






RESEARCH

Open Access



Analysis of Caputo fractional-order model for COVID-19 with lockdown

Idris Ahmed^{1,2,3} , Isa Abdullahi Baba⁴ , Abdullahi Yusuf^{5,6} , Poom Kumam^{1,2*}  and Wiyada Kumam^{7*} 

*Correspondence:

poom.kum@kmutt.ac.th;
wiyada.kum@rmutt.ac.th

¹KMUTTFixed Point Research Laboratory, Department of Mathematics, Room SCL 802 Fixed Point Laboratory, Science Laboratory Building, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha-Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand

⁷Program in Applied Statistics, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi, Thanyaburi, Pathumthani 12110, Thailand
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

One of the control measures available that are believed to be the most reliable methods of curbing the spread of coronavirus at the moment if they were to be successfully applied is lockdown. In this paper a mathematical model of fractional order is constructed to study the significance of the lockdown in mitigating the virus spread. The model consists of a system of five nonlinear fractional-order differential equations in the Caputo sense. In addition, existence and uniqueness of solutions for the fractional-order coronavirus model under lockdown are examined via the well-known Schauder and Banach fixed theorems technique, and stability analysis in the context of Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers criteria is discussed. The well-known and effective numerical scheme called fractional Euler method has been employed to analyze the approximate solution and dynamical behavior of the model under consideration. It is worth noting that, unlike many studies recently conducted, dimensional consistency has been taken into account during the fractionalization process of the classical model.

MSC: 47H10; 34A12; 39A30

Keywords: Lockdown; Coronavirus; Existence and uniqueness; Ulam–Hyers stability; Mathematical model

1 Introduction

Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic cut across more than 190 countries in the first 20 weeks after its emergence. It started from Wuhan in China, and by mid-March 2020 more than 334,000 people were affected with about 14,500 death cases. The number of positive cases is about 1,210,956 and the number of fatality cases reaches 67,594 by the beginning of April 2020 [1]. It is clear that different people exhibit different behavior regarding the epidemic within the same country and from one country to the other. Mathematical models help in predicting the course of the pandemic and also help in determining why the infection is not uniform [2, 3]. Health systems also use such results as tools in deciding the type of control measures to be adopted. They also help them in deciding the time and places that need applications of the controls. Therefore, it is of paramount importance to understand the transmission dynamics of the disease and to predict whether the control measures available will help in curtailing the spread of the disease [4, 5].

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

The first case of COVID-19 in Nigeria was recorded on February 27, 2020, in Lagos [6]. Federal Ministry of Health in Nigeria started the course of action by screening travelers and shutting down schools from the mid of March 2020. However, compared to the course of the epidemic in western countries, either the number of asymptomatic cases in Nigeria was higher or the epidemic in Nigeria progressed through a slow phase. In the absence of an effective vaccine for COVID-19 prevention, the only remaining options are prevention of further influx of migrant cases at airports and seaports and contact tracing. China learned from its experience that only complete shut down prevented further spread, and Italy learned from its experience that negligence of communities towards simple public health strategies leads to uncontrolled morbidity and mortality. This prompted the action of the government of Nigeria in imposing 14-day lockdown in some of its states starting on March 30, 2020 [6].

Many studies suggest that from the beginning of coronavirus infection to hospitalization takes the maximum of 10 days, and the incubation period is 2 to 14 days maximum [7, 8]. Also the time between the start of symptomatic manifestations and death is approximately 2–8 weeks [9]. Another study reports that the duration of viral shedding is 8–37 days [10]. A lot of factors help in providing the effectiveness of the intervention strategies, and as recommended by a recent report, it is vital to estimate the optimal periods to implement each intervention [11]. A lot of countries have implemented the strategy of self-quarantine to prevent spread of the virus. Hence, it is of paramount importance to mathematically study the significance of the lockdown in mitigating the spread of COVID-19 with respect to each country, since the contact patterns between individuals are highly non-homogeneous across each population.

It is important to note that, in the classical order model, the state of epidemic model does not depend on its history. However, in real life memory plays a vital role in studying the pattern of spread of any epidemic disease. It was found that the waiting times between doctor visits for a patient follow a power law model [12]. It is worth to know that Caputo fractional time derivative is a consequence of power law [13]. When dealing with real world problem, Caputo fractional-order derivatives allow traditional initial and boundary conditions. Furthermore, due to its nonlocal behavior and its ability to change at every instant of time, Caputo fractional order gives better result than the integer order [14–20].

