#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Selenium mitigates salt‑induced oxidative stress in durum wheat (***Triticum durum* **Desf.) seedlings by modulating chlorophyll fuorescence, osmolyte accumulation, and antioxidant system**

**Yong Liang1 · Daqing Li2 · Yuexing Chen3 · Jianping Cheng2 · Gang Zhao1 · Tzion Fahima4 · Jun Yan[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2994-9044)**

Received: 28 October 2019 / Accepted: 25 July 2020 / Published online: 1 August 2020 © King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology 2020

# **Abstract**

Hydroponic experiments were conducted to investigate the effects of different concentrations of sodium selenate  $(N_a, SeO_4)$ and sodium selenite  $(Na_2, \text{SeO}_3)$  on durum wheat seed germination and seedling growth under salt stress. The treatments used were 0 and 50 mM NaCl solutions, each supplemented with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  at 0, 0.1, 1, 2, 4, 8, or 10 µM. Salt alone significantly inhibited seed germination and reduced seedling growth. Addition of low concentrations  $(0.1-4 \mu M)$  of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> mitigated the adverse effects of salt stress on seed germination, biomass accumulation, and other physiological attributes. Among them,  $1 \mu M Na_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub> was most effective at restoring seed germination rate, germination energy, and germination index, signifcantly increasing these parameters by about 12.35, 24.17, and 11.42%, respectively, compared to salt-stress conditions. Adding low concentrations of  $Na_2SeO_4$  or  $Na_2SeO_3$  to the salt solution also had positive effects on chlorophyll fuorescence indices, decreased the concentrations of free proline and malondialdehyde, as well as electrolyte leakage, and increased catalase, superoxide dismutase, and peroxidase activities in roots and shoots. However, high concentrations (8–10 μM) of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> disrupted seed germination and seedling growth, with damage caused by Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> being more severe than that by  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ . It is thus clear that exogenous selenium can improve the adaptability of processing wheat to salt stress and maintain higher photosynthetic rate by decreasing the accumulation of reactive oxygen species and alleviating the degree of membrane lipid peroxidation.  $Na_2SeO_4$  was more effective than  $Na_2SeO_3$  at all given concentrations.

**Keywords** Durum wheat · Sodium selenate · Sodium selenite · Seed germination · Seedling growth · Salt stress

#### **Abbreviations**



**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-02358-3\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-02358-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 $\boxtimes$  Jun Yan yan\_jun62@qq.com

- Key Laboratory of Coarse Cereal Processing in Ministry of Agriculture, School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Chengdu University, Chengdu 610106, China
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Triticeae Crops, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China
- <sup>3</sup> College of Science, Sichuan Agricultural University, Yaan 625014, China
- <sup>4</sup> Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Haifa 31905, Israel







### **Introduction**

Salinity is one of the most harmful abiotic stresses limiting crop growth and productivity. High salinity can cause water scarcity and ion disequilibrium that reduces plant growth by disrupting physiological processes. Symptoms of salt stress are expressed as both stomatal and non-stomatal limitations (Sarabi et al. [2019](#page-12-0)). Under stomatal limitation, the plant reduces the stomatal aperture to prevent injury, leading to a decrease in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  availability and net photosynthesis (Pilon et al. [2018\)](#page-12-1). Most of the reduction in photon fux energy used for photochemistry can be explained as an increase in nonphotochemical dissipation of excitation energy, which seems to be associated with the photosystem II (PSII) complex (Cengiz et al. [2019;](#page-11-0) José et al. [2015](#page-12-2)). The chlorophyll fuorescence yield of PSII, including potential efficiency of PSII (Fv/Fo) and minimal fuorescence yield (Fo), can indicate stress to photosynthesis and damage to the photosynthetic apparatus (Wu et al. [2019](#page-13-0)). Non-stomatal limitations may affect plant photosynthetic efficiency, as has been reported for mulberry (Huihui et al. [2020](#page-12-3)) and potato (Li et al. [2017](#page-12-4)). Photochemical activity is impaired in plants exposed to environmental stresses, leading to a relatively higher intercellular  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration with lower stomatal conductance (Miner et al. [2017\)](#page-12-5). Salt stress can also cause the inhibition of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) activity, the degradation of pigment–protein complexes and the destruction of fne chloroplast structure (Ahanger et al. [2020\)](#page-11-1). The chloroplast, the main site for photosynthesis, is the most sensitive organelle to the environment (Wang et al. [2015](#page-13-1)). It is also the major source of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. Metabolic imbalances caused by salt stress may also lead to secondary stresses, such as oxidative stress triggered by the accumulation of ROS. ROS can cause serious damage to the membranes and other important macro-molecules, such as lipids, nucleic acids, and photosynthetic pigments. Plants respond to salt stress via an efficient antioxidant defense system network that maintains ROS balance and protects against peroxidative reactions. Studies have shown that superoxide dismutase (SOD) is an integral part of this antioxidant defense system network, converting the harmful superoxide radical  $(O_2^-)$ to hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , which is then converted by catalase (CAT) and/or peroxidase (POD) to water (Harpreet et al. [2018](#page-12-6); Kong et al. [2005\)](#page-12-7). Another mechanism by which plants can alleviate some negative efects of salt stress is through nutrient enrichment, toward osmotic adjustment. However, most of the aforementioned studies focused on

macronutrients, such as phosphorus (Liu et al. [2017\)](#page-12-8), potassium (Wu et al. [2018](#page-13-2)), and calcium (Feng et al. [2018](#page-12-9)).

Selenium (Se), a rare and dispersed element in the earth's crust, is recognized as an essential micronutrient for humans and other animals, as well as some species of microorganisms (Handa et al. [2018b](#page-12-10); Yan et al. [2018](#page-13-3)). Se has two predominant inorganic forms in the soil, selenate  $(SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup>)$ , and selenite (SeO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>), which show completely different adsorption properties:  $\text{SeO}_3^2$ <sup>-</sup> adsorption increases with decreasing pH and increasing concentration and is greater than  $\text{SeO}_4^2$ <sup>-</sup> adsorption (Balistrieri and Chao [1990](#page-11-2)). Although Se (SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup> and SeO<sub>3</sub><sup>2–</sup>) has not been identified as an essential element for higher plants, both chemical species can be absorbed by the plants, thereby entering the food chain. The absorption potential for Se in plants is highly correlated with diferences in genetic traits that afect Se uptake and migration in plant materials (Meetu and Shikha [2016](#page-12-11)).  $\text{SeO}_4^2$  competes with sulfate for absorption by plants, involved in sulfur assimilation, and is then transported to the plants through the sulfur transport pathway in chloroplasts. Numerous studies have shown that Se is able to promote plants' growth by improving their antioxidant capacity, and their tolerance to the detrimental efects of diverse environmental stressors (Ahmad et al. [2016;](#page-11-3) D'Amato et al. [2018;](#page-11-4) Handa et al. [2018a;](#page-12-12) Hawrylak-Nowak et al. [2018](#page-12-13); Jawad Hassan et al. [2020\)](#page-12-14). POD and CAT activity in leaves has been found to be the most sensitive indicator for plants exposed to  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{SeO}_3^{2-}$  application, respectively (Yu and Gu [2007\)](#page-13-4). However, the specifc physiological and molecular mechanisms that underlie the positive efects of Se in response to environmental stresses need to be further clarifed.

