

EPA Public Access Author manuscript

Photochem Photobiol Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 May 20.

About author manuscripts

Submit a manuscript

Published in final edited form as:

Photochem Photobiol Sci. 2020 May 20; 19(5): 542–584. doi:10.1039/d0pp90011g.

Environmental effects of stratospheric ozone depletion, UV radiation and interactions with climate change: UNEP Environmental Effects Assessment Panel, update 2019

G H Bernhard¹, R E Neale², P W Barnes³, P J Neale⁴, R G Zepp⁵, S R Wilson⁶, A L Andrady⁷, A F Bais⁸, R L McKenzie⁹, P J Aucamp¹⁰, P J Young¹¹, J B Liley⁹, R M Lucas¹², S Yazar¹³, L E Rhodes¹⁴, S N Byrne¹⁵, L M Hollestein¹⁶, C M Olsen², A R Young¹⁷, T M Robson¹⁸, J F Bornman¹⁹, M A K Jansen²⁰, S A Robinson²¹, C L Ballaré²², C E Williamson²³, K C Rose²⁴, A T Banaszak²⁵, D-P Häder²⁶, S Hylander²⁷, S-Å Wängberg²⁷, A T Austin²², W-C Hou²⁷, N D Paul¹¹, S Madronich²⁷, B Sulzberger²⁷, K R Solomon²⁷, H Li²⁷, T Schikowski²⁷, J Longstreth²⁷, K K Pandey²⁷, A M Heikkilä²⁷, C C White²⁷

¹Biospherical Instruments Inc., San Diego, California, USA. ²Population Health Department, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia. ³Biological Sciences and Environment Program, Loyola University, New Orleans, USA. 4-Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, Maryland, USA. ^{5.}United States Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia, USA. ^{6.}School of Earth, Atmospheric and Life Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, Australia. ⁷ Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA. 8. Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece. ⁹. National Institute of Water & Atmospheric Research, Lauder, Central Otago, New Zealand. ^{10.}Ptersa Environmental Consultants, Faerie Glen, South Africa. ¹¹.Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK. ¹².National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia. ¹³.Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, Australia. ¹⁴.Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, and Salford Royal Hospital, Manchester, UK. ^{15.}School of Medical Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia. ¹⁶ Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ^{17.}St John's Institute of Dermatology, King's College London, London, UK. 18. Organismal & Evolutionary Biology, Viikki Plant Science Centre, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ^{19.}Food Futures Institute, Murdoch University, Perth, Australia. ^{20.}School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland. ²¹.Centre for Sustainable Ecosystem Solutions, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, Australia. ^{22.}Faculty of Agronomy and IFEVA-CONICET, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina. ²³ Department of Biology, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, USA. ²⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York, USA. ^{25.}Unidad Académica de Sistemas Arrecifales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Puerto Morelos, Mexico.

There are no conflicts of interest.

Janet.Bornman@Murdoch.edu.au. .

Author contributions

All authors of this article have contributed equally. Conflicts of interest

Page 2

²⁶ Department of Biology, Friedrich-Alexander University, Möhrendorf, Germany. ²⁷ Biospherical Instruments Inc., San Diego, California, USA

Abstract

This assessment, by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Environmental Effects Assessment Panel (EEAP), one of three Panels informing the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, provides an update, since our previous extensive assessment (Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2019, 18, 595–828), of recent findings of current and projected interactive environmental effects of ultraviolet (UV) radiation, stratospheric ozone, and climate change. These effects include those on human health, air quality, terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, biogeochemical cycles, and materials used in construction and other services. The present update evaluates further evidence of the consequences of human activity on climate change that are altering the exposure of organisms and ecosystems to UV radiation. This in turn reveals the interactive effects of many climate change factors with UV radiation that have implications for the atmosphere, feedbacks, contaminant fate and transport, organismal responses, and many outdoor materials including plastics, wood, and fabrics. The universal ratification of the Montreal Protocol, signed by 197 countries, has led to the regulation and phase-out of chemicals that deplete the stratospheric ozone layer. Although this treaty has had unprecedented success in protecting the ozone layer, and hence all life on Earth from damaging UV radiation, it is also making a substantial contribution to reducing climate warming because many of the chemicals under this treaty are greenhouse gases.

Graphical Abstract



1. Effects on solar ultraviolet radiation due to changes in the stratospheric ozone layer and its interaction with climate

The effectiveness of the Montreal Protocol in preventing large increases in UV-B radiation (280–315 nm) at the Earth's surface has been confirmed by comparing UV measurements

with model simulations of the "World Expected" and "World Avoided" scenarios at many clean-air sites. The recently reported non-compliance with the Montreal Protocol implied by increased emissions of the ozone-depleting substance (ODS) CFC-11 has had, at present, no effect on surface UV radiation, but continuing large emissions into the future could lead to environmentally important increases in UV-B radiation. While the total ozone column over Antarctica is recovering, concomitant decreases in UV-B radiation have not yet been reported. Newly published projections of future UV-B radiation generally agree with those in the last EEAP assessment report (see Bais *et al.*).¹ Unusually high monthly mean UV Index (UVI) values reported in the Nordic Countries in 2018 were partly attributed to exceptionally long periods of cloud-free conditions, which may be indicative of climate change. Additional topics discussed include effects of changes in ozone on climate, long-term changes in UV radiation due to variations in ozone and other factors, and advances in satellite monitoring and in modelling of UV radiation.

1.1. The Montreal Protocol has prevented large increases in harmful UV radiation during the last 20 years that would have occurred if emissions of ozone-depleting substances had continued unabated

Without the Montreal Protocol, UV Index (UVI) values at northern and southern latitudes less than 50° would, as of 2018, be 10 to 20% greater in all seasons compared to UVIs observed during the early 1990s. For southern latitudes exceeding 50°, UVI values would have increased by between 25% (year-round at the southern tip of South America) and more than 100% (South Pole in spring and summer). In contrast, with the Montreal Protocol in place, measurements at multiple globally distributed clean-air sites show that the UVI has remained essentially constant over the last *ca.* 20 years in all seasons.

Seasonal means of the daily maximum UVI measured at the Earth's surface were compared with UVI data calculated using measurements of total ozone column (TOC) and with projections by two Chemistry Climate Models (CCM).² The ground-based measurements were made at 17 sites (latitude range 73° N–90° S) for up to 30 years by state-of-the-art spectroradiometers. The CCMs assumed either the "World Avoided" scenario, where emissions of ODS would have continued without regulation, or the "World Expected" scenario, where ODS are curbed in compliance with the Montreal Protocol and its amendments.

Measured UVI data from clean-air sites closely match UVI values derived from the measured TOC dataset and follow the World Expected scenario within the limits of the measurement uncertainty. As of 2018, the UVI calculated in the World Avoided simulations is significantly higher than the measured UVI in the Arctic and at mid- and high latitudes in the southern hemisphere, especially in Antarctica. The situation is more complex at mid-latitudes in the northern hemisphere, where the effects of changes in aerosol and clouds mask the effects of ozone.

Without the Montreal Protocol, the UVI at high southern latitudes would have increased over the last 23 years (1995–2018) by *ca.* 50% per decade in spring, and by 30% per decade in summer (Fig. 1). Increases of up to 20% per decade would have been seen at northern high latitudes. At mid-latitudes, the increases would have been 5–10% per decade.

Calculations of UVIs from the measured TOCs indicate that UVI values increased between 1978 and 1990 by about 5% at northern mid-latitude sites, up to 10% at southern mid-latitude sites and up to 20% at Antarctic sites. These increases are consistent with changes in UVI derived from the CCM. However, they cannot be confirmed with direct observations because measurements of UVI generally did not commence before 1990. There is some evidence that the measured UVI has decreased since 1996 in the southern hemisphere; however, these trends are generally not significant at the 95% confidence level.

1.2. Newly published projections of UV-B radiation generally agree with those in the last EEAP assessment report

The latest projections³ of the UVI for the 21st century agree well with projected changes assessed in the last EEAP Quadrennial Assessment.¹ Assuming time-invariant amounts of aerosol, the clear-sky UVI is projected to decrease from 2015 to 2090 by 3% at northern and 6% at southern mid-latitudes. However, in regions that are affected by air pollution, increases will occur if emissions of air pollutants are curtailed in the future.

These new projections³ are based on results from 17 CCMs that were included in the first phase of the Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI-1).^{4,5} Zonal means of noontime UVI data for cloudless skies were calculated for the period 1960–2100 with a radiative transfer model, which was driven by ozone, temperature, and aerosol fields provided by the CCMs. These projections were performed for four future scenarios of greenhouse gases (GHG) described by the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP)† 2.6, 4.5, 6.0 and 8.5, as defined by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

When aerosol data provided by the CCM models were used, noontime UVIs in 2100 were projected to increase relative to values calculated for the 1960s for RCPs 2.6, 4.5 and 6.0. These increases depend on latitude and RCP scenario and range between 1% (northern high latitudes for RCP 6.0) and 8% (northern mid-latitudes for RCP 2.6), as shown in Table 1. For the "worst-case scenario", RCP 8.5, UVIs increase only at tropical latitudes and decrease elsewhere, with the largest decrease of 8% projected at northern high latitudes.

The aerosol optical depths (AOD) used in these projections are based on the median of AODs derived from three CCM models participating in the CCMI-1 initiative. According to these calculations, AODs are projected to decrease by almost 80% between 2000 and 2100 at high- and mid-northern latitudes, resulting in concomitant increases in the UVI of about 2% and 6%, respectively. These changes in UVI due to changes in AOD are of a similar magnitude to those caused by changes in ozone. However, these AOD estimates, as well as the absorption properties of aerosols (quantified by their "single scattering albedo" or SSA), used in these CCM models are highly uncertain because future changes in atmospheric aerosols depend greatly on policy choices such as measures to reduce air pollution.⁶ Moreover, changes in AOD and absorption properties of aerosols are highly dependent on

[†]Representative Concentration Pathways are greenhouse gas concentration (not emission) trajectories adopted by the IPCC for its fifth Assessment Report (AR5) in 2014. The pathways are used for climate modelling and research. They describe four climate futures, which differ in the amount of greenhouse gases that are emitted in years to come. The four RCPs, RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5, RCP 6, and RCP 8.5, are named after a possible range of radiative forcing values in the year 2100 relative to pre-industrial values (+2.6, +4.5, +6.0, and +8.5 W m⁻², respectively).

region, and zonal mean changes in UVI, like those discussed above, are therefore not representative for most regions. To address these concerns, Lamy and colleagues³ also provide UVI projections for temporally invariant or "fixed" AODs based on a current climatology.⁷ Using this climatology and the RCP 6.0 scenario, noontime UVIs in 2100 are projected to change relative to 1960 by -5% at northern hemisphere (NH) high latitudes, -2% at NH mid latitudes, 3% in the tropical belt, 0% at southern hemisphere (SH) mid-latitudes, and -2% at SH high latitudes (Table 1, column 6).

Table 1 also shows a comparison of changes in zonal mean UVIs between 2015 and 2090 inferred from the study by Lamy and colleagues³ and as published in the last EEAP Quadrennial Assessment.¹ The two studies are based on results from the CCMI-1 initiative; however, different subsets of models were used and the method to calculate the UVI from parameters provided by the CCM models differed. Furthermore, Bais and colleagues¹ provided projections for different months, while Lamy and colleagues³ only considered annual averages. Despite these differences, changes in UVI calculated by the two studies for fixed AODs are consistent and project a decrease of 2–5% for northern mid-latitudes, a decrease of 4–6% for southern mid-latitudes, and almost no change for the tropics. Both studies also predict large decreases in the UVI over southern high latitudes due to the expected recovery of the stratospheric ozone 'hole'.

Projections provided in the above two studies were corroborated by a third study that calculated changes in erythemal UV radiation over Eurasia (latitudes $40-80^{\circ}$ N, longitudes 10° W– 180° E) using a CCM developed by the Russian State Hydrometeorological University (RSHU).⁸ These calculations consider only changes in ozone (*i.e.*, aerosols are fixed) and predict that erythemal UV levels in the years 2055–2059 will be lower over Eurasia by 4 to 8% relative to the reference period 1979–1983.

Using new model calculations the effect on stratospheric ozone (and by implication UV radiation) of quadrupling concentrations of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) was examined.⁹ Such an increase would lead to a dynamically driven decrease in concentrations of ozone in the tropical lower stratosphere, an increase in lower stratosphere ozone over the high latitudes, and a chemically driven increase (*via* stratospheric cooling) throughout the upper stratosphere. In the tropics, a cancellation between the upper- and lower-stratospheric ozone changes means that the total column ozone response is small, with resulting small future changes in tropical UV-B radiation, if all other factors remain the same. A quadrupling of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations during the 21^{st} century is currently not expected but could occur in the 22^{nd} century if emissions of CO₂ continue unabated, according to the RCP 8.5 scenario.¹⁰ The study by Chiodo and colleagues⁹ suggests that even "worst-case" increases in CO₂ will not result in significant increases in tropical UV-B radiation.

1.3. Record-high UV index was measured over Nordic countries in May and July 2018 when low total ozone columns coincided with an exceptionally long period of clear skies and record dry and warm conditions, which may be indicative of climate change

Relative to the long-term mean (2005–2017), monthly mean noon-time UVIs were elevated by 20 to 40% over the Nordic countries in May and July 2018.¹¹ UVIs at Trondheim and

Oslo (both Norway) and Sodankylä (Finland) exceeded the historical means by 2.1, 2.3, and 2.5 standard deviations, respectively. These large UVI anomalies cannot be explained by low TOCs alone and were partly caused by exceptionally long periods of clear skies and record dry and warm conditions. For example, at Sodankylä (67° N) the mean temperature in July 2018 was 5.6 °C above the 1981–2010 average and the sunshine duration in 2018 was 405 hours, exceeding the 1981–2010 average of 245 hours by 65%. This exceptional weather pattern was part of a record-setting heat wave that affected large parts of central and Northern Europe.¹² It was caused by a stationary high-pressure system, which resulted in the blocking of westerly winds and lasted until September. The frequency of these blocking patterns has increased in recent decades and it has been suggested that this increase is a result of Arctic warming induced by climate change.¹³ If this is confirmed, long stretches of these weather patterns with elevated UV radiation will likely occur more frequently over the Arctic in the future.

1.4. Additional evidence that the stratospheric ozone layer is recovering over Antarctica has accumulated since our last assessment, but without a clear sign that UV radiation in Antarctica is declining

When the Antarctic ozone 'hole' is fully developed, ozone mixing ratios between about 13 and 21 km above sea level are close to zero and no further ozone depletion can occur in this "saturation layer". Using various datasets (e.g., ozone sonde and satellite measurements of ozone profiles and total columns), it has been shown¹⁴ that the frequency of near-complete ozone loss in this layer clearly decreased from 2001 to 2017, revealing the emergence of an important milestone in stratospheric ozone recovery. Additional evidence using multiple metrics shows that the Antarctic ozone 'hole' is recovering.¹⁵ For example, trends in total ozone for September calculated for the 2001–2017 period are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level, with values ranging between 1.84 ± 1.03 and 2.83 ± 1.48 Dobson Units (DU) per year, depending on the methods used. However, at low- and mid-latitudes, increases in the total ozone column have not been observed with certainty. This is not unexpected because the removal rates of chlorine and bromine from the stratosphere are three to four times slower than the rate at which they were added. Detecting significant increases in total column ozone outside Antarctica will therefore require much more time than the detection of its previous decline.¹⁶ Furthermore, increased stratospheric aerosol loading from volcanic eruptions after 2004 impeded the rate of stratospheric ozone recovery. 17

Fig. 2 shows the UVI at the South Pole for 1 September through 31 December 2018 (red line) compared with the average and range calculated from measurements of the years 1991–2017. Despite indications that the Antarctic ozone hole is healing,^{14,15} UVIs in October 2018 were above the long-term mean and approaching historical maxima. UVIs in November and December fluctuated about the historical average. The recovery of the Antarctic ozone 'hole' is more difficult to detect with UV radiation data than ozone data because signs of recovery are most pronounced in September,¹⁸ when the UVI in Antarctica is very low. In addition, the UVI is also affected by factors other than total ozone (*e.g.* cloud cover), which interfere with the detection of recovery.

1.5. Antarctic ozone depletion has wide-ranging effects on climate and weather in both hemispheres

A new study²¹ confirms that Antarctic ozone depletion has been the primary driver of climate change in the southern hemisphere during summer. However, short-term effects of the ozone 'hole' on weather at southern mid-latitudes are less well understood because of the difficulty in separating the influence of ozone variability from effects caused by other factors, such as variations in sea surface temperature. Stratospheric ozone depletion in the Arctic also affects the climate of the northern hemisphere, but the magnitude of the effect is smaller than in the southern hemisphere.

Son and colleagues,²¹ using the latest generation of CCMs from the Chemistry Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) experiments, confirm the nature and magnitude of the well-studied relationship between Antarctic ozone depletion and southern hemisphere summer climate change, including the poleward shift of the boundaries of sub-tropical and tropical climatic zones. This shift corresponds to a trend towards a positive index of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM)[±] and associated effects on temperature and precipitation in the mid-latitudes extending into the subtropics.^{1,22} While this long-term relationship is robust, other studies have explored whether the relationship between ozone and surface climate holds on interannual time scales, and could aid in applications such as seasonal forecasting. One new modelling study,²³ also using a subset of CCMs from CCMI, investigated the nature of the relationship between the extent and depth of the ozone 'hole' and spring and surface temperatures during the following summer in Australia. The study concludes that the sea surface temperature (SST) could be important for driving variations in both the ozone 'hole' and ambient temperatures in Australia. This suggests that there may not be a causal link between the extent of the ozone 'hole' and temperatures in Australia on interannual time scales. Yet CCMs and climate models, in general, are complex and subject to biases, which can affect their fidelity at these spatial and temporal scales. Our understanding of the processes that determine short-term effects of the ozone 'hole' on weather is still incomplete.²³

Using several climate models and observational constraints, a new study concludes that stratospheric ozone depletion is unlikely to have been the primary driver of the observed cooling trends in Southern Ocean SSTs.²² The same study also noted very different responses of SST to ozone change between models, with different directions of change. As above, this highlights the need for deeper investigation into model parameter sensitivities and biases.

After exhibiting an upward trend since 1979, Antarctic sea ice extent declined dramatically during austral spring 2016, reaching a record low in 2017. Several new studies examined the reasons for this reversal in the trend.^{24–26} None of these studies found that trends or variations in stratospheric ozone contributed to this phenomenon, in accordance with the last

Photochem Photobiol Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 May 20.

[‡]The SAM is the leading mode of southern hemisphere extratropical climate variability, describing a see-saw of atmospheric mass between the mid- and high-latitudes, with corresponding impacts on the strength of the circumpolar westerly winds. A positive SAM index corresponds to a poleward shift of the maximum wind speed, which results in weaker-than-normal westerly winds in the southern mid-latitudes.

EEAP assessment.¹ Instead, they attributed these decreases in sea ice extent to unusual atmosphere–ocean internal dynamics (with a possible contribution from forcing by anthropogenic greenhouse gases) driving warm anomalies in the upper Southern Ocean and a weakening of circumpolar winds, associated with positive convection anomalies over the tropical Indian and western Pacific Oceans.

New studies provide evidence that Arctic ozone interannual variability affects the midlatitude Northern Hemisphere climate. From combined observations, reanalysis of data, and a CCM, a relationship between February–March Arctic ozone and April–May precipitation in Central China has been identified.²⁷ An increase in Arctic stratospheric ozone leads to enhanced westerly winds in the high and low latitudes of the North Pacific, but weakened westerly winds in the mid-latitudes of the North Pacific. This strengthens the warm and humid airstream from the western Pacific Ocean towards the Chinese mainland. These conditions enhance precipitation in central China during positive Arctic ozone anomaly events and reduce precipitation during negative events. Another study, using the same CCM, demonstrates a relationship between Arctic ozone anomalies in March and surface temperature anomalies in central Russia and, to a lesser extent, southern Asia.²⁸ As with the interannual relationships between stratospheric ozone and surface climate in the southern hemisphere, these conclusions need to be further supported by additional modelling studies and observations.

1.6. Several studies confirmed that changes in UV radiation during the last 20 years have generally been small

Trends in UV radiation derived from measurements and reconstructions have recently been published for several sites.^{29–33} These studies confirm that changes in UV radiation during the last 20 years have been small (*e.g.* less than *ca.* 4% per decade) with few exceptions, consistent with the study by McKenzie *et al.*² discussed earlier.

Trends in solar spectral irradiance at 307.5 nm were calculated at several stations in Europe, Canada, and Japan over a 25-year period.³¹ It was found that long-term changes in UV-B radiation at 307.5 nm depend greatly on location and their main drivers are changes in aerosols and total ozone. At high northern latitudes, a part of the observed changes may also be attributed to changes in the surface reflectivity. Over Japan, the spectral irradiance at 307.5 nm has increased significantly by about 3% per decade during the past 25 years, possibly due to the corresponding significant decrease of its absorption by aerosols; the greatest part of this increase took place before the mid-2000s. The only European station where UV-B radiation increased significantly was Thessaloniki, Greece. Here, spectral irradiance at 307.5 nm grew by 3.5% per decade, with an increasing rate of change during the last decade, possibly due to decreasing absorption by aerosols.

Several other studies reported trend estimates of erythemal irradiance (or erythemal doses) at European sites. At Moscow, Russia, no statistically significant trend in erythemal UV radiation was observed over the period from 1999 to 2015.³⁰ At Tõravere, Estonia, no significant trends in UV radiation doses have been found for the period 2004–2016 for either annual or seasonal means.²⁹ The same is true for other factors influencing UV radiation,

namely total ozone, aerosol optical depth, and global radiation, which is a proxy for cloud effects.

At Athens, Greece, sunshine duration records were used to reconstruct monthly surface solar radiation between 1900–2012.³² There were very small (0.02%) changes between 1900 and 1953, followed by a negative trend of 2% per decade during the "dimming" period of 1955–1980 and a positive trend of 1.5% per decade during the "brightening" period of 1980–2012. While these trend estimates are unrelated to changes in total ozone and do not directly translate to changes in UV radiation, they qualitatively capture variations in the effect of clouds and aerosols over the period studied, which are also relevant for changes in UV radiation. However, effects of aerosols are larger in the UV region than at visible wavelengths.

Trends of surface UV radiation over the period of 2005-2017 have been calculated for the continental United States using satellite (OMI) measurements and ground-based measurements performed at 31 sites by the United States Department of Agriculture's UV-B Monitoring and Research Program.³³ This study concluded that trends in noontime erythemal irradiance estimated from these satellite and ground-based measurements cannot be reconciled. Specifically, trends derived from the satellite dataset were not significant for most of the continental United States, except for a small region in the north eastern part (states of Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, and Massachusetts). Here, small (less than 10% per decade) positive trends were calculated from OMI data, which were significant at the 95% confidence level. However, data from the two ground-based stations located in this region indicated a significant decrease in erythemal UV over the same period. While trends calculated for several other stations were also significant, the magnitude of these trends is generally within the measurement uncertainty range, so no firm conclusions can be drawn about changes in levels of erythemal UV radiation across the continental United States. It should be noted that satellite measurements of ozone are subject to drifts and should not be used for trend estimates without additional validation. For example, satellite data should first be cross-calibrated against ground-based instruments, as for instance described by Bodeker and colleagues.34

1.7. New capabilities in measuring aerosol absorption properties in the UV-B range will improve predictions of UV-B radiation levels

In polluted areas, aerosols can have a large effect on the amount of UV radiation reaching the Earth's surface. Our ability to estimate future levels of UV radiation hinges on accurate projections of aerosol properties, such as aerosol optical depth (AOD) and single scattering albedo (SSA). In addition, our ability to assess how aerosols have affected measured UV-B irradiance in the past and to attribute observed changes in UV-B irradiance to different factors also depends on knowledge of these aerosol properties. While aerosol properties in the UV-A (315–400 nm) and visible ranges are available at many locations from measurements of NASA's AERONET network, there is a dearth of information in the UV-B spectral range. By combining measurements from several instruments (AERONET, MFRSR, and Pandora), SSAs between 305 and 870 nm have recently been derived at Seoul, South Korea.³⁵ Similar calculations at Thessaloniki used AERONET and Brewer

spectroradiometer data.³⁶ Results from both studies are consistent with previous results³⁷ and show that SSA is lower in the UV-B (at wavelengths between 305 and 311 nm) than in the UV-A spectral range (Fig. 3), which is likely caused by the wavelength dependence of absorption by organic aerosols.¹ The wavelength-dependence derived by these studies suggests that linear extrapolation of SSA from measurements at long wavelengths to the UV-B range leads to overestimation of SSA, resulting in calculations of UV-B radiation that are too high. The methodology presented by Mok and colleagues³⁵ has the potential to make accurate observations of SSA more readily available on a larger scale in the future, at least in areas where there is significant tropospheric pollution. Measuring SSA in the UV-B spectral range at clean-air sites will likely remain a challenge.

1.8. New satellites equipped with instruments for deriving surface UV radiation give confidence that UV monitoring established by legacy instruments will continue into the future

Most estimates of the surface UV radiation from space have historically been based on NASA's Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometers (TOMS) and the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI). TOMS measurements are available up to 2006, while OMI measurements on the AURA satellite started in 2004.³⁸ The quality of OMI data has degraded over the years³⁹ and the future of the AURA spacecraft is uncertain beyond 2023. Several satellite instruments (*e.g.*, OMPS⁴⁰ on the Suomi NPP and NOAA-20 satellites, and TROPOMI on the Sentinel-5 Precursor⁴¹) have recently been launched to continue monitoring of ozone and UV radiation from space. Furthermore, TROPOMI instruments are also expected to fly on Sentinel-4 and Sentinel-5 satellites, which are expected to launch in 2021 and 2023, respectively.⁴² Estimates of surface UV radiation from space measurements will therefore continue into the future.

1.9. A global climatology of atmospheric DNA-damaging fluence rates (or actinic fluxes) has been derived using radiative transfer modelling

This new climatology can help to better quantify the inactivation of microbes suspended in air. The survival rate of microorganisms, including viruses, bacteria, fungal spores, pollen, and seeds, depends on their exposure to solar UV radiation. The radiative quantity relevant to these particles when suspended in air is the fluence rate, which is independent of the direction of the incident radiation. The inactivation rate for many microbes correlates well with the fluence rate weighted by the action spectrum for DNA damage, which is dominated by wavelengths in the UV-B spectral range. Madronich and colleagues⁴³ present an analytical formula to calculate the DNA-damaging UV fluence at altitudes between 0 and 10 km from the irradiance at ground level. This parameterisation from databases of downwelling irradiance at ground-level (available from measurements at the surface or from satellite observations) allows determination of the radiation levels relevant for microorganisms suspended in air. The new climatology of DNA-damaging UV radiation in the atmosphere (Fig. 4) is useful for studying the survival of aerial pathogens that cause diseases in humans, animals and crops, or for understanding the geographic distribution of species over evolutionary time scales.

1.10. Recently reported new emissions of the ozone-depleting substance CFC-11 currently have no detectable impact on UV radiation levels at the surface but will become important if these emissions continue

New measurements and atmospheric chemical transport model simulations suggest that the recently reported slow-down in the rate of decrease in atmospheric concentrations of trichlorofluoromethane (CFC-11, a chlorofluorocarbon regulated by the Montreal Protocol)⁴⁴ can be attributed to emissions from China.^{45,46} Specifically, Rigby *et al.*⁴⁶ provide evidence that emissions from eastern mainland China were $7.0 \pm 3.0 (\pm 1 \text{ standard} deviation)$ gigagrams (Gg) higher per year in 2014–2017 than in 2008–2012, and that the increase in emissions arises primarily around the north eastern provinces of Shandong and Hebei. This increase accounts for a substantial fraction (at least 40 to 60%) of the global rise in CFC-11 emissions. The increase in CFC-11 emissions is likely the result of new production and use for insulating materials. If these new emissions of CFC-11 continue, the recovery of the stratospheric ozone layer will be delayed, with consequences for UV radiation levels. At present, a temporary increase of 10-13 Gg per year will not have a detectable impact on stratospheric ozone and UV radiation levels, particularly if the emissions are quickly reduced.⁴⁷ However, sustained emissions over decades, *e.g.* at 60–80 Gg per year, could have a large impact.

