



Published in final edited form as:

ACS Synth Biol. 2020 March 20; 9(3): 671–677. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.9b00388.

Design and optimization of a cell-free atrazine biosensor

Adam D. Silverman^{1,2,3}, Umut Akova^{1,3}, Khalid K. Alam^{1,3}, Michael C. Jewett^{1,2,3,4,5,6,*}, Julius B. Lucks^{1,2,3,4,7,8,*}

¹Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA,

²Department of Chemistry of Life Processes Institute, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA,

³Department of Center for Synthetic Biology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA,

⁴Department of Interdisciplinary Biological Sciences Program, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208,

⁵Member, Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL 60611, USA,

⁶Member, Simpson Querrey Institute, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL 60611, USA,

⁷Center for Water Research, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA,

⁸Center for Engineering Sustainability and Resilience, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

Abstract

Recent advances in cell-free synthetic biology have spurred the development of *in vitro* molecular diagnostics that serve as effective alternatives to whole-cell biosensors. However, cell-free sensors for detecting manmade organic water contaminants such as pesticides are sparse, partially because few characterized natural biological sensors can directly detect such pollutants. Here, we present a platform for the cell-free detection of one critical water contaminant, atrazine, by combining a previously characterized cyanuric acid biosensor with a reconstituted atrazine-to-cyanuric acid metabolic pathway composed of several protein-enriched bacterial extracts mixed in a one pot reaction. Our cell-free sensor detects atrazine within an hour of incubation at an activation ratio

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: m-jewett@northwestern.edu, jblucks@northwestern.edu.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.D.S. and K.K.A. conceived the project. A.D.S. and U.A. designed and carried out the experiments and analyzed the data. All authors contributed to drafting and editing of the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

A.D.S., K.K.A., M.J.C. and J.B.L. have filed provisional patent applications in the field of cell-free biosensing. K.K.A. and J.B.L. are founders and have financial interest in Stemloop, Inc. These latter interests are reviewed and managed by Northwestern University in accordance with their conflict of interest policies. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Source data for all figures and the FITC standard curve are available in the Northwestern University Arch Institutional Repository (doi 10.21985/n2-z5vp-tk94).

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

The supporting information files contain Supplementary Figures 1–4, Supplementary Table 1 and associated references.

superior to previously reported whole-cell atrazine sensors. We also show that the response characteristics of the atrazine sensor can be tuned by manipulating the ratios of enriched extracts in the cell-free reaction mixture. Our approach of utilizing multiple metabolic steps, encoded in protein-enriched cell-free extracts, to convert a target of interest into a molecule that can be sensed by a transcription factor is modular. Our work thus serves as an effective proof-of-concept for a scheme of “metabolic biosensing,” which should enable rapid field-deployable detection of complex organic water contaminants.

Keywords

cell-free; TX-TL; metabolism; transcription factor; biosensor; atrazine; cyanuric acid; synthetic biology

Cell-free gene expression (CFE)¹ has recently emerged as a powerful strategy for rapid, field-deployable diagnostics for nucleic acids^{2–6} and chemical contaminants.^{7–12} One reason for this success is that CFE reactions minimize many of the constraints of whole-cell sensors, including mass transfer barriers, cytotoxicity, genetic instability, plasmid loss, and the need for biocontainment.^{9, 13} In addition, CFE reactions can be stabilized through freeze-drying and then are activated upon rehydration, enabling the biosensors to be used outside the laboratory at the point of sampling in the field.⁴ However, previously reported cell-free biosensors have so far mostly been limited to detecting either nucleic acids⁵ or chemical contaminants that can be directly sensed with well-characterized allosteric protein transcription factors or riboswitches.^{9, 10, 14–16}

Here, we expand the ability of cell-free biosensors to detect complex organic molecules by developing a combined metabolism and biosensing strategy. Our strategy is motivated by the observation that the space of known natural transcription factors may be insufficient to directly detect organic molecules of analytical interest, especially those that are man-made and relatively new to natural environments. On the other hand, a wealth of metabolic biochemistry often exists that could convert a target molecule of interest into a compound that can be directly sensed by a transcription factor. Thus, a range of new CFE-based diagnostics could be developed by combining *in vitro* metabolic conversion with natural transcriptional biosensors. Recently, such a strategy was validated in CFE reactions using a simple one-enzyme pathway where the enzyme, transcription factor, and reporter are encoded on separate plasmids. In that work, cocaine and hippuric acid were catabolized *in vitro* to make benzoic acid, which is sensed by the allosteric transcription factor BenR.^{8, 17, 18} However, the metabolic pathways tested were short – containing only a single enzyme – and converged to a simple and abundant analyte detected by a native *E. coli* transcription factor. A more general approach would be necessary for detecting xenobiotic, or new-to-nature, analytes.

