



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING INFORMATION

National Mega Project on Major Infectious Disease Prevention of China (No. 2017ZX10103005-007).

Ning Tang

Huan Bai

Dongsheng Xiong

Ziyong Sun

Department of Clinical Laboratory, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Correspondence

Ziyong Sun, Department of Clinical Laboratory, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China.
Email: 499388475@qq.com

REFERENCES

1. Medcalf RL, Keragala CB, Myles PS. Fibrinolysis and COVID-19: a plasmin paradox. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020. [Epub ahead of print] <https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.14960>
2. Tang N, Bai H, Chen X, Gong J, Li D, Sun Z. Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(5):1094-1099.
3. Thachil J, Tang N, Gando S, et al. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(5):1023-1026.
4. Tang N, Li D, Wang X, et al. Abnormal Coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18(4):844-847.
5. Gando S, Nanzaki S, Sasaki S, Kemmotsu O. Significant correlations between tissue factor and thrombin markers in trauma and septic patients with disseminated intravascular coagulation. *Thromb Haemost.* 1998;79(6):1111-1115.
6. Schmitt FCF, Manolov V, Morgenstern J, et al. Acute fibrinolysis shutdown occurs early in septic shock and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality: results of an observational pilot study. *Ann Intensive Care.* 2019;9(1):19.
7. Semeraro N, Ammollo CT, Semeraro F, Colucci M. Sepsis, thrombosis and organ dysfunction. *Thromb Res.* 2012;129(3):290-295.

Received: 10 July 2020 | Accepted: 13 July 2020

DOI: 10.1111/jth.15017

Fibrinolysis and COVID-19: A tale of two sites?

Dear Editor

We thank Tang et al for their perspective on the possible limitation of D-dimer levels guiding anticoagulant treatment in patients with COVID-19.¹ Although there is a clear association with elevated D-dimer and severity of COVID-19 disease, it is important to highlight the fact that D-dimer has always been used in conjunction with clinical pretest probability as a predictive tool to help exclude a possible diagnosis of venous thromboembolism. It has never been validated to guide clinical treatment or anticoagulation. It has recently been noted that a significant proportion of the recent literature concerning D-dimer in COVID-19 is fraught with variable, poor, or incomplete reporting that further muddies its role in the management of COVID-19-related coagulopathy.²

Tang et al suggest that other markers of coagulation and fibrinolysis may provide a more reflective picture of hemostatic abnormalities in patients with COVID-19. Tang et al report higher thrombin-antithrombin (TAT) complex levels in nonsurvivors compared with survivors during the first 7 days of admission, indicating greater thrombin generation had occurred in the nonsurviving

cohort. At the same time point, no differences were seen in D-dimer levels, tissue-type plasminogen activator-plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (tPA-PAI-1) complex, nor in plasmin-antiplasmin (PAP) complex levels, although it is possible that these results may be tempered because of the prophylactic anticoagulation administered to these patients. Nonetheless, they therefore suggest that TAT levels may be a more appropriate marker to guide decision-making for anticoagulation during early stages of COVID-19. In contrast, at day 14, TAT levels were similar in both survivors and in nonsurvivors, in fact at near baseline levels, perhaps due in part to thrombin consumption (because the same authors previously reported elevated levels of antithrombin in COVID-19 survivors³), but there were significant increases in D-dimer and the levels of the tPA-PAI-1 complex. PAP levels, on the other hand, were significantly reduced in the nonsurvivors. The reduction in PAP levels seems at odds with the increase in D-dimer levels at the same time point because plasmin formation is required for both D-dimer generation and PAP complex formation. Could this be explained by differences in the plasma half-life of D-dimer and PAP complexes? However, this is not straightforward because the plasma half-life of D-dimer is reported to be ~8 hours,⁴ yet the plasma half-life of the PAP complex is less clear. A 1978 study reported a plasma half-life of 12 hours,⁵ whereas a study in 2000 reported a plasma half-life of PAP complexes to be ~4.5 hours.⁶

Charithani B. Keragala and Robert L. Medcalf are equal first authors.

Manuscript handled by: David Lillicrap

Final decision: David Lillicrap, 13-Jul-2020

Another point about PAP levels is the degree to which changes in PAP levels reflect changes in fibrinolysis. Fibrin formation was aptly described by Gaffney et al to “orchestrate its own destruction”⁷ by initiating plasminogen activation. Although this will also result in an increase in PAP levels, it is important to highlight that increases or decreases in PAP levels may not necessarily reflect changes in fibrinolysis because misfolded and aggregated proteins can also promote plasminogen activation^{8,9} and generate PAP complexes. Hence, the reduction in PAP levels shown in the nonsurviving group, while consistent with a reduction in fibrinolysis, actually indicates that plasminogen activation has been reduced overall.

Taken together, these data are consistent with our view that the fibrinolytic system is initially activated and then suppressed in patients developing the more severe grades of COVID-19. We proposed that this could be a consequence of consumption from the overwhelming production of fibrin¹⁰; however, active suppression or shutdown may also be occurring because of an elevation of PAI-1, although this has yet to be confirmed.

