

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *ARKIVOC*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 August 07.

Published in final edited form as:

ARKIVOC. 2018; 2018(4): 158–182. doi:10.24820/ark.5550190.p010.460.

Synthesis of chiral GABA_A receptor subtype selective ligands as potential agents to treat schizophrenia as well as depression

Guanguan Li^a, Michael R. Stephen^a, Revathi Kodali^a, Nicolas M. Zahn^a, Michael M. Poe^a, V.V.N. Phani Babu Tiruveedhula^a, Alec T. Huber^a, Melissa K. Schussman^a, Krista Qualmann^b, Cristina M. Panhans^b, Nicholas J. Raddatz^b, David A. Baker^b, Thomas D. Prevot^c, Mounira Banasr^{c,d,e}, Etienne Sibille^{c,d,e}, Leggy A. Arnold^a, James M. Cook^a

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Milwaukee Institute for Drug Discovery, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, United States

^bDepartment of Biomedical Science, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233, United States

°Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute of CAMH, Toronto, Canada

^dDepartment of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

^eDepartment of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Abstract

A series of novel imidazobenzodiazepine analogs of the lead chiral ligand SH-053–2'F-S-CH₃ (2), an $\alpha 2/\alpha 3/\alpha 5$ (Bz)GABA (A)ergic receptor subtype selective ligand, which reversed PCP-induced prepulse inhibition (PPI) of acoustic startle, were synthesized. These chiral (S)-CH₃ ligands are targeted for the treatment of schizophrenia and depression. These new ligands were designed by modifying the liable ester functionality in 2 to improve the metabolic stability, cytotoxicity, and activity as compared to 2. Based on the data to date, the most promising ligands are the *N*cyclopropyl amide GL-I-55 (8c) and the methyl bioisostere GL-I-65 (9a). The *in vitro* metabolic stability, cytotoxicity and *in vivo* locomotor effects are described in this report. Based on these results, 8c and 9a are the most promising for further *in vivo* pharmacology.

Graphical Abstract



capncook@uwm.edu.

Keywords

schizophrenia; depression; GABAA receptor; SH-053-2'F-S-CH3; bioisosteres; metabolism

Introduction

Schizophrenia is one of the most complex central nervous system (CNS) disorders known. Approximately 1% of the population worldwide is affected by this disease. The symptoms fall into three broad categories: positive symptoms including hallucinations and delusions; negative symptoms such as the blunted affect, social dysfunction and lack of motivation or pleasure in daily life, and cognitive abnormalities. For instance, in the disruption of cognition, symptoms such as impairments in working memory, verbal memory, attention and executive deficits, which can lead to severe emotional distress, are prominent.¹ The treatments commonly used to manage positive symptoms are typical and atypical antipsychotic drugs, which target and block the over-activation of dopamine receptors² in patients with schizophrenia. In fact, the drugs prescribed, to date, only reduce the positive symptoms in the majority of schizophrenia patients. They are often not effective especially in patients with negative symptoms and cognitive abnormalities.³ Moreover, the antipsychotics in many patients induce adverse effects such as tolerance, addiction, sedation, drug-resistance, weight gain and liver toxicity.⁴ The lack of proper medications and limited efficient psychosocial therapy together increase the psychological and financial burden rapidly not only for the patients and their family but also for society as a whole. Consequently, there is an urgent clinical need for novel drug candidates that can address all aspects of schizophrenia including the alleviation of the negative and cognitive symptoms with decreased side effects.

Accumulating evidence has long suggested that the disruption of the major inhibitory neurotransmitter γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) activity may play a critical role in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, as well as depression.^{5,6} The GABA_A receptor (GABA_AR) is a pentameric ligand-gated chloride ion channel,⁷ which is located in the membrane of neuronal cells. It consists of a total 19 different subunits in the human brain $(\alpha_{1-6}, \beta_{1-3}, \gamma_{1-3}, \delta, \epsilon, \pi, \theta, \rho_{1-3})$,⁸ the configuration of which determine ligand affinity, ion flux, membrane resistance, depolarization and other properties of the membrane.⁹ The minimum requirement to provide a benzodiazepine receptor-mediated GABAAR ion channel is the combination of five subunits, which contain two α -, two β - and one γ - subunit.¹⁰ GABA_ARs contain the binding sites of a series of important clinical drugs, such as benzodiazepines, neurosteroids, and barbiturates.¹¹ The benzodiazepines, which are classical psychoactive drugs such as diazepam, bind at the extracellular interface of the $\alpha + \gamma_2$ subunits which results in increasing efficacy of GABA regarding chloride ion flux.¹² Subtype-specific ligands exhibit different CNS effects depending on the specific $a_{1-6}\beta_{2/3}\gamma_2$ subtype involved.¹³ Principally, the a1-containing GABA_ARs, are associated with the sedative, ataxia, anticonvulsant, anterograde amnesia, and addictive effects of benzodiazepine allosteric modulators of GABAARs.¹⁴ The a2/3-subunit-containing GABA_ARs mediate the anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, antinociceptive effects and perhaps muscle relaxant effect at higher doses.¹⁵ Whereas the relatively minor population of a.5-

containing GABA_ARs, which have been described in the previous investigation, are implicated in cognition, learning and memory processes without motor effects or anxiolysis. ^{16–19} The ligands have also been implicated in reversing the adverse effects in animal models of schizophrenia and depression. Therefore, novel α 5 or α 2/3/5 subtype selective benzodiazepine ligands that are nearly silent or exhibit no efficacy at α 1 GABA_ARs may have the potential to reduce the negative symptoms and improve cognitive brain function with little or no sedative, amnesic or ataxia effects for patients with schizophrenia, as well as effects to alleviate the symptoms of depression.

It is well known that asymmetry plays an essential role in physiological processes; therefore, a large number of drugs are chiral molecules, in which one enantiomer of a drug may have the desired beneficial effect, while the other enantiomer may cause serious adverse effects. ^{20,21} This was observed with thalidomide.²² Based on our earlier established BZD/GABAA pharmacophore model²³ that was developed by ligand binding data (see Supplementary material), a series of chiral molecules have been designed, synthesized and studied. Ligand SH-053–2'F-R-CH₃ (1) was the lead stereospecific GABA_AR α 5-subtype selective positive allosteric modulator (PAM) because of the chiral (R)-methyl group at the C-4 position of imidazobenzodiazepine (IBZD), as shown in Figure 1. This resulted in increased potency at a5 GABA_A receptor subtypes accompanied by a concomitant decrease at the a1, a2, and a3 subtypes. This a5-selective ligand has been reported to successfully alleviate the hyperactivity of the dopamine system induced by amphetamine in the methylazoxymethanol acetate model (MAM) of schizophrenia.^{24,25} Moreover, this ligand **1** in an animal behavioral model of depression exhibited anxiolytic and antidepressant effects in female mice that were exposed to unpredictable chronic mild stress (UCMS) in a sex-dependent manner. The effects on male mice in the same paradigm were very weak or none at all.²⁶ Furthermore. analogs of 4(R)-CH₃ enantiomer 1, such as methyl amide MP-III-022,²⁷ the carboxylic acid SH-053–2'F-*R*-CH₃-acid²⁸, etc., which are more potent at the α 5-subtype than 1, have also been investigated and undergone a number of behavioral studies.^{29,30}

In order to study how the chirality at the C-4 position of IBZD could affect the pharmacological response, the enantiomer of **1**, SH-053–2'F-*S*-CH₃ (**2**) was synthesized.¹⁸ As expected, these two enantiomers exhibited vastly different GABAergic efficacy and binding affinity at Bz/GABA(A) receptor sites. Ligand **2** was found to be an α 2-, α 3-, α 5- β 3 γ 2 subtype selective, while **1** was an α 5-subtype selective ligand. Since **1** and **2** both target the α 5-subunit, they have been assayed in several behavioral models. Examination of the results revealed **2** exerted anxiolytic effects in both rhesus monkeys³¹ and rats³², while **1** had only a minimal anxiolytic effect when much higher doses were applied. Interestingly **2** also successfully mitigated the amphetamine-induced hyperactivity in a poly (I:C) animal model of schizophrenia.³³

The results of these studies indicated that both enantiomers may be useful in the treatment of the symptoms of schizophrenia, while the $\alpha 2/3/5$ agonist **2** exhibited the potential for treatment of conditions comorbid with schizophrenia, such as anxiety, which commonly coexists in patients with schizophrenia. Unfortunately, during other studies, ligand **2** was shown to be metabolized at a very high rate *in vitro* in the presence of human liver microsomes (HLM);³⁴ 3% of **2** remaining after incubation at 37 °C for 30 minutes, while

ligand **1** was stable in HLM with 100% remaining under the same conditions, as presented in Table 1. The principal aim of this research was to improve the metabolic stability of the ester functional group while retaining the properties potentially useful for the treatment of schizophrenia and depression. Schizophrenia and some forms of depression (bipolar I disorder and perhaps major depressive disorder) are linked both genetically and etiologically. Herein we present the synthesis and characterization of novel analogs of SH-053–2'F-*S*-CH₃ (**2**) including esters, thioesters, amides, carboxylic acids and the ester bioisostere oxadiazoles at the C(3) position together with modifications at the C(8) position. The newly designed ligands were prepared and investigated in *in vitro* metabolism studies,

cytotoxicity assays and *in vivo* in mice with a rotarod study to identify ligands with a lower systematic clearance rate, low toxicity, little or no sedative/ataxia activity, which might be useful in the management of the symptoms schizophrenia²³ and depression.²⁵ This go/no-go point is important for the development of a safe treatment for schizophrenia and depression that can occur at any age.

Results and Discussion

Previously, evidence from the ligand-based GABA_AR pharmacophore/receptor model and SAR^{23,35} have indicated that subtle changes in the IBZD substituents will affect the BzR/GABA(A)R subtype selectivity dramatically. For instance, the loss of the chiral methyl group at the C(4) position will decrease the α 5-subtype efficacy while the efficacy at α 2/ α 3- β 3 γ 2 GABA(A)ergic subtypes will increase dramatically. Efficacy at the α 1 subtype will increase with a 2'-F substituent on the C(6) pendent phenyl ring, but the 2'-N and 2'-H analogs remain α 2/ α 3 subtype selective.³⁰ It has also been shown that the α 5 selectivity can be modified by the presence of different lipophilic groups at the C(8) position.¹⁹ Analogs, which have been optimized at the C(3) position, demonstrated higher affinity and potency at α 5-³⁶ or α 2/3-³⁷⁻³⁹ subtypes leading to better and longer physiological effects. For these reasons, the C(3) and C(8) positions are considered to be the optimal regions for ligand improvement. To evaluate the modification of the lead compound **2** at these two positions, a series of novel analogs were designed, synthesized and characterized, as summarized in Figure 2.