Specifically, in this study, we develop a strategy for multi-enzymatic metabolic biosensing of atrazine—one of the most commonly detected herbicides in American surface water, and a suspected endocrine-disrupting compound.¹⁹ Atrazine is frequently measured in finished water sources at concentrations above the recommended 3 parts per billion (ppb) (14 nM) Maximum Containment Level Goal (MCLG) set by the United States Environmental

Protection Agency (EPA).^{20, 21} The pesticide has been reported to cause severe health risks when consumed by children, making it an important target molecule for this work.^{20–22}

This work also builds off of our recent report demonstrating the ability of the LysR-type transcriptional regulator (LTTR) AtzR to detect its cognate ligand, cyanuric acid (CYA), using *in vitro* CFE reactions.¹² When freeze-dried, the CYA sensor activated only when rehydrated with unfiltered pool water samples containing high (hundreds of micromolar) concentrations of CYA. Here, we combine that CYA cell-free sensor with a reconstituted cell-free metabolic pathway that converts atrazine to CYA through three steps in a one-pot reaction. Due to the burden imposed by synthesizing several proteins *in situ* in a single batch CFE reaction, we developed an extract mixing strategy, where individual extracts enriched with a single enzyme or transcription factor are combined to reconstitute the complete biosensing reaction. This modular approach allows the system to be optimized by simply searching over the ratios of each distinct enriched extract. Using this approach, we developed a sensor capable of discriminating high concentrations of atrazine (10–100 μM) within an hour of incubation. We anticipate that our combined metabolism and biosensing strategy for detecting atrazine will be broadly applicable for the rapid cell-free detection of pesticides and other water contaminants, as well as environmental biomarkers and human performance analytes.

To design our cell-free atrazine sensor, we took inspiration from *Pseudomonas* sp. strain ADP-1, which metabolizes atrazine into cyanuric acid through a three-enzyme pathway encoded on the *atzABC* operon (Figure 1A).^{23, 24} We hypothesized that by co-expressing each of these enzymes, as well as the cyanuric acid-inducible transcription factor (AtzR) and a fluorescent reporter construct we had previously engineered¹², we would observe atrazine-inducible reporter protein synthesis. However, co-expressing five different proteins would likely diminish reporter titer and delay the response time for the sensor, even if cell-free expression of each protein were feasible. Instead, we pre-enriched four separate extracts (one each with AtzA, AtzB, AtzC, and AtzR) by inducing protein overexpression from the *E. coli* host strain before lysing the cells and preparing individual extracts. This approach, based on previous work for use in cell-free metabolic engineering,²⁵ greatly simplifies the overall sensor design and optimization since the load of each enzyme in the final cell-free reaction can be controlled by adjusting the fraction of its pre-enriched extract in the final mixture. We prepared all of the extracts using methods that maximize gene expression from the endogenous *E. coli* transcriptional machinery.²⁶

By mixing all of these protein-enriched extracts together with a “blank” extract that was not pre-enriched with any protein, as well as a reporter plasmid encoding superfolder green fluorescent protein (sfGFP) under regulation by an engineered ADP-1 *atzR* promoter, we could detect atrazine doped into a cell-free reaction at 100 μM (Figure 1B), the stoichiometric equivalent of the concentration of cyanuric acid that saturated AtzR activation in our previous work.¹² As expected, if any of the individual enzyme-enriched extracts was left out of the reaction and replaced with a blank, unenriched extract, the sensor could not effectively detect atrazine above a control reaction supplemented only with water (Figure 1B). To the best of our knowledge, this result matches the most complex demonstration to date for coupling an upstream metabolic module to an inducible transcriptional biosensor in

cell-free systems¹¹ and approaches the complexity of pathways designed in cells to detect toluene²⁷ or a luciferase substrate.²⁸ However, our system is the first to separately express each gene in the operon from a different transcriptional cassette, which provides flexibility for sensor tuning.

