Published in final edited form as:

Alzheimers Dement. 2020 July; 16(7): 953-964. doi:10.1002/alz.12090.

Cancer and risk of Alzheimer's disease: Small association in a nationwide cohort study

Anne G. Ording^a, Erzsébet Horváth-Puhó^a, Katalin Veres^a, M. Maria Glymour^b, Mikael Rørth^a, Henrik T. Sørensen^a, Victor W. Henderson^{a,c,d}

^aDepartment of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

^bDepartment of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, United States

^cDepartment of Epidemiology & Population Health, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA.

^dDepartment of Neurology & Neurological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA.

Abstract

INTRODUCTION: Small observational studies with short-term follow-up suggest cancer patients are at reduced risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD) compared to the general population.

METHODS: Nationwide cohort study using Danish population-based health registries (1980–2013) with cancer patients (n=949,309) to identify incident diagnoses of AD. We computed absolute reductions in risk attributed to cancer and standardized incidence rate ratios (SIRs) accounting for survival time, comparing observed to expected number of AD cases.

RESULTS: During up to 34 years of follow-up of cancer survivors, the attributable risk reduction was 1.3 per 10,000 person-years, SIR=0.94 (95% confidence interval 0.92, 0.96). SIRs were similar after stratification by sex, age and cancer stage, and approached the general population for those surviving >10 years.

DISCUSSION: Inverse associations between cancer and AD were small and diminished over time. Incidence rates in cancer survivors approached those of the general population, suggesting limited association between cancer and AD risk.

Keywords

Neoplasms; Alzheimer Disease; Dementia; Epidemiology; Risk

Corresponding author: Anne Gulbech Ording, Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Olof Palme's Allé 43-45, 8200 Aarhus N, ao@clin.au.dk.

Declarations of interest:

None.

Data permission

The study was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency (record number 2007–58-0010).

Ethics committee approval: No ethical committee approval was needed.

Data sharing: Not allowed.

Patient involvement: No patient involvement.

BACKGROUND

Several observational studies have shown reductions in risk for Alzheimer's disease (AD) or dementia subsequent to a cancer diagnosis [1–9]. An intriguing explanation involves opposing pathological processes, from uncontrolled cell proliferation in malignancy to neuronal cell death in AD and dementia [10–13]. An alternative explanation for the inverse association is failure to consider the competing risk of death among cancer patients, whose mortality rates are higher compared with individuals without cancer [14]. Moreover, clinicians may be less likely to pursue a dementia diagnosis in patients with life-threatening illness and shortened life expectancy, leading to an inverse detection bias.

A recent cohort study conducted in the state Washington found mixed evidence for an association between cancer and subsequent AD [hazard ratio (HR)=0.95 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.77–1.17] for prevalent cancer at study inclusion and 0.73 (95% CI: 0.55–0.96) for incident cancer diagnosed during follow-up, with similar results before and after adjustment for a variety of potentially confounding factors [15]. The investigators stratified their analyses by prevalent cancer at study entry, by incident cancer diagnosed during follow-up, and by cancer stage; power was low due to a relatively small sample (n=4,357 participants aged 65+ years) [15]. Other studies have reported similar findings or even greater risk reductions but have also had parallel limitations, lacking stratification by dementia subtypes or cancer stage or including no information on relevant comorbidities [1–7,9,15].

In this nationwide cohort study with 34 years of follow-up, we examined the risk for AD, vascular dementia (VaD), and all-cause dementia in patients with a first primary cancer diagnosis and within specific primary cancer sites and stages compared to risk within the entire Danish general population.

METHODS

Setting and data sources

The Danish health care system provides tax-supported health care to all residents. Nationwide registries track diagnoses, procedures, and vital status for the entire population. These registries can be linked accurately using the unique civil personal registration number assigned to all Danish residents at birth or upon immigration. The Civil Registration System (CRS) records emigration and vital status [16].

The Danish Cancer Registry (DCR) maintains information on all incident cancers diagnosed in Denmark since 1943, including morphology, histology, and stage at diagnosis [17]. The Danish National Patient Registry (DNPR) has recorded all inpatient discharge diagnoses given to patients since 1977 and diagnoses made at hospital outpatient clinics since 1995 [18]. The Psychiatric Central Research Registry (PCRR) has similarly recorded inpatient psychiatric diagnoses given to patients since 1969 and diagnoses made at psychiatric outpatient visits since 1995 [19]. These registries coded diagnoses according to the *Eighth Revision* of the *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-8) until 1993 and according to

the ICD-10 starting in 1994 [18]. The diagnostic codes used in this study are provided in Appendix Table A.

Design and study population

We established a cohort of patients with a first incident primary cancer diagnosed during 1980-2013 recorded in the DCR. While benign neoplasms were not regarded as cancer, benign neoplasms of the brain and meninges were included in the analysis, because these conditions may cause neurologic symptoms similar to those caused by malignancy. We excluded patients with prevalent dementia diagnosed in an inpatient or outpatient setting up to and including the date of cancer diagnosis. We first analyzed all cancer sites in aggregate. We then selected some of the most common cancer sites and those that have been associated with dementia in previous studies [2,4,7,9,15,20]-- bladder, brain, breast, colon, kidney, leukemia, lung, melanoma, non-melanoma skin cancer, pancreatic, and prostate cancer--for separate analysis because of varying biology and prognosis. The large size of the Danish data is a notable strength of this study because it permits analysis by cancer type. Specificity of associations with only some types of cancer might give insight into the biological phenomena underlying the association or implicate particular types of bias, but prior studies have generally been too small to provide statistically precise estimate for particular cancer types. Information on cancer stage at diagnosis was collected for solid tumors. In total 15,270 cancer patients were excluded from the study due to dementia or a diagnosis likely to represent prodromal dementia (mild cognitive impairment or amnestic syndrome) before or on the cancer diagnosis date, leaving 949,309 cancer patients for analysis.

For patients with the selected cancer types (n=679,122), we also included a general population comparison cohort without any prevalent cancer diagnosis and dementia, mild cognitive impairment, or amnestic syndrome and matched them up to 5:1 within one year of birth, sex, and exact index year of cancer diagnosis.

We obtained information on inpatient and outpatient hospital diagnoses of comorbidities included in the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) that were diagnosed before the cancer diagnosis date and information on receipt of chemotherapy within 3 months after cancer diagnosis [21].

Endpoints and follow-up

Patients and their comparators were followed from their cancer diagnosis/index date until a record of incident dementia (either AD, VaD, or all-cause dementia [including unspecified dementia, Pick's disease, Creutzfeld-Jakob disease, Huntington's disease, HIV dementia, Parkinson's disease dementia, Lewy body dementia, progressive supranuclear palsy, and other specified and unspecified diseases]) recorded in the DNPR or the PCRR, death, emigration from Denmark, or 31 December 2013, whichever occurred first.

Analytic variables

Age on the index date was categorized (<50, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, and 80 years) and comorbidity burden was classified using the CCI [21], excluding malignancy and dementia. An individual's score weights were defined as total scores of 0, 1, 2–3, and 4, and

conditions comprising the CCI were also examined individually. Cancer was analyzed as all types combined and by the predefined specific cancer sites. Stage at diagnosis for solid tumors was categorized as localized, regional, distant and missing/unknown. Dementia was classified as AD, VaD, and all-cause dementia.

Statistical analysis

We characterized cancer patients according to baseline characteristics. The potential reduction in dementia cases per 10,000 person-years was computed as the expected minus the observed number of cancer cases divided by the person time in days. We calculated standardized incidence rate ratios (SIRs) as a measure of relative risk by comparing observed dementia incidence among cancer patients with that expected, based on single years of age-, sex-, and single calendar-year-standardized incidence in the general population, and stratified by baseline characteristics. Associated 95% CIs were derived using Byar's approximation, assuming that the observed number of cases in a specific category followed a Poisson distribution. We used exact 95% CIs when the observed number of cancers was less than ten. Analyses were then stratified for cancer survivors by 0–1 year, >1–5 years, >5–10 years, >10—20 years, and >20–34 years of follow-up. To examine potential effects of chemotherapy or radiotherapy, we repeated the analysis for cancer patients diagnosed in 2004 or later receiving any type of chemotherapy by follow-up periods.

A Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was conducted using patients with the prespecified cancer types separately and compared with their matched general population comparators in a stratified Cox analysis within the matched factors and follow-up periods as described above.

We conducted a number of sensitivity analyses. Our initial coding classified senile dementia (ICD-8) and unspecified dementia (ICD-10) as all-cause dementia. To account for potential miscoding, we conducted sensitivity analyses that re-categorized these as AD rather than all-cause dementia, with no effect on our results. We also conducted an analysis that only included inpatient diagnoses of dementia. We then repeated the analysis including mild cognitive dementia or amnestic syndrome in the dementia outcome. Finally, for the overall estimates we calculated E-values for dementia SIRs using the formula for HRs with rare outcomes (< 15%) [22]. The E-value describes the strength that an unmeasured confounder or set of confounders would need to have with both the exposure and outcome (assuming these two associations were equal) to fully explain the observed-exposure-outcome association [22].

RESULTS

Characteristics of the cancer cohort

A total of 949,309 cancer patients were included in the study (48.3% male), involving 5,242,643 person-years of observation. Median age at cancer diagnosis was 67 years (interquartile range (IQR): 57–76 years). The median follow-up was 3.1 (IQR: 0.70–8.1)

years for cancer patients and 7.2 (IQR: 3.3–13.2) years for population comparisons. The majority of cancer patients had no comorbidities at diagnosis (74%) (Table 1).

Risk of dementia after cancer

Table 2 shows the observed and expected number of dementia cases with corresponding SIRs and the absolute reduction in risk attributed to cancer per 10,000 person-years by descriptive characteristics and by follow-up period. During 34 years of follow-up, the observed to expected number was 10,048/10,725 cases of AD, 3598/3930 cases of VaD, and 28,544/29,723 cases of all-cause dementia.

After any cancer diagnosis, we observed a modestly reduced SIR for all-cause dementia (SIR: 0.96, 95% CI: 0.95–0.97). The absolute reduction in dementia risk attributed to cancer was 2.2 per 10,000 persons. Similar reductions were observed for AD and VaD and for males and females. SIRs for all-cause dementia and AD were above 1.0 for individuals with higher CCI scores and for individuals with most individual comorbid conditions, but were under 1.0 (SIR=0.91 [95% CI: 0.84-0.99]) for patients with comorbidity scores of 2-3. Stratified by age group at cancer diagnosis or cancer treatment with chemotherapy or radiotherapy, the risks for all-cause dementia, AD, or VaD were near unity (Table 2). When stratified by follow-up period, the long-term (34-year) relative risk of dementia among cancer survivors approached a null association for AD and all-cause dementia, although not for VaD. The inverse associations between cancer and AD and all-cause dementia were somewhat more pronounced for a cancer diagnosis in recent years (from 1995 onwards) than in earlier years (Table 2). In a sensitivity analysis including only inpatient diagnoses, the SIR for all-cause dementia was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.93-0.95), compared to 0.96 (95% CI: 0.95-0.97) in the primary analysis. In the analysis that added mild cognitive impairment and amnestic syndrome to the definition of dementia the number of observed all-cause dementia cases increased to 29,745 from 28,544 in our primary analysis and the association between cancer and dementia was similar to the primary analysis (SIR=0.97; 95% CI: 0.96–0.98).

Based on SIRs for the primary analysis, we estimate E-values as 1.32 for AD, 1.39 for VaD, and 1.25 for all-cause dementia.

We found diverging results by specific cancer sites, though the stage stratified analyses were restricted by low number of dementia cases. The SIRs were elevated for all types of dementia after brain cancer; increased for all-cause dementia but null or reduced for AD and VaD after lung cancer; and reduced or similar to that of the general population for most of the remaining cancer sites (Table 3).

Results from the Cox regression analysis of the matched cohorts (i.e., using both the cancer cohort and the general population comparison cohort) and stratified by years of follow-up resembled that of the SIR analysis (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this large nationwide cohort study—which involved 34 years (5,242,643 person-years) of follow-up—we observed a 6% lower incidence rate for diagnosis of AD, and 4% for all-

cause dementia associated with prior cancer diagnosis. The magnitude of the association was closer to the null than previously reported and further attenuated after 10 years, approaching that of the general population.

During 34 years of follow-up of cancer survivors, the reduction in the number of AD cases attributed to cancer was only 1.3 AD cases per 10,000 person-years. We observed similar associations for VaD, where the primary underlying etiology is less likely to be neurodegenerative, and for dementia of any cause. The magnitude of these associations was considerably less than previously reported, as discussed below.

Strengths and weaknesses

Strengths of this population-based cohort study include its large size and length of followup. Furthermore, all Danish hospitals and hospital clinics report data on diagnoses including dementia to the DNPR and the PCRR [18,19]. Data in the DCR are nearly complete and valid due to compulsory reporting except for non-melanoma skin cancer. Most tumors are histologically confirmed since 2009 [17]. Follow-up of all study participants was virtually complete, as patients were identified using comprehensive hospital-based registries in a healthcare system providing free access to health care, and as patients could be tracked easily through the CRS [16,18]. The positive predictive value of the dementia diagnosis in the DNPR overall has been shown to be 89% and is higher for AD than for other dementia types [23]. As many patients with dementia have mixed pathologies, including those of both AD and VaD [24], any misclassification may result in a bias with an unknown direction on the study results for individual causes of dementia, but not for all-cause dementia. To account for potential inaccurate coding, we conducted sensitivity analyses that included the ICD-8 code for senile dementia and the ICD-10 code for dementia unspecified as AD, with no effect on our results. Unfortunately, we did not have information on biomarkers relevant to diagnosis of dementia types.

Several limitations should be considered. As cancer diagnostics and treatment changed over the study period, cancer survivorship may have differed by calendar year of inclusion. However, we stratified our results by calendar year of cancer diagnosis and by follow-up period without changing the results. We excluded cancer patients with a baseline diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment or amnestic syndrome to reduce inclusion of patients with prodromal dementia. Dementia and cancer are both associated with a latency and prodromal period before diagnosis [25]. For AD, the prodromal period may be as long as 25 years [26]. The temporal indeterminacy of disease onset is a concern for shorter-term associations. Although competing risk of death is a concern when comparing cumulative risk of dementia among individuals with a cancer history to cumulative risk of dementia among individuals without a cancer history, it is unlikely to explain our results because our analyses are based on incidence rates, which intrinsically correct for differences in time at risk. Residual confounding is a possible explanation and -- unlike in typical studies focused on causal effects of an exposure on an outcome-- would be an important explanation in this case. A confounder-based explanation of the inverse association between cancer and dementia implies that there is an unmeasured factor that increases cancer risk but decreases dementia risk (or vice-versa). This is an important possible finding, and would justify further research

on what that unmeasured factor might be. Diagnostic bias is also a possible explanation for our results. Recent work in a large population-based cohort found that individuals subsequently diagnosed with cancer had slightly better memory functioning even prior to cancer diagnosis [27]. This would imply that the diagnostic bias, if relevant, results from individuals with higher cognitive function having increased chance of cancer diagnosis. We consider this most plausible for cancers that are often undiagnosed or diagnosed upon screening, such as prostate and breast cancer.

