WŨ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2020 July 28; 26(28): 4108-4125

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i28.4108

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study Food groups, diet quality and colorectal cancer risk in the Basque Country

Iker Alegria-Lertxundi, Carmelo Aguirre, Luis Bujanda, Francisco J Fernández, Francisco Polo, José Ma Ordovás, Mª Carmen Etxezarraga, Iñaki Zabalza, Mikel Larzabal, Isabel Portillo, Marian M de Pancorbo, Koldo Garcia-Etxebarria, Ana Ma Rocandio, Marta Arroyo-Izaga

ORCID number: Iker Alegria-Lertxundi 0000-0002-6702-9209; Carmelo Aguirre 0000-0003-3555-1550; Luis Bujanda 0000-0002-4353-9968: Francisco J Fernández 0000-0002-2230-2806; Francisco Polo 0000-0003-3305-1210; José Ma Ordovás 0000-0002-7581-5680; Ma Carmen Etxezarraga 0000-0002-4960-9429; Iñaki Zabalza 0000-0001-7892-4447; Isabel Portillo 0000-0002-3871-3423; Marian M de Pancorbo 0000-0002-8081-0702; Koldo Garcia-Etxebarria 0000-0002-6107-9416; Ana Mª Rocandio 0000-0002-7803-8039; Marta Arroyo-Izaga 0000-0001-5592-4241.

Author contributions: Arroyo-Izaga M, de Pancorbo MM, Aguirre C, Alegria-Lertxundi I, Bujanda L, Fernández FJ, Polo F, Etxezarraga MC, Zabalza I, Larzabal M, Rocandio AM, Garcia-Etxebarria K and Portillo I contributed to the conception, design and data acquisition; Arroyo-Izaga M, Alegria-Lertxundi I and Ordovás JM analysed the data, interpreted the results, and drafted the manuscript; and all the authors critically revised the paper and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Supported by the Department of Health and Consumer Affairs,

Iker Alegria-Lertxundi, Marta Arroyo-Izaga, Department of Pharmacy and Food Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Vitoria-Gasteiz 01006, Spain

Carmelo Aguirre, Pharmacovigilance Unit, Galdakao-Usansolo University Hospital, Osakidetza, Galdakao 48960, Spain

Luis Bujanda, Department of Gastroenterology, Donostia University Hospital / Biodonostia, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), San Sebastian 20014, Spain

Francisco J Fernández, Department of Gastroenterology, Galdakao-Usansolo University Hospital, Osakidetza, Galdakao 48960, Spain

Francisco Polo, Department of Gastroenterology, Basurto University Hospital, Osakidetza, Bilbao 48013, Spain

José Mª Ordovás, Nutrition and Genomics Laboratory, Jean Mayer Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging, Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts 02111, United States of America; IMDEA Food, Madrid 28049, Spain

Mª Carmen Etxezarraga, Department of Pathology, Basurto University Hospital, Osakidetza, Bilbao 48013, Spain; Department of Physician and Surgeon Specialities, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Leioa 48940, Spain

lñaki Zabalza, Department of Pathology, Galdakao-Usansolo University Hospital, Osakidetza, Galdakao 48960, Spain

Mikel Larzabal, Department of Pathology, Donostia Hospital / Biodonostia, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), San Sebastian 20014, Spain

Isabel Portillo, Colorectal cancer screening programme, Osakidetza, Bilbao 48011, Spain

Marian M de Pancorbo, Ana M^a Rocandio, BIOMICs Research Group, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Vitoria-Gasteiz 01006, Spain

Koldo Garcia-Etxebarria, Biodonostia, Gastrointestinal genetics group, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), San Sebastian 20014,



Basque Government, No. 2011111153; Saiotek, Basque Government, No. S-PE12UN058; Pre-doctoral grant from the Basque Government, No. PRE_2015_2_0084; and United States Department of Agriculture -Agricultural Research Service, No. 58-1950-4-003.

Institutional review board

statement: This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Basque Country (reference numbers PI2011006 and PI2014042).

Informed consent statement:

Written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants.

Conflict-of-interest statement: No

benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

Data sharing statement: Dataset available from the corresponding author at marta.arroyo@ehu.eus.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statementchecklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/licenses /by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Received: February 28, 2020 Peer-review started: February 28,

Spain

Corresponding author: Marta Arroyo-Izaga, PharmD, Department of Pharmacy and Food Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Paseo de la Universidad, No. 7, Vitoria-Gasteiz 01006, Spain. marta.arroyo@ehu.eus

Abstract

BACKGROUND

The results obtained to date concerning food groups, diet quality and colorectal cancer (CRC) risk vary according to criteria used and the study populations.

AIM

To study the relationships between food groups, diet quality and CRC risk, in an adult population of the Basque Country (North of Spain).

METHODS

This observational study included 308 patients diagnosed with CRC and 308 ageand sex-matched subjects as controls. During recruitment, dietary, anthropometric, lifestyle, socioeconomic, demographic and health status information was collected. Adherence to the dietary recommendations was evaluated utilizing the Healthy Eating Index for the Spanish Diet and the MedDietScore. Conditional logistic regressions were used to evaluate the associations of food group intakes, diet quality scores, categorized in tertiles, with CRC risk.

RESULTS

The adjusted models for potential confounding factors showed a direct association between milk and dairy products consumption, in particular high-fat cheeses [odds ratio (OR) third tertile vs first tertile = 1.87, 95% confidence intervals (CI): 1.11-3.16], and CRC risk. While the consumption of fiber-containing foods, especially whole grains (OR third tertile vs first tertile = 0.62, 95%CI: 0.39-0.98), and fatty fish (OR third tertile vs first tertile = 0.53, 95%CI: 0.27-0.99) was associated with a lower risk for CRC. Moreover, higher MD adherence was associated with a reduced CRC risk in adjusted models (OR third tertile vs first tertile = 0.40, 95%CI: 0.20-0.80).

CONCLUSION

Direct associations were found for high-fat cheese, whereas an inverse relation was reported for fiber-containing foods and fatty fish, as well as adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern.

Key words: Colorectal cancer; Food group; Dietary quality; Mediterranean diet; Riskfactors; Case-control study

©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: This matched case-control study supports the role of diet in colorectal cancer (CRC) risk. The results suggest that high consumption of high-fat cheeses is associated with CRC risk, whereas, a high intake of fiber-containing foods, especially whole grains, and fatty fish, as well as adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern, was associated with a lower risk for CRC. Future studies are needed to better understand the influence of the dietary habits on CRC prevention in this population that can provide leads for the design and tailoring of future interventions, and guide counselling strategies for promoting a healthy lifestyle.

Citation: Alegria-Lertxundi I, Aguirre C, Bujanda L, Fernández FJ, Polo F, Ordovás JM, Etxezarraga MC, Zabalza I, Larzabal M, Portillo I, de Pancorbo MM, Garcia-Etxebarria K, Rocandio AM, Arroyo-Izaga M. Food groups, diet quality and colorectal cancer risk in the Basque Country. World J Gastroenterol 2020; 26(28): 4108-4125



2020

First decision: March 21, 2020 Revised: April 3, 2020 Accepted: July 16, 2020 Article in press: July 16, 2020 Published online: July 28, 2020

P-Reviewer: Bordonaro M, Khajehei M **S-Editor:** Zhang L L-Editor: A E-Editor: Zhang YL



URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v26/i28/4108.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v26.i28.4108

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a major public health challenge worldwide. CRC is the third-most commonly diagnosed malignancy and the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths in the world, accounting for approximately 1.8 million new cases and almost 900000 deaths in 2018^[1]. In Europe, CRC is the leading malignancy in terms of incidence and the second in mortality in both sexes^[2]. CRC is linked to western lifestyles, in particular, to diet, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol consumption, and body weight^[3,4].

Epidemiological evidence suggests that dietary factors may both protect against and promote the development of CRC. A comprehensive review^[5] shows robust evidence about the protective role of dietary fiber. Other foods, such as milk or garlic, also may be protective. Conversely, red meat and processed meat intake and alcoholic drinks increase CRC risk. This food group approach has the advantage of reducing some of the problems inherent to analyses of nutrient intake (e.g., inaccuracy and incompleteness of food-composition tables). Furthermore, it offers an advantage from a preventive perspective since food group results are easier to transform into dietary recommendations than those of nutrients^[6].

In this regard, foods are not consumed in isolation but as part of a dietary pattern; therefore, the actual effect of diet on disease risk may be observed only when all components are considered jointly^[6]. For this purpose, several diet quality indexes have been developed using point systems to measure whole diet quality based on the alignment of food choices with dietary recommendations. Some of these indices have been used to begin assessing the relationships between overall diet quality and CRC risk, and the results show that high scores in these indices are associated with a lower CRC risk^[7-10]. However, the results vary considerably according to the index used and other factors such as sex and age. Therefore, there is a need to further examine these relationships in diverse population studies.

