


# Reply to: Double Trouble from *POLG1* and *CLCN1* Variants with Intrafamilial Phenotypic Heterogeneity

Martje G. Pauly, MD,<sup>1,2</sup>  Sinem Tunc, MD,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Tobias Bäumer, MD,<sup>2</sup> Gabriele Gillessen-Kaesbach, MD,<sup>4</sup> and Alexander Münchau, MD<sup>2,\*</sup>

We thank Dr. Finsterer for his comments<sup>1</sup> and his interest in our case report.<sup>2</sup> We are happy to respond to the points he raised.

Although the deceased daughter suffered from therapy-resistant epileptic seizures, neither the father nor the son ever had seizures. Moreover, neither of them had myoclonus, so there was no indication for additional diagnostic workup with a view to myoclonic epilepsy.

The clinical signs were described as “twitching” by the affected father and son. This was initially considered to be myoclonus, but was then identified as myotonia both on clinical grounds and needle electromyogram showing myotonic discharges.

We refrained from performing a muscle biopsy because neither the father nor son stated continuous muscle pain and neither had muscle weakness. The diagnosis was confirmed by genetic testing and could explain the clinical phenotype, therefore we felt further invasive diagnostic procedures including muscle biopsy were not warranted, particularly because no therapeutic consequences were expected.

We also did not specifically investigate mitochondrial DNA depletion or multiple mitochondrial DNA deletions because an unequivocal diagnosis of mitochondrial disorders was already made based on the clinical findings and detection of the *POLG1* variant. Theoretically, knowledge of the amount of mitochondrial DNA might be interesting, but the focuses of our study were clinical phenotyping and raising awareness of the presence of multiple genetically defined disorders in a single family.

Although the mother, who carries a different mutation than her husband and her living children, was asymptomatic and did not have any clinical signs, the daughter albeit asymptomatic did indeed have mild ptosis as a possible sign of the mutation in the *POLG1* gene, but did not show any other abnormal clinical signs. The question of why family members with the same mutation are differently affected (brother and sister) and why the mother did not show any signs despite a pathogenic mutation in the *POLG1*

gene is very relevant indeed. It raises the important issue of reduced penetrance. On an individual basis, it is currently impossible to decide why one mutation carrier of a potentially pathogenic mutation develops symptoms and signs, whereas another with an identical mutation does not. Finding answers for this conundrum requires studies of large groups of clinically and genetically well-defined populations and multinational cooperation as, for instance, is currently realized within the Research Unit “Protect Move” (<http://protect-move.de>) dedicated to investigating reduced penetrance in genetically determined Parkinson’s disease.

Both patients receive regular cardiac investigations including echocardiogram because of the increased risk of cardiac complications in both disorders. Also, the father is now treated with lamotrigine,<sup>3</sup> whereas the son takes mexiletine licensed for the treatment of myotonia in Germany requiring cardiac follow-up. Both patients benefited from their therapy.

Lastly, it is correct that *POLG1* variants can cause a variety of syndromes, including Leigh syndrome; MELAS (mitochondrial encephalopathy, lactic acidosis, and stroke-like episodes); MERRF (myoclonic epilepsy with ragged-red fibers); MEMSA (myoclonic epilepsy myopathy sensory ataxia); SANDO (sensory ataxic neuropathy, dysarthria, ophthalmoparesis); and CPEO (chronic progressive external ophthalmoplegia syndrome), which was not mentioned in our article because of space limitations.

## Author Roles

(1) Research Project: A. Conception, B. Organization, C. Execution; (2) Statistical Analysis: A. Design, B. Execution, C. Review and Critique; (3) Manuscript Preparation: A. Writing of the First Draft, B. Review and Critique.

M.G.P.: 1A, 1B, 1C; 3A

S.T.: 1A, 1B, 1C; 3B

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Neurogenetics, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Systems Motor Science, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany; <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany; <sup>4</sup>Institute of Human Genetics, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

\*Correspondence to: Dr. Alexander Münchau, Institute of Systems Motor Science, University of Lübeck, Ratzeburger Allee 160, 23538 Lübeck, Germany; E-mail [alexander.muenchau@neuro.uni-luebeck.de](mailto:alexander.muenchau@neuro.uni-luebeck.de)

**Keywords:** *POLG*, *CLCN1*, myoclonus, myotonia congenita Thomsen.

Relevant disclosures and conflicts of interest are listed at the end of this article.

Received 5 March 2020; accepted 8 March 2020.

Published online 8 May 2020 in Wiley Online Library ([wileyonlinelibrary.com](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com)). DOI: 10.1002/mdc3.12961

T.B.: 1C; 3B

G.G.K.: 1A, 1B, 1C; 3B

A.M.: 1A, 1B, 1C; 3B

## Disclosures

**Ethical Compliance Statement:** Approval of an institutional review board was not required for this work. Written consent for publication was obtained from the patients. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this work is consistent with those guidelines.

**Funding Sources and Conflicts of Interest:** Martje G. Pauly, Sinem Tunc, Tobias Bäumer, and Alexander Münchau are members of the European Reference Network for Rare Neurological Diseases (project identification no. 739510). All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest relevant to this work.

**Financial Disclosures for the Previous 12 Months:** M.G.P. is supported by the Else Kröner-Fresenius Foundation. S.T. is supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft: SFB 936–project C5. T.B. reports honoraria from Pharm Allergan, Ipsen Pharma, and Merz Pharmaceuticals and is a consultant for Pharm Allergan and Merz Pharmaceuticals. He received a grant

from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (FG2494). G.G.K. declares that there are no additional disclosures to report. A.M. reports commercial research support from Pharm Allergan, Ipsen, Merz Pharmaceuticals, and Actelion; honoraria for lectures to Actelion, GlaxoSmithKline, Desitin, and Teva; support from Possehl-Stiftung (Lübeck, Germany), Margot und Jürgen Wessel Stiftung (Lübeck, Germany), Tourette Syndrome Association (Germany), Interessenverband Tourette Syndrom (Germany), and CHDI; academic research support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (projects 1692/3-1, 4-1, Sonderforschungsbereich (SFB), 936), and Forschungsgruppen (FOR), 2698 (project numbers 396914663, 396577296, 396474989), and Innovationsausschuss of the Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss: Translate NAMSE (structural support for the Lübeck Center for Rare Diseases); and royalties for the book *Neurogenetics* (Oxford University Press).

## References

1. Finsterer J. Double trouble from *POLG1* and *CLCN1* variants with intra-familial phenotypic heterogeneity. *Mov Disord Clin Pract* (in press).
2. Pauly MG, Tunc S, Bäumer T, Gillessen-Kaesbach G, Münchau A. “Twitching” and stiffness in *POLG1* mutation carriers: red flag or red herring? *Mov Disord Clin Pract* 2019;7:91–93.
3. Andersen G, Hedermann G, Witting N, Duno M, Andersen H, Vissing J. The antimyotonic effect of lamotrigine in non-dystrophic myotonias: a double-blind randomized study. *Brain* 2017;140:2295–2305.