High variation expected in the pace and burden of SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks across sub-Saharan Africa

4 Benjamin L. Rice^{1,2}, Akshaya Annapragada³, Rachel E. Baker^{1,4}, Marjolein Bruijning¹, Winfred 5 Dotse-Gborgbortsi⁵, Keitly Mensah⁶, Ian F. Miller¹, Nkengafac Villyen Motaze^{7,8}, Antso 6 Raherinandrasana $9,10$, Malavika Rajeev¹, Julio Rakotonirina $9,10$, Tanjona Ramiadantsoa 11,12,13 , 7 Fidisoa Rasambainarivo^{1,14}, Weiyu Yu¹⁵, Bryan T. Grenfell^{1,16}, Andrew J. Tatem⁵, C. Jessica E. 8 Metcal $f^{1,16}$ 1. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA 2. Madagascar Health and Environmental Research (MAHERY), Maroantsetra, Madagascar 3. Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA 4. Princeton Environmental Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA. 5. WorldPop, School of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK 6. Centre population et Développement CEPED (Université de Paris), Institut Recherche et Développement, Paris, France 7. Centre for Vaccines and Immunology (CVI), National Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD) a division of the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS), South Africa 8. Department of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa 9. Faculty of Medicine, University of Antananarivo, Madagascar 10. Institute of Public Health Analakely, Antananarivo, Madagascar 11. Department of Life Science, University of Fianarantsoa, Madagascar 12. Department of Mathematics, University of Fianarantsoa, Madagascar 13. Department of Integrative Biology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI, USA 14. Mahaliana Labs SARL, Antananarivo, Madagascar 15. School of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK 16. Princeton School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University, NJ, USA __ Link to SSA-SARS-CoV-2 online companion tool: https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/ Link to GitHub repository containing data and code: https://github.com/labmetcalf/SSA-SARS-CoV-2

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 2

Abstract

 A surprising feature of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic to date is the low burdens reported in sub- Saharan Africa (SSA) countries relative to other global regions. Potential explanations (e.g., 44 buarmer environments¹, younger populations^{2–4}) have yet to be framed within a comprehensive analysis accounting for factors that may offset the effects of climate and demography. Here, we synthesize factors hypothesized to shape the pace of this pandemic and its burden as it moves across SSA, encompassing demographic, comorbidity, climatic, healthcare and intervention capacity, and human mobility dimensions of risk. We find large scale diversity in probable drivers, such that outcomes are likely to be highly variable among SSA countries. While simulation shows that extensive climatic variation among SSA population centers has little effect on early outbreak trajectories, heterogeneity in connectivity is likely to play a large role in shaping the pace of viral spread. The prolonged, asynchronous outbreaks expected in weakly connected settings may result in extended stress to health systems. In addition, the observed variability in comorbidities and access to care will likely modulate the severity of infection: We show that even small shifts in the infection fatality ratio towards younger ages, which are likely in high risk settings, can eliminate the protective effect of younger populations. We highlight countries with elevated risk of 'slow pace', high burden outbreaks. Empirical data on the spatial extent of outbreaks within SSA countries, their patterns in severity over age, and the relationship between epidemic pace and health system disruptions are urgently needed to guide efforts to mitigate the high burden scenarios explored here. $_$

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 3

63 The trajectory of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in lower latitude, lower income countries including 64 in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) remains uncertain. To date, reported case counts and mortality in 65 SSA have lagged behind other geographic regions: all SSA countries, with the exception of South Africa, reported less than 27,000 total cases as of June 2020 5 66 (**Table S1**) - totals far less 67 than observed in Asia, Europe, and the Americas $5,6$. However, recent increases in reported 68 cases in many SSA countries make it unclear whether the relatively few reported cases to date 69 indicate a reduced epidemic potential or rather an initial delay relative to other regions. 70 71 Correlation between surveillance capacity and case counts⁷ obscure early trends in SSA

72 (**Figure S1**). Experience from locations in which the pandemic has progressed more rapidly 73 provides a basis of knowledge to assess the relative risk of populations in SSA and identify 74 those at greatest risk. For example, individuals in lower socio-economic settings have been 75 disproportionately affected in high latitude countries, $8,9$ indicating poverty as an important 76 determinant of risk. Widespread disruptions to routine health services have been reported $10-12$ 77 and are likely to be an important contributor to the burden of the pandemic in SSA 13 . The role of 78 other factors from demography $2-4$ to health system context 14 and intervention timing $15,16$ is also 79 increasingly well-characterized.

80

81 **Factors expected to increase and decrease SARS-CoV-2 risk in SSA**

82 Anticipating the trajectory of ongoing outbreaks in SSA requires considering variability in known 83 drivers, and how they may interact to increase or decrease risk across populations in SSA and 84 relative to non-SSA settings (**Figure 1**). For example, while most countries in SSA have 'young' 85 populations, suggesting a decreased burden (since SARS-CoV-2 morbidity and mortality 86 increase with age $2-4$), prevalent infectious and non-communicable comorbidities may 87 counterbalance this demographic 'advantage' $14,17-19$. Similarly, SSA countries have health 88 systems that vary greatly in their infrastructure, and dense, resource-limited urban populations 89 may have fewer options for social distancing 20 . Yet, decentralized, community-based health 90 systems that benefit from recent experience with epidemic response (e.g., to Ebola $2^{1,22}$) can be 91 mobilized. Climate is frequently invoked as a potential mitigating factor for warmer and wetter 92 settings $¹$, including SSA, but climate varies greatly between population centers in SSA and</sup> 93 large susceptible populations may counteract any climate forcing during initial phases of the 94 epidemic 23 . Connectivity, at international and subnational scales, also varies greatly 24,25 and 95 the time interval between viral introductions and the onset of interventions such as lockdowns 96 will modulate the trajectory 7 . Finally, burdens of malnutrition, infectious diseases, and many

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 4

 other underlying health conditions are higher in SSA (**Table S2**), and their interactions with SARS-CoV-2 are, as of yet, poorly understood.

 The highly variable social and health contexts of countries in SSA will drive location-specific variation in the magnitude of the burden, the time-course of the outbreak, and options for mitigation. Here, we synthesize the range of factors hypothesized to modulate the potential outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in SSA settings by leveraging existing data sources and integrating novel SARS-CoV-2 relevant mobility and climate-transmission models. Data on direct measures and indirect indicators of risk factors were sourced from publicly available databases including from the WHO, World Bank, UNPOP, DHS, GBD, and WorldPop, and newly generated data sets (see **Table S3** for details). We organize our assessment around two aspects that will shape national outcomes and response priorities in the event of widespread outbreaks: i) the burden, or expected severity of the outcome of an infection, which emerges from age, comorbidities, and health systems functioning, and ii) the rate of spread within a geographic area, or pace of the pandemic.

We group factors that may drive the relative rates of these two features (mortality burden and

pace of the outbreak) along six dimensions of risk: (A) Demographic and socio-economic

parameters related to transmission and burden, (B) Comorbidities relevant to burden, (C)

Climatic variables that may impact the magnitude and seasonality of transmission, (D) Capacity

to deploy prevention measures to reduce transmission, (E) Accessibility and coverage of

existing healthcare systems to reduce burden, and (F) Patterns of human mobility relevant to

- transmission (**Table S2**).
-

National and subnational variability in SSA

 National scale variability in SSA among these dimensions of risk often exceeds ranges observed across the globe (**Figure 2A-D**). For example, estimates of access to basic 124 handwashing (i.e., clean water and soap 26) among urban households in Mali, Madagascar, Tanzania, and Namibia (62-70%) exceed the global average (58%), but fall to less than 10% for Liberia, Lesotho, Congo DRC, and Guinea-Bissau (**Figure 2D**). Conversely, the range in the number of physicians is low in SSA, with all countries other than Mauritius below the global average (168.78 per 100,000 population) (**Figure 2A**). Yet, estimates are still heterogeneous within SSA, with, for example, Gabon estimated to have more than 4 times the physicians of neighboring Cameroon (36.11 and 8.98 per 100,000 population, respectively). This disparity is

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 5

- likely to interact with social contact rates among the elderly in determining exposure and clinical
- outcomes (e.g., for variation in household size see **Figure 2E-F**). Relative ranking across
- variables is also uneven among countries with the result that this diversity cannot be easily
- reduced (e.g., the first two principal components explain only 32.6%, and 13.1% of the total
- variance as shown in **Figure S5**), motivating a more holistic approach to projecting burden.
-

Severity of infection outcome

- To first evaluate variation in the burden emerging from the severity of infection outcome, we consider how demography, comorbidity, and access to care might modulate the age profile of 140 SARS-CoV-2 morbidity and mortality 2^{-4} . Subnational variation in the distribution of high risk age
- groups indicates considerable variability, with higher burden expected in urban settings in SSA
- 142 (**Figure 3A**), where density and thus transmission are likely higher .
-

Comorbidities and access to clinical care also vary across SSA (e.g., for diabetes prevalence

- and hospital bed capacity see **Figure 3B**). In comparison to settings where previous SARS-
- CoV-2 infection fatality ratio (*IFR*) estimates have been reported, mortality due to
- noncommunicable diseases in SSA increases more rapidly with age (**Figure S6**). Consequently,
- we explore scenarios where the SARS-CoV-2 *IFR* increases more rapidly with age than the
- baseline expected from other settings. Small shifts (e.g., of 2-10 years) in the *IFR* profile result
- in large effects on expected mortality for a given level of infection. For example, Chad, Burkina
- Faso, and the Central African Republic, while among the youngest SSA countries, have a

relatively high prevalence of diabetes and relatively low density of hospital beds. A five year shift