1.11. Solar radiation management schemes designed to counteract global warming would have important consequences for ecosystem services and food security

Effects on climate caused by increasing carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations cannot be completely circumvented by reducing the solar radiation entering the atmosphere. Simulations with different climate models suggest that reducing the strength of solar radiation to offset the surface warming from a quadrupling of atmospheric CO₂ would result in decreased low cloud cover, increased high cloud cover, cooling of the upper troposphere and stratosphere, and decreased water vapour concentration.⁴⁸ The decrease in low clouds would require additional measures for reducing the amount of solar radiation entering the atmosphere to counteract increases in temperature at the Earth's surface.

Another recent study assessed the effects on UV and visible radiation resulting from a hypothetical solar radiation management scheme using sulfur dioxide (SO_2) injected into the stratosphere to counteract the effect of warming resulting from increased GHGs under the RCP 8.5 scenario.⁴⁹ This intervention would reduce the UVI on average by about 20% at 30° N and 70° N in 2080 relative to 2020. Reductions in photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) would range between 10% at 30° N and 20% at 70° N in summer, with larger changes for other seasons. These large changes in the UVI and PAR would likely have important consequences for ecosystem services and food security; however, such repercussions have not yet been quantified.

2. The effects of exposure to solar ultraviolet radiation on human health

Exposure to the sun has well-established harmful effects, including skin cancer and other skin conditions, eye disorders, and immune suppression. Benefits, beyond vitamin D production, are emerging but not yet well understood. Thus future changes in exposure to

UV radiation due to stratospheric ozone depletion and recovery and/or a changing climate will have multifactorial effects on human health. However, behaviour has been and will continue to be the biggest driver of changes in sun exposure and its consequences. Time outdoors and use of sun protective strategies, such as sunscreens, will be influenced by climate change (such as temperature and weather patterns), concerns about the toxicity of sunscreens to humans and aquatic ecosystems, and changes in social norms. These will vary according to both location and culture. It is critical that models to forecast future health impacts of UV radiation take these factors into consideration in order to generate reliable policy-relevant data.

2.1. The incidence of cutaneous malignant melanoma is continuing to increase in some northern European countries, but is falling in other countries that have introduced broad-reaching skin cancer prevention programs

Australia and New Zealand continue to have the highest incidence of melanoma in the world (50.3 and 47.4 per 100 000 population, respectively, in 2015; age standardised using the United States 2000 standard population).^{50,51} High incidence is also seen in some northern European populations (per 100 000 population), *viz.* Denmark (33.9), Norway (32.7) and Sweden (29.3).⁵⁰

From 2012–2015, incidence increased in United States whites, the United Kingdom, Sweden, and Norway, by 1.7% (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.2–2.2), 2.7% (95% CI 2.0– 3.5), 4.8% (95% CI 4.0–5.6), and 4.5% per year (95% CI 3.8–5.2), respectively.⁵⁰ In Denmark, while incidence increased similarly to Sweden and Norway from 1982–2010, it has plateaued since 2011, possibly as a result of the skin cancer prevention campaign introduced in 2007.⁵⁰ Incidence is declining in New Zealand, in United States whites younger than 45 years,⁵¹ and in Australians under 60 years of age.⁵²

A new study, incorporating incidence data from 18 European cancer registries (7 with national coverage) for the time period 1995–2012, reported an annual average percentage change (AAPC) for invasive melanoma of 4.0% for men and 3.0% for women.⁵³ The increase in invasive lesions was driven largely by thin melanomas (1 mm) (AAPC 10% men; 8.3% women), but the incidence of thick (>1 mm) melanomas also increased, although more slowly in the most recent time period.

In Australia,⁵² Germany,⁵³ and other European countries,^{54,55} the incidence of melanoma *in situ* (also called stage 0 melanoma) is also increasing. The incidence is highest in Australia; it is difficult, however, to know how much of the variation between countries or over time is attributable to real differences in incidence *vs.* differences in skin cancer awareness and/or screening and treatment practices.

2.2. Mortality due to melanoma is continuing to rise, albeit more slowly than increases in incidence

Mortality is highest in Australia and New Zealand (age-standardised mortality rate per 100 000: 6.3 and 7.5, respectively);⁵⁶ the highest mortality in Europe is in Norway (*ca.* 6 per 100 000) and Sweden (*ca.* 4 per 100 000).⁵⁶

Data from 18 European cancer registries for the period 1992–2012 suggest that mortality rates are continuing to increase in Northern Europe, particularly Iceland (average annual percentage change (AAPC) 5.4%), Ireland (AAPC 3.4%), and Norway (AAPC 1.6%).⁵³ Newly reported data from Germany for the period 1990–2012 show increases in melanoma mortality at an average annual rate of 4.8% for men and 3.9% for women.⁵⁷ In Australia and New Zealand, the decade to 2012 saw average annual increases in melanoma mortality rates of *ca.* 1.5% per annum.⁵⁶ Data for the Australian state of Queensland suggest that the increases are restricted to older age groups (over 60 years).⁵² In the United States, mortality rates climbed slowly (AAPC 0.2%).⁵⁶

2.3. Despite the high incidence of melanoma in some countries, the global burden of disease is low

Worldwide in 2018, melanoma affected *ca.* 300 000 people (1.6% of all new cancer cases) and was responsible for *ca.* 60 000 deaths (0.6% of all cancer deaths).⁵⁸ In terms of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), in 2016 melanoma contributed only 0.06% of the global burden of disease, primarily affecting white-skinned populations in Australasia, North America and Europe, and elderly and male population groups.⁵⁹ The relatively low global burden in DALYs is due to the lower weighting for diseases, such as skin cancer, that particularly cause death and/or disability in older age groups and the relatively low proportion of the global population that is affected by skin cancer.

2.4. Exposure to ultraviolet radiation is the primary cause of melanomas, but the population attributable fraction varies according to geographic location, and associations with sun exposure are complex

The population attributable fraction (PAF; the proportion of melanomas attributable to exposure to UV radiation) globally was previously reported to be 75%, but it was much higher in Oceania (96%).⁶⁰ Recent studies estimated PAFs for Canada (62%)⁶¹ and France (PAF 83%).⁶² These lower PAFs are as expected and reflect the lower intensity of UV radiation in these areas.

Among measures of exposure to UV radiation, severe sunburns in early life appear to have the strongest association with melanoma risk;⁶³ many studies show no association with self-reported cumulative exposure. This lack of association may be due to measurement issues, with sunburn easier to recall and quantify than lifetime sun exposure. In support of this argument, there are strong associations with keratinocyte cancer (KC) and premalignant lesions that are caused by cumulative exposure.⁶⁴ It is also likely that the patterns (intermittent *vs.* chronic) and timing of sun exposure that give rise to melanoma may differ according to the type/location of the melanoma.^{65–67}

2.5. Keratinocyte cancers continue to be a major burden for healthcare services in lightskinned populations

The lifetime risk of basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is estimated to be *ca*. 20–30% in United States whites,⁶⁸ and keratinocyte cancer (KC) incidence rates are predicted to increase at least until 2040 due to an aging population with historically high exposure to UV radiation. The burden is particularly high in Australia and New Zealand. New data from South

Australia show a 59% increase in age-standardised incidence rates of KC between 2000 and 2015,⁶⁹ and in 2008 the Auckland region of New Zealand had the highest incidence of KC in the world (1907 per 100 000 inhabitants; standardised to the 2001 Australian standard population).⁷⁰

Two recent cancer registry studies reported BCC and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) incidence trends in other populations. In mid-Brazil (source population *ca.* 600 000 inhabitants, with 32% skin type I–III, 56% skin type IV/V, 10% skin type VI, and 2% classified as Asian or Indigenous) the Aracaju Cancer Registry reported a stable incidence rates during the past 10 years, with age-standardised incidence rates of 130 per 100 000 people per year for BCC and 14 per 100 000 people per year for SCC.⁷¹ The nationwide South Korea Central Cancer registry (estimated completeness: 98%) reported age-standardised incidence rates (2011–2014) of 2 per 100 000 people per year for BCC and 1 per 100 000 people per year for SCC.⁷²

It is critical to recognise that an individual often has multiple KCs, but most estimates of burden consider only 'incident people', rather than 'incident lesions'. National cancer registration data from the United Kingdom showed that an additional 51% of KCs were included by counting the first KC per person per year, rather than including only the first KC in a person's lifetime.⁷³

Premalignant lesions also contribute to the burden of KC and the incidence is increasing. Exposure to UV radiation causes the development of actinic keratosis (AK). Only a small proportion of AKs progress to SCC, but their diagnosis and treatment places a considerable burden on health systems.⁷⁴ In South Korea, the incidence of AK increased from 18 to 54 per 100 000 people per year between 2006 and 2015.⁷⁵ It has been estimated previously that 24% of Dutch people aged 50 years and older had at least one AK,⁷⁶ and recent studies among primary care practices in Switzerland and dermatology outpatients in Spain showed comparable prevalence estimates of 25% and 29%, respectively.^{77,78}

The large numbers of KC result in a considerable drain on healthcare resources. For example, in 2013 a total of USD 2.5 billion was spent on skin cancer-related diagnoses in United States Medicare patients (source population of 60 million beneficiaries of 65 years and older).⁷⁹ Half (49%) of this spending was on BCC (\$715 million) and SCC (\$525 million). Per 1000 beneficiaries, 1051 AKs were treated per year, at a cost of \$554 million. In Canada the increase in cost of KC management between 2004 and 2008 (12% per year) outstripped the increase in incidence during the same period (3% per year), suggesting a change in treatment practices.⁸⁰

2.6. People who have received a solid organ transplant are at higher risk of keratinocyte cancer than the general population, but with newer immunosuppressive regimens the risk has decreased

A population-based study from Ontario, Canada, including 10 198 people who received a transplant between 1994 and 2012, found a 6.6-fold increased risk of KC.⁸¹ The risk was lower in those who had a transplant between 2007 and 2012 than for those whose transplant occurred in 1994–2000. Despite this falling risk, there has been a marked increase in the

number of people undergoing transplantation,⁸² so the burden of KC in this susceptible population remains high.

2.7. As global ambient temperatures increase, the incidence of keratinocyte cancer is predicted to increase

A new study extending the work of van der Leun and colleagues⁸³ has projected the percentage increases in skin cancer incidence that will occur as a result of warmer ambient temperatures in the future.⁸⁴ The estimates were based on previously proposed mathematical relationships between: (a) the change in effective carcinogenicity of solar UV radiation dose and the change in incidence of SCC and BCC;⁸⁵ and (b) the change in effective carcinogenicity of solar UV radiation dose in ambient temperature were modelled under a range of Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) as developed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (see also section 1.2). The results show up to a 21% increase in incidence of SCC and 10% increase in BCC by 2100 under the most extreme scenario (RCP 8.5). The validity of the findings depends on the validity of the underlying mathematical relationships.

2.8. Photodermatoses occur in both light- and dark-skinned populations, and have considerable negative impacts on health and well-being

Photodermatoses are non-malignant conditions of the skin caused by exposure to solar UV-B, UV-A and visible radiation. Population-based studies examining the prevalence of photodermatoses are scarce, so the true burden of disease overall and within population subgroups is difficult to capture. However, a comprehensive review of data generated mostly from single-centre clinics indicates that while certain conditions are commonly seen, the distribution of specific photodermatoses differs between light- and dark-skinned populations.⁸⁶

Photodermatoses have effects on sufferers both directly through their symptoms, and indirectly *via* sun avoidance and treatment measures. A recent systematic review found that *ca.* one-third of adults and children with photodermatoses experience markedly reduced quality of life, and that anxiety and depression is twice that of the normal population.⁸⁷

2.9. Exposure of the skin to UV radiation may reduce the effectiveness of some vaccinations and increase the risk of folate deficiency, with implications for public health programs

A recent study found that higher natural sun exposure measured around the time of vaccination reduced the cellular, but not the antibody, response to vaccination.⁸⁸ Folate, a member of the B vitamin group, is an essential cofactor for enzymes involved in DNA synthesis. UV radiation degrades folate *in vitro* and in human skin. Findings from a study in Spain confirm the effect of UV radiation in field conditions; folate deficiency was *ca.* 40% more common in summer compared to winter, and serum folate levels varied inversely with total solar UV radiation.⁸⁹ Changes in exposure to UV radiation due to either ozone recovery or climate change may thus influence vaccination effectiveness and folate deficiency.

2.10. Exposure of the eyes to UV radiation causes pterygium, the prevalence of which is very high in older people

A meta-analysis that included data from over 400 000 people from 24 countries found that the prevalence of pterygium was 12%, ranging from 1.6% in young adults (20–29 years) to 19.5% in people 80 years and older. Several factors influencing solar exposure of the eyes were associated with the risk of pterygium, including: spending more *vs.* less than 5 hours outdoors per day (odds ratio (OR) 1.24; 95% CI 1.11–1.36); outdoor *vs.* indoor occupations (OR 1.46; 95% CI 1.36–1.55); and wearing sunglasses (OR 0.47; 95% CI 0.19–0.57).⁹⁰

In Ecuador, a study using Public Health Ministry data that captured the presence of pterygium in hospitalised patients found a clear correlation between modelled surface UV radiation and the prevalence of pterygium; the prevalence in the highest of the five UV radiation zones was five times higher than that in the lowest UV radiation zone.⁹¹

2.11. New evidence highlights the high prevalence of cataract in China, India, and Kenya

Current evidence suggests that exposure to UV-B radiation is associated with cortical cataract and that it may also have an effect on the development of nuclear and posterior subcapsular cataracts. A systematic review of cataract in China found that the prevalence of age-related cataract (the most common type, typically with a mixed cortical, nuclear and posterior subcapsular location) ranged from less than 5% in people aged 45–49 up to *ca.* 75% of those aged 85–89 years. Between 1990 and 2015 the prevalence of age-related cataract remained stable, although the number of people with cataract has doubled.⁹²

A population-based study conducted in India between 2009 and 2011 found that the prevalence of cataract in people aged 60 years and older was *ca.* 40%, with no difference between rural and urban areas. In Kenya, the prevalence of visually significant (visual acuity less than 6/12) cataract in people aged 50 years and older in 2007/2008 was 18%.⁹³ Over a 6-year follow-up period, 25% of people free of cataract at the baseline had developed a visually significant cataract, ranging from 13% in those aged 50–59 to 62% in those 80 years.

2.12. There is little evidence that sunlight exposure causes age-related macular degeneration

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) results from damage to the macular region of the retina. It is a leading cause of blindness worldwide, accounting for *ca.* half of all cases of central blindness in older people in Western countries. A link with sunlight exposure has been posited and investigated in multiple studies, but a recent meta-analysis including 14 studies suggests that there is no significant association.⁹⁴

2.13. Exposure of the skin to UV-B radiation upregulates innate immunity in the skin, providing protection from infections, but suppresses adaptive immunity both within the skin and systemically, with both beneficial and harmful effects

Within hours of exposure to UV-B radiation, pathogen-killing white blood cells (neutrophils and macrophages) infiltrate the skin; recent work shows that this effect is modulated by the skin microbiome.⁹⁵ In *in vitro* studies, UV irradiation of neutrophils triggers the release of

neutrophil extracellular traps, or "NETs", that capture and kill bacteria.⁹⁶ This immediate, non-specific cell-based attack on pathogens forms the innate immune system. In addition, the macrophages and neutrophils release a chemical (cytokine) called interleukin-10; this downregulates the adaptive immune system that is locally important in controlling skin cancer genesis. Exposure to UV-B radiation also activates regulatory T cells^{97,98} and induces changes in circulating B cells,⁹⁹ providing a potential mechanism for the protective effect of sun exposure on the onset of autoimmune diseases such as multiple sclerosis¹⁰⁰ and inflammatory bowel disease.¹⁰¹ A new study found that 20 out of 22 people who spent a week holidaying in an environment with high ambient UV radiation experienced complete suppression of contact hypersensitivity, highlighting the strong effect of UV radiation on the immune system.¹⁰²

The effect of UV radiation on immunity is modified by the frequency and dose of exposure, as well as the wavelength. Even low doses of UV-B radiation, especially when delivered concomitantly with immune modulators, such as alcohol, can suppress the immune system. ¹⁰³ However, a recent study showed that repeated daily exposure of mice to very low doses of UV-B radiation (*ca.* 0.1 MED) actually enhanced systemic adaptive immune responses.¹⁰⁴ This non-linear dose response to UV-B irradiation is also seen with UV-A, where moderate, but not high or low doses, are potently immune suppressive.^{105–107} Thus, the effect of exposure to UV radiation on immunity is likely to be dictated by not just the type of UV irradiation (UV-A or UV-B) but the dose (very low, moderate or high) and frequency of exposure.

2.14. Generation of vitamin D is the most well-recognised benefit of exposure to UV radiation, but the role of vitamin D in health, other than for bone, remains controversial

The most notable new data regarding vitamin D and health come from a randomised controlled trial of vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acid supplementation among 25 871 adults in the United States.¹⁰⁸ Vitamin D supplementation did not alter the risk of either cancer or cardiovascular disease. These findings are supported by two meta-analyses,¹⁰⁹ although one found some evidence that vitamin D supplementation reduces cancer mortality.¹¹⁰ In contrast, a Mendelian randomisation study found no association between genetically determined 25 hydroxy vitamin D (25(OH)D) concentration and cancer mortality.¹¹¹ Several new Mendelian randomisation studies have also found no association between genetically determined 25(OH)D concentration and melanoma, low birthweight,¹¹² low bone mineral density,¹¹³ depression,^{114,115} type 2 diabetes,¹¹⁶ fatigue,¹¹⁷ colorectal cancer,¹⁵ and inflammatory bowel disease,¹¹⁸ suggesting that links seen in observational studies may not be causal.

2.15. There is marked variability in the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency around the world

Based on bone health indicators, many authorities regard sufficient vitamin D status as $25(OH)D > 50 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}$, insufficient status as $30-49 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}$, and deficient status as <30 or $<25 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}$.¹¹⁹ Comparing the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency between countries and over time continues to be challenging due to the use of inaccurate and imprecise laboratory assays, and bias resulting from selecting participants that do not represent the

resident population. However, there are now reliable data for some countries. A recent review has summarised the vitamin D status in Europe and the Middle East.¹²⁰ The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in European populations varies. It is particularly low in most Nordic countries; with the exception of Iceland, the prevalence of 25(OH)D < 30 nmol L^{-1} is less than 1%, and up to 20% of people have 25(OH)D < 50 nmol L^{-1} . This is largely ascribed to the use of cod-liver oil, vitamin D supplements, and food fortification (in Finland). Conversely, the prevalence in the United Kingdom is high; over half of those included in a population-based survey in 2008–2012 had 25(OH)D < 50 nmol L^{-1} , and *ca*. 15% of adults had <30 nmol L^{-1} .

There are few population-based studies from the Middle East but, in general, the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is higher in the Middle East than in Europe and is particularly high in women due to the clothing style.¹²⁰

In the United States National Health and Nutrition Survey the prevalence of 25(OH)D < 30 nmol L⁻¹ between 2011 and 2014 in adults and children was 5%.¹²¹ In adults it ranged from 7.6% in those aged 20–39 years to 2.9% in people 60 years or older. It was much higher in non-Hispanic blacks compared with whites (17.5% *vs.* 2.1%). The prevalence of 25(OH)D of 30–49 nmol L⁻¹ was 18.3%, but with marked variability according to age, race, and use of vitamin D supplements. There was no evidence of a change in the prevalence of 25(OH)D < 30 nmol L⁻¹ from 2003/2004, but a modest decline in the prevalence of 25(OH)D of 30–49 nmol L⁻¹ occurred (21% to 18%).

2.16. Brief, daily noon-time sun exposures in March–September in the United Kingdom maintain year-round vitamin D status in white Caucasians but not in South Asians with skin type V

A modelling study estimated that across the United Kingdom (latitude 50–60°N), white Caucasians require *ca.* 9 minutes of noon sunlight exposure daily from March–September to maintain 25(OH)D 25 nmol L⁻¹ year round.¹²² The model assumed 35% body surface exposure from June to August (*e.g.*, wearing shorts and a short-sleeved shirt) and exposure of face and hands in other months. While a similar modelling study suggested that *ca.* 25 minutes at these times may be sufficient in people with skin type V,¹²³ an earlier *in vivo* study found that sun exposure was unlikely to maintain adequate vitamin D and that supplementation may be required.¹²⁴ UV radiation during the 21st century is projected to remain within a few percent of current levels. This implies that adequate vitamin D status will be achievable at least for white Caucasians throughout this century.

2.17. New studies suggest beneficial effects of exposure to UV radiation, but it is difficult to ascertain if these are mediated through vitamin D or other pathways

Beneficial effects of higher levels of sun exposure have been suggested for: non-Hodgkin lymphoma (20% decrease in risk for high *vs.* low exposure in a meta-analysis, with null association with 25(OH)D level or vitamin D supplementation);¹²⁵ reduced risk of kidney cancer (15% reduction in odds in the high *vs.* unexposed/low exposed groups; involvement of vitamin D not tested);¹²⁶ lower blood pressure and reduced risk of cardiovascular disease (systematic review without meta-analysis; protective effect persisted after adjustment for

25(OH)D level where those data were available);¹²⁷ reduced risk of onset¹²⁸ or active disease post-diagnosis¹²⁹ for multiple sclerosis, the latter persisting following adjustment for 25(OH)D level; and around 40% reduced odds of developing oesophageal or gastric cancer (for the highest tertile of annual ambient UV-B radiation dose compared to the lowest) in a data linkage study from the United Kingdom (vitamin D status parameters not considered). 130

2.18. Multi-component programs for skin cancer prevention improve sun protection behaviours, and there is reduced prevalence of sunburn in some countries

A comprehensive review confirmed that sustained multi-component interventions can increase the adoption of sun protection measures. These interventions included education, policy, formal recognition of prevention efforts, provision of equipment, and reminders in contexts where sun exposure is likely.¹³¹ Evidence for the use of the UV Index to promote sun protection was equivocal, but there is limited research on the UV index in isolation from other intervention components.

Rates of sunburn in the United States have not decreased, but there is evidence of a decline in some other countries. Analysis of data from the National Health and Nutrition Surveys in the United States shows no change between 2005 and 2015 in the proportion of respondents reporting at least one sunburn in the previous 12 months (34% in both years).¹³² In Australia, a different measure is used so the prevalence is not directly comparable, but between 2003/2004 and 2016/2017 the proportion of people who reported being sunburnt on the previous weekend (in summer) decreased from 14% to 11%.¹³³ This decrease was accompanied by an increase in the proportion of people who reported using two or more sun protection behaviours (from 41% to 45%). A sun safety campaign was launched in Denmark in 2007; from then until 2015 there was a 1% decrease per annum in the proportion of people reporting a sunburn in the previous 12 months.¹³⁴

2.19. Sunscreen use can reduce erythema while allowing vitamin D production

A study conducted among holiday makers spending one week in a sunny environment found that the provision of one of two SPF *ca.* 15 sunscreens, along with instructions about optimal use, resulted in less erythema than in people assigned to discretionary sunscreen use. The effect was the same for the two sunscreens, one of which had greater absorption in the UV-A range.¹³⁵ In the discretionary sunscreen use group the 25(OH)D concentration increased by 28 nmol L⁻¹ between the beginning and end of the holiday; in the sunscreen group using the high UV-A protection sunscreen group the increase was 19 nmol L⁻¹, whereas in the low UV-A protection group it was 13 nmol L⁻¹. These results suggest that a clinically relevant increase in 25(OH)D can be obtained even when sunscreen is applied optimally.¹³⁶

A systematic review found that experimental studies using artificially generated UV radiation show a marked reduction of vitamin D production when sunscreen is applied prior to exposure.¹³⁷ However, these studies have limitations, particularly related to the UV radiation spectrum used. Most observational studies show either no association between sunscreen use and 25(OH)D concentration, or that 25(OH)D concentrations are higher in sunscreen users. In two randomised controlled trials of sunscreen use, with sunscreen of SPF

ca. 17, there was no difference in 25(OH)D concentration between daily sunscreen users and control groups. It is not known if the use of high SPF sunscreens in environments with moderate ambient UV radiation can lower 25(OH)D concentration and increase the risk of vitamin D deficiency.

2.20. Sunscreen applied under maximal use conditions can result in plasma concentrations of sunscreen ingredients that exceed the United States Food and Drug Administration threshold for potentially waiving some non-clinical toxicology studies

In a phase one study, 24 volunteers were randomised to the application of one of four sunscreens, applied four times per day to 75% of the body surface area for four days.¹³⁸ There was evidence of sunscreen absorption into the bloodstream at levels that suggest further safety testing is warranted. These observations are consistent with two other studies. ^{139,140} These results do not indicate that people should refrain from sunscreen use, but that studies are needed to determine if these findings are clinically significant. A randomised controlled trial of daily sunscreen use for 4.5 years, with 21 years of follow-up, found no evidence that frequent application of SPF 15+ sunscreen altered overall or cause-specific mortality.¹⁴¹

2.21. Sunscreen should be applied daily to exposed skin on days when the UV index is forecast to reach at least 3, but protection may also be required when the UV index is less than 3

At a Sunscreen Summit held in Australia in 2018, the evidence for and against daily sunscreen use was considered by scientists, clinicians, and regulatory and public health bodies.¹⁴² The consensus was that the benefits of routine sunscreen use to reduce exposure to UV radiation during incidental activities outweigh the potential harms, and that sunscreen should be applied routinely on all days when the UV Index is forecast to reach at least 3.

Some health agencies currently recommend that no sun protection is required when the UV Index is less than 3. A new study demonstrates that this advice should be modified, particularly for mid-latitude conditions. For days when the peak UV Index is below this threshold, the daily dose of sunburning UV radiation available can exceed 15 standard erythemal doses (SEDs) and frequently exceeds the 2 SED threshold that causes erythema to lightly pigmented skin. This may have important health consequences, as populations at mid-latitudes include a significant proportion with fair skin that is susceptible to damage. ^{143,144} These conclusions have recently been corroborated by a study based on data measured at nine stations in Germany.^{145,146}

3. Plant and terrestrial ecosystem response to changes in UV radiation

and climate

Future changes in surface solar UV radiation will depend on several factors, including the extent and rate of stratospheric ozone recovery, the degree to which air pollution is controlled, and the interacting effects of climate change on stratospheric ozone, clouds, and land cover. These combined changes in solar UV radiation and climate will have direct effects on terrestrial plants and ecosystems and also modify how organisms respond to these

changes. These alterations in UV radiation and climate are concurrent with changing patterns of land use and shifts in the distributions of native and non-native plants along elevational and latitudinal gradients, with potential threats to biodiversity and food security.

3.1. Extreme losses in crop productivity would have occurred without the Montreal Protocol

An assessment based on results from field studies conducted at high-latitude locations indicates that plant productivity declines by about 3% for every 10% increase in biologically weighted UV-B radiation.¹⁴⁷ If this linear relationship were to hold at all levels of stratospheric ozone depletion, these findings suggest that losses in crop productivity in the absence of the Montreal Protocol (*i.e.* "World Avoided" scenarios^{1,2}) would have been severe (>90%).¹⁴⁸ However, considerable uncertainty exists in these extrapolations, as this relationship may not necessarily hold for all plants, including agricultural species, at all latitudes, and it is unknown how productivity would be affected by the interactive effects of very high levels of UV-B radiation under different climate change scenarios.

3.2. Changes in climate and land use are disrupting tropical ecosystems and increasing the vulnerability of these systems to interacting effects of UV radiation and climate

UV radiation and its effects need to be placed in the context of current and predicted rapid climate change events, as rising temperatures and other changes in climate shape the environment of plants and animals.¹⁴⁹

To date, climate change research has largely concentrated on environmental effects in midand high-latitude regions and far less in tropical areas.¹⁵⁰ Tropical mountain ecosystems provide valuable services in terms of food, carbon sinks, and community livelihoods.¹⁵¹ These systems are also susceptible to decreases in the abundance and biodiversity of species as climate change and other environmental factors cause shifts in habit distribution.^{152–155} For example, a tropical pasture grass in the Ecuadorian Andes is being outcompeted by an invasive fern that appears to be better adapted to higher UV radiation and temperature extremes along elevational gradients.¹⁵⁶

3.3. Small increases in UV-B radiation due to projected decreases in stratospheric ozone in the tropics may reduce crop production and the quality of some foods

Global stratospheric ozone is projected to recover to pre-1980 levels in the near future, assuming full compliance with the Montreal Protocol, although some models project small but variable decreases in stratospheric ozone over the tropics.¹⁵⁷ If future emissions and aerosol loading are reduced, this would increase the UV radiation received by terrestrial plants and ecosystems in many regions, although only the high RCP 8.5 scenario would result in an increased UV radiation in the tropics (see section 1.2).