Wheat (*Triticum* spp.), one of the oldest cereals, is the most important crop in the world. With approximately 620 million tons produced annually worldwide, wheat provides about 20% of the calories consumed by humans (Zvi et al. [2009\)](#page-13-5). Wild emmer wheat is the tetraploid  $(2n=4x=28;$ genome BBAA) progenitor of both domesticated tetraploid durum wheat [*T*. *turgidum* ssp. *durum* (Desf.) MacKey] and hexaploid  $(2 = 6x = 42; BBAADD)$  bread wheat  $(T.$ *aestivum* L.) (Peleg et al. [2008](#page-12-15)). It harbors a broad repertoire of resistance genes to diseases, drought, and salt (Peleg et al. [2010\)](#page-12-16). This study investigated the responses of durum wheat grown in hydroponic solution spiked with  $\text{SeO}_4^2$ <sup>-</sup> or  $\text{SeO}_3^2$ <sup>-</sup>, with an emphasis on the correlation between seed germination, seedling growth, and Se application under salt stress. In addition, we compared the effects of  $\text{SeO}_4^2$ <sup>2–</sup> and  $\text{SeO}_3^2$ <sup>2–</sup> on chlorophyll fluorescence and the antioxidant system in salt-stressed durum wheat seedlings to ascertain the effect induced by different forms and concentrations of Se. The present study might serve to better understand the potential mechanisms by which diferent forms of Se enhance tolerance to salt stress and

protect photochemical efficiency, by upregulating antioxidant enzyme activity and accumulating osmolytes against salt-induced damage of wheat seeds and seedlings.

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Plant material and growth conditions**

The test plant material used in this study consisted of fve  $F<sub>7</sub>$  recombinant inbred lines (RILs, durum wheat) derived from a cross between durum wheat (female) cv. Langdon and wild emmer wheat (male) accession G18-16. The fve  $F<sub>7</sub>$  RILs, currently planted in a greenhouse at Chengdu University, China, were supplied by the Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Israel. Uniform seeds were disinfected using 3.6% sodium hypochlorite for 10 min and then rinsed with deionized water. Seventy healthy seeds were selected for each treatment and transferred to petri dishes (120 mm diameter) on flter paper for germination. Salt and two types of Se were provided in the deionized water as NaCl, sodium selenate  $(Na_2SeO_4)$ , and sodium selenite ( $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ ), respectively (Table [1](#page-2-0)). The concentrations of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  were decided by further refning the Se concentration mentioned in the literature (Elkelish et al. [2019;](#page-11-5) Qin et al. [2018\)](#page-12-17), while for NaCl, the concentration with the most stimulatingly response to diferent concentrations of Se as observed from preliminary studies was used. Each treatment was replicated fve times. The solutions were replenished every 2 days. Seeds were germinated in a growth chamber under a day/night temperature of  $25/20$  °C, 16-h photoperiod, with 60–70% relative humidity and 300 µmol  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> irradiance. The number of sprouted seeds was recorded daily and no fertilizer was added during the experiment. After 10 days, ten seedlings from each petri dish were harvested to calculate and analyze diferent parameters.

#### **Seed germination and growth measurements**

Seed germination rate (GR, %) was recorded by measuring the percentage of seed germination over 7 days, and germination energy (GE, %) was measured for 3 days according to the method described by Wang et al. ([2012\)](#page-13-6). Germination index (GI) was calculated using Eq. (1). After 10 days of treatment, the shoots and roots of ten seedlings were harvested separately and their corresponding lengths and fresh weight (FW) were measured:

$$
GI = \sum \frac{Gt}{Dt}.
$$
 (1)

Here, *Gt* is the number of seeds germinating on day and *Dt* is the number of days of germination.

# **Collection and measurement of antioxidative enzymes**

SOD, POD, and CAT contents in the roots and shoots were determined using an ultraviolet–visible spectrophotometer (U-T6, Yipu Instrument Manufacturing, Inc., China). For enzyme extraction, fresh root or shoot tissues (0.2 g) were extracted in ice-cold potassium phosphate bufer (50 mM, pH 7.8) containing 1% (w/v) polyvinyl pyrrolidone using a pre-chilled mortar and pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 $\times$ *g* for 25 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was used as the crude enzyme extract.

The activity of CAT in roots and shoots was determined by measuring the decrease in  $H_2O_2$  at 240 nm using the above crude enzyme extract to initiate the reaction in 3 mL of a reaction mixture containing 50 mM phosphate bufer (pH 7.0) and 10 mM  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  (Abei [1984\)](#page-11-6). SOD activity in roots and shoots was assayed by measuring its capacity to inhibit the photochemical reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium, as previously described by Beauchamp et al. ([1971](#page-11-7)). POD activity in roots and shoots was measured using guaiacol substrates, with one unit of enzyme activity expressed as

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Summary of the experiments conducted in this study, including Se and salt treatments, forms of applied Se and genotypes used





an increase of 0.01 absorbance units at 470 nm (Upadhyaya et al. [1985](#page-13-7)).

#### **Chlorophyll fuorescence measurements**

Chlorophyll fuorescence was measured using a PAM chlorophyll fuorometer (PAM-2500, WALZ, Inc., Germany) as described by Liu et al. [\(2018](#page-12-18)). The leaves of 10-day-old seedlings were stored in the dark for 20 min, and the maximal and minimal fuorescence yields (Fm and Fo, respectively) and maximal PSII photochemical efficiency (Fv/Fm) were then measured. Photochemical quantum yield [Y(II)], non-photochemical quenching (NPQ), variable fuorescence (Fv), and Fv/Fo were then measured on the same leaves in the light-adapted state.

# **Estimation of free proline and malondialdehyde (MDA) contents, and electrolyte leakage**

For the estimation of proline, 0.5 g of fresh leaf sample was homogenized using a pestle and mortar with 5 ml of 3% (w/v) sulfosalicylic acid. After centrifugation (5 min at 20,000×*g*), 0.5 ml of the supernatant was incubated at 100 °C for 60 min with 0.5 ml of glacial acetic acid and 0.5 ml of ninhydrin reagent. After cooling, 1 ml of toluene was added to the mixture, and the proline content was measured by colorimetric method at 520 nm using toluene as a blank (Bates et al. [1973](#page-11-8); Handa. et al. [2018a,](#page-12-12) [b\)](#page-12-10).

MDA content was determined using the thiobarbituric acid method as described by Heath and Packer ([1968](#page-12-19)). Briefy, leaves (0.5 g) were homogenized in 5 mL of 20% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. The homogenate was centrifuged at 10,000×*g* for 20 min. The supernatant (1 mL) was mixed with 4 mL of 20% trichloroacetic acid containing  $0.5\%$  (w/v) thiobarbituric acid, and the mixture was boiled at 95 °C for 30 min. After cooling in an ice bath, the samples were recentrifuged for 10 min and absorbance of the resulting supernatant was read at 532 and 600 nm.

Electrolyte leakage from leaves was measured by the method described by Alyemeni et al. ([2018a\)](#page-11-9) with some modifcations. Ten seedling leaves from each treatment were foated on 10 mL distilled water, and then, initial electrical conductivity  $(EC_0)$  was measured using an EC meter (DDSJ-308A, INESA Scientifc Instrument Co., Ltd., China) at 25 °C. The same samples were then boiled at 30 °C for 20 min and 120 °C for 10 min to measure the respective EC values ( $EC_1$  and  $EC_2$ ). Electrolyte leakage was calculated as:

(2) Electrolyte leakage (%) =  $(EC_1 - EC_0) / (EC_2 - EC_0) \times 100$ .