- younger in the *IFR* by age profile of SARS-CoV-2 in these settings would result in nearly a
- doubling of mortality, to a rate that would exceed the majority of other, 'older' SSA countries at
- the unshifted baseline (**Figure 3C**, see supplement for details of methods). Although there is
- greater access to care in older populations by some metrics (**Figure 2A**, correlation between
- 157 age and the number of physicians per capita, $r = 0.896$, $p < 0.001$), access to clinical care is
- highly variable overall (**Figure 3D**) and maps poorly to indicators of comorbidity (**Figure 3E**).
- Empirical data are urgently needed to assess the extent to which the *IFR*-age-comorbidity
- associations observed elsewhere are applicable to SSA settings with reduced access to
- 161 advanced care. Yet both surveillance and mortality registration 28 are frequently under-
- resourced in SSA, complicating both evaluating and anticipating the burden of the pandemic,
- 163 and underscoring the urgency of strengthening existing systems 22 .
-

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 6

Pandemic pace

- Next, we turn to the pace of the pandemic within each country. The frequency of viral
- 167 introduction to each country, likely governed by international air travel in SSA 29 , determines
- both the timing of the first infections and the number of initial infection clusters that can seed
- subsequent outbreaks. The relative importation risk among SSA cities and countries was
- assessed by compiling data from 108,894 flights arriving at 113 international airports in SSA
- from January to April 2020 (**Figure 4A**), stratified by the SARS-CoV-2 status at the departure
- location on the day of travel (**Figure 4B**). A small subset of SSA countries received a
- disproportionately large percentage (e.g., South Africa, Ethiopia, Kenya, Nigeria together
- contribute 47.9%) of the total travel from countries with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections, likely
- 175 contributing to variation in the pace of the pandemic across settings 29,30 .
-

Once local chains of infection are established, the rate of spread within countries will be shaped

- by efforts to reduce spread, such as handwashing (**Figure 2D**), population contact patterns
- 179 including mobility and urban crowding (e.g., **Figure 2C**), and potentially the effect of climatic
- 180 variation . Where countries fall across this spectrum of pace will shape interactions with
- lockdowns and determine the length and severity of disruptions to routine health system
- functioning.
-

 Subnational connectivity varies greatly across SSA, both between subregions of a country and between cities and their rural periphery (e.g., as indicated by travel time to the nearest city over 50,000 population, **Figure 4C**). As expected, in stochastic simulations using estimates of viral transmission parameters and mobility (assuming no variation in control efforts, see methods), a smaller cumulative proportion of the population is infected at a given time in countries with larger populations in less connected subregions (**Figure 4D**). At the national level, susceptibility declines more slowly and more unevenly in such settings (e.g., Ethiopia, South Sudan, Tanzania) due to a lower probability of introductions and re-introductions of the virus locally; an effect amplified by lockdowns. It remains unclear whether the more prolonged, asynchronous epidemics expected in these countries or the overlapping, concurrent epidemics expected in countries with higher connectivity (e.g. Malawi, Kenya, Burundi) will be a greater stress to health systems. Outbreak control efforts are likely to be further complicated during prolonged 196 epidemics if they intersect with seasonal events such as temporal patterns in human mobility or other infections (e.g., malaria).

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 7

 Turning to climate, despite extreme variation among cities in SSA (**Figure 4E**), large epidemic peaks are expected in all cities (**Figure 4F**), even from models where transmission rate significantly declines in warmer, more humid settings. In the absence of interventions, with transmission rate modified by climate only, peak timing varies only by 4-6 weeks with peaks generally expected earlier in more southerly, colder, drier, cities (e.g., Windhoek and Maseru) and later in more humid, coastal cities (e.g., Bissau, Lomé, and Lagos). Apart from these slight 205 shifts in timing, large susceptible populations overwhelm the effects of climate 23 , and earlier 206 suggestions that Africa's generally more tropical environment may provide a protective effect¹ are not supported by evidence.

Context-specific preparedness in SSA

210 Our synthesis emphasizes striking country to country variation in drivers of the pandemic in SSA (**Figure 2**), indicating variation in the burden (**Figure 3**) and pace (**Figure 4**) is to be expected even across low income settings. As small perturbations in the age profile of mortality could drastically change the national level burden in SSA (**Figure 3**), building expectations for the risk for each country requires monitoring for deviations in the pattern of morbidity and mortality over age. Transparent and timely communication of these context-specific risk patterns could help motivate population behavioral changes and guide existing networks of community case management.

 Because the largest impacts of SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks may be through indirect effects on routine health provisioning, understanding how existing programs may be disrupted differently 221 by acute versus longer outbreaks is crucial to planning resource allocation. For example, population immunity will decline proportionally with the length of disruptions to routine 223 vaccination programs , resulting in more severe consequences in areas with prolonged epidemic time courses.

 Others have suggested that this crisis presents an opportunity to unify and mobilize across 227 existing health programs (e.g., for HIV, TB, Malaria, and other NCDs)²². While this may be a 228 powerful strategy in the context of acute, temporally confined crises, long term distraction and 229 diversion of resources may be harmful in settings with extended, asynchronous epidemics. A 230 higher risk of infection among healthcare workers during epidemics $33,34$ may amplify this risk.

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 8

 Due to the lag relative to other geographic regions, many SSA settings retain the opportunity to prepare for and intervene in the earlier epidemic phases via context-specific deployment of both routine and pandemic related interventions. As evidenced by failures in locations where the epidemic progressed rapidly (e.g., USA), effective governance and management prior to 236 reaching large case counts is likely to yield the largest rewards. Mauritius and Rwanda 36 , for example, have reported extremely low incidence thanks in part to a well-managed early response.

Conclusions

 The burden and time-course of SARS-CoV-2 is expected to be highly variable across sub- Saharan Africa. As the outbreak continues to unfold, critically evaluating this mapping to better understand where countries lie in terms of their relative risk (e.g., see **Figure 5**) will require increased surveillance, and timely documentation of morbidity and mortality over age. Case counts are rising across SSA, but variability in testing regimes makes it difficult to compare observations to date with expectations in terms of pace (**Figure S7**). The potential to miss large clusters of cases (in contexts with weaker surveillance), combined with the potential that large areas remain unreached by the pandemic for longer (as a result of slower 'pace'), indicate that immunological surveys are likely a powerful lens for understanding the landscape of population 250 risk ³⁷. When considering hopeful futures with the possibility of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, it is imperative that vaccine distribution be equitable, and in proportion with need. Understanding factors that both drive spatial variation in vulnerable populations and temporal variation in pandemic progression could help approach these goals in SSA.

Online Content

- Methods and additional figures are available in the supplementary materials. In addition, high
- resolution maps and further visualizations of the risk indicators and simulations studied here can be accessed online through an interactive tool:
- Link to SSA-SARS-CoV-2 online companion tool: https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/

Data Availability

- All data have been deposited into a publicly available GitHub repository:
- Link to GitHub repository containing data and code: https://github.com/labmetcalf/SSA-SARS-CoV-2
-

Code Availability

- All code has been deposited into the publicly available GitHub repository (same as above):
- Link to GitHub repository containing data and code: https://github.com/labmetcalf/SSA-SARS-CoV-2
-

Acknowledgements

- REB is supported by the Cooperative Institute for Modeling the Earth System (CIMES). AA
- acknowledges support from the NIH Medical Scientist Training Program 1T32GM136577. AJT is
- funded by the BMGF (OPP1182425, OPP1134076 and INV-002697). MB is funded by NWO
- Rubicon grant 019.192EN.017.
-

Author contributions

- *Conceptualization*: BLR, AA, REB, MB, WWD, KM, IFM, NVM, AR, MR, JR, TR, FR, WY, BTG,
- CJT, CJEM; *Data curation*: BLR, MR, MB, WWD, WY; *Formal analysis*: BLR, AA, MB, MR,
- REB; *Methodology*: BLR, MR, MB, REB, CJEM, BTG; *Software and Shiny app online tool*: BLR,
- MR, MB, REB, WY; *Visualization*: BLR, MR, MB, REB, WY; *Writing* original draft: BLR, CJEM;
- *Writing – reviewing and editing*: BLR, AA, REB, MB, WWD, KM, IFM, NVM, AR, MR, JR, TR,
- FR, WY, BTG, CJT, CJEM
-

Additional Information

- Supplementary Information is available for this paper. Correspondence and requests for
- materials should be addressed to BLR (b.rice@princeton.edu)
-

Data and materials availability

- All materials are available in the online content
-

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests

Overall burden is a function of direct burden and indirect effects due to, for example, disruptions Overall burden is a function of direct burden and indirect effects due to, for example, disruptions in health services such as vaccination and infectious disease control. Table S2 contains details in health services such as vaccination and infectious disease control. **Table S2** contains details demography, comorbidities (e.g., non-communicable diseases (NCDs)), and access to care. demography, comorbidities (e.g., non-communicable diseases (NCDs)), and access to care. SARS-CoV-2 mortality (determined by the infection fatality ratio, *IFR*) is modulated by SARS-CoV-2 mortality (determined by the infection fatality ratio, IFR) is modulated by and the references used as a basis to draw the hypothesized modulating pathways. and the references used as a basis to draw the hypothesized modulating pathways.

transmissibility (which can be defined as the time-varying effective reproductive number, R_v) and transmissibility (which can be defined as the time-varying effective reproductive number, *Rt)* and dimensions of risk (A-F). In this framework, epidemic pace is determined by person to person dimensions of risk (A-F). In this framework, epidemic pace is determined by person to person Factors hypothesized to increase (red) or decrease (blue) mortality burden or epidemic pace Factors hypothesized to increase (red) or decrease (blue) mortality burden or epidemic pace within sub-Saharan Africa, relative to global averages, are grouped in six categories or within sub-Saharan Africa, relative to global averages, are grouped in six categories or introduction and geographic spread of the virus via human mobility. introduction and geographic spread of the virus via human mobility

Figure 1 | Hypothesized modulators of relative SARS-CoV-2 epidemic risk in sub-Saharan Africa **Figure 1 | Hypothesized modulators of relative SARS-CoV-2 epidemic risk in sub-Saharan Africa**

Figure 2 | Variation among sub-Saharan African countries in select determinants of SARS-CoV-2 risk **Figure 2 | Variation among sub-Saharan African countries in select determinants of SARS-CoV-2 risk**

A-D: At right, SSA countries are ranked from least to greatest for each indicator; bar color shows
population age structure (% of the population above age 50). Solid horizontal lines show the **A-D**: At right, SSA countries are ranked from least to greatest for each indicator; bar color shows (SSA); Americas Region (AMR); Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR); Europe Region (EUR); median and interquartile range, grouped by geographic region, per WHO: sub-Saharan Africa
(SSA); Americas Region (AMR); Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR); Europe Region (EUR); median and interquartile range, grouped by geographic region, per WHO: sub-Saharan Africa global mean value; dotted lines show the mean among SSA countries. At left, boxplots show global mean value; dotted lines show the mean among SSA countries. At left, boxplots show population age structure (% of the population above age 50). Solid horizontal lines show the

Southeast Asia Region (SEA); Western Pacific Region (WPR).