As mentioned earlier, the C(8)-acetylene and C(4) methyl function were found to enhance the α 5-subtype selectivity, therefore a cyclopropyl group, which is commonly incorporated into biologically active materials, was introduced into the parent compound **2** as a substituent to extend the SAR. As the result of its flexible rotation at the C(8) position, it should fill a similar volume of electron density as the ethynyl moiety. Consequently, it might exhibit parallel physiological effects and enhanced subtype selectivity due to similar interactions in the lipophilic binding pocket (L2, see Figure S1 in Supplementary material for the model).²² Moreover, the metabolism by liver microsomes would be expected to be different for a cyclopropyl substituent as compared to an ethynyl functional group. Hence, the C(8)-substituted cyclopropyl analog GL-I-78 (**3**) was prepared based on this hypothesis. The cyclopropyl ligand **3** was synthesized from cyclopropyl boronic acid *via* a Suzukicoupling reaction⁴⁰ with the aryl bromide SH-I-48B (**4b**), whose synthesis had been previously described. ¹⁷ The coupling reaction was carried out in the presence of potassium

phosphate and 10 mol% Pd-catalyst, bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) diacetate, in toluene at 100 °C for 12 hours in good yield (82%), as shown in Scheme 1.

Based on the results described previously, the ethyl ester 2 was rapidly degraded in the HLM, which suggests modification of the ester might play an essential role in metabolism, as well as efficacy, as compared to the lead compound 2. Therefore, a series of esters, which have different alkyl groups were synthesized. The synthesis of the C(8)-bromo methyl ester MP-III-059 (4a) and the C(8)-ethynyl methyl ester MP-III-021 (5a) were achieved in the presence of sodium methoxide in methanol in good yields, as depicted in Scheme 2. The majority of the reactions were carried out *via* a transesterification reaction⁴¹ with pieces of freshly cut lithium rod in the presence of alcohols in THF at 40 °C for 0.5 hours to generate the corresponding alkoxides. To this solution, ester 2 was added, which had been dissolved in dry THF, and full conversion was observed after 15 to 30 minutes. New ester analogs generated via this general route were: propyl ester GL-I-32 (5b), isopropyl ester GL-I-31 (5c), t-butyl ester GL-I-30 (5d) and 2-methyl butyl ester GL-I-33(5e), respectively. The yields were good to excellent (77–97%), as illustrated in Scheme 3. However, the trifluoroethyl ester GL-I-36 (5f), and the cyclopropyl ester GL-I-38 (5g) were not obtained under these conditions. As a result, both analogs were prepared by an alternate procedure. The ester 2 was saponified to form the carboxylic acid, SH-053-2'F-S-CH₃-acid (6), with sodium hydroxide in ethanol at reflux for 1 hour in nearly quantitative yield (99%) after acidic workup, as illustrated in Scheme 4. The subsequent reactions for the synthesis of ester 5f and 5g were conducted with thionyl chloride in dichloromethane at reflux to give the acyl chloride, which was converted into ester 5f and 5g with 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol and cyclopropanol, respectively. Additionally, it must be pointed out that the acid $\mathbf{6}$ was synthesized not only because it was an important intermediate for the majority of the analogs, but also because the enantiomer (R)-CH₃ carboxylic acid in the C(4)R-isomer series significantly increased the a.5-subtype selectivity and potency.²⁷ It was hoped that the acid **6** in the C(4)S-isomer series might affect a similar increase on the selectivity and potency at the $\alpha 2/\alpha 3/\alpha 5$ -subtype.

In a previous study, it was shown that a heteroatom attached directly to the carbonyl at C(3) would affect the GABA_AR subtype selectivity. For example, a thioester at C(3) in the 2'N series exerted no efficacy at the α 1-subtype even at a higher concentration in comparison with the corresponding oxygen substituted ester, but with a slightly higher preference for the α 3-subtype.⁴² Therefore, it was decided to replace the oxygen atom in lead compound **2** at the C(3) position with a sulfur atom. The thioethyl ester GL-I-77 (**7**) was synthesized from the carboxylic acid **6** following the general procedure as mentioned below in Scheme 4 with ethanethiol as the nucleophile.

Besides the slight changes in steric effects as compared to lead ester **2**, the amide analogs are well-known stable replacements for the labile ester moieties. The amides were considered to be important replacements for ester functions to improve the *in vivo* stability, as well as the bioavailability when compared to the stability of esters. To evaluate the potential activity of the amides in regard to future SAR, a series of amide analogs **8a-8f** were synthesized to enable a comparison between esters and amides in regard to *in vitro* metabolic stability, cytotoxicity and *in vivo* locomotor activity. The methyl amide MP-III-023 (**8a**) was prepared

by the direct amidation of ethyl ester **2** in the presence of methylamine in dichloromethane in a sealed tube. As indicated in Scheme 4, the rest of the amide analogs were synthesized from carboxylic acid **6** *via* an acyl chloride intermediate, using the corresponding primary or secondary amine. This process gave the ethyl amide GL-I-43 (**8b**), cyclopropyl amide GL-I-55 (**8c**), isopropyl amide GL-I-57 (**8d**), *t*-butyl amide GL-I-41 (**8e**) and dimethyl amide GL-I-54 (**8f**), respectively, in good to excellent yields (71–88%).

Ester bioisosteres have been utilized commonly in drug design in order to render them metabolically stable with less adverse effects and provide better clinical candidates.⁴³ The previous results indicated that replacement of ester functions by substituted 1,2,4oxadiazoles^{36,44} significantly increased the *in vitro* and *in vivo* stability, as well as slightly improved the hydrophilicity of the ligands.¹⁹ Moreover, the efficacy at BZD receptors was enhanced as compared to the corresponding ester ligands as well.¹⁹ Encouraged by this previous evidence, the substituted 1,2,4-oxadiazoles 9a-9c were designed and synthesized from lead 2. The condensation of the ethyl ester 2 and corresponding amidoxime in the presence of sodium hydride in THF was employed to prepare the C(3)-substituted oxadiazole ligands. This route was successfully applied previously with non-chiral ligands.⁴³ However, the desired oxadiazoles with the C(4) chiral methyl group were only obtained in moderate yield (30–40%). The reason was the formation of a byproduct (50–60% yield), in which the C(5)-C(6) imine bond isomerized to the C(4)-C(5) position. As a result, the chirality at the C(4) position was lost. To overcome this problem, the amount of sodium hydride, which would readily lead to isomerization of the imine bond, was reduced from 4 equivalents to 1.1 equivalents. In addition, the amidoxime was stirred longer with NaH before the ester was added to the mixture. This process gave methyl oxadiazole GL-I-65 (9a), ethyl oxadiazole GL-I-66 (9b) and isopropyl oxadiazole GL-I-81 (9c) in improved yields of 79-85%, respectively, as depicted in Scheme 5.

To explore the extent of flexibility of the hydrogen-bond acceptor in the binding pocket designed H1 in the pharmacophore/receptor model (see Figure S1 in Supplementary material),^{22,36} it was decided to synthesize the linear nitrile at the C(3) position, which was sometimes employed to increase water solubility of a ligand and has been used to reduce the possible oxidative metabolism by the liver in clinical studies.⁴⁵ The nitrile MP-III-018.A (**10**) was produced from the ester **2** via reaction with dimethyl aluminum amine⁴⁶ in xylene at 80 °C in 75% yield, as shown in Scheme 6. The dimethylaluminum amine was prepared freshly by adding trimethyl- aluminum into a solution of ammonia-saturated dichloromethane.⁴⁵

The characterization of the lead compound **2** was being carried out simultaneously with the synthesis and assessment of the novel analogs. Due to the ability of **2** to potentiate the α .5 containing GABA_AR as well as the α 2 and α 3 subtypes, while inactive at the α 1 containing GABA_AR, which mediates sedation and other adverse effects, ligand **2** was evaluated in behavioral models in direct comparison with its enantiomer **1**. For cataleptic behavior in the schizophrenia studies,⁴⁷ the antipsychotic, haloperidol elicited a significant cataleptic response. In contrast, both C(4)-methyl substituted ligands **1** and **2** did not show any signs of catalepsy in comparison to the vehicle, as illustrated in Figure 3. Moreover, in the prepulse inhibition (PPI) assay, which was used as a classical animal model of schizophrenia, the *S*-

isomer **2** significantly reversed the PPI deficit induced by phencyclidine hydrochloride (PCP), an *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonist.⁴⁸ Ligand **1** did antagonize the deficit compared to vehicle but failed to reach a significant effect at the same dosage, as depicted in Figure 4. However, PPI results with the *R*-isomer **1** were trending toward significance but would have required a larger number of animals. Additionally, in the 6 Hz electroshock (3 seconds duration) assay, both ligands were evaluated for their ability to protect against epileptic seizures. As shown in Figure 5, ligand **2** was able to protect mice from the electroshock-induced seizures for all time points (1/4, 1/2, 1, 2, and 4 hours), which was predicted due to the activation of a2 and a3 subtypes (Figure 5A) as well as a5 subtypes. However, the *R*-enantiomer (a5) **1** was not effective at any time at a relatively higher dose in the 6 Hz paradigm. For the rotarod (n=8) assay, neither **1** nor **2** produced significant ataxia at 100 mg/kg i.p. in mice (Figure 5B). This is in complete agreement with the poor efficacy at a1β3γ2 Bz/GABAergic subtypes previously reported.³⁰

The parent compound 2 and its analogs were assayed for metabolic stability *in vitro* with HLM and mouse liver microsomes (MLM) to identify the most stable analogs and the possible trend of steric effects in respect to stability. Moreover, it was important to evaluate stability in both species with respect to applied animal models and future human treatment with such a diverse array of functional groups at C(3). The results of this study are shown in Table 2.