The consequences of relatively modest increases in UV-B radiation on tropical crops or wild plants are currently uncertain. However, available evidence suggests that while current levels of UV-B radiation can alter the morphology (*e.g.*, smaller leaves, reduced shoot height) and chemistry (increased flavonoid levels) of native tropical plants, biomass production is rarely decreased in these species (*e.g.*ref. 158). In comparison, several field experiments conducted

using filters to reduce solar UV-B radiation have shown that certain varieties of introduced temperate-zone crops (*e.g.* wheat, soybean)^{159–161} can exhibit decreases in photosynthesis and yield when exposed to ambient UV-B radiation in the tropics. These findings suggest that some crop species now grown in the tropics may be less adapted to small increases in UV-B radiation than the native species.

3.4. Climate change and UV radiation can potentially interact to affect biodiversity by altering competition among plants and their interactions with pests

Many plant and animal species are migrating or shifting their distribution ranges to higher elevations and latitudes in response to ongoing changes in climate.¹⁶² These changes in distribution expose organisms to higher or lower solar UV radiation. The shifts in distribution range are often most pronounced for non-native, invasive species.^{163–165} It is unclear at present to what extent native and non-native species respond to changes in UV-B radiation,^{166–170} although changes in exposure to UV-B radiation resulting from shifts in distribution patterns have the potential to reduce biodiversity in several ways.¹⁷¹ For example, because UV-protective compounds (*e.g.* flavonoids) and herbivore defences generally vary along elevational and latitudinal gradients,^{168,172–178} changes in exposure to UV radiation resulting from the climate change-driven migration of species may alter herbivory and plant defences against pests along these gradients, either positively or negatively. Exposure to UV-B radiation can also increase the allocation of plant resources to chemical defences that could otherwise be used for growth and competitive advantage. 179,180

Research conducted along elevational and latitudinal gradients can provide valuable, albeit indirect, information about the effects of interactions between UV radiation and climate on plant competition and survival, degree of acclimation and adaptation, and consequent impacts on species diversity and ecosystem functioning (*e.g.*ref. 181–183). Assessments of these plant and ecosystem responses are useful for guiding crop selections and managing agricultural practices in areas affected by increasing temperature and enhanced UV radiation at regional and latitudinal scales (*e.g.*ref. 184–186).

3.5. Reduced snowfall is altering the seasonal timing of exposure to UV-B radiation in plants and this may increase their susceptibility to damage from extreme weather conditions

Climate change is causing less snowfall at high latitudes and high elevations.^{187–190} The resultant smaller snowpack leaves certain plants more vulnerable to unfavourable conditions during winter (*i.e.*, increased exposure to UV and total solar radiation, greater temperature fluctuations and desiccating winds)¹⁹¹ (Fig. 5). This has led to plant mortality in some areas of the high Arctic tundra^{192,193} and in Antarctic moss-beds.¹⁹⁴ However, plants tend to screen UV more effectively when they are exposed to low temperatures, potentially increasing their capacity to avoid damage from high solar irradiances. This effect has been shown in experimental studies^{195,196} and by monitoring shrubs above and below the snowpack.^{197,198} Once snow has melted and during the onset of growth, UV-B radiation received in spring can help limit plant damage and the reductions in photosynthesis that would otherwise result from fluctuating weather conditions at this time of year. The

extended growing season due to climate change, bringing earlier spring and later autumn, is accompanied by reduced exposure to UV-B radiation during these seasonal transitions. As a consequence, some plants will be more susceptible to damage from extreme weather events at the onset and cessation of growth.

3.6. Increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide can stimulate photosynthesis and plant growth in some species, but this beneficial effect depends on levels of UV-B radiation and other factors

Depending on the photosynthetic pathway (*i.e.*, $C_3 vs. C_4$ photosynthesis), elevated concentrations of CO₂ can increase the yields of some crops, although generally only under optimal conditions for plant growth (*e.g.* adequate water and nutrient supply).¹⁹⁹ Thus, the stimulating effects of rising CO₂ are often reduced when plants are exposed to UV-B radiation and grown under conditions of low water availability and/or nutrient supply.^{200–202} However, exposure to UV-B radiation can increase plant tolerance to drought and low nutrient availability.^{203,204} These complex interactions between UV radiation, CO₂ and other climate change factors make it challenging to model and assess how crop productivity would be affected by the changes in UV radiation that would occur under different scenarios of climate change and stratospheric ozone, including the projected "World Avoided" scenario without the Montreal Protocol.

3.7. UV-B radiation stimulates plant defences and can improve crop health and nutritional value for consumers

Solar UV-B radiation can increase plant resistance to a variety of pests and pathogens due to altered plant chemistry, especially by inducing secondary metabolites such as polyphenolics (*e.g.* flavonoids), which also serve as antioxidants and UV-screening compounds.^{205–207} Applications of this knowledge can be used to enhance desirable crop response to UV-B radiation.²⁰⁸ This includes defence responses against pests and disease, and accumulation of the antioxidant compounds in food crops.^{209–211} Similarly, this knowledge can be applied to manipulate light conditions in greenhouses and controlled environments to improve the yield, health and nutritional value of horticultural crops.^{212,213} These findings represent an important side effect of the Montreal Protocol with regard to societal benefits and the fundamental research that is being conducted to better understand how plants respond when exposed to UV radiation.

4. Effects of stratospheric ozone depletion, UV radiation and interactions with climate change on aquatic ecosystems

Recent research on the effects of UV-B radiation, as well as UV radiation in general, on the aquatic environment evaluates how climate change and other anthropogenic effects interact with exposure to UV radiation in both freshwater and marine ecosystems. Whereas stratospheric ozone depletion increases exposure to UV radiation, other processes change transparency to UV radiation, often decreasing exposure in the aquatic environment. This has consequences for species distributions and services provided by aquatic ecosystems, such as freshwater, fisheries, and recreation. Aquatic ecosystems also play a major role in

mediating the effects of other human actions related to ozone depletion and UV radiation, including fluorinated refrigerants (see section 6.8), sunscreen use and micro/nanoplastics.

4.1. Climate change and other effects of human activity are becoming more important than variations in stratospheric ozone in controlling the exposure of aquatic ecosystems to UV radiation

Implementation of the Montreal Protocol has limited the increase in UV-B radiation incident on the aquatic environment which would have otherwise occurred. However, climate change and other human activities since implementation have altered the water transparency, and therefore exposure to UV radiation, across a range of marine and freshwater habitats. Most recent reports indicate that the UV transparency of aquatic ecosystems has decreased. These include long-term declines in the depths of penetration of UV-B radiation in a region of the Chesapeake Bay, United States, probably related to human disturbance around the shoreline; ²¹⁴ and the "browning" of Norwegian alpine lakes as forest cover expands to higher elevations in their warming watersheds and organic matter from the trees enters runoff into the lakes.²¹⁵ Declines in transparency are also occurring in the open ocean, where deepening of the vertical circulation of plankton, attributed to changes in weather patterns and ocean currents, decreases the average exposure to UV radiation of plankton in near-surface waters. ²¹⁶ These trends are evident in observations from long-term monitoring stations in the middle of the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. Conversely, the loss of Arctic sea ice²¹⁷ is dramatically increasing exposure to UV radiation in areas of the Arctic Ocean previously shielded from direct solar radiation. The constituents involved in these changes (chiefly ice cover, dissolved organic matter and suspended particulates) affect transparency across the spectrum, including both PAR and UV radiation, but have the strongest effect on UV-B radiation.²¹⁸ These contrasting trends in transparency, and hence exposure to UV-B radiation, will likely continue as the effects of climate change intensify.

4.2. UV-B radiation excludes some invasive organisms from clear lakes, while a decrease in radiation, due to increases in dissolved organic matter, promotes their invasion into browning lakes

Coloured dissolved organic matter (DOM) is increasing in areas affected by increased runoff, which is often associated with climate change.^{219,220} This "browning" of inland waters reduces UV-transparency, facilitating invasion by UV-sensitive species. This has already been demonstrated for fish in cold, clearwater lakes, where high DOM can create a spawning refuge that facilitates the invasion of UV-sensitive warm-water species.^{221,222} Regulations that maintain high levels of UV transparency in lakes may help manage fish invasions.²²³ Two new studies show how UV-B radiation excludes important invertebrate predators and invasive species from clear lakes, while increases in DOM facilitate their establishment in browning lakes. Experiments with the predatory larvae of the aquatic insect *Chaoborus*, which is only found in brown lakes (*i.e.* those with >3 mg L⁻¹ total organic carbon), showed a much higher rate of egg deposition in water with high *vs.* low concentrations of UV-absorbing DOM.²¹⁵ This suggests that the range expansion of this important predator of freshwater zooplankton is regulated by variations in the transparency of the waters to UV radiation.²¹⁵ Another important species that responds to local variations in UV transparency is the jellyfish *Craspedacusta sowerbii*, which is invading many

freshwater systems world-wide. Its behaviour and survival rate is sensitive to UV radiation in field incubations.²²⁴ This species does not contain photoprotective compounds known to occur in other zooplankton and survives better in habitats with low UV transparency.²²⁴ These studies show that UV transparency changes the species composition of zooplankton in lakes, which can affect the value of the zooplankton community as a food resource supporting fisheries.

4.3. Micro- and nanoplastics arising from the breakdown of plastics by solar UV radiation may have negative consequences for aquatic ecosystem services, as well as for food and water security

The degradation by solar UV radiation of plastics into micro- and nanoplastics can increase substrates for pathogens and other microorganisms, sequester contaminants, and increase ingestion by aquatic organisms. However, these potentially negative effects are as yet poorly quantified. Plastics are ubiquitous pollutants in all ecosystems worldwide and include not only large fragments, but also microplastics (defined as those <5 mm in diameter) and nanoplastics (those <100 nm in diameter).^{225,226} There has been tremendous growth in both the published scientific research and public interest in the potential effects of microplastics on aquatic ecosystems, which has led to the recent passage of regulations in some jurisdictions.²²⁷ Plastic fragmentation following exposure to UV radiation stimulates microbial colonisation and further plastic degradation^{228,229} (see also section 7.7). Microplastics can, in turn, be ingested by many types of aquatic organisms, ranging from zooplankton and other invertebrates to fish.²³⁰

While there is a dearth of evidence, suggestions have been put forward for how the ingestion of microplastics can negatively affect organisms, including direct chemical toxicity and physical obstruction, which can be external or in the digestive tract, as well as serving as a substrate for concentrating and increasing exposure to contaminants and pathogens.^{230,231} For example, exposure to UV radiation and consequent fragmentation increases the particle surface area, which may be responsible for the increasing microplastic adsorption of contaminants such as metals.²³² A major unresolved question is whether these microplastics cleanse the water column of such contaminants, leaving them bound to long-lasting plastics in the sediments, or whether they act as a conduit for these contaminants to the aquatic organisms that ingest them.

Two recent reviews discuss the evidence for the negative effects of microplastics on the feeding, survival, growth, and reproduction of aquatic organisms, as well as their accumulation in seafood sold in public markets for human consumption.^{227,230} A meta-analysis of 43 experimental laboratory and field studies was carried out on the effects of microplastics on fish and aquatic invertebrates in freshwater and marine systems.²³⁰ This study found negative effects of microplastics in many cases, with the greatest impact being on zooplankton survival, growth, and reproduction. This has important implications for both water quality in inland waters, and aquatic food webs in marine and inland waters, since zooplankton comprise a critical link in food webs, as well as serving as a vital food for young and in some cases even adult fish. Overall, neutral or no effects were more common

than negative effects, but only four response characteristics were studied, and other unexamined effects of microplastics could pose substantial threats to aquatic life.

A second review examined 320 peer-reviewed studies of the effects of microplastics in freshwater and marine systems, including sediments.²²⁷ While negative effects of microplastics were common, there were many conceptual and methodological limitations of previous microplastic studies, which limit the ability to draw overarching conclusions on the real nature or magnitude of the threat. For example, although polyethylene fibres and fragments are the most common microplastics in the environment, many studies have used spherical polystyrene beads, often much smaller than those found in nature, to examine the effects of microplastics.²²⁷ More recently, however, heat-degraded particles, similar to UV degradation products, have been shown to alter the behaviour and morphology of the microcrustacean *Daphnia magna*.²³³

Despite the prevalence of microplastics and widespread evidence of negative effects on some aquatic organisms, a recent ecological risk assessment indicates that currently available data are not adequate to conclude that their presence in ecosystems represents a substantial risk. ²³¹ In some cases, studies have shown no effects of microplastics. For example, in one species of larval fish, polyethylene microplastics seem to have minimal effects on feeding rates or short-term (30 d) growth rates.²³⁴ Better data and consistent endpoints and methods for testing the effects of exposure are needed before conclusions on the potential risks and impacts of microplastic particles can be fully summarised.²³¹ Conclusions on the effects of microplastics, ²²⁶ the potential role of UV radiation must account for the diversity of microplastics, ²²⁶ the potential for artefacts in experimental studies, ²³⁵ and the need to recognise complex interactions with other contaminants.^{236,237}

4.4. Sunscreens that protect humans from excess exposure to UV radiation can be harmful to aquatic organisms

Based on *in vitro* studies showing harmful effects of organic sunscreens on aquatic organisms, particularly corals, and the detection of such sunscreens in coral reef habitats, the United States state of Hawaii has banned the sale and distribution of organic sunscreens, effective January 2021.²³⁸ Alternative methods for photoprotection include clothing, which can provide protection without the use of sunscreen. However, some types of outdoor clothing now have dyes or sunscreens incorporated into the fabric, which is rated using the Ultraviolet Protection Factor (UPF). The release of chemicals into seawater from these fabrics needs to be evaluated to ensure that they are not an additional source of contamination to aquatic ecosystems.

Organic sunscreens, such as oxybenzone and avobenzone, and mineral-based inorganic sunscreens, such as titanium dioxide (TiO₂) and zinc oxide (ZnO), have been detected in surface waters, sediments, and organisms.²³⁹ ZnO is toxic to the symbiotic algae within corals,²⁴⁰ and uncoated ZnO can induce coral bleaching, whereas modified forms of TiO₂ are less damaging to corals.²⁴¹

All experiments testing the toxicity of sunscreens have thus far been conducted in laboratory settings in which the maximum concentrations used often exceed environmentally relevant

levels, so caution must be used in the interpretation of these results. It is important that further studies be undertaken to determine the utility of mineral-based UV filters in photoprotection as alternatives to organic filters.

5. Interactions of biogeochemical cycles with changing UV radiation and climate

The most relevant advances with respect to the impacts of changes in solar UV radiation and its interaction with climate change and biogeochemical cycling are: (1) modeling and quantification of how exposure to UV radiation transforms contaminants; (2) changes in the amount of organic carbon susceptible to photochemical reactions due to the melting of permafrost in the Arctic; and (3) impacts of land use and climate change affecting the UV-mediated degradation of carbon in terrestrial ecosystems. Additionally, we discuss fire in high-latitude systems, particularly the production of trace gases from wildfires and interactions of pyrogenic carbon with UV radiation in the global carbon cycle.

5.1. Advances in modelling increase our ability to assess the effect of changes in UV radiation on the photodegradation of contaminants

Contaminants are chemicals or biological substances that are intentionally or accidentally released into the environment. Pesticides, pharmaceuticals, household and industrial products, petrochemicals, nanomaterials, and microplastics are examples of chemical contaminants. Biological contaminants include pathogens that are released by human activities, such as treating wastewater, and harmful algal blooms; the latter of which are a natural component of ecosystems, but are becoming more abundant because of human activities.

Significant advances have been achieved in mathematical model simulations of photoreactions and transport of contaminants in aquatic environments.^{242–244}

Several modeling studies of carbon-based nanomaterials (*e.g.*, multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) and graphene oxide (GO)) using the Water Quality Assessment Simulation Program (WASP) have been published since our last assessment.^{242,245,246} WASP is a dynamic, spatially resolved surface water model. It has been updated (Fig. 6) to account for nano-specific processes and can simulate the direct phototransformation of nanomaterials and other contaminants as a function of wavelength over the solar spectrum.^{242,246} Potentially, WASP can use projected changes in UV-B radiation to predict changes in contaminant phototransformation. New improved biological weighting functions and information on natural photosensitisers have been used to refine models of the photoinactivation of coliphages (a type of virus) that are potential indicators of pathogenic viral activity in recreational water.^{243,247–249} Improvements were made to the spectral irradiance model (Simple Model of the Atmospheric Radiative Transfer of Sunshine, SMARTS),²⁵⁰ which has been widely used to calculate photoinactivation rate constants of biological contaminants.²⁴³

5.2. Projected recovery of the stratospheric ozone layer and consequent decrease in UV-B radiation could accelerate or retard the photodegradation of contaminants

Reduced levels of UV radiation can increase the biodegradability of plastics²⁵¹ by microorganisms, and decrease the release of toxic additives/byproducts associated with microplastics^{252–254} and nanomaterials.^{255–257} Reduced UV radiation might also mitigate the effects of TiO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles; once released into the aquatic environment, these common UV-blocking agents in sunscreen products generate damaging reactive oxygen species (ROS) under UV radiation.²⁵⁸ Conversely, decreased UV radiation could attenuate the self-cleansing ability of the environment by slowing the direct and/or indirect photoreactions of certain pesticides and biological contaminants.^{243,247,252,259} Another negative effect could be the decreased photochemical conversion of highly toxic silver ions to much less toxic silver nanoparticles.²⁶⁰ These complex, competing effects of decreased UV radiation on contaminant photoreactions must be taken into consideration by models that predict future concentrations of contaminants in the environment.^{242,245,246}

5.3. UV radiation induces carbon dioxide production from organic carbon released by thawing permafrost, thus contributing to a significant positive feedback on global warming

The permafrost carbon pool in the Arctic is now thought to comprise organic carbon in the top 3 m of surface soil, carbon in deposits deeper than 3 m (including those within the yedoma region, an area of deep sediment deposits that cover unglaciated parts of Siberia and Alaska), and carbon within permafrost that formed on land during glacial periods but which is now found on shallow submarine shelves.^{261,262} Multiple lines of evidence suggest that permafrost warming is accelerating more rapidly than originally projected, ^{263,264} so carbon stored in this pool is now being exposed to sunlight, including UV radiation. This previously sequestered organic carbon becomes available for photochemical and microbial degradation. releasing CO₂ and methane to the atmosphere.²⁶¹ The total release of greenhouse gases in this region due to global warming has been referred to as the permafrost carbon feedback (PCF)^{261,262,264} (Fig. 7). Generally, deeper layers of organic matter are exposed over decades, or even centuries, and some models are beginning to track these slow changes. As the deeper permafrost warms and thaws, the average age of the released carbon is increasing, ^{261,265} exposing older carbon that is photoreactive but biologically persistent. Permafrost thawing can also operate in an abrupt way, collapsing suddenly and destabilising several metres of soil within days or weeks,²⁶⁴ dramatically accelerating the exposure of previously shielded carbon to solar UV radiation. A part of this newly exposed carbon may be susceptible to photodegradation on land, accelerating carbon release to the atmosphere.²⁶⁶ As carbon moves from the terrestrial land surface to Arctic lakes and river ecosystems, photodegradation may account for a substantial fraction of the degradation of this semilabile organic carbon,²⁶⁷ although its importance will be determined in part by water mixing and the amount of suspended sediment.²⁶⁸ There is an urgent need to incorporate the role of UV radiation in the degradation of exposed carbon from thawing permafrost, and to consider how changes in the exposure to solar radiation of sequestered carbon affect the acceleration of carbon release from both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems in the Arctic.

Substantial differences in vegetative cover occur naturally in terrestrial ecosystems due to differences in abiotic factors such as soil and climate. Additionally, human activities, such as land use change, intentional planting of exotic species, drought or fire, function as major determinants of vegetative cover and the amount of UV and total solar radiation reaching the soil surface. These changes in plant cover affect plant biomass but also carbon and nutrient cycling and may be key in determining the role of sunlight, and UV radiation, as a control of carbon release.

Photodegradation, the breakdown of plant litter driven by exposure to UV and short wavelength visible radiation, continues to be shown as a key component of decomposition in low rainfall ecosystems, such as deserts and grasslands. Various abiotic and biotic factors interact to influence the balance of photodegradation *vs.* microbial (bacteria and fungi) litter decomposition, including the degree of sunlight exposure, moisture, relative humidity and temperature, as well as the initial litter chemistry determined by plant species identity. ^{269–272} Very recent evidence has demonstrated that the exposure of plant litter to sunlight is of much greater import than the direct effect of temperature on decomposition (*i.e.* thermal degradation).²⁷³

Photodegradation can also alter litter chemistry and microbial access to carbohydrates in the litter, which can facilitate microbial decomposition (*i.e.* photo-facilitation),^{274–276} although this is not always observed.²⁷⁷ This facilitative effect of sunlight on microbial respiration may be one of the main avenues by which photodegradation increases litter decay,²⁷⁴ and implies that photodegradation may be important in terrestrial habitats beyond deserts and grasslands. The broader role of solar radiation exposure in litter decay is supported by recent experimental studies, indicating that accelerated decomposition from sunlight can also occur in wetter, forested ecosystems.^{278,279} Taken together, further understanding of the mechanistic controls of sunlight on carbon release suggests that land use changes that increase the exposure of plant litter to solar radiation will accelerate carbon losses from these ecosystems due to the effects of photodegradation and photo-facilitation. These alterations in the carbon cycle should be considered when modeling the effects of land use and climate change in terrestrial ecosystems.

5.5. Fires contribute to greenhouse gas release and the production of UV-sensitive burnt biomass

Forest fires are becoming more severe and frequent, and this trend is predicted to increase due to climate change, particularly in boreal forests where extreme warming is expected. ^{280–286} Forest fires produce a variety of trace gases and aerosols, including the greenhouse gases CO₂, methane, and nitrous oxide. Emission factors for these species have recently been compiled by Andreae.²⁸¹ Fires provide an important pathway for opening up the soil surfaces to UV radiation. Moreover, due to the incomplete combustion of wood and other biomass, fire converts a substantial fraction of the vegetation carbon into burnt biomass, also named charcoal, black carbon, or pyrogenic carbon (PyC).^{283,287} Approximately 256 Tg (uncertainty range: 196–340 Tg) of biomass carbon was converted annually into PyC

between 1997 and 2016.²⁸⁷ Burnt biomass is more resistant to environmental degradation than unburnt biomass and can act as a long-term sink for CO_2 . Photodegradation provides the main pathway for the decomposition of burnt biomass into CO_2 .^{287,288} Rainfall washes a fraction of PyC into streams, rivers, lakes, coastal inland waters and the ocean. Upon exposure to solar UV radiation, PyC undergoes photochemical conversion to CO_2 at a global rate estimated to be between 20 and 490 Tg C per year in the ocean.²⁸⁸

6. Interaction of changes in stratospheric ozone with air quality in a

changing climate

The composition of the lower atmosphere (the quality of the air we breathe) has a significant impact on human and environmental health. This composition is altered by variations in the concentration of stratospheric ozone and by climate change. The effects on human health that are most studied are those associated with increases in ground-level ozone and aerosols. Since our last assessment,²⁸⁹ there has been ongoing research on the impact of poor air quality on health, in particular focusing on aerosols (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than 2.5 μ m, PM_{2.5}) and ozone, and the findings corroborate our previous conclusions.²⁸⁹ For urban environments, improving air quality has been viewed as a matter of controlling local emissions, but it is becoming more evident that the problem will need to be managed, for many locations, on a regional scale.^{290,291}

Air quality is also dependent upon changes in several atmospheric parameters on a global scale, including changes in climate and stratospheric ozone. Importantly, these changes are not independent, as climate change can alter the emission of several chemicals (such as methane, halogens and nitrogen-containing compounds from natural sources) that will alter concentrations of stratospheric ozone. Recent developments in our understanding of the coupling between changes in stratospheric ozone, climate change, and composition of the ground-level atmosphere are highlighted below.

6.1. Ozone at ground level is predicted to substantially increase by 2100, as a result of increased transport of ozone from the stratosphere to the troposphere

Models are now capturing the effects of the coupling of atmospheric circulation and chemistry, particularly the link between the stratosphere (> *ca.* 12 km altitude) and the troposphere (12 km altitude). Changes in stratospheric ozone, stratospheric circulation, and alterations in temperature affect the transport of ozone between the stratosphere and the troposphere. An increase in ozone in the upper troposphere is then distributed throughout the troposphere. Of particular relevance here is that this can lead to changes in the concentration of ground-level (the atmosphere to which terrestrial organisms are exposed) ozone in both hemispheres.^{292,293}

Modelled predictions of the concentration of ozone in the lower troposphere and at ground level in 2100 continue to show substantial increases (20%) compared to the present. These increases will be caused by a warmer climate increasing the rate of mixing between the lower stratosphere and the upper troposphere, and by the recovery of stratospheric ozone due to reductions in ozone-depleting substances (ODS).²⁹⁴ The relative importance of the two

effects depends on the hemisphere and season, with the decrease in ODS being more important in the summer in the southern hemisphere. Overall, the predicted impact of warming caused by greenhouse gases is substantially larger than the changes due to ODS, although the two processes are not independent.

6.2. Aerosols with complex physical and chemical properties can affect the formation and loss of ground-level ozone in polluted regions

In polluted regions, aerosols can affect UV photolysis rates, atmospheric dynamics, cloud optical thickness, and heterogeneous reactions, which are all important factors in the formation and loss of ground-level ozone.

For eastern China, a global atmospheric chemical model previously found that aerosols often reduce the photolysis rates of ozone $[J(O_3)]$ and nitrogen dioxide $[J(NO_2)]$ by 10–30%, but the calculated effect on surface O₃ was relatively small (2–4% reduction). More recent studies find increases in ground-level O₃ that are associated with PM_{2.5} reductions^{295–300}*via* changes in UV radiation, as well as *via* heterogeneous (gas-particle) chemical reactions. ^{301,302} Thus, the health benefits from reducing aerosols are partly offset by the increase in ground-level O₃.

The reduction in UV photolysis during severe haze-pollution events can also lower the urban concentrations of OH radicals,³⁰³ slowing the photochemical removal and thus allowing more local accumulation of many pollutants other than ozone and PM_{2.5}. Ozone, PM_{2.5} and OH interact in complex ways³⁰⁴ that can be modified by solar UV radiation, and characterisation and quantification of these links are ongoing.

6.3. Concentrations of ozone at the Earth's surface will be altered by the changing climate

A study of recent periods of high concentrations of ambient ozone in China (2016–2017) highlights that, in addition to the impact of anthropogenic emissions, significant changes in ozone at ground level can be expected from a multitude of effects associated with climate change. These include changes in emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from growing plants, changes in lightning and, as already mentioned above, in the exchange of air from the stratosphere.^{88,305}

6.4. The stable concentration of hydroxyl radicals over the last 35 years is not likely to continue in the future

Hydroxyl radicals remove many harmful chemicals from the atmosphere.²⁸⁹ Hydroxyl radical concentrations have remained stable over the last 35 years due to the near-offsetting of changes in their production (by UV radiation and nitrogen oxides) and destruction (by carbon monoxide, methane, and other volatile organic compounds). Such stability is largely fortuitous and is not likely to continue in the future, as these now-offsetting factors are expected to vary by different rates in the coming decades. Changes in the global concentration of OH would have a significant impact on air quality. The concentration of OH is highly dependent on the amount of UV-B radiation, and hence on the amount of stratospheric ozone,²⁸⁹ as well as on other factors, as discussed below.