The current case-control study was undertaken in the North of Spain to elucidate the relationships between food group consumption, diet quality and CRC risk, and identify possible differences in consumption depending on tumor location, in an adult population that participated in a CRC screening programme (CRCSP) in the Basque Country. To our knowledge, this is the first study in the Basque country population, in which both CRC incidence and mortality have increased in recent years^[11]. There are few studies in this regard in Spain^[12,13]. And both in these Spanish studies and in others carried out in other Mediterranean countries controls were apparently healthy subjects without clinical symptoms or signs of any type of cancer^[14].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study subjects

This is an observational, matched case-control study in a population group residing in the Basque Country (North of Spain). Participants in this study were recruited from among patients attending any of the three hospitals of the Osakidetza/Basque Health Service (Basurto, Galdakao and Donostia) members of the Basque Country CRCSP. To be eligible for this CRCSP, the patients had to be aged between 50 and 69, asymptomatic for colorectal symptoms and registered with the Osakidetza/Basque Health Service^[11]. These inclusion criteria were applied to both case and control group, that is, controls fulfilled the same eligibility criteria defined for the cases, with the exception of the disease (outcome). Recruitment and data collection for the present study were conducted between 2014 and 2016.

All the patients who were newly diagnosed with CRC (n = 601) were invited to participate in this study. Of those, 283 refused to participate in the study, and 10 were excluded due to missing information. Ultimately, 308 subjects (66.2% men) consented to participate in the survey and completed all the questionnaires. In addition, for each case, three age- (± 9.0 years) and sex-matched control patients were randomly sought from the list of CRC-free subjects (n = 1836) who participated in the CRCSP during the same period as the cases. The matched controls were patients with positive results



(abnormal) for immunochemical fecal occult blood test and negative colonoscopy results (normal). The participation rate of the controls was 37.6%, and 17 subjects were excluded due to missing information. Finally, the matched case-to-control ratio was 1:1, and the final data set included 308 cases who were diagnosed with CRC and 308 age- and sex-matched controls. Further details on recruitment and data collection have been described elsewhere^[15]. The main advantage of the present study compared to other above-mentioned researches^[12-14] is that we confirmed that controls were free of the disease through colonoscopy. Colonoscopy was used as diagnostic criteria to identify the cases in order to avoid false positives and negatives.

The pathological staging was based on the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual^[16] as follows: I (57.1%), IIA (13.6%), IIB (1.0%), IIC (0.3%), IIIA (7.5%), IIIB (14.6%), IIIC (1.9%), IVA (2.9%), and IVB (1.0%). The location of the cancer was distal in 76% and proximal (to the splenic flexure of the colon) in 24.0% of the samples. Concerning the tumor grade classification, we adopted a two-grade classification that was divided into low grade (well or moderately differentiated) (80.5%) and high grade (poorly differentiated, anaplastic, or undifferentiated) (4.5%); the percentage of missing data for this classification was 14.9%.

Some of the cases had undergone surgical resection (73.7%) and/or adjuvant treatments, chemotherapy (34.1%), and chemotherapy and radiation (6.8%). The percentages of subjects according to the type of surgical procedure were as follows: 26.3% sigmoidectomy, 17.5% right hemicolectomy resection, 18.8% low anterior resection, 6.5% left hemicolectomy resection, 2.3% transverse colectomy, 1.0% abdominoperineal resection, 1.0% total colectomy, and 0.3% transanal endoscopic operation. The cases were invited to take part in this survey at least one month after finishing their last treatment (surgery, chemotherapy or radiotherapy) (median, 1.3 years; range, 0.1 to 4.2 years). All the clinical data were obtained from the Basque Country's population-based CRCSP database, which links patient medical records and clinical databases and reviewed by expert staff. This review allowed the monitorization of all cases from the submission of the sample through the analysis, colonoscopy, pathology and follow-up.

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving patients were approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Basque Country (reference numbers PI2011006 and PI2014042). Written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants. Consenting participants self-completed and returned a detailed food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) and one general questionnaire. The questions referred to the behaviors before participating in the CRCSP. Assistance from the study staff was available to help the patients to understand the items on the questionnaires. The quality management applied in the present study has been described in a previous article^[15].

Dietary assessment

Diets were assessed using a short FFQ that was a modified version of the Rodríguez et al^[17] (2008) questionnaire. This adaptation was validated with multiple 24-h recalls in the Basque general population^[18] and in CRC diagnosed patients in a pilot of the present study^[19]. It consists of 67 items and requires the subjects to recall the number of times each food item was consumed either per week or per month. This FFQ included specific questions about the frequency of intake of alcoholic beverages. Moreover, the respondents could also record the consumption of other foods that were not included on the food list.

Consumption frequencies were standardized to "per day" and multiplied by standard serving sizes (grams)^[20]. For items that included several foods, each food's contribution was estimated with weighting coefficients that were obtained from the usual consumption data^[21]. Food items were then regrouped according to nutritional characteristics^[22] and considering the potential contribution of food to the pathogenesis of CRC^[23,24]. Details on the items included in each food group are shown in Table 1. All food items that were consumed were entered into DIAL 2.12 (2011 ALCE INGENIERIA)^[25], a type of dietary assessment software, to estimate energy intake (kilocalories/day, kcal/d).

Adherence to the dietary recommendations was evaluated utilizing the Healthy Eating Index for Spanish Diet (HEISD)^[26] and the MedDietScore (MDS)^[27], as previously described^[19]. The theoretical range of the HEISD is 0-100 and of the MDS 0-55, higher values of these scores indicate greater adherence to the dietary recommendations for the Spanish population and the Mediterranean diet pattern, respectively. HEISD was divided into the following categories: Poor diet (< 50 points), needs improvement (50-80 points) and proper diet (> 80 points)^[26]; and the MDS into



Table 1 Food group definitions					
Food group	Food items				
Red and processed meat					
Red meat	Beef, pork and lamb, minced meat, hamburgers, meatballs				
Processed meat	Ham, sausage, salami, mortadella, black pudding or blood sausage				
Egg	Egg				
Fish	White fish (hake, grouper, sole, cod) and fatty fish (sardine, tuna, salmon, mackerel)				
Milk/dairy products					
Non-cheese products	Whole milk, semi-skimmed milk, skimmed milk, whole yogurt, skimmed yogurt and dairy desserts				
Cheese	Burgos cheese, curd, cottage and cheeses low in calories, mature, semi-mature and creamy cheese				
Fiber-containing foods					
Fruits	Orange, tangerine, apple, pear, banana, peach, raisins, prunes, dried figs natural fruit juices				
Vegetables	Salads, green beans, chard, spinach garnish vegetables (eggplant, mushrooms, peppers), garlic, onion				
Whole grains	Whole grain pasta, brown rice, whole grain cookies, whole breakfast cereals (Muesli, All-Bran)				
Nuts	Walnuts, almonds, hazelnuts, peanuts				
Fat	Vegetable oils (olive, sunflower, corn, soy), butter, margarine, mayonnaise				
Sweet and added sugar	Chocolate, breakfast cereals, cookies, muffins, donuts, honey, sugar, commercial fruit juice, soft-drinks, cakes, pies				
Alcoholic beverages	Beer, wine, hard cider, vermouth, whiskey, rum, gin, brandy, cocktails				

the following ones: Low adherence to MD (0-34 points) and high adherence (> 35 points). The cut-off point of MDS was established taking into account that scores below 34 points were associated with a higher risk of coronary heart disease, being the relative odds $\geq 1.42^{[27]}$.

General questionnaire

A general questionnaire was used to gather information on weight status (selfreported weight and height) and environmental factors [demographic factors: Age and sex; and lifestyle information: Physical exercise (PE) and smoking consumption]. These questions were taken from the Spanish Health Questionnaire^[28]. Body mass index (BMI) estimated from self-reported height and weight was classified according to the World Health Organization criteria for those under 65 years of age^[29] and according to the criteria proposed by Silva Silva Rodrigues et al^[30] for those 65 years and older.

Additionally, socioeconomic and health status data were assessed with two indices that were obtained from the clinical databases developed by the Health Department of the Basque Government, namely the socioeconomic deprivation index (DI) and predictive risk modelling (PRM), respectively. The first one was estimated using the MEDEA project criteria^[31], as has been described elsewhere^[12] and was divided into quintiles (Q), with the first being the least disadvantaged and the fifth being the most disadvantaged. The DI was successfully assigned to 80.2% of participants, while the quality of the registered information did not permit the linking of the remaining 19.8%.

The PRM is an index that is based on Adjusted Clinical Groups^[32], Diagnostic Cost Groups/Hierarchical Condition Categories^[33] and Clinical Risk Groups^[34]. This index combines information about diagnoses, prescriptions, previous costs and the use of specific procedures. It is capable of predicting the use of health resources^[35], and it was stratified into four levels (L); the first included participants with a risk of high health resource consumption and the fourth included those with low health resource consumption. The PRM was successfully assigned to 95.1% of participants, while the quality of the registered information did not permit the linking of the remaining 4.9%.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States) and STATA 13.0 (StataCorp LP, TX, United States). Categorical variables are shown as a percentage, and continuous variables are shown as the means and standard deviations (SD). Normality was



checked using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov-Lilliefors test. Differences between continuous variables were calculated with a Wilcoxon test, and a McNemar's test was used for categorical variables.

Conditional logistic regression was used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) for CRC risk according to tertiles of food group intakes and diet quality scores for unadjusted and adjusted models. Intake of all food groups and total diet quality scores were categorized into tertiles by the distribution in the control population, taking into account sex differences when they were significant. The lowest tertile was used as the reference group. Tertile cut-offs for HEISD were: 1st tertile (T₁), 69; 2^{nd} tertile (T₂), 69-74.5 and 3^{rd} tertile (T₃), > 74.5; and for MDS: T₁ < 35; T₂ 35-37 and T₃, > 37.