The lead compound 2, as mentioned before, was found to be rapidly metabolized on HLM with less than 5% remaining after 20 minutes, while 2 exhibited good stability for MLM with 78% remaining after 1 hour. Among the ester analogs, **3**, **4a**, **4b**, **5a-d**, **5f** and **5g** an increasing trend in metabolic stability in HLM was observed as the size of the alkyl chain increased. However, esters bearing a linear 2-3 carbon chain (4a, 5a, 5b, 5f, and 5g), regardless of the functional group at the C(8) position (-bromo, -cyclopropyl or -ethynyl), were metabolized very rapidly with less than 5% remaining after 10, 20, and 30 minutes in HLM. A similar trend was observed for MLM, however, the C(8)-bromo and -cyclopropyl replacement of the ethynyl group decreased the metabolic stability (see 2 vs 3, 4b; 4a vs 5a). It is important to point out that the introduction of an ester function with a longer carbon side chain or a terminal CF₃ group reduced the metabolic stability significantly, as shown in Table 1. Both ligands 4b and 5f were not detected anymore after 20 minutes in HLM or MLM, respectively. Most of the esters are only slightly more stable in MLM, as compared to HLM, which suggests that longer lipophilic linear alkyl chains in the ester functional group should be avoided in the ligand design. The carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6}$ was slightly more stable compared to the esters. The stability of thioethyl ester 7 for HLM was improved slightly compared to ethyl ester 2, which suggests a sulfur atom in place of an oxygen atom would provide greater metabolic stability. Electronegativity might play a role here. The nitrile 10 was metabolized at a rapid rate with only 9% and 16% of 10 remaining after two hours in HLM and MLM, respectively. As expected, the amide analogs remarkably enhanced the metabolic stability in both HLM and MLM. Unlike the esters, the trend regarding steric effects on the rate of metabolism of the amides was opposite to the esters; bulkier substituents were less metabolically stable. Presumably, bulkier esters are more lipophilic and react faster with P450 enzymes of the liver microsomal extract. The ethyl amide 8b

exhibited the best stability with more than 92% of **8b** remaining after 2 hours, as well as the longest half-life for both types of liver microsomes among all the amides. The isopropyl amide **8d** was the only ligand, whose metabolism was a little inconsistent, as a result, the isopropyl ester **5c** and amide **8d** shared a similar metabolic pattern. As expected, the replacement of the ester moiety with the oxadiazole bioisosteres **9a-9c** significantly improved the metabolic stability over a two-hour period of incubation in both HLM and MLM. It is notable that the isopropyl oxadiazole **9c** exhibited the longest half-life (2584 minutes) as compared to all the analogs investigated in the SH-053–2'F-*S*-CH₃ (**2**) series. In brief, it is clear that the amides and substituted oxadiazole functionality at the C(3) position provide the desired ligands with longer half-life values, as compared to other functional groups. Further evaluation of these analogs involved a study of potential cytotoxicity in both HEPG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) cell lines, as summarized in Table 3.

Examination of the cell viability assay indicated that ligands with a C-3 carboxylic acid substituent of S-isomer analog 6 and dimethyl amide 8f were non-toxic even at 400 μ M $(LD_{50} > 400 \mu M)$ in the presence of HEK293 and HEPG2 cells. Compounds C(8)-bromo ethyl ester **4b** and trifluoroethyl ester **5f** were non-toxic at doses higher than 400 μ M (LD₅₀) $> 400 \,\mu$ M) for the HEPG2 cells, and exhibited an LD₅₀ of 187 μ M and 138 μ M in HEK293 cells, respectively. Methyl amide 8a showed no toxicity at concentrations below 200 μ M for both cell lines. Esters 3, 4a, 5a, 5b, amides 8b-8d, methyl oxadiazole 9a and nitrile 10, were safe at lower concentrations with LD_{50} values of >50 μ M for both cell lines. Usually, these ligands potentiate the GABA_ARs at nanomolar concentrations, consequently far below the measured LD₅₀ values of 50 µM. Compounds with moderate cytotoxicity (30 µM <LD₅₀ <50 μ M) were isopropyl ester **5c**, cyclopropyl ester **5g**, *t*-butyl amide **8e** and ethyl oxadiazole 9b. Ligands that exhibited pronounced cytotoxicity (LD₅₀ <30 µM) for HEK293 kidney cells were ethyl ester 2, *t*-butyl ester 5d, 2-methyl butyl ester 5e, thioethyl ester 7, and isopropyl oxadiazole 9c. These data revealed that increasing the size of the substituent of the oxadiazole moiety may increase cytotoxicity; most of the esters are considered to be cytotoxic if given at very high doses (much higher than any therapeutic doses), unless a different group at C(8) was introduced (see 3, 4a, and 4b). Trifluoroethyl ester was fairly cytotoxic perhaps because the trifluoroethanol group is a much better leaving group. In vitro toxicity can be used as a measure to estimate in vivo toxicity, however, variations can occur. For instance, lead compound 2 exhibited a fairly low LD_{50} value, however it was not toxic in a number of pharmacological assays in the mice, rats and primates.^{23–25, 30–32} The cytotoxicity assays indicated which analogs were least cytotoxic but were not potent enough (nM) to rule out further pharmacological studies in models of schizophrenia or depression.

To assess the possibility of undesired CNS side effects (α 1-mediated), a locomotor coordination study of each analog was conducted by placing mice on a rotating rod for a maximum of 3 minutes after oral administration by gavage at a dose of 40 mg/kg (Figure 6). The mice were also observed for loss of righting reflex, an indication of undesired CNS effects. Most of the mice treated with compounds exhibited no sedation or ataxia, nor loss of righting reflection vs the control diazepam. Most of the compounds exhibited sensorimotor steadiness at all three time-points, which indicated no sedative/ataxic effects. However, some amides did exbihit some sensorimotor deficits. Methyl amide **8a** and ethyl amide **8b** effected

severe motor impairment, while the cyclopropyl amide **8c** and dimethyl amide **8f** exhibited only minor impairment. The bulkier isopropyl amide **8d** and *t*-butyl amide **8e** did not show any motor impairment. These results suggested that these particular amides, which produced sedation/ataxia, are sedating presumably, because of some efficacy at the α 1-GABA_AR subtype. In regard to the pharmacological effects of the amide side chain, it is obvious that a smaller alkyl chain promoted a more sedating effect, which should not result from metabolism nor cytotoxicity since these two assays indicated that the amides with smaller substituents were highly stable and non-toxic.

After examination of all results for the series of C(4)-S-CH₃ substituted enantiomers described above, the best two compounds, which exhibited excellent stability, minimal or no toxicity and did not exhibit motor impairments, were cyclopropyl amide GL-I-55 (8c) and methyl oxadiazole GL-I-65 (9a). Moreover, it was suggested that the bioisosteres GL-I-66 (9b) and GL-I-81 (9c), which were very metabolically stable and exhibited no sensorimotor impairment, will need to be administrated at low concentrations in behavioral studies to avoid adverse chronic side effects. Amides 8a and 8b exerted excellent stability and no cytotoxicity, however, these two ligands were sedating at 40 mg/kg p.o. Esters (2–5g) including the lead compound 2 exhibited either cytotoxicity or were metabolically less stable in the presence of HLM and MLM. Thioester 7, isopropyl amide 8e and nitrile 10 were not metabolically stable enough to encourage further studies. Carboxylic acid 6 exhibited excellent properties in all three assays, however, the acid functionality will prevent the penetration of the blood brain barrier which was demonstrated for the R enantiomer.²⁷ This property is not suitable for the treatment of schizophrenia and depression. Cognitive deficits developed in these two disease states are still poorly managed with current drugs. Although the data is only preliminary, S-CH₃ ligand 9a demonstrated procognitive effects (C+) in the Y-maze paradigm. The S-CH₃ ligand 8f was active in the antidepressant assay (forced swim test) and procognitive (A++ and C++) assay.⁵⁰ However, **8f** was metabolized fairly rapidly in HLM as shown in Table 2. To date, these two ligands along with 8c in this S-enantiomeric series seemed to be the best candidates for additional preclinical behavioral testing, while in the R-CH₃ series (a.5 subtype selective series) a number of ligands have promising pharmacological effects for the treatment of schizophrenia and depression.^{23–25,31,35,50}

Conclusions

It is clear that ligand design to target specific GABA_AR subtypes without adverse effects is very difficult, in part, due to the absence of a crystal structure of the $\alpha_{1-6}\beta_3\gamma_2$ ion channel, as well as the diversity of the receptor subunit expression in different regions of the brain. Although a crystal structure has been reported for a pentameric GABA ion channel (5 beta subunits form the ion pore), which is an important result going forward, however, the lack of a benzodiazepine binding site in this structure renders it ineffective for ligand design.⁴⁹ In the present research, a number of ligands have been successfully designed and synthesized with the C(4) *S*-CH₃ stereochemistry. This series of esters, amides, and bioisosters of the lead compound **2** are devoid of the liable ester functionality at C(3) to enhance metabolic stability. The synthesis of these analogs, importantly, were inexpensive and straightforward with improved yields over the previous routes.⁴³ More importantly, these reactions can be

easily scaled up to gram quantities. The novel ligands **8c** and **9a** are metabolically stable in the presence of human and mouse liver microsomes and exhibit excellent cytotoxicity (LD_{50}) values. Furthermore, they do not induce sedative effects, which is a major problem of classical benzodiazepine drugs. Taken together, this research of the *S*-CH₃ enantiomers have provided several novel ligands that possess good safety and metabolic profiles for further *in vivo* behavioral testing in animal models in both schizophrenia and depression.^{23–25, 31–32} The *in vivo* activity of **8c** and **9a** in models of schizophrenia and depression is ongoing and preliminary studies look very promising.⁵⁰

Experimental Section

General

Unless specified, all reactions were performed in oven-dried round-bottom flasks or a screwcap test tube or heavy walled pressure vessel under an argon atmosphere, if required. All organic solvents were anhydrous or purified when necessary by standard methods and purchased from commercial suppliers, as well as all the chemicals. Reactions were monitored by TLC on plates from Dynamic Adsorbents, Inc. under a UV light. The purification of some analogs was completed by flash chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh, Dynamic Adsorbents). The melting points were determined on a Stuart melting point SMP3 apparatus manufactured by Barloworld Scientific US Ltd. The optical rotation values were recorded on a Jasco DIP-370 Digital Polarimeter. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker Spectrospin 300 MHz and GE 500 MHz instruments, in CDCl₃, or DMSO. Chemical shifts were reported in δ (ppm) relative to TMS as an internal standard. Multiplicities are presented as follows: singlet (s), broad singlet (br s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), multiplet (m). The purity of all analogs was determined to be > 95%, by HPLC-MS performed on a Shimadzu LCMS-2020 and HRMS was performed on a Shimadzu LCMS-IT-TOF at the Milwaukee Institute for Drug Discovery in the Shimadzu Laboratory for Advanced and Applied Analytical Chemistry.