Methane is a key factor in determining OH concentration, because its reaction with OH is a major sink for OH, as well as the main mechanism of removal of CH_4 from the atmosphere. ²⁸⁹ Rising concentrations of CH_4 due to increases in natural and anthropogenic emissions should therefore decrease the concentration of OH in the atmosphere; however, this appears not to have been the case in the recent past. Calculations for the period 1980–2015 indicate that this decrease was almost totally offset by other changes in the chemistry of the atmosphere, including the concentration of water vapour, nitrogen oxides (NOx), and the total amount of ozone in the atmosphere.³⁰⁶ This result is consistent with earlier conclusions based on measurements of the concentration of methylchloroform, a synthetic ODS that is primarily removed by OH.³⁰⁷ Whether a continuing increase in emissions of CH₄ will result in a significant decrease in the global mean concentration of OH (by up to *ca.* 10%, according to ref. 308) is an open question.

Measuring the average global concentration of OH is difficult, and new methods are needed. Methane is one of the key candidates considered for estimating OH, as its reaction with OH is the primary route for the removal of CH_4 from the atmosphere, and there is an expanding range of measurements of atmospheric CH_4 both at ground level and from satellites. As a result, models of atmospheric CH_4 are more able to assign observed changes to variations in regional emissions and the concentration of OH.^{309,310} However, there are still significant uncertainties in this estimation of OH that could possibly be addressed *via* measuring the isotopic composition (${}^{12}CH_4$; ${}^{13}CH_4$) of methane.³¹¹ Overall, there are ongoing significant advances in our ability to measure changes in the state of the reactive chemistry of the global atmosphere.

6.5. Regional trends in concentrations of OH are highly variable

Regional trends in the concentration of OH are not readily measured because OH has an atmospheric lifetime shorter than a second. However, some calculations have been carried out, such as over eastern China, where OH increased substantially between 1990 and 2000.³¹² As this trend is not observed in the global estimates of the concentration of OH, such changes have not occurred everywhere, but may well be important for regional air quality.

6.6. Concentrations of methane in the atmosphere have increased substantially in the last decade, with implications for climate change, stratospheric ozone chemistry, and regional air quality including ground-level ozone

Causes of the increase in methane include increased rates of anthropogenic and natural climate-sensitive emissions, while its removal is determined by the OH radical and is thus sensitive to UV radiation. In the last decade, the global average concentration of methane in the atmosphere has increased at a rate of 5–10 ppb per year (see Fig. 8).^{308,313–315} CH₄ is also a greenhouse gas (GHG) with a radiative forcing of climate of *ca.* 25% of that due to CO_2 .³¹⁶ Like other GHGs, CH₄ alters concentrations of stratospheric ozone.¹ Outside the polar regions, the radiative cooling of the middle and upper stratospheric ozone.¹ At polar latitudes, however, radiative cooling increases the prevalence of polar stratospheric ice clouds (ice PSC), a prerequisite for ozone depletion to occur in polar spring.¹ Record low

vortex temperatures were observed in the Arctic winters of 2010–2011 and 2015–2016, followed by significant loss of stratospheric ozone in the Arctic spring^{317–320} (by up to 38% and 27%, respectively).³¹⁹ Furthermore, the oxidation of CH₄ by OH is an important *in situ* source of stratospheric water vapour.³²¹ It is likely that water vapour in the polar stratosphere raises the temperature at which PSC particles form. These particles enable the rapid conversion of reservoirs of chlorine into chlorine radicals, which deplete ozone in the polar spring.³¹⁷

Emissions of CH₄ from natural sources (*ca.* 40% of total CH₄ emissions) are affected by climate change. For example, CH₄ emissions from wetlands (the most important natural source at present) are predicted to increase by 33–60% by 2100, based on estimated increases in CO₂.³²² Thawing of permafrost soils may become an increasingly important source of CH₄, particularly in the Arctic because of "Arctic amplification" (*i.e.*, the prediction that the Arctic troposphere will continue to warm faster than elsewhere in response to increasing GHG concentrations) and since permafrost soils in this region store vast amounts of carbon.^{323,324} Emissions of CH₄ from beneath warming lakes and retreating ice sheets are also now recognised as an additional source.^{323,325}

When permafrost soils thaw, they release natural organic matter (NOM) into the surrounding environment. This release increases the susceptibility of NOM to microbial decomposition and production of CH₄. Note that the release of NOM *via* permafrost thaw primarily results in CO₂ production, a process that is induced by UV radiation (see section 5.3).³²⁶ The microbial production of CH₄ increases with rising temperature and spring rainfall, particularly where the loss of ice-rich permafrost converts forest and tundra into wetlands. ³²⁷ The release of CH₄ from permafrost soils is moderated by methanotrophs, microorganisms that oxidise 20–60% of CH₄ before its emission to the atmosphere.³²⁸ According to model calculations,³²³ which also included abrupt thaws beneath thermocast lakes, CH₄, not CO₂, has been the dominant driver (*ca.* 70%) of the radiative effect due to the release of GHG *via* thawing of circumpolar permafrost this century.

The main competitor of CH₄ for OH is CO,^{329,330} because CO is the strongest sink of OH in the troposphere, making up 39% of the global annual mean of total OH sinks.³²⁹ Since the rate of photoproduction of CO depends, in part, on the concentration of natural organic matter (NOM) that is photoreactive,³³¹ effects of climate change, such as forest fires, thawing of permafrost soils, "browning of lakes",²¹⁸ and enhanced runoff of NOM into rivers and coastal areas are expected to enhance the photochemical production and emission of CO from terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems.³²⁶

6.7. The role of naturally produced halogen-containing compounds in altering air quality in polar regions is larger than previously recognised

The emission of these natural ozone-depleting compounds (*e.g.*, those containing bromine) will depend on climate change, and will therefore link climate change, stratospheric ozone depletion, and air quality. Reactive bromine species (*i.e.* the bromine atom (Br) and bromine monoxide (BrO)) oxidise tropospheric pollutants including ozone and gaseous elemental mercury, a contaminant of global concern.³³² The presence of reactive bromine is considered

to be the main cause of near-complete ozone depletion events in the polar boundary layer near ice and of mercury deposition during polar spring.^{333–335} Reactive bromine species are formed by UV-induced processes, through: (i) photolysis of brominated very-short-lived substances (BrVSLS); and (ii) photolysis of Br₂ on ice- and snow-covered surfaces, where Br₂ is formed *via* oxidation of Br⁻ by OH on frozen saline surfaces such as sea-ice. ^{333,335,336} BrVSLS, particularly bromoform (CHBr₃, lifetime of 17 days) and dibromomethane (CH₂Br₂, lifetime of 150 days), can reach the lower stratosphere, where their photoproducts participate in the destruction of stratospheric ozone. One of the main routes for the transport of BrVSLS into the stratosphere is the circulation of the Indian summer monsoon,³³⁷ which is affected by climate change.³³⁸

BrVSLS are produced by abiotic and biotic processes. The abiotic process consists of the oxidation of Br⁻ deposited on ice and snow surfaces by ozone, followed by the reaction of hypobromous acid (HOBr) with DOM.³³⁹ This process occurs in sea ice in the Antarctic winter³³⁹ and may be an important source of BrVSLS. The biogeochemical formation of BrVSLS in marine environments involves a suite of reactions,³⁴⁰ which are, in part, dependent on UV radiation (see Fig. 9). The yield of BrVSLS *via* bromoperoxidase-mediated halogenation of DOM depends on the chemical composition of the DOM compounds, where terrestrially-derived (allochthonous) DOM contains precursors of CHBr₃, such as humic and fulvic acids.³⁴¹ Coastal zones were found to be important sources of BrVSLS^{337,342} and may become even more important due to enhanced fluxes of allochthonous DOM to rivers and coastal areas as a consequence of more frequent storm events in a changing climate. Hence, climate change influences not only the transport of BrVSLS from the troposphere into the lower stratosphere, but also their biogeochemical production in marine environments.

6.8. Limited new measurements of trifluoroacetic acid in the environment reconfirm that it is not a threat to human health or an environmental concern at current or projected future concentrations

As noted previously,³⁴⁴ there are many sources of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in the environment, including the degradation of HCFCs, HFCs and HFOs. A review of short- and ultrashort-chain poly- and perfluorinated substances³⁴⁵ noted a paucity of information on the sources of TFA other than fluorinated refrigerants.

TFA can be formed from the breakdown of fluorinated polymers used in industry and in the home. A study on thermal breakdown (thermolysis) of the fluoropolymers poly(vinylidene fluoride-*co*-thexafluoropropylene) (PVDF-HFP), poly(vinylidene fluoride-*co*-chlorotrifluoroethylene) (PVDF-CTFE) and poly(tetrafluoroethylene) (PTFE) showed that thermolysis occurred at temperatures ranging from 400 °C to 650 °C.³⁴⁶ Yields of TFA ranged from 0.3 to 1.2%, depending on the polymer. Using a model, the authors estimated that 1.6 to 14% of the TFA detected in rainwater in Beijing in 2014 came from this thermolysis source. The applicability of these data to other locations is unknown. TFA continues to be detected in environmental media. Samples of precipitation collected in 2016 in 28 cities in mainland China were found to contain TFA in higher concentrations than other longer chain perfluorinated compounds. Maximum concentrations ranged from 8.8–

1.8 μ g L⁻¹ with an average of 0.15 μ g L⁻¹, with higher concentrations in coastal cities.³⁴⁷ The sources of TFA were not reported but the values are consistent with previous reports.³⁴⁴

Future projections assuming 100% conversion of all automobile air conditioners to TFAprecursors (HFO-1234yf) predict TFA concentrations in precipitation in the range of 0.48– 0.64 μ g L⁻¹ across the United States, Europe, and China.³⁴⁸ Wastewater treatment plants may be a source of TFA to downstream aquatic ecosystems, and concentrations in the Neckar River in Germany were over 100 μ g L⁻¹ downstream of industrial TFA production sources.³⁴⁹ Common techniques used in wastewater treatment such as ozonation and activated carbon filtration are ineffective at removing TFA, while ion exchange and reverse osmosis are partially effective³⁴⁹ but are difficult and costly to implement on a large scale.

Two new studies of TFA in plants and animals have been reported in the recent literature, neither of which reports negative outcomes. In a study on wheat (Triticum aestivum), TFA was shown to be absorbed via the roots.³⁵⁰ Using a hydroponic testing system, young wheat seedlings were exposed to environmentally unrealistic high concentrations of TFA dissolved in Hoagland's nutrient solution $(1 \text{ mg } L^{-1})$. It is not clear if the solution buffered the acidity of the TFA, but after 80 h of exposure, the mean concentration of TFA in the roots was 175 mg per kg dry weight, and in the shoots the concentration was 100 mg kg⁻¹. Uptake was dependent on the concentration of TFA in the nutrient solution and was an active process requiring energy. Effects on the plants were not reported and uptake from soil was not investigated. Whether this is a relevant route for the environmental exposure of plants to TFA is uncertain. The other study tested the hypothesis that pretreatment with TFA sodium salt in cattle would provide protection from exposure to the toxic natural product monofluoroacetic acid (MFA).³⁵¹ In this study, calves were fed 0.1 mg TFA per kg body weight for 28 days and then challenged with 2.0 g per kg body weight of leaves of Palicourea marcgravii, which contained 0.15% MFA. Calves receiving TFA for 28 days showed no signs of toxicity or abnormal blood parameters. There were only seven test animals and one replicate at one dose of TFA, but it appeared to be non-toxic under these conditions. TFA is of low to moderate toxicity when tested in laboratory animals (as summarised in ref. 352).

Considering the conclusions of previous EEAP Assessments and published reviews, there are no new data to suggest that TFA formed from the breakdown of HFCs, HCFCs and HFOs presents a risk to human health or the environment. The environmental concentrations found in recent studies are well below the concentrations known to be toxic in plants, algae, zooplankton and fish.³⁴⁴ However, TFA is known to be extremely persistent and it has been suggested that chemicals should be restricted if they are very persistent.³⁵³ While this suggestion is appropriate for chemicals that have adverse effects on the environment and/or the health of organisms at realistic exposures, it is not justified for TFA because of its lack of biological or chemical activity. TFA, like many other biologically inactive elements and/or minerals, has no effects at exposures much greater than those found or expected in the environment.

6.9. Atmospheric aerosol particles are estimated to cause several million premature deaths and over 100 million disability-adjusted life years globally each year

Changes in UV radiation and ground level ozone can alter atmospheric aerosols, as can changes in both anthropogenic and natural emissions. High concentrations of aerosols (including black carbon (BC) and $PM_{2.5}$) are found during haze pollution events in urban areas and as a result of wildfires. It is recognised that aerosols pose a hazard to human health.³⁵⁴ Increased exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ has been shown to cause cancer, including kidney and bladder cancer, and to exacerbate respiratory distress (asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease).²⁸⁹ Changes in aerosol compositions may affect their impact on human health,³⁵⁵ although our understanding of the chemical composition of aerosols is quite limited due to the very large number of chemical species that may be present.

A significant fraction of the aerosols in the atmosphere are the product of UV photochemistry (*via* OH radical-initiated chemistry) and their generation also depends on the amount of ozone and VOCs present. In many regions of the world, VOCs in the atmosphere are predominantly from biogenic sources (*i.e.* from plants), leading to the release of compounds like isoprene and terpenes to the atmosphere. However, the composition and mass of the resulting aerosol depends on the mixture of compounds present. In unpolluted air, isoprene may reduce concentrations of OH, leading to less oxidation of terpenes in the same air mass. This, in turn, leads to less production of aerosol.³⁵⁶ However, when such biogenic mixtures are exposed to common air pollutants, *e.g.* Amazon forest air mixing with nitrogen oxides from the Manaus urban plume, the concentrations of OH and production of aerosol are increased substantially.³⁵⁷

Aside from the direct emission of particles to the atmosphere, complex chemical reactions of pollutants such as SO₂, NOx, NH₃, and VOCs in the atmosphere play important roles in forming the secondary components of aerosols. The secondary compositions of aerosols, SIA (secondary inorganic aerosol) and SOA (secondary organic aerosol), and ozone, are chemically coupled, with NOx and VOCs as their common precursors. The conversion of gas phase molecules to compounds that form part of an aerosol (gas-to-particle conversion) plays important roles for the formation of SIA and SOA, and generates ozone. NOx and VOCs gas-phase chemistry is driven by the OH radical, the levels of which mainly depend on the mixture of organics, the VOCs/NOx relative concentrations, 358 and solar UV radiation. Ozone promotes the nucleation and growth of secondary aerosol particles by enhancing atmospheric oxidation.³⁵⁹ Hydroxyl radicals, H₂O₂, RCHO and other oxidants are generated and lead to the formation of secondary aerosols; thus SIA (SO_4^{2-}, NO_3^{-}) and SOA are formed through the reaction of SO₂, NOx and VOCs by gas and liquid phase chemistry and heterogeneous chemistry driven by atmospheric oxidants. The latest research in China showed that the mass concentration and proportion of NO_3^- exceeded SO_4^{2-} , so that NO3⁻ became the main secondary inorganic component of PM2.5 in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region. The rapid increase in the atmospheric NO₃⁻ concentration from NOx emissions and oxidation has become one of the key factors for the explosive growth of $PM_{2.5}$ in this region, ³⁶⁰ with major implications for human health.
Interactive effects of solar UV radiation and climate change on material damage

Solar UV radiation promotes the degradation of plastic,^{361,362} wood,^{363–366} and other materials routinely exposed outdoors including paints and coatings. Wood in outdoor applications undergoes surface discolouration and cracking from exposure to solar UV radiation.^{363–366} Cracking due to the partial degradation of wood polymers promotes subsequent biodegradation of the wood.³⁶⁷

Several technologies, including the use of additives and coatings, are available to protect materials from the effects of solar UV radiation. Emerging technologies suggest that nanoscale particles and bio-derived substances are potentially effective against weathering degradation. Their use in textile fibres, for instance, results in increased sun protection factor (SPF) values. However, nanofiller particles used in fabrics and materials are released to the environment during use, especially when they are weathered by solar UV radiation, and may detrimentally impact aquatic ecosystems.

This section deals with the assessment of recent developments in wood, plastic and fibre technologies pertaining to UV degradation and stabilisation. Environmental implications of the use of emerging nanomaterial-based technologies for UV stabilisation are also discussed.

7.1. Continuing studies on the weathering of polymer nanocomposites confirm the potential for the incidental release of nanoparticles as a result of exposure to solar UV radiation

Using nanoparticles (NPs) in polymer composites improves their mechanical properties and solar UV resistance,³⁶⁸ but there is growing concern about the potential of these composites to release micro- and nanoparticles, especially during use and disposal. However, a risk assessment addressing the large-volume use of nanocomposites outdoors is yet to be carried out. Recent work suggests that thinner products such as films, laminates and coatings are more likely to release nanoparticles upon weathering, compared to thicker laminates.³⁶⁹ During accelerated weathering, polypropylene (PP) and its composite with multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) release fragments of the composite <1 mm in size, as well as free carbon nanotubes (CNTs).³⁶⁹ The same was confirmed with Epoxy/MWCNT composites subjected to accelerated weathering in a six-lab round-robin test, where the release of CNTs was observed when weathered samples were agitated or treated with ultrasound in water.³⁷⁰

Nanoscale particles of metal oxides are particularly effective UV stabilisers in wood³⁷¹ and can either be generated chemically on the wood surface³⁷² or used as nanofillers in clear wood coatings.^{373–375} However, the potential release of NPs when working with treated wood or due to surface abrasion poses a potential risk.³⁷⁶ The weathering of wood outdoors may increase the likelihood of this as it degrades the surface. For instance, NPs of copper oxide (30–50 nm) are now used as a wood preservative in place of soluble copper compounds. These NPs are released at a rate of 0.882 ng cm⁻² from swiping even unweathered wood surfaces protected with a coating carrying 9.5 μ g cm⁻² of copper NPs.³⁷⁷ Water-based coatings of nano-cerium dioxide (CeO₂) on walnut wood similarly released

NPs by dermal contact during weathering, with a maximum of $66 \pm 12 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$ of the NPs during a 6 month period.³⁷⁸ The rate of release in this case, however, decreased as weathering progressed.

Ultra-thin nano-scale oxide films for screening UV radiation are deposited on plastic films used in specialty packaging and glazing applications. For instance, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) films are vacuum deposited on poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), blocking up to 99% of solar UV radiation, while allowing the transmission of more than 90% of visible light.^{379,380} More economical and nearly as effective are composite plastic films that incorporate nanofillers,³⁸¹ including those that employ *in situ* generation of NPs in the films. The *in situ* generation of ZnO in poly(vinyl alcohol) films yielded a composite with excellent UV-shielding capability; UV wavelengths less than 350 nm were completely absorbed with no effect on the visible transparency of the film.³⁸² Also, CeO₂ NPs (25–90 nm in size) generated in cellophane films using Ce(NO₃)₃ as a precursor³⁸³ showed transmission spectra that excluded UV radiation and allowed *ca.* 80% transmission of visible wavelengths. The technology is economically scalable.

7.2. Inorganic nanoparticles used in cotton and other textile fibres dramatically enhance their UV-screening effectiveness

Inorganic NPs, such as those of titanium dioxide (TiO₂) and zinc oxide (ZnO), can enhance the UV-screening efficiency of textile fibres. However, they may be released into the environment during use and laundering. Nanoparticles of $TiO_2^{384,385}$ and $ZnO^{386-388}$ are particularly effective and have been successfully incorporated into cotton fibres. In some instances, such NP treatment increased the ultraviolet protection factor (UPF) of cotton by an order of magnitude. Specific UPF values depend on the thickness of the yarn and structure of the fabric, loading of NPs, and NP characteristics.³⁸⁹ Results from different studies cannot, therefore, be easily compared. The UPF values of wool³⁹⁰ and polyester (PET) fabric³⁸³ treated with TiO_2 and with ZnO^{391} show large increases.

NPs that absorb UV radiation are also used in sunscreens. Several sun protection formulations have been banned this year based on their potential to release NPs during use with consequent projected damage to aquatic ecosystems. While the use of nanoparticles imparts improved properties to sunscreen products, their ultimate environmental fate is less well understood. More work on the environmental risk assessment associated with the presence of nanoparticles released into ecosystems is needed prior to the large-scale use of nanocomposites.

7.3. Heat treatment of wood improves the water repellency and durability, but often at the expense of the UV-resistance

Environmental considerations have made chemical-free, heat-treated wood material increasingly important. Thermal treatment makes wood material less hygroscopic, less susceptible to swelling or shrinking, and more resistant to fungi.³⁹² Treatment also makes the wood appealingly darker^{393,394} and reduces biodegradation, although often at the expense of UV screening. Heat-treated wood is generally discoloured readily upon exposure to solar UV radiation^{375,395,396} and hence requires effective surface coatings for routine

outdoor use. However, it is difficult to select coatings that adhere well to the hydrophobic surface of the material. Several coatings that can be effectively used on heat-treated wood to reduce UV-induced discolouration have been identified. Good resistance to discolouration with up to 2000 h of laboratory exposure under UV-340 lamps has been shown for Scots pine treated at 212 °C and surface-impregnated with TiO₂,³⁷⁵ and for Ashwood heat-treated at 192–212 °C and clear-coated with a water-based polyurethane.³⁹⁷

7.4. Some biodegradable polymers are potentially environmentally friendly alternatives to conventional plastics

Poly(lactic acid) (PLA), the fastest growing biodegradable plastic, used primarily in consumer packaging, was evaluated for use in building products. Coatings of PLA or poly(hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) evaluated as a sealant/coating on limestone structures were as stable as the conventional acrylic sealants typically used in this application, based on accelerated exposure to UV radiation and humidity for 104 days.³⁹⁸ In other studies, these plastics were also evaluated as the matrix in wood–plastic composites (WPC); it is the resistance of the polymer matrix to solar UV radiation that determines the durability of WPCs in outdoor use.^{399,400}

The loss in strength of PLA–wood fibre composites upon exposure to accelerated weathering over 1200 h was acceptable, and in fact was marginally superior to that of unfilled PLA plastic. Empirical models showed that the rate of loss of strength of these composites decreased with the duration of exposure.⁴⁰¹ Outdoor weathering data on PLA and poly(3-hydroxy butyrate-*co*-3-hydroxy valerate) (PHBV) plastics and their WPCs (with up to 50% wood) show the plastic matrices to be minimally affected by solar UV radiation and moisture over 12 months of exposure at a sub-tropical exposure site.⁴⁰² However, the WPCs of these biodegradable plastics, and those based on polyethylene used as a control, fared poorly because the filler absorbed water, leading to mould attack. Using hydrophobic glass fibre (GF) as filler (30 wt%) was able to avoid this problem in both PLA/GF.⁴⁰³

7.5. Sustainability considerations and green building initiatives favour the replacement of conventional UV-stabilisers in materials with 'greener' alternatives

There is increased interest in replacing conventional additives and stabilisers with bioderived compounds to increase the environmental sustainability of industrial wood products. Nanoparticles of lignin derived from wood⁴⁰⁴ are used as a surface treatment or in clear coats used on wood surfaces to control UV-induced discolouration. Exposing coatings with lignin NPs derived from waste wood on beech wood panels shows good control of UV-induced discolouration in treated samples. Unusually, however, discolouration decreased with exposure time.⁴⁰⁵ Flavonoids derived from wood extracts are also effective UV stabilisers.⁴⁰⁶ For instance, the two most abundant flavones in *Acacia confusa* sp. (the extract of which is already known as a UV stabiliser for wood) were demonstrated to have marked capacity to scavenge radicals and quench singlet oxygen.⁴⁰⁷

7.6. Biodegradable nanoparticles and bio-derived chemicals used in the textile industry can be feasible, sustainable alternatives to synthetic processing chemicals

It is possible to use biodegradable nanoparticles derived from cellulose nanocrystals and chitosans in preparing nanocomposite textile fibres for enhanced UV protection.⁴⁰⁸ Synthetic dyes, mordants/capping agents typically used in dying/coating fabric can be replaced with those derived from biomaterials to enhance the sustainability of the product. These include date seeds,³⁸⁶ eucalyptus leaves,⁴⁰⁹ marigold flowers,⁴¹⁰ krill oil,⁴¹¹ and peanut skins.⁴¹² While initial data are promising, the economic feasibility and availability of the raw materials have not yet been assessed.

7.7. The fragmentation rate of microplastics under weathering from exposure to UV radiation is determined not only by their chemical class but also by their levels of preoxidation

Plastic litter is converted into microplastics (MPs) by fragmentation as a result of UVinitiated natural weathering in the marine environment.^{413,414} Their fate, especially further fragmentation into micro- and nanoplastics, is believed to be primarily determined by the chemical class of the polymer.⁴¹⁵ However, polyethylene samples pre-oxidised to different extents by exposure to UV radiation for up to 30 days in the laboratory responded differently upon subsequent field exposure in the ocean. The extent of pre-degradation influenced the rates of further oxidation, fragmentation and biofouling.⁴¹⁶ Fragmentation was minimal in samples that were not pre-oxidised. In a separate study, agitating common packaging plastics with marine sand or aggregate generated MPs.^{417,418} This implies that some of the secondary MPs in oceans may be produced without the assistance of solar UV radiation.

The presence of MPs in every ecosystem is well documented,²²⁵ but their impact on ecosystems or biota is still not well understood (see also section 4.3). Possible impacts of MPs in waterways include concentrating toxic organic contaminants and making them potentially bioavailable to aquatic animals *via* ingestion. MPs irreversibly absorbing organic contaminants can also sink and settle on the ocean floor. The full ecological impact of the presence of microplastic contamination in the bottom sediment requires further study.

Acknowledgements

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Generous contributions by UNEP/Ozone Secretariat for the convened author meeting, and support for the following authors are acknowledged: Pieter Aucamp, Amy Austin, Carlos Ballaré, Anastazia Banaszak, Hong Li, and Krishna Pandey.

Support by the U.S. Global Change Research Program is gratefully acknowledged for: Anthony Andrady, Paul Barnes (also supported by the J.H. Endowment for Environmental Biology), Germar Bernhard, Sasha Madronich, Kevin Rose (also supported by NSF DEB grant 1754265), and Craig Williamson (also supported by NSF DEB-1754276).

The following funding and support is also gratefully acknowledged by: Marcel Jansen (Science Foundation Ireland (16-IA-4418)), Donat-P. Häder (Bundesministerium für Umwelt Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit), Samuel Hylander (the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency and Linnaeus University), Patrick Neale (Smithsonian Institution), Rachel Neale (NHMRC Research Fellowship), Lesley Rhodes (NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre), Robyn Lucas (NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship), Sharon Robinson (Australian Research Council and the University of Wollongong's Global Challenges Program), Matthew Robson (partially supported by

the University of Helsinki, Faculty of Biological & Environmental Sciences, and by the Academy of Finland (#324555)), Stephen Wilson (University of Wollongong), Seyhan Yazar (Australian National Health and Medical Research Council CJ Martin Fellowship), and Richard Zepp (US Environmental Protection Agency).

Anastazia Banaszak thanks M. en C. Laura Celis for help with literature searches.