In recent studies, Khan et al. [21] studied a fractional-order model that describes the interaction among bats and unknown hosts, then among people and seafood market. To predict the trend of the coronavirus Yu et al. [22] constructed a fractional time delay dynamic system that studied the local outbreak of COVID-19. Also, to predict the possible outbreak of infectious diseases like COVID-19 and other diseases in the future, Xu et al. [23] proposed a generalized fractional-order SEIQRD model. Shaikh et al. [24] used bats-hosts-reservoir-people transmission fractional-order COVID-19 model to estimate the effectiveness of preventive measures and various mitigation strategies, predicting future outbreaks and potential control strategies. Many models in the literature studied the dynamics of COVID-19, some predicted the number of susceptible individuals in a given community, but none of them to our knowledge studied the significance or otherwise of the embattled lockdown. Also most of these models were integer-order models.

The main aim of this research is to study a fractional-order epidemic model that investigates the significance of lockdown in mitigating the spread of COVID-19. Based on the memorability nature of Caputo fractional-order derivatives, this model can be fitted with

data reasonably well. Then, based on the official data given by NCDC every day, several numerical examples are exhibited to verify the rationality of the fractional-order model and the effectiveness of the lockdown. Compared with an integer-order system ($\nu = 1$), the fractional-order model without network is validated to have a better fitting of the data on Nigeria.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, preliminary definitions are given. In Sect. 3, the fractional-order model for COVID-19 in the Caputo sense is formulated. In Sect. 4, existence and uniqueness of the solution of the model is established. In Sect. 5, stability analysis of the solution of the model in the frame of Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers is given. Section 6 contains the numerical scheme and numerical simulations to illustrate the theoretical results. Finally, conclusion is given in Sect. 7.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1 ([25]) Suppose $\nu > 0$ and $g \in L^1([0, b], \mathbb{R})$ where $[0, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_+$. Then fractional integral of order ν for a function g in the sense of Riemann–Liouville is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{0^+}^\nu g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} g(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the classical gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\nu) = \int_0^\infty \tau^{\nu-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau. \tag{1}$$

Definition 2 ([25]) Let $n - 1 < \nu < n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g \in C^n[0, b]$. The Caputo fractional derivative of order ν for a function g is defined as

$${}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{n-\nu-1} g^{(n)}(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0.$$

Lemma 1 ([25]) Let $\text{Re}(\nu) > 0, n = [\text{Re}(\nu)] + 1$, and $g \in AC^n(0, b)$. Then

$$(\mathcal{J}_{0^+}^\nu {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu g)(t) = g(t) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(D_{0^+}^k g)(0^+)}{k!} t^k. \tag{2}$$

In particular, if $0 < \nu \leq 1$, then

$$(\mathcal{J}_{0^+}^\nu {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu g)(t) = g(t) - g_0. \tag{3}$$

3 Formulation of the model

Let the total population be $N(t)$. The population is divided into four compartments, namely susceptible population that are not under lockdown $S(t)$, susceptible population that are under lockdown $S_L(t)$, infective population that are not under lockdown $I(t)$ (here we refer to isolation as lockdown for convenience), infective population that are under lockdown $I_L(t)$, and then cumulative density of the lockdown program $L(t)$. The dynamics of this population is represented by the following system of fractional-order differential

Table 1 Description of the parameters

Parameter	Description
Λ	recruitment rate
β	infection contact rate
λ_1, λ_2	imposition of lockdown on susceptible and infectives respectively
γ_1, γ_2	recovery rate in I and I_L respectively
α_1, α_2	death rate due to infection in I and I_L respectively
\bar{d}	natural death rate
θ_1	rate of transfer of susceptible lockdown individuals to susceptible class
θ_2	rate of transfer of infective lockdown individuals to infective class
μ	rate of implementation of the lockdown program
ϕ	rate of depletion of the lockdown program

equations (FODE), and the meaning of parameters is given in Table 1.

$$\begin{cases} {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu S(t) = \Lambda^\nu - \beta^\nu SI - \lambda_1^\nu SL - \bar{d}^\nu S + \gamma_1^\nu I + \gamma_2^\nu I_L + \theta_1^\nu S_L, \\ {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu S_L(t) = \lambda_1^\nu SL - \bar{d}^\nu S_L - \theta_1^\nu S_L, \\ {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu I(t) = \beta^\nu SI - \gamma_1^\nu I - \alpha_1^\nu I - \bar{d}^\nu I + \lambda_2^\nu IL + \theta_2^\nu I_L, \\ {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu I_L(t) = \lambda_2^\nu IL - \bar{d}^\nu I_L - \theta_2^\nu I_L - \gamma_2^\nu I_L - \alpha_2^\nu I_L, \\ {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu L(t) = \mu^\nu I - \phi^\nu L. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