Southeast Asia Region (SEA); Western Pacific Region (WPR)

(COPD) mortality). See Table S3, Figure S3, and the [[SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool\]](https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/) for full description (COPD) mortality). See Table S3, Figure S3, and the [SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool] for full description (e.g., fewer physicians and greater age standardized Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (e.g., fewer physicians and greater age standardized Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease E-F: Dot size shows mean household (HH) size for HHs with individuals over age 50; dashed **E-F:** Dot size shows mean household (HH) size for HHs with individuals over age 50; dashed lines show median value among SSA countries; quadrants of greatest risk are outlined in red lines show median value among SSA countries; quadrants of greatest risk are outlined in red and visualization of all variables. and visualization of all variables.

E | Indicators of comorbidity

E | Indicators of comorbidity

Figure 3 | Variation in expected burden for SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in sub-Saharan Africa **Figure 3 | Variation in expected burden for SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in sub-Saharan Africa**

D-E: Select national level indicators; estimates of reduced access to care (e.g., fewer hospitals) or increased comorbidity burden (e.g., higher prevalence of raised blood pressure) shown with darker red for higher risk quartiles (see Figure S4 for all indicators). Countries missing data for darker red for higher risk quartiles (see **Figure S4** for all indicators). Countries missing data for e.g., diabetes prevalence among adults and the number of hospital beds per 100,000 population an indicator (NA) are shown in gray. For comparison between countries, estimates are age-
for sub-Saharan African countries. C: standardized where applicable (see **Table S3** for details). See the [[SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool\]](https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/) for or increased comorbidity burden (e.g., higher prevalence of raised blood pressure) shown with an indicator (NA) are shown in gray. For comparison between countries, estimates are age-

D-E: Select national level indicators; estimates of reduced access to care (e.g., fewer hospitals)

high resolution maps for each variable and scenario. high resolution maps for each variable and scenario.

e.g., diabetes prevalence among adults and the number of hospital beds per 100,000 population for sub-Saharan African countries. **C**: The range in mortality per 100,000 population expected in methods, Table S4). B: National level variation in comorbidity and access to care variables, for methods, **Table S4**). **B**: National level variation in comorbidity and access to care variables, for scenarios where cumulative infection rate is 20% and IFR per age is the baseline (black) or scenarios where cumulative infection rate is 20% and *IFR* per age is the baseline (black) or shifted 2, 5, or 10 years younger (gray). Inset, the *IFR* by age curves for each scenario. shifted 2, 5, or 10 years younger (gray). Inset, the IFR by age curves for each scenario.

A: Expected mortality in a scenario where cumulative infection reaches 20% across age groups and the infection fatality ratio (*IFR*) curve is fit to existing age-stratified *IFR* estimates (see

and the infection fatality ratio (IFR) curve is fit to existing age-stratified IFR estimates (see

A: Expected mortality in a scenario where cumulative infection reaches 20% across age groups

 \vec{j})

ĵ3

Expected mortality (per $30x30$ km grid)

 $\overline{0}$

10000 1000

 100

 $\tilde{=}$ $\overline{0}$.

D: Mean travel time at the national level and variation in the fraction of the population expected to be infected (I/M) in the first year from stochastic simulations (see methods). E: Climate variation **D**: Mean travel time at the national level and variation in the fraction of the population expected to average q). Circles show peak proportion infected. F: The effect of local seasonality in SSA cities
on outbreaks (I/N over time) in susceptible populations beginning in March 2020 (see methods). average *q*). Circles show peak proportion infected. **F:** The effect of local seasonality in SSA cities be infected (*I/N*) in the first year from stochastic simulations (see methods). **E**: Climate variation on outbreaks (*I/N* over time) in susceptible populations beginning in March 2020 (see methods). across SSA as shown by seasonal range in specific humidity, q (g/kg) (max average q - min across SSA as shown by seasonal range in specific humidity, *q* (g/kg) (max average *q* - min

> 1000+ reported SARS-CoV-2 infections at the time of travel (see Table S5 for all others). time to the nearest urban area greater than 50,000 population. ime to the nearest urban area greater than 50,000 population.

arrivals, the proportion of arrivals by month coming from countries with 0, 1-100, 101-1000, and **C**: Connectivity within SSA countries as inferred from average population weighted mean travel A: International travelers to sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) from January to April 2020, as inferred
from the number of passenger seats on arriving aircraft. B: For the four countries with the most from the number of passenger seats on arriving aircraft. **B**: For the four countries with the most arrivals, the proportion of arrivals by month coming from countries with 0, 1-100, 101-1000, and C: Connectivity within SSA countries as inferred from average population weighted mean travel **A:** International travelers to sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) from January to April 2020, as inferred 1000+ reported SARS-CoV-2 infections at the time of travel (see **Table S5** for all others).

Figure 4 | Variation in connectivity and climate in sub-Saharan Africa and expected effects on SARS-CoV-2 **Figure 4 | Variation in connectivity and climate in sub-Saharan Africa and expected effects on SARS-CoV-2**

Figure 5 | Expected pace versus expected burden at the national level in SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in sub-Saharan Africa **Figure 5 | Expected pace versus expected burden at the national level in SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in sub-Saharan Africa**

Countries are colored by with respect to indicators of their expected epidemic pace (using as an
example subnational connectivity in terms of travel time to nearest city) and potential burden Countries are colored by with respect to indicators of their expected epidemic pace (using as an example subnational connectivity in terms of travel time to nearest city) and potential burden A: In pink, countries with less connectivity (i.e., less synchronous outbreaks) relative to the **A:** In pink, countries with less connectivity (i.e., less synchronous outbreaks) relative to the (using as an example the proportion of the population over age 50). (using as an example the proportion of the population over age 50).

median among SSA countries; in blue, countries with more connectivity; darker colors show median among SSA countries; in blue, countries with more connectivity; darker colors show countries with older populations (i.e., a greater proportion in higher risk age groups). countries with older populations (i.e., a greater proportion in higher risk age groups).

B: Dotted lines show the median; in the upper right, in dark pink, countries are highlighted due to
their increased potential risk for an outbreak to be prolonged (see metapopulation model **B**: Dotted lines show the median; in the upper right, in dark pink, countries are highlighted due to their increased potential risk for an outbreak to be prolonged (see metapopulation model methods) and high burden (see burden estimation methods). methods) and high burden (see burden estimation methods).

291 Supplementary Materials Outline:

292

A1 Reported SARS-CoV-2 case counts, mortality, and testing in sub-Saharan Africa as of June 2020

Table S1: Sub-Saharan Africa country codes, case counts, and testing

Figure S1: Variation between SSA countries in testing and reporting rates

A2 Synthesizing factors hypothesized to increase or decrease SARS-CoV-2 epidemic risk in SSA

Table S2: Dimensions of risk and expected direction of effect on SARS-CoV-2 transmission or burden in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) relative to higher latitude countries

Table S3: Variables and data sources

Figure S2: Year of most recent data available for variables compared between global regions

Figure S3: Variation among sub-Saharan African countries in determinants of SARS-CoV-2 risk by variable (a subset of variables is shown in Figure 2 in the main text)

Figure S4: Variation among sub-Saharan African countries in determinants of SARS-CoV-2 mortality risk by category (subsets of variables are shown in Figure 3 in the main text)

Data File 1: Data for all compiled indicators

A3 Principal component analysis (PCA) of variables considered

Figure S5: PCAs of all variables and category specific subsets of variables

Data File 2: GDP, GINI Index, and tests completed data for PCA visualizations

A4 Evaluating the burden emerging from the severity of infection outcome

Table S4: Sources of age-stratified infection fatality ratio (*IFR*) estimates

Figure S6: Age profiles of comorbidities in sub-Saharan Africa countries

A5 International air travel to sub-Saharan Africa

Table S5: Arrivals to SSA airports by the number of passenger seats and status of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic at the origin at the time of travel

A6 Subnational connectivity among countries in sub-Saharan Africa

Metapopulation model methods

Figure S7: Pace of the outbreak

Figure S8: Cases and testing vs. the pace of the outbreak

A7 Modeling epidemic trajectories in scenarios where transmission rate depends on climate

Data on climate variation in SSA

Climate model methods

Figure legends:

Figure 1

Hypothesized modulators of relative SARS-CoV-2 epidemic risk in sub-Saharan Africa

 Factors hypothesized to increase (red) or decrease (blue) mortality burden or epidemic pace within sub-Saharan Africa, relative to global averages, are grouped in six categories or dimensions of risk (A-F). In this framework,

epidemic pace is determined by person to person transmissibility (which can be defined as the time-varying effective

- reproductive number, *Rt)* and introduction and geographic spread of the virus via human mobility. SARS-CoV-2 mortality (determined by the infection fatality ratio, *IFR*) is modulated by demography, comorbidities (e.g., non-
- communicable diseases (NCDs)), and access to care. Overall burden is a function of direct burden and indirect
-
- effects due to, for example, disruptions in health services such as vaccination and infectious disease control. **Table S2** contains details and the references used as a basis to draw the hypothesized modulating pathways.
-

