

Overbasalization: Addressing Hesitancy in Treatment Intensification Beyond Basal Insulin

Kevin Cowart

The proportion of patients with type 2 diabetes achieving recommended treatment goals remains suboptimal despite advances in diabetes care (1). Only 30% of patients with type 2 diabetes who use basal insulin achieve an A1C <7%, with the probability of doing so diminishing greatly if not achieved within 1 year of insulin initiation (2–6). Factors underlying these delays are complex and may involve therapeutic inertia, which is defined as failure to intensify or deintensify therapy when appropriate (7).

Rationale for Basal Insulin

The clinical course of type 2 diabetes is characterized by a progressive decline in β -cell mass and function, as well as insulin resistance (8). Early in the course of the disease, the addition of basal insulin addresses decreasing β-cell function and is an efficient step in controlling fasting blood glucose but has little effect on controlling postprandial blood glucose (9). In patients with type 2 diabetes and elevated A1C, the relative contribution of fasting hyperglycemia is dominant with higher A1C, and postprandial hyperglycemia is a larger contributor when A1C is closer to 7% (10). Thus, titration of basal insulin when A1C is close to 7% will have minimal effect on postprandial hyperglycemia or on attainment of the A1C goal (11). Basal insulin is not designed to address postprandial hyperglycemia; its role is mainly to suppress hepatic glucose production, address insulin resistance, and correct fasting hyperglycemia.

What Is the Appropriate Basal Insulin Dose?

In theory, the ideal basal insulin dose should allow a patient with type 2 diabetes to fast for 24 hours without hypoglycemia. Once basal insulin has been initiated, appropriate titration is necessary to avoid "overbasalization," or titration of basal insulin beyond an appropriate dose in an attempt to achieve glycemic targets. Several evidence-based titration algorithms exist (12–14); however, no particular algorithm has been shown to provide superior clinical benefit over others (15). One example that may be easy for patients to remember is the " 2×3 rule," which requires patients' selftitration of their basal insulin by 2 units every 3 days (with the upper dose limit being 0.5 units/kg/day) until fasting blood glucose is between 80 and 130 mg/dL (13) or <110 mg/dL (16). If hypoglycemia occurs as basal insulin is titrated to a fasting blood glucose goal, the clinician should consider a 10-20% basal insulin dose reduction if no clear reason for the hypoglycemia can be identified (13).

Basal insulin has a "ceiling effect," whereby fasting blood glucose reductions become proportionally smaller with increasing doses (17). This ceiling-effect response has been shown to occur at a basal insulin dose of 0.5 units/ kg/day, with ranges in the literature suggesting that it may occur at as low as 0.3 units/kg/day and as high as 1 unit/ kg/day in some patients (13,18,19). In one pharmacokinetic study among obese patients with type 2 diabetes, doses of insulin glargine ≥ 0.5 units/kg/day resulted in only modest effects on glycemia (20). Additionally, in a pooled analysis of 15 randomized treat-to-target trials in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes who were treated with insulin glargine with or without oral antidiabetic drugs for \geq 24 weeks, there was only a small change in A1C from baseline with a higher likelihood of weight gain and hypoglycemia with daily insulin glargine doses >0.5 units/kg (21).

A recent post hoc analysis of three insulin glargine treatto-target trials found a linear response with greater glycemic control at basal insulin doses <0.3 units/kg/day and a nonlinear, diminishing response with basal insulin doses between 0.3 and 0.5 units/kg/day (18). Additionally, this analysis found that basal insulin efficacy

Department of Pharmacotherapeutics & Clinical Research, Taneja College of Pharmacy and Department of Internal Medicine, Morsani College of Medicine, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL

Corresponding author: Kevin Cowart, kcowart2@usf.edu

https://doi.org/10.2337/cd19-0061

©2020 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at https://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license.

plateaus at doses >0.5 units/kg/day (18). Contrary to findings from other pharmacokinetic studies of insulin glargine, there was a similar incidence of hypoglycemia across insulin doses (18). This post hoc analysis raises an important consideration to begin evaluating the need for treatment intensification with postprandial coverage once the basal insulin dose is \geq 0.3 units/kg/day if patients are still not meeting their A1C goal (18). A summary of when to consider treatment intensification beyond basal insulin is shown in Table 1.