4 Existence and uniqueness results

The theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions is one of the most dominant fields in the theory of fractional-order differential equations. The theory has recently attracted the attention of many researchers, we are referring to [26–31] and the references therein for some of the recent growth. In this section, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the proposed model using fixed point theorems. We simplify the proposed model (4) in the following setting:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu S(t) = \Theta_1(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \\ {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu S_L(t) = \Theta_2(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \\ {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu I(t) = \Theta_3(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \\ {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu I_L(t) = \Theta_4(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \\ {}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu L(t) = \Theta_5(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Theta_1(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) = \Lambda^\nu - \beta^\nu SI - \lambda_1^\nu SL - \bar{d}^\nu S + \gamma_1^\nu I + \gamma_2^\nu I_L + \theta_1^\nu S_L, \\ \Theta_2(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) = \lambda_1^\nu SL - \bar{d}^\nu S_L - \theta_1^\nu S_L, \\ \Theta_3(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) = \beta^\nu SI - \gamma_1^\nu I - \alpha_1^\nu I - \bar{d}^\nu I + \lambda_2^\nu IL + \theta_2^\nu I_L, \\ \Theta_4(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) = \lambda_2^\nu IL - \bar{d}^\nu I_L - \theta_2^\nu I_L - \gamma_2^\nu I_L - \alpha_2^\nu I_L, \\ \Theta_5(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) = \mu^\nu I - \phi^\nu L. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Thus, the proposed model (4) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^C\mathcal{D}_0^\alpha \Phi(t) = \mathcal{K}(t, \Phi(t)), & t \in J = [0, b], 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ \Phi(0) = \Phi_0 \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

on condition that

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(t) = (S, S_L, I, I_L, L)^T, \\ \Phi(0) = (S_0, S_{L0}, I_0, I_{L0}, L_0)^T, \\ \mathcal{K}(t, \Phi(t)) = (\Theta_i(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L))^T, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where $(\cdot)^T$ represents the transpose operation. In view of Lemma 1, the integral representation of problem (7) which is equivalent to model (4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &= \Phi_0 + \mathcal{J}_0^\nu \mathcal{K}(t, \Phi(t)) \\ &= \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Let $\mathbb{E} = C([0, b]; \mathbb{R})$ denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from $[0, b]$ to \mathbb{R} endowed with the norm defined by

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathbb{E}} = \sup_{t \in J} |\Phi(t)|,$$

where

$$|\Phi(t)| = |S(t)| + |S_L(t)| + |I(t)| + |I_L(t)| + |L(t)|,$$

and $S, S_L, I, I_L, L \in C([0, b], \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1 *Suppose that the function $\mathcal{K} \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ and maps a bounded subset of $J \times \mathbb{R}^5$ into relatively compact subsets of \mathbb{R} . In addition, there exists constant $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} > 0$ such that*

$$(A_1) \quad |\mathcal{K}(t, \Phi_1(t)) - \mathcal{K}(t, \Phi_2(t))| \leq \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} |\Phi_1(t) - \Phi_2(t)| \text{ for all } t \in J \text{ and each } \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in C(J, \mathbb{R}).$$

Then problem (7) which is equivalent to the proposed model (4) has a unique solution provided that $\Omega \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} < 1$, where

$$\Omega = \frac{b^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)}.$$

Proof Consider the operator $P : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ defined by

$$(P\Phi)(t) = \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \, d\tau. \tag{10}$$

Obviously, the operator P is well defined and the unique solution of model (4) is just the fixed point of P . Indeed, let us take $\sup_{t \in J} \|\mathcal{K}(t, 0)\| = M_1$ and $\kappa \geq \|\Phi_0\| + \Omega M_1$. Thus, it

is enough to show that $P\mathbb{B}_\kappa \subset \mathbb{B}_\kappa$, where the set $\mathbb{B}_\kappa = \{\Phi \in \mathbb{E} : \|\Phi\| \leq \kappa\}$ is closed and convex. Now, for any $\Phi \in \mathbb{B}_\kappa$, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(P\Phi)(t)| &\leq |\Phi_0| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\nu-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau))| d\tau \\
 &\leq \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\nu-1} [|\mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) - \mathcal{K}(\tau, 0)| + |\mathcal{K}(\tau, 0)|] d\tau \\
 &\leq \Phi_0 + \frac{(\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K}\kappa + M_1)}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\nu-1} d\tau \\
 &\leq \Phi_0 + \frac{(\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K}\kappa + M_1)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} b^\nu \\
 &\leq \Phi_0 + \Omega(\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K}\kappa + M_1) \\
 &\leq \kappa.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{11}$$

Hence, the results follow. Also, given any $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \mathbb{E}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(P\Phi_1)(t) - (P\Phi_2)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\nu-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi_1(\tau)) - \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi_2(\tau))| d\tau \\
 &\leq \frac{\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\nu-1} |\Phi_1(\tau) - \Phi_2(\tau)| d\tau \\
 &\leq \Omega \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K} |\Phi_1(t) - \Phi_2(t)|,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12}$$

which implies that $\|(P\Phi_1) - (P\Phi_2)\| \leq \Omega \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K} \|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2\|$. Therefore, as a consequence of the Banach contraction principle, the proposed model (4) has a unique solution. \square

Next, we prove the existence of solutions of problem (7) which is equivalent to the proposed model (4) by employing the concept of Schauder fixed point theorem. Thus, the following assumption is needed.

