EDITORIAL

Antibiotics and proton pump inhibitors suppress the efficacy of immunotherapy against non-small cell lung cancer

Lung cancer remains a major public health problem in the world, despite the fact that its death rate is declining in most Western countries.¹ Compared with Western countries, the incidence of lung cancer in China is still rising. Additionally, lung cancer is still the leading cause of cancer-related deaths in China.² The most common type of lung cancer is non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which accounts for ~85% to 90% of all lung cancer cases.³ Unfortunately, the majority of NSCLC patients have already developed metastasis at the time of diagnosis and ultimately succumb to their disease. Palliative chemotherapy is the standard treatment for advanced NSCLC. However, the clinical efficacy of conventional chemotherapy regimens has not been satisfactory.

In recent years, immunotherapies have proven to be effective in extending the life span of cancer patients.⁴ The most studied immunotherapeutic drugs in the treatment of NSCLC are immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), such as anti-programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) and antiprogrammed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) antibodies.⁵ Some important clinical trials have indicated that the use of ICIs has significant clinical benefits in the treatment of NSCLC. For example, the phase II POPLAR trial (NCT01903993)⁶ demonstrated a median overall survival of 12.6 months in the atezolizumab arm versus 9.7 months in the docetaxel arm (hazard ratio [HR], 0.73; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.53–0.99; P = 0.04). Similarly, the phase III OAK trial (NCT02008227)⁷ also reported a significant improvement in median overall survival for atezolizumab versus docetaxel (13.8 months vs. 9.6 months; HR, 0.73; 95% CI: 0.62-0.87, P = 0.0003). Although the use of ICIs has achieved clinical success, only a minority of NSCLC patients exhibit durable responses.^{8, 9} Variable response rates can result because of several factors, including low tumor mutational burden,¹⁰ lack of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs),¹¹ functional exhaustion of TILs,¹² and more.

Increasing evidence suggests that the gut microbiota can modulate the host antitumor immune responses and the response to ICIs.¹³ However, the mechanisms behind this and the influencing factors that can modulate the gut microbiome to enhance/suppress immunotherapy responses in cancer treatment remain to be fully explored. Some comedications, such as proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and antibiotics (ATBs), have been shown to play a critical role in regulating the immune response in cancer treatment via modulating the viability and composition of intestinal microbiota. For example, Imhann et al.14 reported that bacterial richness was decreased and T cell tolerance was enhanced in cancer patients who received PPIs. Moreover, Consonni et al.¹⁵ in their retrospective analysis of patients with NSCLC found that ATBs could reduce the clinical benefit of ICIs. All these previous studies were limited as they were single-arm, single-center, retrospective studies. Therefore, the results of these studies may have been biased to a certain extent, and may have not accurately assessed the loopholes of prescribing comedications with immunotherapies. In a study recently published in the Annals of Oncology, "Efficacy of chemotherapy and atezolizumab in patients with NSCLC receiving antibiotics and proton pump inhibitors: pooled post hoc analyses of the OAK and POPLAR trials", Chalabi et al.¹⁶ performed an unplanned study using pooled data from the OAK and POPLAR trials to assess the impact of PPI and ATB use on the survival of NSCLC patients who received ICI treatment.

In the study, a total of 1512 NSCLC patients were investigated (1225 and 287 from the OAK trial and POPLAR trial, respectively). Among them, 234 (30.9%) patients in the atezolizumab group and 260 (34.4%) in the docetaxel group received PPI treatment, while 169 (22.3%) and 202 (26.8%) received ATB, respectively. Multivariate analyses indicated that both PPI (HR, 1.26; 95% CI: 1.10-1.44) and ATB (HR, 1.20; 95% CI: 1.04-1.39) use were associated with shorter overall survival. However, PPI and ATB use did not affect the survival of NSCLC patients in the docetaxel group. In contrast, within the atezolizumab group, both overall survival (9.6 vs. 14.5 months; HR, 1.45; 95% CI: 1.20-1.75; P = 0.0001) and progression-free survival (1.9 vs. 2.8 months; HR, 1.30; 95% CI: 1.10–1.53; P = 0.001) were significantly shorter in patients who received PPI treatment. In the same patient group, ATB use was associated with shorter overall survival (8.5 vs. 14.1 months; HR, 1.32; 95% CI: 1.06-1.63; P = 0.01). As far as we know, this study is the first to analyze the data from multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trials, and included more than 1500 NSCLC patients. It is also the first to report that PPI and/or ATB use in patients with advanced NSCLC may affect the efficacy of ICIs and is associated with poor outcomes.