To investigate the sensor's specificity, we challenged the reaction with two other environmentally relevant triazines that had negligible inhibitory effects on unregulated cell-free transcription and translation reactions (Supplementary Figure S1): melamine and propazine. When the biosensor was challenged with each of these compounds, we observed weak activation only in response to propazine, which has a more similar chemical structure to atrazine than melamine (Figure 1C). This result is consistent with previous observations that ADP-1's atrazine chlorohydrolase, AtzA, which actually evolved from the melamine deaminase TriA in the twentieth century, no longer shows any activity on melamine.^{29, 30}

Satisfied that our cell-free sensor, composed of four different protein-enriched *E. coli* extracts and a blank extract, could detect saturating levels of atrazine, we next aimed to optimize its sensitivity. In the previous mix-and-match approach (Figure 1B), we observed a large amount of variability in the negative (water) controls over different extract combinations. This disparity is likely caused both by general batch-to-batch inconsistencies between the extracts³¹, and enzyme-specific poisoning effects, where expression of toxic proteins impacts cell growth and results in poorly performing extracts. To this second point, we found that the mixtures of protein-enriched extracts were generally less productive than the unenriched extract in a control reaction expressing unregulated sfGFP (Supplementary Figure S2). The AtzB and AtzR-enriched extracts, which were prepared from highly growth-inhibited strains, could not support any measurable sfGFP synthesis on their own and strongly depressed the yield of sfGFP when they were added to the reaction. Unexpected second-order poisoning effects were also observed in cyanuric acid-induced expression of sfGFP when AtzB and AtzC were mixed without AtzA (Supplementary Figure S3). However, because of the open reaction environment of the cell-free reaction, we could iteratively adjust enzyme and transcription factor levels by mixing different ratios of the four enriched extracts, reconstituting full activity of the sensor. To "buffer" the mixed extract against toxicity effects, we made up the rest of the reaction volume with the blank unenriched extract, with the aim of increasing overall reporter protein synthesis yield.

We then aimed to identify the ratio of AtzA:AtzB:AtzC:AtzR-enriched:unenriched extracts that gave the highest fold induction (defined as fluorescence in the presence of 100 μ M atrazine / fluorescence in the absence of atrazine) for the sensor. We first determined the optimal fraction of the transcription factor AtzR in the sensing reaction by only detecting the downstream analyte, cyanuric acid. The greatest fold induction was observed at a 5% AtzR-enriched extract and 95% unenriched extract, a ratio that minimizes leak and maximizes ON state, likely because that mixture also has the greatest amount of the unenriched extract (Figure 2A). Next, we iteratively optimized the ratios of AtzA, AtzB, and AtzC-enriched extracts in the reaction, starting from an assumption of 10% dosage for each sensor (Figure 2B–D). Surprisingly, we observed low sensitivity of the atrazine response to perturbations in the concentrations of these enzyme-enriched extracts, at least in the range of 1–10% of the total extract composition. As before, though, no response to atrazine could be observed if

any of the enzyme-enriched extracts was individually left out. At each condition, we chose the extract ratio that gave the highest fold induction. Using this iterative, coarse-grained optimization, we obtained an optimal response at 5% AtzR, 10% AtzA, 2% AtzB, and 20% AtzC-enriched extracts, with the balance (63%) made up by the unenriched extract.