(A₂) Suppose that there exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathbb{E}$ such that

$$|\mathcal{K}(t, \Phi(t))| \leq \sigma_1(t) + \sigma_2 |\Phi(t)| \quad \text{for any } \Phi \in \mathbb{E}, t \in J,$$

such that $\sigma_1^* = \sup_{t \in J} |\sigma_1(t)|, \sigma_2^* = \sup_{t \in J} |\sigma_2(t)| < 1$.

Lemma 2 *The operator P defined in (10) is completely continuous.*

Proof Obviously, the continuity of the function \mathcal{K} gives the continuity of the operator P . Thus, for any $\Phi \in \mathbb{B}_\kappa$, where \mathbb{B}_κ is defined above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(P\Phi)(t)| &= \left| \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\
 &\leq \|\Phi_0\| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\nu-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau))| d\tau \\
 &\leq \|\Phi_0\| + \frac{(\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|)}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\nu-1} d\tau
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \|\Phi_0\| + \frac{(\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} b^\nu \\
 &= \|\Phi_0\| + \Omega(\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|) \\
 &< +\infty.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{13}$$

So, the operator P is uniformly bounded. Next, we prove the equicontinuity of P . To do so, we let $\sup_{(t,\Phi) \in J \times \mathbf{B}_\kappa} |\mathcal{K}(t, \Phi(t))| = \mathcal{K}^*$. Then, for any $t_1, t_2 \in J$ such that $t_2 \geq t_1$, it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(P\Phi)(t_2) - (P\Phi)(t_1)| &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - \tau)^{\nu-1} - (t_1 - \tau)^{\nu-1}] \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{\mathcal{K}^*}{\Gamma(\nu)} [2(t_2 - t_1)^\nu + (t_2^\nu - t_1^\nu)] \\
 &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t_2 \rightarrow t_1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

Hence, the operator P is equicontinuous and so is relatively compact on \mathbf{B}_κ . Therefore, as a consequence of Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, P is completely continuous. \square

Theorem 2 *Suppose that the function $\mathcal{K} : J \times \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies assumption (A_2) . Then problem (7) which is equivalent with the proposed model (4) has at least one solution.*

Proof We define a set $\mathcal{U} = \{\Phi \in \mathbb{E} : \Phi = o(P\Phi)(t), 0 < o < 1\}$. Clearly, in view of Lemma 2, the operator $P : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ as defined in (10) is completely continuous. Now, for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}$ and assumption (A_2) , it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(\Phi)(t)| &= |o(P\Phi)(t)| \\
 &\leq |\Phi_0| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau))| d\tau \\
 &\leq \|\Phi_0\| + \frac{(\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} b^\nu \\
 &= \|\Phi_0\| + \Omega(\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|) \\
 &< +\infty.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{15}$$

Thus, the set \mathcal{U} is bounded. So the operator P has at least one fixed point which is just the solution of the proposed model (4). Hence the desired result. \square

5 Stability results

In this section, we derive the stability of the proposed model (4) in the frame of Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers stability. The concept of Ulam stability was introduced by Ulam [32, 33]. Then, in several research papers on classical fractional derivatives, the aforementioned stability was investigated, see for example [34–38]. Moreover, since stability is fundamental for approximate solution, we strive to use nonlinear functional analysis on Ulam–Hyers and generalized stability of the proposed model (4). Thus

the following definitions are needed. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and consider the following inequality:

$$|{}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu \bar{\Phi}(t) - \mathcal{K}(t, \bar{\Phi}(t))| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in J, \tag{16}$$

where $\epsilon = \max(\epsilon_j)^T, j = 1, \dots, 5$.

Definition 3 The proposed problem (7), which is equivalent to model (4), is Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists $C_{\mathcal{K}} > 0$ such that, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ satisfying inequality (3), there exist a solution $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ of problem (7), with

$$|\bar{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t)| \leq C_{\mathcal{K}}\epsilon, \quad t \in J,$$

where $C_{\mathcal{K}} = \max(C_{\mathcal{K}_j})^T$.