(S)-Ethyl-8-cyclopropyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*] [1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (GL-I-78, 3)

To a solution of the bromo ethyl ester **4b** (5.0 g, 11.3 mmol) in toluene (100 mL) and water (14.5 mL), cyclopropyl boronic acid (4.9 g, 57.0 mmol), potassium phosphate (10.3 g, 48.6 mmol) and bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) diacetate (0.85 g, 1.13 mmol) were added under argon. A reflux condenser was attached and the mixture was degassed under vacuum with argon; this process was repeated four times. The mixture was stirred and heated to 100°C. After 12 h the reaction was completed on analysis by TLC (silica gel) and it was then cooled to rt. Water (10 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 15 mL), after which the filtrate was washed with brine (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The black residue which resulted was purified by a wash column (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 7:3) to afford the desired 8-cyclopropyl-imidazobenzodiazepine **3** as a white solid (3.74 g, 82%): mp 106–108 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = +65.34$ (*c* 0.81, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.48 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.19 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.08 – 6.85 (m, 2H), 6.63 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (dd, *J* = 14.4, 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.11 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.91 – 1.72 (m, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (t,

 $J=7.1 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}, 1.23 \text{ (d, } J=7.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 0.95 \text{ (d, } J=8.1 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 0.59 \text{ (dd, } J=10.1, 4.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}); {}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 164.15 \text{ (s)}, 163.09 \text{ (s)}, 160.10 \text{ (d, } J_{C-F}=250.1 \text{ Hz}), 143.86 \text{ (s)}, 141.56 \text{ (s)}, 134.84 \text{ (s)}, 132.06 \text{ (s)}, 131.65 \text{ (s)}, 131.54 \text{ (s)}, 131.20 \text{ (d, } J_{C-F}=1.3 \text{ Hz}), 129.16 \text{ (d, } J_{C-F}=12.8 \text{ Hz}), 129.08 \text{ (d, } J_{C-F}=9.4 \text{ Hz}), 128.44 \text{ (s)}, 127.85 \text{ (s)}, 124.30 \text{ (d, } J_{C-F}=3.3 \text{ Hz}), 121.93 \text{ (s)}, 115.97 \text{ (d, } J_{C-F}=21.6 \text{ Hz}), 60.58 \text{ (s)}, 50.03 \text{ (s)}, 15.02 \text{ (s)}, 14.62 \text{ (s)}, 14.42 \text{ (s)}, 9.88 \text{ (s)}; \text{HRMS} (\text{ESI/IT-TOF}) \text{ m/z: } [\text{M} + \text{H}]^+ \text{ Calcd for } \text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{23}\text{FN}_3\text{O}_2 \text{ 404.1769}; found 404.1766.}$

(S)-Methyl-8-bromo-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (MP-III-059, 4a)

The ethyl ester SH-053-2'F-S-CH₃ 2 (2.0 g, 4.52 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (120 mL). Sodium methoxide (0.98 g, 18.1 mmol) was added in one portion and the solution was heated to reflux. The reaction mixture was monitored on analysis by TLC (silica gel, EtOAc/ hexane 4:1) until the starting material had been consumed. This took about 30 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and then quenched with a saturated aq solution of NaHCO₃ (20 mL). Water (50 mL) was then added to the solution and the methanol was removed under reduced pressure. The product was extracted with EtOAc (3×100 mL) and the organic layers were combined, washed with brine (50 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid, which resulted, was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 4:1) which provided pure methyl ester 4a as an off-white solid (1.68 g, 87% yield): mp 194–195 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = -4.55$ (*c* 0.22, CHCl₃); ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 – 7.36 (m, 3H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 2.13 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 1.26 (d, J=7.3 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75) MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.29 (s), 162.70 (s), 160.06 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 251.3 Hz), 141.56 (s), 134.89 (s), 133.57 (s), 133.01 (s), 132.18 (s), 132.07 (s) 131.18 (d, J_{C-F} = 2.0 Hz), 131.10 (s), 129.22 (s), 128.35 (d, J_{C-F} = 12.5 Hz), 124.56 (d, J_{C-F} = 3.4 Hz), 123.69 (s), 120.98 (s), 116.21 (d, J_{C-F} = 21.4 Hz), 51.86 (s), 50.04 (s), 14.86 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₀H₁₆BrFN₃O₂ 428.0404; found 428.0402.

(S)-Methyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (MP-III-021, 5a)

The ethyl ester SH-053–2'F-S-CH₃ **2** (150 mg, 0.387 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL). Sodium methoxide (84 mg, 1.55 mmol) was added in one portion and the solution was heated to reflux. The reaction mixture was monitored by analysis by TLC (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 4:1) until the starting material had been consumed. This took about 30 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and then quenched with a saturated aq solution of NaHCO₃ (4 mL). Water (10 mL) was then added to the solution and the methanol was removed under reduced pressure. The methyl ester **5a** was then extracted with EtOAc (3 × 40 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (10 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid, which resulted, was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 4:1) which provided pure methyl ester **5a** as an off-white solid (117 mg, 81% yield): mp 119–120 °C; [**a**]_D²⁵ = +6.00 (*c* 2.0, CHCl₃); ¹**H NMR** (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 9.5 Hz,

2H), 7.49–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.04 (t, J= 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (q, J= 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 2.16 (d, J= 7.3 Hz, 1H), 1.29 (d, J= 7.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.71 (s), 161.17 (s), 161.16 (d, J_{C-F} = 251.1 Hz), 141.47 (s), 135.49 (s), 135.01 (s), 134.46 (s), 134.13 (s), 132.30 (d, J_{C-F} = 7.1 Hz), 131.30 (s), 129.30(s), 129.21 (s), 128.58 (d, J_{C-F} = 9.4 Hz), 124.58 (d, J_{C-F} = 3.2 Hz), 122.33 (s), 121.83 (s), 116.40 (d, J_{C-F} = 21.2 Hz), 81.31 (s), 79.90 (s), 51.93 (s), 49.88 (s), 14.92 (s); **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₂H₁₇FN₃O₂ 374.1299; found 374.1307.

General procedure for the synthesis of esters (5b-5e)

The ethyl ester SH-053–2'F-S-CH₃ **2** (200 mg, 0.52 mmol) was stirred in dry THF (20 mL) in an oven-dried flask under an argon atmosphere at 40 °C. Simultaneously, the corresponding anhydrous alcohol R_2 -OH (5 mL) was stirred in a separate oven-dried flask under argon at 40 °C. Small pieces of freshly cut Li rod (excess) were quickly added to the dry alcohol solution and the suspension was stirred for 30 min under argon. The ethyl ester solution was then added to the alcohol/lithium mixture and the reaction was monitored on analysis by TLC (silica gel) until the starting material had been consumed. This took 30–45 min. The reaction mixture was then quenched with a saturated aq solution of NaHCO₃ aq (15 mL) and the product was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid, which resulted, was purified by flash column chromatography to afford the pure corresponding esters **5b-5e**.

(S)-Propyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4H-benzo[f]imidazo[1,5-a]

[1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (GL-I-32, 5b)—The ester **5b** was prepared from **2** following the general procedure for esters with dry 1-propanol. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 7:3) to yield the pure propyl ester **5b** as a white powder (0.17 g, 82%): mp 204–205 °C; $[\mathbf{a}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{25}} = +5.26 (c \ 0.19, CHCl_3)$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, J = 15.9, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (dd, J = 14.0, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31 – 7.22 (m, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 9.2, 1H), 6.71 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.42 – 4.22 (m, 2H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 1.94 – 1.76 (m, 2H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.03 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 163.23 (s), 163.02 (s), 160.11 (d, $J_{C-F} = 250.9$ Hz), 141.64 (s), 135.18 (s), 134.88 (s), 134.54 (s), 133.93 (s), 131.95 (d, $J_{C-F} = 3.4$ Hz), 122.21 (s), 121.62 (s), 116.21 (d, $J_{C-F} = 21.4$ Hz), 81.43 (s), 79.70 (s), 66.38 (s), 50.14 (s), 22.09 (s), 14.88 (s), 10.49 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁FN₃O₂ 402.1612; found 402.1615.

(S)-Isopropyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*] [1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (GL-I-31, 5c)—The isopropyl ester 5c was prepared from 2 following the general procedure for esters with dry isopropanol. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 3:7) to yield the pure isopropyl ester 5c as a white powder (0.20 g, 97%): mp 231–232 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = +14.89$ (*c* 0.94, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, *J* = 16.6, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 14.2, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz 1H), 6.70 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.36 – 5.20 (m, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 1.40 (dd, *J* = 9.4,

6.4 Hz, 6H), 1.28 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.19 (s), 162.52 (s), 160.12 (d, $J_{C-F} = 251.9$ Hz), 141.63 (s), 135.16 (s), 134.76 (s), 134.57 (s), 133.92 (s), 131.92 (d, $J_{C-F} = 8.7$ Hz), 131.18 (s), 129.87 (s), 129.62 (s), 128.73 (d, $J_{C-F} = 6.0$ Hz), 124.48 (d, $J_{C-F} = 3.4$ Hz), 122.20 (s), 121.60 (s), 116.19 (d, $J_{C-F} = 21.5$ Hz), 81.44 (s), 79.67 (s), 68.26 (s), 50.14 (s), 21.95 (s), 14.85 (s); **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₁FN₃O₂ 402.1612; found 402.1610.

(*S*)-*tert*-Butyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*] [1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (GL-I-30, 5d)—The *t*-butyl ester 5d was prepared from 2 following the general procedure for esters with dry *t*-butanol. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 3:7) to yield the pure *t*-butyl ester 5d as a white powder (0.21 g, 96%): mp 214–215 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = +15.29$ (*c* 0.85, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.65 – 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.45 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.28 – 7.21 (m, 1H), 7.05 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 1.63 (s, 9H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.17 (s), 162.24 (s), 160.12 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 250.7 Hz), 141.19 (s), 135.14 (s), 134.69 (s), 134.58 (s), 133.91 (s), 131.91 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 8.2 Hz), 131.16 (s), 130.85 (s), 129.59 (s), 128.73 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 13.1 Hz), 124.49 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.5 Hz), 122.22 (s), 121.50 (s), 116.21 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.4 Hz), 81.51 (s), 79.60 (s), 50.23 (s), 28.33 (s), 21.97 (s), 14.85 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₅H₂₃FN₃O₂ 416.1769; found 416.1768.

(*S*)-*tert*-Pentyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[f]imidazo[1,5-*a*] [1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (GL-I-33, 5e)—The 2-methylbutyl ester 5e was prepared from 2 following the general procedure for esters with dry 2-methylbutan-2-ol. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 1:1) to yield the pure 2-methylbutyl ester 5e as a white powder (0.17 g, 77%): mp 94–96 °C; $[a]_D^{25}$ = +34.15 (*c* 0.41, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.66 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.45 (dd, *J* = 16.3, 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29 – 7.19 (m, 1H), 7.05 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 1.98 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.59 (s, 6H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.17 (s), 162.15 (s), 160.11 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 250.2 Hz), 141.12 (s), 135.15 (s), 134.68 (s), 133.89 (s), 131.96 (s), 131.85 (s), 131.16 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 1.6 Hz), 130.80 (s), 129.58 (s), 128.71 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 13.2 Hz), 124.49 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.4 Hz), 122.24 (s), 121.49 (s), 116.20 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.5 Hz), 84.17 (s), 81.48 (s), 79.61 (s), 50.24 (s), 33.47 (s), 25.91 (s), 25.73 (s), 14.91 (s), 8.47 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₅FN₃O₂ 430.1925; found 430.1928.