Previous literature

Most studies that assessed the risk for AD or dementia after cancer were conducted in the United States, were limited to study populations aged 65+ years, and were not population based [1–7,9,15]. The reported inverse associations between cancer and dementia is stronger for AD than for other dementia types. For example, Roe et al. reported that cancer was associated with a reduced risk for AD (HR= 0.57, 95% CI: 0.36–0.90) after adjustment for a number of factors, including APOE genotype, over a median of 5.4 years of follow-up. No association was found between cancer and VaD [4]. Driver et al. found a reduced risk of probable AD (HR=0.67, 95% CI: 0.47–0.97) following a cancer diagnosis, particularly for survivors of lung cancer and other smoking-related cancer (HR=0.26, 95% CI 0.08-0.82) [2]. Freedman et al. similarly reported a decreased risk for AD within 10 years of follow-up after diagnosis of cancer at different sites [7]. In a study with 21 years follow-up Bowles et al. reported a HR for AD of 0.95 (95% CI: 0.77-1.17) for patients with prevalent cancer and 0.73 (95% CI: 0.55-0.96) for patients with incident cancer [15]. Findings from Frain et al. based on data from the US Veteran Healthcare System including patients age 65+ years, support previous studies of an inverse association between some cancer types and subsequent AD [9]. A study conducted in Italy reported similar findings in a population aged 60+ years [3]. However, a study based on data from the Utah Population Database and argue that such associations arise from bias due to the competing risk of dying [14]. Both for AD and for VaD, we found a lower relative risk associated with some smoking- and alcoholrelated cancers such as those of the lung and colon, but not for other smoking or alcoholrelated cancers (bladder, breast, kidney, pancreatic cancer), and not for long-term cancer survivors.

Potential Mechanism

Neurodegenerative diseases and carcinogenesis may share several molecular signaling pathways and factors involved in cell cycle dysfunction [8,13,28–33]. Though still controversial, chemotherapeutic agents may affect cognition, ranging from maintaining cognitive function through suppressed inflammation and blocked cell cycles, on the one hand [8], to potential peripheral neurotoxic effects, on the other hand [12]. As well, specific comorbidities may lead to differing diagnostic procedures and treatment choices [8,34,35]. We found inverse associations between cancer and AD across all comorbidity levels, but increased risk for VaD and all-cause dementia among cancer patients with increasing CCI scores.

We also found an initial inverse association between some smoking- and alcohol-related cancers and AD and VaD, which diminished during follow-up for cancer survivors. Patients

with disseminated cancer are likely to have died from their disease in the first decade after diagnosis, leaving disease-free cancer patients for the long-term analysis. Misclassification of mild AD or mild dementia as no-dementia in patients with cancer also could explain the small, early inverse association. We found an increased risk of dementia after diagnosis of both benign and malignant brain tumor, consistent with the well-known increase in dementia and cognitive dysfunction associated with all types of brain tumors.

Our overall results for AD and VaD, which are largely similar, contrast with evidence that separated AD from other types of dementia, such as the results of Roe [4] Driver [2] and Freedman [7], although other studies have reported a decreased risk for all-cause dementia after cancer [1,6]. It is possible that neurodegeneration among cancer patients with comorbid cardiovascular conditions tended to be classified as VaD rather than AD, especially since the dementia diagnoses were not supported by brain imaging for the majority of our registry-defined cohort. Therefore, some patients classified as having VaD may have had Alzheimer's pathology or a combination of vascular and AD pathologies [36]. When we stratified results by cancer stage, we also found largely similar results by stage category within each dementia subtype. This suggests that dementia is not underdiagnosed in patients with metastatic cancer who have poorer survival prospects than the general population.

Conclusions and implications

The evidence presented here augments recent reports showing that cancer patients may have decreased relative and absolute risks for AD after standardization to the general population. However, the magnitude of the association was much less than previously reported and diminished after 10 years, approaching that found in the general population. This study does not indicate a clinically relevant association between cancer and risk of AD, VaD, or allcause dementia. Interest in the association is largely because it suggests the possibility of a physiologic process or risk factor that increases cancer risk but decreases dementia risk, i.e., a potential confounder with biological relevance; identifying such a potential confounder might provide novel insight into the mechanisms of dementia. When interpreting the strength of the observed inverse association, it is therefore valuable to assess how strong the effects of such a potential confounder or set of confounders would have to be in order to account for the association. The E-value provides such an estimate: under the assumption that the confounder has equally strong (but inverse) associations with cancer and dementia, the confounder or set of confounders would need to increase dementia risk by roughly 25% to account for the observed inverse association. The modest effect estimates are not as large as for single major risk factors such as APOE-e4 allele but are in line with estimates related to some cardiovascular risk factors, for example. Such small effects may be of biological interest if they relate to pathological cascade that culminate in dementia, but could also plausibly be explained by detection bias. We also note that the major advantages of our use of administrative records, which provides a much larger sample size than ever previously evaluated, also introduces potential biases that may underestimate the association between cancer and dementia, for example due to non-differential misdiagnoses.

Contributorship statement

HTS conceived the study idea and designed the study together with AGO and VWH. EHP and KV carried out the analyses. AGO organized the writing and wrote the initial draft. All authors participated in the discussion and interpretation of the results. All authors critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version before submission. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted. AGO is the guarantor.

Acknowledgments

Source of funding

The study was supported by a grant from Lundbeckfonden (grant no. R248–2017-521), Aarhus University Hospital and the Department of Clinical Epidemiology's Research Foundation. MNG was supported by National Institutes of Health grant RF1 AG059872. VWH was supported by National Institutes of Health grant P50 AG047366. The funding sources had no role in the design, conduct, analysis, or reporting of the study.

Abbreviations

HR Hazard ratio

VaD Vascular dementia

CRS Civil Registration System

DCR Danish Cancer Registry

DNPR Danish National Patient Registry

PCRR Psychiatric Central Research Registry

ICD International Classification of Disease

CCI Charlson comorbidity Index

SIR Standardized incidence rate ratio

CI Confidence interval

IQR Interquartile range

References

- [1]. Attner B, Lithman T, Noreen D, Olsson H. Low cancer rates among patients with dementia in a population-based register study in Sweden. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2010;30:39–42. 10.1159/000315509 [doi]. [PubMed: 20689281]
- [2]. Driver JA, Beiser A, Au R, Kreger BE, Splansky GL, Kurth T, et al. Inverse association between cancer and Alzheimer's disease: results from the Framingham Heart Study. BMJ 2012;344:e1442 10.1136/bmj.e1442 [doi]. [PubMed: 22411920]
- [3]. Musicco M, Adorni F, Di Santo S, Prinelli F, Pettenati C, Caltagirone C, et al. Inverse occurrence of cancer and Alzheimer disease: a population-based incidence study. Neurology 2013;81:322–8. 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31829c5ec1 [doi]. [PubMed: 23843468]

[4]. Roe CM, Fitzpatrick AL, Xiong C, Sieh W, Kuller L, Miller JP, et al. Cancer linked to Alzheimer disease but not vascular dementia. Neurology 2010;74:106–12. 10.1212/ WNL.0b013e3181c91873 [doi]. [PubMed: 20032288]