Definition 4 Problem (7), which is equivalent to model (4), is referred to as being generalized Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists a continuous function $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, with $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(0) = 0$, such that, for each solution $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ of the inequality (16), there is exist a solution $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ of problem (7) such that

$$|\bar{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t)| \leq \varphi_{\mathcal{K}}\epsilon, \quad t \in J,$$

where $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}} = \max(\varphi_{\mathcal{K}_j})^T$.

Remark 1 A function $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ is a solution of the inequality (16) if and only if there exist a function $h \in \mathbb{E}$ with the following property:

- (i) $|h(t)| \leq \epsilon, h = \max(h_j)^T, t \in J;$
- (ii) ${}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu \bar{\Phi}(t) = \mathcal{K}(t, \bar{\Phi}(t)) + h(t), t \in J.$

Lemma 3 Assume that $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ satisfies inequality (16), then $\bar{\Phi}$ satisfies the integral inequality described by

$$\left| \bar{\Phi}(t) - \bar{\Phi}_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \bar{\Phi}(\tau)) d\tau \right| \leq \Omega\epsilon. \tag{17}$$

Proof Thanks to (ii) of Remark 1,

$${}^C\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^\nu \bar{\Phi}(t) = \mathcal{K}(t, \bar{\Phi}(t)) + h(t),$$

and Lemma 1 gives

$$\bar{\Phi}(t) = \bar{\Phi}_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \bar{\Phi}(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} h(\tau) d\tau. \tag{18}$$

Using (i) of Remark 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bar{\Phi}(t) - \bar{\Phi}_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \bar{\Phi}(\tau)) d\tau \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} |h(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq \Omega\epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Hence, the desired results. □

Theorem 3 *Suppose that $\mathcal{K} : J \times \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for every $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ and assumption (A_1) holds with $1 - \Omega \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} > 0$. Thus, problem (7) which is equivalent to model (4) is Ulam–Hyers and, consequently, generalized Ulam–Hyers stable.*

Proof Suppose that $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ satisfies inequality (16) and $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ is a unique solution of problem (7). Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $t \in J$ and Lemma 3, it gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t)| &= \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \left| \bar{\Phi}(t) - \Phi_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \, d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \left| \bar{\Phi}(t) - \bar{\Phi}_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \bar{\Phi}(\tau)) \, d\tau \right| \\ &\quad + \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau, \bar{\Phi}(\tau)) - \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau))| \, d\tau \\ &\leq \left| \Phi(t) - \bar{\Phi}_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \bar{\Phi}(\tau)) \, d\tau \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} |\bar{\Phi}(\tau) - \Phi(\tau)| \, d\tau \\ &\leq \Omega \epsilon + \Omega \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} |\bar{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|\bar{\Phi} - \Phi\| \leq \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}} \epsilon,$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{\Omega}{1 - \Omega \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}}.$$

Setting $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(\epsilon) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{K}} \epsilon$ such that $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}}(0) = 0$, we conclude that the proposed problem (4) is both Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers stable. \square

6 Numerical simulations and discussion

Herein, the fractional variant of the model under consideration via Caputo fractional operator is numerically simulated via first-order convergent numerical techniques as proposed in [39–41]. These numerical techniques are accurate, conditionally stable, and convergent for solving fractional-order both linear and nonlinear systems of ordinary differential equations.

Consider a general Cauchy problem of fractional order having autonomous nature

$${}^*D_{0,t}^{\nu} (y(t)) = g(y(t)), \quad \nu \in (0, 1], t \in [0, T], y(0) = y_0, \tag{20}$$

where $y = (a, b, c, w) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4$ is a real-valued continuous vector function which satisfies the Lipschitz criterion given as

$$\|g(y_1(t)) - g(y_2(t))\| \leq M \|y_1(t) - y_2(t)\|, \tag{21}$$

where M is a positive real Lipschitz constant.

Using the fractional-order integral operators, one obtains

$$y(t) = y_0 + J_{0,t}^\nu g(y(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{22}$$

where $J_{0,t}^\nu$ is the fractional-order integral operator in Riemann–Liouville. Consider an equi-spaced integration intervals over $[0, T]$ with the fixed step size h ($= 10^{-2}$ for simulation) $= \frac{T}{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that y_q is the approximation of $y(t)$ at $t = t_q$ for $q = 0, 1, \dots, n$. The numerical technique for the governing model under Caputo fractional derivative operator takes the form