(S)-8-Ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4H-benzo[f]imidazo[1,5-a]

[1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylic acid (SH-05 3–2'F-S-CH₃-acid, 6)—The ethyl ester SH-053–2'F-*S*-CH₃ **2** (5.0 g, 12.91 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (200 mL), after which sodium hydroxide pellets (4.1 g, 103.25 mmol) were added to the solution. This reaction mixture was heated to 55 °C for 0.5 h and the EtOH was removed under reduced pressure. The remaining aq solution was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min and then aq HCl (1 M) was added dropwise to the solution until the pH was 5 (pH paper). A pale white precipitate which formed, was left in the solution for 10 min and was then collected by filtration, washed with cold water and the aq layer also allowed to stand at rt for 10 h to yield additional acid **6**. The

combined solids were dried under vacuum for 7 h to provide pure acid **6** as a white powder (4.6 g, 99%): mp 217–218 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = -14.58$ (*c* 0.48, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*⁶): δ 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dt, *J* = 7.8, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (s, 1H), 1.13 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*⁶): δ 164.63 (s), 162.92 (s), 159.85 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 242.7 Hz), 141.08 (s), 136.73 (s), 135.57 (s), 134.68 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 2.7 Hz), 133.19 (s), 133.14 (s), 132.64 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 6.1 Hz), 131.89 (s), 129.36 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 2.3 Hz), 128.85 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 8.2 Hz), 125.14 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 123.98 (s), 121.15 (s), 116.39 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 20.3 Hz), 83.42 (s), 81.97 (s), 49.82 (s), 15.02 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₁H₁₅FN₃O₂ 360.1143; found 360.1142.

General procedure for the synthesis of esters/thioester/amides (5f, 5g, 7, 8b-8f)

A mixture of the acid SH-053-2'F-S-CH₃-acid 6 (200 mg, 0.56 mmol), thionyl chloride (0.407 mL, 5.6 mmol) and dry DCM (20 mL) was placed in an oven dried round bottom flask under argon. This suspension, which formed, was allowed to reflux at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h under argon. The absence of the starting material was confirmed on analysis by TLC (silica gel). The organic solvent and excess thionyl chloride were removed under reduced pressure on a rotovapor. This procedure was repeated five times with dry DCM (15 mL). The yellow residue, which remained was dissolved in dry DCM (20 mL) and cooled to 0 °C for 10 min under argon. Then the appropriate nucleophilic alcohol/thiol/amine (5.6 mmol), followed by triethylamine (0.78 mL, 5.6 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at 0°C and the mixture individually was then allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 1-2 h. After the completion of the reaction by TLC (silica gel), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was treated with ice cold water (15 mL) and extracted with DCM (3×20 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (20 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography to yield the corresponding pure esters, thioesters or amides described below.

(S)-2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxylate (GL-I-36, 5f)—The

trifluoroethyl ester **5f** was prepared from carboxylic acid **6** following the general procedure for esters/thioester/amides with dry 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol as the nucleophile. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 2:3) to yield the pure trifluoroethyl ester **5f** as a white powder (0.20 g, 82%): mp 226–227 °C; $[\mathbf{a}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{25}} = +9.43$ (*c* 0.53, CHCl₃); ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.50 – 7.36 (m, 2H), 7.23 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (t, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.88 – 4.54 (m, 2H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 1.26 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.41 (s), 161.09 (s), 160.07 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 250.9 Hz), 143.06 (s), 135.54 (s), 135.29 (s), 134.19 (s), 133.89 (s), 132.08 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 8.1 Hz), 131.16 (s), 129.61 (s), 128.45 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 12.7 Hz), 127.72 (s), 124.53 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.2 Hz), 123.04 (q, *J*_{C-F} = 276.5 Hz), 122.31 (s), 121.95 (s), 116.20 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.4 Hz), 81.27 (s), 79.99 (s), 60.15 (q, *J*_{C-F} = 36.9 Hz), 50.10 (s), 14.70 (s); **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₁₆F₄N₃O₂ 442.1173; found 442.1176.

(S)-Cyclopropyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxyl- ate (GL-I-38, 5g)—The cyclopropyl ester 5g was prepared from the carboxylic acid 6 following the general procedure for esters/thioester/ amides with dry cyclopropanol as the nucleophile. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 3:2) to yield the pure cyclopropyl ester 5g as a white powder (0.16 g, 71%): mp 230–231 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = +11.38$ (*c* 1.23, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.49 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (q, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.38 – 4.21 (m, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 1.24 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 0.77 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.77 (s), 163.25 (s), 160.06 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 250.6 Hz), 141.87 (s), 135.20 (s), 134.93 (s), 134.39 (s), 133.88 (s), 131.97 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 9.0 Hz), 131.15 (s), 129.58 (s), 129.04 (s), 128.60 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 11.4 Hz), 124.49 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.1 Hz), 122.25 (s), 121.69 (s), 116.17 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.5 Hz), 81.37 (s), 79.85 (s), 50.02 (s), 49.32 (s), 14.82 (s), 5.22 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₁₉FN₃O₂ 400.1456; found 400.1453.

(S)-S-Ethyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*] [1,4]diazepine-3-carbothioate (GL-I-77, 7)—The thioester 7 was prepared from the carboxylic acid 6 following the general procedure for esters/thioester/amides with dry ethanethiol as the nucleophile. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 2:3) to yield the pure thioethyl ester 7 as a white powder (0.18 g, 79%): mp 210–211 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = +21.43$ (*c* 0.98, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.48 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (q, *J* = 14.0, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 3.09 – 2.89 (m, 2H), 1.33 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 187.81 (s), 163.16 (s), 160.11 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 250.3 Hz), 138.17 (s), 135.44 (s), 135.17 (s), 134.48 (s), 134.34 (s), 133.95 (s), 131.97 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 8.9 Hz), 131.23 (s), 129.66 (s), 128.61 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 11.7 Hz), 124.49 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.4 Hz), 122.19 (s), 121.76 (s), 116.17 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.5 Hz), 81.41 (s), 79.78 (s), 49.85 (s), 22.56 (s), 14.79 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₁₉FN₃OS 404.1227; found 404.1229.

(*S*)-8-Ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-N,4-dimethyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*] [1,4]diazepine-3-carboxamide (MP-III-023, 8a)—The ethyl ester SH-053–2'F-*S*-CH₃ 2 (200 mg, 0.52 mmol) was added to a sealed vessel fitted with a septum at -30 °C and treated with methyl amine (10 mL, 33% wt solution in EtOH). The vessel was sealed with a screw-cap and stirred at 50 °C for 18 h. The solution was then cooled to rt and the methyl amine and ethanol were removed under reduced pressure. The residue, which resulted, was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 3:2) to afford the pure methyl amide 8a as a pale white powder (0.15g, 79%): mp 136–137 °C; [a] $_D^{25}$ = -11.54 (*c* 2.34, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 14.1, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 2.97 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.41 (s), 162.86 (s), 161.81(d, *J*_{C-F} = 250.8 Hz), 138.68 (s), 135.31 (s), 134.58 (s), 134.14 (s), 133.46 (s), 132.28 (s), 132.26 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 6.4 Hz), 131.47 (s), 129.47 (s), 128.36 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 10.0 Hz), 124.54 (d,

 J_{C-F} = 3.5 Hz), 122.24 (s), 121.69 (s), 116.28 (d, J_{C-F} = 21.5 Hz), 81.42 (s), 79.76 (s), 49.66 (s), 25.67 (s), 15.06 (s); **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₂H₁₈FN₄O 373.1459, found: 373.1462.

(S)-N-Ethyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[fjimidazo[1,5-*a*] [1,4]diazepine-3-carboxamide (GL-I-43, 8b)—The ethyl amide 8b was prepared from the carboxylic acid 6 following the general procedure for esters/thioester/amides with dry ethylamine as the nucleophile. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 1:1) to yield the pure ethyl amide 8b as a white powder (0.18 g, 88%): mp 208–209 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = -13.33$ (*c* 0.6, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J = 16.7, 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J = 13.4, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (q, J = 7.2Hz, 1H), 3.55 – 3.37 (m, 2H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 1.29 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.82 (s), 162.51 (s), 160.10 (d, $J_{C-F} = 250.5$ Hz), 138.79 (s), 134.97 (s), 134.70 (s), 133.88 (s), 133.39 (s), 131.82 (d, $J_{C-F} = 4.8$ Hz), 131.75 (s), 131.35 (d, $J_{C-F} = 1.5$ Hz), 129.76 (s), 128.84 (d, $J_{C-F} = 11.9$ Hz), 124.45 (d, $J_{C-F} = 3.4$ Hz), 122.06 (s), 121.37 (s), 116.06 (d, $J_{C-F} = 21.5$ Hz), 81.54 (s), 79.55 (s), 49.88 (s), 33.71 (s), 15.02 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₂₀FN₄O 387.1614; found 387.1614.