References

- Bais AF, Bernhard G, McKenzie RL, Aucamp PJ, Young PJ, Ilyas M, Jöckel P and Deushi M, Ozone–climate interactions and effects on solar ultraviolet radiation, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2019, 18, 602–640 [PubMed: 30810565]
- McKenzie R, Bernhard G, Liley B, Disterhoft P, Rhodes S, Bais A, Morgenstern O, Newman P, Oman L, Brogniez C and Simic S, Success of Montreal Protocol demonstrated by comparing highquality UV measurements with "World Avoided" calculations from two chemistry-climate models, Sci. Rep, 2019, 9, 12332 [PubMed: 31481668]
- 3. Lamy K, Portafaix T, Josse B, Brogniez C, Godin-Beekmann S, Bencherif H, Revell L, Akiyoshi H, Bekki S, Hegglin MI, Jöckel P, Kirner O, Liley B, Marecal V, Morgenstern O, Stenke A, Zeng G, Abraham NL, Archibald AT, Butchart N, Chipperfield MP, Di Genova G, Deushi M, Dhomse SS, Hu R-M, Kinnison D, Kotkamp M, McKenzie R, Michou M, Connor FM, Oman LD, Pitari G, Plummer DA, Pyle JA, Rozanov E, Saint-Martin D, Sudo K, Tanaka TY, Visioni D and Yoshida K, Clear-sky ultraviolet radiation modelling using output from the Chemistry Climate Model Initiative, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 10087–10110
- 4. Morgenstern O, Hegglin MI, Rozanov E, O'Connor FM, Abraham NL, Akiyoshi H, Archibald AT, Bekki S, Butchart N, Chipperfield MP, Deushi M, Dhomse SS, Garcia RR, Hardiman SC, Horowitz LW, Jöckel P, Josse B, Kinnison D, Lin M, Mancini E, Manyin ME, Marchand M, Marécal V, Michou M, Oman LD, Pitari G, Plummer DA, Revell LE, Saint-Martin D, Schofield R, Stenke A, Stone K, Sudo K, Tanaka TY, Tilmes S, Yamashita Y, Yoshida K and Zeng G, Review of the global models used within phase 1 of the Chemistry–Climate Model Initiative (CCMI), Geosci. Model Dev, 2017, 10, 639–671
- Eyring V, Lamarque J-F, Hess P, Arfeuille F, Bowman K, Chipperfiel MP, Duncan B, Fiore A, Gettelman A and Giorgetta MA, Overview of IGAC/SPARC Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) community simulations in support of upcoming ozone and climate assessments, SPARC Newsletter, 2013, 40, 48–66
- 6. van Vuuren DP, Edmonds J, Kainuma M, Riahi K, Thomson A, Hibbard K, Hurtt GC, Kram T, Krey V, Lamarque J-F, Masui T, Meinshausen M, Nakicenovic N, Smith SJ and Rose SK, The representative concentration pathways: an overview, Clim. Change, 2011, 109, 5–31
- Kinne S, O'Donnel D, Stier P, Kloster S, Zhang K, Schmidt H, Rast S, Giorgetta M, Eck TF and Stevens B, MAC-v1: A new global aerosol climatology for climate studies, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst, 2013, 5, 704–740
- Pastukhova AS, Chubarova NE, Zhdanova YY, Galin VY and Smyshlyaev SP, Numerical simulation of variations in ozone content, erythemal ultraviolet radiation, and ultraviolet resources over Northern Eurasia in the 21st century, Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys, 2019, 55, 242–250
- 9. Chiodo G, Polvani LM, Marsh DR, Stenke A, Ball W, Rozanov E, Muthers S and Tsigaridis K, The response of the ozone layer to quadrupled CO2 concentrations, J. Clim, 2018, 31, 3893–3907
- IPCC Climate change 2014: synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Pachauri RK and Meyer LA, IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014, 151
- Bernhard GH, Fioletov VE, Grooß J-U, Ialongo I, Johnsen B, Lakkala K, Manney GL and Müller R, Ozone and UV radiation [in "State of the Climate in 2018"], Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc, 2019, 100, S165–S168
- Rösner B, Benedict I, Van Heerwaarden C, Weerts A, Hazeleger W, Bissolli P and Trachte K, The long heat wave and drought in Europe in 2018 [in "State of the Climate in 2018"], Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc, 2019, 100, S222–S223

- Mann ME, Rahmstorf S, Kornhuber K, Steinman BA, Miller SK and Coumou D, Influence of anthropogenic climate change on planetary wave resonance and extreme weather events, Sci. Rep, 2017, 7, 45242 [PubMed: 28345645]
- 14. Kuttippurath J, Kumar P, Nair PJ and Pandey PC, Emergence of ozone recovery evidenced by reduction in the occurrence of Antarctic ozone loss saturation, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci, 2018, 1, 42
- Pazmiño A, Godin-Beekmann S, Hauchecorne A, Claud C, Khaykin S, Goutail F, Wolfram E, Salvador J and Quel E, Multiple symptoms of total ozone recovery inside the Antarctic vortex during austral spring, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 7557–7572
- Steinbrecht W, Hegglin MI, Harris N and Weber M, Is global ozone recovering?, C. R. Geosci, 2018, 350, 368–375
- Wilka C, Shah K, Stone K, Solomon S, Kinnison D, Mills M, Schmidt A and Neely RR, On the role of heterogeneous chemistry in ozone depletion and recovery, Geophys. Res. Lett, 2018, 45, 7835–7842
- Solomon S, Ivy DJ, Kinnison D, Mills MJ, Neely RR and Schmidt A, Emergence of healing in the Antarctic ozone layer, Science, 2016, 353, 269–274 [PubMed: 27365314]
- Booth CR, Lucas TB, Morrow JH, Weiler S and Penhale P, The United States national science foundation's polar network for monitoring ultraviolet radiation, AGU Antarctic Research Series, Weiler CS and Penhale PA, AGU, Washington, 1994, 17–37
- Bernhard G, Booth CR and Ehramjian JC, Version 2 data of the National Science Foundation's Ultraviolet Radiation Monitoring Network: South Pole, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2004, 109, D21207
- 21. Son S-W, Han B-R, Garfinkel CI, Kim S-Y, Park R, Abraham NL, Akiyoshi H, Archibald AT, Butchart N, Chipperfield MP, Dameris M, Deushi M, Dhomse SS, Hardiman SC, Jöckel P, Kinnison D, Michou M, Morgenstern O, O'Connor FM, Oman LD, Plummer DA, Pozzer A, Revell LE, Rozanov E, Stenke A, Stone K, Tilmes S, Yamashita Y and Zeng G, Tropospheric jet response to Antarctic ozone depletion: An update with Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) models, Environ. Res. Lett, 2018, 13, 054024
- 22. Seviour WJM, Codron F, Doddridge EW, Ferreira D, Gnanadesikan A, Kelley M, Kostov Y, Marshall J, Polvani LM, Thomas JL and Waugh DW, The southern ocean sea surface temperature response to ozone depletion: a multimodel comparison, J. Clim, 2019, 32, 5107–5121
- 23. Gillett ZE, Arblaster JM, Dittus AJ, Deushi M, Jöckel P, Kinnison DE, Morgenstern O, Plummer DA, Revell LE, Rozanov E, Schofield R, Stenke A, Stone KA and Tilmes S, Evaluating the relationship between interannual variations in the Antarctic ozone hole and southern hemisphere surface climate in chemistry–climate models, J. Clim, 2019, 32, 3131–3151
- Meehl GA, Arblaster JM, Chung CTY, Holland MM, DuVivier A, Thompson L, Yang D and Bitz CM, Sustained ocean changes contributed to sudden Antarctic sea ice retreat in late 2016, Nat. Commun, 2019, 10, 14 [PubMed: 30600315]
- 25. Wang G, Hendon HH, Arblaster JM, Lim EP, Abhik S and van Rensch P, Compounding tropical and stratospheric forcing of the record low Antarctic sea-ice in 2016, Nat. Commun, 2019, 10, 13 [PubMed: 30600314]
- 26. Wang Z, Turner J, Wu Y and Liu C, Rapid Decline of Total Antarctic Sea Ice Extent during 2014– 16 Controlled by Wind-Driven Sea Ice Drift, J. Clim, 2019, 32, 5381–5395
- 27. Xie F, Ma X, Li J, Huang J, Tian W, Zhang J, Hu Y, Sun C, Zhou X, Feng J and Yang Y, An advanced impact of Arctic stratospheric ozone changes on spring precipitation in China, Clim. Dyn, 2018, 51, 4029–4041
- Stone KA, Solomon S, Kinnison DE, Baggett CF and Barnes EA, Prediction of northern hemisphere regional surface temperatures using stratospheric ozone information, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2019, 124, 5922–5933
- Aun M, Eerme K, Ansko I and Aun M, Daily, seasonal, and annual characteristics of UV radiation and its influencing factors in Tõravere, Estonia, 2004–2016, Theor. Appl. Climatol, 2019, 138, 887–897
- 30. Chubarova NE, Pastukhova AS, Galin VY and Smyshlyaev SP, Long-Term variability of UV irradiance in the Moscow region according to measurement and modeling data, Izv. Atmos. Ocean. Phys, 2018, 54, 139–146

- 31. Fountoulakis I, Zerefos CS, Bais AF, Kapsomenakis J, Koukouli M-E, Ohkawara N, Fioletov V, De Backer H, Lakkala K, Karppinen T and Webb AR, Twenty-five years of spectral UV-B measurements over Canada, Europe and Japan: Trends and effects from changes in ozone, aerosols, clouds, and surface reflectivity, C. R. Geosci, 2018, 350, 393–402
- 32. Kazadzis S, Founda D, Psiloglou BE, Kambezidis H, Mihalopoulos N, Sanchez-Lorenzo A, Meleti C, Raptis PI, Pierros F and Nabat P, Long-term series and trends in surface solar radiation in Athens, Greece, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 2395
- 33. Zhang H, Wang J, Castro García L, Zeng J, Dennhardt C, Liu Y and Krotkov NA, Surface erythemal UV irradiance in the continental United States derived from ground-based and OMI observations: quality assessment, trend analysis and sampling issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 2165–2181
- Bodeker GE, Scott JC, Kreher K and McKenzie RL, Global ozone trends in potential vorticity coordinates using TOMS and GOME intercompared against the Dobson network: 1978–1998, J. Geophys. Res, 2001, 106, 23029–23042
- 35. Mok J, Krotkov NA, Torres O, Jethva H, Li Z, Kim J, Koo J-H, Go S, Irie H, Labow G, Eck TF, Holben BN, Herman J, Loughman RP, Spinei E, Lee SS, Khatri P and Campanelli M, Comparisons of spectral aerosol single scattering albedo in Seoul, South Korea, Atmos. Meas. Tech, 2018, 11, 2295–2311
- 36. Fountoulakis I, Natsis A, Siomos N, Drosoglou T and Bais AF, Deriving aerosol absorption properties from solar ultraviolet radiation spectral measurements at Thessaloniki, Greece, Remote Sens, 2019, 11, 2179
- 37. Mok J, Krotkov NA, Arola A, Torres O, Jethva H, Andrade M, Labow G, Eck TF, Li Z, Dickerson RR, Stenchikov GL, Osipov S and Ren X, Impacts of brown carbon from biomass burning on surface UV and ozone photochemistry in the Amazon Basin, Sci. Rep, 2016, 6, 36940 [PubMed: 27833145]
- 38. Levelt PF, Joiner J, Tamminen J, Veefkind JP, Bhartia PK, Stein Zweers DC, Duncan BN, Streets DG, Eskes H, van der AR, McLinden C, Fioletov V, Carn S, de Laat J, DeLand M, Marchenko S, McPeters R, Ziemke J, Fu D, Liu X, Pickering K, Apituley A, González Abad G, Arola A, Boersma F, Chan Miller C, Chance K, de Graaf M, Hakkarainen J, Hassinen S, Ialongo I, Kleipool Q, Krotkov N, Li C, Lamsal L, Newman P, Nowlan C, Suleiman R, Tilstra LG, Torres O, Wang H and Wargan K, The Ozone Monitoring Instrument: overview of 14 years in space, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 5699–5745
- Torres O, Bhartia PK, Jethva H and Ahn C, Impact of the ozone monitoring instrument row anomaly on the long-term record of aerosol products, Atmos. Meas. Tech, 2018, 11, 2701–2715
- 40. Flynn L, Long C, Wu X, Evans R, Beck CT, Petropavlovskikh I, McConville G, Yu W, Zhang Z and Niu J, Performance of the ozone mapping and profiler suite (OMPS) products, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2014, 119, 6181–6195
- Lindfors AV, Kujanpää J, Kalakoski N, Heikkilä A, Lakkala K, Mielonen T, Sneep M, Krotkov NA, Arola A and Tamminen J, The TROPOMI surface UV algorithm, Atmos. Meas. Tech, 2018, 11, 997–1008
- 42. Cortesi U, Ceccherini S, Del Bianco S, Gai M, Tirelli C, Zoppetti N, Barbara F, Bonazountas M, Argyridis A, Bós A, Loenen E, Arola A, Kujanpää J, Lipponen A, Wandji Nyamsi W, van der AR, van Peet J, Tuinder O, Farruggia V, Masini A, Simeone E, Dragani R, Keppens A, Lambert J-C, van Roozendael M, Lerot C, Yu H and Verberne K, Advanced ultraviolet radiation and ozone retrieval for applications (AURORA): A project overview, Atmosphere, 2018, 9, 454
- Madronich S, Björn LO and McKenzie RL, Solar UV radiation and microbial life in the atmosphere, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2018, 17, 1918–1931 [PubMed: 29978175]
- 44. Montzka SA, Dutton GS, Yu P, Ray E, Portmann RW, Daniel JS, Kuijpers L, Hall BD, Mondeel D, Siso C, Nance JD, Rigby M, Manning AJ, Hu L, Moore F, Miller BR and Elkins JW, An unexpected and persistent increase in global emissions of ozone-depleting CFC-11, Nature, 2018, 557, 413–417 [PubMed: 29769666]
- 45. Lin Y, Gong D, Lv S, Ding Y, Wu G, Wang H, Li Y, Wang Y, Zhou L and Wang B, Observations of high levels of ozone-depleting CFC-11 at a remote mountain-top site in Southern China, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett, 2019, 6, 114–118.

- 46. Rigby M, Park S, Saito T, Western LM, Redington AL, Fang X, Henne S, Manning AJ, Prinn RG, Dutton GS, Fraser PJ, Ganesan AL, Hall BD, Harth CM, Kim J, Kim KR, Krummel PB, Lee T, Li S, Liang Q, Lunt MF, Montzka SA, Muhle J, O'Doherty S, Park MK, Reimann S, Salameh PK, Simmonds P, Tunnicliffe RL, Weiss RF, Yokouchi Y and Young D, Increase in CFC-11 emissions from eastern China based on atmospheric observations, Nature, 2019, 569, 546–550 [PubMed: 31118523]
- 47. Harris NRP, Montzka SA and Newman PA, Report on the International Symposium on the Unexpected Increase in Emissions of Ozone-Depleting CFC-11, SPARC Newsletter, 2019, 53, 9– 18
- Russotto RD and Ackerman TP, Changes in clouds and thermodynamics under solar geoengineering and implications for required solar reduction, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 11905–11925
- 49. Madronich S, Tilmes S, Kravitz B, MacMartin D and Richter J, Response of surface ultraviolet and visible radiation to stratospheric SO2 injections, Atmosphere, 2018, 9, 432
- Olsen CM, Green AC, Pandeya N and Whiteman DC, Trends in melanoma incidence rates in eight susceptible populations through 2015, J. Invest. Dermatol, 2019, 139, 1392–1395 [PubMed: 30578821]
- Holman DM, Freeman MB and Shoemaker ML, Trends in melanoma incidence among nonhispanic whites in the United States, 2005 to 2014, JAMA Dermatol, 2018, 154, 361–362 [PubMed: 29387875]
- Aitken JF, Youlden DR, Baade PD, Soyer HP, Green AC and Smithers BM, Generational shift in melanoma incidence and mortality in Queensland, Australia, 1995–2014, Int. J. Cancer, 2018, 142, 1528–1535 [PubMed: 29105744]
- 53. Sacchetto L, Zanetti R, Comber H, Bouchardy C, Brewster DH, Broganelli P, Chirlaque MD, Coza D, Galceran J, Gavin A, Hackl M, Katalinic A, Laronningen S, Louwman MWJ, Morgan E, Robsahm TE, Sanchez MJ, Tryggvadottir L, Tumino R, Van Eycken E, Vernon S, Zadnik V and Rosso S, Trends in incidence of thick, thin and in situ melanoma in Europe, Eur. J. Cancer, 2018, 92, 108–118 [PubMed: 29395684]
- Greveling K, Wakkee M, Nijsten T, van den Bos RR and Hollestein LM, Epidemiology of lentigo maligna and lentigo maligna melanoma in the Netherlands, 1989–2013, J. Invest. Dermatol, 2016, 136, 1955–1960 [PubMed: 27349862]
- 55. Matas-Nadal C, Malvehy J, Ferreres JR, Boada A, Bodet D, Segura S, Salleras M, Azon A, Bel-Pla S, Bigata X, Campoy A, Curcó N, Dalmau J, Formigon M, Gonzalez A, Just M, Llistosella E, Nogues ME, Pedragosa R, Pujol JA, Sabat M, Smandia JA, Zaballos P, Puig S and Martí RM, Increasing incidence of lentigo maligna and lentigo maligna melanoma in Catalonia, Int. J. Dermatol, 2019, 58, 577–581 [PubMed: 30548854]
- 56. Whiteman DC, Green AC and Olsen CM, The growing burden of invasive melanoma: projections of incidence rates and numbers of new cases in six susceptible populations through 2031, J. Invest. Dermatol, 2016, 136, 1161–1171 [PubMed: 26902923]
- 57. Garbe C, Keim U, Eigentler T, Amaral T, Katalinic A, Holleczek B, Martus P and Leiter U, Time trends in incidence and mortality of cutaneous melanoma in Germany, J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol, 2019, 33, 1272–1280 [PubMed: 30387899]
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA and Jemal A, Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries, Ca-Cancer J. Clin, 2018, 68, 394–424 [PubMed: 30207593]
- Karimkhani C, Green AC, Nijsten T, Weinstock MA, Dellavalle RP, Naghavi M and Fitzmaurice C, The global burden of melanoma: results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015, Br. J. Dermatol, 2017, 177, 134–140 [PubMed: 28369739]
- Arnold M, de Vries E, Whiteman DC, Jemal A, Bray F, Parkin DM and Soerjomataram I, Global burden of cutaneous melanoma attributable to ultraviolet radiation in 2012, Int. J. Cancer, 2018, 143, 1305–1314 [PubMed: 29659012]
- O'Sullivan DE, Brenner DR, Villeneuve PJ, Walter SD, Demers PA, Friedenreich CM and King WD, Estimates of the current and future burden of melanoma attributable to ultraviolet radiation in Canada, Prev. Med., 2019, 122, 81–90 [PubMed: 31078176]

- 62. Arnold M, Kvaskoff M, Thuret A, Guenel P, Bray F and Soerjomataram I, Cutaneous melanoma in France in 2015 attributable to solar ultraviolet radiation and the use of sunbeds, J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol, 2018, 32, 1681–1686 [PubMed: 29706005]
- 63. Savoye I, Olsen CM, Whiteman DC, Bijon A, Wald L, Dartois L, Clavel-Chapelon F, Boutron-Ruault MC and Kvaskoff M, Patterns of ultraviolet radiation exposure and skin cancer risk: the E3N-SunExp Study, J. Epidemiol, 2018, 28, 27–33 [PubMed: 29176271]
- 64. Olsen CM, Pandeya N, Law MH, MacGregor S, Iles MM, Thompson BS, Green AC, Neale RE and Whiteman DC, Does polygenic risk influence associations between sun exposure and melanoma? A prospective cohort analysis, Br. J. Dermatol, 2019
- 65. Whiteman DC, Parsons PG and Green AC, p53 expression and risk factors for cutaneous melanoma: a case-control study, Int. J. Cancer, 1998, 77, 843–848 [PubMed: 9714052]
- 66. Whiteman DC, Stickley M, Watt P, Hughes MC, Davis MB and Green AC, Anatomic site, sun exposure, and risk of cutaneous melanoma, J. Clin. Oncol, 2006, 24, 3172–3177 [PubMed: 16809740]
- Whiteman DC, Watt P, Purdie DM, Hughes MC, Hayward NK and Green AC, Melanocytic nevi, solar keratoses, and divergent pathways to cutaneous melanoma, J. Natl. Cancer Inst, 2003, 95, 806–812 [PubMed: 12783935]
- Cameron MC, Lee E, Hibler BP, Barker CA, Mori S, Cordova M, Nehal KS and Rossi AM, Basal cell carcinoma: Epidemiology; pathophysiology; clinical and histological subtypes; and disease associations, J. Am. Acad. Dermatol, 2019, 80, 303–317 [PubMed: 29782900]
- Adelson P, Sharplin GR, Roder DM and Eckert M, Keratinocyte cancers in South Australia: incidence, geographical variability and service trends, Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health, 2018, 42, 329– 333 [PubMed: 29972285]
- 70. Pondicherry A, Martin R, Meredith I, Rolfe J, Emanuel P and Elwood M, The burden of nonmelanoma skin cancers in Auckland, New Zealand, Australas. J. Dermatol, 2018, 59, 210–213 [PubMed: 29350397]
- 71. Anselmo Lima C, Sampaio Lima M, Maria Da Silva A, Prado Nunes MA, Macedo Lima MM, Oliveira Santos M, Lyra D and Kleber Alves C, Do cancer registries play a role in determining the incidence of non-melanoma skin cancers?, Eur. J. Dermatol, 2018, 28, 169–176 [PubMed: 29619990]
- 72. Oh CM, Cho H, Won YJ, Kong HJ, Roh YH, Jeong KH and Jung KW, Nationwide trends in the incidence of melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancers from 1999 to 2014 in south korea, Cancer Res. Treat, 2018, 50, 729–737 [PubMed: 28707459]
- 73. Venables ZC, Autier P, Nijsten T, Wong KF, Langan SM, Rous B, Broggio J, Harwood C, Henson K, Proby CM, Rashbass J and Leigh IM, Nationwide incidence of metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma in England, JAMA Dermatol, 2019, 155, 298–306 [PubMed: 30484823]
- 74. Noels EC, Hollestein LM, van Egmond S, Lugtenberg M, van Nistelrooij LPJ, Bindels PJE, van der Lei J, Stern RS, Nijsten T and Wakkee M, Healthcare utilization and management of actinic keratosis in primary and secondary care: a complementary database analysis, Br. J. Dermatol, 2019, 181, 544–553 [PubMed: 30636037]
- 75. Lee JH, Kim YH, Han KD, Park YM, Lee JY, Park YG and Lee YB, Incidence of actinic keratosis and risk of skin cancer in subjects with actinic keratosis: A population-based cohort study, Acta Derm.-Venereol, 2018, 98, 382–383 [PubMed: 29182789]
- 76. Flohil SC, van der Leest RJ, Dowlatshahi EA, Hofman A, de Vries E and Nijsten T, Prevalence of actinic keratosis and its risk factors in the general population: the Rotterdam Study, J. Invest. Dermatol, 2013, 133, 1971–1978 [PubMed: 23510990]
- Dziunycz PJ, Schuller E and Hofbauer GFL, Prevalence of actinic keratosis in patients attending general practitioners in Switzerland, Dermatology, 2018, 234, 214–219 [PubMed: 30125890]
- Ferrándiz-Pulido C, Lera-Imbuluzqueta M, Ferrándiz C and Plazas-Fernandez MJ, Prevalence of Actinic Keratosis in Different Regions of Spain: The EPIQA Study, Actas Dermo-Sifiliogr, 2018, 109, 83–86
- 79. Yeung H, Baranowski ML, Swerlick RA, Chen SC, Hemingway J, Hughes DR and Duszak R, Use and cost of actinic keratosis destruction in the Medicare part B fee-for-service population, 2007 to 2015, JAMA Dermatol, 2018, 154, 1281–1285 [PubMed: 30326488]

- 80. Tran DA, Coronado AC, Sarker S and Alvi R, Estimating the health care costs of non-melanoma skin cancer in Saskatchewan using physician billing data, Curr. Oncol, 2019, 26, 114–118 [PubMed: 31043813]
- 81. Park CK, Fung K, Austin PC, Kim SJ, Singer LG, Baxter NN, Rochon PA and Chan AW, Incidence and Risk Factors of Keratinocyte Carcinoma After First Solid Organ Transplant in Ontario, Canada, JAMA Dermatol, 2019, 155, 1041–1048
- Organ procurement and transplantation network Organ transplants in United States set sixth consecutive record in 2018, 2019
- van der Leun JC, Piacentini RD and de Gruijl FR, Climate change and human skin cancer, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2008, 7, 730–733 [PubMed: 18528559]
- Piacentini RD, Della Ceca LS and Ipina A, Climate change and its relationship with non-melanoma skin cancers, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2018, 17, 1913–1917 [PubMed: 30334034]
- Slaper H, Velders GJ, Daniel JS, de Gruijl FR and van der Leun JC, Estimates of ozone depletion and skin cancer incidence to examine the Vienna Convention achievements, Nature, 1996, 384, 256–258 [PubMed: 8918873]
- Gutierrez D, Gaulding JV, Motta Beltran AF, Lim HW and Pritchett EN, Photodermatoses in skin of colour, J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol, 2018, 32, 1879–1886 [PubMed: 29888465]
- 87. Rutter KJ, Ashraf I, Cordingley L and Rhodes LE, Quality of life and psychological impact in the photodermatoses: a systematic review, Br. J. Dermatol, 2019
- Swaminathan A, Harrison SL, Ketheesan N, van den Boogaard CHA, Dear K, Allen M, Hart PH, Cook M and Lucas RM, Exposure to solar UVR suppresses cell-mediated immunization responses in humans: The Australian Ultraviolet Radiation and Immunity Study, J. Invest. Dermatol, 2019, 139, 1545–1553 [PubMed: 30684553]
- Valencia-Vera E, Aguilera J, Cobos A, Bernabo JL, Perez-Valero V and Herrera-Ceballos E, Association between seasonal serum folate levels and ultraviolet radiation, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B, 2019, 190, 66–71 [PubMed: 30502586]
- Rezvan F, Khabazkhoob M, Hooshmand E, Yekta A, Saatchi M and Hashemi H, Prevalence and risk factors of pterygium: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Surv. Ophthalmol, 2018, 63, 719–735 [PubMed: 29551597]
- Garzon-Chavez DR, Quentin E, Harrison SL, Parisi AV, Butler HJ and Downs NJ, The geospatial relationship of pterygium and senile cataract with ambient solar ultraviolet in tropical Ecuador, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2018, 17, 1075–1083 [PubMed: 29926886]
- 92. Song P, Wang H, Theodoratou E, Chan KY and Rudan I, The national and subnational prevalence of cataract and cataract blindness in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis, J. Glob. Health, 2018, 8, 010804.
- 93. Bastawrous A, Mathenge W, Nkurikiye J, Wing K, Rono H, Gichangi M, Weiss HA, Macleod D, Foster A, Burton M and Kuper H, Incidence of visually impairing cataracts among older adults in Kenya, JAMA Netw. Open, 2019, 2, e196354
- 94. Zhou H, Zhang H, Yu A and Xie J, Association between sunlight exposure and risk of age-related macular degeneration: a meta-analysis, BMC Ophthalmol, 2018, 18, 331 [PubMed: 30572865]
- 95. Patra V, Wagner K, Arulampalam V and Wolf P, Skin microbiome modulates the effect of ultraviolet radiation on cellular response and immune function, iScience, 2019, 15, 211–222 [PubMed: 31079025]
- 96. Zawrotniak M, Bartnicka D and Rapala-Kozik M, UVA and UVB radiation induce the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps by human polymorphonuclear cells, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B, 2019, 196, 111511
- 97. Schweintzger N, Gruber-Wackernagel A, Reginato E, Bambach I, Quehenberger F, Byrne SN and Wolf P, Levels and function of regulatory T cells in patients with polymorphic light eruption: relation to photohardening, Br. J. Dermatol, 2015, 173, 519–526 [PubMed: 26032202]
- Hesterberg RS, Amorrortu RP, Zhao Y, Hampras S, Akuffo AA, Fenske N, Cherpelis B, Balliu J, Vijayan L, Epling-Burnette PK and Rollison DE, T regulatory cell subpopulations associated with recent ultraviolet radiation exposure in a skin cancer screening cohort, J. Immunol, 2018, 201, 3269–3281 [PubMed: 30389774]