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2020* (13) and the 2019 "Consensus Statement by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the Comprehensive Type 2 Diabetes Management Algorithm" (16) recommend considering combination injectable therapy to address postprandial hyperglycemia at basal insulin doses >0.5 units/kg/day if a patient's A1C remains above goal (13). However, this recommendation is based on expert opinion (12); no prospective studies to date have investigated the maximum dose of basal insulin at which additional drug therapy should be initiated.

Beyond Basal Insulin

A summary of pharmacologic treatment intensification strategies is shown in Table 2, and these options for intensifying type 2 diabetes therapy beyond basal insulin are discussed in more detail below.

Prandial Insulin

When treatment intensification is warranted, clinicians may be most familiar with adding prandial insulin in a stepwise manner to basal insulin, usually by starting with a patient's largest meal of the day. The addition of prandial insulin is associated with a higher incidence of weight gain and hypoglycemia than the addition of a

TABLE 1 When to Consider Treatment IntensificationBeyond Basal Insulin

Definition of overbasalization: the titration of basal insulin beyond an appropriate dose in an attempt to achieve glycemic targets

How to identify overbasalization:

- Basal insulin dose >0.5 units/kg/day
- Postmeal blood glucose >180 mg/dL
- A1C not at goal despite target fasting blood glucose level being achieved
- BeAM differential ≥50 mg/dL

glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist to basal insulin (22–24).

If prandial insulin is used, a rapid-acting insulin formulation (i.e., lispro, glulisine, or aspart) should be considered over regular or short-acting insulin because of its faster rate of absorption, higher maximum concentration, shorter duration of action, lower incidence of hypoglycemia, and action profile that more closely resembles that of meal-stimulated endogenous insulin release (25,26). Ultra-rapid-acting insulin aspart is also available and contains the addition of vitamin B3 (niacinamide) and an amino acid (L-arginine) to stabilize the formulation (27). Compared with rapid-acting insulin aspart, the ultra-rapid-acting formulation has a quicker onset of action and a faster offset of exposure and can be dosed at mealtime or within 20 minutes after starting a meal (27,28). For select patients (Table 2), a rapid-acting human Technosphere insulin is available and administered via a breath-powered oral inhaler (29). Compared with injectable prandial insulin, this inhaled insulin has a faster onset and shorter duration of action (30).

The use of prandial insulin requires extensive patient education on topics such as meal timing and the relationship between insulin doses and carbohydrate intake and also requires increased glucose monitoring. The additional injection burden and necessary patient education may explain in part why clinicians sometimes continue titrating basal insulin beyond appropriate doses rather than adding prandial insulin to the regimen.

Premixed Insulin

Premixed insulin (e.g., NPH/regular 70/30) is administered either before the largest meal of the day or, more commonly, as two injections per day before breakfast and dinner (31). Therefore, its use allows for less flexibility in dosing than a basal-bolus insulin regimen. Although premixed insulin is less costly than insulin analogs, patients must eat regular meals and may be at greater risk for hypoglycemia than with a basal-bolus regimen (31,32). The choice of whether to initiate a basal-bolus or premixed insulin regimen should be patient specific, accounting for eating habits, preferences, convenience, and cost (13).

