Hu Z, Xu C. 2018. Genomic selection methods for crop improvement: current status and prospects. The Crop Journal 6, 330–340.

Wang X, Yan B, Shi M, Zhou W, Zekria D, Wang H, Kai G. 2016. Overexpression of a *Brassica campestris* HSP70 in tobacco confers enhanced tolerance to heat stress. Protoplasma **253**, 637–645.

Wang Y, Sun F, Cao H, Peng H, Ni Z, Sun Q, Yao Y. 2012. TamiR159 directed wheat TaGAMYB cleavage and its involvement in anther development and heat response. PLoS One 7, e48445.

Waters ER. 2013. The evolution, function, structure, and expression of the plant sHSPs. Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 391–403.

Waters ER, Vierling E. 1999. Chloroplast small heat shock proteins: evidence for atypical evolution of an organelle-localized protein. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **96**, 14394–14399.

Weichert H, Högy P, Mora-Ramirez I, Fuchs J, Eggert K, Koehler P, Weschke W, Fangmeier A, Weber H. 2017. Grain yield and quality responses of wheat expressing a barley sucrose transporter to combined climate change factors. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 5511–5525.

Welti R, Shah J, Li W, Li M, Chen J, Burke JJ, Fauconnier ML, Chapman K, Chye ML, Wang X. 2007. Plant lipidomics: discerning biological function by profiling plant complex lipids using mass spectrometry. Frontiers in Bioscience 12, 2494–2506.

Wen J, Jiang F, Weng Y, Sun M, Shi X, Zhou Y, Yu L, Wu Z. 2019. Identification of heat-tolerance QTLs and high-temperature stressresponsive genes through conventional QTL mapping, QTL-seq and RNAseq in tomato. BMC Plant Biology **19**, 398.

Wiese S, Reidegeld KA, Meyer HE, Warscheid B. 2007. Protein labeling by iTRAQ: a new tool for quantitative mass spectrometry in proteome research. Proteomics **7**, 340–350.

Wu X, Gong F, Cao D, Hu X, Wang W. 2016. Advances in crop proteomics: PTMs of proteins under abiotic stress. Proteomics 16, 847–865.

Wu X, Gong F, Yang L, Hu X, Tai F, Wang W. 2014. Proteomic analysis reveals differential accumulation of small heat shock proteins and late embryogenesis abundant proteins between ABA-deficient mutant vp5 seeds and wild-type Vp5 seeds in maize. Frontiers in Plant Science 5, 801.

Xu C, Fan J, Cornish AJ, Benning C. 2008. Lipid trafficking between the endoplasmic reticulum and the plastid in Arabidopsis requires the extraplastidic TGD4 protein. The Plant Cell **20**, 2190–2204.

Xu J, Driedonks N, Rutten MJM, Vriezen WH, de Boer GJ, Rieu I. 2017. Mapping quantitative trait loci for heat tolerance of reproductive traits in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*). Molecular Breeding **37**, 58.

Xu W, Cai SY, Zhang Y, et al. 2016. Melatonin enhances thermotolerance by promoting cellular protein protection in tomato plants. Journal of Pineal Research 61, 457–469.

Xue GP, Drenth J, McIntyre CL. 2015. TaHsfA6f is a transcriptional activator that regulates a suite of heat stress protection genes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) including previously unknown Hsf targets. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 1025–1039.

Xue GP, Sadat S, Drenth J, McIntyre CL. 2014. The heat shock factor family from *Triticum aestivum* in response to heat and other major abiotic stresses and their role in regulation of heat shock protein genes. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 539–557.

Yamakawa H, Hakata M. 2010. Atlas of rice grain filling-related metabolism under high temperature: joint analysis of metabolome and transcriptome demonstrated inhibition of starch accumulation and induction of amino acid accumulation. Plant & Cell Physiology **51**, 795–809.

Yan J, Yu L, Xuan J, Lu Y, Lu S, Zhu W. 2016. De novo transcriptome sequencing and gene expression profiling of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) leaves under heat stress. Scientific Reports **6**, 19473.

Yang H, Gu X, Ding M, Lu W, Lu D. 2018. Heat stress during grain filling affects activities of enzymes involved in grain protein and starch synthesis in waxy maize. Scientific Reports 8, 15665.