- 99. Trend S, Jones AP, Cha L, Cooper MN, Geldenhuys S, Fabis-Pedrini MJ, Carroll WM, Cole JM, Booth DR, Lucas RM, French MA, Byrne SN, Kermode AG and Hart PH, Short-term changes in frequencies of circulating leukocytes associated with narrowband UVB phototherapy in people with clinically isolated syndrome, Sci. Rep, 2019, 9, 7980 [PubMed: 31138860]
- 100. Lucas RM, Byrne SN, Correale J, Ilschner S and Hart PH, Ultraviolet radiation, vitamin D and multiple sclerosis, Neurodegener. Dis. Manage, 2015, 5, 413–424
- 101. Holmes EA, Rodney Harris RM and Lucas RM, Low sun exposure and vitamin d deficiency as risk factors for inflammatory bowel disease, with a focus on childhood onset, Photochem. Photobiol, 2019, 95, 105–118 [PubMed: 30155900]
- 102. Narbutt J, Philipsen PA, Harrison GI, Morgan KA, Lawrence KP, Baczynska KA, Grys K, Rogowski-Tylman M, Olejniczak-Staruch I, Tewari A, Bell M, O'Connor C, Wulf HC, Lesiak A and Young AR, Sunscreen applied at 2 mg cm⁻² during a sunny holiday prevents erythema, a biomarker of ultraviolet radiation-induced DNA damage and suppression of acquired immunity, Br. J. Dermatol, 2019, 180, 604–614 [PubMed: 30307614]
- 103. Travers JB, Weyerbacher J, Ocana JA, Borchers C, Rapp CM and Sahu RP, Acute ethanol exposure augments low-dose uvb-mediated systemic immunosuppression via enhanced production of platelet-activating factor receptor agonists, J. Invest. Dermatol, 2019, 139, 1619– 1622 [PubMed: 30682347]
- 104. Cela EM, Gonzalez CD, Friedrich A, Ledo C, Paz ML, Leoni J, Gomez MI and Gonzalez Maglio DH, Daily very low UV dose exposure enhances adaptive immunity, compared with a single high-dose exposure. Consequences for the control of a skin infection, Immunology, 2018, 154, 510–521 [PubMed: 29377107]
- 105. Byrne SN, Spinks N and Halliday GM, Ultraviolet-A irradiation of C57BL/6 mice suppresses systemic contact hypersensitivity or enhances secondary immunity depending on dose, J. Invest. Dermatol, 2002, 119, 858–864 [PubMed: 12406331]
- 106. Byrne SN, Spinks N and Halliday GM, The induction of immunity to a protein antigen using an adjuvant is significantly compromised by ultraviolet A radiation, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B, 2006, 84, 128–134 [PubMed: 16563795]
- 107. Matthews YJ, Halliday GM, Phan TA and Damian DL, Wavelength dependency for UVA-induced suppression of recall immunity in humans, J. Dermatol. Sci, 2010, 59, 192–197 [PubMed: 20691571]
- 108. Manson JE, Cook NR, Lee IM, Christen W, Bassuk SS, Mora S, Gibson H, Gordon D, Copeland T, D'Agostino D, Friedenberg G, Ridge C, Bubes V, Giovannucci EL, Willett WC, Buring JE and Group VR, Vitamin D supplements and prevention of cancer and cardiovascular disease, N. Engl. J. Med, 2019, 380, 33–44 [PubMed: 30415629]
- 109. Barbarawi M, Kheiri B, Zayed Y, Barbarawi O, Dhillon H, Swaid B, Yelangi A, Sundus S, Bachuwa G, Alkotob ML and Manson JE, Vitamin D supplementation and cardiovascular disease risks in more than 83 000 individuals in 21 randomized clinical trials: A meta-analysis, JAMA Cardiol, 2019, 4, 765–776 [PubMed: 31215980]
- 110. Keum N, Lee DH, Greenwood DC, Manson JE and Giovannucci E, Vitamin D supplementation and total cancer incidence and mortality: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Ann. Oncol, 2019, 30, 733–743 [PubMed: 30796437]
- 111. Ong JS, Gharahkhani P, An J, Law MH, Whiteman DC, Neale RE and MacGregor S, Vitamin D and overall cancer risk and cancer mortality: a Mendelian randomization study, Hum. Mol. Genet, 2018, 27, 4315–4322 [PubMed: 30508204]
- 112. Thompson WD, Tyrrell J, Borges MC, Beaumont RN, Knight BA, Wood AR, Ring SM, Hattersley AT, Freathy RM and Lawlor DA, Association of maternal circulating 25(OH)D and calcium with birth weight: A mendelian randomisation analysis, PLoS Med., 2019, 16, e1002828
- 113. Sun JY, Zhao M, Hou Y, Zhang C, Oh J, Sun Z and Sun BL, Circulating serum vitamin D levels and total body bone mineral density: A Mendelian randomization study, J. Cell. Mol. Med, 2019, 23, 2268–2271 [PubMed: 30637964]
- 114. Libuda L, Laabs BH, Ludwig C, Buhlmeier J, Antel J, Hinney A, Naaresh R, Focker M, Hebebrand J, Konig IR and Peters T, Vitamin D and the risk of depression: a causal relationship? Findings from a Mendelian randomization study, Nutrients, 2019, 11, 1085

- 115. Michaelsson K, Melhus H and Larsson SC, Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and major depression: a Mendelian randomization study, Nutrients, 2018, 10, 1987
- 116. Wang N, Wang C, Chen X, Wan H, Chen Y, Chen C, Han B and Lu Y, Vitamin D, prediabetes and type 2 diabetes: bidirectional Mendelian randomization analysis, Eur. J. Nutr, 2019
- 117. Havdahl A, Mitchell R, Paternoster L and Davey Smith G, Investigating causality in the association between vitamin D status and self-reported tiredness, Sci. Rep, 2019, 9, 2880 [PubMed: 30814568]
- 118. Lund-Nielsen J, Vedel-Krogh S, Kobylecki CJ, Brynskov J, Afzal S and Nordestgaard BG, Vitamin D and inflammatory bowel disease: Mendelian randomization analyses in the Copenhagen Studies and UK Biobank, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab, 2018, 103, 3267–3277 [PubMed: 29947775]
- 119. Lucas RM, Yazar S, Young AR, Norval M, de Gruijl FR, Takizawa Y, Rhodes LE, Sinclair CA and Neale RE, Human health in relation to exposure to solar ultraviolet radiation under changing stratospheric ozone and climate, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2019, 18, 641–680 [PubMed: 30810559]
- 120. Lips P, Cashman KD, Lamberg-Allardt C, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Obermayer-Pietsch BR, Bianchi M, Stepan J, El-Hajj Fuleihan G and Bouillon R, Management of Endocrine Disease: Current vitamin D status in European and Middle East countries and strategies to prevent vitamin D deficiency; a position statement of the European Calcified Tissue Society, Eur. J. Endocrinol, 2019, 180, P23–P54 [PubMed: 30721133]
- 121. Herrick KA, Storandt RJ, Afful J, Pfeiffer CM, Schleicher RL, Gahche JJ and Potischman N, Vitamin D status in the United States, 2011–2014, Am. J. Clin. Nutr, 2019, 110, 150–157 [PubMed: 31076739]
- 122. Webb AR, Kazantzidis A, Kift RC, Farrar MD, Wilkinson J and Rhodes LE, Meeting Vitamin D Requirements in White Caucasians at UK Latitudes: Providing a Choice, Nutrients, 2018, 10, 497
- 123. Webb AR, Kazantzidis A, Kift RC, Farrar MD, Wilkinson J and Rhodes LE, Colour Counts: Sunlight and Skin Type as Drivers of Vitamin D Deficiency at UK Latitudes, Nutrients, 2018, 10, 457
- 124. Farrar MD, Webb AR, Kift R, Durkin MT, Allan D, Herbert A, Berry JL and Rhodes LE, Efficacy of a dose range of simulated sunlight exposures in raising vitamin D status in South Asian adults: implications for targeted guidance on sun exposure, Am. J. Clin. Nutr, 2013, 97, 1210–1216 [PubMed: 23615828]
- 125. Park HY, Hong YC, Lee K and Koh J, Vitamin D status and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma: An updated meta-analysis, PLoS One, 2019, 14, e0216284
- 126. Michalek IM, Martinsen JI, Weiderpass E, Hansen J, Sparen P, Tryggvadottir L and Pukkala E, Heavy metals, welding fumes, and other occupational exposures, and the risk of kidney cancer: A population-based nested case-control study in three Nordic countries, Environ. Res, 2019, 173, 117–123 [PubMed: 30903816]
- 127. Scragg R, Rahman J and Thornley S, Association of sun and UV exposure with blood pressure and cardiovascular disease: A systematic review, J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol, 2019, 187, 68– 75 [PubMed: 30412763]
- 128. Gallagher LG, Ilango S, Wundes A, Stobbe GA, Turk KW, Franklin GM, Linet MS, Freedman DM, Alexander BH and Checkoway H, Lifetime exposure to ultraviolet radiation and the risk of multiple sclerosis in the US radiologic technologists cohort study, Mult. Scler. J, 2019, 25, 1162–1169
- 129. Beck N, Balanay JAG and Johnson T, Assessment of occupational exposure to heat stress and solar ultraviolet radiation among groundskeepers in an eastern North Carolina university setting, J. Occup. Environ. Hyg, 2018, 15, 105–116 [PubMed: 29090983]
- 130. O'Sullivan F, van Geffen J, van Weele M and Zgaga L, Annual Ambient UVB at Wavelengths that Induce Vitamin D Synthesis is Associated with Reduced Esophageal and Gastric Cancer Risk: A Nested Case-Control Study, Photochem. Photobiol, 2018, 94, 797–806 [PubMed: 29485201]

- 131. Makin J, Shaw K and Winzenberg T, Targeted programs for skin cancer prevention: An evidence check rapid review brokered by the Sax Institute (www.saxinstitute.org.au) for the Cancer Institute NSW, 2018
- 132. Holman DM, Ding H, Berkowitz Z, Hartman AM and Perna FM, Sunburn prevalence among US adults, National Health Interview Survey 2005, 2010, and 2015, J. Am. Acad. Dermatol, 2019, 80, 817–820 [PubMed: 30744879]
- 133. Cancer Australia National Cancer Control Indicators: Sunburn and sun protection, 2019
- 134. Koster B, Meyer M, Andersson T, Engholm G and Dalum P, Development in sunburn 2007–2015 and skin cancer projections 2007–2040 of campaign results in the Danish population, Medicine, 2018, 97, e12738
- 135. Narbutt J, Philipsen PA, Harrison GI, Morgan KA, Lawrence KP, Baczynska KA, Grys K, Rogowski-Tylman M, Olejniczak-Staruch I, Tewari A, Bell M, O'Connor C, Wulf HC, Lesiak A and Young AR, Sunscreen applied at 2 mg cm⁻² during a sunny holiday prevents erythema, a biomarker of ultraviolet radiation-induced DNA damage and suppression of acquired immunity, Br. J. Dermatol, 2019, 180, 604–614 [PubMed: 30307614]
- 136. Young AR, Narbutt J, Harrison GI, Lawrence KP, Bell M, O'Connor C, Olsen P, Grys K, Baczynska KA, Rogowski-Tylman M, Wulf HC, Lesiak A and Philipsen PA, Optimal sunscreen use, during a sun holiday with a very high ultraviolet index, allows vitamin D synthesis without sunburn, Br. J. Dermatol, 2019, 181, 1052–1062 [PubMed: 31069787]
- 137. Neale RE, Khan SR, Lucas RM, Waterhouse M, Whiteman DC and Olsen CM, The effect of sunscreen on vitamin D: a review, Br. J. Dermatol, 2019, 181, 907–915 [PubMed: 30945275]
- 138. Matta MK, Zusterzeel R, Pilli NR, Patel V, Volpe DA, Florian J, Oh L, Bashaw E, Zineh I, Sanabria C, Kemp S, Godfrey A, Adah S, Coelho S, Wang J, Furlong LA, Ganley C, Michele T and Strauss DG, Effect of sunscreen application under maximal use conditions on plasma concentration of sunscreen active ingredients: a randomized clinical trial, JAMA, J. Am. Med. Assoc, 2019, 321, 2082–2091
- 139. Hiller J, Klotz K, Meyer S, Uter W, Hof K, Greiner A, Goen T and Drexler H, Systemic availability of lipophilic organic UV filters through dermal sunscreen exposure, Environ. Int, 2019, 132, 105068
- 140. Hiller J, Klotz K, Meyer S, Uter W, Hof K, Greiner A, Goen T and Drexler H, Toxicokinetics of urinary 2-ethylhexyl salicylate and its metabolite 2-ethyl-hydroxyhexyl salicylate in humans after simulating real-life dermal sunscreen exposure, Arch. Toxicol, 2019, 93, 2565–2574 [PubMed: 31435713]
- 141. Lindstrom AR, von Schuckmann LA, Hughes MCB, Williams GM, Green AC and van der Pols JC, Regular sunscreen use and risk of mortality: long-term follow-up of a skin cancer prevention trial, Am. J. Prev. Med, 2019, 56, 742–746 [PubMed: 30885518]
- 142. Whiteman DC, Neale RE, Aitken J, Gordon L, Green AC, Janda M, Olsen CM, Soyer HP and Sunscreen Summit Policy G, When to apply sunscreen: a consensus statement for Australia and New Zealand, Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health, 2019, 43, 171–175 [PubMed: 30681231]
- 143. McKenzie RL and Lucas RM, Reassessing impacts of extended daily exposure to low level solar UV radiation, Sci. Rep, 2018, 8, 13805 [PubMed: 30218096]
- 144. Lucas RM, Neale RE, Madronich S and McKenzie RL, Are current guidelines for sun protection optimal for health? Exploring the evidence, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2018, 17, 1956–1963 [PubMed: 29904757]
- 145. Lehmann M, Pfahlberg AB, Sandmann H, Uter W and Gefeller O, Messages associated with low UV Index values need reconsideration, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2019, 16, 2067
- 146. Lehmann M, Sandmann H, Pfahlberg AB, Uter W and Gefeller O, Erythemal UV Radiation on Days with Low UV Index Values - an Analysis of Data from the German Solar UV Monitoring Network over a Ten-year Period, Photochem. Photobiol, 2019, 95, 1076–1082 [PubMed: 30767232]
- 147. Ballaré CL, Caldwell MM, Flint SD, Robinson SA and Bornman JF, Effects of solar ultraviolet radiation on terrestrial ecosystems. Patterns, mechanisms, and interactions with climate change, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2011, 10, 226–241 [PubMed: 21253661]

- 148. Barnes PW, Williamson CE, Lucas RM, Robinson SA, Madronich S, Paul ND, Bornman JF, Bais AF, Sulzberger B, Wilson SR, Andrady AL, McKenzie RL, Neale PJ, Austin AT, Bernhard GH, Solomon KR, Neale RE, Young PJ, Norval M, Rhodes LE, Hylander S, Rose KC, Longstreth J, Aucamp PJ, Ballaré CL, Cory RM, Flint SD, de Gruijl FR, Häder D-P, Heikkilä AM, Jansen MAK, Pandey KK, Robson TM, Sinclair CA, Wängberg S-Å, Worrest RC, Yazar S, Young AR and Zepp RG, Ozone depletion, ultraviolet radiation, climate change and prospects for a sustainable future, Nat. Sustain, 2019, 2, 569–579
- 149. Williamson CE, Zepp RG, Lucas RM, Madronich S, Austin AT, Ballaré CL, Norval M, Sulzberger B, Bais AF, McKenzie RL, Robinson SA, Häder D-P, Paul ND and Bornman JF, Solar ultraviolet radiation in a changing climate, Nat. Clim. Change, 2014, 4, 434–441
- 150. Feeley KJ, Stroud JT and Perez TM, Most 'global' reviews of species' responses to climate change are not truly global, Diversity Distrib, 2017, 23, 231–234
- 151. Rolando JL, Turin C, Ramírez DA, Mares V, Monerris J and Quiroz R, Key ecosystem services and ecological intensification of agriculture in the tropical high-Andean Puna as affected by land-use and climate changes, Agric., Ecosyst. Environ, 2017, 236, 221–233
- 152. Sheldon KS Climate change in the tropics: ecological and evolutionary responses at low latitudes, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst, 2019, 50, 303–333
- 153. Bornman JF, Barnes PW, Robson TM, Robinson SA, Jansen MAK, Ballaré CL and Flint SD, Linkages between stratospheric ozone, UV radiation and climate change and their implications for terrestrial ecosystems, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2019, 18, 681–716 [PubMed: 30810560]
- 154. Gibson-Reinemer DK, Sheldon KS and Rahel FJ, Climate change creates rapid species turnover in montane communities, Ecol. Evol, 2015, 5, 2340–2347 [PubMed: 26120424]
- 155. Deutsch CATewksbury JJ, Huey RB, Sheldon KS, Ghalambor CK, Haak DC and Martin PR, Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A, 2008, 105, 6668–6672 [PubMed: 18458348]
- 156. Knuesting J, Brinkmann MC, Silva B, Schorsch M, Bendix J, Beck E and Scheibe R, Who will win where and why? An ecophysiological dissection of the competition between a tropical pasture grass and the invasive weed Bracken over an elevation range of 1000 m in the tropical Andes, PLoS One, 2018, 13, e0202255
- 157. WMO Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2018, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 58, Geneva, Switzerland, 2018, 88
- 158. Krause GH, Jahns P, Virgo A, Garcia M, Aranda J, Wellmann E and Winter K, Photoprotection, photosynthesis and growth of tropical tree seedlings under near-ambient and strongly reduced solar ultraviolet-B radiation, J. Plant Physiol, 2007, 164, 1311–1322 [PubMed: 17074417]
- 159. Kataria S and Guruprasad KN, Exclusion of solar UV radiation improves photosynthetic performance and yield of wheat varieties, Plant Physiol. Biochem, 2015, 97, 400–411 [PubMed: 26555898]
- 160. Kataria S and Baghel L, Influence of UV exclusion and selenium on carbon fixation, nitrogen fixation and yield of soybean variety JS-335, S. Afr. J. Bot, 2016, 103, 126–134
- 161. Mazza CA, Gimenez PI, Kantolic AG and Ballaré CL, Beneficial effects of solar UV-B radiation on soybean yield mediated by reduced insect herbivory under field conditions, Physiol. Plant, 2013, 147, 307–315 [PubMed: 22671980]
- 162. Pecl GT, Araújo MB, Bell JD, Blanchard J, Bonebrake TC, Chen I-C, Clark TD, Colwell RK, Danielsen F, Evengård B, Falconi L, Ferrier S, Frusher S, Garcia RA, Griffis RB, Hobday AJ, Janion-Scheepers C, Jarzyna MA, Jennings S, Lenoir J, Linnetved HI, Martin VY, McCormack PC, McDonald J, Mitchell NJ, Mustonen T, Pandolfi JM, Pettorelli N, Popova E, Robinson SA, Scheffers BR, Shaw JD, Sorte CJB, Strugnell JM, Sunday JM, Tuanmu M-N, Vergés A, Villanueva C, Wernberg T, Wapstra E and Williams SE, Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human well-being, Science, 2017, 355, eaai9214
- 163. Wolf A, Zimmerman NB, Anderegg WRL, Busby PE and Christensen J, Altitudinal shifts of the native and introduced flora of California in the context of 20th-century warming, Global Ecol. Biogeogr, 2016, 25, 418–429
- 164. Dainese M, Aikio S, Hulme PE, Bertolli A, Prosser F and Marini L, Human disturbance and upward expansion of plants in a warming climate, Nat. Clim. Change, 2017, 7, 577–580

- 165. Mosena A, Steinlein T and Beyschlag W, Reconstructing the historical spread of non-native plants in the North American West from herbarium specimens, Flora, 2018, 242, 45–52
- 166. Wang H, Ma XC, Zhang L, Siemann E and Zou JW, UV-B has larger negative impacts on invasive populations of Triadica sebifera but ozone impacts do not vary, J. Plant Ecol, 2016, 9, 61–68
- 167. Václavík T, Beckmann M, Cord AF and Bindewald AM, Effects of UV-B radiation on leaf hair traits of invasive plants—Combining historical herbarium records with novel remote sensing data, PLoS One, 2017, 12, e0175671
- 168. Barnes PW, Ryel RJ and Flint SD, UV screening in native and non-native plant species in the tropical alpine: Implications for climate change-driven migration of species to higher elevations, Front. Plant Sci, 2017, 8, 12–21 [PubMed: 28149306]
- 169. Hock M, Hofmann RW, Müller C and Erfmeier A, Exotic plant species are locally adapted but not to high ultraviolet-B radiation: a reciprocal multispecies experiment, Ecology, 2019, 100, e02665
- 170. Watermann LY, Hock M, Blake C and Erfmeier A, Plant invasion into high elevations implies adaptation to high UV-B environments: a multi-species experiment, Biol. Invasions, 2020, 22, 1203–1218
- 171. Tomiolo S and Ward D, Species migrations and range shifts: A synthesis of causes and consequences, Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst, 2018, 33, 62–77
- 172. Wink M, Botschen F, Gosmann C, Schäfer H and Waterman PG, Chemotaxonomy seen from a phylogenetic perspective and evolution of secondary metabolism, Annu. Plant Rev, 2018, 364– 433
- 173. Castagna A, Csepregi K, Neugart S, Zipoli G, Ve e ová K, Jakab G, Jug T, Llorens L, Martínez-Abaigar J, Martínez-Lüscher J, Núñez-Olivera E, Ranieri A, Schoedl-Hummel K, Schreiner M, Teszlák P, Tittmann S, Urban O, Verdaguer D, Jansen MAK and Hideg É, Environmental plasticity of Pinot noir grapevine leaves; a trans-European study of morphological and biochemical changes along a 1500 km latitudinal climatic gradient, Plant, Cell Environ, 2017, 40, 2790–2805 [PubMed: 28792065]
- 174. Tripp EA, Zhuang Y, Schreiber M, Stone H and Berardi AE, Evolutionary and ecological drivers of plant flavonoids across a large latitudinal gradient, Mol. Phylogenet. Evol, 2018, 128, 147–161 [PubMed: 30017824]
- 175. Pellissier L, Moreira X, Danner H, Serrano M, Salamin N, van Dam NM and Rasmann S, The simultaneous inducibility of phytochemicals related to plant direct and indirect defences against herbivores is stronger at low elevation, J. Ecol, 2016, 104, 1116–1125
- 176. Kergunteuil A, Descombes P, Glauser G, Pellissier L and Rasmann S, Plant physical and chemical defence variation along elevation gradients: a functional trait-based approach, Oecologia, 2018, 187, 561–571 [PubMed: 29774426]
- 177. Moreira X, Petry WK, Mooney KA, Rasmann S and Abdala-Roberts L, Elevational gradients in plant defences and insect herbivory: recent advances in the field and prospects for future research, Ecography, 2018, 41, 1485–1496
- 178. Waterman MJ, Nugraha AS, Hendra R, Ball GE, Robinson SA and Keller PA, Antarctic moss biflavonoids show high antioxidant and ultraviolet-screening activity, J. Nat. Prod, 2017, 80, 2224–2231 [PubMed: 28783339]
- 179. Fraser DP, Sharma A, Fletcher T, Budge S, Moncrieff C, Dodd AN and Franklin KA, UV-B antagonises shade avoidance and increases levels of the flavonoid quercetin in coriander (Coriandrum sativum), Sci. Rep, 2017, 7, 17758 [PubMed: 29259256]
- Ballaré CL and Austin AT, Recalculating growth and defense strategies under competition: Key roles of photoreceptors and jasmonates, J. Exp. Bot, 2019, 70, 3425–3434 [PubMed: 31099390]
- 181. Sproull GJ, Quigley MF, Sher A and Gonzalez E, Long-term changes in composition, diversity and distribution patterns in four herbaceous plant communities along an elevational gradient, J. Veg. Sci, 2015, 26, 552–563
- 182. Savage J and Vellend M, Elevational shifts, biotic homogenization and time lags in vegetation change during 40 years of climate warming, Ecography, 2015, 38, 546–555
- 183. Wang Q-W, Nagano S, Ozaki H, Morinaga S-I, Hidema J and Hikosaka K, Functional differentiation in UV-B-induced DNA damage and growth inhibition between highland and lowland ecotypes of two Arabidopsis species, Environ. Exp. Bot, 2016, 131, 110–119

- 184. Skarbø K and VanderMolen K, Maize migration: key crop expands to higher altitudes under climate change in the Andes, Clim. Dev, 2016, 8, 245–255
- 185. Ibañez VN, Berli FJ, Masuelli RW, Bottini RA and Marfil CF, Influence of altitude and enhanced ultraviolet-B radiation on tuber production, seed viability, leaf pigments and morphology in the wild potato species Solanum kurtzianum Bitter & Wittm collected from an elevational gradient, Plant Sci, 2017, 261, 60–68 [PubMed: 28554694]
- 186. Derebe AD, Gobena Roro A, Tessfaye Asfaw B, Worku Ayele W and Hvoslef-Eide AK, Effects of solar UV-B radiation exclusion on physiology, growth and yields of taro (Colocasia esculenta (L.)) at different altitudes in tropical environments of Southern Ethiopia, Sci. Hortic, 2019, 256, 108563
- 187. Bokhorst S, Pedersen SH, Brucker L, Anisimov O, Bjerke JW, Brown RD, Ehrich D, Essery RL, Heilig A, Ingvander S, Johansson C, Johansson M, Jonsdottir IS, Inga N, Luojus K, Macelloni G, Mariash H, McLennan D, Rosqvist GN, Sato A, Savela H, Schneebeli M, Sokolov A, Sokratov SA, Terzago S, Vikhamar-Schuler D, Williamson S, Qiu Y and Callaghan TV, Changing Arctic snow cover: A review of recent developments and assessment of future needs for observations, modelling, and impacts, Ambio, 2016, 45, 516–537 [PubMed: 26984258]
- 188. McCabe GJ and Wolock DM, Long-term variability in Northern Hemisphere snow cover and associations with warmer winters, Clim. Change, 2010, 99, 141–153
- 189. Hammond JC, Saavedra FA and Kampf SK, Global snow zone maps and trends in snow persistence 2001–2016, Int. J. Climatol, 2018, 38, 4369–4383
- 190. Thackeray CW, Derksen C, Fletcher CG and Hall A, Snow and Climate: Feedbacks, Drivers, and Indices of Change, Curr. Clim. Change Rep, 2019, 5, 322–333
- 191. Niittynen P, Heikkinen RK and Luoto M, Snow cover is a neglected driver of Arctic biodiversity loss, Nat. Clim. Change, 2018, 8, 997–1001
- 192. Phoenix GK and Bjerke JW, Arctic browning: extreme events and trends reversing arctic greening, Global Change Biol, 2016, 22, 2960–2962
- 193. Treharne R, Bjerke JW, Tømmervik H, Stendardi L and Phoenix GK, Arctic browning: Impacts of extreme climatic events on heathland ecosystem CO2 fluxes, Global Change Biol, 2019, 25, 489– 503
- 194. Robinson SA, King DH, Bramley-Alves J, Waterman MJ, Ashcroft MB, Wasley J, Turnbull JD, Miller RE, Ryan-Colton E, Benny T, Mullany K, Clarke LJ, Barry LA and Hua Q, Rapid change in East Antarctic terrestrial vegetation in response to regional drying, Nat. Clim. Change, 2018, 8, 879–884
- 195. Coffey A and Jansen MAK, Effects of natural solar UV-B radiation on three Arabidopsis accessions are strongly affected by seasonal weather conditions, Plant Physiol. Biochem, 2019, 134, 64–72 [PubMed: 29958807]
- 196. Pescheck F and Bilger W, Compensation of lack of UV screening by cellular tolerance in green macroalgae (Ulvophyceae) from the upper eulittoral, Mar. Biol, 2018, 165, 132
- 197. Solanki T, Aphalo PJ, Neimane S, Hartikainen SM, Pieristè M, Shapiguzov A, Porcar-Castell A, Atherton J, Heikkilä A and Robson TM, UV-screening and springtime recovery of photosynthetic capacity in leaves of Vaccinium vitis-idaea above and below the snow pack, Plant Physiol. Biochem, 2019, 134, 40–52 [PubMed: 30219502]
- 198. Robson TM and Aphalo PJ, Transmission of ultraviolet, visible and near-infrared solar radiation to plants within a seasonal snow pack, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2019, 18, 1963–1971 [PubMed: 31342042]
- 199. Ahmed S, Griffin T, Cash SB, Han W-Y, Matyas C, Long C, Orians CM, Stepp JR, Robbat A and Xue D, Global Climate Change, Ecological Stress, and Tea Production, Stress Physiology of Tea in the Face of Climate Change, Springer, 2018, 1–23
- 200. Dusenge ME, Duarte AG and Way DA, Plant carbon metabolism and climate change: elevated CO₂ and temperature impacts on photosynthesis, photorespiration and respiration, New Phytol, 2019, 221, 32–49 [PubMed: 29983005]
- 201. Reich PB, Hobbie SE and Lee TD, Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO2 eliminated by joint water and nitrogen limitation, Nat. Geosci, 2014, 7, 920

