



Experience for use of modified full-face snorkel mask as personal protective equipment during endoscopic procedures in the era of coronavirus disease pandemic

The rapid spread of coronovirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has led to a personal protective equipment (PPE) shortage worldwide. 1,2 Recently, the adaptation of commercially available modified fullfaced snorkel masks (mFFSMs) has been proposed as an alternative in times of PPE shortage. The mFFSMs were adapted and used by health care workers during the COVID-19 crisis.<sup>3</sup> An mFFSM is composed of an off-the-shelf snorkel mask, a custom adapter (three-dimensional-printed with a custom print file that sourced attributes from the designs found at Pneumask and OceanReef), and a filter cartridge (Fig. 1a).

Nine endoscopists performed endoscopic procedures using general facial protection (N95 mask, goggle, and face shield) (Fig. 1b) and mFFSM (Fig. 1c). The vital signs of the endoscopists were assessed before they wore the PPE and were re-assessed after 30 min. All vital signs pre- and post-endoscopic procedures are shown in Table 1. With a mFFSM, the respiratory rate increased significantly post-endoscopy (P = 0.034).

This is the first report of experience with using a mFFSM during endoscopic procedure. We estimated that the benefits of a snorkel mask are that it provided an integrated full-face shield instead of masks, goggles, and shields and allowed a clear view; thus, this mask is an off-label application. We can consider the use of mFFSM, when no alternative PPE is available.







Figure 1 (a) Modified full-face snorkel mask: snorkel mask (Easybreath Surface Snorkeling Mask; Decathlon) (black arrow), a custom adapter (red arrow), and a filter cartridge (HME Twin Star® MPO 1805; Dräger, Lübeck, Germany) (blue arrow). (b) Personal protective equipment with general facial protection. (c) Personal protective equipment with a modified full-face snorkel mask.

The fit-test of the mFFSM has been reported. 4,5 The quantitative fit-test measures the leaks at the wearer's face, leaks concerning the connection after the filter, and leaks related to the exhaust valve. However, it was suggested that the rise in carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) intake triggered an increase in minute ventilation and the respiratory rate, thereby maintaining the level of end-tidal CO2 within the normal range.4 The mFFSM has the potential for use during endoscopic procedures when PPE is insufficient, it is necessary to consider the characteristics of mFFSMs concerning the possibility of CO2 accumulation.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

HE AUTHORS ACKNOWLEDGE the considerable support of Kazuko Fujitani, Arsen Gadzhikurbanov, Hisatomo Ikehara MD, PhD, Toshiki Yamamoto MD, PhD, Chika Takano MD, PhD, Toshio Miki MD, PhD, Leon Bax PhD, Toshihiko Sato MD, PhD, and Takeo Miki MD, PhD.

## **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

UTHOR T.G. IS a Deputy Editor-in-Chief of Digestive Endoscopy. Other authors declare no conflict of interests for this article.

Chika Kusano, 1 James Goddard, 2 Takuji Gotoda, 1 <sup>1</sup>Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo, <sup>2</sup>College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Kitasato University, Kanagawa, Japan doi: 10.1111/den.13784

## **REFERENCES**

- 1 Cook TM. Personal protective equipment during the COVID-19 pandemic A narrative review. Anaesthesia 2020; 75: 920-927.
- 2 Ranney ML, Griffeth V, Jha AK. Critical supply shortages The need for ventilators and personal protective equipment during the Covid-19 pandemic. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: e41.
- 3 Available from URL: https://www.pneumask.org
- 4 Pneumask: Reusable Full-Face Snorkel Mask PPE Project. [cited 2020 May 15]. from URL: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1J22le3d BZBnNDXGlJLRb38z7v7LaOjKfDeN9f0tFeKY/edit.
- 5 Greig PR, Carvalho C, El-Boghdadly K, Ramessur S. Safety testing improvised COVID-19 personal protective equipment based on a modified full-face snorkel mask. Anaesthesia 2020: 75: 970-971.

Table 1 Comparison of the vital signs of the endoscopists using general facial protection vs. the modified full-face snorkel mask

	General facial protection		P-value	value Modified-FFSM		P-value
	Pre-endoscopy	Post-endoscopy		Pre-endoscopy	Post-endoscopy	
Blood pressure						
Systolic (mmHg)	$117.4 \pm 18.2$	$115.2 \pm 15.5$	0.889	$118.1 \pm 16.7$	$122.2 \pm 12.9$	0.313
Diastolic (mmHg)	$78.5 \pm 14.1$	$77.8 \pm 12.1$	0.721	$79.3 \pm 13.1$	$82.1 \pm 9.8$	0.313
Pulse rate (/min)	$72.2 \pm 11.6$	$76.7 \pm 15.2$	0.108	$74.7 \pm 13.0$	$78.7 \pm 16.1$	0.291
Oxygen saturation (%)	$98.5 \pm 1.0$	$97.6 \pm 0.8$	0.056	$98.4 \pm 1.0$	$97.6 \pm 1.0$	0.106
Respiratory rate (/min)	$15.5 \pm 1.8$	$15.6 \pm 2.6$	0.798	$16.0 \pm 3.5$	$17.5 \pm 3.2$	0.034
(Mean $\pm$ SD)						

Categorical valuables were analyzed via the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Statistical significance was considered as P < 0.05. All analyses were conducted using R language and environment for statistical computing (version 3.5.2, R Core Team, 2018, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).