Based on known risk factors for CRC^[36-38], covariates in adjusted models included age, sex, weight status, energy intake, PE level, smoking status, intensity of smoking (in current and past smokers) and time not smoking (in past smokers), DI and PRM. Quantitative covariates (cigarettes/d and years not smoking) were dichotomized by mean or median, according to the normality test. We used the cut-off of Romaguera et al^[39] to create two PE levels expressed in min/d of cycling/sports: Sedentary-light (< 15 min/d) and moderate-vigorous (\geq 15 min/d). Age was dichotomized using the same age ranges that were used in the sample selection process (50-59 years old vs 60-69 years old). Qualitative ones, such as DI and PRM were dichotomized taking into account the distribution of frequencies to obtain similar sample sizes for each category (DI, Q₁₋₃ vs Q₄₋₅; PRM, L₃₋₄ vs L₁₋₂). Energy intake was included as a quantitative variable in the adjusted models. We included participants with missing data for the covariates as a separate category. The reference categories were those that, according to the literature, have a lower CRC risk. All tests were 2-sided, and P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Comparisons of general characteristics between the cases and the controls are presented in Table 2. Significant differences between the cases and the controls were found for educational level, smoking and weight status, with a higher percentage of cases with low-medium educational level, past or current smoking status and with overweight/obesity compared to the controls (P < 0.01).

Table 3 shows food group intakes expressed as mean values and standard deviations according to case-control status. No significant differences were found between the two groups for the majority of foods groups, except for a higher consumption of eggs and a lower intake of whole grains in the cases than the controls (P < 0.05).

The ORs for CRC risk by the main food group and food subgroup intakes are presented in Table 4 and 5, respectively. The adjusted ORs for CRC risk increased with higher red and processed meat, eggs, milk/dairy products intakes; whereas it decreased with higher fiber-containing foods and nut intakes. The food group with the highest adjusted OR for CRC risk was milk/dairy products. Fish consumption showed an association with CRC risk in the unadjusted analysis but not in the adjusted analysis. For some of these food groups, specifically for red and processed meat, fish, eggs and nuts, the null value 1 was contained in the confidence interval. Concerning the food subgroup intakes, the ORs for CRC risk increased with higher high-fat cheese intakes, while it decreased with higher fatty fish, in the adjusted analysis.

Supplementary Table 1 describes food group intakes of cases according to tumor location and their matched controls. Food group intakes were not substantially different between proximal and distal cancer cases, except for fish, milk/dairy products and fat. The fish consumption was higher in both case subgroups (proximal and distal cancer cases) in comparison with their matched controls (P < 0.001). However, the milk/dairy products intake was higher in proximal tumor cases and was lower in distal tumor cases than in their matched controls (P < 0.001). Finally, the fat intake was higher in proximal tumor cases in comparison with their matched controls (P < 0.05). The sample sizes did not allow the assessment of food group intakes related to disease risk, stratifying according to the tumor location.

The components and total scores of the HEISD and MDS are displayed in Table 6. According to HEISD, 91.9% of the participants (cases and controls) followed a diet classified as "needs improvement", 7.6% followed a "good diet" and 0.5 followed a "poor diet". Significant differences were neither observed in the HEISD classification nor the components scores nor in the total score. However, the total score for this



Table 2 General characteristics of the sam	ple studied				
Characteristics	Cases (<i>n</i> = 308)		Controls (n =	Controls (<i>n</i> = 308)	
Sex, men, <i>n</i> (%)	204 (66.2)		204 (66.2)		
Age, yr, mean SD	61.5	5.2	61.1	5.5	0.093
Schooling, %					
No education/primary education	36.7		29.2		
Technical/secondary education	48.0		44.5		
University degree	15.3		26.3		0.005
Economic activity, %					
Working	27.9		32.1		
Unemployed	5.2		3.2		
Retired	58.8		56.2		
Housework	8.1		8.4		0.496
Last work, %					
Employer or businessman/women	19.2		17.9		
Steady salaried employee	75.0		71.8		
Temporary salaried employee or member of a cooperative	0.6		4.5		
Household help and other activities without salary	5.1		5.8		0.073
Smoking status, %					
Never	27.9		38.6		
Past/current	72.1		61.4		0.004
Time to quit smoking					
≥11 yr	67.2		66.7		
< 11 yr	32.8		33.3		0.931
Intensity of smoking ¹					
≤15 cigarettes/d	50.7		33.1		
> 15 cigarettes/d	49.3		66.9		0.003
Physical exercise, %					
< 15 min/d of cycling/sports	79.2		65.9		
\geq 15 min/d of cycling/sports	20.8		34.1		< 0.001
BMI, %					
Underweight	6.5		7.8		0.033
Normal weight	26.0		34.1		
Overweight/obesity	67.5		58.1		
Energy intake (kcal/d), mean SD	1769.9	383.4	1736.6	388.2	0.172
DI,% ²					
Q ₁₋₃	47.1		65.6		
Q ₄₋₅	18.8		29.5		< 0.001
PRM,% ²					
L ₁₋₂	15.6		12.3		
L ₃₋₄	83.4		79.2		< 0.001



¹Percentages were calculated excluding never smokers.

²Valid percentages. BMI: Body mass index; DI: Deprivation index (This index was successfully assigned to 80.2% of the study sample); L: Level; PRM: Predictive risk modelling (This index was successfully assigned to 95.1% of the study sample); Q: Quintile; SD: Standard deviation.

Table 3 Food group intakes of the sample studied					
Food groups, g/d	Cases (<i>n</i> = 308)		Controls (n	Controls (<i>n</i> = 308)	
	mean	SD	mean	SD	
Red and processed meat	70.9	36.6	66.0	39.7	0.064
Red meat	49.7	30.5	46.1	31.0	0.130
Processed meat	21.2	16.6	19.9	17.2	0.155
Total fish	76.8	39.2	77.6	40.9	0.540
White fish	40.9	25.2	44.1	27.4	0.055
Fatty fish	35.9	22.6	33.6	24.1	0.236
Eggs	20.8	12.7	18.7	11.5	0.038
Milk/dairy products	264.7	153.4	271.0	119.4	0.310
Non-cheese dairy products	246.0	152.4	253.8	118.5	0.203
Total cheeses	18.8	17.4	17.1	16.8	0.172
Fresh cheeses ¹	6.9	10.3	7.1	13.3	0.867
Other cheeses ²	11.7	11.8	10.1	10.7	0.172
Fiber-containing foods	570.3	243.9	564.8	214.1	0.761
Fruits (including natural juices)	330.2	202.5	322.6	168.2	0.791
Vegetables	202.1	88.8	200.6	90.9	0.803
Whole grains	14.4	19.9	18.8	23.4	0.012
Fat	35.5	6.9	34.6	6.4	0.064
Nuts	9.1	10.1	10.9	10.5	0.055
Sweets and added sugar	108.3	95.4	110.7	116.5	0.969
Alcoholic beverages	103.4	100.7	96.8	105.9	0.269

¹Fresh cheeses, e.g., Burgos cheese and cheeses low in calories.

²Other cheeses, mature, semi-mature and creamy cheeses. SD: Standard deviation.

dietary quality index and the score of diet variety components were higher for cases with the proximal location of cancer than for their matched controls (P < 0.05) (Supplementary Table 2). No association was found between this index and risk of CRC, in the conditional logistic regressions.

Concerning the MDS, in the total sample, 39.8% showed low adherence to the MD and the remaining percentage had high adherence, without significant differences in the MDS classification between the cases and the controls. However, the scores for whole grains and total index were lower for cases than for controls (P < 0.05). This last result was confirmed using conditional logistic regressions, showing that those participants with higher MDS had a lower CRC risk than those with a lower score, in both unadjusted (model I: T₃ vs T₁, OR = 0.57, 95%CI: 0.37-0.89, P = 0.013) and adjusted models (model II: T₃ vs T₁, OR = 0.40, 95%CI: 0.20-0.80, P = 0.009). No significant differences were observed in total MDS between cases stratified by tumor location and their matched controls, but the total score was higher for cases with the proximal location of cancer than for those with distal location (P < 0.05) (Supplementary Table 2). Moreover, the score for potatoes and whole grain components were lower for cases with the distal location of cancer than for their matched controls (P < 0.05).