- 202. Urban O, Hrstka M, Holub P, Veselá B, Ve e ová K, Novotná K, Grace J and Klem K, Interactive effects of ultraviolet radiation and elevated CO₂ concentration on photosynthetic characteristics of European beech saplings during the vegetation season, Plant Physiol. Biochem, 2019, 134, 20–30 [PubMed: 30172459]
- 203. Jansen MAK, Bilger W, Hideg É, Strid Å, Aphalo P, Brelsford C, Klem K, Mátai A, Llorens L, Nezval J, Nybakken L, Ryan L, Sharma A, Schenke D, Solhaug KA, Spunda V, Verdaguer D, Yan Y and Urban O, Interactive effects of UV-B radiation in a complex environment, Plant Physiol. Biochem, 2019, 134
- 204. Robson TM, Hartikainen SM and Aphalo PJ, How does solar ultraviolet-B radiation improve drought tolerance of silver birch (Betula pendula Roth.) seedlings?, Plant, Cell Environ, 2015, 38, 953–967 [PubMed: 25041067]
- 205. Ballaré CL Light regulation of plant defense, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol, 2014, 65, 335–363 [PubMed: 24471835]
- 206. Bornman JF, Barnes PW, Robinson SA, Ballaré CL, Flint SD and Caldwell MM, Solar ultraviolet radiation and ozone depletion-driven climate change: effects on terrestrial ecosystems, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2015, 14, 88–107 [PubMed: 25435216]
- 207. Escobar-Bravo R, Nederpel C, Naranjo S, Kim HK, Rodríguez-López MJ, Chen G, Glauser G, Leiss KA and Klinkhamer PGL, Ultraviolet radiation modulates both constitutive and inducible plant defenses against thrips but is dose and plant genotype dependent, J. Pest Sci, 2019
- 208. Robson TM, Aphalo PJ, Banas AK, Barnes PW, Brelsford CC, Jenkins GI, Kotilainen T, Labuz J, Martínez-Abaigar J, Morales LO, Neugart S, Pieristè M, Rai N, Vandenbussche F and Jansen M, A perspective on ecologically relevant plant-UV research and its practical application, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2019, 18, 970–988 [PubMed: 30720036]
- 209. Merfield CN, Winder L, Stilwell SA, Hofmann RW, Bennett JR, Wargent JJ and Hodge S, Mesh crop covers improve potato yield and inhibit tomato potato psyllid and blight: The roles of mesh pore size and ultraviolet radiation, Ann. Appl. Biol, 2019, 174, 223–237
- 210. Á Del-Castillo-Alonso M, Monforte L, Tomás-Las-Heras R, Martínez-Abaigar J and Núñez-Olivera E, Phenolic characteristics acquired by berry skins of Vitis vinifera cv. Tempranillo in response to close-to-ambient solar ultraviolet radiation are mostly reflected in the resulting wines, J. Sci. Food Agric, 2020, 100, 401 [PubMed: 31637723]
- 211. Neugart S and Schreiner M, UVB and UVA as eustressors in horticultural and agricultural crops, Sci. Hortic, 2018, 234, 370–381
- 212. El-Aal HA A, Rizk AM and Mousa IE, Evaluation of new greenhouse covers with modified light regime to control cotton aphid and cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) productivity, Crop Prot, 2018, 107, 64–70
- 213. Assumpção CF, Hermes VS, Pagno C, Castagna A, Mannucci A, Sgherri C, Pinzino C, Ranieri A, Flôres SH and AdO R, Phenolic enrichment in apple skin following post-harvest fruit UV-B treatment, Postharvest Biol. Technol, 2018, 138, 37–45
- 214. Rose KC, Neale PJ, Tzortziou M, Gallegos CL and Jordan TE, Patterns of spectral, spatial, and long-term variability in light attenuation in an optically complex sub-estuary, Limnol. Oceanogr, 2019, 64, S257–S272
- 215. Lindholm M, Eie M, Hessen DO, Johansen JT, Weiby K and Thaulow J, Effects of water browning on freshwater biodiversity: the case of the predatory phantom midge Chaoborus nyblaei, Hydrobiologia, 2018, 813, 33–40
- 216. Neale PJ and Smyth RL, Are Warmer Waters, Brighter Waters? An Examination of the Irradiance Environment of Lakes and Oceans in a Changing Climate, Aquatic Ecosystems in a Changing Climate, H\u00e4der D-P and Gao K, CRC, 2018, 89–115
- 217. IPCC Summary for Policymakers, IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate, H.-O. Pörtner
- 218. Williamson CE, Neale PJ, Hylander S, Rose KC, Figueroa FL, Robinson SA, Häder D-P, Wängberg S-Å and Worrest RC, The interactive effects of stratospheric ozone depletion, UV radiation, and climate change on aquatic ecosystems, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2019, 18, 717– 746 [PubMed: 30810561]

- 219. Williamson CE, Overholt EP, Brentrup JA, Pilla RM, Leach TH, Schladow SG, Warren JD, Urmy SS, Sadro S, Chandra S and Neale PJ, Sentinel responses to droughts, wildfires, and floods: Ultraviolet radiation and the consequences for lakes and their ecosystem services, Front. Ecol. Environ, 2016, 14, 102–109
- 220. Williamson CE, Madronich S, Lal A, Zepp RG, Lucas RM, Overholt EP, Rose KC, Schladow SG and Lee-Taylor J, Climate change-induced increases in precipitation are reducing the potential for solar ultraviolet radiation to inactivate pathogens in surface waters, Sci. Rep, 2017, 7, 13033 [PubMed: 29026153]
- 221. Tucker AJ, Williamson CE, Rose KC, Oris JT, Connelly SJ, Olson MH and Mitchell DL, Ultraviolet radiation affects invasibility of lake ecosystems by warm-water fish, Ecology, 2010, 91, 882–890 [PubMed: 20426345]
- 222. Tucker AJ and Williamson CE, The invasion window for warmwater fish in clearwater lakes: the role of ultraviolet radiation and temperature, Divers. Distrib, 2014, 20, 181–192
- 223. Tucker AJ, Williamson CE and Oris JT, Development and application of a UV attainment threshold for the prevention of warmwater aquatic invasive species, Biol. Invasions, 2012, 14, 2331–2342
- 224. Caputo L, Huovinen P, Sommaruga R and Gomez I, Water transparency affects the survival of the medusa stage of the invasive freshwater jellyfish Craspedacusta sowerbii, Hydrobiologia, 2018, 817, 179–191
- 225. Barboza LGA, Vethaak AD, Lavorante BR, Lundebye A-K and Guilhermino L, Marine microplastic debris: An emerging issue for food security, food safety and human health, Mar. Pollut. Bull, 2018, 133, 336–348 [PubMed: 30041323]
- 226. Rochman CM, Brookson C, Bikker J, Djuric N, Earn A, Bucci K, Athey S, Huntington A, McIlwraith H, Munno K, De Frond H, Kolomijeca A, Erdle L, Grbic J, Bayoumi M, Borrelle SB, Wu T, Santoro S, Werbowski LM, Zhu X, Giles RK, Hamilton BM, Thaysen C, Kaura A, Klasios N, Ead L, Kim J, Sherlock C, Ho A and Hung C, Rethinking microplastics as a diverse contaminant suite, Environ. Toxicol. Chem, 2019, 38, 703–711 [PubMed: 30909321]
- 227. Burns EE and Boxall ABA, Microplastics in the aquatic environment: Evidence for or against adverse impacts and major knowledge gaps, Environ. Toxicol. Chem, 2018, 37, 2776–2796 [PubMed: 30328173]
- 228. Hossain MR, Jiang M, Wei Q and Leff LG, Microplastic surface properties affect bacterial colonization in freshwater, J. Basic Microbiol, 2019, 59, 54 [PubMed: 30370668]
- 229. Tsiota P, Karkanorachaki K, Syranidou E, Franchini M and Kalogerakis N, Microbial degradation of HDPE secondary microplastics: Preliminary Results, paper presented at Proceedings of the International Conference on Microplastic Pollution in the Mediterranean Sea, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018
- 230. Foley CJ, Feiner ZS, Malinich TD and Höök TO, A meta-analysis of the effects of exposure to microplastics on fish and aquatic invertebrates, Sci. Total Environ, 2018, 631–632, 550–559
- 231. Gouin T, Becker RA, Collot AG, Davis JW, Howard B, Inawaka K, Lampi M, Ramon BS, Shi J and Hopp PW, Toward the Development and Application of an Environmental Risk Assessment Framework for Microplastic, Environ. Toxicol. Chem, 2019, 38, 2087–2100 [PubMed: 31233238]
- 232. Wang Q, Zhang Y, Wangjin X, Wang Y, Meng G and Chen Y, The adsorption behavior of metals in aqueous solution by microplastics effected by UV radiation, J. Environ. Sci, 2020, 87, 272– 280
- 233. Hernandez LM, Xu EG, Larsson HCE, Tahara R, Maisuria VB and Tufenkji N, Plastic teabags release billions of microparticles and nanoparticles into tea, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2019, 53, 12300–12310 [PubMed: 31552738]
- 234. Malinich TD, Chou N, Sepúlveda MS and Höök TO, No evidence of microplastic impacts on consumption or growth of larval Pimephales promelas, Environ. Toxicol. Chem, 2018, 37, 2912– 2918 [PubMed: 30125981]
- 235. Schür C, Rist S, Baun A, Mayer P, Hartmann NB and Wagner M, When fluorescence is not a particle: the tissue translocation of microplastics in Daphnia magna seems an artifact, Environ. Toxicol. Chem, 2019, 38, 1495–1503 [PubMed: 31009098]

- 236. Seidensticker S, Zarfl C, Cirpka OA and Grathwohl P, Microplastic–Contaminant Interactions: Influence of nonlinearity and coupled mass transfer, Environ. Toxicol. Chem, 2019, 38, 1635– 1644 [PubMed: 30998287]
- 237. Ziajahromi S, Kumar A, Neale PA and Leusch FDL, Effects of polyethylene microplastics on the acute toxicity of a synthetic pyrethroid to midge larvae (Chironomus tepperi) in synthetic and river water, Sci. Total Environ, 2019, 671, 971–975
- 238. Schneider SL and Lim HW, Review of environmental effects of oxybenzone and other sunscreen active ingredients, J. Am. Acad. Dermatol, 2019, 80, 266–271 [PubMed: 29981751]
- 239. Mitchelmore CL, He K, Gonsior M, Hain E, Heyes A, Clark C, Younger R, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Feerick A, Conway A and Blaney L, Occurrence and distribution of UV-filters and other anthropogenic contaminants in coastal surface water, sediment, and coral tissue from Hawaii, Sci. Total Environ, 2019, 670, 398–410 [PubMed: 30904653]
- 240. Fel J-P, Lacherez C, Bensetra A, Mezzache S, Béraud E, Léonard M, Allemand D and Ferrier-Pagès C, Photochemical response of the scleractinian coral Stylophora pistillata to some sunscreen ingredients, Coral Reefs, 2019, 38, 109–122
- 241. Corinaldesi C, Marcellini F, Nepote E, Damiani E and Danovaro R, Impact of inorganic UV filters contained in sunscreen products on tropical stony corals (Acropora spp.), Sci. Total Environ, 2018, 637–638, 1279–1285
- 242. Knightes CD, Ambrose RB Jr., Avant B, Han Y, Acrey B, Bouchard DC, Zepp R and Wool T, Modeling framework for simulating concentrations of solute chemicals, nanoparticles, and solids in surface waters and sediments: WASP8 Advanced Toxicant Module, Environ. Modell. Softw, 2019, 111, 444–458
- 243. Nelson KL, Boehm AB, Davies-Colley RJ, Dodd MC, Kohn T, Linden KG, Liu Y, Maraccini PA, McNeill K, Mitch WA, Nguyen TH, Parker KM, Rodriguez RA, Sassoubre LM, Silverman AI, Wigginton KR and Zepp RG, Sunlight-mediated inactivation of health-relevant microorganisms in water: A review of mechanisms and modeling approaches, Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts, 2018, 20, 1089–1122
- 244. Vione D and Scozzaro A, Photochemistry of surface fresh waters in the framework of climate change, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2019, 53, 7945–7963 [PubMed: 31241909]
- 245. Avant B, Bouchard D, Chang X, Hsieh H-S, Acrey B, Han Y, Spear J, Zepp R and Knightes CD, Environmental fate of multiwalled carbon nanotubes and graphene oxide across different aquatic ecosystems, NanoImpact, 2019, 13, 1–12 [PubMed: 31297468]
- 246. Han Y, Knightes CD, Bouchard D, Zepp R, Avant B, Hsieh H-S, Chang X, Acrey B, Henderson WM and Spear J, Simulating graphene oxide nanomaterial phototransformation and transport in surface water, Environ. Sci.: Nano, 2019, 6, 180–194 [PubMed: 31297195]
- 247. Silverman AI, Tay N and Machairas N, Comparison of biological weighting functions used to model endogenous sunlight inactivation rates of MS2 coliphage, Water Res, 2019, 151, 439–446 [PubMed: 30639729]
- 248. Wenk J, Nguyen MT and Nelson KL, Natural photosensitizers in constructed unit process wetlands: Photochemical characterization and inactivation of pathogen indicator organisms, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2019, 53, 7724–7735 [PubMed: 31149822]
- 249. Zepp RG, Cyterski M, Wong K, Georgacopoulos O, Acrey B, Whelan G, Parmar R and Molina M, Biological weighting functions for evaluating the role of sunlight-induced inactivation of coliphages at selected beaches and nearby tributaries, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2018, 52, 13068–13076 [PubMed: 30395707]
- 250. A. C Gueymard The SMARTS spectral irradiance model after 25 years: New developments and validation of reference spectra, Sol. Energy, 2019, 187, 233–253
- 251. De Hoe GX, Zumstein MT, Getzinger GJ, Rüegsegger I, Kohler H-PE, Maurer-Jones MA, Sander M, Hillmyer MA and McNeill K, Photochemical transformation of poly(butylene adipate-co-terephthalate) and its effects on enzymatic hydrolyzability, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2019, 53, 2472–2481 [PubMed: 30726677]
- 252. Chen C, Chen L, Yao Y, Artigas F, Huang Q and Zhang W, Organotin release from polyvinyl chloride microplastics and concurrent photodegradation in water: Impacts from salinity, dissolved

organic matter, and light exposure, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2019, 53, 10741–10752 [PubMed: 31403792]

- 253. Khaled A, Rivaton A, Richard C, Jaber F and Sleiman M, Phototransformation of plastic containing brominated flame retardants: Enhanced fragmentation and release of photoproducts to water and air, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2018, 52, 11123–11131 [PubMed: 30169020]
- 254. Zhu L, Zhao S, Bittar TB, Stubbins A and Li D, Photochemical dissolution of buoyantmicroplastics to dissolved organic carbon: Rates and microbial impacts, J. Hazard. Mater, 2020, 383, 121065
- 255. Du T, Adeleye AS, Zhang T, Jiang C, Zhang M, Wang H, Li Y, Keller AA and Chen W, Influence of light wavelength on the photoactivity, physicochemical transformation, and fate of graphene oxide in aqueous media, Environ. Sci.: Nano, 2018, 5, 2590–2603
- 256. Gao Y, Ren X, Zhang X and Chen C, Environmental fate and risk of ultraviolet- and visible-lighttransformed graphene oxide: A comparative study, Environ. Pollut, 2019, 251, 821–829 [PubMed: 31125812]
- 257. Hou W-C, Lee P-L, Chou Y-C and Wang Y-S, Antibacterial property of graphene oxide: the role of phototransformation, Environ. Sci.: Nano, 2017, 4, 647–657
- 258. Hanigan D, Truong L, Schoepf J, Nosaka T, Mulchandani A, Tanguay RL and Westerhoff P, Trade-offs in ecosystem impacts from nanomaterial versus organic chemical ultraviolet filters in sunscreens, Water Res, 2018, 139, 281–290 [PubMed: 29656193]
- 259. Zhang X, Li J, Fan W-Y, Yao M-C, Yuan L and Sheng G-P, Enhanced photodegradation of extracellular antibiotic resistance genes by dissolved organic matter photosensitization, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2019, 53, 10732–10740 [PubMed: 31469271]
- 260. Singh A, Hou W-C, Lin T-F and Zepp RG, Roles of silver–chloride complexations in sunlightdriven formation of silver nanoparticles, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2019, 53, 11162–11169 [PubMed: 31479236]
- 261. Dean J, van der Velde Y, Garnett MH, Dinsmore KJ, Baxter R, Lessels JS, Smith P, Street LE, Subke J and Tetzlaff D, Abundant pre-industrial carbon detected in Canadian Arctic headwaters: implications for the permafrost carbon feedback, Environ. Res. Lett, 2018, 13, 034024
- 262. Schuur EAG, McGuire AD, Schädel C, Grosse G, Harden JW, Hayes DJ, Hugelius G, Koven CD, Kuhry P and Lawrence DM, Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback, Nature, 2015, 520, 171 [PubMed: 25855454]
- 263. IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate. Summary for Policymakers, 2019
- 264. Turetsky MR, Abbott BW, Jones MC, Anthony KW, Olefeldt D, Schuur EAG, Koven CD, McGuire AD, Grosse G and Kuhry P, Permafrost collapse is accelerating carbon release, Nature, 2019, 569, 32–34 [PubMed: 31040419]
- 265. Dean JF, Garnett MH, Spyrakos E and Billett MF, The potential hidden age of dissolved organic carbon exported by peatland streams, J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci, 2019, 124, 328–341
- 266. Foereid JC, Zarov EA, Latysh IM, Filippov IV and Lapshina ED, Photo-exposure affects subsequent peat litter decomposition, Geoderma, 2018, 315, 104–110
- 267. Bowen JC, Kaplan LA and Cory RM, Photodegradation disproportionately impacts biodegradation of semi-labile DOM in streams, Limnol. Oceanogr, 2020, 65, 13–26
- 268. Li A, Aubeneau AF, King T, Cory RM, Neilson BT, Bolster D and Packman AI, Effects of vertical hydrodynamic mixing on photomineralization of dissolved organic carbon in arctic surface waters, Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts, 2019, 21, 748–760
- 269. Almagro M, Martínez-López J, Maestre FT and Rey A, The contribution of photodegradation to litter decomposition in semiarid Mediterranean grasslands depends on its interaction with local humidity conditions, litter quality and position, Ecosystems, 2017, 20, 527–542
- 270. Day TA, Bliss MS, Tomes AR, Ruhland CT and Guénon R, Desert leaf litter decay: Coupling of microbial respiration, water-soluble fractions and photodegradation, Global Change Biol, 2018, 24, 5454–5470
- 271. Esch EH, King JY and Cleland EE, Foliar litter chemistry mediates susceptibility to UV degradation in two dominant species from a semi-arid ecosystem, Plant Soil, 2019, 440, 265–276

- 272. Predick KI, Archer SR, Aguillon SM, Keller DA, Throop HL and Barnes PW, UV-B radiation and shrub canopy effects on surface litter decomposition in a shrub-invaded dry grassland, J. Arid Environ, 2018, 157, 13–21
- 273. Day TA, Bliss MS, Placek SK, Tomes AR and Guénon R, Thermal abiotic emission of CO2 and CH4 from leaf litter and its significance in a photodegradation assessment, Ecosphere, 2019, 10, e02745
- 274. Austin AT, Méndez MS and Ballaré CL, Photodegradation alleviates the lignin bottleneck for carbon turnover in terrestrial ecosystems, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A, 2016, 113, 4392–4397 [PubMed: 27044070]
- 275. Ballaré CL and Austin AT, UV-B Radiation and Plant Life: Molecular Biology to Ecology, UV radiation and terrestrial ecosystems: emerging perspectives, B. JordanCABI, Wallingford, 2017, 23–38
- 276. Méndez MS, Martínez ML, Araujo PI and Austin AT, Solar radiation exposure accelerates decomposition and biotic activity in surface litter but not soil in a semiarid woodland ecosystem in Patagonia, Argentina, Plant Soil, 2019, 445, 483–496
- 277. Hewins DB, Lee H, Barnes PW, McDowell NG, Pockman WT, Rahn T and Throop HL, Early exposure to UV radiation overshadowed by precipitation and litter quality as drivers of decomposition in the northern Chihuahuan Desert, PLoS One, 2019, 14, e0210470
- 278. Pieristè M, Chauvat M, Kotilainen TK, Jones AG, Aubert M, Robson TM and Forey E, Solar UV-A radiation and blue light enhance tree leaf litter decomposition in a temperate forest, Oecologia, 2019, 191, 191–203 [PubMed: 31363838]
- 279. Wu C, Wang H, Mo Q, Zhang Z, Huang G, Kong F, Liu Y and Wang H, Effects of elevated UV-B radiation and N deposition on the decomposition of coarse woody debris, Sci. Total Environ, 2019, 663, 170–176 [PubMed: 30711583]
- 280. Abatzoglou JT, Williams AP, Boschetti L, Zubkova M and Kolden CA, Global patterns of interannual climate–fire relationships, Global Change Biol, 2018, 24, 5164–5175
- Andreae MO Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning an updated assessment. (Report), Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 8523
- 282. Hart SJ, Henkelman J, McLoughlin PD, Nielsen SE, Truchon-Savard A and Johnstone JF, Examining forest resilience to changing fire frequency in a fire-prone region of boreal forest, Global Change Biol, 2019, 25, 869–884
- 283. Jones MW, Santín C, van der Werf GR and Doerr SH, Global fire emissions buffered by the production of pyrogenic carbon, Nat. Geosci, 2019, 12, 742–747
- 284. Rumpel C Soils linked to climate change, Nature, 2019, 572, 442–443 [PubMed: 31435061]
- 285. Walker XJ, Baltzer JL, Cumming SG, Day NJ, Ebert C, Goetz S, Johnstone JF, Potter S, Rogers BM and Schuur EAG, Increasing wildfires threaten historic carbon sink of boreal forest soils, Nature, 2019, 572, 520–523 [PubMed: 31435055]
- 286. Williams AP and Abatzoglou JT, Recent advances and remaininguncertainties in resolving past and future climate effects on global fire activity, Curr. Clim. Change Rep, 2016, 2, 1–14
- 287. Wagner S, Jaffé R and Stubbins A, Dissolved black carbon in aquatic ecosystems, Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett, 2018, 3, 168–185
- 288. Stubbins A, Niggemann J and Dittmar T, Photo-lability of deep ocean dissolved black carbon, Biogeosciences, 2012, 9, 1661–1670
- 289. Wilson SR, Madronich S, Longstreth JD and Solomon KR, Interactive effects of changing stratospheric ozone and climate on tropospheric composition and air quality, and the consequences for human and ecosystem health, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2019, 18, 775–803 [PubMed: 30810564]
- 290. Liu X, Lyu X, Wang Y, Jiang F and Guo H, Intercomparison of O₃ formation and radical chemistry in the past decade at a suburban site in Hong Kong, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 5127–5145
- 291. Pay MT, Gangoiti G, Guevara M, Napelenok S, Querol X, Jorba O and Pérez García-Pando C, Ozone source apportionment during peak summer events over southwestern Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 5467–5494

- 292. Haase S and Matthes K, The importance of interactive chemistry for stratosphere–troposphere coupling, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 3417–3432
- 293. Williams RS, Hegglin MI, Kerridge BJ, Jöckel P, Latter BG and Plummer DA, Characterising the seasonal and geographical variability in tropospheric ozone, stratospheric influence and recent changes, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 3589–3620
- 294. Meul S, Langematz U, Kröger P, Oberländer-Hayn S and Jöckel P, Future changes in the stratosphere-to-troposphere ozone mass flux and the contribution from climate change and ozone recovery, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 7721–7738
- 295. Anger A, Dessens O, Xi F, Barker T and Wu R, China's air pollution reduction efforts may result in an increase in surface ozone levels in highly polluted areas, Ambio, 2016, 45, 254–265 [PubMed: 26409886]
- 296. Li K, Jacob DJ, Liao H, Shen L, Zhang Q and Bates KH, Anthropogenic drivers of 2013–2017 trends in summer surface ozone in China, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A, 2019, 116, 422 [PubMed: 30598435]
- 297. Lou S, Liao H and Zhu B, Impacts of aerosols on surface-layer ozone concentrations in China through heterogeneous reactions and changes in photolysis rates, Atmos. Environ, 2014, 85, 123– 138
- 298. Tie XX, Madronich S, Walters S, Edwards DP, Ginoux P, Mahowald N, Zhang RY, Lou C and Brasseur G, Assessment of the global impact of aerosols on tropospheric oxidants, J. Geophys. Res, 2005, 110, D03204
- 299. Wang J, Allen DJ, Pickering KE, Li Z and He H, Impact of aerosol direct effect on East Asian air quality during the EAST-AIRE campaign, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2016, 121, 6534–6554
- 300. Xing J, Wang J, Mathur R, Wang S, Sarwar G, Pleim J, Hogrefe C, Zhang Y, Jiang J, Wong DC and Hao J, Impacts of aerosol direct effects on tropospheric ozone through changes in atmospheric dynamics and photolysis rates, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2017, 17, 9869–9883 [PubMed: 30147710]
- 301. Liao H and Seinfeld JH, Global impacts of gas-phase chemistry-aerosol interactions on direct radiative forcing by anthropogenic aerosols and ozone, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2005, 110, D18208
- 302. Pozzoli L, Bey I, Rast S, Schultz MG, Stier P and Feichter J, Trace gas and aerosol interactions in the fully coupled model of aerosol-chemistry-climate ECHAM5-HAMMOZ:1. Model description and insights from the spring 2001 TRACE-P experiment, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2008, 113, D07308
- 303. Hollaway M, Wild O, Yang T, Sun Y, Xu W, Xie C, Whalley L, Slater E, Heard D and Liu D, Photochemical impacts of haze pollution in an urban environment, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 9699–9714
- 304. Chen H, Zhuang B, Liu J, Wang T, Li S, Xie M, Li M, Chen P and Zhao M, Characteristics of ozone and particles in the near-surface atmosphere in the urban area of the Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 4153–4175
- 305. Lu X, Zhang L, Chen Y, Zhou M, Zheng B, Li K, Liu Y, Lin J, Fu T-M and Zhang Q, Exploring 2016–2017 surface ozone pollution over China: source contributions and meteorological influences, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 8339–8361
- 306. Nicely JM, Canty TP, Manyin M, Oman LD, Salawitch RJ, Steenrod SD, Strahan SE and Strode SA, Changes in global tropospheric OH expected as a result of climate change over the last several decades, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2018, 123, 10774–10795
- 307. Montzka SA, Krol M, Dlugokencky E, Hall B, Jöckel P and Lelieveld J, Small interannual variability of global atmospheric hydroxyl, Science, 2011, 331, 67–69. [PubMed: 21212353]
- 308. Turner AJ, Frankenberg C and Kort EA, Interpreting contemporary trends in atmospheric methane, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A, 2019, 116, 2805–2813 [PubMed: 30733299]
- 309. Zhang Y, Jacob DJ, Maasakkers JD, Sulprizio MP, Sheng JX, Gautam R and Worden J, Monitoring global tropospheric OH concentrations using satellite observations of atmospheric methane, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 15959–15973
- 310. Naus S, Montzka SA, Pandey S, Basu S, Dlugokencky EJ and Krol M, Constraints and biases in a tropospheric two-box model of OH, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 407–424