(S)-N-Cyclopropyl-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carbox- amide (GL-I-55, 8c)—The

benzof jimulazof 1,5-aj 1,4-janzepine-5-carbox- and e (6L-1-35, 6C) — Inc cyclopropyl amide **8c** as prepared from the carboxylic acid **6** following the general procedure for esters/thioester/amides with dry cyclopropylamine as the nucleophile. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 1:1) to yield the pure cyclopropyl amide **8c** as a white powder (0.19 g, 86%): mp 225–226 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = -11.77 (c 0.17, CHCl_3);$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J =16.5, 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (dd, J = 14.0, 6.8 Hz, 3H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.3Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (s, 1H), 2.85 (dq, J = 10.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.89 – 0.73 (m, 2H), 0.69 – 0.50 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 164.02 (s), 162.83 (s), 160.12 (d, $J_{C-F} = 249.8$ Hz), 138.93 (s), 134.96 (s), 134.66 (s), 133.91 (s), 133.36 (s), 131.82 (d, $J_{C-F} = 3.3$ Hz), 131.59 (s), 131.37 (s), 129.79 (s), 128.84 (d, $J_{C-F} = 11.4$ Hz), 124.46 (d, $J_{C-F} = 3.3$ Hz), 122.04 (s), 121.42 (s), 116.07 (d, $J_{C-F} = 21.5$ Hz), 81.53 (s), 79.53 (s), 49.89 (s), 22.09 (s), 15.00 (s), 6.55 (s), 6.51 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₀FN₄O 399.1616; found 399.1618

(S)-8-Ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-N-isopropyl-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[f]imidazo[1,5*a*][1,4]diazepine-3-carboxa- mide (GL-I-57, 8d)—The isopropyl amide 8d was prepared from the carboxylic acid 6 following the general procedure for esters/thioester/ amides with dry isopropylamine as the nucleophile. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 2:3) to yield the pure isopropyl amide 8d as a white powder (0.16 g, 74%): mp 238–239 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = -6.19$ (*c* 2.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 17.0, 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.46 – 7.33 (m, 2H), 7.21 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02 – 6.94 (m, 2H), 6.88 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dq, *J* = 13.4, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (s, 1H), 1.22 (dd, *J* = 13.5, 7.2 Hz, 9H); ¹³C NMR (75

MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.83 (s), 161.78 (s), 158.43 (s), 138.78 (s), 134.98 (s), 134.69 (s), 133.85 (s), 133.35 (s), 131.85 (s), 131.74 (s), 131.35 (s), 129.73 (s), 128.83 (d, J_{C-F} = 12.7 Hz), 124.43 (d, J_{C-F} = 3.3 Hz), 122.08 (s), 121.36 (s), 116.04 (d, J_{C-F} = 21.6 Hz), 81.53 (s), 79.62 (s), 49.87 (s), 40.69 (s), 22.93 (s), 22.85 (s), 15.00 (s); **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₂₂FN₄O 401.1772; found 401.1776.

(S)-N-(tert-Butyl)-8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4H-

benzo[*f*]**imidazo**[1,5-*a*][1,4]**diazepine-3-carbox- amide (GL-I-41, 8e)**—The *t*-butyl amide **8e** was prepared from the carboxylic acid **6** following the general procedure for esters/thioester/amides with dry *t*-butylamine as the nucleophile. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 1:1) to yield the pure *t*-butyl amide **8e** as a white powder (0.19 g, 83%): mp 136–137 °C; $[\mathbf{a}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{25}} = +8.7$ (*c* 0.23, CHCl₃); ¹**H** NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.65 (dd, *J* = 15.1, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 10.1, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (dd, *J* = 15.8, 5.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.27 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.84 (s), 162.11 (s), 160.15 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 250.3 Hz), 138.60 (s), 134.97 (s), 134.76 (s), 133.85 (s), 133.02 (s), 132.59 (s), 131.84 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.4 Hz), 122.07 (s), 121.37 (s), 116.07 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.5 Hz), 81.56 (s), 79.49 (s), 50.91 (s), 49.90 (s), 28.98 (s), 14.96 (s); **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₅H₂₄FN₄O 415.1926; found 415.1926.

(S)-8-Ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-N,N,4-trimethyl-4H-benzo[f]imidazo[1,5-a]

[1,4]diazepine-3-carboxamide (GL-1-54, 8f)—The dimethyl amide **8f** was prepared from **6** following the general procedure with dry dimethylamine as the nucleophile. The crude residue was purified by a column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc and 1% MeOH) to yield pure dimethyl amide **8f** as a light yellow powder (0.15 g, 71%): mp 142–144 °C; $[\mathbf{a}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{25}} = -16.0 (c \, 0.75, \text{CHCl}_3)$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.04 (t, J = 9.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.33 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 3.14 (s, 3H), 3.01 (s, 3H), 1.94 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 166.14 (s), 162.60 (s), 160.25 (d, $J_{C-F} = 252.3 \text{ Hz}$), 135.31 (s), 134.73 (d, $J_{C-F} = 7.2 \text{ Hz}$), 133.83 (s), 133.73 (s), 132.79 (d, $J_{C-F} = 12.7 \text{ Hz})$, 132.05 (d, $J_{C-F} = 9.4 \text{ Hz}$), 131.78 (s), 131.28 (s), 129.25 (s), 127.69 (d, $J_{C-F} = 10.8 \text{ Hz}$), 124.51 (d, $J_{C-F} = 3.4 \text{ Hz}$), 122.83 (s), 121.50 (s), 116.17 (d, $J_{C-F} = 21.7 \text{ Hz}$), 81.57 (s), 79.46 (s), 52.21 (s), 39.09 (s), 35.03 (s), 18.50 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₂₀FN₄O 387.1616; found 387.1624.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Oxadiazoles (9a-9c)

The ethyl ester **2** (200 mg, 0.52 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (20 mL) at rt under argon. In a separate flask which contained 3\AA molecular sieves, the corresponding oxime (2.08 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (30 mL) under argon and treated with sodium hydride (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 0.57 mmol). The mixture, which resulted, was stirred for 15 min at which point the solution containing the ethyl ester was added. The reaction mixture, which resulted, was stirred at rt for 2 h until the starting material was consumed as indicated on analysis by TLC (silica gel). The reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated aq

NaHCO₃ solution (50 mL). Water (50 mL) was then added and the product was extracted with EtOAc (3×100 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (30 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid, which resulted, was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel) to afford the pure corresponding oxadiazole.

(S)-5-(8-Ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4H-benzo[f]imidazo[1,5-a]

[1,4]diazepin-3-yl)-3-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazole (GL-I-65, 9a)—The methyl oxadiazole **9a** was prepared from **2** following the general procedure for oxadiazoles with the methyl oxime (0.154 g, 2.08 mmol). The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 3:2) to yield pure methyl oxadiazole **9a** as a white powder (0.174 g, 85%): mp 225–226 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = +32.52$ (*c* 2.86, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (dd, *J* = 18.1, 11.6 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (s, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 1.35 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.75 (s), 167.42 (s), 163.40 (s), 160.09 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 250.5 Hz), 139.26 (s), 136.32 (s), 135.34 (s), 134.05 (s), 132.06 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 8.5 Hz), 131.20 (s), 129.63 (s), 128.55 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 12.3 Hz), 124.83 (s), 124.50 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.2 Hz), 122.21 (s), 121.88 (s), 116.21 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.4 Hz), 81.34 (s), 79.95 (s), 50.16 (s), 14.94 (s), 11.66 (s); **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₁₇FN₅O 398.1412; found 398.1419.

(*S*)-3-Ethyl-5-(8-ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*] [1,4]diazepin-3-yl)-1,2,4-oxadiazole (GL-I-66, 9b)—The ethyl oxadiazole 9b was

prepared from **2** following the general procedure for oxadiazoles with the ethyl oxime (0.183 g, 2.08 mmol). The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/Hexane 3:2) to yield pure ethyl oxadiazole **9b** as a white powder (0.168 g, 79%): mp 199–200 °C; $[\mathbf{a}]_{\mathbf{D}}^{25} = +50.00$ (*c* 0.22, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.54 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (q, *J* = 14.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (s, 1H), 2.84 (q, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.40 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.36 (t, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 171.88 (s), 170.70 (s), 163.41 (s), 160.10 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 251.4 Hz), 139.22 (s), 136.26 (s), 135.33 (s), 134.22 (s), 134.07 (s), 132.04 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 8.7 Hz), 131.15 (s), 129.58 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 6.0 Hz), 128.58 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 11.9 Hz), 124.99 (s), 124.51 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 3.2 Hz), 122.18 (s), 121.88 (s), 116.22 (d, *J*_{C-F} = 21.5 Hz), 81.34 (s), 79.90 (s), 50.23 (s), 19.74 (s), 14.97 (s), 11.51 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₄H₁₉FN₅O 412.1568; found 412.1569.

(S)-5-(8-Ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4*H*-benzo[*f*]imidazo[1,5-*a*]

[1,4]diazepin-3-yl)-3-isopropyl-1,2,4-oxadiazole (GL-I-81, 9c)—The isopropyl oxadiazole 9c was prepared from 2 following the general procedure for oxadiazoles with the isopropyl oxime (0.212 g, 2.08 mmol). The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 3:2) to yield pure isopropyl oxadiazole 9c as a white powder (0.180 g, 82%): mp 205–206 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = +22.37$ (*c* 0.76, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.44 – 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.17 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (s, 1H), 3.09 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.32 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.28 (d, J

= 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 175.24 (s), 170.61 (s), 163.38 (s), 160.03 (d, J_{C-F} = 251.5 Hz), 139.17 (s), 136.34 (s), 135.36 (s), 134.17 (s), 133.98 (s), 132.01 (d, J_{C-F} = 8.3 Hz), 131.10 (s), 129.48 (s), 128.58 (d, J_{C-F} = 12.3 Hz), 124.96 (s), 124.48 (d, J_{C-F} = 3.1 Hz), 122.28 (s), 121.82 (s), 116.16 (d, J_{C-F} = 21.4 Hz), 81.30 (s), 80.03 (s), 50.22 (s), 26.70 (s), 20.57 (s), 20.51 (s), 14.94 (s); **HRMS** (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₅H₂₁FN₅O 426.1725; found 426.1728.

(S)-8-Ethynyl-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methyl-4H-benzo[f]imidazo[1,5-a]

[1,4]diazepine-3-carbonitrile (MP-III-018.A, 10)—The ethyl ester SH-053–2'F-S-CH₃ 2 (0.5 g, 1.40 mmol) was stirred in dry xylene (35 mL) at rt. Using a glass syringe and a metal needle, dimethylaluminum amine (0.67 M, 12.5 mL, 8.42 mmol) was carefully added to the solution of starting material 2. The reaction was then heated to 80 °C in an oil bath and was monitored on analysis by TLC (silica gel) until the starting material had been consumed in 2 h. Once the reaction was complete, the mixture was cooled to rt and then quenched with cold water (15 mL). The product was extracted with EtOAc (5 \times 50 mL) and the organic layers were combined, washed with brine and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The solid, which resulted, was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane 1:1) which afforded the nitrile 10 as a white solid (0.331 g, 75.4%): mp 131–132 °C; $[a]_D^{25} = -165.16 (c 1.55, CHCl_3)$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, J=7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.62 – 7.53 (m, 1H), 7.49 (s, 2H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.04 (t, J= 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J= 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (s, 1H), 2.18 (d, J= 4.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.64 (s), 161.94 (d, J_{C-F}= 253.4 Hz), 143.66 (s), 135.75 (s), 135.69 (s), 133.97 (s), 133.35 (s), 132.89 (d, J_{C-F} = 9.7 Hz), 131.37 (s), 129.22 (s), 126.85 (d, J_{C-F} = 13.0 Hz), 124.73 (d, J_{C-F} = 6.5 Hz), 122.88 (s), 122.64 (s), 116.50(d, J_{C-F} = 19.7 Hz), 114.54 (s), 110.74 (s), 81.13 (s), 80.33 (s), 51.68 (s), 18.09 (s); HRMS (ESI/IT-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₁H₁₄FN₄ 341.1137; found 341.1142.