Figure 2

Variation among sub-Saharan African countries in select determinants of SARS-CoV-2 risk

- **A-D**: At right, SSA countries are ranked from least to greatest for each indicator; bar color shows population age
- structure (% of the population above age 50). Solid horizontal lines show the global mean value; dotted lines show
- the mean among SSA countries. At left, boxplots show median and interquartile range, grouped by geographic
- region, per WHO: sub-Saharan Africa (SSA); Americas Region (AMR); Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR);
- Europe Region (EUR); Southeast Asia Region (SEA); Western Pacific Region (WPR). **E-F:** Dot size shows mean
- 315 household (HH) size for HHs with individuals over age 50; dashed lines show median value among SSA countries;
316 guadrants of greatest risk are outlined in red (e.g., fewer physicians and greater age standardized Chro
- quadrants of greatest risk are outlined in red (e.g., fewer physicians and greater age standardized Chronic
- Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) mortality). See Table S3, Figure S3, and the [SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool] for full description and visualization of all variables.
-

Figure 3

Variation in expected burden for SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in sub-Saharan Africa

 A: Expected mortality in a scenario where cumulative infection reaches 20% across age groups and the infection 323 fatality ratio (IFR) curve is fit to existing age-stratified IFR estimates (see methods. **Table S4**). fatality ratio (*IFR*) curve is fit to existing age-stratified *IFR* estimates (see methods, **Table S4**). **B**: National level variation in comorbidity and access to care variables, for e.g., diabetes prevalence among adults and the number of hospital beds per 100,000 population for sub-Saharan African countries. **C**: The range in mortality per 100,000 population expected in scenarios where cumulative infection rate is 20% and *IFR* per age is the baseline (black) or shifted 2, 5, or 10 years younger (gray). Inset, the *IFR* by age curves for each scenario. **D-E**: Select national level indicators; estimates of reduced access to care (e.g., fewer hospitals) or increased comorbidity burden (e.g., higher prevalence of raised blood pressure) shown with darker red for higher risk quartiles (see **Figure S4** for all indicators). Countries missing data for an indicator (NA) are shown in gray. For comparison between countries, estimates are age-standardized where applicable (see **Table S3** for details). See the [SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool] for high resolution

- maps for each variable and scenario.
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Figure 4

Variation in connectivity and climate in sub-Saharan Africa and expected effects on

SARS-CoV-2

 A: International travellers to sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) from January to April 2020, as inferred from the number of passenger seats on arriving aircraft. **B**: For the four countries with the most arrivals, the proportion of arrivals by month coming from countries with 0, 1-100, 101-1000, and 1000+ reported SARS-CoV-2 infections at the time of travel (see **Table S5** for all others). **C**: Connectivity within SSA countries as inferred from average population 348 weighted mean travel time to the nearest urban area greater than 50,000 population. **D**: Mean travel time at the
349 national level and variation in the fraction of the population expected to be infected (I/N) in the f national level and variation in the fraction of the population expected to be infected (*I/N*) in the first year from stochastic simulations (see methods). **E**: Climate variation across SSA as shown by seasonal range in specific humidity, *q* (g/kg) (max average *q* - min average *q*). Circles show peak proportion infected. **F:** The effect of local

- seasonality in SSA cities on outbreaks (*I/N* over time) in susceptible populations beginning in March 2020 (see methods).
-

Figure 5

Expected pace versus expected burden at the national level in SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in

sub-Saharan Africa

Countries are colored by with respect to indicators of their expected epidemic pace (using as an example subnational

connectivity in terms of travel time to nearest city) and potential burden (using as an example the proportion of the

population over age 50). **A:** In pink, countries with less connectivity (i.e., less synchronous outbreaks) relative to the

361 median among SSA countries; in blue, countries with more connectivity; darker colors show countries with older
362 populations (i.e., a greater proportion in higher risk age groups). B: Dotted lines show the median; in

populations (i.e., a greater proportion in higher risk age groups). **B**: Dotted lines show the median; in the upper right,

363 in dark pink, countries are highlighted due to their increased potential risk for an outbreak to be prolonged (see
364 metapopulation model methods) and high burden (see burden estimation methods). metapopulation model methods) and high burden (see burden estimation methods).

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 13

A1 | Reported SARS-CoV-2 case counts, mortality, and testing in sub-Saharan Africa as of June 2020

1.1. Variables and data sources for testing data

 The numbers of reported cases, deaths, and tests for the 48 studied sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) countries (**Table S1**) were sourced from the Africa Centers for Disease Control (CDC) dashboard on June 30, 2020 (https://africacdc.org/covid-19/). Africa CDC obtains data from the official Africa CDC Regional Collaborating Centre and member state reports. Differences in the timing of reporting by member states results in some variation in recency of data within the centralized Africa CDC repository, but the data should broadly reflect the relative scale of testing and reporting efforts across countries.

- The countries or member states within SSA in this study follow the United Nations and Africa
- CDC listed regions of Southern, Western, Central, and Eastern Africa (not including Sudan).
- From the Northern Africa region, Mauritania is included in SSA.
-

 For comparison to non-SSA countries, the number of reported cases in other geographic regions were obtained from the Johns Hopkins University Coronavirus Resource Center on June 30, 2020 (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html).

- Case fatality ratios (*CFR*s) were calculated by dividing the number of reported deaths by the number of reported cases and expressed as a percentage. Positivity was calculated by dividing the number of reported cases by the number of reported tests. Testing and case rates were calculated per 100,000 population using population size estimates for 2020 from the United 390 Nations Population Division ³⁸. As reported confirmed cases are likely to be a significant underestimate of the true number of infections, *CFR*s may be a poor proxy for the infection fatality ratio (*IFR*), defined as the proportion of infections that result in mortality ⁴.
-
- *1.2 Variation in testing and mortality rates*
- Testing rates among SSA countries varied by multiple orders of magnitude: the number of tests completed per 100,000 population ranged from 6.50 in Tanzania to 13,508.13 in Mauritius (**Figure S1A**). The number of reported infections (i.e., positive tests) was strongly correlated with the number of tests completed (Pearson's correlation coefficient, *r* = 0.9667, *p* < 0.001) (**Figure S1B**). As of June 30, 2020, no deaths due to SARS-CoV-2 were reported to the Africa CDC for five SSA countries (Eritrea, Lesotho, Namibia, Seychelles, Uganda). Among countries with at least one reported death, *CFR* varied from 0.22% in Rwanda to 8.54% in Chad (**Figure S1C**). Limitations in the ascertainment of infection rates and the rarity of reported deaths (e.g., median number of reported deaths per SSA country was 25.5), indicate that the data are insufficient to determine country specific *IFR*s and *IFR* by age profiles. As a result, global *IFR* by age estimates were used for the subsequent analyses in this study.

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 14

407 **Table S1**

408 **Sub-Saharan Africa country country codes, case counts, and testing as of June 30, 2020**

409 410

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 15

412 (Table S1 continued)

413
414

414 a Data from Africa CDC as of June 30, 2020 (https://africacdc.org/covid-19/)
415 b Data from UN Population Division UNPOP (2019 revision) estimates of por 415 b Data from UN Population Division UNPOP (2019 revision) estimates of population by single calendar year (2020)³⁸
416 c Rates per 100,000 population

 c Rates per 100,000 population

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 16

418 **Figure S1**

419 **Variation between SSA countries in testing and reporting rates as of June 30, 2020**

- 420 **A**: Reported number of tests completed per country as of June 2020 (source: Africa CDC). **B**: Number of infections (*I*)
- 121 per reported number of tests (*T*); line shows linear regression: $I = 8.454 \times 10^{-2} \times T 8.137 \times 10^{2}$ ($R^2 = 0.933$, $p < 0.001$).
	- \mathbf{A}

424 (Figure S1 continued)

- 425 **C**: Reported infections and deaths for sub-Saharan African countries with case fatality ratios (*CFR*s) shown as
- diagonal lines. **D**: Date of first detection per number of reported tests

10.000

لم

1,000,000

100.000

Reported number of tests completed

2020-02-28

1,000

A2 | Methods: Synthesizing factors hypothesized to increase or decrease SARS-CoV-2 epidemic risk in SSA

 2.1. Variable selection and data sources for variables hypothesized to associate with an increased probability of severe clinical outcomes for an infection

 To characterize epidemic risk, defined as potential SARS-CoV-2 related morbidity and mortality, we first synthesized factors hypothesized to influence risk in SSA settings (**Table S2**). Early during the pandemic, evidence suggested that age was an important risk factor associated with 437 morbidity and mortality associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection , a pattern subsequently 438 confirmed across settings ^{2,9,40}. Associations between SARS-CoV-2 mortality and comorbidities 439 including hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease emerged early ; and have been

- 440 observed across settings, with further growing evidence for associations with obesity $9,41$, severe
- $$ asthma 9 , and respiratory effects of pollution 42 .
-

443 Many possible sources of bias complicate interpretation of these associations , and while they

provide a useful baseline, inference is also likely to change as the pandemic advances. To

 reflect this, our analysis combines a number of high level variables likely to broadly encompass these putative risk factors (e.g., non-communicable disease (NCD) related mortality and health

life expectancy) with more specific measures encompassed in evidence to date (e.g.,

prevalence of diabetes, obesity, and respiratory illness such as Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary

Disease (COPD)). We also include measures relating to infectious diseases, undernourishment,

450 and anemia given their interaction and effects in determining health status in these settings .

 Data on the identified indicators were sourced in May 2020 from the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Health Observatory (GHO) database (https://www.who.int/data/gho), World Bank

(https://data.worldbank.org/), and other sources detailed in **Table S3**. National level

demographic data (population size and age structure) was sourced from United Nations World

456 Population Prospects (UNPOP)³⁸ and data on subnational variation in demography was

457 sourced from WorldPop²⁵. Household size data was defined by the mean number of individuals in a household with at least one person aged > 50 years, taken from the most recently available

459 demographic health survey (DHS) data ⁴⁵. All country level data for all indicators can be found

online at the **SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool** (https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/).