GLP-1 Receptor Agonists

A GLP-1 receptor agonist should be considered before basal insulin therapy for most patients and can also be considered as add-on therapy in patients needing treatment intensification beyond basal insulin (13,16). This recommendation is based on evidence demonstrating

Generic (Brand)	Favorable Effects	Unfavorable Effects/Cautions	Clinical Pearls for Drug Selection and Management Beyond Basal Insulin
Metformin (Glucophage)	 High efficacy Low hypoglycemia risk Weight neutral/modest loss Low cost 	 Long-term use associated with vitamin B12 deficiency (monitor if peripheral neuropathy, anemia) Contraindicated when eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m² Rare/serious safety concerns: lactic acidosis 	 Should be continued, if tolerated and not contraindicated May require basal insulin dose reduction upon initiation Consider metformin XR if previous Gl intolerance to metformin IR
Thiazolidinediones Pioglitazone (Actos) Rosiglitazone (Avandia)	 High efficacy Low hypoglycemia risk Low cost Benefit in NASH 	 Weight gain Fluid retention/edema (among those with heart failure) Bone fractures (among postmenopausal females and elderly males) Bladder cancer (pioglitazone) Increased LDL-C (rosiglitazone) 	 May require basal insulin dose reduction upon initiation May cause weight gain when used in combination with insulin
Sulfonylureas Glipizide (Glucotrol) Glimepiride (Amaryl) Glyburide (Diabeta)	High efficacyLow cost	 High risk of hypoglycemia Weight gain 	 May cause weight gain when used in combination with insulin Consider discontinuing when initiating combination injectable therapy
GLP-1 receptor agonists Liraglutide (Victoza) Exenatide ER (Bydureon) Dulaglutide (Trulicity) Semaglutide injection (Ozempic) Semaglutide oral (Rybelsus) Lixisenatide (Adlyxin)	 High efficacy Low hypoglycemia risk Weight loss (semaglutide> liraglutide>dulaglutide> exenatide>lixisenatide) CV benefits (liraglutide>dulaglutide> semaglutide injection) Renal benefits seen with liraglutide (LEADER) and injectable semaglutide (SUSTAIN-6) 	 High cost Avoid in setting of gastroparesis Gl intolerance (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea) Rare/serious safety concerns: MEN2 or thyroid C-cell tumors, acute pancreatitis, worsening of diabetic retinopathy complications (semaglutide oral and injection) 	 Consider prior to basal insulin in most patients May require a lower insulin dose when initiating a GLP-1 receptor agonist Shorter-acting agents have greater PPG reduction vs. longer-acting agents
SGLT2 inhibitors Canagliflozin (Invokana) Dapagliflozin (Farxiga) Empagliflozin (Jardiance) Ertugliflozin (Steglatro)	 Intermediate efficacy Low hypoglycemia risk Weight loss CV benefits (empagliflozin and canagliflozin) Renal benefits seen with canagliflozin in CREDENCE; ongoing trials with dapagliflozin (DAPA-CKD) and empagliflozin (EMPA-KIDNEY) Modest decrease in blood pressure 	 High cost Genitourinary infections Volume depletion/hypotension Rare/serious safety concerns: amputation risk (canagliflzin and ertugliflozin), eDKA, bone fractures (canagliflozin), urinary tract infections, Fournier's gangrene 	May require basal insulin dose reduction upon initiation
DPP-4 inhibitors Sitagliptin (Januvia) Saxagliptin (Onglyza) Linagliptin (Tradjenta) Alogliptin (Nesina)	 Intermediate efficacy Low hypoglycemia risk Weight neutral 	 High cost Joint pain Potential risk for heart failure exacerbation (saxagliptin, alogliptin) Rare/serious safety concerns: acute pancreatitis, joint pain 	Consider discontinuing DPP-4 inhibitor when initiating a GLP-1 receptor agonist

TABLE 2 Pharmacologic Treatment Intensification Strategies Beyond Basal Insulin

Continued on p. 307 »