Preparation of the 0.67 M dimethylaluminum amine solution: The ammonia gas was bubbled into dry DCM (20 mL) at 0 °C until the solution was saturated. This took about 10–15 min. The trimethylaluminum (10 mL, 2.0 M in toluene) was then added to the solution. The solution was stirred at rt for 5 min and transferred directly for use in the reaction above using a glass syringe and metal needle.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the NIH for generous financial support (R01MH096463, R01NS076517, R01HL118561). We also thank the Milwaukee Institute for Drug Discovery and University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee's Shimadzu Laboratory for Advanced and Applied Analytical Chemistry for help with spectroscopy.

References

- (1). Frangou S Medicine 2008, 36, 405.
- (2). Kapur S; Mamo D Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 2003, 27, 1081. [PubMed: 14642968]

- (3). Leucht S; Corves C; Arbter D; Engel RR; Li C; Davis JM The Lancet 2009, 373, 31.
- (4). Longo LP; Johnson B Am. Fam. Physician 2000, 61, 2121. [PubMed: 10779253]
- (5). Wassef A; Baker J; Kochan LD J. Clin. Psychopharmacol. 2003, 23, 601. [PubMed: 14624191]
- (6). Fee C; Banasr M; Sibille E Biol. Psychiatry 2017, 82, 549. [PubMed: 28697889]
- (7). Richter L; de Graaf C; Sieghart W; Varagic Z; Morzinger M; de Esch IJ; Ecker GF; Ernst M Nat. Chem. Biol. 2012, 8, 455. [PubMed: 22446838]
- (8). Olsen RW; Sieghart W Neuropharmacology 2009, 56, 141. [PubMed: 18760291]
- (9). Cossart R; Bernard C; Ben-Ari Y Trends Neurosci. 2005, 28, 108. [PubMed: 15667934]
- (10). Connolly CN; Krishek BJ; McDonald BJ; Smart TG; Moss SJ J. Biol. Chem. 1996, 271, 89. [PubMed: 8550630]
- (11). Sieghart W Pharmacol. Rev. 1995, 47, 181. [PubMed: 7568326]
- (12). Gielen MC; Lumb MJ; Smart TG J. Neurosci. 2012, 32, 5707. [PubMed: 22539833]
- (13). Rudolph U; Mohler H Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 2006, 6, 18. [PubMed: 16376150]
- (14). Rudolph U; Crestani F; Benke D; Brunig I; Benson JA; Fritschy JM; Martin JR; Bluethmann H; Mohler H Nature 1999, 401, 796. [PubMed: 10548105]
- (15). Low K; Crestani F; Keist R; Benke D; Brunig I; Benson JA; Fritschy JM; Rulicke T; Bluethmann H; Mohler H; Rudolph U Science 2000, 290, 131. [PubMed: 11021797]
- (16). Crestani F; Keist R; Fritschy JM; Benke D; Vogt K; Prut L; Bluthmann H; Mohler H; Rudolph U Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2002, 99, 8980. [PubMed: 12084936]
- (17). Collinson N; Kuenzi FM; Jarolimek W; Maubach KA; Cothliff R; Sur C; Smith A; Otu FM; Howell O; Atack JR; McKernan RM; Seabrook GR; Dawson GR; Whiting PJ; Rosahl TW J. Neurosci. 2002, 22, 5572. [PubMed: 12097508]
- (18). Cook JM; Zhou H; Huang S; Sarma PVVS; Zhang C US Patent 7,618,958 B2, Nov. 17, 2009.
- (19). Savic MM; Clayton T; Furtmuller R; Gavrilovic I; Samardzic J; Savic S; Huck S; Sieghart W; Cook JM Brain Res. 2008, 1208, 150. [PubMed: 18394590]
- (20). Landoni MF; Soraci A Curr. Drug. Metab. 2001, 2, 37. [PubMed: 11465150]
- (21). Patocka J; Ales DJ Appl. Biomed. 2004, 2, 95.
- (22). Mellin GW; Katzenstein MN Engl. J. Med. 1962, 267, 1184.
- (23). Clayton T; Chen JL; Ernst M; Richter L; Cromer BA; Morton CJ; Ng H; Kaczorowski CC; Helmstetter FJ; Furtmuller R; Ecker G; Parker MW; Sieghart W; Cook JM Curr. Med. Chem. 2007, 14, 2755. [PubMed: 18045122]
- (24). Gill KM; Cook JM; Poe MM; Grace AA Schizophr. Bull. 2014, 40, 341. [PubMed: 24464874]
- (25). Gill KM; Lodge DJ; Cook JM; Aras S; Grace AA Neuropsychopharmacology 2011, 36, 1903. [PubMed: 21562483]
- (26). Piantadosi SC; French BJ; Poe MM; Timic T; Markovic BD; Pabba M; Seney ML; Oh H; Orser BA; Savic MM; Cook JM; Sibille E Front. Pharmacol. 2016, 7, 446. [PubMed: 27920723]
- (27). Batinic B; Santrac A; Jancic I; Li G; Vidojevic A; Markovic B; Cook JM; Savic MM Int. J. Dev. Neurosci. 2017, 61, 31. [PubMed: 28610974]
- (28). Forkuo GS; Nieman AN; Yuan NY; Kodali R; Yu OB; Zahn NM; Jahan R; Li G; Stephen MR; Guthrie ML; Poe MM; Hartzler BD; Harris TW; Yocum GT; Emala CW; Steeber DA; Stafford DC; Cook JM; Arnold LA Mol. Pharm. 2017, 14, 2088. [PubMed: 28440659]
- (29). Mizuta K; Xu D; Pan Y; Comas G; Sonett JR; Zhang Y; Panettieri RA Jr.; Yang J; Emala CW Sr. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 2008, 294, L1206. [PubMed: 18408071]
- (30). Gallos G; Yocum GT; Siviski ME; Yim PD; Fu XW; Poe MM; Cook JM; Harrison N; Perez-Zoghbi J; Emala CW Sr. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 2015, 308, L931. [PubMed: 25659897]
- (31). Fischer BD; Licata SC; Edwankar RV; Wang Z-J; Huang S; He X; Yu J; Zhou H; Johnson EM; Cook JM; Furtmüller R; Ramerstorfer J; Sieghart W; Roth BL; Majumder S; Rowlett JK Neuropharmacology 2010, 59, 612. [PubMed: 20727364]
- (32). Savi MM; Majumder S; Huang S; Edwankar RV; Furtmüller R; Joksimovi S; Clayton T; Ramerstorfer J; Milinkovi MM; Roth BL; Sieghart W; Cook JM Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 2010, 34, 376. [PubMed: 20074611]

- (33). Richetto J; Labouesse MA; Poe MM; Cook JM; Grace AA; Riva MA; Meyer U Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol. 2015, 18, pyu055. [PubMed: 25636893]
- (34). Takai S; Matsuda A; Usami Y; Adachi T; Sugiyama T; Katagiri Y; Tatematsu M; Hirano K Biol. Pharm. Bull. 1997, 20, 869. [PubMed: 9300133]
- (35). Clayton T; Poe MM; Rallapalli S; Biawat P; Savic MM; Rowlett JK; Gallos G; Emala CW; Kaczorowski CC; Stafford DC; Arnold LA; Cook JM Int. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 2015, 430248. [PubMed: 26682068]
- (36). Batini B; Santra A; Jan i I; Li G; Vidojevi A; Markovi B; Cook JM; Savi MM Int. J. Dev. Neurosci. 2017, 61, 31. [PubMed: 28610974]
- (37). Poe MM; Methuku KR; Li G; Verma AR; Teske KA; Stafford DC; Arnold LA; Cramer JW; Jones TM; Cerne R; Krambis MJ; Witkin JM; Jambrina E; Rehman S; Ernst M; Cook JM; Schkeryantz JM J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 10800. [PubMed: 27933953]
- (38). Fischer BD; Schlitt RJ; Hamade BZ; Rehman S; Ernst M; Poe MM; Li G; Kodali R; Arnold LA; Cook JM Brain Res. Bull. 2017, 131, 62. [PubMed: 28267561]
- (39). Witkin JM; Cerne R; Wakulchik M; S; Gleason; Jones; Li; Arnold; Li; Schkeryantz; Methuku; Cook; Poe Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 2017, 157, 35. [PubMed: 28442369]
- (40). Wallace DJ; Chen CY Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 6987.
- (41). Otera J Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1449.
- (42). Cook JM; Clayton TS; Jain HD; Johnson YT; Yang J; Rallipalli SK; Wang ZJ; Namjoshi OA; Poe MM US Patent 9,006,233 B2, Apr. 14, 2015.
- (43). Patani GA; LaVoie EJ Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 3147. [PubMed: 11848856]
- (44). Namjoshi OA; Wang Z.-j.; Rallapalli SK; Johnson EM; Johnson Y-T; Ng H; Ramerstorfer J; Varagic Z; Sieghart W; Majumder S; Roth BL; Rowlett JK; Cook JM Bioorganic Med. Chem. 2013, 21, 93.
- (45). Fleming FF; Yao L; Ravikumar PC; Funk L; Shook BC J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 7902. [PubMed: 20804202]
- (46). Wood JL; Khatri NA; Weinreb SM Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 20, 4907.
- (47). Sanberg PR; Bunsey MD; Giordano M; Norman AB Behav. Neurosci. 1988, 102, 748. [PubMed: 2904271]
- (48). Bakshi VP; Geyer MA Psychopharmacology (Berl.) 1995, 122, 198. [PubMed: 8848537]
- (49). Geng Y; Bush M; Mosyak L; Wang F; Fan QR Nature 2013, 504, 254. [PubMed: 24305054]
- (50). Prevot T; Li G; Vidojevic A; Santrac A; Misquitta K; Fee CJ; Knutson D; Stephen MR; Kodali R; Zahn N; Arnold A; Scholze P; Fisher J; Banasr M; Cook JM; Savic M; Sibille E, manuscript in preparation.





Structures of enantiotopic chiral lead imidazobenzodiazepine (IBZD) ligands 1 and 2.







Catalepsy

Figure 3.

Impact of **1** or **2** treatment (30 mg/kg, ip) on cataleptic behavioral. Haloperidol, but not **1** nor **2** produced a significant cataleptic response at 30, 60, and 120 minutes post-injection. The data are expressed as the mean (+SEM) time (sec) subjects spent with both front paws on an elevated bar. *p<0.001, the difference from controls receiving vehicle alone (see Supplementary material for details).