The use of plasma as a source for monitoring changes in fibrinolysis is perfectly reasonable when evaluating systemic fibrinolysis. But in the case of COVID-19, the integrity and responsiveness of the fibrinolytic system within the lung parenchyma is also critical and this may indeed be different to the circulation. So are there actually two fibrinolytic systems that we need to consider in patients with COVID-19? It is well-known that the main plasminogen activator that initiates plasmin formation in the lung is in fact urokinase (u-PA)¹¹⁻¹⁴ rather than t-PA, and it would therefore seem important to also evaluate u-PA, together with other parameters of the fibrinolytic system (including D-dimer, PAP levels tPA-PAI-1, and u-PA-PAI-1 complexes and indeed TAFI), in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and to compare this in plasma taken at the same time point in patients with COVID-19. Preliminary studies from Wu et al reported seemingly beneficial effects of administration of nebulized plasminogen to patients with severe COVID-19.¹⁵ This implies that sufficient levels of endogenous plasminogen activators were indeed present in the lung parenchyma (presumably u-PA in this case) to activate the exogenous plasminogen into active plasmin, despite the interesting findings from Tang et al indicating suppression of fibrinolysis in the circulation (as revealed by increased level of tPA-PAI-1 complexes and reduced PAP formation).

The alterations in both the coagulation and fibrinolytic systems in the progression of COVID-19 is clearly complex. The results of Tang et al agree with our view, and as proposed by others that there is certainly activation of fibrinolysis in the circulation during COVID-19 progression, but which is then subsequently overwhelmed and/or shutdown. What we need to understand now is how this important process is altered in the lung parenchyma and if we need to appreciate whether two fibrinolytic processes are existing simultaneously in COVID-19: one in the blood, and the other within the lung tissue itself.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare there are no conflict of interest with this manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS





Drafting of the manuscript: Charithani B. Keragala, Robert L. Medcalf, Paul S. Myles. Concept and design: all authors. Critical revision and editing of the manuscript: all authors.

FUNDING INFORMATION

Charithani B. Keragala is supported by an Australian Post-graduate research scholarship. Robert L Medcalf is supported by research grants awarded by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia, grant ID 1045755 and 1156506. Paul S. Myles is supported by an NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship ID 1135937.

KEYWORDS

coagulation, coronavirus, COVID-19, D-dimer, fibrinolysis

Charithani B. Keragala¹ 
 Robert L. Medcalf¹  
 Paul S. Myles^{2,3} 

¹Australian Centre for Blood Diseases, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

²Department of Anaesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

³Department of Anaesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

Correspondence

Robert L. Medcalf, Australian Centre for Blood Diseases, Monash University, Monash AMREP Building, Level 1 Walkway, via The Alfred Centre, 99 Commercial Rd, Melbourne 3004, Vic., Australia.
 Email: robert.medcalf@monash.edu

ORCID

Charithani B. Keragala  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4265-4291>
 Robert L. Medcalf  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6885-3892>
 Paul S. Myles  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3324-5456>

TWITTER

Robert L. Medcalf  @MedcalRobert

REFERENCES

1. Tang N, Bai H, Xiong D, Sun Z. Specific coagulation markers may provide more therapeutic targets in COVID-19 patients receiving prophylactic anticoagulant. *J Thromb Haemostat*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.14988>
2. Favaloro EJ, Thachil J. Reporting of D-dimer data in COVID-19: some confusion and potential for misinformation. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2020;58(8):1191-1199.
3. Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020;18(4):844-847.
4. Kelly J, Rudd A, Lewis RR, Hunt BJ. Plasma D-dimers in the diagnosis of venous thromboembolism. *Arch Intern Med*. 2002;162(7):747-756.

5. Wiman B, Collen D. On the kinetics of the reaction between human antiplasmin and plasmin. *Eur J Biochem.* 1978;84(2):573-578.
6. Chandler WL, Alessi MC, Aillaud MF, Vague P, Juhan-Vague I. Formation, inhibition and clearance of plasmin in vivo. *Haemostasis.* 2000;30(4):204-218.
7. Gaffney PJ, Edgell TA, Whitton CM. The haemostatic balance – Astrup revisited. *Haemostasis.* 1999;29(1):58-71.
8. Samson AL, Borg RJ, Niego B, et al. A nonfibrin macromolecular cofactor for tPA-mediated plasmin generation following cellular injury. *Blood.* 2009;114(9):1937-1946.
9. Samson AL, Knaupp AS, Sashindranath M, et al. Nucleocytoplasmic coagulation: an injury-induced aggregation event that disulfide crosslinks proteins and facilitates their removal by plasmin. *Cell Rep.* 2012;2(4):889-901.
10. Medcalf RL, Keragala CB, Myles PS. Fibrinolysis and COVID-19: a plasmin paradox. *J Thromb Haemostat.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.14960>
11. Marshall BC, Brown BR, Rothstein MA, Rao N, Hoidal JR, Rodgers GM. Alveolar epithelial cells express both plasminogen activator and tissue factor. Potential role in repair of lung injury. *Chest.* 1991;99(3 Suppl):25S-27S.
12. Chapman HA. Disorders of lung matrix remodeling. *J Clin Invest.* 2004;113(2):148-157.
13. Tucker T, Idell S. Plasminogen-plasmin system in the pathogenesis and treatment of lung and pleural injury. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 2013;39(4):373-381.
14. Gralinski LE, Bankhead A, Jeng S, et al. Mechanisms of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-induced acute lung injury. *MBio.* 2013;4(4):e00271-13. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00271-13>
15. Wu Y, Wang T, Guo C, et al. Plasminogen improves lung lesions and hypoxemia in patients with COVID-19. *QJM.* 2020;hcaa121. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hcaa121>