#### **Statistical analysis**

All results were expressed as an average of five  $F_7$  RILs values. Data were analyzed using JMP® ver. 6.0 software (SAS Institute) and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Tukey–Kramer's honestly signifcant diference (HSD) test was used to compare means of all pairs (signifcance level, 5%). SigmaPlot<sup>®</sup> ver. 12.0 software was used to draw histograms and line graphs, and images were edited with Photoshop CS4. Correlation network analysis was carried out by Pearson correlation coefficients. A correlation matrix was obtained using the R language statistical package together with Cytoscape<sup>®</sup> ver. 2.7.0 software.

#### **Results**

#### **Seed germination**

Salt stress signifcantly decreased GR, GE, and GI by about 16.92, 17.91, and 13.68%, respectively, compared to nonsalt-stressed controls (Table [2](#page-4-0)). However, application of 0.1, 1, 2, and 4  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> under salt-stressed conditions increased these parameters. The increment in germination parameters from 0.1 to 4  $\mu$ M treatment concentration was higher for  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  than  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ . However, treatment with 8 and 10  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> resulted in a significant decrease in these parameters.  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  caused more of a decrease in GR, GE, and GI than  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  at the same concentration, for both 8 and 10  $\mu$ M. Overall, Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> had a more pronounced effect on the germination parameters of durum wheat than equal strengths of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ . In terms of restoring germination parameters,  $1 \mu M N a_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub> was the most efective, as compared to both other concentrations of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> and all concentrations of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>. Seeds treated with 1  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> showed a significant improvement in GR, GE, and GI, by approximately 12.35, 24.17, and 11.42%, respectively, compared to non-Se-treated seeds subjected to salt stress.

#### **Plant growth**

The effects of salinity and Se supplementation on growth of durum wheat seedling are summarized in Fig. [1](#page-5-0). Root and shoot lengths, root and shoot FW, total biomass, and root/ shoot ratio of salt-treated seedlings were lower than those of the control seedlings, indicating that the seedlings were affected by salt stress. Exogenous application of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  to the salt-stressed seedlings had positive efects on seedling growth. The seedling growth parameters increased with low concentrations of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , and decreased at high  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations. Seedlings treated with 0.1, 1, 2, and 4  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>

Salt stress $NaCl$ (mM)	Se supply $(\mu M)$	Na <sub>2</sub> SeO <sub>4</sub>			Na <sub>2</sub> SeO <sub>3</sub>		
		$GR(\%)$	GE(%)	GI	$GR(\%)$	GE(%)	GI
$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$75.14 \pm 11.57a$	$51.86 \pm 5.12b$	$17.25 \pm 8.00a$	$75.14 \pm 11.57a$	$51.86 \pm 5.12b$	$17.25 \pm 8.00a$
50	$\overline{0}$	$62.43 + 8.26$ bc	$42.57 + 5.60$ cd	$14.89 + 8.13ab$	$62.43 + 8.26b$	$42.57 + 5.60d$	$14.89 \pm 8.13$ ab
50	0.1	$63.78 \pm 13.01$ bc	$48.57 + 7.56c$	$15.10 + 9.60ab$	$62.57 \pm 8.94b$	$43.57 \pm 5.65d$	$14.99 \pm 8.21$ ab
50		$70.14 \pm 15.00a$	$58.86 \pm 7.79a$	$16.59 + 9.91a$	$68.29 \pm 18.39ab$	$53.86 \pm 6.78a$	$16.21 \pm 8.84a$
50	2	$68.29 + 19.70ab$	$54.00 + 8.42b$	$16.19 + 10.44a$	$66.14 + 13.50b$	$46.01 \pm 6.21$ cd	$15.65 \pm 8.01$ ab
50	$\overline{4}$	$65.29 + 18.59$ abc	$51.57 + 6.65b$	$15.21 \pm 8.41$ ab	$65.14 + 12.47b$	$49.71 + 6.38$ bc	$15.35 \pm 8.33ab$
50	8	$55.29 \pm 13.12$ cd	$42.11 \pm 4.59$ cd	$14.20 \pm 7.27ab$	$52.86 \pm 15.24c$	$42.06 \pm 6.59$ d	$12.44 \pm 7.99$ ab
50	10	$46.42 + 22.07d$	$40.43 + 4.72d$	$11.96 + 6.85b$	$44.86 + 15.44c$	$35.00 \pm 5.77$ e	$11.41 + 7.24b$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2** Effects of application of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> at different concentrations on germination parameters of durum wheat seeds exposed to salt stress

Data are mean $\pm$ SD of five replicates. Different letters in a column indicate significant differences at  $P < 0.05$ 

*GR* seed germination rate, *GE* Germination energy, *GI* Germination index

or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  showed increases in shoot length, shoot FW, and total biomass. The changes in root length, root FW, and root/shoot ratio of seedlings in response to 0.1, 1, and 2  $\mu$ M  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  showed similar trends. Thus, both  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  had stimulatory effects on durum wheat seedling development under salt stress. However, the growth parameters following treatment with high concentrations of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  (8 and 10  $\mu$ M) decreased more drastically than under salt stress alone, demonstrating that high  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  concentrations cause more damage than salt stress. Moreover, 8 and 10  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> caused a greater decrease in growth parameters than equal concentrations of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ .

#### **Chlorophyll fuorescence parameters**

The effects of Se and salt stress on chlorophyll fluorescence of durum wheat seedlings are shown as radar plots (Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0) according to JIP test. Salt stress had negative efects on the chlorophyll fuorescence parameters. The frst observed symptom of salt stress was a decrease in Fm. This was followed by signifcant decreases in Y(II), Fv/Fm, Fv, and Fv/Fo, and notable increases in NPQ and Fo compared to the controls (Fig. [2,](#page-6-0) Table S1). Addition of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  to the salt solution had positive effects on fluorescence indices. Y(II), Fm and Fv increased signifcantly with increasing  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> concentrations from 0.1 to 4  $\mu$ M, and$ then decreased as the  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> concentration rose from 4 to$ 10 μM (Fig. [2a](#page-6-0), Table S1). Increasing the  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> concen$ trations from 0.1 to 2  $\mu$ M resulted in significant increases in Fv/Fm and Fv/Fo, as well as signifcant decreases in NPQ and Fo. However, Fv/Fm and Fv/Fo decreased, and NPQ and Fo increased when  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  was increased from 2 to 10 μM. Salt-stressed seedlings grown with the addition of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  showed trends similar to the  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  treatment for all chlorophyll fuorescence parameters (Fig. [2b](#page-6-0), Table S1).

However, leaf Y(II) and Fv peaked at 4  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>, and leaf Fm, Fv/Fm and Fv/Fo peaked at 2  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>. In addition, Fo and NPQ dropped to their lowest values with 1 and 2  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. It should be noted that the increases in Y(II), Fm, Fv/Fm, Fv and Fv/Fo, and the decreases in NPQ and Fo, caused by addition of a low concentration (0.1  $\mu$ M) of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> were more pronounced than with 0.1  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>. However, the higher Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> treatment (10  $\mu$ M) caused a drastic reduction in Y(II), Fm, Fv/Fm, Fv, and Fv/Fo, and a drastic increase in NPQ and Fo, with more pronounced changes in the presence of 10  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> than with Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>.

