

## CORRECTION

# Correction: Modelled health benefits of a sugar-sweetened beverage tax across different socioeconomic groups in Australia: A cost-effectiveness and equity analysis

Anita Lal, Ana Maria Mantilla-Herrera, Lennert Veerman, Kathryn Backholer, Gary Sacks, Marjory Moodie, Mohammad Siahpush, Rob Carter, Anna Peeters

In supporting information file [S4 Table](#), some table row headers were omitted in the final version of the table, leading to incorrect numbers in the rows affected. For example in the last section '50c per litre', the row heading '% expenditure food and non-alcoholic drinks' is missing.


Please see the corrected [S4 Table](#).

## Supporting information

**S4 Table. Cost-effectiveness results of the sensitivity analyses.**  
(PDF)

## Reference

1. Lal A, Mantilla-Herrera AM, Veerman L, Backholer K, Sacks G, Moodie M, et al. (2017) Modelled health benefits of a sugar-sweetened beverage tax across different socioeconomic groups in Australia: A cost-effectiveness and equity analysis. *PLoS Med* 14(6): e1002326. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002326> PMID: [28654688](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28654688/)



## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Lal A, Mantilla-Herrera AM, Veerman L, Backholer K, Sacks G, Moodie M, et al. (2020) Correction: Modelled health benefits of a sugar-sweetened beverage tax across different socioeconomic groups in Australia: A cost-effectiveness and equity analysis. *PLoS Med* 17(7): e1003310. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003310>

**Published:** July 29, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Lal et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.