Having established an optimal ratio of each extract in our sensor, we measured its dose response to atrazine by the observed sfGFP fluorescence after 4 hours of reaction (Figure 2E). The calculated limit of detection, defined as the concentration of atrazine that yielded a statistically significant detectable signal above background, was approximately 20 μM atrazine ($p = 0.01$, one-tailed t-test), and the half-maximal signal was observed at around 60 μM by logistic regression to a sigmoidal curve. Although these concentrations are far from the stringent limit on atrazine in drinking water set by the EPA, they do approach some of the highest concentrations of atrazine previously detected in raw water (237 ppb = 1.1 μM).²⁰ Overall, in response to 100 μM atrazine, the cell-free sensor achieves approximately 7-fold induction and achieves a detectable signal over background in approximately one hour (Figure 2F). This robust activation is maintained upon lyophilization and rehydration with unfiltered tap and lake water samples spiked with 100 μM atrazine (Supplementary Figure S4). Overall, this work represents an improvement in speed, dynamic range, and practical utility over the previous state-of-the-art whole-cell atrazine biosensor, which reported a similar atrazine sensitivity but suffered from high leak and poor signal-to-noise that would preclude its general use.³²

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this work represents the most sensitive genetically encoded biosensor for atrazine. While the sensor cannot reach the 3 ppb atrazine limit set by the EPA, it has significant improvements in speed and dynamic range over previous whole-cell sensors for atrazine, and offers some practical advantages compared to other state-of-the-art chemical and bio-electrochemical detection strategies (Supplementary Table 1).³² The sensitivity could likely be improved without derailing these advantages by pre-concentrating the sample with previously reported minimal-equipment vaporization, purification, or derivatization methods (e.g.,³³).

More broadly, for multistep enzyme pathways, a cell-free sensor has other practical advantages when compared to a cellular sensor. In particular, we observed severe growth inhibition when overexpressing AtzB (Supplementary Figure S2), an effect consistent with previous difficulties in converting a whole-cell cyanuric acid biosensor into one detecting atrazine.³² Pre-expressing this protein in a source strain, rather than attempting to make it *in situ*, mitigates these inhibitory effects, especially since the protein expression burden is buffered by a highly productive unenriched extract. Furthermore, our pre-expression approach shifts cell extract resources away from enzyme and transcription factor production and toward reporter synthesis. The resulting higher ON state is another advantage of reconstituting these complex metabolism-sensing pathways *in vitro*. Finally, we believe that our approach of extract mixing, where the dosage of each enzyme or transcription factor is proportional to its fractional composition in the reaction, is an optimal linear tuning strategy,

preferable to addressing the nonlinearities introduced by manipulating promoter strength or plasmid copy number, either in cells or in extracts.

Overall, the quantitative agreement of the atrazine transfer function presented in this work to the one we previously demonstrated for cyanuric acid¹² suggests that this sensor's limit of detection (LoD) is likely constrained by AtzR's micromolar affinity for cyanuric acid. A secondary LoD may be set by the similarly low affinity (~50 μ M) of the native AtzA for atrazine.³⁴ To improve sensitivity to discriminate lower, more environmentally relevant concentrations of atrazine, protein engineering of the transcription factor and enzymes is likely necessary.

More broadly, previous efforts to engineer cell-free systems as molecular biosensors have mainly been limited to well-studied transcriptional regulators like tetracyclines and acyl homoserine lactones.^{35, 36} Our strategy of preparing and mixing bacterial extracts pre-enriched with enzymes and transcription factors should greatly expand the scope of molecules that can be detected *in vitro*, ushering in a new paradigm of "metabolic biosensing" that couples metabolic conversion of a target of interest into a compound that can be detected by a biosensor. The approach can be generalized to sensing any organic molecule that is catabolized, through a pathway of any length, into a metabolite that can be detected by microbes. Since our extract mixing strategy only requires cell-free expression of a single protein, the resource limitations of batch cell-free reactions are minimized.³⁷ Thus, cell-free sensors could be multiplexed into a single reaction tube, just by mixing in several more enriched extracts and one new reporter plasmid per sensor.

Overall, this work expands upon previous efforts to build cell-free sensors by detecting an important water contaminant, and it also proposes a new generalizable approach for building new, highly modular sensors by metabolic biosensing. We anticipate that this design will spur further innovation in cell-free biosensing with an aim toward improving global water security and human health.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid Construction

The reporter plasmid pJBL7030 and AtzR expression plasmid pJBL7032 were used as previously reported.¹² The AtzA, AtzB, and AtzC-overexpression plasmids pJBL7034, pJBL7035, and pJBL7036 were constructed using Gibson assembly into the pJL1 vector using genes synthesized by Twist Biosciences. All plasmids are deposited on Addgene with the following IDs: pJBL7034 (133869); pJBL7035 (133870); pJBL7036 (133881); pJBL7030 (133882); pJBL7032 (133883).