#### **Oxidative damage to shoots**

As a refection of membrane damage, free proline and MDA contents and electrolyte leakage (Table [3](#page-6-1)) increased signifcantly, by about 72.2, 87.50 and 195.33%, respectively, in the leaves of salt-stressed plants. Leaf contents of free proline and MDA, and electrolyte leakage exhibited similar changes in response to exogenous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ . At low  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_4$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  concentrations (0.1–4 µM), leakage tended to decrease; notably, at  $4 \mu M N a_2$ SeO<sub>4</sub> and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , free proline and MDA contents, and electrolyte leakage decreased to a minimum, with  $4 \mu M N_a$ SeO<sub>3</sub> resulting in dramatic decreases of 37.76, 38.90, and 55.00%, respectively, relative to salt-stressed seedlings grown without Se addition. The decrement in membrane damage was higher for the  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  vs.  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  treatment. Increasing the concentrations of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  to 8 and 10  $\mu$ M enhanced the production of free proline and caused a signifcant increase in MDA content and electrolyte leakage compared to the 4 µM Se treatments. In salt-stressed seedlings grown with 10 μM Se, the values of the membrane-damage parameters were similar to those in the salt-only treatments. However, these effects varied with the type of Se.  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ 



S<sub>6</sub>

 $\perp$ 

S6



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Effects of application of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_4$  or  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  at different concentrations on growth parameters of durum wheat seedlings exposed to salt stress. Values are means of five replicates $\pm$ SD. Different letters indicate signifcant diference at *P*<0.05. *FW* fresh weight. Control 0 mM NaCl+0 μM Se (only deionized water), *S0* 50 mM NaCl

at 10 μM signifcantly increased free proline, MDA, and electrolyte leakage by 57.57, 70.07, and 118.30%, respectively, compared to 4  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>; even bigger changes in free proline and MDA contents and electrolyte leakage were caused by  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  at equivalent concentrations.

#### + 0 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S1* 50 mM NaCl + 0.1 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S2* 50 mM NaCl + 1  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S3* 50 mM NaCl + 2  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S4* 50 mM NaCl + 4 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S5* 50 mM NaCl + 8 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , *S6* 50 mM NaCl + 10  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>)

# **Activities of antioxidant enzymes in roots and shoots**

To avoid oxidative damage, plants initiate complex antioxidant defense mechanisms which regulate the contents and





<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Effects of application of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_4$  (a) or  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  (b) at different concentrations on chlorophyll fuorescence parameters in seedlings exposed to salt stress. Each value is expressed as a mean of the ratio: (treated sample−control sample)/control sample. The value of the control (non-salt-stressed) sample is used as a reference and set to zero. *Control* 0 mM NaCl + 0 μM Se (only deionized water), *S0*

50 mM NaCl + 0  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S1* 50 mM NaCl + 0.1 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S*2 50 mM NaCl + 1 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S3* 50 mM NaCl + 2  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S4* 50 mM NaCl + 4  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S5* 50 mM NaCl + 8 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S6* 50 mM NaCl + 10 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ 

<span id="page-6-1"></span>Table 3 Effects of application of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> at different concentrations on free proline and MDA contents, and electrolyte leakage in durum wheat shoots exposed to salt stress

Salt stress $NaCl$ (mM)	Se supply $(\mu M)$	Na <sub>2</sub> SeO <sub>4</sub>			Na <sub>2</sub> SeO <sub>3</sub>			
		Free proline ( $\mu$ g g $FW^{-1}$	$MDA$ (mmol g $FW^{-1}$	Electrolyte leak- age $(\%)$	Free proline ( $\mu$ g g $FW^{-1}$	$MDA$ (mmol g $FW^{-1}$	Electrolyte leakage $(\%)$	
$\theta$	$\Omega$	$42.24 + 2.16d$	$9.68 + 0.54d$	$8.36 + 0.96d$	$42.24 + 2.16d$	$9.68 + 0.54c$	$8.36 + 0.96c$	
50	$\Omega$	$73.59 \pm 3.01a$	$18.15 \pm 0.36a$	$24.69 \pm 1.12a$	$73.59 \pm 3.01a$	$18.15 \pm 0.36a$	$24.69 \pm 1.12a$	
50	0.1	$69.82 + 2.59a$	$17.11 + 1.05ab$	$23.56 \pm 1.01a$	$70.53 + 2.54ab$	$17.86 + 0.96a$	$23.97 + 1.21a$	
50		$62.40 + 2.39b$	$15.14 + 1.23$ bc	$19.24 + 0.87b$	$63.69 + 1.59$ bc	$16.00 + 0.54ab$	$20.04 + 1.18b$	
50	$\mathfrak{D}$	$54.72 + 1.69c$	$13.35 + 1.37c$	$16.36 + 0.60c$	$56.72 + 2.31c$	$13.95 + 0.91b$	$17.57 + 0.96b$	
50	4	$44.76 \pm 2.21$ d	$10.09 \pm 0.64$ d	$10.22 + 0.88d$	$45.80 \pm 1.36d$	$11.09 \pm 1.01c$	$11.11 \pm 1.00c$	
50	8	$63.43 + 2.00b$	$16.59 + 0.78ab$	$16.06 + 1.03c$	$65.29 + 1.54b$	$17.69 + 0.90a$	$18.46 + 0.96b$	
50	10	$70.53 + 1.26a$	$17.16 \pm 1.11$ ab	$22.31 \pm 1.20a$	$73.50 + 2.68a$	$18.15 + 1.21a$	$24.36 \pm 1.10a$	

Data are mean $\pm$ SD of five replicates. Different letters in a column indicate significant differences at *P* < 0.05

activities of ROS in response to stress. As shown in Fig. [3,](#page-7-0) the activities of CAT, SOD, and POD were signifcantly decreased in salt-stressed seedlings compared to controls: by 9.52, 10.20, and 5.45%, respectively, in the roots, and by 9.70, 12.00, and 4.82%, respectively, in the shoots. The efects of Se on these antioxidant enzymes' activities in the salt-stressed seedlings were both type- and concentration-dependent. Addition of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  generally enhanced CAT, SOD, and POD activities in the roots and shoots, while the positive effects of high  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> concentration (8 and 10 µ) on these enzyme$ 

activities declined somewhat relative to the low-concentration additions. Compared to salt stress alone, CAT, SOD, and POD activities in the roots and shoots increased dramatically with increasing  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  from 0.1 to 4  $\mu$ M. However, their activities gradually decreased when the rate of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ was increased from 4 to 10  $\mu$ M. In the salt-stressed seedlings treated with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , these enzymes' activities showed trends similar to those with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  addition. However, their activities in roots and shoots peaked at 2 μM and 4 μM  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , respectively. It is worth noting that the increase in CAT, SOD, and POD activities caused by treatments with





<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 3 Effects of application of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  at different concentrations on activities of CAT, SOD, and POD in durum wheat roots and shoots exposed to salt stress. Values are means of five replicates $\pm$ SD. Different letters indicate significant differences at *P*<0.05. *FW* fresh weight, *CAT* catalase, *SOD* superoxide dismutase, *POD* peroxidase. *Control* 0 mM NaCl + 0 μM Se (only

deionized water), *S0* 50 mM NaCl + 0  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S1* 50 mM NaCl + 0.1  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S250* mM NaCl  $+ 1$  μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S3* 50 mM NaCl + 2 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$ ), *S4* 50 mM NaCl + 4  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S5* 50 mM NaCl + 8 μM Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), *S6* 50 mM NaCl + 10 μM  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> (orNa<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>)$ 



 $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  was higher than that with addition of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , except for CAT activity in the shoots.