- [5]. Ou SM, Lee YJ, Hu YW, Liu CJ, Chen TJ, Fuh JL, et al. Does Alzheimer's disease protect against cancers? A nationwide population-based study. Neuroepidemiology 2013;40:42–9. 10.1159/000341411 [doi]. [PubMed: 23075910]
- [6]. Lin HL, Lin HC, Tseng YF, Chen SC, Hsu CY. Inverse Association Between Cancer and Dementia: A Population-based Registry Study in Taiwan. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2016;30:118–22. 10.1097/WAD.000000000000116 [doi]. [PubMed: 26523711]
- [7]. Freedman DM, Wu J, Chen H, Kuncl RW, Enewold LR, Engels EA, et al. Associations between cancer and Alzheimer's disease in a U.S. Medicare population. Cancer Med 2016; 5:2965–2976. 10.1002/cam4.850 [doi]. [PubMed: 27628596]
- [8]. Akushevich I, Kravchenko J, Ukraintseva S, Arbeev K, Kulminski A, Yashin AI. Morbidity risks among older adults with pre-existing age-related diseases. Exp Gerontol 2013;48:1395–401. . [PubMed: 24064264]
- [9]. Frain L, Swanson D, Cho K, Gagnon D, Lu KP, Betensky RA, et al. Association of cancer and Alzheimer's disease risk in a national cohort of veterans. Alzheimers Dement 2017;13:1364–70. 10.1016/j.jalz.2017.04.012. [PubMed: 28711346]
- [10]. Ibanez K, Boullosa C, Tabares-Seisdedos R, Baudot A, Valencia A. Molecular evidence for the inverse comorbidity between central nervous system disorders and cancers detected by transcriptomic meta-analyses. PLoS Genet 2014;10:e1004173 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004173 [doi].
- [11]. Driver JA, Lu KP. Pin1: a new genetic link between Alzheimer's disease, cancer and aging. Curr Aging Sci 2010;3:158–65. https://doi.org/BSP/CAS/E-Pub/00009 [pii]. [PubMed: 20735350]
- [12]. Ganguli M. Cancer and Dementia: It's Complicated. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2015;29:177–82. 10.1097/WAD.000000000000086 [doi]. [PubMed: 25710132]
- [13]. Tabares-Seisdedos R, Dumont N, Baudot A, Valderas JM, Climent J, Valencia A, et al. No paradox, no progress: inverse cancer comorbidity in people with other complex diseases. Lancet Oncol 2011;12:604–8. 10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70041-9 [doi]. [PubMed: 21498115]
- [14]. Hanson HA, Horn KP, Rasmussen KM, Hoffman JM, Smith KR. Is Cancer Protective for Subsequent Alzheimer's Disease Risk? Evidence From the Utah Population Database. Journals Gerontol Ser B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2016;72:gbw040 10.1093/geronb/gbw040.
- [15]. Bowles EJA, Walker RL, Anderson ML, Dublin S, Crane PK, Larson EB. Risk of Alzheimer's disease or dementia following a cancer diagnosis. PLoS One 2017;12:e0179857 10.1371/ journal.pone.0179857 [doi].
- [16]. Schmidt M, Pedersen L, Sorensen HT. The Danish Civil Registration System as a tool in epidemiology. Eur J Epidemiol 2014;29:541–9. 10.1007/s10654-014-9930-3 [doi]. [PubMed: 24965263]
- [17]. Gjerstorff ML. The Danish Cancer Registry. Scand J Public Health 2011;39:42–5. 10.1177/1403494810393562. [PubMed: 21775350]
- [18]. Schmidt M, Schmidt SA, Sandegaard JL, Ehrenstein V, Pedersen L, Sorensen HT. The Danish National Patient Registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. Clin Epidemiol 2015;7:449–90. 10.2147/CLEP.S91125 [doi]. [PubMed: 26604824]
- [19]. Mors O, Perto GP, Mortensen PB. The Danish Psychiatric Central Research Register. Scand J Public Health 2011;39:54–7. 10.1177/1403494810395825. [PubMed: 21775352]
- [20]. Schmidt SAJ, Ording AG, Horváth-Puhó E, Sørensen HT, Henderson VW. Non-melanoma skin cancer and risk of Alzheimer's disease and all-cause dementia. PLoS One 2017;12:e0171527 10.1371/journal.pone.0171527.
- [21]. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987;40:373–83. [PubMed: 3558716]
- [22]. VanderWeele TJ, Ding P. Sensitivity Analysis in Observational Research: Introducing the E-Value. Ann Intern Med 2017; 167(4):268–274. 10.7326/M16-2607 [doi]. [PubMed: 28693043]

[23]. Phung TK, Andersen BB, Kessing L V, Mortensen PB, Waldemar G. Diagnostic evaluation of dementia in the secondary health care sector. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2009;27:534–42. 10.1159/000223664 [doi]. [PubMed: 19506375]

- [24]. Schneider JA, Arvanitakis Z, Bang W, Bennett DA. Mixed brain pathologies account for most dementia cases in community-dwelling older persons. Neurology 2007;69:2197–204. https://doi.org/01.wnl.0000271090.28148.24 [pii]. [PubMed: 17568013]
- [25]. Wilson RS, Leurgans SE, Boyle PA, Bennett DA. Cognitive decline in prodromal Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol 2011;68:351–6. 10.1001/archneurol.2011.31 [doi]. [PubMed: 21403020]
- [26]. Bateman RJ, Xiong C, Benzinger TL, Fagan AM, Goate A, Fox NC, et al. Clinical and biomarker changes in dominantly inherited Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 2012;367:795–804. 10.1056/ NEJMoa1202753 [doi]. [PubMed: 22784036]
- [27]. Ospina-Romero M, Abdiwahab E, Kobayashi L, Filshtein T, Brenowitz WD, Mayeda ER, et al. Rate of Memory Change Before and After Cancer Diagnosis. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e196160 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.6160.
- [28]. Lanni C, Racchi M, Memo M, Govoni S, Uberti D. P53 at the Crossroads between Cancer and Neurodegeneration. Free Radic Biol Med 2012;52:1727–33. 10.1016/ j.freeradbiomed.2012.02.034 [doi]. [PubMed: 22387179]
- [29]. Caricasole A, Bakker A, Copani A, Nicoletti F, Gaviraghi G, Terstappen GC. Two sides of the same coin: Wnt signaling in neurodegeneration and neuro-oncology. Biosci Rep 2005;25:309–27. 10.1007/s10540-005-2893-6 [doi]. [PubMed: 16307379]
- [30]. Entschladen F, Drell TL 4th, Lang K, Joseph J, Zaenker KS. Tumour-cell migration, invasion, and metastasis: navigation by neurotransmitters. The LancetOncology 2004;5:254–8. 10.1016/S1470-2045(04)01431-7 [doi].
- [31]. Hanoun M, Maryanovich M, Arnal-Estape A, Frenette PS. Neural regulation of hematopoiesis, inflammation, and cancer. Neuron 2015;86:360–73. 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.01.026 [doi]. [PubMed: 25905810]
- [32]. Ward BK, Mark PJ, Ingram DM, Minchin RF, Ratajczak T. Expression of the estrogen receptor-associated immunophilins, cyclophilin 40 and FKBP52, in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1999;58:267–80. [PubMed: 10718488]
- [33]. Baker JD, Shelton LB, Zheng D, Favretto F, Nordhues BA, Darling A, et al. Human cyclophilin 40 unravels neurotoxic amyloids. PLoS Biol 2017;15:e2001336 10.1371/journal.pbio.2001336 [doi].
- [34]. Chen Y, Cress RD, Stewart SL, Semrad TJ, Harvey D, Tencredi DJ, et al. Mediating Effect of Postsurgical Chemotherapy on Presence of Dementia and Survival among Patients 65 and Older with Stage III Colon Cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2017;26:1558–1563. 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0277 [doi]. [PubMed: 28939585]
- [35]. Driver JA, Djousse L, Logroscino G, Gaziano JM, Kurth T. Incidence of cardiovascular disease and cancer in advanced age: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2008;337:a2467 10.1136/bmj.a2467 [doi]. [PubMed: 19066258]
- [36]. Iadecola C. The overlap between neurodegenerative and vascular factors in the pathogenesis of dementia. Acta Neuropathol 2010;120:287–96. 10.1007/s00401-010-0718-6 [doi]. [PubMed: 20623294]

Table 1.

Characteristics of 949,309 Danish cancer patients diagnosed between 1980 and 2013, and matched cohort of cancer patients and cancer free Danish residents.