« Continued from p. 306

Generic (Brand)	Favorable Effects	Unfavorable Effects/Cautions	Clinical Pearls for Drug Selection and Management Beyond Basal Insulin
Fixed-ratio combinations (basal insulin plus GLP-1 receptor agonist) Insulin degludec plus Iiraglutide (Xultophy) Insulin glargine plus Iixisenatide (Soliqua)	 Once-daily regimen Less hypoglycemia and weight gain compared with intensive insulin regimens Greater reduction in BeAM differential glucose as compared with insulin glargine monotherapy Reduced GI side effects vs. GLP-1 receptor agonist alone 	 High cost Less flexibility in dosing GI side effects 	 For initiation, if currently on GLP-1 receptor agonist, use 10–16 dose steps (Xultophy) or 10–15 units (Soliqua) Dose adjustments should be made based on target FBG every 3–4 days
Prandial insulin (rapid- acting analogs) Insulin lispro (Humalog) Insulin glulisine (Apidra) Insulin aspart (Novolog) Ultra-rapid-acting insulin aspart (Fiasp) Inhaled human insulin (Afrezza)	 Highest efficacy Greater flexibility in dosing vs. premixed insulin Inhaled option available for select patients desiring less injections 	 High cost Weight gain Multiple daily injections 	 Mimics physiological meal- stimulated insulin release Consider rapid-acting over short- acting insulin for less risk of hypoglycemia Fiasp has a quicker onset of action and faster offset of exposure and can be dosed at mealtime or within 20 minutes after starting a meal Initial dose of lispro, glulisine, or aspart should be 4 units or 10% of the basal dose with the largest meal Titrate lispro, glulisine, or aspart by 1-2 units or 10-15% twice weekly Afrezza is available in three cartridge strengths (4, 8, and 12 units) and is contraindicated in patients with chronic lung disease (asthma, COPD) and is not recommended in patients who smoke or recently quit smoking
Premixed insulin NPH/regular 70/30 (Humulin 70/30) Lispro 50/50 (Humalog 50/50) Lispro 75/25 (Humalog 75/25) Lispro 75/25 (Humalog 75/25)	 Lower costs compared with basal insulin analogs Fewer injections compared with basal-bolus regimens Simplified regimen vs. basal-bolus 	 High incidence of noctumal hypoglycemia and glycemic variability Less flexibility in dosing 	 May be initiated in insulin-naive patients and those already receiving insulin requiring treatment intensification

TABLE 2 Pharmacologic Treatment Intensification Strategies Beyond Basal Insulin (continued)

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CREDENCE, Canagliflozin and Renal Events in Diabetes With Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation; DAPA-CKD, A Study to Evaluate the Effect of Dapagliflozin on Renal Outcomes and Cardiovascular Mortality in Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease; eDKA, euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; EMPA-KIDNEY, The Study of Heart and Kidney Protection With Empagliflozin; FBG, fasting blood glucose; GI, gastrointestinal; IR, immediate release; LDL-C, LDL cholesterol; LEADER, Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes: Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes; MEN2, multiple endocrine neoplasia syndrome type 2; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, PPG, postprandial glucose; SUSTAIN-6, Trial to Evaluate Cardiovascular and Other Long-term Outcomes With Semaglutide in Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes; XR, extended release.

Aspart 70/30 (Novolog 70/30)

PRACTICAL POINTERS

high efficacy, lower risk of hypoglycemia, and greater weight reduction of GLP-1 receptor agonists compared with insulin (33–35). Additionally, GLP-1 receptor agonists have been found to decrease major adverse cardiovascular (CV) events and mortality in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and those with increased ASCVD risk (36–38).

Fixed-Ratio Combination Products

A newer pharmacologic option, which uses a fixed-ratio combination of basal insulin and a GLP-1 receptor agonist, harnesses great potential to address overbasalization in clinical practice. Fixed-ratio combination products have been shown to reduce the bedtime-morning (BeAM) differential more than monotherapy with insulin glargine (39). The BeAM differential is the difference between bedtime and pre-breakfast blood glucose values and can be calculated by subtracting the morning blood glucose value from the previous night's bedtime blood glucose value (40). The BeAM is clinically relevant, allowing clinicians to assess when it is appropriate to initiate prandial therapy (40). A BeAM value \geq 50 mg/dL in patients with type 2 diabetes using basal insulin has been shown to be indicative of a need for prandial coverage (39,40).