$$\begin{aligned} {}^cS_{p+1} &= a_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^p ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu)(\Lambda - \beta SI - \lambda_1 SL - \bar{d}S + \gamma_1 I + \gamma_2 I_L + \theta_1 S_L), \\ {}^cS_{Lp+1} &= b_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^p ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu)(\lambda_1 SL - \bar{d}S_L - \theta_1 S_L), \\ {}^cI_{p+1} &= d_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^p ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu)(\beta SI - \gamma_1 I - \alpha_1 I - \bar{d}I + \lambda_2 IL + \theta_2 I_L), \\ {}^cI_{Lp+1} &= e_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^p ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu)(\lambda_2 IL - \bar{d}I_L - \theta_2 I_L - \gamma_2 I_L - \alpha_2 I_L), \\ {}^cL_{p+1} &= f_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^p ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu)(\mu I - \phi L). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now we discuss the obtained numerical outcomes of the governing model in respect of the approximate solutions. To this aim, we employed the effective Euler method under the Caputo fractional operator to do the job. The initial conditions are assumed as $S(0) = 900$, $S_L(0) = 300$, $I(0) = 300$, $I_L(0) = 497$, $L(0) = 200$ and the parameter values are taken as in Table 2.




Considering the values in the table, we depicted the profiles of each variable under Caputo fractional derivative in Fig. 1 with the fractional-order value $\nu = 0.67$, while Figs. 2

Table 2 Parameter values

Parameters	Values	References
Λ	400	[42]
β	0.000017	[43]
λ_1	0.0002	[42]
λ_2	0.002	Assumed
γ_1	0.16979	[6]
γ_2	0.16979	[6]
α_1	0.03275	[6]
α_2	0.03275	[6]
d	0.0096	[44]
θ_1	0.2	[42]
θ_2	0.02	Assumed
μ	0.0005	[42]
ϕ	0.06	[42]



to 4 are the illustration and dynamical outlook of each variable with different fractional-order values. From Fig. 2(a), one can see that the susceptible class $S(t)$ shows increasing-decreasing behavior and with the lower values of ν the rate of decreasing starts to disappear and the rate of increasing starts becoming higher. With the same values as can be seen in Fig. 2(b), the susceptible class under lockdown $S_L(t)$ has also increasing-decreasing behavior with the lower values of ν . The decreasing rate also starts to disappear. In Fig. 3(a), the infected class $I(t)$ is virtually having the increasing-decreasing nature and with lower fractional-order values, the class totally becomes stable. In Fig. 3(b), the infected class under lockdown $I_L(t)$ is virtually retaining the increasing-decreasing nature, whereas the class is likely to be at stake. Figure 4 depicts the dynamical outlook of the population under lockdown $L(t)$. An interesting behavior can be noticed, one can see that there is a strongly decreasing nature in this case, this could be due to the dangers associated with the class. Under lockdown, there are many that are infected, asymptomatic, symptomatic individu-



als. This could affect the death rate to get higher thereby bringing the number of lockdown people to get a vehement decrease.

7 Conclusions

In conclusion, we studied a system of five nonlinear fractional-order equations in the Caputo sense to examine the significance of lockdown in mitigating the spread of coron-

avirus. The fixed point theorems of Schauder and Banach respectively were employed to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the proposed coronavirus model under lockdown. Stability analysis in the frame of Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers was established. The fractional variant of the model under consideration via Caputo fractional operator has numerically been simulated via first-order convergent numerical technique called fractional Euler method. We depicted the profiles of each variable under Caputo fractional derivative with the fractional-order value $\nu = 0.67$. The illustration and dynamical outlook of each variable with different fractional-order values were examined.

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by the “Petchra Pra Jom Klao Ph.D Research Scholarship from King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi” (Grant No. 13/2561). Furthermore, Wiyada Kumam was financial supported by the Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi (RMUTTT) (Grant No. NSF62D0604). Moreover, the authors express their gratitude for the positive comments received from the anonymous reviewers and the editor which improved the paper’s readability.

Funding

Petchra Pra Jom Klao Doctoral Scholarship for Ph.D. program of King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT). The Center of Excellence in Theoretical and Computational Science (TaCS-CoE), KMUTT. Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi (RMUTTT) (Grant No. NSF62D0604).

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors’ contributions

In writing this article the authors have contributed equally. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹KMUTTFixed Point Research Laboratory, Department of Mathematics, Room SCL 802 Fixed Point Laboratory, Science Laboratory Building, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha-Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand. ²KMUTT-Fixed Point Theory and Applications Research Group (KMUTT-FPTA), Theoretical and Computational Science Center (TaCS), Science Laboratory Building, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha-Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand. ³Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Sule Lamido University, P.M.B 048, Kafin-Hausa, Jigawa State, Nigeria. ⁴Department of Mathematical Science, Bayero University Kano, Kano, Nigeria. ⁵Department of Computer Engineering, Biruni University, Istanbul 34010, Turkey. ⁶Department of Mathematics, Federal University Dutse, Jigawa 7156, Nigeria. ⁷Program in Applied Statistics, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi, Thanyaburi, Pathumthani 12110, Thailand.