	All cancer pat	ients		Matched	cohorts	
			Patients with speci types_*	fic cancer	Matched popul comparison	lation 18
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total	949,309	100	679,122	100	3,395,597	100
Gender						
Female	490,351	52	344,842	51	1,724,208	51
Male	458,958	48	334,280	49	1,671,389	49
Median age (interquartile range)	67 (57, 76)		68 (58, 76)		68 (58, 76)	
Age group, years						
<50	134,602	14	84,021	12	420,889	12
50–59	157,616	17	111,176	16	555,402	16
60–69	255,269	27	186,794	28	934,335	28
70–79	254,144	27	187,990	28	939,871	28
80+	147,678	16	109,141	16	545,100	16
Year of diagnosis						
1980–1994	346,494	37	232,586	34	1,162,921	34
1995–2001	183,789	19	128,478	19	642,388	19
2002–2009	267,059	28	200,955	30	1,004,773	30
2010–2013	151,967	16	117,103	17	585,515	17
Charlson Comorbidity Index						
No comorbidity (CCI= 0)	706,123	74	501,106	74	2,628,460	77
Mild comorbidity (CCI= 1)	154,049	16	112,705	17	500,327	15
Moderate comorbidty (CCI=2-3)	75,150	7.9	55,192	8.1	228,482	6.7
Severe comorbidity (CCI= 4)	13,987	1.5	10,119	1.5	38,328	1.1
Specific comorbid condition						
Myocardial infarction	39,099	4.1	29,546	4.4	142,097	4.2
Congestive heart failure	31,901	3.4	23,559	3.5	101,655	3.0
Peripheral vascular disease	34,456	3.6	25,828	3.8	100,550	3.0
Cerebrovascular disease	57,248	6.0	42,592	6.3	200,994	5.9
Chronic pulmonary disease	61,189	6.4	46,446	6.8	173,146	5.1
Connective tissue disease	22,618	2.4	16,602	2.4	72,543	2.1
Ulcer disease	38,399	4.0	26,798	3.9	109,417	3.2
Mild liver disease	9797	1.0	6462	1.0	20,312	0.6
Diabetes I and II	38,505	4.1	27,746	4.1	123,291	3.6
Hemiplegia	1867	0.2	1309	0.2	6091	0.2
Moderate to severe renal disease	12,898	1.4	9398	1.4	32,469	1.0

Matched cohorts All cancer patients Patients with specific cancer Matched population comparisons types* Diabetes with end organ damage 14,642 1.5 10,689 49,085 1.6 1.4 Moderate to severe liver disease 2674 0.3 1795 0.3 4668 0.1 AIDS 647 0.1 232 0.0 709 0.0 Cancer stage † Localized 298,538 31 298,538 44 Regional 69,692 7.3 69,692 10 Distant 54,675 5.8 54,675 8.1 Missing/Unknown 526,404 56 256,217 38 51,512 Receipt of any radiotherapy ? 76,079 21 Receipt of any chemotherapy # Median age at dementia diagnosis 83.1 (77.9, 87.5) 83.5 (78.6, 87.7) (interquartile range) Median follow-up time (interquartile $3.1\ (0.70,\,8.1)$ 7.2 (3.3, 13.2) 2.8 (0.60, 8.0) range)

^{*}Prostate cancer (n=68,895), Lung cancer (n=103,428), colon cancer (n=67,315), pancreatic cancer (n=22,114), breast cancer (n=109,755), non-melanoma skin cancer (n=195,899), brain cancer (n=12,433), leukemia (n=21,660), melanoma (n=30,586), liver cancer (n=7,824), kidney cancer (n=15,728), bladder cancer (n=23,485).

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Only solid cancer. Other cancer types are included in the missing/unknown category.

[‡]Received within three months of cancer diagnosis. Restricting to patients diagnosed from 2004 onwards due to data availability.

Ording et al. Page 14

Table 2.

attributed to cancer per 10,000 person-years by descriptive characteristic for in 949,309 Danish cancer patients diagnosed between 1980 and 2013. Age, sex, and calendar-year standardized incidence rate ratios (SIRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and absolute reduction in dementia risk

		Alzheime s disease	disease			Vascula	Vascular dementia			All-cause	All-cause dementia	
	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction
All	10,048	10,725	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	1.3	3598	3930	0.92 (0.89, 0.95)	9.0	28,544	29,723	0.96 (0.95,	2.2
Sex Female	6121	6601	0.93	1.6	1904	2076	0.92 (0.88, 0.96)	9.0	16,823	17,649	0.95 (0.94, 0.97)	2.7
Male	3927	4124	0.95) 0.95 (0.92, 0.98)	6.0	1694	1853	0.91 (0.87, 0.96)	0.7	11,721	12,073	0.97 (0.95, 0.99)	1.6
CCI score												
None (CCI=0)	7951	8424	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	1.1	2441	3065	0.80 (0.77, 0.83)	1.4	21,513	23,294	0.92 (0.9 to 0.94)	4.0
Low (CCI=1)	1454	1595	0.91 (0.87, 0.96)	2.6	713	597	1.19 (1.11, 1.28)	-2.1	4668	4457	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)	-3.9
Moderate (CCI=2-3)	573	626	0.91 (0.84, 0.99)	2.7	383	238	1.61 (1.46, 1.78)	-7.4	2068	1753	1.18 (1.13, 1.23)	-16.0
High (CCI=4+)	70	79	0.89 (0.69, 1.12)	3.5	61	30	2.03 (1.55, 2.61)	-12.2	295	218	1.35 (1.20, 1.52)	-30.2
Age at cancer diagnosis												
0-49	117	149	0.78 (0.65, 0.94)	0.2	56	65	0.86 (0.65, 1.12)	0.1	571	527	1.08 (1.00, 1.18)	-0.3
50–59	752	725	1.04 (0.96, 1.11)	-0.2	253	298	0.85 (0.75, 0.96)	0.4	2162	2100	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)	-0.5

		Alzheime s disease	disease			Vascula	Vascular dementia			All-cause	All-cause dementia	
	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction
69-09	2353	2414	0.97 (0.94, 1.02)	0.4	975	566	0.98 (0.92, 1.04)	0.1	6812	6089	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.0
70–79	4250	4485	0.95 (0.92, 0.98)	2.4	1482	1623	0.91 (0.87, 0.96)	1.4	11,433	11,904	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)	4.7
+08	2576	2953	0.87 (0.84, 0.91)	10.2	832	948	0.88 (0.82, 0.94)	3.1	7566	8382	0.90 (0.88, 0.92)	22.0
Year of cancer diagnosis												
1980 – 1994	5059	5076	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)	0.1	1516	1657	0.91 (0.87, 0.96)	9.0	12,786	12,958	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)	0.7
1995 – 2001	2124	2285	0.93 (0.89, 0.97)	1.3	1028	1093	0.94 (0.88, 1.00)	0.5	7480	7759	0.96 (0.94, 0.99)	2.3
2002 – 2009	2447	2832	0.86 (0.83, 0.90)	3.0	940	1033	0.91 (0.85, 0.97)	0.7	7157	7756	0.92 (0.90, 0.94)	4.7
2010 – 2013	418	531	0.79 (0.71, 0.87)	4.6	114	147	0.78 (0.64, 0.93)	1.3	1121	1250	0.90 (0.85, 0.95)	5.3
Year of follow-up												
0-1	1294	1348	0.96 (0.91, 1.01)	0.7	405	453	0.89 (0.81, 0.99)	0.6	3446	3346	1.03 (1.00, 1.07)	-1.3
>1-5	2964	3517	0.84 (0.81, 0.87)	2.9	1080	1219	0.89 (0.83, 0.94)	0.7	8102	9137	0.89 (0.87, 0.91)	5.5
>5-10	2495	2602	0.96 (0.92, 1.00)	0.8	868	963	0.93 (0.87, 1.00)	0.5	7033	7308	0.96 (0.94, 0.99)	2.1
>10-20	2446	2406	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	-0.4	994	1004	0.99 (0.93, 1.05)	0.1	7648	7572	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)	-0.7