Figure 4.

Impact of **1** or **2** treatment (30 mg/kg, IP) on prepulse inhibition. Ligand **2**, but not **1** reversed the PPI deficits induced by PCP when given one hour before testing. The data are expressed as the mean (+SEM) percent prepulse inhibition of the startle response to an auditory stimulus when preceded by a nonstartle-eliciting auditory cue at 5, 10, and 15 decibels. Disruption of prepulse inhibition was obtained in rats by an acute injection of phencyclidine (PCP·HCl, 1.5 mg/kg, SC) ten minutes prior to testing. *p<0.05, difference from controls receiving phencyclidine with 0 mg/kg drug pretreatment. (see Supplementary material for details).



Figure 5.

Impact of 1 or 2 treatment on anticonvulsant effects. A) Mice were dosed i.p. with either 1 (150 mg/kg) or 2 (100 mg/kg) and a 6 Hz shock by a corneal electrode was administered at various time points, and the animal observed for convulsions. Ligand 2 was able to protect some subjects while 1 was not able to protect mice from electroshock-induced seizures. B) In the rotarod assay, mice were dosed i.p. with 1 or 2 (100 mg/kg) 30 minutes prior to testing on a rotating rod (6 rpm). Mice that fell 3 times during a 1-minute trial were deemed toxic (ataxic or sedated). (see Supplementary material for details)

Li et al.









Author Manuscript





Synthesis of 8-cyclopropyl-4-(S-CH₃) imidazobenzodiazepine **3** from bromide **4b**.¹⁷







Scheme 3. Synthesis of esters 5b-5e.⁴⁰



Scheme 4. Synthesis of analogs 5f, 5g, 7, 8a-8f.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of oxadiazoles **9a-9c**.



Scheme 6. Synthesis of the C(3)-nitrile 10.

Table 1

| Lieand | Liver mi Conditions: | icrosomal sta : 4 μM comp | bility (%-rer ound, 37 °C, | naining) 30 minutes |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| An and Query | HLM ^a | DFM^p | MLM ^c | RLM ^d |
| SH-053-2F- <i>R</i> -CH ₃ (1) | 100.0 | 90.3 | 59.1 | 5.4 |
| SH-053-2F-S-CH ₃ (2) | 2.3 | 7.06 | 66.5 | 6.0 |

^aHuman liver microsomes (HLM)

 $b_{
m dog}$ liver microsomes (DLM)

c mouse liver microsomes (MLM)

d rat liver microsomes (RLM).

Table 2

In vitro In vitro microsomal stability of SH-053-2'F-S-CH3 (2) analogs.

| Ft | Cturation of C(3 | [| Mianacamal atability | (III M) | Mionocomol etabilite | . AT M office 3 he |
|------------|------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| THU Y | bu ucuite at U(. |) will C(0)-Eurynyr | Half-life (min) | % remaining | Half-life (min) | % remaining |
| 7 | ester | CO2CH2CH3 | <5 ^b | < 5.0 ^b | 155 ± 9^d | 78.0 ± 0.2^{d} |
| 3 | | со ₂ сн ₂ сн ₃ е | $<5^{b}$ | $< 5.0^{b}$ | 46 ± 3 | 16.0 ± 0.4 |
| 4a | | ${\rm CO_2CH_3}^f$ | 10 ± 1^d | 2.0 ± 0.3^d | 116 ± 13 | 41.0 ± 0.6 |
| 4b | | ${\rm CO}_2{\rm CH}_2{\rm CH}_3^f$ | $<5^{b}$ | $< 5.0^{b}$ | <5 <i>b</i> | $< 5.0^{b}$ |
| 5a | | CO ₂ CH ₃ | 119 ± 21^d | $43.0\pm0.4^{\textit{d}}$ | 216 ± 28 | 62.0 ± 0.5 |
| 5b | | CO ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃ | < 5° | < 5.0 ^C | 24 ± 0^d | 12.0 ± 0.2^{d} |
| 50 | | CO ₂ CH(CH ₃) ₂ | 57 ± 2^d | 47.0 ± 0.2^d | 227 ± 16^d | $83.0\pm0.1^{\textit{d}}$ |
| 5d | | CO ₂ C(CH ₃) ₃ | 514 ± 115^{d} | 89.0 ± 0.2^d | 196 ± 20^d | 78.0 ± 0.2^{d} |
| Sf | | CO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃ | < 5 ^a | < 5.0 ^a | <5 ^b | $< 5.0^{b}$ |
| 5g | | CO ₂ -cyclopropyl | < 5 ^a | < 5.0 ^a | 19 ± 2^d | 11.0 ± 0.2^d |
| و | acid | СООН | 358 ± 56 | 74.0 ± 0.4 | 139 ± 11^{d} | 54.0 ± 0.3^{d} |
| 7 | thioester | COSCH ₂ CH ₃ | 101 ± 19 | 28.0 ± 0.3 | 46 ± 6 | 9.0 ± 0.5 |
| 8a | amide | CONHCH ₃ | 1780 ± 39 | 92.0 ± 0.3 | 845 ± 5 | 86.0 ± 0.2 |
| 8b | | CONHCH ₂ CH ₃ | 1978 ± 50 | 93.0 ± 0.3 | 1961 ± 46 | 92.0 ± 0.3 |
| 8c | | CONH-cyclopropyl | 1625 ± 803^d | 89.0 ± 0.4^d | 320 ± 34^d | 72.0 ± 0.3^d |
| 8 d | | CONHCH(CH ₃) ₂ | 107 ± 10 | 38.0 ± 0.5 | 28 ± 0 | 75.0 ± 0.3 |
| 8 e | | CONHC(CH ₃) ₃ | 205 ± 14 | 63.0 ± 0.3 | 142 ± 9 | 52.0 ± 0.3 |
| 8f | | CON(CH ₃) ₂ | 59 ± 5^d | 41.0 ± 0.9^{d} | 24 ± 2^d | 19.0 ± 0.9^d |
| 9a | 1,2,4-oxadiazole | 3-CH ₃ | 866 ± 213 | 86.0 ± 0.3 | 443 ± 66 | 81.0 ± 0.4 |
| 9b | | 3-CH ₂ CH ₃ | 563 ± 123 | 80.0 ± 0.4 | 213 ± 17 | 63.0 ± 0.3 |

| Entry | Structure at C(3 | 3) with C(8)-ethynyl | Microsomal stabilit | y (HLM) after 2 hr | Microsomal stability | y (MLM) after 2 h |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 9с | | 3-CH(CH ₃) ₂ | Half-life (min) 2584 ± 1920 | % remaining 91.0 ± 0.3 | Half-life (min) 500 ± 109 | % remaining 79.0 ± 0.5 |
| 10 | nitrile | CN | 41 ± 5 | 9.0 ± 0.3 | 58 ± 6 | 17.0 ± 0.6 |
| ^a Compoun | d was not detected | after 10 minutes. | | | | |
| $b_{ m Compount}$ | id was not detected | l after 20 minutes. | | | | |
| $c_{ m Compoun}$ | d was not detected | l after 30 minutes. | | | | |
| $d_{ m Compoun}$ | Id was assessed at] | 1-hour incubation time. | | | | |
| eC(8)-cycl | opropyl. | | | | | |
| f _{C(8)} -bron | 10. (see Supplemen | itary material for detail | ls) | | | |

ARKIVOC. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 August 07.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

In vitro cytotoxicity of SH-053-2F-S-CH3 (2) analogs.

Li et al.

| <i>p</i> (| | | | | | | | | | | | I | | I | | | | | | 1 | | | ı |
|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| HEPG2 LD ₅₀ (μΜ | 73.5 ± 14.4 | 87.0 ± 6.6 | 136.8 ± 6.3 | >400 | 136.6 ± 6.3 | 56.3 ± 4.0 | 39.9 ± 2.8 | 21.4 ± 1.9 | 17.1 ± 1.4 | >400 | 85.1 ± 3.8 | >400 | 30.2 ± 9.7 | >200 | 65.4 ± 7.3 | 93.5 ± 5.7 | 56.9 ± 4.8 | 43.4 ± 4.0 | >400 | >180 | 35.1 ± 3.7 | 21.7 ± 1.8 | 57.1 ± 5.6 |
| HEK293 LD ₅₀ (μM) ^{<i>a</i>} | 28.0 ± 4.1 | 58.0 ± 6.0 | 98.5 ± 7.9 | 187.0 ± 49.7 | 68.2 ± 4.3 | 53.2 ± 4.4 | 48.9 ± 4.4 | 12.3 ± 1.6 | 17.2 ± 1.6 | 138.1 ± 31.8 | 35.8 ± 3.3 | >400 | 20.5± 4.7 | >200 | 95.5 ± 12.6 | 63.8 ± 3.0 | 80.2 ± 11.1 | 33.6 ± 3.0 | >400 | 69.3± 2.3 | 46.9 ± 6.6 | 32.5 ± 7.6 | 81.6 ± 6.7 |
| 3) with C(8)-ethynyl | CO ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃ | $CO_2CH_2CH_3^b$ | $\mathrm{CO_2CH_3}^{\mathcal{C}}$ | со ₂ сн ₂ сн ₃ ^с | CO ₂ CH ₃ | CO ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃ | CO ₂ CH(CH ₃) ₂ | CO ₂ C(CH ₃) ₃ | CO ₂ C(CH ₃) ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃ | CO ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃ | CO ₂ -cyclopropyl | СООН | COSCH ₂ CH ₃ | CONHCH ₃ | CONHCH ₂ CH ₃ | CONH-cyclopropyl | CONHCH(CH ₃) ₂ | CONHC(CH ₃) ₃ | CON(CH ₃) ₂ | 3-CH ₃ | 3-CH ₂ CH ₃ | 3-CH(CH ₃) ₂ | CN |
| Structure at C(. | ester | | | | | | | | | | | acid | thioester | amide | | | | | | | 1,2,4-oxadiazole | | nitrile |
| Entry | 7 | ю | 4a | 4b | 5a | 5b | 5с | 5d | 5e | Sf | 5g | و | 7 | 8a | 8b | 8c | 8 d | 8e | 8f | 9a | $\mathbf{q}\mathbf{b}$ | 96 | 10 |

Author Manuscript

^aCompounds were incubated at different concentrations with the specific cells for 48 h, followed by detection of cell viability using a Cell-Titer Glo (Promega). The results were normalized using DMSO (negative control) and 3-dibutylamino-1-(4-hexyl-phenyl)-propan-1-one (150 mM in DMSO final concentration, positive control). Data were acquired by three independent experiments carried out in quadruplet.

b_{C(8)-cyclopropyl.}

cC(8)-bromo. (see Supplementary material for details)