#### **Correlation network analysis**

Correlation network analysis can reveal relationships between antioxidative indicators (Huang et al. [2018\)](#page-12-20). To investigate the relationships between the diferent forms of Se, chlorophyll fuorescence, and antioxidant enzyme activities, we performed a pairwise correlation analysis. Of these correlations, 7 and 52 significant correlations  $(P < 0.05)$ were identifed in Fig. [4a](#page-8-0), b, respectively. In Fig. [4a](#page-8-0), both  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  were found to be negatively correlated with GR, GE, and GI, and positively correlated with root SOD activity and total biomass.  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  was positively correlated with root CAT activity, but showed no correlation with root POD activity, whereas  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  showed the opposite. In Fig. [4](#page-8-0)b, most of the correlations were of total biomass–chlorophyll fuorescence parameters, antioxidative enzyme activities–chlorophyll fuorescence parameters, and membrane-damage parameters–chlorophyll fuorescence parameters. Among them, the correlation between Fv and CAT, SOD, and POD activities in the shoots difered with the diferent forms of Se. Fv was positively correlated with CAT, SOD, and POD activities in the shoots of seedlings treated with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ , whereas it was negatively correlated

a

with these antioxidant enzyme activities in the shoots of seedlings treated with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ . This was also the situation for Fo and total biomass correlations under  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  treatment. Furthermore, both  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  were significantly correlated with antioxidant enzymes in the roots, but not in the shoots.

#### **Discussion**

The most important stages in the ontogeny of higher plants are seed germination and seedling growth (Foti et al. [2018](#page-12-21)). Here, salt stress caused a drastic reduction in durum wheat seed germination parameters (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). However, addition of low concentrations (1–4  $\mu$ M) of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> to the salt-containing medium led to a signifcant increase in GR, GE, and GI compared to the salt-stressed controls. At high concentrations  $(8-10 \mu M)$ , the beneficial effects of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  declined. The observed effects of Se are in agreement with recent reports by Moulick et al. ([2016](#page-12-22)), who observed that at a low concentration (0.8 mg  $L^{-1}$ ), Se significantly promotes the GR of abiotically stressed rice seeds, whereas at a higher concentration (1 mg  $L^{-1}$ ), it has an adverse effect on seed germination ability. Se is an essential component of glutathione peroxidase. Glutathione peroxidase can scavenge free radicals produced

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Correlations among Se, seed germination parameters, total biomass, antioxidant enzyme activities, peroxidation products, and chlorophyll fuorescence parameters under exposure to salt stress. Edges between nodes indicate positive and negative correlations (green and red lines, respectively). All correlations were signifcant (*P*<0.05) in the network. *Se* selenium, *GR* seed germination rate, *GI* Germination index, *GE* Germination energy, *NPQ* nonphotochemical quenching, *Y(II)* photochemical quantum yield, *Fo* minimal fuorescence yield, *Fv* variable fuorescence, *Fv/Fm* maximal *PSII* photochemical efficiency, *Fm* maximal fluorescence yield,  $Fv/Fo$  potential efficiency of PSII, *PSII* photosystem II, *MDA* malondialdehyde, *CAT* catalase, *SOD* superoxide dismutase, *POD* peroxidase



مدينة الملك عبدالعزيز Springer<br>KACST اللغلوم والتقنية KACST

by lipid hydroperoxides and reduce peroxidation damage in the seed cell membrane. Therefore, low concentrations of Se can maintain the antioxidant system, promote growth and metabolism, alleviate stress damage, and enhance stress resistance in seeds. However, adding a high concentration of Se to the growth substrate can reduce antioxidant activity and  $\alpha$ -amylase activity in the seeds, and have a toxic effect. Under salt-stress conditions, the efects of Se on seedling growth were similar to those on seed germination. Application of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  at low concentrations promoted the growth of salt-stressed durum wheat seedlings, whereas at high concentrations, they had an inhibitory efect on biomass accumulation (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)). The two forms of Se difered in the concentration at which they exerted their maximum positive efect on seed germination and growth parameters: 1 μM for Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> and 2 μM for Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>. At the same time, correlation network analysis showed that both  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ and  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  are negatively correlated with germination parameters, and positively correlated with total biomass of seedlings (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)a). These results indicated that the seeds are more sensitive to exogenous Se than seedlings, possibly because germinating seeds are the frst interface of material exchange between the growing plant and the environment. In addition, the increment in germination parameters was higher for  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  vs.  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  treatment. This indicates that the specifc efect depends not only on the dosage, but also the form of the Se application.

In addition to its inhibitory effects on seed germination and plant growth, salinity stress provokes a decrease in photosynthesis (Nassar et al. [2020](#page-12-23)). Chlorophyll fuorescence refects the primary reactions of photosynthesis (Pleban et al. [2020\)](#page-12-24), and its measurement is frequently used to monitor the photosynthetic process in plants because of its sensitivity to abiotic stress and its relatively small damage to plant samples (Ni et al. [2019\)](#page-12-25). Reductions in Fv, Fv/ Fo, Fv/Fm, and Y(II) indicate inhibition of photosynthesis and a decline in the photochemical activity of PSII (Ya-wei et al. [2019\)](#page-13-8). In the present study, Fv, Fv/Fo, Fv/Fm, and Y(II) were significantly reduced under salt stress (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)) and Table S1), indicating that salinity induces a reduction in PSII photochemical activity and electron transport. Similar results were obtained by Sui et al. [\(2018\)](#page-12-26) and Zhang et al.  $(2018)$ . Fv, Fv/Fo, Fv/Fm, and Y(II) were increased in the salt-stressed durum wheat seedlings treated with low concentrations (0.1–2  $\mu$ M) of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>, showing improvements in PSII photochemical activity and conversion efficiency under salt stress. However, at high concentrations (8–10  $\mu$ M) of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub> or Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>, the damage intensifed, possibly related to increased Se accumulation in the leaves. The high concentration of Se in the leaves might inhibit the enzyme kinetics or electron transport chain of photosynthesis through the replacement of sulfur, thereby afecting the photosynthesis. The tertiary structure of most



proteins depends on the formation of disulfde bonds (S–S). When cysteine is replaced by selenocysteine, a new diselenide bond (Se–Se) or selenium–sulfde bond (Se–S) is formed. This damages the structure of the PSII complex in the chloroplast and exerts a strong inhibitory efect on photosynthetic electron transfer. In addition, replacement of the sulfur atom by Se in the key enzymes of chlorophyll synthesis destroys their confguration and reduces their activity, seriously hindering chlorophyll synthesis. The NPQ value represents the plant's photo-protective capacity, i.e., its ability to prevent damage from excess light energy. Fo refects the initial fuorescence yield, when the electron acceptor quencher A in PSII is maximally oxidized. The Fm value indicates the fuorescence level when quencher A is maximally reduced. In this study, we also observed that low concentrations of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  significantly decrease NPQ, Fo, and Fm in the salt-stressed durum wheat, whereas these parameters increased with increasing  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  concentration (Fig. 2). In addition, network correlation analysis revealed that the total biomass of wheat is positively correlated with multiple chlorophyll fuorescence parameters (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)b). These results suggest that a suitable level of Se prevents excessive excitation of PSII via regulation of the photo-protective mechanism under salt stress. However, a high level of Se may cause the loss of thylakoid membrane integrity and damage plant photosyn-thesis (Fig. [5\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, the lower  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  concentration  $(0.1 \mu M)$  resulted in a more positive effect on chlorophyll fluorescence parameters than 0.1  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>. However, at the highest Se concentration (10  $\mu$ M), the negative effect on these parameters was more pronounced for  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  than for  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ . This might be due to the different uptake and biotransformation pathways of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  (Fig. [5](#page-10-0)). Although both chemical species of Se were absorbed by plants from the growth media, biotransformation of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ and  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  in the chloroplast relies heavily on the availability of specifcally designated enzymes in the plant tissue (Hawrylak-Nowak [2009\)](#page-12-27). In addition to passive absorption, the absorption of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  by plants is also closely related to root metabolic processes, whereas  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  absorption by plant roots is dominated by active absorption. This could produce the diferent responses of the chlorophyll fuorescence parameters to increasing Se concentrations observed in this study.