		Alzheime s disease	disease			Vascula	Vascular dementia			All-cause	All-cause dementia	
	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction
>20–34	849	852	1.00 (0.93, 1.07)	0.1	221	291	0.76 (0.66, 0.87)	2.8	2315	2361	0.98 (0.94,	1.8
Specific comorbidities in the CCI												
Myocardial infarction	358	411	0.87 (0.78, 0.97)	4.4	201	167	1.20 (1.04, 1.38)	-2.8	1142	1182	0.97 (0.91, 1.02)	3.3
Congestive heart failure	231	288	0.80 (0.70, 0.91)	8.4	136	107	1.27 (1.07, 1.50)	-4.3	832	820	1.01 (0.95, 1.09)	-1.8
Peripheral vascular disease	250	281	0.89 (0.78, 1.01)	3.6	140	107	1.30 (1.10, 1.54)	-3.8	859	611	1.10 (1.03, 1.18)	-9.2
Cerebrovascular disease	560	599	0.93 (0.86, 1.02)	2.4	532	223	2.39 (2.19, 2.60)	-18.9	2250	1686	1.33 (1.28, 1.39)	-34.5
Chronic pulmonary disease	385	461	0.83 (0.75, 0.92)	5.4	153	171	0.89 (0.76, 1.05)	1.1	1247	1251	1.00 (0.94, 1.05)	0.2
Connective tissue disease	220	250	0.88 (0.77, 1.00)	3.7	103	88	1.16 (0.95, 1.41)	-1.8	691	692	1.00 (0.93, 1.08)	0.2
Ulcer disease	384	365	1.05 (0.95, 1.16)	-1.6	177	142	1.24 (1.07, 1.44)	-2.8	1220	1051	1.16 (1.10, 1.23)	-13.7
Mild liver disease	34	35	0.98 (0.68, 1.37)	0.3	20	13	1.49 (0.91, 2.29)	-2.7	165	26	1.70 (1.45, 1.98)	-28.1
Diabetes I and II	314	310	1.01 (0.91, 1.13)	-0.4	192	110	1.74 (1.50, 2.01)	7.4	1085	822	1.32 (1.24, 1.40)	-23.8
Hemiplegia	'n	13	0.40 (0.13, 0.93)	13.8	À	4	NA	0.5	29	33	0.88 (0.59, 1.27)	7.0
Moderate to severe renal disease	81	92	0.88 (0.70, 1.09)	2.9	51	35	1.46 (1.09, 1.92)	-4.3	285	256	1.11 (0.99, 1.25)	-7.6

Particle			Alzheime s disease	; disease			Vascula	Vascular dementia			All-cause	All-cause dementia	
tinge * * 7 NA * 40 219(176, 271) -126 397 287 sto secure <5		Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Diabetes with end organ	101	105	0.96 (0.79, 1.17)	1.0	87	40	2.19 (1.76, 2.71)	-12.6	397	287	1.38 (1.25, 1.52)	-29.2
4 1 NA NA 45 0 NA NA 5 NA NA 5 S S 4 733 824 0.88 2.8 2.53 2.75 0.93 (0.82 0.05 0.95 (0.88 0.95 0.95 (0.88 0.95 0.95 (0.88 0.95 (0.88 0.95 (0.88 0.95 (0.88 0.95 (0.88 0.95 (0.88 0.95 (0.98 0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.98 (0.98 0.98 (0.	Moderate to severe liver disease	Δ.	7	NA	NA	\(\(\)	8	NA	NA	26	20	1.29 (0.84, 1.90)	-10.7
## 733 824 0.89 2.8 253 272 0.93 (0.82 0.6 1910 2156 0.95 (0.83 0.6) 1910 2156 0.95 (0.83 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95	AIDS	ψ,	1	NA	NA	∜	0	NA	NA	۶.	2	2.57 (0.83, 5.98)	-14.3
Hand Hand Hand Hand Hand Hand Hand Hand	Cancer stage *												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Localized	733	824	0.89 (0.83, 0.96)	2.8	253	272	0.93 (0.82, 1.05)	9.0	1910	2156	0.89 (0.85, 0.93)	7.5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Regional	6912	7195	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)	0.8	2452	2670	0.92 (0.88, 0.96)	9.0	19,503	20,192	0.97 (0.95, 0.98)	1.9
Faraby by Farabol Library Libr	Distant	585	691	0.85 (0.78, 0.92)	2.7	176	243	0.73 (0.62, 0.84)	1.7	1677	1812	0.93 (0.88, 0.97)	3.4
165 220 0.75 4.1 55 70 0.79 (0.60, 1.1 494 545 (0.64), 0.87) 23 42 0.55 6.5 12 12 0.80 (0.41, 1.1) 98 1111 95 129 0.74 4.1 38 41 0.92 (0.65, 0.4 293 322 (0.60)) 47 49 0.96 0.9 5 13 0.38 (0.12, 3.4 103 113	Missing	1818	2014	0.90 (0.86, 0.94)	2.1	717	745	0.96 (0.89, 1.04)	0.3	5454	5562	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	1.2
165 220 0.75 4.1 55 70 0.79 (0.64) 1.1 494 545 (0.64) (0.64) (0.64) (0.64) (0.65) (0.64) (0.65) (0.65) (0.65) (0.65) (0.66) (0.60) (0.6	Radiotherapy by follow-up period $^{ au}$												
23 42 0.55 6.5 12 15 0.80 (0.41, 1.1) 98 111 95 0.35, (0.35, 0.32) 4.1 38 41 0.92 (0.65, 1.26) 0.4 293 322 47 49 0.96 0.9 5 13 0.38 (0.12, 0.89) 3.4 103 113 1.27) 1.27)	>3 months-10 years	165	220	0.75 (0.64, 0.87)	4.1	55	70	0.79 (0.60, 1.03)	1.1	494	545	0.91 (0.83, 0.99)	3.8
95 129 0.74 4.1 38 41 0.92 (0.65, 0.4 293 322 (0.60, 0.90) 0.90 5 13 0.38 (0.12, 3.4 103 113 47 49 0.96 0.9 5 13 0.38 (0.12, 3.4 103 113 1.27) 1.27)	>3months-1 year	23	42	0.55 (0.35, 0.82)	6.5	12	15	0.80 (0.41, 1.39)	1.1	86	111	0.88 (0.72, 1.08)	4.5
47 49 0.96 0.9 5 1.3 0.38 (0.12, 3.4 103 113 (0.70, 0.70)	>1-5 years	95	129	0.74 (0.60, 0.90)	4.1	38	41	0.92 (0.65, 1.26)	0.4	293	322	0.91 (0.81, 1.02)	3.6
	>5-10 years	47	49	0.96 (0.70, 1.27)	6.0	'n	13	0.38 (0.12, 0.89)	3.4	103	113	0.91 (0.75, 1.11)	4.0

		Alzheime s disease	s disease			Vascula	Vascular dementia			All-cause	All-cause dementia	
	Observed Expected number	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	Absolute risk SIR (95% CI) reduction	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction
>3 months-10 years	130	170	0.76 (0.64, 0.91)	2.2	30	55	0.55	1.4	342	425	0.80 (0.72, 0.89)	4.6
>3months-1 year	18	41	0.44 (0.26, 0.70)	5.2	<10	15	0.20 (0.04,0.60)	2.7	70	107	0.65 (0.51–0.83)	8.4
>1-5 years	75	86	0.77 (0.60, 0.96)	2.1	20	32	0.63 (0.38, 0.97)	1.1	197	245	0.80 (0.70–	4.5
>5-10 years	37	32	1.16 (0.82, 1.60)	-1.8	<10	6	0.82 (0.33, 1.68)	9.0	75	73	1.02 (0.81–1.28)	9.0

CCI. Charlson comorbidity index.

* Only solid tumors

† Treatment received within three months of cancer diagnosis, starting follow-up at this date. Restricting to patients diagnosed from 2004 onwards due to data availability.

Ording et al. Page 19

Table 3.

Standardized incidence rate ratios (SIRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and absolute reduction in dementia risk attributed to cancer per 10,000 person-years for any dementia, in 679,122 Danish cancer patients with specific cancer sites diagnosed between 1980 and 2013, by stage.