Boishidena® WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 4 Association betwe

Table 4 Association between main food group in and colorectal cancer risk							
Main food group intakes ¹	No., case/control	Model I ²	Model II ³	Model III ⁴			
		OR (95%CI)	OR (95%CI)	OR (95%CI)			
Red and processed meat	Red and processed meat						
T ₁	90/102	1.00	1.00	1.00			
T ₂	97/103	1.06 (0.72-1.57)	1.02 (0.61-1.72)	1.08 (0.61-1.94)			
T ₃	121/109	1.32 (0.91-1.93)	1.65 (0.99-2.75)	1.26 (0.71-2.23)			
Р		0.314	< 0.001	-			
Fish							
T ₁	95/105	1.00	1.00	1.00			
T ₂	77/103	0.82 (0.53-1.25)	0.97 (0.56-1.68)	0.83 (0.46-1.51)			
T ₃	136/105	1.49 (1.01-2.20)	1.06 (0.62-1.79)	1.25 (0.68-2.29)			
Р		0.008	< 0.001	-			
Eggs							
T ₁	71/98	1.00	1.00	1.00			
T ₂	107/116	1.15 (0.77-1.72)	1.04 (0.62-1.76)	0.97 (0.61-1.93)			
T ₃	130/104	1.55 (1.03-2.33)	1.72 (1.00-2.94)	1.26 (0.71-2.23)			
Р		0.081	< 0.001	-			
Milk/dairy products							
T ₁	60/102	1.00	1.00	1.00			
T ₂	127/104	2.05 (1.35-3.11)	2.02 (1.19-3.42)	1.97 (1.10-3.53)			
T ₃	121/102	2.00 (1.31-3.05)	2.12 (1.25-3.84)	1.80 (0.95-3.42)			
Р		< 0.001	< 0.001	-			
Fiber-containing foods							
T ₁	121/102	1.00	1.00	1.00			
T ₂	75/101	0.60 (0.39-0.92)	0.47 (0.26-0.85)	0.49 (0.25-0.95)			
T ₃	112/105	0.86 (0.58-1.28)	0.63 (0.36-1.11)	0.65 (0.35-1.21)			
Р		0.048	< 0.001	-			
Nuts							
T ₁	118/103	1.00	1.00	1.00			
T ₂	118/108	0.97 (0.67-1.42)	0.87 (0.53-1.44)	0.70 (0.37-1.31)			
Т3	72/97	0.67 (0.43-0.97)	0.58 (0.34-1.00)	0.59 (0.30-1.18)			
Р		0.074	< 0.001	-			
Fat							
T ₁	86/100	1.00	1.00	1.00			
T ₂	101/105	1.12 (0.75-1.67)	0.94 (0.56-1.59)	0.83 (0.45-1.51)			
T ₃	121/100	1.34 (0.92-1.97)	1.46 (0.85-2.50)	1.25 (0.68-2.29)			
Р		0.297	< 0.001	-			
Sweets and added sugar							
T ₁	82/120	1.00	1.00	1.00			
T ₂	120/103	1.47 (0.99-2.20)	1.67 (0.98-2.86)	1.88 (1.01-3.52)			
T ₃	106/103	1.30 (0.87-1.94)	1.63 (0.92-2.89)	1.39 (0.72-2.67)			



Baishideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Р		0.159	< 0.001	-
Alcoholic beverage				
T ₁	90/103	1.00	1.00	1.00
T ₂	107/101	1.20 (0.81-1.77)	1.05 (0.63-1.75)	1.10 (0.63-1.92)
T ₃	111/104	1.19 (0.83-1.72)	0.82 (0.50-1.36)	0.75 (0.42-1.32)
Р		0.558	< 0.001	

¹Food groups consumption was categorized into tertiles according to the distribution in controls, and by sexes for food groups with significant differences according to sex; Tertiles of food groups: Red and processed meat, $T_1 < 47.7$, $T_2 47.7$, $T_3 > 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 42.8$ -67.2, $T_3 > 67.2$; eggs, $T_1 < 78.5$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 < 42.8$, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_1 = 78.5$; Total fish, $T_2 = 78.5$; Total f 15.7, T₂ 15.7-23.5, T₃ > 23.5; Milk/dairy products, T₁ < 72.0, T₂ 72.0-232.1, T₃ > 232.1; Fat, T₁ < 30.8, T₂ 30.8-34.8, T₃ > 34.8; Nuts, T₁ < 2.9, T₂ 2.9-12.8, T₃ > 12.8; Sweets and added sugar, $T_1 \leq 50.1$, $T_2 \leq 50.1$ -117.3, $T_3 > 117.3$; Tertiles of food groups for men: Fiber-containing foods, $T_1 \leq 424.3$, $T_2 = 424.3$ -617.8, $T_3 > 117.3$; Tertiles of food groups for men: Fiber-containing foods, $T_1 \leq 424.3$, $T_2 = 424.3$ -617.8, $T_3 > 117.3$; Tertiles of food groups for men: Fiber-containing foods, $T_1 \leq 424.3$, $T_2 = 424.3$ -617.8, $T_3 > 117.3$; Tertiles of food groups for men: Fiber-containing foods, $T_1 \leq 424.3$, $T_2 = 424.3$ -617.8, $T_3 > 117.3$; Tertiles of food groups for men: Fiber-containing foods, $T_1 \leq 424.3$. 617.8; Alcoholic beverages, $T_1 \le 66.7$, $T_2 = 66.7$, $T_2 = 66.7$, $T_2 = 537.9$, $T_2 = 537$ 723.6; T₁ < 8.3; T₂ 8.3-85.7; T₃ > 85.7.

 2 Model I, analyses were performed using crude conditional logistic regression, without taking into account confounding factors.

³Model II, analyses were performed using conditional logistic regression analysis adjusted for age (50-59 years old, 60-69 years old), sex, body mass index $(underweight/normal weight, overweight/obesity), energy intake (kcal/d), physical exercise level (< 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d), smoking < 15 min/d of cycling/sports, \ge 15 min/d of cyclin$ status and intensity of smoking (never; past: quit smoking ≥ 11 years ago, quit < 11 years ago; Smoker: ≤ 15 cigarettes/d, > 15 cigarettes/d), Deprivation Index (quintile 1-3, quintile 4-5) and Predictive Risk Modelling (level 1-2, level 3-4), including food groups separately; participants with missing data for the confounding variables were included as a separate category for these variables.

⁴Model III, model II including all the mean food groups. CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odd ratio; T: Tertile.

DISCUSSION

The results from this observational study indicate that high consumption of milk/dairy products, in particular high-fat cheeses, is associated with CRC risk, while a high intake of fiber-containing foods, specially whole grains, and fatty fish was associated with a lower risk for CRC. Moreover, a higher MD adherence in general and particularly a higher score for whole grains have been associated with a reduced CRC risk.

As other authors have previously reported^[40] milk/dairy products were the food group with the highest adjusted OR for CRC risk, which is not in agreement with the probable evidence of protection of this food group against CRC^[5]. Some cohort studies support the protective effect of total dairy products and milk^[41-43]. This effect has been hypothetically associated with calcium, vitamin D, fats and other components such as lactoferrin or lactic bacteria in the case of fermented dairy products milk^[41,42]. However, case-control studies published to date are heterogeneous and, on average, do not provide evidence of an association between total intake of total dairy products, milk, cheese or yogurt and CRC risk^[41]. Regarding milk/dairy products consumption according to anatomical subsites of cases, the intake was higher in proximal tumor cases and lower in distal cases than in their matched controls. Although according to scientific literature, the effect of this food group seems to be similar across all locations of the bowel^[43].

In general, epidemiological studies have not found evidence of either reduction or increase of CRC risk specifically associated with the consumption of cheese^[41,42]. Although there are few pieces of research on cheese consumption that reported an inverse association with CRC^[44] in the present research, high-fat cheeses are shown to be possible risk factors for CRC development. Some studies showed a positive relationship between fatty foods and CRC incidence^[45]. Dairy products, e.g., mature, semi-mature and creamy cheeses, are rich in saturated fat, so this relationship might be due to the content of fat in these products. Several studies have suggested that high-fat consumption increases bile acid discharge. Moreover, an increase in the concentration of bile acids above physiological levels has been reported to promote CRC^[46,47]. In any case, the association between milk/dairy products consumption and the risk of developing CRC is complex and some researchers indicated that the fat content contained within dairy products does not influence this association^[43].

In line with previous studies^[48-50], we also found that the consumption of fibercontaining foods was inversely associated with CRC risk. Specifically, consumption of more than 424.3 g/d in men and 537.9 g/d in women of fiber-containing foods decreased CRC risk by about 50% (OR approximately 0.5) compared to lower consumption, in adjusted models. The preventive effect of dietary fiber can be explained by biological mechanisms that include increasing amounts of feces, decreasing gastrointestinal transit time, diluting intestinal cancer-causing factors,

Table 5 Ass

Table 5 Association betwe	en food subgroup intakes					
Food subgroup intakes ¹	No., case/control	Model I ²	Model II ³	Model III ⁴		
		OR (95%CI)	OR (95%CI)	OR (95%CI)		
Red meat						
T ₁	88/101	1.00	1.00	1.00		
T ₂	103/98	1.20 (0.81-1.79)	1.38 (0.82-2.34)	1.10 (0.62-1.96)		
T ₃	117/109	1.22 (0.84-1.78)	1.41 (0.87-2.30)	1.17 (0.67-2.03)		
Р		0.534	< 0.001	-		
Processed meat						
T ₁	102/103	1.00	1.00	1.00		
T ₂	82/99	0.84 (0.57-1.24)	0.62 (0.36-1.07)	0.67 (0.38-1.18)		
T ₃	124/106	1.21 (0.83-1.77)	1.54 (0.91-2.60)	1.54 (0.88-2.70)		
Р		0.206	< 0.001	-		
White fish						
T ₁	95/105	1.00	1.00	1.00		
T ₂	77/103	0.82 (0.53-1.25)	0.97 (0.56-1.68)	0.96 (0.36-2.53)		
T ₃	136/105	1.49 (1.01-2.20)	1.06 (0.62-1.79)	1.29 (0.74-2.25)		
Р		0.008	< 0.001	-		
Fatty fish						
T ₁	119/110	1.00	1.00	1.00		
T ₂	105/102	1.05 (0.71-1.55)	0.93 (0.56-1.55)	0.89 (0.43-1.69)		
T ₃	74/96	0.72 (0.49-1.08)	0.50 (0.29-0.87)	0.53 (0.27-0.99)		
Р		0.145	< 0.001	-		
Fresh cheese						
T ₁	150/153	1.00	1.00	1.00		
T ₂	224/33	0.64 (0.32-1.28)	1.06 (0.44-2.55)	1.11 (0.66-1.87)		
T ₃	134/122	1.11 (0.80-1.55)	1.10 (0.70-1.72)	0.92 (0.58-1.46)		
Р		0.272	< 0.001	-		
Other cheeses						
T ₁	96/116	1.00	1.00	1.00		
T ₂	71/75	1.16 (0.76-1.77)	1.51 (0.86-2.63)	1.83 (1.15-2.89)		
T ₃	141/117	1.46 (1.01-2.12)	1.85 (1.12-3.05)	1.87 (1.11-3.16)		
Р		0.112	< 0.001	-		
Fruits						
T ₁	109/99	1.00	1.00	1.00		
T ₂	98/110	0.82 (0.56-1.19)	1.08 (0.63-1.85)	1.03 (0.58-1.83)		
T ₃	101/99	0.92 (0.62-1.37)	0.70 (0.40-1.22)	0.68 (0.37-1.26)		
Р		0.567	< 0.001	-		
Vegetables						
T ₁	97/102	1.00	1.00	1.00		
T ₂	111/103	1.14 (0.76-1.71)	0.98 (0.55-1.73)	1.10 (0.60-2.04)		
- T ₃	100/103	1.03 (0.68-1.57)	0.94 (0.52-1.70)	1.10 (0.58-2.11)		
		. ,	. , ,			