As the site for photosynthesis, chloroplasts are also the main source of ROS (Khorobrykh et al. [2020\)](#page-12-28). Increased accumulation of ROS in leaves has been shown to destroy photosynthetic pigments and enhance membrane damage (Bukhat et al. [2019\)](#page-11-10). By monitoring the changes in free proline and MDA contents and degree of electrolyte leakage, we demonstrated the effects of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_4$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$ on the oxidative stress imposed by salt stress in the leaves. For example, applying low concentrations  $(0.1-4 \mu M)$  of



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Possible mechanism of Se-induced salt tolerance in durum wheat. Salt accumulation triggers oxidative damage by increasing the production of ROS. Increased electrolyte leakage and lipid peroxidation, as well as reduced mineral absorption, damage the biomembrane in salt-stressed plants leading to a considerable decline in seed germination ability and growth retardation. Se supplementation strengthens the endogenous tolerance mechanisms by inhibiting the accumulation of Cl− and Na+, and inducing enzymes (antioxidants) involved in protecting the metabolic and assimilatory pathways. Se-induced upregulation of the antioxidant system leads to rapid elimination of ROS, thereby protecting membranes, while the reduced accumula-

 $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  clearly inhibited electrolyte leakage, and the decrease in free proline and MDA accumulation in salt-treated wheat leaves (Table [3\)](#page-6-1). Gong et al. [\(2012\)](#page-12-29) believe that maintenance of the structural and functional integrity of plant membranes under osmotic stress is primarily related to free proline and MDA content. Our study also showed that Se supplementation prevents the leakage of important cellular components, in agreement with Wei et al. ([2018](#page-13-10)). However, these positive efects declined with increasing Se concentration. Thus, the observed growthenhancing efect of Se can be partially related to low levels of membrane damage, as demonstrated by diminished lipid peroxidation, whereas it can cause membrane damage at high concentrations (Fig. [5\)](#page-10-0).

Plants have enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defense systems against ROS (Ahmad et al. [2010\)](#page-11-11). Under normal conditions, plants use the ROS-scavenging system to remove harmful ROS, and protect photosynthetic organs, membranes, and functional biological molecules (Biswojit et al. [2018\)](#page-11-12). SOD, CAT, and POD are important antioxidant enzymes. Se application enhanced the activities of these enzymes in wheat roots and shoots under salt stress (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)), counteracting the adverse effects of salt stress on their growth. Similar results have been found

tion of Cl− and Na+ absorption improves water metabolism, resulting in signifcant enhancement of seed germination, photosynthesis, and growth. In addition,  $\text{SeO}_4^2$  and  $\text{SeO}_3^2$  have different active sites in plant roots and diferent transport mechanisms in plants, leading to diferences in their bioavailability and toxicity. High concentrations of Se can damage seed germination and plant growth. Black dotted lines indicate the probable involvement of protein kinases and stressresponsive genes (modifed after (Alyemeni et al. ([2018b](#page-11-9))). *ST* highaffinity sulfate transporters, PT high-affinity phosphate transporters, *SeMet* selenomethionine

by Jiang et al. ([2017\)](#page-12-30) for maize. Under salt stress, the plant absorbs excess salt ions, and their toxic efect lies in their destruction of the dynamic balance of the ROSmetabolism system, resulting in the accumulation of free radicals. Se plays an important role in the plant's nonenzymatic antioxidant system. The application of exogenous Se improved the activity of CAT, SOD, and POD in the plant, removed accumulated superoxide free radicals, and improved the plant's overall antioxidant capacity and adaptability to salt stress. However, we also observed that the efect of Se addition under salt stress on SOD, CAT, and POD activities in the roots and shoots depended on both Se concentration and Se form. These three antioxidant enzymes' activities showed trends similar with the increase of Se. Namely, as the Se content increased, their activities frst increased and then decreased. However, the increase in CAT, SOD, and POD activities following addition of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  was more pronounced than after addition of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , except for CAT activity in the shoots. Correlation analysis revealed that CAT and POD activities in the roots are signifcantly positively correlated with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , respectively (Fig. 4a). This further confrmed that CAT and POD activities in the roots are the most sensitive indexes of exposure to  $\text{SeO}_4^2$ <sup>-</sup> and



SeO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, respectively (Xiao-Zhang and Ji-Dong [2007\)](#page-13-4). The correlation analysis further showed that the three antioxidant enzymes' activities in the shoots are signifcantly correlated with multiple chlorophyll fuorescence parameters (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)b). This may be due to the Se-induced increase in antioxidant enzyme activity, thereby protecting photosynthetic electron transport from salt-induced oxidative damage by maintaining a suitable nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate/reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP/NADPH) ratio. Fv was positively correlated with CAT, SOD, and POD activities in shoots treated with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$ , whereas it was negatively correlated with these antioxidant enzymes' activities in shoots treated with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ . This might be because biotransformation of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  in the chloroplast relies heavily on the specifc enzymes that are available in the plant tissue; further research into this issue is warranted.

In conclusion, the present study suggests that durum wheat seeds are more sensitive to  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ concentrations than seedlings, and that Se's alleviation of the effects of salt stress in wheat depends on its concentration and form. Low concentrations of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ added to salt-stressed durum wheat seedlings increased the photosynthetic capacity in their leaves, alleviated the salt-induced oxidative damage in their chloroplasts, and restored cell wall integrity, thereby reducing the efects of salt stress on wheat growth. This most likely occurs through regulation of the antioxidant enzyme systems, resulting in an increase in the photochemical efficiency of PSII in salt-stressed plants, thereby increasing photosynthesis and promoting plant growth. However, high dosages of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  can damage seed germination and seedling growth.  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  was found to be more benefcial to wheat seed germination and seedling growth than  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$  at the same concentration. Although further studies should explore this phenomenon in greater depth, application of exogenous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>$ , at concentrations that have a positive efect on plants, is suggested as a remediation strategy.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (31560578), the cultivation Project of Sichuan Science and Technology Innovation Seedling Program (2019101), Sichuan International Science and Technology Cooperation and Exchange Research and Development Project (2018HH0116), and China–Israel cooperation program grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology in China (2013DFA32200).

**Author contributions** Yong Liang and Jun Yan contributed to the study conception and design. Reagents, materials, and analysis tools were contributed by Jianping Cheng, Gang Zhao, Tzion Fahima, and Jun Yan. The draft of the manuscript was written by Yong Liang, Daqing Li, and Yuexing Chen. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.



#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** All the authors declare no confict of interest with respect to this paper.