Oral Antidiabetic Agents

Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors or sodium– glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, if not already prescribed, may also be considered as add-on therapy to basal insulin because drugs from both classes address postprandial hyperglycemia. When added to basal insulin, SGLT2 inhibitors are associated with greater A1C and weight reduction, systolic blood pressure reduction, a lower incidence of hypoglycemia, and evidence of CV benefit (in select populations) compared with DPP-4 inhibitors (41). Therefore, an SGLT2 inhibitor should be considered as an additional agent before a DPP-4 inhibitor in this setting. This recommendation is supported in a 2018 consensus report from the ADA and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (42).

Semaglutide is the first-in-class oral GLP-1 receptor agonist approved for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. When added to basal insulin in patients with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes, oral semaglutide demonstrated improved glycemic control and superior reduction in body weight compared with placebo (43). Additionally, oral semaglutide led to a reduction in total daily dose (TDD) of insulin without an increase in hypoglycemia compared with placebo (43). Oral semaglutide has not demonstrated CV benefit, which was shown with the injectable formulation of the drug, although its non-inferiority to placebo for CV safety has been shown (44).

It is worth noting that metformin should be continued in combination with insulin therapy unless otherwise contraindicated (16).

Thiazolidinediones directly reduce insulin resistance and have high efficacy and a low risk of hypoglycemia (16). However, side effects such as weight gain, increased bone fracture risk, and risk of edema in patients with heart failure may limit their use (16).

As treatment is intensified beyond basal insulin, sulfonylureas may increase the risk of weight gain and hypoglycemia. Therefore, the dose of sulfonylurea should be gradually decreased until discontinued (13,16).

For patients who are already on a basal-bolus insulin regimen and still above their A1C goal, the drug regimen should be reevaluated. In such situations, the insulin TDD should be calculated, and basal insulin should not comprise >50% of the TDD (45).

Role of Glucose Monitoring

Patients with diabetes who use insulin should be instructed on appropriate self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) (46). Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) is an alternative to SMBG and allows patients to evaluate and react to glycemic patterns and trends. Such data can help to inform food intake, physical activity, and insulin titration, if necessary (46). Glucose monitoring, whether through SMBG or CGM, allows patients to evaluate their responses to drug therapy and to assess whether their glycemic targets are being met. An increase in available glycemic data may also better position clinicians to appropriately intensify treatment when warranted, which may help to avoid overbasalization.

Areas for Future Research

The prevalence of overbasalization in clinical practice has not been reported in the literature. Given the large proportion of people with type 2 diabetes who are not reaching treatment goals, and the even larger proportion of those on insulin therapy who are not reaching their goals, there is a clear need to 1) understand the prevalence of overbasalization, 2) investigate the maximum effective dose of basal insulin at which treatment intensification is warranted, and 3) develop strategies to overcome overbasalization and hesitancy with regard to treatment intensification beyond basal insulin.

Conclusion

In summary, overbasalization can be defined as titration of basal insulin beyond an appropriate dose in an attempt to achieve glycemic targets. Overbasalization can be identified by a basal insulin dose >0.5 units/kg/day, postmeal blood glucose levels >180 mg/dL, A1C not at goal despite attainment of the fasting blood glucose target, or a BeAM differential ≥50 mg/dL. Pharmacologic characteristics to consider when treatment intensification beyond basal insulin is necessary include patient preferences, tolerability, cost, safety, glycemic efficacy, risk of hypoglycemia, potential for weight loss, and CV risk reduction (13).

DUALITY OF INTEREST

No potential conflicts of interest were reported.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K.C. is the sole author and guarantor of this work.