Of note, this study was subjected to certain limitations. First, it was a retrospective study in which all data were from the study subgroups without prespecification. Thus, these data may be insufficient to support the authors' conclusions. Moreover, actual results may differ from those described in this study as some differences in the baseline clinical characteristics of NSCLC patients were observed. Last but not least, since this was a retrospective study, the authors were unable to collect biological parameters about the inhibitory efficacy of PD-L1 and to analyze the effects of ATB and PPI on these parameters.

Given that prospective studies exploring the effects of PPIs and ATBs on ICI treatment are not currently feasible, we should continue to monitor similar investigations from forthcoming randomized controlled clinical trials. Although this study cannot prove that PPIs and ATBs affect the survival of NSCLC patients by suppressing the treatment effect of ICIs, it still advises clinicians that they should carefully evaluate the need for PPIs and ATBs in their patients who are undergoing ICI treatment.

Disclosure

The author declares no competing interests.

Xiayao Diao 回

¹Department of Urology, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital and ²Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Malignant Tumor Epigenetics and Gene Regulation, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

Correspondence

Xiayao Diao, Department of Urology, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510120, Guangdong, China. Email diaoxy7@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

Telephone: +86 18666088083. FAX: +86 020 8734 5598.

References

- 1 Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 2019; 69 (1): 7–34. https://doi.org/10.3322/ caac.21551.
- 2 Feng RM, Zong YN, Cao SM, Xu RH. Current cancer situation in China: Good or bad news from the 2018 Global Cancer Statistics? *Cancer Commun* 2019; **39** (1): 22. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s40880-019-0368-6.
- Herbst RS, Morgensztern D, Boshoff C. The biology and management of non-small cell lung cancer. *Nature* 2018;
 553 (7689): 446–54. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25183.
- 4 Yan L, Zhang W. Precision medicine becomes reality-tumor type-agnostic therapy. *Cancer Commun* 2018; **38** (1): 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40880-018-0274-3.
- 5 Khan M, Lin J, Liao G *et al.* Comparative analysis of immune checkpoint inhibitors and chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A meta-analysis of

randomized controlled trials. *Medicine* 2018; **97** (33): e11936. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000011936.

- 6 Fehrenbacher L, Spira A, Ballinger M et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel for patients with previously treated nonsmall-cell lung cancer (POPLAR): A multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016; **387** (10030): 1837–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16) 00587-0.
- 7 Rittmeyer A, Barlesi F, Waterkamp D et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel in patients with previously treated nonsmall-cell lung cancer (OAK): A phase 3, open-label, multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2017; **389** (10066): 255–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16) 32517-X.
- 8 Assi HI, Kamphorst AO, Moukalled NM, Ramalingam SS. Immune checkpoint inhibitors in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2018; **124** (2): 248–61. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/cncr.31105.
- 9 Larsen TV, Hussmann D, Nielsen AL. PD-L1 and PD-L2 expression correlated genes in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Commun* 2019; **39** (1): 30. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40880-019-0376-6.
- 10 Rizvi NA, Hellmann MD, Snyder A *et al.* Cancer immunology. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung cancer. *Science* 2015; **348** (6230): 124–8. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.aaa1348.
- 11 Tumeh PC, Harview CL, Yearley JH et al. PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. *Nature* 2014; **515** (7528): 568–71. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature13954.
- 12 Rossi JF, Ceballos P, Lu ZY. Immune precision medicine for cancer: A novel insight based on the efficiency of immune effector cells. *Cancer Commun* 2019; **39** (1): 34. https://doi. org/10.1186/s40880-019-0379-3.
- 13 Gopalakrishnan V, Helmink BA, Spencer CN, Reuben A, Wargo JA. The influence of the gut microbiome on cancer, immunity, and cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Cell* 2018; **33** (4): 570–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell. 2018.03.015.
- 14 Imhann F, Bonder MJ, Vich Vila A *et al.* Proton pump inhibitors affect the gut microbiome. *Gut* 2016; **65** (5): 740–8. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310376.
- 15 Consonni D, Mensi C. Comment on the paper 'Boffetta et al. Validation of the diagnosis of mesothelioma and BAP1 protein expression in a cohort of asbestos textile workers from northern Italy. Ann Oncol 2018; 29(2): 484-489'. Ann Oncol 2019; **30** (2): 340–1. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/ mdy521.
- 16 Chalabi M, Cardona A, Nagarkar DR *et al.* Efficacy of chemotherapy and atezolizumab in patients with non-smallcell lung cancer receiving antibiotics and proton pump inhibitors: Pooled post hoc analyses of the OAK and POPLAR trials. *Ann Oncol* 2020; **31** (4): 525–31. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.01.006.