		Alzheimei	Alzheimer's disease			Vascular	Vascular dementia			All-cause	All-cause dementia	
	Observed	Expected number	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected number	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected number	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction
Bladder	227	242	0.94 (0.82, 1.07)	1.6	86	26	1.01 (0.82, 1.23)	-0.1	640	662	0.97 (0.89, 1.04)	2.3
Localized	135	148	0.91 (0.77, 1.08)	2.1	59	09	0.99 (0.75, 1.28)	0.1	385	403	0.96 (0.86, 1.06)	3.0
Regional	28	23	1.23 (0.81, 1.77)	-5.2	17	6	1.90 (1.11, 3.05)	-8.1	29	09	1.11 (0.86, 1.41)	-6.8
Distant	Ą	ю	NA	12.9	\$	-	NA	7.6	∞	7	1.08 (0.47, 2.13)	-3.9
Missing/ Unknown	63	69	0.92 (0.70, 1.17)	2.5	22	28	0.79 (0.50, 1.20)	2.5	180	192	0.94 (0.81, 1.09)	5.0
Brain cancer	13	8	1.62 (0.86, 2.77)	-1.6	10	3	2.90 (1.39, 5.33)	-2.1	91	24	3.77 (3.03, 4.63)	-21.1
Breast cancer	1495	1569	0.95 (0.91, 1.00)	0.8	486	492	0.99 (0.90, 1.08)	0.1	4129	4132	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	0.0
Localized	668	901	1.00 (0.93, 1.07)	0.0	276	285	0.97 (0.86, 1.09)	0.2	2403	2385	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	-0.4
Regional	419	471	0.89 (0.81, 0.98)	1.7	148	147	1.01 (0.85, 1.18)	0.0	1176	1214	0.97 (0.91, 1.03)	1.2
Distant	30	38	0.79 (0.53, 1.12)	4.3	10	11	0.90 (0.43, 1.66)	9.0	94	95	0.99 (0.80, 1.21)	0.4
Missing/ unknown	147	158	0.93 (0.78, 1.09)	1.9	52	50	1.05 (0.78, 1.37)	-0.4	456	438	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	-3.0
Colon cancer	833	944	0.88 (0.82, 0.94)	3.6	279	337	0.83 (0.73, 0.93)	1.9	2343	2598	0.90 (0.87, 0.94)	8.4
Localized	207	253	0.82 (0.71, 0.94)	0.9	71	98	0.83 (0.64, 1.04)	1.9	585	899	0.88 (0.81, 0.95)	10.8
Regional	93	104	0.90 (0.73, 1.10)	3.0	22	34	0.64 (0.40, 0.98)	3.4	231	266	0.87 (0.76, 0.99)	6.6

		Alzheime	Alzheimer's disease			Vascular	Vascular dementia			All-cause	All-cause dementia	
	Observed	Expected number	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction
Distant	20	27	0.75 (0.46, 1.16)	5.8	\$	∞	NA	4.8	54	64	0.85 (0.64, 1.11)	8.3
Missing/ unknown	513	561	0.91 (0.84, 1.00)	2.6	183	208	0.88 (0.76, 1.02)	1.4	1473	1601	0.92 (0.87, 0.97)	7.0
Kidney	98	110	0.78 (0.62, 0.96)	3.7	53	42	1.25 (0.94, 1.63)	-1.6	286	303	0.95 (0.84, 1.06)	2.5
Localized	62	74	0.84 (0.65, 1.08)	2.6	43	29	1.48 (1.07, 2.00)	-3.1	204	206	0.99 (0.86, 1.14)	0.4
Regional	'n	6	0.56 (0.18, 1.30)	8.1	\$	ю	NA	NA	17	24	0.72 (0.42, 1.15)	13.4
Distant	9	7	0.86 (0.31, 1.86)	2.0	\$	ю	NA	NA	16	18	0.88 (0.50, 1.43)	4.2
Missing/ unknown	13	21	0.63 (0.33, 1.08)	7.6	∞	∞	1.06 (0.46, 2.08)	-0.4	49	55	0.89 (0.66, 1.18)	0.9
Leukemia	150	162	0.93 (0.78, 1.09)	1.2	51	09	0.85 (0.63, 1.11)	6.0	395	440	0.90 (0.81, 0.99)	4.5
Lung cancer	174	207	0.84 (0.72, 0.97)	2.5	99	81	0.80 (0.62, 1.02)	1.2	613	546	1.12 (1.04, 1.22)	-5.1
Localized	83	101	0.82 (0.66, 1.02)	2.9	38	41	0.93 (0.66, 1.28)	0.5	291	272	1.07 (0.95, 1.20)	-3.0
Regional	32	43	0.75 (0.51, 1.06)	3.2	12	18	0.68 (0.35, 1.19)	1.7	124	115	1.07 (0.89, 1.28)	-2.6
Distant	25	38	0.67 (0.43, 0.98)	5.4	∞	14	0.58 (0.25, 1.14)	2.5	110	76	1.14 (0.93, 1.37)	-5.6
Missing/ unknown	34	26	1.31 (0.91, 1.83)	-6.5	7	6	0.82 (0.33, 1.68)	1.3	88	61	1.43 (1.15, 1.76)	-21.6
Melanoma skin cancer	284	325	0.87 (0.78, 0.98)	1.5	92	124	0.74 (0.60, 0.91)	1.2	805	929	0.87 (0.81, 0.93)	4.5
Localized	253	285	0.89 (0.78, 1.00)	1.3	82	110	0.75 (0.59, 0.93)	1:1	715	820	0.87 (0.81, 0.94)	4.2
Regional	6	10	0.94 (0.43, 1.78)	0.7	\$	4	NA	NA	27	27	1.01 (0.66, 1.47)	-0.3
Distant	◊	2.8	NA	NA	\$	-	NA	NA	\$	7	NA	NA

		Alzheimei	Alzheimer's disease			Vascular	Vascular dementia			All-cause	All-cause dementia	
	Observed	Expected number	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected number	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction	Observed	Expected number	SIR (95% CI)	Absolute risk reduction
Missing/ unknown	20	27	0.73 (0.44, 1.12)	3.9	6	10	0.91 (0.42, 1.74)	0.4	59	92	0.78 (0.59, 1.00)	8.9
Non- melanoma skin cancer	3876	4005	0.97 (0.94, 1.00)	0.8	1366	1505	0.91 (0.86, 0.96)	6.0	10805	11479	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)	4.3
Localized	3316	3384	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	0.5	1171	1277	0.92 (0.87, 0.97)	8.0	9251	9725	0.95 (0.93, 0.97)	3.6
Regional	16	11	1.50 (0.86, 2.43)	-15.3	4	4	NA	NA	36	31	1.17 (0.82, 1.63)	-15.3
Distant	v	7	2.48 (0.80, 5.77)	-35.6	Å	1	NA	NA	6	9	1.60 (0.74, 3.05)	-40.5
Missing/ unknown	539	809	0.89 (0.81, 0.96)	2.8	190	223	0.85 (0.74, 0.98)	1.3	1509	1718	0.88 (0.83, 0.92)	8.5
Pancreatic cancer	19	26	0.72 (0.43, 1.13)	5.2	4	6	0.45 (0.12, 1.15)	3.5	65	99	0.90 (0.69, 1.16)	4.7
Localized	∞	7	1.19 (0.51, 2.34)	-3.4	Å	2	NA	NA	17	17	1.00 (0.58, 1.60)	0.1
Regional	\	9	NA	NA	Ą	2	NA	NA	10	14	0.70 (0.34, 1.29)	10.8
Distant	◊	ĸ	NA	NA	Å	7	NA	NA	14	11	1.22 (0.67, 2.05)	-8.3
Missing/ unknown	9	6	0.67 (0.25, 1.46)	9.0	2	3	0.66 (0.08, 2.39)	3.2	18	23	0.79 (0.47, 1.25)	14.9
Prostate cancer	622	813	0.96 (0.89, 1.03)	1.2	325	340	0.96 (0.85, 1.07)	0.5	2175	2237	0.97 (0.93, 1.01)	2.2
Localized	387	399	0.97 (0.88, 1.07)	8.0	162	164	0.99 (0.84, 1.15)	0.1	1080	1077	1.00 (0.94, 1.06)	-0.2
Regional	29	27	1.09 (0.73, 1.57)	-2.1	10	11	0.91 (0.43, 1.67)	6.0	69	70	0.98 (0.76, 1.24)	1.2
Distant	84	94	0.90 (0.72, 1.11)	3.1	22	40	0.55 (0.35, 0.83)	5.7	217	258	0.84 (0.73, 0.96)	13.0
Missing/ unknown	279	294	0.95 (0.84, 1.07)	1.8	131	125	1.05 (0.88, 1.24)	-0.7	608	831	0.97 (0.91, 1.04)	2.5

Appendix Table A.