Comparisons of national level estimates sourced from WHO and other sources are affected by

variation within countries and variation in the uncertainty around estimates from different

geographical areas. To assess potential differences in data quality between geographic areas

we compared the year of most recent data for variables (**Figure S2**). The mean (range varied

from 2014.624 to 2014.928 by region) and median year (2016 for all regions) of the most recent

data varied little between regions. To account for uncertainty associated in the estimates

available for a single variable, we also include multiple variables per category (e.g.,

demographic and socio-economic factors, comorbidities, access to care) to avoid reliance on a

single metric. This allows exploring variation between countries across a broad suite of

variables likely to be indicative of the different dimensions of risk.

 Although including multiple variables that are likely to be correlated (see PCA methods below for further discussion) would bias inference of cumulative risk in a statistical framework, we do not attempt to quantitatively combine risk across variables for a country, nor project risk based on the variables included here. Rather, we characterize the magnitude of variation among countries for these variables (see **Figure 2** in the main text for a subset of the variables; **Figure 3B** for bivariate risk maps following ⁴⁶) and then explore the range of outcomes that would be expected under scenarios where *IFR* increases with age at different rates (see **Figure 3** in the main text). *2.2. Variable selection and data sources for variables hypothesized to modulate the rate of viral spread* In addition to characterizing variation among factors likely to modulate burden, we also synthesize data sources relevant to the rate of viral spread, or pace, for the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in SSA. Factors hypothesized to modulate viral transmission and geographic spread include climatic factors (e.g., specific humidity), access to prevention measures (e.g., handwashing), and human mobility (e.g., international and domestic travel). **Table S2** outlines

- the dimensions of risk selected and references the previous studies relevant to the selection of these factors.
-

493 Climate data was sourced from the global, gridded ERA5 dataset where model data is combined with global observation data (see **Section A7** for details).

 International flight data was obtained from a custom report from OAG Aviation Worldwide (UK) and included the departure location, airport of arrival, date of travel, and number of passenger seats for flights arriving to 113 international airports in SSA (see **Section A5**).

 As an estimate of connectivity within subregions of countries, the population weighted mean travel time to the nearest city with a population greater than 50,000 was determined; details are provided in **Section A6**. To obtain a set of measures that broadly represent connectivity within 503 different countries in the region, friction surfaces from ref²⁴ were used to obtain estimates of the connectivity between different administrative level 2 units within each country. Details of this, alongside the metapopulation model framework used to simulate viral spread with variation in connectivity are in **Section A6**.

 Figure 2 in the main text shows variation among SSA countries for four of the variables; **Figure S3** shows variation for all variables. **Figure 3** in the main text shows variation for a subset of the

comorbidity and access to care indicators as a heatmap; **Figure S4** shows variation for all the

variables (both also available online at the **SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool**

(https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/)).

Table S2

Hypothesized dimensions of risk and expected direction of effect on SARS-CoV-2 transmission or burden in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) relative to higher latitude countries

Table S3

Variables and data sources for indicators of SARS-CoV-2 epidemic risk in sub-Saharan Africa

(Table S3 continued)

(Table S3 continued)

(Table S3 continued)

Figure S2

Year of most recent data available for variables compared between global regions

 Dotted vertical line shows regional median; solid vertical line shows regional mean. Note that most data comes from 2015-2019 (median = 2016, mean = 2014.62-2014.93).

Figure S3

- **Variation among sub-Saharan African countries in determinants of SARS-CoV-2 risk by**
- **variable**
- A subset of variables is shown in Figure 2A-D in the main text, the remaining variables are
- shown in supplementary file "Figure S3 compiled.pdf" and available online: **SSA-SARS-CoV-2-**
- **tool** (https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/)

Figure S4

Variation among sub-Saharan African countries in determinants of SARS-CoV-2 mortality

risk by category

 A subset of variables is shown in Figure 3D-E in the main text, the remaining variables are shown and available online: **SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool** (https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/)

-
- **A**: Select national level indicators; estimates of increased comorbidity burden (e.g., higher prevalence of raised blood
- pressure) shown with darker red for higher risk quartiles Countries missing data for an indicator (NA) are shown in
- gray. For comparison between countries, estimates are age-standardized where applicable (see **Table S3** for details)

-
-
-

559 **B**: Select national level indicators; estimates of reduced access to care (e.g., fewer hospitals) shown with darker red 560 for higher risk quartiles Countries missing data for an indicator (NA) are shown in gray. For comparison between
561 countries, estimates are age-standardized where applicable (see Table S3 for details)

561 countries, estimates are age-standardized where applicable (see **Table S3** for details)

A3 | Principal component analysis (PCA) of variables considered

-
- *3.1 Selection of data and variables*
-

 The 29 national level variables from **Table S3** were selected for principal component analysis (PCA). We conducted further PCA on the subset of eight indicators related to access to healthcare (Category E) and the 14 national indicators variables related to comorbidities (Category B).

 We excluded disaggregated sub-national spatial variation data (variables A2, C1, E2, and Category F), disaggregated or redundant variables derived from already included variables (variables A4 and D2), and disaggregated age-specific disease data from IHME global burden of disease study (variables B2, B4, and B13) from PCA analysis. COVID-19 tests per 100,000 population (variable D4, **Table S1**), per capita gross domestic product (GDP) (Variable A8), and the GINI index of wealth inequality (Variable A9) were used to visualize patterns among sub-

- Saharan Africa countries.
-

 In some cases, data were missing for a country for an indicator; in these cases, missing data were replaced with a zero value. This is a conservative approach as zero values (i.e., outside the range of typical values seen in the data) inflate the total variance in the data set and thus, if anything, deflate the percent of the variance explained by PCA. Therefore, this approach avoids mistakenly attributing predictive value to principal components due to incomplete data. See **Table S3** for data sources for each variable.

-
- *3.2 Principal Component Analysis*
-

 The PCA was conducted on each of the three subsets described above, using the scikitlearn 590 library . In order to avoid biasing the PCA due to large differences in magnitude and scale, each feature was centered around the mean, and scaled to unit variance prior to the analysis. Briefly, PCA applies a linear transformation to a set of *n* features to output a set of *n* orthogonal principal components which are uncorrelated and each explain a percentage of the total 594 variance in the dataset ⁶⁵. A link to the code for this analysis is available online at the **SSA-SARS-CoV-2-tool** (https://labmetcalf.shinyapps.io/covid19-burden-africa/).

 The principal components were then analyzed for the percentage of variance explained, and compared to: (i) the number of COVID-19 tests per 100,000 population as of the end of June, 2020 (**Table S1**), (ii) the per capita GDP, and (iii) the GINI index of wealth inequality. For the GINI index, estimates from 2008-2018 were available for 45 of the 48 countries (no GINI index data were available for Eritrea, Equatorial Guinea, and Somalia) (see **Data File 1** for the year for each country for each metric).

-
-
-
-

3.3 PCA Results

 The first two principal components from the analysis of 29 variables explain 32.6%, and 13.1% the total variance, respectively, in the dataset. Countries with higher numbers of completed SARS-CoV-2 tests reported tended to associate with an increase in principal component 1 (Pearson correlation coefficient, *r* = 0.67, *p* = 1.1e-7, **Figure S5A**). Similarly, high GDP countries seem to associate with an increase in principal component 1 (Pearson correlation coefficient, *r* = 0.80, *p* = 6.02e-12), **Figure S5B**). In contrast, countries with greater wealth inequality (as measured by the GINI index) are associated with a decrease in principal component 2 (Pearson correlation coefficient, *r* = -0.42, *p* = .0042, **Figure S5C**). Despite these correlations, a relatively low percentage of variance is explained by each principal component: for the 29 variables, 13 of the 29 principal components are required to explain 90% of the variance (**Figure S5D**). When only the access to care subset of variables is considered, the first two principal components explain 50.7% and 19.1% of the variance, respectively, and five of eight principal components are required to explain 90% of the variance. When only the comorbidities subset is considered, the first two principal components explain 27.9% and 17.8% of the variance, respectively, and nine of 14 principal components are required to explain 90% of the variance (**Figure S4D**).

3.4 PCA Discussion

 These data suggest that inter-country variation in this dataset is not easily explained by a small number of variables. Moreover, though correlations exist between principal components and high-level explanatory variables (testing capacity, wealth), their magnitude is modest. These results highlight that dimensionality reduction is unlikely to be an effective analysis strategy for the variables considered in this study. Despite this overall finding, the PCA on the access to care subset of variables highlights that the variance in these variables is more easily explained by a small number of principal components, and hence may be more amenable to dimensionality reduction. This finding is unsurprising as, for example, the number of hospital beds per 100,000 population is likely to be directly related to the number of hospitals per 637 100,000 population (indeed $r = 0.60$, $p = 5.7e-6$ for SSA). In contrast, for comorbidities, the relationship between different variables is less clear. Given the low percentages of variation captured by each principal component, and the high variability between different types of variables, these results motivate a holistic approach to using these data for assessing relative SARS-CoV-2 risk across SSA.