REFERENCES

1. Kazemian P, Shebl FM, McCann N, Walensky RP, Wexler DJ. Evaluation of the cascade of diabetes care in the United States, 2005–2016. JAMA Intern Med 2019;179:1376–1385

2. Stark Casagrande S, Fradkin JE, Saydah SH, Rust KF, Cowie CC. The prevalence of meeting A1C, blood pressure, and LDL goals among people with diabetes, 1988–2010. Diabetes Care 2013;36:2271–2279

3. Mauricio D, Meneghini L, Seufert J, et al. Glycaemic control and hypoglycaemia burden in patients with type 2 diabetes initiating basal insulin in Europe and the USA. Diabetes Obes Metab 2017; 19:1155–1164

4. Wu N, Aagren M, Boulanger L, Friedman M, Wilkey K. Assessing achievement and maintenance of glycemic control by patients initiating basal insulin. Curr Med Res Opin 2012;28: 1647–1656

5. Dalal MR, Grabner M, Bonine N, Stephenson JJ, DiGenio A, Bieszk N. Are patients on basal insulin attaining glycemic targets? Characteristics and goal achievement of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with basal insulin and physicianperceived barriers to achieving glycemic targets. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2016;121:17–26

6. Blonde L, Meneghini L, Peng XV, et al. Probability of achieving glycemic control with basal insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes in real-world practice in the USA. Diabetes Ther 2018;9: 1347–1358

7. Brunton S. Therapeutic inertia is a problem for all of us. Clin Diabetes 2019;37:105–106 8. Garber AJ. Incretin effects on β -cell function, replication, and mass: the human perspective. Diabetes Care 2011;34(Suppl. 2): S258–S263

9. LaSalle JR, Berria R. Insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a practical approach for primary care physicians and other health care professionals. J Am Osteopath Assoc 2013;113: 152–162

10. Monnier L, Colette C. Contributions of fasting and postprandial glucose to hemoglobin A_{1c}. Endocr Pract 2006;12(Suppl. 1):42–46

11. Riddle MC. Basal glucose can be controlled, but the prandial problem persists: it's the next target! Diabetes Care 2017;40: 291–300

12. Arnolds S, Heise T, Flacke F, Sieber J. Common standards of basal insulin titration in type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2013;7:771–788

13. American Diabetes Association. 9. Pharmacologic approaches to glycemic treatment: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes*—2020. Diabetes Care 2020;43[Suppl. 1]:S98–S110

14. Shubrook JH. Insulin for type 2 diabetes: how and when to get started. J Fam Pract 2014;63:76–81

15. Patel D, Triplitt C, Trujillo J. Appropriate titration of basal insulin in type 2 diabetes and the potential role of the pharmacist. Adv Ther 2019;36:1031–1051

16. Garber AJ, Abrahamson MJ, Barzilay JI, et al. Consensus statement by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the comprehensive type 2 diabetes management algorithm: 2019 executive summary. Endocr Pract 2019;25:69–100

17. Meece J. Basal insulin intensification in patients with type 2 diabetes: a review. Diabetes Ther 2018;9:877–890

18. Umpierrez GE, Skolnik N, Dex T, Traylor L, Chao J, Shaefer C. When basal insulin is not enough: a dose-response relationship between insulin glargine 100 units/mL and glycaemic control. Diabetes Obes Metab 2019;21:1305–1310

19. Shaefer C, Traylor L, Gao L, et al. Exploratory study of a doseresponse curve for basal insulin. Poster presented at the 75th Scientific Sessions of the American Diabetes Association in Boston, MA, 5–9 June 2015

20. Wang Z, Hedrington MS, Gogitidze Joy N, et al. Dose-response effects of insulin glargine in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2010; 33:1555–1560

21. Reid T, Gao L, Gill J, et al. How much is too much? Outcomes in patients using high-dose insulin glargine. Int J Clin Pract 2016; 70:56–65

22. Balena R, Hensley IE, Miller S, Barnett AH. Combination therapy with GLP-1 receptor agonists and basal insulin: a systematic review of the literature. Diabetes Obes Metab 2013; 15:485–502