Cell-Free Extract Preparation

Cell-free extract was prepared according to our previously published method.²⁶ In general, the host strain for extract preparation was BL21 (DE3) dLacZ. The *lacZ* deficient (*lac* operon deletion) BL21-Gold-dLac (DE3) strain was a gift from Jeff Hasty (Addgene plasmid # 99247).¹⁰ The exception to this was the AtzB-enriched extract; since we could not successfully transform the purified plasmid into the knockout strain, this extract was instead

prepared using the Rosetta2 (DE3) pLysS strain. For each transformed strain, 1 L culture of 2X YT + P (16 g tryptone, 10 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl, 7 g potassium phosphate dibasic, 3 g potassium phosphate monobasic) was inoculated from a saturated overnight culture of the chassis strain in LB and grown shaking at 220 RPM at 37°C. For the enzyme- and transcription factor-enriched extract, protein synthesis was induced by adding IPTG at a concentration of 0.5 mM around OD₆₀₀ 0.5. The cells were harvested mainly at OD₆₀₀ 3.0. However, the AtzB and AtzR-enriched extracts were severely growth-restricted, and the cells were harvested once they reached a stationary OD₆₀₀, at approximately 1.5 and OD 2.0 respectively. The remainder of the cell-free preparation followed a published protocol for activating cell-free endogenous transcription,²⁶ including an 80-minute runoff reaction and 3-hour dialysis.

Cell-Free Gene Expression Reaction

Cell-free gene expression reactions were assembled as previously reported from extract, midi-prepped plasmid DNA, salts, nucleotide triphosphates, amino acids, phosphoenolpyruvate, and other cofactors and coenzymes. 8 mM Mg-glutamate salt solution was used for all experiments. Reactions were assembled in triplicate on ice, and 10 μL of each assembled reaction was pipetted into an individual well of a 384-well plate (Corning, 3712) and measured on a BioTek Synergy H1m plate reader. sfGFP fluorescence was measured using emission at 485 nm and excitation at 520 nm. Fluorescence values were correlated to equivalents of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) using a known standard curve.

Dose Response Modelling

The sigmoidal fit to the atrazine dose-response was calculated using ordinary least-squares regression in Microsoft Excel to the equation

$$F = \ell + \frac{F_{max} - \ell}{1 + \left(\frac{S_{1/2}}{S}\right)^N}$$

which obtained the fit parameters

$$\ell = 0.103 \mu\text{M FITC}$$

$$F_{max} = 0.847 \mu\text{M FITC}$$

$$N = 4.74$$

$$S_{1/2} = 63.3 \mu\text{M ATZ}$$

where ℓ represents the sensor leak, F_{max} is the maximum fluorescent signal, N is a Hill coefficient, and $S_{1/2}$ is the concentration of half-maximal signal

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

FUNDING SOURCES

This work was supported by the Air Force Research Laboratory Center of Excellence for Advanced Bioprogrammable Nanomaterials (C-ABN) Grant FA8650-15-2-5518 (to M.C.J. and J.B.L.), the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency's (DARPA) Living Foundries program award HR0011-15-C-0084, the David and Lucile Packard Foundation (to M.C.J.), the Camille Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar Program (to M.C.J. and J.B.L.), an NSF CAREER Award (Grant 1452441 to J.B.L.) and Searle Funds at the Chicago Community Trust (to J.B.L.). A.D.S. was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health Training Grant (T32GM008449) through Northwestern University's Biotechnology Training Program.