# **References**

- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Abei H (1984) Catalase in vitro. Methods Enzymol 105:121–126. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0076-6879(84)05016-3) [://doi.org/10.1016/s0076-6879\(84\)05016-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0076-6879(84)05016-3)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Ahanger MA, Aziz U, Alsahli AA, Alyemeni MN, Ahmad P (2020) Influence of exogenous salicylic acid and nitric oxide on growth, photosynthesis, and ascorbate-glutathione cycle in salt stressed *vigna angularis*. Biomolecules 10(1):42–58. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10010042) [org/10.3390/biom10010042](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10010042)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Ahmad P, Jaleel CA, Salem MA, Nabi G, Sharma S (2010) Roles of enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants in plants during abiotic stress. Crit Rev Biotechnol 30(3):161–175. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3109/07388550903524243) [org/10.3109/07388550903524243](https://doi.org/10.3109/07388550903524243)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Ahmad P, Allah EF, Hashem A, Sarwat M, Gucel S (2016) Exogenous application of selenium mitigates cadmium toxicity in *Brassica juncea* L. (Czern & Cross) by up-regulating antioxidative system and secondary metabolites. J Plant Growth Regul 35(4):936–950. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-016-9592-3>
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Alyemeni MN, Ahanger MA, Wijaya L, Alam P, Bhardwaj R, Ahmad P (2018) Selenium mitigates cadmium-induced oxidative stress in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) plants by modulating chlorophyll fuorescence, osmolyte accumulation, and antioxidant system. Protoplasma 255(3):459–469. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-017-1162-4) [s00709-017-1162-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-017-1162-4)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Balistrieri LS, Chao TT (1990) Adsorption of selenium by amorphous iron oxyhydroxide and manganese dioxide. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 54(3):739–751. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(90\)90369](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(90)90369-v) [-v](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(90)90369-v)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID (1973) Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil 39(1):205–207. [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00018060) [://doi.org/10.1007/BF00018060](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00018060)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Beauchamp C, Fridovich I (1971) Superoxide dismutase: improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gels. Anal Bioanal Chem 44:276–287. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697\(71\)90370](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(71)90370-8) [-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(71)90370-8)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Biswojit D, Mubasher H, Muhammad I, Sangeeta M, Min L, Shuang L, Qiu D (2018) Exogenous melatonin mitigates acid rain stress to tomato plants through modulation of leaf ultrastructure, photosynthesis and antioxidant potential. Molecules 23:388–398. [https](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020388) [://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020388](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020388)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Bukhat S, Manzoor H, H-u-R Athar, Zafar ZU, Rasul S (2019) Salicylic acid induced photosynthetic adaptability of raphanus sativus to salt Stress is associated with antioxidant capacity. J Plant Growth Regul 2019:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-019-10024-z>
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Cengiz K, David H, Muhammad A, Mohammed N, Parvaiz A (2019) Integrative roles of nitric oxide and hydrogen sulfde in melatonininduced tolerance of pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) plants to iron deficiency and salt stress alone or in combination. Physiol Plant 168(2):256–277. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12976>
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>D'Amato R (2018) The selenium supplementation infuences olive tree production and oil stability against oxidation and can alleviate the water deficiency effects. Front Plant Sci 9:1191-1199. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01191) [org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01191](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01191)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Elkelish AA, Soliman MH, Alhaithloul HA, El-Esawi MA (2019) Selenium protects wheat seedlings against salt stress-mediated oxidative damage by up-regulating antioxidants and osmolytes metabolism. Plant Physiol Biochem 137:1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.02.004) [plaphy.2019.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.02.004)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Feng W (2018) The FERONIA receptor kinase maintains cell-wall integrity during salt stress through  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling. Curr Biol 28(5):666–675.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.023>
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Foti C, Khah EM, Pavli OI (2018) Germination profling of lentil genotypes subjected to salinity stress. Plant Biol 21(3):480–486. <https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12714>
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Gong X, Yu H, Chen J, Han B (2012) Cell surface properties of Lactobacillus salivarius under osmotic stress. Eur Food Res Technol 234(4):671–678. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-012-1677-z>
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Handa N, Kohli SK, Sharma A, Thukral AK, Ahmad P (2018a) Selenium modulates dynamics of antioxidative defence expression, photosynthetic attributes and secondary metabolites to mitigate chromium toxicity in *Brassica juncea* L. Plants Environ Exp Bot 161:180–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2018.11.009>
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Handa N, Kohli SK, Thukral AK, Bhardwaj R, Ahmad P (2018b) Protective role of selenium against chromium stress involving metabolites and essential elements in *Brassica juncea* L. seedlings. Biotech 8(1):66–75. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1320](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-018-1087-4) [5-018-1087-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-018-1087-4)
- Handa N, Sukhmeen KK, Anket S, Ashwani KT, Renu B, Mohammed N, Leonard W, Parvaiz A (2018c) Selenium ameliorates chromium toxicity through modifcations in pigment system, antioxidative capacity, osmotic system, and metal chelators in Brassica juncea seedlings. South Afr J Bot 119:1–10. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2018.08.003) [doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2018.08.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2018.08.003)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Harpreet K, Geetika S, Renu B, Alyemeni MN, Siddique KHM, Parvaiz A (2018) 28-homobrassinolide regulates antioxidant enzyme activities and gene expression in response to salt- and temperature-induced oxidative stress in Brassica juncea. Sci Rep 8:8735–8746.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27032-w>
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Hawrylak-Nowak B (2009) Beneficial effects of exogenous selenium in cucumber seedlings subjected to salt stress. Biol Trace Elem Res 132(1–3):259–269. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1201](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-009-8402-1) [1-009-8402-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-009-8402-1)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Hawrylak-Nowak B, Dresler S, Rubinowska K, Matraszek-Gawron R, Woch W, Hasanuzzaman M (2018) Selenium biofortifcation enhances the growth and alters the physiological response of lamb's lettuce grown under high temperature stress. Plant Physiol Biochem S0981–9428(18):30176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaph](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.04.018) [y.2018.04.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.04.018)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Heath RL, Packer L (1968) Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts: I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. Arch Biochem Biophys 125:189–198. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(68)90654-1) [9861\(68\)90654-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(68)90654-1)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Huang B et al (2018) Oxidative damage and antioxidative indicators in 48h germinated rice embryos during the vitrifcation–cryopreservation procedure. Plant Cell Rep 37(9):1325–1342. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-018-2315-4) [org/10.1007/s00299-018-2315-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-018-2315-4)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Huihui Z et al (2020) Toxic effects of heavy metals Pb and Cd on mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) seedling leaves: Photosynthetic function and reactive oxygen species (ROS) metabolism responses. Ecotox Environ Saf 195:110–119. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoen](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110469) [v.2020.110469](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110469)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Jawad Hassan M et al (2020) Selenium and salt interactions in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L): ion uptake, antioxidant defense system, and photochemistry efficiency. Plants 9:467-476. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9040467) [org/10.3390/plants9040467](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9040467)
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Jiang C, Zu C, Lu D, Zheng Q, Shen J, Wang H, Li D (2017) Efect of exogenous selenium supply on photosynthesis, Na+ accumulation and antioxidative capacity of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under salinity stress. Sci Rep 7:420–429.<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42039>
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>José PM, Acuña KI, Angela SA, Camila S, Alfredo S, Corcuera LJ, Bravo LA (2015) Photosynthetic light responses may explain vertical distribution of hymenophyllaceae species in a temperate rainforest of southern chile. PLoS ONE 10(12):e0145475. [https](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145475) [://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145475](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145475)
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Khorobrykh S, Havurinne V, Mattila H, Tyystjärvi E (2020) Oxygen and ROS in photosynthesis. Plants 9(1):91–100. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010091) [org/10.3390/plants9010091](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010091)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Kong L, Wang M, Bi D (2005) Selenium modulates the activities of antioxidant enzymes, osmotic homeostasis and promotes the growth of sorrel seedlings under salt stress. Plant Growth Regul 45:155–163.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-005-1893-7>
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Liu H, Xia Y, Fan H, Xu Q, Du S, Fang Z, Xia H (2018) Efect of imidazolium-based ionic liquids with varying carbon chain lengths on arabidopsis thaliana: response of growth and photosynthetic fuorescence parameters. J Hazard Mater 358(SEP.15):327–336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.06.046>
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Li J, Cang Z, Feng J, Bai X, Ding Z, Zhai R (2017) Infuence of drought stress on photosynthetic characteristics and protective enzymes of potato at seedling stage. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci 16(1):82–88. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2015.03.001) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2015.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2015.03.001)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Liu C, Dai Z, Sun H (2017) Potential of duckweed (Lemna minor) for removal of nitrogen and phosphorus from water under salt stress. J Environ Manag 187(1):497–503. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvm](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.11.006) [an.2016.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.11.006)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Meetu G, Shikha G (2016) An overview of selenium uptake, metabolism, and toxicity in plants. Front Plant Sci. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.02074) [org/10.3389/fpls.2016.02074](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.02074)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Miner GL, Bauerle WL, Baldocchi DD (2017) Estimating the sensitivity of stomatal conductance to photosynthesis: a review. Plant Cell Environ 40(7):1214–1238.<https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12871>
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Moulick D, Ghosh D, Chandra SS (2016) Evaluation of efectiveness of seed priming with selenium in rice during germination under arsenic stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 109(12):571–578. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.11.004) [doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.11.004)
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Nassar RMA, Kamel HA, Ghoniem AE, Alarcón JJ, Sekara A, Ulrichs C, Abdelhamid MT (2020) Physiological and anatomical mechanisms in wheat to cope with salt Stress induced by seawater. Plants 9:237–246.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9020237>
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Ni Z, Lu Q, Huo H, Zhang H (2019) Estimation of chlorophyll fuorescence at diferent scales: a review. Sensors 19(13):3000–3009. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s19133000>
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Peleg Z (2008) High-density genetic map of durum wheat×wild emmer wheat based on SSR and DArT markers. Theor Appl Climatol 117(4):103–115. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-008-0756-9>
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Peleg Z, Fahima T, Krugman T, Abbo S, Yakir D, Korol AB, Saranga Y (2010) Genomic dissection of drought resistance in durum wheat x wild emmer wheat recombinant inbreed line population. Plant Cell Environ 32(7):758–779. [https://doi.org/10.111](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01956.x) [1/j.1365-3040.2009.01956.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01956.x)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Pilon C et al (2018) Assessing stomatal and non-stomatal limitations to carbon assimilation under progressive drought in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). J Plant Physiol 231:124–134. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2018.09.007) [org/10.1016/j.jplph.2018.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2018.09.007)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Pleban J, Guadagno CR, Mackay D, Weinig C, Ewers B (2020) Rapid chlorophyll a fuorescence light response curves mechanistically inform photosynthesis modeling. Plant Physiol:pp.00375.02019. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.00375
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Qin X, Nie Z, Liu H, Zhao P, Qin S, Shi Z (2018) Infuence of selenium on root morphology and photosynthetic characteristics of winter wheat under cadmium stress. Environ Exp Bot 150:232-239. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2018.03.024) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2018.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2018.03.024)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Sarabi B (2019) Stomatal and non-stomatal limitations are responsible in down-regulation of photosynthesis in melon plants grown under the saline condition: Application of carbon isotope discrimination as a reliable proxy. Plant Physiol Biochem 141:1–19. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.05.010) [org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.05.010)
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Sui N, Wang Y, Liu S, Yang Z, Wang F, Wan S (2018) Transcriptomic and physiological evidence for the relationship between unsaturated fatty acid and salt stress in peanut. Front Plant Sci 9:7–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00007>



- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Upadhyaya A, Sankhla D, Davis TD, Sankhla N, Smith BN (1985) Efect of paclobutrazol on the activities of some enzymes of activated oxygen metabolism and lipid peroxidation in senescing soybean leaves. J Plant Physiol. 121(5):453–461. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(85)80081-X) [org/10.1016/S0176-1617\(85\)80081-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(85)80081-X)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Wang Y, Le L, Cui W, Sheng X, Shen W, Ren W (2012) Hydrogen sulfde enhances alfalfa *(Medicago sativa)* tolerance against salinity during seed germination by nitric oxide pathway. Plant Soil 351(2):107–119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0936-2>
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Wang L, Pan D, Li J, Tan F, Hofmannbenning S, Liang W, Chen W (2015) Proteomic analysis of changes in the Kandelia candel chloroplast proteins reveals pathways associated with salt tolerance. Plant Sci 231:159–172. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plant](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.11.013) [sci.2014.11.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.11.013)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Wei J, Hu C, Ming J, Zhao Y, Xin J, Sun X, Zhao X (2018) Action of selenium against Sclerotinia sclerotiorum: Damaging membrane system and interfering with metabolism. Pestic Biochem Phys 150(2):10–16.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2018.06.003>
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Wu YW (2019) Efect of low-nitrogen stress on photosynthesis and chlorophyll fuorescence characteristics of maize cultivars with diferent low-nitrogen tolerances. J Integr Agric 18:1246–1256. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(18\)62030-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(18)62030-1)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Wu H, Zhang X, Giraldo JP, Shabala S (2018) It is not all about sodium: revealing tissue specifcity and signalling roles of potassium in

plant responses to salt stress. Plant Soil 431(1–3):1–17. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3770-y) [doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3770-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3770-y)

- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Xiao ZY, Guo JD (2007) Metabolic responses of weeping willows to selenate and selenite. Environ Sci Pollut Res 14(7):510–517. [https](https://doi.org/10.1065/espr2007.04.407) [://doi.org/10.1065/espr2007.04.407](https://doi.org/10.1065/espr2007.04.407)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Ya WW (2019) Effect of low-nitrogen stress on photosynthesis and chlorophyll fuorescence characteristics of maize cultivars with diferent low-nitrogen tolerances. J Integr Agric 18(9):1246–1256. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2095-3119\(18\)62030-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2095-3119(18)62030-1)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Yan J, Xue W, Yang RZ, Qin HB, Zhao G, Fahima T, Cheng JP (2018) Quantitative trait loci conferring grain selenium nutrient in durum wheat  $\times$  wild emmer wheat RIL population. Czech J Genet Plant Breed 54(2):1–9. <https://doi.org/10.17221/112/2016-cjgpb>
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Zhang H (2018) Rootstock alleviates salt stress in grafted mulberry seedlings: physiological and PSII function responses. Front Plant Sci 9(12):1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01806>
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Zvi P, Ismail C, Levent O, Atilla Y, Yan J, Hikmet B, Abraham B, Tzion F, Yehoshua S (2009) Quantitative trait loci conferring grain mineral nutrient concentrations in durum wheat x wild emmer wheat RIL population. Theor Appl Climatol 119(3):353– 369.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-009-1044-z>