23. Rosenstock J, Fonseca VA, Gross JL, et al.; Harmony 6 Study Group. Advancing basal insulin replacement in type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with insulin glargine plus oral agents: a comparison of adding albiglutide, a weekly GLP-1 receptor agonist, versus thrice-daily prandial insulin lispro. Diabetes Care 2014;37:2317–2325

PRACTICAL POINTERS

24. Prasad-Reddy L, Isaacs D. A clinical review of GLP-1 receptor agonists: efficacy and safety in diabetes and beyond. Drugs Context 2015;4:212283

25. Home PD, Barriocanal L, Lindholm A. Comparative pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the novel rapid-acting insulin analogue, insulin aspart, in healthy volunteers. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1999;55:199–203

26. Reynolds NA, Wagstaff AJ. Insulin aspart: a review of its use in the management of type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs 2004;64: 1957–1974

27. Heise T, Pieber TR, Danne T, Erichsen L, Haahr H. A pooled analysis of clinical pharmacology trials investigating the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of fast-acting insulin aspart in adults with type 1 diabetes. Clin Pharmacokinet 2017;56:551–559

28. Fiasp [package insert]. Bagsvaerd, Denmark, Novo Nordisk Corp., 2019

29. Afrezza [package insert]. Danbury, CT, MannKind Corp., 2014

30. Heinemann L, Baughman R, Boss A, Hompesch M. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of a novel inhaled insulin. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2017;11:148–156

31. Endocrine Society. Accurate insulin decisions. Available from https://www.endocrine.org/improving-practice/patient-resources/aid/deciding. Accessed 14 November 2019

32. Janka HU, Plewe G, Riddle MC, Kliebe-Frisch C, Schweitzer MA, Yki-Järvinen H. Comparison of basal insulin added to oral agents versus twice-daily premixed insulin as initial insulin therapy for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005;28: 254–259

33. Singh S, Wright EE Jr, Kwan AY, et al. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists compared with basal insulins for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Obes Metab 2017;19:228–238

34. Levin PA, Nguyen H, Wittbrodt ET, Kim SC. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists: a systematic review of comparative effectiveness research. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 2017;10: 123–139

35. Abd El Aziz MS, Kahle M, Meier JJ, Nauck MA. A meta-analysis comparing clinical effects of short- or long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonists versus insulin treatment from head-tohead studies in type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Obes Metab 2017;19:216–227 36. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al.; LEADER Steering Committee; LEADER Trial Investigators. Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016; 375:311–322

37. Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al.; SUSTAIN-6 Investigators. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1834–1844

38. Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, et al.; REWIND Investigators. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebocontrolled trial. Lancet 2019;394:121–130

39. Zisman A, Dex T, Roberts M, Saremi A, Chao J, Aroda VR. Bedtime-to-morning glucose difference and iGlarLixi in type 2 diabetes: post hoc analysis of LixiLan-L. Diabetes Ther 2018;9:2155–2162

40. Zisman A, Morales F, Stewart J, Stuhr A, Vlajnic A, Zhou R. BeAM value: an indicator of the need to initiate and intensify prandial therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus receiving basal insulin. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2016;4: e000171

41. Min SH, Yoon JH, Hahn S, Cho YM. Comparison between SGLT2 inhibitors and DPP4 inhibitors added to insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review with indirect comparison meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. Epub on 8 June 2016 (DOI: 10.1002/dmrr.2818)

42. Buse JB, Wexler DJ, Tsapas A, et al. 2019 Update to: management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018: a consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Diabetes Care 2020;43:487–493

43. Zinman B, Aroda VR, Buse JB, et al. Oral semaglutide as add-on to insulin in T2D: PIONEER 8 [Abstract]. Diabetes 2019;68: 985-P

44. Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, et al.; PIONEER 6 Investigators. Oral semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2019; 381:841–851

45. LaSalle JR. Empowering patients during insulin initiation: a real-world approach. J Am Osteopath Assoc 2010;110:69–78

46. American Diabetes Association. 6. Glycemic targets: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2020*. Diabetes Care 2020;43[Suppl. 1]:S66–S76