Р		0.789	< 0.001	-
Whole grains				
T ₁	144/128	1.00	1.00	1.00
T ₂	83/77	0.92 (0.62-1.38)	0.86 (0.52-1.42)	0.98 (0.58-1.65)
T ₃	81/103	0.68 (0.46-1.01)	0.62 (0.37-1.06)	0.62 (0.39-0.98)
Р		0.135	< 0.001	

¹Food groups consumption was categorized into tertiles according to the distribution in controls, and by sexes for food groups with significant differences according to sex; Tertiles of food groups: Red meat, T₁ < 33.5, T₂ 33.5-54.9, T₃ > 54.9; Processed meat, T₁ < 11.6, T₂ 11.6-22.8, T₃ > 22.8; non-cheese dairy, T₁ < 225.0, T₂ 225.0-325.0, T₃ > 325.0; Cheese, T₁ < 7.5, T₂ 7.5-20.0, T₃ > 20.0; Vegetables, T₁ < 152.9, T₂ 152.9-237.2, T₃ > 237.2; Tertiles of food groups for men: $Fruits, T_1 < 207.5, T_2 207.5-392.9, T_3 > 392.9; Whole grains, T_1 < 1.0, T_2 1.0-17.5, T_3 > 17.5; Tertiles of food groups for women: Fruits T_1 < 242.9, T_2 242.9-10.0, T_3 > 17.5; Tertiles of food groups for women: Fruits T_1 < 242.9, T_2 242.9-10.0, T_3 > 10.0, T_3 > 10.0,$ 425.0,: Whole grains, T₁ < 2.0, T₂ 2.0-30.0, T₃ > 30.0.

 2 Model I, analyses were performed using crude conditional logistic regression, without taking into account confounding factors.

³Model II, analyses were performed using conditional logistic regression analysis adjusted for age (50-59 years old, 60-69 years old), sex, body mass index (underweight/normal weight, overweight/obesity), energy intake (kcal/d), physical exercise level (< 15 min/d of cycling/sports, ≥ 15 min/d), smoking status and intensity of smoking (never; past: quit smoking ≥ 11 years ago, quit < 11 years ago; Smoker: ≤ 15 cigarettes/d, > 15 cigarettes/d), Deprivation Index (quintile 1-3, quintile 4-5) and Predictive Risk Modelling (level 1-2, level 3-4), including food groups separately; Participants with missing data for the confounding variables were included as a separate category for these variables.

⁴Model III, model II including all the mean food groups. CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odd ratio; T: Tertile.

interfering absorption of those, and lowering intestinal acidity^[51]. In addition, fermentation of fiber produced butyrate. This short-chain fatty acid showed antiinflammatory, anti-proliferation and antineoplastic properties in colonocyte cells metabolism through microbiota homeostasis and genetic/epigenetic regulation^[52].

Furthermore, our findings suggest that high consumption of whole grains (higher than 17.5 g/d in men and 30.0 g/d in women) may decrease the risk of CRC, after controlling confounding factors. There is convincing evidence that whole grains help to reduce CRC risk^[5,53]. The observed reduction in CRC risk associated with high consumption of whole grains may partly be attributed to dietary fiber, resistant starch, and oligosaccharides that can influence the gut environment. Insoluble fiber increases the bulk of luminal contents, diluting potential carcinogens and promoters in the colon and decreasing transit time, and, consequently, reduces the exposure of the colonic epithelium to harmful compounds^[54,55]. Additionally, other components such as vitamins (especially B-vitamins), minerals (e.g., magnesium and zinc), phenolic compounds, antinutrients (e.g., tannins), and phytoestrogens may also contribute to this protection^[54].

On the other hand, the consumption of fatty fish (higher than 42.8 g/d) was associated with a decreased risk in CRC by about 50% (OR approximately 0.5) compared to lower consumption, after adjusting models for covariates. It should be noted that the Basque Country population has a higher consumption of total fish and fatty fish compared to other Spanish autonomous communities^[55,56]. Recent cohort studies have observed that fatty fish was inversely associated with CRC incidence^[57,58] and they have related this association with exposure to long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids^[57]. Evidence from animal and *in vitro* studies indicates that n-3 fatty acids present in fatty fish may inhibit carcinogenesis^[59]. High intake of n-3 fatty acids suppresses the production of arachidonic acid-derived eicosanoids such as prostaglandin E2 and leukotriene B431. N-3 fatty acids could also suppress the expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase and nuclear transcription factor κ B (NF-κ B)^[60].

In relation to the diet quality, our findings on the MDS and CRC risk are supported by those of other researchers^[13,61-63], who found significant associations between lower risk of CRC and adherence to Mediterranean dietary pattern. However the HEISD was not associated with CRC risk, discrepancies in results obtained with the two dietary quality indices analysed are probably due to differences in their constructs and scoring criteria. The overall MDS was inversely associated with CRC risk, being higher the total score in cases with the proximal location of cancer than for those with the distal location. These last results contrast with previous findings, which showed that the protective effects of adherence to the MD were mainly for distal colon and rectal cancer and not for proximal colon cancer^[64]. In the total sample, investigation of the separate score components showed that whole grain score was lower for cases than for controls. This result is consistent with that obtained for the association between whole grains consumption and CRC risk.



Table 6 Diet quality indices in the sample studied					
	Cases (<i>n</i> = 308)		Controls (<i>n</i> =	Controls (<i>n</i> = 308)	
	mean	SD	mean	SD	<i>P</i> value
HEISD components ¹					
Meats	3.1	1.7	3.1	1.7	0.811
Processed meats	2.8	2.0	3.1	2.2	0.162
Legumes	8.6	2.1	8.5	2.3	0.716
Milk/Dairy	9.8	1.2	9.8	1.1	0.797
Fruits	9.1	1.8	9.1	1.9	0.464
Vegetables	8.9	1.7	8.9	1.6	0.816
Grains	9.9	0.9	9.9	1.9	0.862
Sweets	1.6	3.1	1.6	3.0	0.847
Soft-drink	8.8	2.5	8.7	2.6	0.583
Variety	8.0	1.7	8.0	1.7	0.646
Total HEISD	70.7	7.2	70.8	7.9	0.906
MDS components ²					
Red meats and processed meats	0.7	1.0	0.8	1.2	0.134
Poultry	2.9	1.2	2.9	1.2	0.335
Fish	3.8	1.1	3.8	1.2	0.771
Legumes	2.4	1.2	2.3	1.1	0.482
Full fat dairy	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.9	0.618
Vegetables	4.9	0.6	4.9	0.5	0.599
Fruits	4.6	1.0	4.6	1.0	0.726
Potatoes	2.2	1.5	2.4	1.5	0.054
Whole grains	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.3	0.044
Alcoholic beverages	4.9	0.4	4.9	0.4	0.729
Olive oil	4.9	0.6	4.8	0.8	0.446
Total MDS	35.3	4.5	36.0	4.3	0.027

¹Each component can contribute 10 points to the total score and the theoretical range is 0–100.

²Each component can contribute five points to the total score and the theoretical range is 0-55. HEISD: Healthy Eating Index for Spanish Diet; MDS: Med Diet Score; SD: Standard deviation.

> Our study has several limitations. First, recall bias inherent in a case-control study design cannot be ruled out. The primary concern of this study is the low participation rate, which may have limited the representativeness of study samples. The decision to participate or not may be influenced by several factors, including social, educational and health conditions, which may again correlate with outcome risk factors. Second, self-reported data could be subject to measurement errors and the problem of food omissions due to memory failure and underreporting of unhealthy habits among disease subjects. However, previous validation studies indicate that the self-reported dietary information is reported with sufficient accuracy for use in epidemiology analyses^[65]; and it should be noted that dietary changes are usually modest after participating in the CRCSP due to a lack of information and personalized advice[66,67]. Another limitation of this type of study could be the selection of controls (selection bias). To avoid this type of bias, we obtained controls from the same CRCSP and in the same period as cases, thus, it was confirmed that they did not suffer from CRC by colonoscopy.