REFERENCES

- Silverman AD; Karim AS; Jewett MC, Cell-free gene expression: an expanded repertoire of applications. *Nat. Rev. Genet* 2020, 21 (3), 151–170. [PubMed: 31780816]
- Ma D; Shen L; Wu K; Diehnelt CW; Green AA, Low-cost detection of norovirus using paper-based cell-free systems and synbody-based viral enrichment. *Synth Biol (Oxf)* 2018, 3 (1), ysy018–ysy018. [PubMed: 30370338]
- Verosloff M; Chappell J; Perry KL; Thompson JR; Lucks JB, PLANT-Dx: A Molecular Diagnostic for Point-of-Use Detection of Plant Pathogens. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2019, 8 (4), 902–905. [PubMed: 30790518]
- Pardee K; Green Alexander A.; Ferrante T; Cameron DE; DaleyKeyser A; Yin P; Collins James J., Paper-Based Synthetic Gene Networks. *Cell* 2014, 159 (4), 940–954. [PubMed: 25417167]
- Pardee K; Green AA; Takahashi MK; Braff D; Lambert G; Lee JW; Ferrante T; Ma D; Donghia N; Fan M; Daringer NM; Bosch I; Dudley DM; O'Connor DH; Gehrke L; Collins JJ, Rapid, Low-Cost Detection of Zika Virus Using Programmable Biomolecular Components. *Cell* 2016, 165 (5), 1255–1266. [PubMed: 27160350]
- Takahashi MK; Tan X; Dy AJ; Braff D; Akana RT; Furuta Y; Donghia N; Ananthakrishnan A; Collins JJ, A low-cost paper-based synthetic biology platform for analyzing gut microbiota and host biomarkers. *Nat. Commun* 2018, 9 (1), 3347. [PubMed: 30131493]
- Salehi ASM; Shakalli Tang MJ; Smith MT; Hunt JM; Law RA; Wood DW; Bundy BC, Cell-Free Protein Synthesis Approach to Biosensing hTR β -Specific Endocrine Disruptors. *Anal. Chem* 2017, 89 (6), 3395–3401. [PubMed: 28238262]
- Voyvodic PL; Pandi A; Koch M; Conejero I; Valjent E; Courtet P; Renard E; Faulon J-L; Bonnet J, Plug-and-play metabolic transducers expand the chemical detection space of cell-free biosensors. *Nat. Commun* 2019, 10 (1), 1697. [PubMed: 30979906]
- Alam KK; Jung JK; Verosloff MS; Clauer PR; Lee JW; Capdevila DA; Pastén PA; Giedroc DP; Collins JJ; Lucks JB, Rapid, Low-Cost Detection of Water Contaminants Using Regulated In Vitro Transcription. *bioRxiv* 2019, 619296.
- Didovyk A; Tonooka T; Tsimring L; Hasty J, Rapid and Scalable Preparation of Bacterial Lysates for Cell-Free Gene Expression. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2017, 6 (12), 2198–2208. [PubMed: 28795570]
- Meyer A; Saaem I; Silverman A; Varaljay VA; Mickol R; Blum S; Tobias AV; Schwalm ND; Mojadedi W; Onderko E; Bristol C; Liu S; Pratt K; Casini A; Eluere R; Moser F; Drake C; Gupta M; Kelley-Loughnane N; Lucks JP; Akingbade KL; Lux MP; Glaven S; Crookes-Goodson W; Jewett MC; Gordon DB; Voigt CA, Organism Engineering for the Bioproduction of the Triaminotrinitrobenzene (TATB) Precursor Phloroglucinol (PG). *ACS Synth. Biol* 2019, 8 (12), 2746–2755. [PubMed: 31750651]