642 **Figure S5**

643 **Principal Component Analysis of all variables and category specific subsets of variables**

644 **A:** Principal Component 1 and 2, countries colored by Log10 scaled tests per 100,000 population (as of June 30, 2020)

- (Figure S5 continued)
- **Figure S5**

Principal Component Analysis of all variables and category specific subsets of variables

B: Principal Component 1 and 2, countries colored by Log10 scaled GDP per capita

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 33

- (Figure S5 continued)
- **Figure S5**

Principal Component Analysis of all variables and category specific subsets of variables

C: Principal Component 1 and 2, countries colored by the GINI index (a measure of wealth disparity)

- 662 (Figure S5 continued)
- 663 **Figure S5**

664 **Principal Component Analysis of all variables and category specific subsets of variables**

665 **D**: Scree plot showing the cumulative proportion of variance explained by principal component for analysis done
666 using all variables (blue, 29 variables), comorbidity indicators (green, 14 variables, Section B in T 666 using all variables (blue, 29 variables), comorbidity indicators (green, 14 variables, Section B in **Table S3**)), and

667 access to care indicators (orange, 8 variables, Section E in **Table S3**)

D| Principal Components - Explained Variance

670 **A4 | Evaluating the burden emerging from the severity of infection** 671 **outcome**

- 672
- 673 *4.1 Data sourcing: Empirical estimates of* IFR
- 674

675 Estimates of the infection fatality ratio (*IFR*) that account for asymptomatic cases,

676 underreporting, and delays in reporting are few, however, it is evident that *IFR* increases

677 substantially with age ⁶⁶. We use age-stratified estimates of *IFR* from three studies (two

678 published $2,4$, one preprint 3) that accounted for these factors in their estimation (**Table S4**).

679

681

682 To apply these estimates to other age-stratified data with different bin ranges and generate

683 continuous predictions of *IFR* with age, we fit the relationship between the midpoint of the age

- 684 bracket and the *IFR* estimate using a generalized additive model (GAM) using the 'mgcv` 685 backage 67 in R version 4.0.2 68 . We use a beta distribution as the link function for IFR estimates 686 (data distributed on [0, 1]). For the upper age bracket (80+ years), we take the upper range to 687 be 100 years and the midpoint to be 90.
- 688

 We assume a given level of cumulative infection (here 20% in each age class, i.e., a constant rate of infection among age classes) and then apply *IFRs* by age to the population structure of each country to generate estimates of burden. Age structure estimates were taken from the UNPOP (see **Table S3**) country level estimates of population in 1 year age groups (0 - 100 years of age) to generate estimates of burden.

- 694
- 695
- 696

4.2 Data sourcing: Comorbidities over age from IHME

 Applying these *IFR* estimates to the demographic structure of SSA countries provides a baseline expectation for mortality, but depends on the assumption that mortality patterns in sub- Saharan Africa will be similar to those from where the *IFR* estimates were sourced (France, China, and Italy). Comorbidities have been shown to be an important determinant of the severity of infection outcomes (i.e., *IFR*); to assess the relative risk of comorbidities across age in SSA, estimates of comorbidity severity by age (in terms of annual deaths attributable) were obtained from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 706 study in 2017⁶⁹. Data were accessed through the GBD results tool for cardiovascular disease, chronic respiratory disease (not including asthma), and diabetes, reflecting three categories of comorbidity with demonstrated associations with risk (**Table S2**). We make the assumption that higher mortality rates due to these NCDs, especially among younger age groups, is indicative of increased severity and lesser access to sufficient care for these diseases - suggesting an elevated risk for their interaction with SARS-CoV-2 as comorbidities. While there are significant uncertainties in these data, they provide the best estimates of age specific risks and have been 713 used previously to estimate populations at risk¹⁸.

The comorbidity by age curves for SSA countries were compared to those for the three

countries from which SARS-CoV-2 *IFR* by age estimates were sourced. Attributable mortality

due to all three NCD categories is higher at age 50 in all 48 SSA countries when compared to

estimates from France and Italy and for 42 of 48 SSA countries when compared to China

- (**Figure S5**).
-

Given the potential for populations in SSA to experience a differing burden of SARS-CoV-2 due

to their increased severity of comorbidities in younger age groups, we explore the effects of

shifting *IFRs* estimated by the GAM of *IFR* estimates from France, Italy, and China younger by

2, 5, and 10 years (**Figure 3** in main text).

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 37

725 **Figure S6**

726 **Comorbidity burden by age in sub-Saharan Africa**

727 Estimated mortality per age group for sub-Saharan African countries (gray lines) compared to China, France, and
728 Italy (the countries from which estimates of SARS-CoV-2 infection fatality ratios (IFRs) by age are av

The Traity (the countries from which estimates of SARS-CoV-2 infection fatality ratios (*IFR*s) by age are available) for three
The MCD categories (cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory diseases excluding asthma, an

NCD categories (cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory diseases excluding asthma, and diabetes).

Cardiovascular diseases

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 38

A5 | International Air Travel to SSA

 The number of passenger seats on flights arriving to international airports were grouped by country and month for January 2020 to April 2020 (**Table S5**) - the months when the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 to SSA countries was likely to have first occurred. The first confirmed case reported from a SSA country, per the Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Research Center was in Nigeria on February 28, 2020. By March 31, 2020, 43 of 48 SSA countries had reported SARS-CoV-2 infections and international travel was largely restricted by April. Lesotho was the last SSA country to report a confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection (on May 13, 2020); however, given difficulties in surveillance, the first reported detections were likely delayed relative to the first importations of the virus. The probability of importation of the virus is defined by the number of travelers from each source

location each date and the probability that a traveler from that source location on that date was

infectious. Due to limitations in surveillance, especially early in the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic,

empirical data on infection rates among travelers is largely lacking. To account for differences in

 the status of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic across source locations, and thus differences in the importation risk for travelers from those locations, we coarsely stratified travelers arriving each

day into four categories based on the status of their source countries:

-
- i. Travelers from countries with zero reported cases (i.e., although undetected transmission was possibly occurring, SARS-CoV had not yet been confirmed in the source country by that date)
- ii. Those traveling from countries with more than one reported case (i.e., SARS-CoV-2 had been confirmed to be present in that source country by that date),
- iii. Those traveling from countries with more than 100 reported cases (indicating community transmission was likely beginning), and
- iv. Those traveling from countries with more than 1000 reported cases (indicating widespread transmission)
-

 For determining reported case counts at source locations for travelers, no cases were reported outside of China until January 13, 2020 (the date of the first reported case in Thailand). Over January 13 to January 21, cases were then reported in Japan, South Korea, Taiwan, Hong Kong, and the United States (https://covid19.who.int/). Subsequently, counts per country were 766 tabulated daily by the Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center 70 beginning January 22 (https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html); we use that data from January 22 onwards and the WHO reports prior to January 22.

 The number of travelers within each category arriving per month is shown in **Table S5**. This approach makes the conservative assumption that the probability a traveler is infected reflects

the general countrywide infection rate of the source country at the time of travel (i.e., travelers

are not more likely to be exposed than non-travelers in that source location) and does not

- account for complex travel itineraries (i.e., a traveler from a high risk source location transiting
- through a low risk source location would be grouped with other travelers from the low risk

 source location). Consequently, the risk for viral importation is likely systematically underestimated. However, as the relative risk for viral importation will still scale with the number of travelers, comparisons among SSA countries can be informative (e.g., SSA countries with more travelers from countries with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 transmission are at higher risk for

- viral importation).
-

Table S5

Arrivals to SSA airports by the number of passenger seats and status of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic at the origin at the time of travel

(see csv file: "Table S5 International Airtravel to SSA.csv")

Data Fields:

-
- 791 1. country: Name of country
792 2. n airports: Number of airg 2. n airports: Number of airports with flight data
- 793 3. month: January, February, March, April 2020; or total for all 4 months
794 4. total n seats: Total number of passenger seats on arriving aircraft
	- 4. total n seats: Total number of passenger seats on arriving aircraft
- 5. From source with cases > 0: Number of passengers arriving from source locations with 1 or more reported SARS-CoV-2 infection by the date of travel
- 6. From source with cases > 100: Number of passengers arriving from source locations more than 100 reported SARS-CoV-2 infection by the date of travel
- 799 7. From source with cases > 1000: Number of passengers arriving from source locations with more than 1000 800 reported SARS-CoV-2 infection by the date of travel

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 40

A6 | Subnational connectivity among countries in sub-Saharan Africa

6.1. Indicators of subnational connectivity

 To allow comparison of the relative connectivity across countries, we use the friction surface 808 estimates provided by Weiss et al. 24 as a relative measure of the rate of human movement between subregions of a country. For connectivity within subregions of a country (e.g., transport from a city to the rural periphery), we use as an indicator the population weighted mean travel time to the nearest urban center (i.e., population density > 1,500 per square kilometer or a density of built-up areas > 50% coincident with population > 50,000) within administrative-2 813 units ⁶³. For some countries, estimates at administrative-2 units were unavailable (Comoros, Cape Verde, Lesotho, Mauritius, Mayotte, and Seychelles); estimates at the administrative-1 unit level were used for these cases (these were all island nations, with the exception of Lesotho).

6.2. Metapopulation model methods

 Once SARS-CoV-2 has been introduced into a country, the degree of spread of the infection within the country will be governed by subnational mobility: the pathogen is more likely to be 822 introduced into a location where individuals arrive more frequently than one where incoming 823 travellers are less frequent. Large-scale consistent measures of mobility remain rare. However, 824 recently, estimates of accessibility have been produced at a global scale . Although this is unlikely to perfectly reflect mobility within countries, especially as interventions and travel 826 restrictions are put in place, it provides a starting point for evaluating the role of human mobility 827 in shaping the outbreak pace across SSA. We use the inverse of a measure of the cost of travel 828 between the centroids of administrative level 2 spatial units to describe mobility between locations (estimated by applying the costDistance function in the *gdistance* package in R to the 830 friction surfaces supplied in ref²⁴). With this, we develop a metapopulation model for each country to develop an overview of the possible range of trajectories of unchecked spread of SARS-CoV-2.