> Despite these limitations, the results allow us to conclude that high consumption of high-fat cheeses is associated with CRC risk, whereas, a high intake of fiber-containing



foods, especially whole grains, and fatty fish, and adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern was associated with a lower risk for CRC. Future studies are needed to better understand the influence of the dietary habits on CRC prevention in this population that can provide leads for the design and tailoring of future interventions, and guide counselling strategies for promoting a healthy lifestyle.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Epidemiological evidence suggests that some foods may both protect against and promote the development of colorectal cancer (CRC). However, foods are not consumed in isolation but as part of a dietary pattern; therefore, the actual effect of diet on disease risk may be observed only when all components are considered jointly. For this purpose, several diet quality indexes have been developed using point systems to measure whole diet quality based on the alignment of food choices with dietary recommendations.

Research motivation

Some diet quality indexes have been used to begin assessing the relationships between overall diet quality and CRC risk, and the results show that high scores in these indices are associated with a lower CRC risk. However, the results vary considerably according to the index used and other factors such as sex and age. Therefore, there is a need to further examine these relationships in diverse population studies.

Research objectives

To study the relationships between food groups, diet quality and CRC risk, in an adult population of the Basque Country (North of Spain).

Research methods

This observational study included 308 patients diagnosed with CRC and 308 age- and sex-matched subjects as controls. During recruitment, dietary, anthropometric, lifestyle, socioeconomic, demographic and health status information was collected. Dietary intake was assessed using a short food frequency questionnaire that was adapted and validated for this population. Adherence to the dietary recommendations was evaluated utilizing the Healthy Eating Index for the Spanish Diet and the MedDietScore. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States) and STATA 13.0 (StataCorp LP, TX, United States). Conditional logistic regressions were used to evaluate the associations of food group intakes, diet quality scores, categorized in tertiles, with CRC risk.

Research results

The adjusted models for potential confounding factors showed a direct association between milk/dairy products consumption, in particular high-fat cheeses [odds ratio (OR) third tertile vs first tertile = 1.87, 95% confidence intervals (CI): 1.11-3.16], and CRC risk. While the consumption of fiber-containing foods, especially whole grains (OR third tertile vs first tertile = 0.62, 95%CI: 0.39-0.98), and fatty fish (OR = 0.53, 95%CI: 0.27-0.99) was associated with a lower risk for CRC. Moreover, higher MD adherence was associated with a reduced CRC risk in adjusted models (OR = 0.40, 95%CI: 0.20-0.80).

Research conclusions

Direct associations were found for high-fat cheese, whereas an inverse relation was reported for fiber-containing foods and fatty fish, as well as adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern.

Research perspectives

Future studies are needed to better understand the influence of the dietary habits on CRC prevention in this population that can provide leads for the design and tailoring of future interventions, and guide counselling strategies for promoting a healthy lifestyle.

REFERENCES

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424 [PMID: 30207593 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21492]
- 2 Altobelli E. Lattanzi A. Paduano R. Varassi G. di Orio F. Colorectal cancer prevention in Europe: burden of disease and status of screening programs. Prev Med 2014; 62: 132-141 [PMID: 24530610 DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.02.010
- 3 Huxley RR, Ansary-Moghaddam A, Clifton P, Czernichow S, Parr CL, Woodward M. The impact of dietary and lifestyle risk factors on risk of colorectal cancer: a quantitative overview of the epidemiological evidence. Int J Cancer 2009; 125: 171-180 [PMID: 19350627 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.24343]
- Vucenik I, Stains JP. Obesity and cancer risk: evidence, mechanisms, and recommendations. Ann NY Acad Sci 2012; 1271: 37-43 [PMID: 23050962 DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06750.x]
- 5 World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. Continuous Update Project Expert Report 2018. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and colorectal cancer. 2017. Available from: https://www.wcrf.org/sites/default/files/Colorectal-cancer-report.pdf
- Jacques PF, Tucker KL. Are dietary patterns useful for understanding the role of diet in chronic disease? Am J Clin Nutr 2001; 73: 1-2 [PMID: 11124739]
- Reedy J, Wirfält E, Flood A, Mitrou PN, Krebs-Smith SM, Kipnis V, Midthune D, Leitzmann M, 7 Hollenbeck A, Schatzkin A, Subar AF. Comparing 3 dietary pattern methods--cluster analysis, factor analysis, and index analysis--With colorectal cancer risk: The NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol 2010; 171: 479-487 [PMID: 20026579 DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwp393]
- Steck SE, Guinter M, Zheng J, Thomson CA. Index-based dietary patterns and colorectal cancer risk: a 8 systematic review. Adv Nutr 2015; 6: 763-773 [PMID: 26567200 DOI: 10.3945/an.115.009746]
- Reedy J, Mitrou PN, Krebs-Smith SM, Wirfält E, Flood A, Kipnis V, Leitzmann M, Mouw T, Hollenbeck 9 A, Schatzkin A, Subar AF. Index-based dietary patterns and risk of colorectal cancer: the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Am J Epidemiol 2008; 168: 38-48 [PMID: 18525082 DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwn097]
- 10 Miller PE, Cross AJ, Subar AF, Krebs-Smith SM, Park Y, Powell-Wiley T, Hollenbeck A, Reedy J. Comparison of 4 established DASH diet indexes: examining associations of index scores and colorectal cancer. Am J Clin Nutr 2013; 98: 794-803 [PMID: 23864539 DOI: 10.3945/ajcn.113.063602]
- Idigoras I, Arrospide A, Portillo I, Arana-Arri E, Martínez-Indart L, Mar J, de Koning HJ, Lastra R, Soto-11 Gordoa M, van der Meulen M, Lansdorp-Vogelaar I. Evaluation of the colorectal cancer screening Programme in the Basque Country (Spain) and its effectiveness based on the Miscan-colon model. BMC Public Health 2017; 18: 78 [PMID: 28764731 DOI: 10.1186/s12889-017-4639-3]
- Banqué M, Raidó B, Masuet C, Ramon JM. Food groups and nutrient intake and risk of colorectal cancer: a 12 hospital-based case-control study in Spain. Nutr Cancer 2012; 64: 386-392 [PMID: 22369135 DOI: 10.1080/01635581.2012.657334]
- 13 Castelló A, Amiano P, Fernández de Larrea N, Martín V, Alonso MH, Castaño-Vinyals G, Pérez-Gómez B, Olmedo-Requena R, Guevara M, Fernandez-Tardon G, Dierssen-Sotos T, Llorens-Ivorra C, Huerta JM, Capelo R, Fernández-Villa T, Díez-Villanueva A, Urtiaga C, Castilla J, Jiménez-Moleón JJ, Moreno V, Dávila-Batista V, Kogevinas M, Aragonés N, Pollán M; MCC-Spain researchers. Low adherence to the western and high adherence to the mediterranean dietary patterns could prevent colorectal cancer. Eur J Nutr 2019; 58: 1495-1505 [PMID: 29582162 DOI: 10.1007/s00394-018-1674-5]
- 14 Rosato V, Guercio V, Bosetti C, Negri E, Serraino D, Giacosa A, Montella M, La Vecchia C, Tavani A. Mediterranean diet and colorectal cancer risk: a pooled analysis of three Italian case-control studies. Br J Cancer 2016; 115: 862-865 [PMID: 27537381 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2016.245]
- 15 Alegria-Lertxundi I, Aguirre C, Bujanda L, Fernández FJ, Polo F, Ordovás JM, Etxezarraga MC, Zabalza I, Larzabal M, Portillo I, de Pancorbo MM, Palencia-Madrid L, Rocandio AM, Arroyo-Izaga M. Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with susceptibility for development of colorectal cancer: Case-control study in a Basque population. PLoS One 2019; 14: e0225779 [PMID: 31821333 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0225779]
- Edge SB, Compton CC. The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer 16 staging manual and the future of TNM. Ann Surg Oncol 2010; 17: 1471-1474 [PMID: 20180029 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-010-0985-41
- Rodríguez IT, Ballart JF, Pastor GC, Jordà EB, Val VA. [Validation of a short questionnaire on frequency 17 of dietary intake: reproducibility and validity]. Nutr Hosp 2008; 23: 242-252 [PMID: 18560701]
- 18 Telleria-Aramburu N, Alegria-Lertxundi, Arroyo-Izaga M. Adaptation, validation and reproducibility of a short food frequency questionnaire to assess food group intake in the population resident in the Basque Country (Spain). Public Health Nutr 2020; In press
- Alegria-Lertxundi I, Alvarez M, Rocandio AM, de Pancorbo MM, Arroyo-Izaga M. Nutritional Adequacy 19 and Diet Quality in Colorectal Cancer Patients Postsurgery: A Pilot Study. Nutr Cancer 2016; 68: 577-588 [PMID: 27144653 DOI: 10.1080/01635581.2016.1158299]
- 20 Carbaial A. Sánchez-Muniz FJ. Guía de prácticas. In: García-Arias. MC García-Fernández Nutrición v
- dietética. León: Secretariado de Publicaciones y Medios Audiovisuales, Universidad de León, 2003: 1-30 Elika. Estudio cuantitativo del consumo de alimentos en la CAPV. Vitoria-Gasteiz: Dpto. Agricultura, Pesca 21 y Alimentación, Gobierno Vasco, 2008
- Dapchich V, Salador Castell G, Ribas Barba L, Pérez Rodrigo C, Aranceta Bartrina J, Serra Majem L. 1st ed. 22 Madrid: Sociedad Española de Nutrición Comunitaria, 2004: 1-106
- 23 Song M, Garrett WS, Chan AT. Nutrients, foods, and colorectal cancer prevention. Gastroenterology 2015; 148: 1244-60.e16 [PMID: 25575572 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.12.035]
- Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G. Does a Mediterranean-Type Diet Reduce Cancer Risk? Curr Nutr Rep 24 2016; 5: 9-17 [PMID: 27014505]
- 25 Ortega RM, López-Sobaler AM, Andrés P, Requejo AM, Aparicio A, Molinero LM. DIAL software for assessing diets and food calculations (for Windows, version 8). Department of Nutrition (UCM) Alce