12. Liu X; Silverman AD; Alam KK; Iverson E; Lucks JB; Jewett MC; Raman S, Design of a Transcriptional Biosensor for the Portable, On-Demand Detection of Cyanuric Acid. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2020, 9 (1), 84–94. [PubMed: 31825601]
13. Carlson ED; Gan R; Hodgman CE; Jewett MC, Cell-free protein synthesis: applications come of age. *Biotechnol. Adv* 2012, 30 (5), 1185–1194. [PubMed: 22008973]
14. Gräwe A; Dreyer A; Vornholt T; Barteczko U; Buchholz L; Drews G; Ho UL; Jackowski ME; Kracht M; Lüders J; Bleckwehl T; Rositzka L; Ruwe M; Wittchen M; Lutter P; Müller K; Kalinowski J, A paper-based, cell-free biosensor system for the detection of heavy metals and date rape drugs. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14 (3), e0210940. [PubMed: 30840628]
15. Karig DK; Iyer S; Simpson ML; Doktycz MJ, Expression optimization and synthetic gene networks in cell-free systems. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012, 40 (8), 3763–3774. [PubMed: 22180537]
16. Thavarajah W; Silverman AD; Verosloff MS; Kelley-Loughnane N; Jewett MC; Lucks JB, Point-of-Use Detection of Environmental Fluoride via a Cell-Free Riboswitch-Based Biosensor. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2020, 9 (1), 10–18. [PubMed: 31829623]
17. Pandi A; Koch M; Voyvodic PL; Soudier P; Bonnet J; Kushwaha M; Faulon J-L, Metabolic perceptrons for neural computing in biological systems. *Nat. Commun* 2019, 10 (1), 3880. [PubMed: 31462649]
18. Pandi A; Grigoras I; Borkowski O; Faulon J-L, Optimizing Cell-Free Biosensors to Monitor Enzymatic Production. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2019, 8 (8), 1952–1957. [PubMed: 31335131]
19. Larson SJ; Capel PD; Majewski MS Pesticides in surface waters: Current understanding of distribution and major influences; 039–97; Reston, VA, 1997.
20. Wu M; Quirindongo M; Sass J; Wetzler A Still Poisoning the Well: Atrazine Continues to Contaminate Surface Water and Drinking Water in the United States; Natural Resources Defence Council: Online, 2010.
21. Almberg KS; Turyk ME; Jones RM; Rankin K; Freels S; Stayner LT, Atrazine Contamination of Drinking Water and Adverse Birth Outcomes in Community Water Systems with Elevated Atrazine in Ohio, 2006 2008. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2018, 15 (9), 1889.
22. Naldenko O, Atrazine: A Harmful Weedkiller Taints Tap Water for Millions in U.S In EWG News and Analysis, Environmental Working Group: Online, 2017.
23. Platero AI; García-Jaramillo M; Santero E; Govantes F, Transcriptional organization and regulatory elements of a *Pseudomonas* sp. strain ADP operon encoding a LysR-type regulator and a putative solute transport system. *J. Bacteriol* 2012, 194 (23), 6560–6573. [PubMed: 23042989]
24. de Souza ML; Seffernick J; Martinez B; Sadowsky MJ; Wackett LP, The Atrazine Catabolism Genes *atzABC* Are Widespread and Highly Conserved. *J. Bacteriol* 1998, 180 (7), 1951–1954. [PubMed: 9537398]
25. Dudley QM; Anderson KC; Jewett MC, Cell-Free Mixing of *Escherichia coli* Crude Extracts to Prototype and Rationally Engineer High-Titer Mevalonate Synthesis. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2016, 5 (12), 1578–1588. [PubMed: 27476989]
26. Silverman AD; Kelley-Loughnane N; Lucks JB; Jewett MC, Deconstructing Cell-Free Extract Preparation for in Vitro Activation of Transcriptional Genetic Circuitry. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2019, 8 (2), 403–414. [PubMed: 30596483]
27. Simpson ML; Sayler GS; Applegate BM; Ripp S; Nivens DE; Paulus MJ; Jellison GE, Bioluminescent-bioreporter integrated circuits form novel whole-cell biosensors. *Trends Biotechnol.* 1998, 16 (8), 332–338.
28. Barger N; Litovco P; Li X; Habib M; Daniel R, Synthetic metabolic computation in a bioluminescence-sensing system. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47 (19), 10464–10474. [PubMed: 31544939]
29. Seffernick JL; de Souza ML; Sadowsky MJ; Wackett LP, Melamine deaminase and atrazine chlorohydrolase: 98 percent identical but functionally different. *J. Bacteriol* 2001, 183 (8), 2405–2410. [PubMed: 11274097]
30. Seffernick JL; Johnson G; Sadowsky MJ; Wackett LP, Substrate Specificity of Atrazine Chlorohydrolase and Atrazine-Catabolizing Bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 2000, 66 (10), 4247–4252. [PubMed: 11010866]