 We assume that the pathogen first arrives into each country in the administrative 2 level unit with the largest population (e.g., the largest city) and the population in each administrative 2 836 level (of size N_i) is entirely susceptible at the time of arrival. We then track spread within and between each of the administrative 2 level units of each country. Within each administrative 2 level unit, dynamics are governed by a discrete time Susceptible (S), Infected (I) and Recovered 839 (R) model with a time-step of \sim 1 week, which is broadly consistent with the serial interval of 840 SARS-CoV-2. Within the spatial unit indexed *j*, with total size N_i , dynamics follow:

842 $I_{j,t+1} = \beta I^{\alpha}{}_{j,t} S_{j,t} / N_j + t_{j,t}$

- 843 $S_{i,t+1} = S_{i,t} I_{i,t+1} + b$
-

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 41

845 where β captures the magnitude of transmission over the course of one serial interval (and is set 846 to 2.5 to approximately represent the R₀ of SARS-CoV-2); the exponent $\alpha = 0.97$ is used to 847 capture the effects of discretization⁷¹, $l_{i,t}$ captures the introduction of new infections into site *j* at 848 time *t*, and *b* reflects the introduction of new susceptible individuals resulting from the birth rate, set to reflect the most recent estimates for that country from the World Bank Data Bank

(https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.CBRT.IN).

852 We make the simplifying assumption that mobility linking locations *i* and *j*, denoted $c_{i,j}$, scales with the inverse of the cost of travel between sites *i* and *j* evaluated according to the friction 854 surface provided in 24 . The introduction of an infected individual into location *j* is then defined by a draw from a Bernouilli distribution following:

 $\mu_{j,t} \sim Bern(1-exp(-\sum_{s}$ L $\mathbf 1$ 856 $t_{j,t} \sim Bern(1 - exp(-) \t c_{i,j} I_{i,t}/N_i))$

 where *L* is the total number of administrative 2 units in that country, and the rate of introduction is the product of connectivity between the focal location and each other location multiplied by 859 the proportion of population in each other location that is infected.

 861 Some countries show rapid spread between administrative units within the country (e.g., a country with parameters that broadly reflect those available for Malawi, **Figure S7**), while in 863 others (e.g., reflecting Madagascar), connectivity may be so low that the outbreak may be over in the administrative unit of the largest size (where it was introduced) before introductions successfully reach other poorly connected administrative units. The result is a hump shaped 866 relationship between the fraction of the population that is infected after 5 years and the time to the first local extinction of the pathogen (**Figure S7**, right top). In countries with lower connectivity (e.g., that might resemble Madagascar), local outbreaks can go extinct rapidly before travelling very far; in other countries (e.g., that might resemble Gabon), the pathogen goes extinct rapidly because it travels rapidly and rapidly depletes susceptible individuals everywhere.

 The impact of the pattern of travel between centroids is echoed by the pattern of travel within administrative districts: countries where the pathogen does not reach a large fraction of the administrative 2 units within the country in 5 years are also those where within administrative unit travel is low (**Figure S7**, right bottom).

 These simulations provide a window onto qualitative patterns expected for subnational spread of the pandemic virus, but there is no clear way of calibrating the absolute rate of travel between regions of relevance for SARS-CoV-2. Thus, the time-scales of these simulations should be considered in relative, rather than absolute terms. Variation in lockdown effectiveness, or other changes in mobility for a given country may also compromise relative comparisons. Variability in case reporting complicates clarifying this (**Figure S8**).

884 **Figure S7**

885 **Pace of the outbreak**

886 Each grey line on the left hand panels indicates the total infected across all administrative units in a metapopulation
887 simulation with parameters reflecting the country indicated by the plot title, assuming interv 887 simulation with parameters reflecting the country indicated by the plot title, assuming interventions are constant.
888 Increases after the first peak indicate the pathogen reaching a new administrative 2 unit. In Mala 888 Increases after the first peak indicate the pathogen reaching a new administrative 2 unit. In Malawi-like settings 889 (higher connectivity), more administrative units are reached rapidly, whereas in Madagascar-like settings (lower connectivity), a lower proportion of the administrative units are reached by a given time, as fewer introductions occur 891 before the outbreak has burned out in the administrative 2 unit with the largest population. More generally, rapid
892 disappearance of the outbreak (top right hand plot, y axis shows time to extinction) could either i disappearance of the outbreak (top right hand plot, y axis shows time to extinction) could either indicate rapid spread 893 with a high proportion of the countries' population reached (top right hand plot, x axis) or slow spread, with many
894 administrative units unreached, and therefore remaining susceptible. The pattern of between-admini 894 administrative units unreached, and therefore remaining susceptible. The pattern of between-administrative unit travel
895 also echoes travel time within administrative units (lower panel, right hand side, x axis indic 895 also echoes travel time within administrative units (lower panel, right hand side, x axis indicates fraction of
896 administrative units unreached, and upper panel indicates travel time in hours to the nearest city of administrative units unreached, and upper panel indicates travel time in hours to the nearest city of 50,000 or more 897 people).

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 43

900 **Figure S8**

901 **Cases and testing vs. the pace of the outbreak**

902 The total number of confirmed cases reported by country (x axis, left, as reported for June 28th by Africa CDC) and
903 the test positivity (x axis, right, defined as the total number of confirmed cases divided by the 903 the test positivity (x axis, right, defined as the total number of confirmed cases divided by the number of tests run, as
904 reported by Africa CDC, likewise) show no significant relationship with the proportion of th 904 reported by Africa CDC, likewise) show no significant relationship with the proportion of the population estimated to 905 be infected after one year using the metapopulation simulation described in A6 (respectively, 905 be infected after one year using the metapopulation simulation described in A6 (respectively, $\rho = -0.04$, $p > 0.5$, $df = 906$
906 41 and $\rho = 0.02$, $p > 0.5$, $df = 41$). All else equal, a positive relationship is expect 41 and $\rho = 0.02$, $p > 0.5$, $df = 41$). All else equal, a positive relationship is expected; however, both uncertainty in 907 case numbers, and uncertainty associated with the simulation might both drive the absence of a signal.

908

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 44

911 **A7 | Modeling epidemic trajectories in scenarios where transmission** 912 **rate depends on climate**

913

914 *7.1 Climate data sourcing: Variation in humidity in SSA*

915 Specific humidity data for selected urban centers comes from ERA5 using an average 917 climatology (1981-2017)⁴⁷; we do not consider year-to-year climate variations. Selected cities (*n*) = 58) were chosen to represent the major urban areas in SSA. The largest city in each SSA country was included as well as any additional cities that were among the 25 largest cities or busiest airports in SSA.

921

923

922 *7.2 Methods for climate driven modelling of SARS-CoV-2*

924 We use a climate-driven SIRS (Susceptible-Infected-Recovered-Susceptible) model to estimate 925 epidemic trajectories (i.e., the time of peak incidence) in different cities in 2020, assuming no 926 control measures are in place 23.72 . The model is given by:

927

930

 where *S* is the susceptible population, *I* is the infected population and *N* is the total population. D is the mean infectious period, set at 5 days following ref^{23,49}. To investigate the maximum 933 possible climate effect, we use parameters from the most climate-dependent scenario in ref²³, based on betacoronavirus HKU1. In this scenario *L*, the duration of immunity, is found to be 66.25 weeks (i.e., greater than 1 year and such that waning immunity does not affect timing of 936 the epidemic peak).

937

938 Transmission is governed by $\beta(t)$ which is related to the basic reproduction number R_0 by 939 $R_0(t) = \beta(t)D$. The basic reproduction number varies based on the climate and is related to 940 specific humidity according to the equation:

941

$$
\begin{array}{c} 942 \\ 943 \end{array}
$$

2 $R_0 = exp (a * q(t) + log (R_{0max} - R_{0min})) + R_{0min}$

944 where $q(t)$ is specific humidity ⁴⁷ and *a* is set at -227.5 based on estimated HKU1 parameters ²³. 945 *R0max* and *R0min* are 2.5 and 1.5 respectively. We assume the same time of introduction for all 946 cities, set at March 1st, 2020 (consistent with the first reported cases in SSA, **Figure S1D**) 947

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 45

References

- 1. Mecenas, P., Bastos, R., Vallinoto, A. & Normando, D. Effects of temperature and humidity
- on the spread of COVID-19: A systematic review. doi:10.1101/2020.04.14.20064923.
- 2. Salje, H. *et al.* Estimating the burden of SARS-CoV-2 in France. *Science* (2020)
- doi:10.1126/science.abc3517.
- 3. Rinaldi, G. & Paradisi, M. An empirical estimate of the infection fatality rate of COVID-19
- from the first Italian outbreak. doi:10.1101/2020.04.18.20070912.
- 4. Verity, R. *et al.* Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based
- analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 669–677 (2020).
- 5. Africa CDC COVID-19 Daily Updates. *Africa CDC* https://africacdc.org/covid-19/.
- 6. Mortality Analyses. *Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center*
- https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/data/mortality.
- 7. Skrip, L. A. *et al.* Seeding COVID-19 across sub-Saharan Africa: an analysis of reported importation events across 40 countries. doi:10.1101/2020.04.01.20050203.
- 8. Deng, X. *et al.* Case fatality risk of novel coronavirus diseases 2019 in China.
- doi:10.1101/2020.03.04.20031005.
- 9. Collaborative, T. O. *et al.* OpenSAFELY: factors associated with COVID-19-related hospital
- death in the linked electronic health records of 17 million adult NHS patients.
- doi:10.1101/2020.05.06.20092999.
- 10. COVID-19 significantly impacts health services for noncommunicable diseases.
- https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/01-06-2020-covid-19-significantly-impacts-health-
- services-for-noncommunicable-diseases.
- 11. Maintaining essential health services: operational guidance for the COVID-19 context.
- https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/covid-19-operational-guidance-for-maintaining-
- essential-health-services-during-an-outbreak.
- 12. Santoli, J. M. Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Routine Pediatric Vaccine Ordering

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 46

- and Administration United States, 2020. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **69**, (2020).
- 13. Roberton, T. *et al.* Early estimates of the indirect effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on
- maternal and child mortality in low-income and middle-income countries: a modelling study.
- *Lancet Glob Health* **8**, e901–e908 (2020).
- 14. Walker, P. G. T. *et al.* The impact of COVID-19 and strategies for mitigation and
- suppression in low- and middle-income countries. *Science* (2020)
- doi:10.1126/science.abc0035.
- 15. Pei, S., Kandula, S. & Shaman, J. Differential Effects of Intervention Timing on COVID-19
- Spread in the United States. *medRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.05.15.20103655.
- 16. Lai, S. *et al.* Assessing the effect of global travel and contact reductions to mitigate the

COVID-19 pandemic and resurgence. doi:10.1101/2020.06.17.20133843.