Ingeniería, S.L. Madrid, Spain, 2016. Last access: [accesed: 20/10/2016]. Available from: http://www.alceingenieria.net/nutricion/descarga.htm

- 26 Norte Navarro AI, Ortiz Moncada R. [Spanish diet quality according to the healthy eating index]. Nutr Hosp 2011; 26: 330-336 [PMID: 21666971 DOI: 10.1590/S0212-16112011000200014]
- 27 Panagiotakos DB, Milias GA, Pitsavos C, Stefanadis C. MedDietScore: a computer program that evaluates the adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern and its relation to cardiovascular disease risk. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 2006; 83: 73-77 [PMID: 16806570]
- Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. Encuesta Nacional de Salud 2011/12. Madrid: 2013. 28 Available from: http://www.ine.es/jaxi/menu.do?typeDpcaxispathD/t15/p419fileDinebase
- Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. World Health Organ 29 Tech Rep Ser 2000; 894: i-xii, 1-253 [PMID: 11234459]
- Silva Rodrigues RA, Martinez Espinosa M, Duarte Melo C, Rodrigues Perracini M, Rezende Fett WC, Fett 30 CA. New values anthropometry for classification of nutritional status in the elderly. J Nutr Health Aging 2014; 18: 655-661 [PMID: 25226103 DOI: 10.1007/s12603-014-0451-2]
- Domínguez-Berjón MF, Borrell C, Cano-Serral G, Esnaola S, Nolasco A, Pasarín MI, Ramis R, Saurina C, 31 Escolar-Pujolar A. [Constructing a deprivation index based on census data in large Spanish cities(the MEDEA project)]. Gac Sanit 2008; 22: 179-187 [PMID: 18579042]
- Johns Hopkins University, School of Public Health. The Johns Hopkins University ACG Case-Mix System. Available from:

http://www.acg.jhsph.org/index.php?option=com_contentview=articleid=46Itemid=61

- 33 Verisk Health's DxCGDCG_Methodology. Available from: http://www.dxcg.com/resources/library 34 3MTM Clinical Risk Grouping Software. Available from:
- http://solutions.3m.com/wps/portal/3M/en_US/3M_Health_Information_Systems/HIS/Products/CRG/
- 35 Orueta JF, Nuño-Solinis R, Mateos M, Vergara I, Grandes G, Esnaola S. Predictive risk modelling in the Spanish population: a cross-sectional study. BMC Health Serv Res 2013; 13: 269 [PMID: 23837560 DOI: 10.1186/1472-6963-13-269
- Torres Stone RA, Waring ME, Cutrona SL, Kiefe CI, Allison J, Doubeni CA. The association of dietary 36 quality with colorectal cancer among normal weight, overweight and obese men and women: a prospective longitudinal study in the USA. BMJ Open 2017; 7: e015619 [PMID: 28679675 DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015619
- Doubeni CA, Laiyemo AO, Major JM, Schootman M, Lian M, Park Y, Graubard BI, Hollenbeck AR, Sinha 37 R. Socioeconomic status and the risk of colorectal cancer: an analysis of more than a half million adults in the National Institutes of Health-AARP Diet and Health Study. Cancer 2012; 118: 3636-3644 [PMID: 22898918 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.26677]
- Deshpande AD, McQueen A, Coups EJ. Different effects of multiple health status indicators on breast and 38 colorectal cancer screening in a nationally representative US sample. Cancer Epidemiol 2012; 36: 270-275 [PMID: 22079763 DOI: 10.1016/j.canep.2011.10.001]
- 39 Romaguera D, Vergnaud AC, Peeters PH, van Gils CH, Chan DS, Ferrari P, Romieu I, Jenab M, Slimani N, Clavel-Chapelon F, Fagherazzi G, Perquier F, Kaaks R, Teucher B, Boeing H, von Rüsten A, Tjønneland A, Olsen A, Dahm CC, Overvad K, Quirós JR, Gonzalez CA, Sánchez MJ, Navarro C, Barricarte A, Dorronsoro M, Khaw KT, Wareham NJ, Crowe FL, Key TJ, Trichopoulou A, Lagiou P, Bamia C, Masala G, Vineis P, Tumino R, Sieri S, Panico S, May AM, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Büchner FL, Wirfält E, Manjer J, Johansson I, Hallmans G, Skeie G, Benjaminsen Borch K, Parr CL, Riboli E, Norat T. Is concordance with World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research guidelines for cancer prevention related to subsequent risk of cancer? Results from the EPIC study. Am J Clin Nutr 2012; 96: 150-163 [PMID: 22592101 DOI: 10.3945/ajcn.111.031674]
- 40 Abbastabar H, Roustazadeh A, Alizadeh A, Hamidifard P, Valipour M, Valipour AA. Relationships of colorectal cancer with dietary factors and public health indicators: an ecological study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015; 16: 3991-3995 [PMID: 25987074]
- Norat T, Riboli E. Dairy products and colorectal cancer. A review of possible mechanisms and 41 epidemiological evidence. Eur J Clin Nutr 2003; 57: 1-17 [PMID: 12548291]
- Aune D, Lau R, Chan DS, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, Kampman E, Norat T. Dairy products and colorectal 42 cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Ann Oncol 2012; 23: 37-45 [PMID: 21617020 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdr269]
- Murphy N, Norat T, Ferrari P, Jenab M, Bueno-de-Mesquita B, Skeie G, Olsen A, Tjønneland A, Dahm CC, 43 Overvad K, Boutron-Ruault MC, Clavel-Chapelon F, Nailler L, Kaaks R, Teucher B, Boeing H, Bergmann MM, Trichopoulou A, Lagiou P, Trichopoulos D, Palli D, Pala V, Tumino R, Vineis P, Panico S, Peeters PH, Dik VK, Weiderpass E, Lund E, Garcia JR, Zamora-Ros R, Pérez MJ, Dorronsoro M, Navarro C, Ardanaz E, Manjer J, Almquist M, Johansson I, Palmqvist R, Khaw KT, Wareham N, Key TJ, Crowe FL, Fedirko V, Gunter MJ, Riboli E. Consumption of dairy products and colorectal cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). PLoS One 2013; 8: e72715 [PMID: 24023767 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072715]
- Larsson SC, Bergkvist L, Wolk A. High-fat dairy food and conjugated linoleic acid intakes in relation to 44 colorectal cancer incidence in the Swedish Mammography Cohort. Am J Clin Nutr 2005; 82: 894-900 [PMID: 16210722]
- Pais R, Silaghi H, Silaghi AC, Rusu ML, Dumitrascu DL. Metabolic syndrome and risk of subsequent 45 colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2009; 15: 5141-5148 [PMID: 19891012]
- Ou J. DeLany JP, Zhang M, Sharma S, O'Keefe SJ, Association between low colonic short-chain fatty acids 46 and high bile acids in high colon cancer risk populations. Nutr Cancer 2012; 64: 34-40 [PMID: 22136517 DOI: 10.1080/01635581.2012.630164.1
- Ajouz H, Mukherji D, Shamseddine A. Secondary bile acids: an underrecognized cause of colon cancer. 47 World J Surg Oncol 2014; 12: 164 [PMID: 24884764 DOI: 10.1186/1477-7819-12-164]
- 48 Dahm CC, Keogh RH, Spencer EA, Greenwood DC, Key TJ, Fentiman IS, Shipley MJ, Brunner EJ, Cade JE, Burley VJ, Mishra G, Stephen AM, Kuh D, White IR, Luben R, Lentjes MA, Khaw KT, Rodwell



Bingham SA. Dietary fiber and colorectal cancer risk: a nested case-control study using food diaries. J Natl Cancer Inst 2010; 102: 614-626 [PMID: 20407088 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djq092]