31. Takahashi MK; Chappell J; Hayes CA; Sun ZZ; Kim J; Singhal V; Spring KJ; Al-Khabouri S; Fall CP; Noireaux V; Murray RM; Lucks JB, Rapidly characterizing the fast dynamics of RNA genetic circuitry with cell-free transcription-translation (TX-TL) systems. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2015, 4 (5), 503–515. [PubMed: 24621257]
32. Hua A; Gueuné H; Cregut M; Thouand G; Durand M-J, Development of a bacterial bioassay for atrazine and cyanuric acid detection. *Front. Microbiol* 2015, 6, 211–211. [PubMed: 25852669]
33. Gong A; Ye C, Analysis of trace atrazine and simazine in environmental samples by liquid chromatography–fluorescence detection with pre-column derivatization reaction. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1998, 827 (1), 57–63. [PubMed: 9894345]
34. Scott C; Jackson CJ; Coppin CW; Mourant RG; Hilton ME; Sutherland TD; Russell RJ; Oakeshott JG, Catalytic Improvement and Evolution of Atrazine Chlorohydrolase. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 2009, 75 (7), 2184–2191. [PubMed: 19201959]
35. Wen KY; Cameron L; Chappell J; Jensen K; Bell DJ; Kelwick R; Kopniczky M; Davies JC; Filloux A; Freemont PS, A Cell-Free Biosensor for Detecting Quorum Sensing Molecules in *P. aeruginosa*-Infected Respiratory Samples. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2017, 6 (12), 2293–2301. [PubMed: 28981256]
36. Pellinen T; Huovinen T; Karp M, A cell-free biosensor for the detection of transcriptional inducers using firefly luciferase as a reporter. *Anal. Biochem* 2004, 330 (1), 52–57. [PubMed: 15183761]
37. Siegal-Gaskins D; Tuza ZA; Kim J; Noireaux V; Murray RM, Gene Circuit Performance Characterization and Resource Usage in a Cell-Free “Breadboard”. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2014, 3 (6), 416–425. [PubMed: 24670245]




Figure 1. Design of a cell-free atrazine biosensor.

(A) Atrazine is catabolized by a three-enzyme pathway into cyanuric acid, which can activate transcription through the AtzR transcription factor which recognizes an operator sequence within an engineered promoter. The four required proteins (three enzymes AtzA, AtzB, AtzC and the transcription factor AtzR) are separately overexpressed in strains of BL21 *E. coli*, then lysed and prepared into individual extracts, which can be mixed in fixed ratios to optimize detection of atrazine. (B) Cell-free detection of atrazine requires the presence of all three pathway enzymes. (C) The sensor is capable of detecting cyanuric acid and atrazine, as well as propazine, a triazine of similar chemical structure, but discriminates against melamine. CYA = cyanuric acid; ATZ = atrazine; MEL = melamine; PRO = propazine. All reactions include 10 nM of a fluorescent reporter DNA template and 100 µM of the respective triazine analytes. Error bars represent the standard deviation of sfGFP fluorescence measurements from three technical replicates, correlated to a known linear fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) standard.




Figure 2. Optimization of the cell-free atrazine sensor.

(A–D) Iterative optimization of the ratios of the AtzR, AtzA, AtzB, and AtzC extracts in the final sensor mixture reveals that the optimal fold induction is achieved at 5% AtzR-enriched, 10% AtzA-enriched, 2% AtzB-enriched, 20% AtzC-enriched, and 63% unenriched. Symbols below each plot denote the percentage of AtzR (bilobe), AtzA (triangle), AtzB (square), AtzC (pentagon), and blank (circle) extracts included in each experiment. Blank extract was added to make 100% in each condition. Each reaction contained 10 nM of the reporter DNA template from Figure 1. (E) Atrazine dose-response curve for the optimized sensor, measured by taking defined endpoint samples (4 hr) from biosensor reactions containing the indicated amounts of atrazine, suggests that the overall limit of detection is around 20 μM, which is consistent with previous results reported for the cell-free cyanuric acid sensor.¹² The dashed line represents a sigmoidal fit to the data calculated by ordinary least squares regression (refer to Methods). (F) sfGFP fluorescence can distinguish the ON from OFF state within one hour in the presence of 100 μM atrazine, and at endpoint achieves approximately 7-fold induction. Inset represents the time between 0 and 90 minutes and signal between 0 and 0.1 μM FITC. Error bars represent the standard deviation of sfGFP fluorescence measurements from three technical replicates, correlated to a known linear FITC standard.