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 19 June 2020 Accepted: 23 July 2020 Published online: 03 August 2020

References

1. World Health Organization: Novel coronavirus diseases 2019. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019>. Accessed: 2020-05-05
2. Hethcote, H.W.: Three basic epidemiological models. In: *Applied Mathematical Ecology*, pp. 119–144. Springer, Berlin (1989)
3. Remuzzi, A., Remuzzi, G.: COVID-19 and Italy: what next? *Lancet* **395**, 1225–1228 (2020)
4. Funk, S., Ciglenecki, I., Tiffany, A., Gignoux, E., Camacho, A., Eggo, R.M., Kucharski, A.J., Edmunds, W.J., Bolongei, J., Azuma, P., et al.: The impact of control strategies and behavioural changes on the elimination of Ebola from Lofa county, Liberia. *Philos. Trans. - R. Soc., Biol. Sci.* **372**(1721), 20160302 (2017)
5. Riley, S., Fraser, C., Donnelly, C.A., Ghani, A.C., Abu-Raddad, L.J., Hedley, A.J., Leung, G.M., Ho, L.-M., Lam, T.-H., Thach, T.Q., et al.: Transmission dynamics of the etiological agent of SARS in Hong Kong: impact of public health interventions. *Science* **300**(5627), 1961–1966 (2003)
6. Nigeria Centre for Disease Control: COVID-19 outbreak in Nigeria: situation report. <https://ncdc.gov.ng/diseases/sitreps/?cat=14&name=An20update%20of%20COVID-19%20outbreak%20in%20Nigeria>. Accessed: 2020-05-05
7. Linton, N.M., Kobayashi, T., Yang, Y., Hayashi, K., Akhmetzhanov, A.R., Jung, S.-m., Yuan, B., Kinoshita, R., Nishiura, H.: Incubation period and other epidemiological characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus infections with right truncation: a statistical analysis of publicly available case data. *J. Clin. Med.* **9**(2), 538 (2020)
8. Baud, D., Qi, X., Nielsen-Saines, K., Musso, D., Pomar, L., Favre, G.: Real estimates of mortality following COVID-19 infection. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 773 (2020)