- 49 Tan J, Chen YX. Dietary and Lifestyle Factors Associated with Colorectal Cancer Risk and Interactions with Microbiota: Fiber, Red or Processed Meat and Alcoholic Drinks. Gastrointest Tumors 2016; 3: 17-24 [PMID: 27722153]
- Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Allen C, Barber RM, Barregard L, Bhutta 50 ZA, Brenner H, Dicker DJ, Chimed-Orchir O, Dandona R, Dandona L, Fleming T, Forouzanfar MH, Hancock J, Hay RJ, Hunter-Merrill R, Huynh C, Hosgood HD, Johnson CO, Jonas JB, Khubchandani J, Kumar GA, Kutz M, Lan Q, Larson HJ, Liang X, Lim SS, Lopez AD, MacIntyre MF, Marczak L, Marquez N, Mokdad AH, Pinho C, Pourmalek F, Salomon JA, Sanabria JR, Sandar L, Sartorius B, Schwartz SM, Shackelford KA, Shibuya K, Stanaway J, Steiner C, Sun J, Takahashi K, Vollset SE, Vos T, Wagner JA, Wang H, Westerman R, Zeeb H, Zoeckler L, Abd-Allah F, Ahmed MB, Alabed S, Alam NK, Aldhahri SF, Alem G, Alemayohu MA, Ali R, Al-Raddadi R, Amare A, Amoako Y, Artaman A, Asayesh H, Atnafu N, Awasthi A, Saleem HB, Barac A, Bedi N, Bensenor I, Berhane A, Bernabé E, Betsu B, Binagwaho A, Boneya D, Campos-Nonato I, Castañeda-Orjuela C, Catalá-López F, Chiang P, Chibueze C, Chitheer A, Choi JY, Cowie B, Damtew S, das Neves J, Dey S, Dharmaratne S, Dhillon P, Ding E, Driscoll T, Ekwueme D, Endries AY, Farvid M, Farzadfar F, Fernandes J, Fischer F, G/Hiwot TT, Gebru A, Gopalani S, Hailu A, Horino M, Horita N, Husseini A, Huybrechts I, Inoue M, Islami F, Jakovljevic M, James S, Javanbakht M, Jee SH, Kasaeian A, Kedir MS, Khader YS, Khang YH, Kim D, Leigh J, Linn S, Lunevicius R, El Razek HMA, Malekzadeh R, Malta DC, Marcenes W, Markos D, Melaku YA, Meles KG, Mendoza W, Mengiste DT, Meretoja TJ, Miller TR, Mohammad KA, Mohammadi A, Mohammed S, Moradi-Lakeh M, Nagel G, Nand D, Le Nguyen Q, Nolte S, Ogbo FA, Oladimeji KE, Oren E, Pa M, Park EK, Pereira DM, Plass D, Qorbani M, Radfar A, Rafay A, Rahman M, Rana SM, Søreide K, Satpathy M, Sawhney M, Sepanlou SG, Shaikh MA, She J, Shiue I, Shore HR, Shrime MG, So S, Soneji S, Stathopoulou V, Stroumpoulis K, Sufiyan MB, Sykes BL, Tabarés-Seisdedos R, Tadese F, Tedla BA, Tessema GA, Thakur JS, Tran BX, Ukwaja KN, Uzochukwu BSC, Vlassov VV, Weiderpass E, Wubshet Terefe M, Yebyo HG, Yimam HH, Yonemoto N, Younis MZ, Yu C, Zaidi Z, Zaki MES, Zenebe ZM, Murray CJL, Naghavi M. Global, Regional, and National Cancer Incidence, Mortality, Years of Life Lost, Years Lived With Disability, and Disability-Adjusted Life-years for 32 Cancer Groups, 1990 to 2015: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. JAMA Oncol 2017; 3: 524-548 [PMID: 27918777 DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.5688]
- 51 Aune D, Chan DS, Lau R, Vieira R, Greenwood DC, Kampman E, Norat T. Dietary fibre, whole grains, and risk of colorectal cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. BMJ 2011; 343: d6617 [PMID: 22074852 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.d6617].]
- 52 Yang J, Yu J. The association of diet, gut microbiota and colorectal cancer: what we eat may imply what we get. Protein Cell 2018; 9: 474-487 [PMID: 29713943 DOI: 10.1007/s13238-018-0543-6]
- Vieira AR, Abar L, Chan DSM, Vingeliene S, Polemiti E, Stevens C, Greenwood D, Norat T. Foods and 53 beverages and colorectal cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies, an update of the evidence of the WCRF-AICR Continuous Update Project. Ann Oncol 2017; 28: 1788-1802 [PMID: 28407090 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdx171.]
- 54 Slavin JL, Martini MC, Jacobs DR Jr, Marquart L. Plausible mechanisms for the protectiveness of whole grains, Am J Clin Nutr 1999; 70: 459S-463S [PMID: 10479218 DOI: 10.1093/ajcn/70.3.459s.]
- 55 Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente, Gobierno de España. Informe del Consumo de Alimentación en España 2014. Available from: https://www.mapa.gob.es/es/alimentacion/temas/consumoy-comercializacion-y-distribucion-alimentaria/informeconsumoalimentacion2014 tcm30-104149.pdf
- 56 Federación Española del Corazón (FEC). Encuesta de consumo de pescado azul en España 2015. Available from: https://fundaciondelcorazon.com/prensa/notas-de-prensa/2773-la-poblacion-adulta-espanolasuspende-en-el-consumo-de-pescado-azul-recomendado-.html
- 57 Aglago EK, Huybrechts I, Murphy N, Casagrande C, Nicolas G, Pischon T, Fedirko V, Severi G, Boutron-Ruault MC, Fournier A, Katzke V, Kühn T, Olsen A, Tjønneland A, Dahm CC, Overvad K, Lasheras C, Agudo A, Sánchez MJ, Amiano P, Huerta JM, Ardanaz E, Perez-Cornago A, Trichopoulou A, Karakatsani A, Martimianaki G, Palli D, Pala V, Tumino R, Naccarati A, Panico S, Bueno-de-Mesquita B, May A, Derksen JWG, Hellstrand S, Ohlsson B, Wennberg M, Van Guelpen B, Skeie G, Brustad M, Weiderpass E, Cross AJ, Ward H, Riboli E, Norat T, Chajes V, Gunter MJ. Consumption of Fish and Long-chain n-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Is Associated With Reduced Risk of Colorectal Cancer in a Large European Cohort. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18: 654-666.e6 [PMID: 31252190 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2019.06.031]
- Spencer EA, Key TJ, Appleby PN, Dahm CC, Keogh RH, Fentiman IS, Akbaraly T, Brunner EJ, Burley V, 58 Cade JE, Greenwood DC, Stephen AM, Mishra G, Kuh D, Luben R, Mulligan AA, Khaw KT, Rodwell SA. Meat, poultry and fish and risk of colorectal cancer: pooled analysis of data from the UK dietary cohort consortium. Cancer Causes Control 2010; 21: 1417-1425 [PMID: 20437091]
- 59 Rao CV, Hirose Y, Indranie C, Reddy BS. Modulation of experimental colon tumorigenesis by types and amounts of dietary fatty acids. Cancer Res 2001; 61: 1927-1933 [PMID: 11280748]
- 60 Larsson SC, Kumlin M, Ingelman-Sundberg M, Wolk A. Dietary long-chain n-3 fatty acids for the prevention of cancer: a review of potential mechanisms. Am J Clin Nutr 2004; 79: 935-945 [PMID: 15159222
- Donovan MG, Selmin OI, Doetschman TC, Romagnolo DF. Mediterranean Diet: Prevention of Colorectal 61 Cancer. Front Nutr 2017; 4: 59 [PMID: 29259973 DOI: 10.3389/fnut.2017.00059]
- Jafari Nasab S, Bahrami A, Rafiee P, Hekmatdoust A, Ghanavati M, Rashidkhani B, Sadeghi A, Asadzadeh 62 Aghdaei H, Naja F, Hejazi E. Healthy Eating Index-2010 and Mediterranean-Style Dietary Pattern Score and the risk of colorectal cancer and adenoma: a case-control study. Nutr Cancer 2019: 1-10 [PMID: 31687849] DOI: 10.1080/01635581.2019.1683212]
- Grosso G, Biondi A, Galvano F, Mistretta A, Marventano S, Buscemi S, Drago F, Basile F. Factors 63 associated with colorectal cancer in the context of the Mediterranean diet: a case-control study. Nutr Cancer



2014; 66: 558-565 [PMID: 24754383 DOI: 10.1080/01635581.2014.902975]

- 64 Bamia C, Lagiou P, Buckland G, Grioni S, Agnoli C, Taylor AJ, Dahm CC, Overvad K, Olsen A, Tjønneland A, Cottet V, Boutron-Ruault MC, Morois S, Grote V, Teucher B, Boeing H, Buijsse B, Trichopoulos D, Adarakis G, Tumino R, Naccarati A, Panico S, Palli D, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, van Duijnhoven FJ, Peeters PH, Engeset D, Skeie G, Lund E, Sánchez MJ, Barricarte A, Huerta JM, Quirós JR, Dorronsoro M, Ljuslinder I, Palmqvist R, Drake I, Key TJ, Khaw KT, Wareham N, Romieu I, Fedirko V, Jenab M, Romaguera D, Norat T, Trichopoulou A. Mediterranean diet and colorectal cancer risk: results from a European cohort. Eur J Epidemiol 2013; 28: 317-328 [PMID: 23579425 DOI: 10.1007/s10654-013-9795-x]
- Kumanyika SK, Mauger D, Mitchell DC, Phillips B, Smiciklas-Wright H, Palmer JR. Relative validity of 65 food frequency questionnaire nutrient estimates in the Black Women's Health Study. Ann Epidemiol 2003; 13: 111-118 [PMID: 12559670]
- 66 Berstad P, Løberg M, Larsen IK, Kalager M, Holme Ø, Botteri E, Bretthauer M, Hoff G. Long-term lifestyle changes after colorectal cancer screening: randomised controlled trial. Gut 2015; 64: 1268-1276 [PMID: 25183203 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307376]
- Hand R, Antrim LR, Crabtree DA. Differences in the technical and applied nutrition knowledge of older 67 adults. J Nutr Elder 1990; 9: 23-34 [PMID: 2277329 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-012-1487-7]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