Diagnostic codes and categorizations used in the study.

Dementia, overall	
Alzheimer's disease	ICD-8: 290.10, 290.09, ICD-10: F00, G30
Vascular dementia	ICD-8: 293.09, 293.19, ICD-10: F01
Other dementias	ICD-8: 094.19 and 292.09,290.11, 290.18, 290.19, ICD-10: F02, F03, F1x.73 (F10.73 through F19.73), G23.1; G31.0, G31.0A, G31.0B, G31.1, G31.8B, G31.8E, G31.85
Charlson Comorbidity Index	
Score 1	
Myocardial infarction	ICD-8: 410; ICD-10: I21, I22, I23
Congestive heart failure	ICD-8: 427.09, 427.10, 427.11, 427.19, 428.99, 782.49; ICD-10: I50, I11.0, I13.0, I13.2
Peripheral vascular disease	ICD-8: 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445; ICD-10: I70, I71, I72, I73, I74, I77
Cerebrovascular disease	ICD-8: 430-438; ICD-10: I60-I69, G45, G46
Dementia – excluded	ICD-8: 290.09-290.19, 293.09; ICD-10: F00-F03, F05.1, G30
Chronic pulmonary disease	ICD-8: 490-493, 515-518, ICD-10: J40-J47, J60-J67, J68.4, J70.1, J70.3, J84.1, J92.0, J96.1, J98.2, J98.3
Connective tissue disease	ICD-8: 712, 716, 734, 446, 135.99; ICD-10: M05, M06, M08, M09, M30, M31, M32, M33, M34, M35, M36, D86
Ulcer disease	ICD-8: 530.91, 530.98, 531-534; ICD-10: K22.1, K25-K28
Mild liver disease	ICD-8: 571, 573.01, 573.04; ICD-10: B18, K70.0-K70.3, K70.9, K71, K73, K74, K76.0
Diabetes type 1 and 2	ICD-8: 249.00, 249.06, 249.07, 249.09, 250.00, 250.06, 250.07, 250.09; ICD-10: E10.0, E10.1, E10.9, E11.0, E11.1, E11.9
Score 2	
Hemiplegia	ICD-8: 344; ICD-10: G81, G82
Moderate to severe renal disease	ICD-8: 403, 404, 580-583, 584, 590.09, 593.19, 753.10-753.19, 792; ICD-10: 12, I13, N00-N05, N07, N11, N14, N17-N19, Q61
Diabetes with end organ damage	ICD-8: 249.01-249.05, 249.08, 250.01-250.05, 250.08; ICD-10: E10.2-E10.8, E11.2-E11.8
Any tumor – excluded	ICD-8: 140-194; ICD-10: C00-C75
Leukemia – excluded	ICD-8: 204-207; ICD-10: C91-C95
Lymphoma – excluded	ICD-8: 200-203, 275.59; ICD-10: C81-C85, C88, C90, C96
Score 3	
Moderate to severe liver disease	ICD–8: 070.00, 070.02, 070.04, 070.06, 070.08, 573.00, 456.00–456.09; ICD–10: B15.0, B16.0, B16.2, B19.0, K70.4, K72, K76.6, I85
Score 6	
Metastatic solid tumor – excluded	ICD-8: 195-198, 199; ICD-10: C76-C80
AIDS	ICD-8: 079.83; ICD-10: B21-B24
Cancers	
ICD-7 codes for exclusion of prevalent cancer	ICD-7: 140–205
Hodgkin's lymphoma	ICD-10: C81
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (excl. leukemia, multiple myeloma, and types with cutaneous manifestations)	ICD-10: C82–86, C88 (excl. C826, C840, C841, C848, C863, C866, C884B)

Pleura incl. mesothelioma pleura

Multiple myeloma and malignant plasma cell neoplasms ICD-10: C90 Leukemia ICD-10: C91-C95 Malignant neoplasm of lymphoid, haematopoietic, and related ICD-10: C96 tissues, unspecified Haematological cancer with cutaneous manifestations C826 (cutaneous follicle center lymphoma) C840 (Mycosis fungoides) C841 (Sézary's disease) C848 (Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, unspecified) C863 (Subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma) C866 (Primary cutaneous CD30–positive T–cell proliferations) C884B (Lymphoma of skin-associated lymphoid tissue (SALTlymphoma)) Liver including intrahepatic bile ducts* ICD-10: C22 ICD-10: C43 Malignant melanoma including those located in anus and anal canal (morphological codes 872-879) Non-melanoma skin cancers ICD-10: C44 Kaposi's sarcoma ICD-10: C46, B210 ICD-10: C53 Cervix ICD-10: C21 Anus and anal canal excl. malignant melanomas (morphologic code 872-879) and basal cell cancers (morphologic code 809) External female genitalia excluding basal cell carcinomas ICD-10: C51 (morphological code 809) Lip ICD-10: C00 Tongue ICD-10: C01-02 ICD-10: C03-06 Mouth ICD-10: C09-C13 Tonsil and pharynx Other and poorly specified location in lip, oral cavity, and ICD-10: C14 pharynx ICD-10: C32 Larvnx ICD-10: C39 Other and poorly specified location in airways and respiratory Oesophagus ICD-10: C15 Stomach ICD-10: C16 Colon incl. rectosigmoid junction ICD-10: C18-C19 Rectum ICD-10: C20 Pancreas ICD-10: C25 ICD-10: C33-C34 Lung, bronchus and trachea Kidney ICD-10: C64 Renal pelvis ICD-10: C65 Ureter ICD-10: C66 Urinary bladder ICD-10: C67 Salivary gland ICD-10: C07-C08 Small intestine ICD-10: C17 Gallbladder and bile ducts ICD-10: C23-C24 Other and ill-defined cancers of digestive organs ICD-10: C26 Nasal cavity, middle ear and accessory sinuses ICD-10: C30-C31 Thymus ICD-10: C37 ICD-10: C380-383, C388 Heart and mediastinum

Page 23

ICD-10: C384, C450

no primary tumor coded)

Malignant neoplasm of other, ill defined, or unspecified sites

Bone and articular cartilage ICD-10: C40-C41 Mesothelioma ICD-10: C45.1-C45.9 ICD-10: C47 Peripheral nerves and autonomic nervous system Retroperitoneum and peritoneum, and malignant neoplasm of ICD-10: C48-C49 other connective and soft tissue Breast ICD-10: C50 Vagina excluding basal cell carcinomas (morphological code 809) ICD-10: C52 ICD-10: C54-C55 Ovary and fallopian tube ICD-10: C56, C570-574 Placenta ICD-10: C58 Other and unspecified female genital organs ICD-10: C577-579 Penis excluding basal cell carcinomas (morphological code 809) ICD-10: C60 ICD-10: C61 Prostate Testis ICD-10: C62 Other and unspecified cancers in male genital organs excluding ICD-10: C63 basal cell carcinomas (morphological code 809) Other and unspecified urinary organs ICD-10: C68 ICD-10: C69 Eye and adnexa Meninges ICD-10: C70 Brain including hypophysis, corpus pineale, and ductus ICD-10: C71 craniopharyngealis Benign neoplasms of brain and meninges ICD-10: DD32, DD33, DD352, DD353, DD354 Spinal cord, cranial nerves and other parts of central nervous ICD-10: C72 system Endocrine glands and related structure ICD-10: C73-C75 ICD-10: C77-79 (only if there is no primary tumor coded) Metastasis and unspecified cancer in lymph nodes (only if there is

ICD-10: C76, C80