9. World Health Organization: Report of the WHO–China joint mission on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). <https://www.who.int/docs/defaultsource/coronaviruse/who-china-jointmission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf> (2020). Accessed: 2020-05-05
10. Zhou, F., Yu, T., Du, R., Fan, G., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., Xiang, J., Wang, Y., Song, B., Gu, X., et al.: Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* **395**, 1054–1062 (2020)
11. Koo, J.R., Cook, A.R., Park, M., Sun, Y., Sun, H., Lim, J.T., Tam, C., Dickens, B.L.: Interventions to mitigate early spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore: a modelling study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 678–688 (2020)
12. Smethurst, D.P., Williams, H.C.: Are hospital waiting lists self-regulating? *Nature* **410**(6829), 652–653 (2001)
13. Meerschaert, M.M., Sikorskii, A.: *Stochastic Models for Fractional Calculus*, vol. 43. de Gruyter, Berlin (2011)
14. Gomez-Aguilar, J., Cordova-Fraga, T., Abdeljawad, T., Khan, A., Khan, H.: Analysis of fractal-fractional malaria transmission model. *Fractals* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X20400411>
15. Atangana, A.: Modelling the spread of COVID-19 with new fractal-fractional operators: Can the lockdown save mankind before vaccination? *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **136**, 109860 (2020)
16. Khan, H., Gómez-Aguilar, J., Alkhazzan, A., Khan, A.: A fractional order HIV-TB coinfection model with nonsingular Mittag-Leffler law. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **43**(6), 3786–3806 (2020)
17. Shah, K., Alqudah, M.A., Jarad, F., Abdeljawad, T.: Semi-analytical study of Pine Wilt disease model with convex rate under Caputo–Fabrizio fractional order derivative. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **135**, 109754 (2020)
18. Yousaf, M., Zahir, S., Riaz, M., Hussain, S.M., Shah, K.: Statistical analysis of forecasting COVID-19 for upcoming month in Pakistan. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **138**, 109926 (2020)
19. Ud Din, R., Shah, K., Ahmad, I., Abdeljawad, T.: Study of transmission dynamics of novel COVID-19 by using mathematical model
20. Shah, K., Jarad, F., Abdeljawad, T.: On a nonlinear fractional order model of Dengue fever disease under Caputo–Fabrizio derivative. *Alex. Eng. J.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2020.02.022>
21. Khan, M.A., Atangana, A.: Modeling the dynamics of novel coronavirus (2019-nCov) with fractional derivative. *Alex. Eng. J.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2020.02.033>
22. Chen, Y., Cheng, J., Jiang, X., Xu, X.: The reconstruction and prediction algorithm of the fractional TDD for the local outbreak of COVID-19. [arXiv:2002.10302](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.10302) (2020)
23. Xu, C., Yu, Y., Yang, Q., Lu, Z.: Forecast analysis of the epidemics trend of COVID-19 in the United States by a generalized fractional-order SEIR model. [arXiv:2004.12541](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.12541) (2020)
24. Shaikh, A.S., Shaikh, I.N., Nisar, K.S.: A mathematical model of COVID-19 using fractional derivative: Outbreak in India with dynamics of transmission and control. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**, 373 (2020)
25. Kilbas, A., Srivastava, H., Trujillo, J.: *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204 (2006)
26. Abdo, M.S., Shah, K., Wahash, H.A., Panchal, S.K.: On a comprehensive model of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) under Mittag-Leffler derivative. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **135**, 109867 (2020)
27. Shah, K., Abdeljawad, T., Mahariq, I., Jarad, F.: Qualitative analysis of a mathematical model in the time of COVID-19. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2020**, 5098598 (2020)
28. Khan, H., Li, Y., Khan, A., Khan, A.: Existence of solution for a fractional-order Lotka–Volterra reaction–diffusion model with Mittag-Leffler kernel. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42**(9), 3377–3387 (2019)
29. Khan, H., Abdeljawad, T., Aslam, M., Khan, R.A., Khan, A.: Existence of positive solution and Hyers–Ulam stability for a nonlinear singular-delay-fractional differential equation. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 104 (2019)
30. Khan, A., Gómez-Aguilar, J., Khan, T.S., Khan, H.: Stability analysis and numerical solutions of fractional order HIV/AIDS model. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **122**, 119–128 (2019)
31. Khan, A., Gómez-Aguilar, J., Abdeljawad, T., Khan, H.: Stability and numerical simulation of a fractional order plant–nectar–pollinator model. *Alex. Eng. J.* **59**(1), 49–59 (2020)
32. Ulam, S.M.: *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, vol. 8. Interscience, New York (1960)
33. Ulam, S.M.: *Problems in Modern Mathematics*. Courier Corporation (2004)
34. Ahmed, I., Kumam, P., Shah, K., Borisut, P., Sitthithakerngkiet, K., Demba, M.A.: Stability results for implicit fractional pantograph differential equations via ϕ -Hilfer fractional derivative with a nonlocal Riemann–Liouville fractional integral condition. *Mathematics* **8**(1), 94 (2020)
35. Ahmed, I., Kumam, P., Jarad, F., Borisut, P., Sitthithakerngkiet, K., Ibrahim, A.: Stability analysis for boundary value problems with generalized nonlocal condition via Hilfer–Katugampola fractional derivative. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2020**(1), 225 (2020)
36. Ali, Z., Kumam, P., Shah, K., Zada, A.: Investigation of Ulam stability results of a coupled system of nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations. *Mathematics* **7**(4), 341 (2019)
37. Aphithana, A., Ntouyas, S.K., Tariboon, J.: Existence and Ulam–Hyers stability for Caputo conformable differential equations with four-point integral conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**(1), 139 (2019)
38. Khan, A., Khan, H., Gómez-Aguilar, J., Abdeljawad, T.: Existence and Hyers–Ulam stability for a nonlinear singular fractional differential equations with Mittag-Leffler kernel. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **127**, 422–427 (2019)
39. Li, C., Zeng, F.: *Numerical Methods for Fractional Calculus*, vol. 24. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2015)
40. Baleanu, D., Jajarmi, A., Hajjipour, M.: On the nonlinear dynamical systems within the generalized fractional derivatives with Mittag-Leffler kernel. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **94**(1), 397–414 (2018)
41. Jajarmi, A., Baleanu, D.: A new fractional analysis on the interaction of HIV with CD4+ T-cells. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **113**, 221–229 (2018)
42. Misra, A., Sharma, A., Shukla, J.: Modeling and analysis of effects of awareness programs by media on the spread of infectious diseases. *Math. Comput. Model.* **53**(5–6), 1221–1228 (2011)
43. Tahir, M., Shah, S.I.A., Zaman, G., Khan, T.: A dynamic compartmental mathematical model describing the transmissibility of MERS-CoV virus in public. *Punjab Univ. J. Math.* **51**, 57–71 (2019)
44. National Population Commission, ICF Macro. *Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2008*. National Population Commission and ICF Macro, Abuja (2009)