Yang X, Zhu W, Zhang H, Liu N, Tian S. 2016. Heat shock factors in tomatoes: genome-wide identification, phylogenetic analysis and expression profiling under development and heat stress. PeerJ 4, e1961.

Ye C, Argayoso MA, Redoña ED, et al. 2012. Mapping QTL for heat tolerance at flowering stage in rice using SNP markers. Plant Breeding **131**, 33–41.

Ye C, Tenorio FA, Argayoso MA, Laza MA, Koh HJ, Redoña ED, Jagadish KS, Gregorio GB. 2015. Identifying and confirming quantitative trait loci associated with heat tolerance at flowering stage in different rice populations. BMC Genetics **16**, 41.

Yona N. 2015. Genetic characterization of heat tolerant (HT) upland mutant rice (Oryza sativa L.) lines selected from rice genotypes. Master thesis, University of Agriculture Morogoro, Tanzania.

Yousuf PY, Abd_Allah EF, Nauman M, Asif A, Hashem A, Alqarawi AA, Ahmad A. 2017. Responsive proteins in wheat cultivars with contrasting nitrogen efficiencies under the combined stress of high temperature and low nitrogen. Genes 8, 356.

Yu E, Fan C, Yang Q, Li X, Wan B, Dong Y, Wang X, Zhou Y. 2014. Identification of heat responsive genes in *Brassica napus* siliques at the seed-filling stage through transcriptional profiling. PLoS One **9**, e101914.

Zaidi SS, Mukhtar MS, Mansoor S. 2018. Genome editing: targeting susceptibility genes for plant disease resistance. Trends in Biotechnology **36**, 898–906.

Zampieri M, Ceglar A, Dentener F, Toreti A. 2017. Wheat yield loss attributable to heat waves, drought and water excess at the global, national and subnational scales. Environmental Research Letters **12**, 064008.

Zang X, Geng X, Wang F, et al. 2017. Overexpression of wheat ferritin gene TaFER-5B enhances tolerance to heat stress and other abiotic stresses associated with the ROS scavenging. BMC Plant Biology **17**, 14.

Zandalinas SI, Balfagón D, Arbona V, Gómez-Cadenas A. 2017. Modulation of antioxidant defense system is associated with combined drought and heat stress tolerance in citrus. Frontiers in Plant Science 8, 953.

Zhang B, VerBerkmoes NC, Langston MA, Uberbacher E, Hettich RL, Samatova NF. 2006. Detecting differential and correlated protein expression in label-free shotgun proteomics. Journal of Proteome Research 5, 2909–2918.

Zhang C, Hsieh T-F. 2013. Heritable epigenetic variation and its potential applications for crop improvement. Plant Breeding and Biotechnology 1, 307–319.

Zhang L, Geng X, Zhang H, et al. 2017. Isolation and characterization of heat-responsive gene TaGASR1 from wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Plant Biology **60**, 57–65.

Zhang L, Kato Y, Otters S, Vothknecht UC, Sakamoto W. 2012. Essential role of VIPP1 in chloroplast envelope maintenance in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **24**, 3695–3707.

Zhao Q, Chen W, Bian J, *et al.* 2018. Proteomics and phosphoproteomics of heat stress-responsive mechanisms in Spinach. Frontiers in Plant Science 9.

Zhao C, Liu B, Piao S, et al. 2017. Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **114**, 9326–9331.

Zhao F, Zhang D, Zhao Y, Wang W, Yang H, Tai F, Li C, Hu X. 2016. The difference of physiological and proteomic changes in maize leaves adaptation to drought, heat, and combined both stresses. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 1471.

Zhang X, Högy P, Wu X, Schmid I, Wang X, Schulze WX, Jiang D, Fangmeier A. 2018. Physiological and proteomic evidence for the interactive effects of post-anthesis heat stress and elevated CO₂ on wheat. Proteomics **18**, 1800262.

Zheng G, Tian B, Zhang F, Tao F, Li W. 2011. Plant adaptation to frequent alterations between high and low temperatures: remodelling of membrane lipids and maintenance of unsaturation levels. Plant, Cell & Environment **34**, 1431–1442.

Zou J, Liu C, Chen X. 2011. Proteomics of rice in response to heat stress and advances in genetic engineering for heat tolerance in rice. Plant Cell Reports **30**, 2155–2165.