- 17. Nepomuceno, M. R. *et al.* Besides population age structure, health and other demographic
- factors can contribute to understanding the COVID-19 burden. *Proceedings of the National*
- *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* vol. 117 13881–13883 (2020).
- 18. Clark, A. *et al.* Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of
- severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. *Lancet*
- *Glob Health* (2020) doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30264-3.
- 19. Ghisolfi, S. *et al.* Predicted COVID-19 fatality rates based on age, sex, comorbidities, and health system capacity. doi:10.1101/2020.06.05.20123489.
- 20. [No title]. https://www.imperial.ac.uk/media/imperial-college/medicine/mrc-gida/2020-05-12- COVID19-Report-22.pdf.
- 21. Kapata, N. *et al.* Is Africa prepared for tackling the COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) epidemic.
- Lessons from past outbreaks, ongoing pan-African public health efforts, and implications for
- the future. *International journal of infectious diseases: IJID: official publication of the*
- *International Society for Infectious Diseases* vol. 93 233–236 (2020).
- 22. Nachega, J. B. *et al.* From Easing Lockdowns to Scaling-Up Community-Based COVID-19

- Screening, Testing, and Contact Tracing in Africa Shared Approaches, Innovations, and
- Challenges to Minimize Morbidity and Mortality. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* (2020)
- doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa695.
- 23. Baker, R. E., Yang, W., Vecchi, G. A., Metcalf, C. J. E. & Grenfell, B. T. Susceptible supply
- limits the role of climate in the early SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Science* (2020)
- doi:10.1126/science.abc2535.
- 24. Weiss, D. J. *et al.* A global map of travel time to cities to assess inequalities in accessibility in 2015. *Nature* **553**, 333–336 (2018).
- 25. Tatem, A. J. WorldPop, open data for spatial demography. *Scientific Data* vol. 4 (2017).
- 26. [No title]. https://washdata.org/sites/default/files/documents/reports/2019-05/JMP-2018-
- core-questions-for-household-surveys.pdf.
- 27. Korevaar, H. M. *et al.* Quantifying the impact of US state non-pharmaceutical interventions
- on COVID-19 transmission. doi:10.1101/2020.06.30.20142877.
- 28. Mikkelsen, L. *et al.* A global assessment of civil registration and vital statistics systems:
- monitoring data quality and progress. *Lancet* **386**, 1395–1406 (2015).
- 29. Gilbert, M. *et al.* Preparedness and vulnerability of African countries against importations of
- COVID-19: a modelling study. *Lancet* **395**, 871–877 (2020).
- 30. Haider, N. *et al.* Passengers' destinations from China: low risk of Novel Coronavirus (2019-
- nCoV) transmission into Africa and South America. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **148**, e41 (2020).
- 31. Takahashi, S. *et al.* Reduced vaccination and the risk of measles and other childhood
- infections post-Ebola. *Science* vol. 347 1240–1242 (2015).
- 32. Cash, R. & Patel, V. Has COVID-19 subverted global health? *Lancet* **395**, 1687–1688 (2020).
- 33. Adams, J. G. & Walls, R. M. Supporting the Health Care Workforce During the COVID-19 Global Epidemic. *JAMA* (2020) doi:10.1001/jama.2020.3972.
- 34. Kilmarx, P. H. *et al.* Ebola virus disease in health care workers--Sierra Leone, 2014.

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 48

- *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **63**, 1168–1171 (2014).
- 35. Covid 19 Mauritius Dashboard. *Google Data Studio*
- http://datastudio.google.com/reporting/510dbd29-25cd-4fbb-a47a-
- 68effeda6cf5/page/0z6JB?feature=opengraph.
- 36. Rwanda: WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. https://covid19.who.int.
- 37. Mina, M. J. *et al.* A Global lmmunological Observatory to meet a time of pandemics. *Elife* **9**,
- (2020).
- 38. World Population Prospects Population Division United Nations.
- https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/.
- 39. The Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. The
- Epidemiological Characteristics of an Outbreak of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Diseases
- (COVID-19) China, 2020. *CCDCW* **2**, 113–122 (2020).
- 40. Team, C. C.-19 R. *et al.* Severe Outcomes Among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019
- (COVID-19) United States, February 12–March 16, 2020. *MMWR. Morbidity and*
- *Mortality Weekly Report* vol. 69 343–346 (2020).
- 41. Simonnet, A. *et al.* High prevalence of obesity in severe acute respiratory syndrome
- coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) requiring invasive mechanical ventilation. *Obesity* (2020)
- doi:10.1002/oby.22831.
- 42. Conticini, E., Frediani, B. & Caro, D. Can atmospheric pollution be considered a co-factor in extremely high level of SARS-CoV-2 lethality in Northern Italy? *Environ. Pollut.* **261**, 114465 (2020).
- 43. Griffith, G. *et al.* Collider bias undermines our understanding of COVID-19 disease risk and severity. doi:10.1101/2020.05.04.20090506.
- 44. Shankar, A. H. Nutritional Modulation of Malaria Morbidity and Mortality. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* vol. 182 S37–S53 (2000).
- 45. The DHS Program Quality information to plan, monitor and improve population, health,

Rice et al | 2020 07 28 | Page 49

- and nutrition programs. https://dhsprogram.com.
- 46. Chin, T. *et al.* U.S. county-level characteristics to inform equitable COVID-19 response.
- *medRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.04.08.20058248.
- 47. Hersbach, H. *et al.* The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.* **64**, 29 (2020).
- 48. Report 23 State-level tracking of COVID-19 in the United States. *Imperial College London*
- http://www.imperial.ac.uk/medicine/departments/school-public-health/infectious-disease-
- epidemiology/mrc-global-infectious-disease-analysis/covid-19/report-23-united-states/.
- 49. Kissler, S. M., Tedijanto, C., Goldstein, E., Grad, Y. H. & Lipsitch, M. Projecting the
- transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. *Science* **368**,
- 860–868 (2020).
- 50. Jiwani, S. S. & Antiporta, D. A. Inequalities in access to water and soap matter for the
- COVID-19 response in sub-Saharan Africa. *Int. J. Equity Health* **19**, 82 (2020).
- 51. Stoler, J., Jepson, W. E. & Wutich, A. Beyond handwashing: Water insecurity undermines

COVID-19 response in developing areas. *J. Glob. Health* **10**, 010355 (2020).

52. Makoni, M. Keeping COVID-19 at bay in Africa. *Lancet Respir Med* **8**, 553–554 (2020).

- 53. Tatem, A. J. *et al.* Ranking of elimination feasibility between malaria-endemic countries.
- *Lancet* **376**, 1579–1591 (2010).
- 54. Metcalf, C. J. E. *et al.* Transport networks and inequities in vaccination: remoteness shapes
- measles vaccine coverage and prospects for elimination across Africa. *Epidemiol. Infect.*
- **143**, 1457–1466 (2015).
- 55. Adepoju, P. Africa's struggle with inadequate COVID-19 testing. *The Lancet Microbe* vol. 1 e12 (2020).
- 56. Pindolia, D. K. *et al.* The demographics of human and malaria movement and migration patterns in East Africa. *Malar. J.* **12**, 397 (2013).
- 57. Qiu, Y., Chen, X. & Shi, W. Impacts of social and economic factors on the transmission of
- coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in China. doi:10.1101/2020.03.13.20035238.

- 58. Li, H. *et al.* Age-Dependent Risks of Incidence and Mortality of COVID-19 in Hubei
- Province and Other Parts of China. *Front. Med.* **7**, 190 (2020).
- 59. Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (GBD 2017) Population Estimates 1950-2017 |
- GHDx. http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/gbd-2017-population-estimates-1950-
- 2017.
- 60. Brauer, M., Zhao, J. T., Bennitt, F. B. & Stanaway, J. D. Global access to handwashing:
- implications for COVID-19 control in low-income countries.
- doi:10.1101/2020.04.07.20057117.
- 61. Alegana, V. A. *et al.* National and sub-national variation in patterns of febrile case
- management in sub-Saharan Africa. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4994 (2018).
- 62. Murthy, S., Leligdowicz, A. & Adhikari, N. K. J. Intensive care unit capacity in low-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS One* **10**, e0116949 (2015).
- 63. Linard, C., Gilbert, M., Snow, R. W., Noor, A. M. & Tatem, A. J. Population distribution,
- settlement patterns and accessibility across Africa in 2010. *PLoS One* **7**, e31743 (2012).
- 64. [No title]. https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.5555/1953048.2078195.
- 65. Jolliffe, I. T. & Cadima, J. Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments. *Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **374**, 20150202 (2016).
- 66. Meyerowitz-Katz, G. & Merone, L. A systematic review and meta-analysis of published research data on COVID-19 infection-fatality rates. doi:10.1101/2020.05.03.20089854.
- 67. Wood, S. N. *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R, Second Edition*. (CRC Press, 2017).
- 68. R: The R Project for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- 69. Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (GBD 2017) Population Estimates 1950-2017 |
- GHDx. http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/gbd-2017-population-estimates-1950- 2017.
- 70. Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in

- real time. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 533–534 (2020).
- 71. Bjornstad, O. N., Finkenstadt, B. F. & Grenfell, B. T. Dynamics of Measles Epidemics:
- Estimating Scaling of Transmission Rates Using a Time Series SIR Model. *Ecological*
- *Monographs* vol. 72 169 (2002).
- 72. Shaman, J., Pitzer, V. E., Viboud, C., Grenfell, B. T. & Lipsitch, M. Absolute humidity and
- the seasonal onset of influenza in the continental United States. *PLoS Biol.* **8**, e1000316
- (2010).