- 311. McNorton J, Wilson C, Gloor M, Parker RJ, Boesch H, Feng W, Hossaini R and Chipperfield MP, Attribution of recent increases in atmospheric methane through 3-D inverse modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 18149–18168
- 312. Su M, Lin Y, Fan X, Peng L and Zhao C, Impacts of global emissions of CO, NOx, and CH4 on China tropospheric hydroxyl free radicals, Adv. Atmos. Sci, 2012, 29, 838–854
- 313. Bais AF, Lucas RM, Bornman JF, Williamson CE, Sulzberger B, Austin AT, Wilson SR, Andrady AL, Bernhard G, McKenzie RL, Aucamp PJ, Madronich S, Neale RE, Yazar S, Young AR, de Gruijl FR, Norval M, Takizawa Y, Barnes PW, Robson TM, Robinson SA, Ballaré CL, Flint SD, Neale PJ, Hylander S, Rose KC, Å Wängberg S, Häder DP, Worrest RC, Zepp RG, Paul ND, Cory RM, Solomon KR, Longstreth J, Pandey KK, Redhwi HH, Torikai A and Heikkilä AM, Environmental effects of ozone depletion, UV radiation and interactions with climate change: UNEP Environmental Effects Assessment Panel, update 2017, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2018, 17, 127–179 [PubMed: 29404558]
- 314. Crill PM and Thornton BF, Whither methane in the IPCC process?, Nat. Clim. Change, 2017, 7, 678–680
- 315. Nisbet EG, Manning MR, Dlugokencky EJ, Fisher RE, Lowry D, Michel SE, Myhre CL, Platt SM, Allen G, Bousquet P, Brownlow R, Cain M, France JL, Hermansen O, Hossaini R, Jones AE, Levin I, Manning AC, Myhre G, Pyle JA, Vaughn BH, Warwick NJ and White JWC, Very strong atmospheric methane growth in the 4 years 2014–2017: implications for the Paris Agreement, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 2019, 33, 318–342
- 316. Myhre G, Shindell D, Bréon F-M, Collins W, Fuglestvedt J, Huang J, Koch D, Lamarque J-F, Lee D, Mendoza B, Nakajima T, Robock A, Stephens G, Zhang H, Aamaas B, Boucher O, Dalsøren SB, Daniel JS, Forster P, Granier C, Haigh J, Hodnebrog Ø, Kaplan JO, Marston G, Nielsen CJ, O'Neill BC, Peters GP, Pongratz J, Ramaswamy V, Roth R, Rotstayn L, Smith SJ, Stevenson D, Vernier J-P, Wild O, Young P, Jacob D, Ravishankara AR and Shine K, Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, T. F. StockerCambridge University Pressm Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013, 82
- 317. Bazhenov O Increased humidity in the stratosphere as a possible factor of ozone destruction in the Arctic during the spring 2011 using Aura MLS observations, Int. J. Remote Sens, 2019, 40, 3448–3460
- 318. Griffin D, Walker KA, Wohltmann I, Dhomse SS, Rex M, Chipperfield MP, Feng W, Manney GL, Liu J and Tarasick D, Stratospheric ozone loss in the Arctic winters between 2005 and 2013 derived with ACE-FTS measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 577–601
- 319. Pommereau J-P, Goutail F, Pazmino A, Lefèvre F, Chipperfield MP, Feng W, Van Roozendael M, Jepsen N, Hansen G, Kivi R, Bognar K, Strong K, Walker K, Kuzmichev A, Khattatov S and Sitnikova V, Recent Arctic ozone depletion: Is there an impact of climate change?, C. R. Geosci, 2018, 350, 347–353
- 320. Voigt C, Dörnbrack A, Wirth M, Groß SM, Pitts MC, Poole LR, Baumann R, Ehard B, Sinnhuber B-M, Woiwode W and Oelhaf H, Widespread polar stratospheric ice clouds in the 2015–2016 Arctic winter – implications for ice nucleation, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 15623–15641
- 321. Frank F, Jöckel P, Gromov S and Dameris M, Investigating the yield of H₂O and H₂ from methane oxidation in the stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 9955–9973
- 322. Dean JF, Middelburg JJ, Röckmann T, Aerts R, Blauw LG, Egger M, Jetten MSM, de Jong AEE, Meisel OH, Rasigraf O, Slomp CP, in't Zandt MH and Dolman AJ, Methane feedbacks to the global climate system in a warmer world, Rev. Geophys, 2018, 56, 207–250
- 323. Anthony KW, Deimling T, Nitze I, Frolking S, Emond A, Daanen R, Anthony P, Lindgren P, Jones B and Grosse G, 21st-century modeled permafrost carbon emissions accelerated by abrupt thaw beneath lakes, Nat. Commun, 2018, 9, 3262 [PubMed: 30111815]
- 324. Voigt C, Marushchak ME, Mastepanov M, Lamprecht RE, Christensen TR, Dorodnikov M, Jackowicz-Korczy ski M, Lindgren A, Lohila A, Nykänen H, Oinonen M, Oksanen T, Palonen V, Treat CC, Martikainen PJ and Biasi C, Ecosystem carbon response of an Arctic peatland to simulated permafrost thaw, Global Change Biol, 2019, 25, 1746–1764

- 325. Lamarche-Gagnon G, Wadham JL, Sherwood Lollar B, Arndt S, Fietzek P, Beaton AD, Tedstone AJ, Telling J, Bagshaw EA, Hawkings JR, Kohler TJ, Zarsky JD, Mowlem MC, Anesio AM and Stibal M, Greenland melt drives continuous export of methane from the ice-sheet bed, Nature, 2019, 565, 73–77 [PubMed: 30602750]
- 326. Sulzberger B, Austin AT, Cory RM, Zepp RG and Paul ND, Solar UV radiation in a changing world: roles of cryosphere–land–water–atmosphere interfaces in global biogeochemical cycles, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci, 2019, 18, 747–774 [PubMed: 30810562]
- 327. Neumann RB, Moorberg CJ, Lundquist JD, Turner JC, Waldrop MP, McFarland JW, Euskirchen ES, Edgar CW and Turetsky MR, Warming effects of spring rainfall increase methane emissions from thawing permafrost, Geophys. Res. Lett, 2019, 46, 1393–1401
- 328. Singleton CM, McCalley CK, Woodcroft BJ, Boyd JA, Evans PN, Hodgkins SB, Chanton JP, Frolking S, Crill PM, Saleska SR, Rich VI and Tyson GW, Methanotrophy across a natural permafrost thaw environment, ISME J, 2018, 12, 2544 [PubMed: 29955139]
- 329. Lelieveld J, Gromov S, Pozzer A and Taraborrelli D, Global tropospheric hydroxyl distribution, budget and reactivity, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2016, 16, 12477–12493
- 330. Bukosa B, Deutscher NM, Fisher JA, Kubistin D, Paton-Walsh C and Griffith DWT, Simultaneous shipborne measurements of CO₂, CH₄ and CO and their application to improving greenhouse-gas flux estimates in Australia, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 7055–7072
- 331. Conte L, Szopa S, Séférian R and Bopp L, The oceanic cycle of carbon monoxide and its emissions to the atmosphere, Biogeosciences, 2019, 16, 881–902
- Si L and Ariya PA, Recent advances in atmospheric chemistry of mercury, Atmosphere, 2018, 9, 76
- 333. Falk S and Sinnhuber B-M, Polar boundary layer bromine explosion and ozone depletion events in the chemistry–climate model EMAC v2.52: implementation and evaluation of AirSnow algorithm, Geosci. Model Dev, 2018, 11, 1115–1131
- 334. Luo Y, Si F, Zhou H, Dou K, Liu Y and Liu W, Observations and source investigations of the boundary layer bromine monoxide (BrO) in the Ny-Ålesund Arctic, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 9789–9801
- 335. Wang S, McNamara SM, Moore CW, Obrist D, Steffen A, Shepson PB, Staebler RM, Raso ARW and Pratt KA, Direct detection of atmospheric atomic bromine leading to mercury and ozone depletion, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A, 2019, 116, 14479 [PubMed: 31253702]
- 336. Halfacre JW, Shepson PB and Pratt KA, pH-dependent production of molecular chlorine, bromine, and iodine from frozen saline surfaces, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2019, 19, 4917–4931
- 337. Fiehn A, Quack B, Stemmler I, Ziska F and Krüger K, Importance of seasonally resolved oceanic emissions for bromoform delivery from the tropical Indian Ocean and west Pacific to the stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 11973–11990
- 338. Lee D, Min S-K, Fischer E, Shiogama H, Bethke I, Lierhammer L and Scinocca JF, Impacts of half a degree additional warming on the Asian summer monsoon rainfall characteristics, Environ. Res. Lett, 2018, 13, 044033
- 339. Abrahamsson K, Granfors A, Ahnoff M, Cuevas CA and Saiz-Lopez A, Organic bromine compounds produced in sea ice in Antarctic winter, Nat. Commun, 2018, 9, 5291 [PubMed: 30538229]
- 340. Archer SD, Posman KM, DeStefano J, Harrison AO, Ladina A, Cheff EA and Witt DP, Detection of bromoperoxidase activity in microalgae and planktonic microbial communities using aminophenyl fluorescein, Front. Mar. Sci, 2019, 6, 68
- 341. Liu Y, Thornton DCO, Bianchi TS, Arnold WA, Shields MR, Chen J and Yvon-Lewis SA, Dissolved organic matter composition drives the marine production of brominated very shortlived substances, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2015, 49, 3366–3374 [PubMed: 25723123]
- 342. Butler R, Palmer PI, Feng L, Andrews SJ, Atlas EL, Carpenter LJ, Donets V, Harris NRP, Montzka SA, Pan LL, Salawitch RJ and Schauffler SM, Quantifying the vertical transport of CHBr₃ and CH₂Br₂ over the western Pacific, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 2018, 18, 13135–13153
- 343. Punitha T, Phang S-M, Juan JC and Beardall J, Environmental Control of Vanadium Haloperoxidases and Halocarbon Emissions in Macroalgae, Mar. Biotechnol, 2018, 20, 282–303 [PubMed: 29691674]

- 344. Solomon KR, Velders GJ, Wilson SR, Madronich S, Longstreth J, Aucamp PJ and Bornman JF, Sources, fates, toxicity, and risks of trifluoroacetic acid and its salts: Relevance to substances regulated under the Montreal and Kyoto Protocols, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part B, 2016, 19, 289–304
- 345. Ateia M, Maroli A, Tharayil N and Karanfil T, The overlooked short- and ultrashort-chain polyand perfluorinated substances: A review, Chemosphere, 2019, 220, 866–882
- 346. Jn C, Guo J, Zhai Z and Zhang J, The contribution of fluoropolymer thermolysis to trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in environmental media, Chemosphere, 2019, 222, 637–644 [PubMed: 30731384]
- 347. Kazil J, McKeen S, Kim S-W, Ahmadov R, Grell GA, Talukdar RK and Ravishankara AR, Deposition and rainwater concentrations of trifluoroacetic acid in the United States from the use of HFO-1234yf, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2014, 119, 14059–14079
- 348. Wang Z, Wang Y, Li J, Henne S, Zhang B, Hu J and Zhang J, Impacts of the Degradation of 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene into trifluoroacetic acid from its application in automobile air conditioners in China, the United States, and Europe, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2018, 52, 2819– 2826 [PubMed: 29381347]
- 349. Scheurer M, Nödler K, Freeling F, Janda J, Happel O, Riegel M, Müller U, Storck FR, Fleig M, Lange FT, Brunsch A and Brauch H-J, Small, mobile, persistent: Trifluoroacetate in the water cycle – Overlooked sources, pathways, and consequences for drinking water supply, Water Res, 2017, 126, 460–471 [PubMed: 28992593]
- 350. Zhang L, Sun H, Wang Q, Chen H, Yao Y, Zhao Z and Alder AC, Uptake mechanisms of perfluoroalkyl acids with different carbon chain lengths (C2-C8) by wheat (Triticum acstivnm L.), Sci. Total Environ, 2019, 654, 19–27 [PubMed: 30428410]
- 351. Costa AG, de Carvalho AU, Melo MM and Soto-Blanco B, Sequential administrations of trifluoroacetate induce tolerance to Palicourea maccgravii, a monofluoroacetate-containing plant, in calves, Toxicon, 2019, 160, 8–11 [PubMed: 30772434]
- 352. Solomon K, Velders G, Wilson S, Madronich S, Longstreth J, Aucamp P and Bornman JF, Sources, fates, toxicity, and risks of trifluoroacetic acid and its salts: Relevance to substances regulated under the Montreal and Kyoto protocols, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part B, 2016, 19, 289–304
- 353. Cousins IT, Ng CA, Wang Z and Scheringer M, Why is high persistence alone a major cause of concern?, Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts, 2019, 21, 781–792
- 354. Cohen AJ, Brauer M, Burnett R, Anderson HR, Frostad J, Estep K, Balakrishnan K, Brunekreef B, Dandona L, Dandona R, Feigin V, Freedman G, Hubbell B, Jobling A, Kan H, Knibbs L, Liu Y, Martin R, Morawska L, Pope III CA, Shin H, Straif K, Shaddick G, Thomas M, van Dingenen R, van Donkelaar A, Vos T, Murray CJL and Forouzanfar MH, Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015, Lancet, 2017, 389, 1907–1918 [PubMed: 28408086]
- 355. Li X, Jin L and Kan H, Air pollution: a global problem needs local fixes, Nature, 2019, 570, 437 [PubMed: 31239571]
- 356. McFiggans G, Mentel TF, Wildt J, Pullinen I, Kang S, Kleist E, Schmitt S, Springer M, Tillmann R, Wu C, Zhao D, Hallquist M, Faxon C, Le Breton M, M Hallquist Å, Simpson D, Bergström R, Jenkin ME, Ehn M, Thornton JA, Alfarra MR, Bannan TJ, Percival CJ, Priestley M, Topping D and Kiendler-Scharr A, Secondary organic aerosol reduced by mixture of atmospheric vapours, Nature, 2019, 565, 587–593 [PubMed: 30700872]
- 357. Shrivastava M, Andreae MO, Artaxo P, Barbosa HMJ, Berg LK, Brito J, Ching J, Easter RC, Fan J, Fast JD, Feng Z, Fuentes JD, Glasius M, Goldstein AH, Alves EG, Gomes H, Gu D, Guenther A, Jathar SH, Kim S, Liu Y, Lou S, Martin ST, McNeill VF, Medeiros A, de Sá SS, Shilling JE, Springston SR, Souza RAF, Thornton JA, Isaacman-VanWertz G, Yee LD, Ynoue R, Zaveri RA, Zelenyuk A and Zhao C, Urban pollution greatly enhances formation of natural aerosols over the Amazon rainforest, Nat. Commun, 2019, 10, 1046 [PubMed: 30837467]
- 358. Meng Z, Dabdub D and Seinfeld JH, Chemical coupling between atmospheric ozone and particulate matter, Science, 1997, 277, 116
- 359. Xing J, Mathur R, Pleim J, Hogrefe C, Gan C-M, Wong DC, Wei C and Wang J, Air pollution and climate response to aerosol direct radiative effects: A modeling study of decadal trends across the northern hemisphere, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos, 2015, 120, 12221–12236

- 360. Song W, Wang Y-L, Yang W, Sun X-C, Tong Y-D, Wang X-M, Liu C-Q, Bai Z-P and Liu X-Y, Isotopic evaluation on relative contributions of major NO_x sources to nitrate of PM_{2.5} in Beijing, Environ. Pollut, 2019, 248, 183–190 [PubMed: 30784837]
- 361. Han C, Zhao A, Varughese E and Sahle-Demessie E, Evaluating weathering of food packaging polyethylene-nano-clay composites: Release of nanoparticles and their impacts, NanoImpact, 2018, 9, 61–71 [PubMed: 29226269]
- 362. Fotopoulou KN and Karapanagioti HK, Degradation of various plastics in the environment, Hazardous Chemicals Associated with Plastics in the Marine Environment, Springer, 2017, 71– 92
- 363. Forsthuber B and Grüll G, Prediction of wood surface discoloration for applications in the field of architecture, Wood Sci. Technol, 2018, 52, 1093–1111
- 364. Liu R, Zhu H, Li K and Yang Z, Comparison on the aging of woods exposed to natural sunlight and artificial xenon light, Polymers, 2019, 11, 709
- 365. Mattonai M, Watanabe A, Shiono A and Ribechini E, Degradation of wood by UV light: A study by EGA-MS and Py-GC/MS with on line irradiation system, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 2019, 139, 224–232
- 366. de Almeida TH, de Almeida DH, Chahud E, Branco LAMN, Pinheiro RV, Christoforo AL and Lahr FAR, Mechanical performance of wood under artificial and natural weathering treatments, BioResources, 2019, 14, 6267–6277
- 367. Buchner J, Irle M, Belloncle C, Michaud F and Macchioni N, Fungal and bacterial colonies growing on weathered wood surfaces, Wood Mater. Sci. Eng, 2019, 14, 33–41
- 368. Awad SA, Fellows CM and Mahini SS, Effects of accelerated weathering on the chemical, mechanical, thermal and morphological properties of an epoxy/multi-walled carbon nanotube composite, Polym. Test, 2018, 66, 70–77
- 369. Han C, Sahle-Demessie E, Varughese E and Shi H, Polypropylene–MWCNT composite degradation, and release, detection and toxicity of MWCNTs during accelerated environmental aging, Environ. Sci.: Nano, 2019, 6, 1876–1894 [PubMed: 32704375]
- 370. Wohlleben W, Kingston C, Carter J, Sahle-Demessie E, Vázquez-Campos S, Acrey B, Chen C-Y, Walton E, Egenolf H, Müller P and Zepp R, NanoRelease: Pilot interlaboratory comparison of a weathering protocol applied to resilient and labile polymers with and without embedded carbon nanotubes, Carbon, 2017, 113, 346–360 [PubMed: 30147114]
- 371. Gupta A, Kumar A, Sharma K and Gupta R, Application of high conductive nanoparticles to enhance the thermal and mechanical properties of wood composite, Mater. Today: Proc, 2018, 5, 3143–3149
- 372. Weththimuni ML, Capsoni D, Malagodi M and Licchelli M, Improving Wood Resistance to Decay by Nanostructured ZnO-Based Treatments, J. Nanomater, 2019, 6715756
- 373. Oberhofnerová E, Pánek M, Podlena M, Pavelek M and Št rbová I, Color stabilization of Siberian and European larch wood using UVA, HALS, and nanoparticle pretreatments, Forests, 2019, 10, 23
- 374. Wallenhorst L, Gur u L, Gellerich A, Militz H, Ohms G and Viöl W, UV-blocking properties of Zn/ZnO coatings on wood deposited by cold plasma spraying at atmospheric pressure, Appl. Surf. Sci, 2018, 434, 1183–1192
- 375. Shen H, Zhang S, Cao J, Jiang J and Wang W, Improving anti-weathering performance of thermally modified wood by TiO2 sol or/and paraffin emulsion, Constr. Build. Mater, 2018, 169, 372–378
- 376. Préaubert L, Tassistro V, Auffan M, Sari-Minodier I, Rose J, Courbiere B and Perrin J, Very low concentration of cerium dioxide nanoparticles induce DNA damage, but no loss of vitality, in human spermatozoa, Toxicol. in Vitro, 2018, 50, 236–241 [PubMed: 29625164]
- 377. Mackevica A, Olsson ME, Mines PD, Heggelund LR and Hansen SF, Dermal transfer quantification of nanoparticles from nano-enabled surfaces, NanoImpact, 2018, 11, 109–118
- 378. Clar JG, Platten WE III, Baumann EJ Jr., Remsen A, Harmon SM, Bennett-Stamper CL, Thomas TA and Luxton TP, Dermal transfer and environmental release of CeO₂ nanoparticles used as UV inhibitors on outdoor surfaces: Implications for human and environmental health, Sci. Total Environ, 2018, 613–614, 714–723

- 379. Nagasawa H, Xu J, Kanezashi M and Tsuru T, Atmospheric-pressure plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition of UV-shielding TiO2 coatings on transparent plastics, Mater. Lett, 2018, 228, 479–481
- 380. Xu J, Nagasawa H, Kanezashi M and Tsuru T, UV-protective TiO2 thin films with high transparency in visible light region fabricated via atmospheric-pressure plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 42657–42665 [PubMed: 30418737]
- 381. Shi Y, Zhuang X, Cao L, Gou S, Xiong Y, Lai W-F, Wang Z and Rogach AL, Copper-nanoclusterbased transparent ultraviolet-shielding polymer films, ChemNanoMat, 2019, 5, 110–115
- 382. Zhao Z, Mao A, Gao W and Bai H, A facile in situ method to fabricate transparent, flexible polyvinyl alcohol/ZnO film for UV-shielding, Compos. Commun, 2018, 10, 157–162
- 383. Wang M, Zhang M, Pang L, Yang C, Zhang Y, Hu J and Wu G, Fabrication of highly durable polysiloxane-zinc oxide (ZnO) coated polyethylene terephthalate (PET) fabric with improved ultraviolet resistance, hydrophobicity, and thermal resistance, J. Colloid Interface Sci, 2019, 537, 91–100 [PubMed: 30423492]
- 384. Chen D, Mai Z, Liu X, Ye D, Zhang H, Yin X, Zhou Y, Liu M and Xu W, UV-blocking, superhydrophobic and robust cotton fabrics fabricated using polyvinylsilsesquioxane and nano-TiO2, Cellulose, 2018, 25, 3635–3647
- 385. Cheng D, He M, Ran J, Cai G, Wu J and Wang X, In situ reduction of TiO2 nanoparticles on cotton fabrics through polydopamine templates for photocatalysis and UV protection, Cellulose, 2018, 25, 1413–1424
- 386. El-Naggar ME, Shaarawy S and Hebeish A, Multifunctional properties of cotton fabrics coated with in situ synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles capped with date seed extract, Carbohydr. Polym, 2018, 181, 307–316 [PubMed: 29253977]
- 387. Subbiah DK, Mani GK, Babu KJ, Das A and Rayappan JBB, Nanostructured ZnO on cotton fabrics–A novel flexible gas sensor & UV filter, J. Cleaner Prod, 2018, 194, 372–382
- 388. Román LE, Huachani J, Uribe C, Solís J, Gómez M, Costa S and Costa S, Blocking erythemally weighted UV radiation using cotton fabrics functionalized with ZnO nanoparticles in situ, Appl. Surf. Sci, 2019, 469, 204–212
- 389. Louris E, Sfiroera E, Priniotakis G, Makris R, Siemos H, Efthymiou C and Assimakopoulos M, Evaluating the ultraviolet protection factor (UPF) of various knit fabric structures, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, IOP Publishing, 2018,
- 390. Liang Y, Pakdel E, Zhang M, Sun L and Wang X, Photoprotective properties of alpaca fiber melanin reinforced by rutile TiO₂ nanoparticles: A study on wool fabric, Polym. Degrad. Stab, 2019, 160, 80–88
- 391. Nourbakhsh S, Montazer M and Khandaghabadi Z, Zinc oxide nano particles coating on polyester fabric functionalized through alkali treatment, J. Ind. Text, 2018, 47, 1006–1023
- 392. Zhang N, Xu M and Cai L, Improvement of mechanical, humidity resistance and thermal properties of heat-treated rubber wood by impregnation of SiO2 precursor, Sci. Rep, 2019, 9, 982 [PubMed: 30700757]
- 393. Gašparík M, Gaff M, Ka ík F and Sikora A, Color and chemical changes in teak (Tectona grandis L. f.) and meranti (Shorea spp.) Wood after thermal treatment, BioResources, 2019, 14, 2667– 2683
- 394. Ku erová V, Laga a R and Hýrošová T, Changes in chemical and optical properties of silver fir (Abies alba L.) wood due to thermal treatment, J. Wood Sci, 2019, 65, 21
- 395. Jirouš-Rajkovi V and Mikle i J, Heat-treated wood as a substrate for coatings, weathering of heat-treated wood, and coating performance on heat-treated wood, Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng, 2019, 8621486
- 396. Shen H, Xu J, Cao J, Jiang J, Zhang S, Xue J and Zhang L, Evolution of extractive composition in thermally modified Scots pine during artificial weathering, Holzforschung, 2019, 73, 747–755
- 397. Herrera R, Sandak J, Robles E, Krystofiak T and Labidi J, Weathering resistance of thermally modified wood finished with coatings of diverse formulations, Prog. Org. Coat, 2018, 119, 145– 154

- 398. Kaplan Z, Böke H, Sofuoglu A and peko lu B, Long term stability of biodegradable polymers on building limestone, Prog. Org. Coat, 2019, 131, 378–388.
- 399. Turku I, Kärki T and Puurtinen A, Durability of wood plastic composites manufactured from recycled plastic, Heliyon, 2018, 4, e00559
- 400. Ratanawilai T and Taneerat K, Alternative polymeric matrices for wood-plastic composites: Effects on mechanical properties and resistance to natural weathering, Constr. Build. Mater, 2018, 172, 349–357
- 401. Lv S, Gu J, Tan H and Zhang Y, Enhanced durability of sustainable poly (lactic acid)-based composites with renewable starch and wood flour, J. Cleaner Prod, 2018, 203, 328–339
- 402. Chan CM, Pratt S, Halley P, Richardson D, Werker A, Laycock B and Vandi L-J, Mechanical and physical stability of polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA)-based wood plastic composites (WPCs) under natural weathering, Polym. Test, 2019, 73, 214–221
- 403. Varsavas SD and Kaynak C, Weathering degradation performance of PLA and its glass fiber reinforced composite, Mater. Today Commun, 2018, 15, 344–353
- 404. Ma Q, Chen L, Wang R, Yang R and Zhu J, Direct production of lignin nanoparticles (LNPs) from wood using p-toluenesulfonic acid in an aqueous system at 80 °C: characterization of LNP morphology, size, and surface charge, Holzforschung, 2018, 72, 933–942
- 405. Zikeli F, Vinciguerra V, D'Annibale A, Capitani D, Romagnoli M and Scarascia Mugnozza G, Preparation of lignin nanoparticles from wood waste for wood surface treatment, Nanomaterials, 2019, 9, 281
- 406. Chang T-C and Chang S-T, Multiple photostabilization actions of heartwood extract from Acacia confusa, Wood Sci. Technol, 2017, 51, 1133–1153.
- 407. Chang T-C, Yeh T-F and Chang S-T, Investigation of photo-induced discoloration on wood treated with the polyphenols from Acacia confusa Heartwood, J. Wood Chem. Technol, 2019, 1–12
- 408. Yang X, Zhao Y, Mussana H, Tessema M and Liu L, Characteristics of cotton fabric modified with chitosan (CS)/cellulose nanocrystal (CNC) nanocomposites, Mater. Lett, 2018, 211, 300– 303
- 409. da Silva MG, de Barros MAS, de Almeida RTR, Pilau EJ, Pinto E, Soares G and Santos JG, Cleaner production of antimicrobial and anti-UV cotton materials through dyeing with eucalyptus leaves extract, J. Cleaner Prod, 2018, 199, 807–816
- 410. Shabbir M, Rather LJ and Mohammad F, Economically viable UV-protective and antioxidant finishing of wool fabric dyed with Tagetes erecta flower extract: Valorization of marigold, Ind. Crops Prod, 2018, 119, 277–282
- 411. Pisitsak P, Tungsombatvisit N and Singhanu K, Utilization of waste protein from Antarctic krill oil production and natural dye to impart durable UV-properties to cotton textiles, J. Cleaner Prod, 2018, 174, 1215–1223
- 412. Pandey R, Patel S, Pandit P, Nachimuthu S and Jose S, Colouration of textiles using roasted peanut skin-an agro processing residue, J. Cleaner Prod, 2018, 172, 1319–1326
- 413. Ter Halle A, Ladirat L, Martignac M, Mingotaud AF, Boyron O and Perez E, To what extent are microplastics from the open ocean weathered?, Environ. Pollut, 2017, 227, 167–174 [PubMed: 28460234]
- 414. Da Costa JP, Nunes AR, Santos PS, Girão AV, Duarte AC and Rocha-Santos T, Degradation of polyethylene microplastics in seawater: Insights into the environmental degradation of polymers, J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part A: Toxic/Hazard. Subst. Environ. Eng., 2018, 53, 866–875
- 415. Alimi OS, Farner Budarz J, Hernandez LM and Tufenkji N, Microplastics and nanoplastics in aquatic environments: aggregation, deposition, and enhanced contaminant transport, Environ. Sci. Technol, 2018, 52, 1704–1724 [PubMed: 29265806]
- 416. Karlsson TM, Hassellöv M and Jakubowicz I, Influence of thermooxidative degradation on the in situ fate of polyethylene in temperate coastal waters, Mar. Pollut. Bull, 2018, 135, 187–194
 [PubMed: 30301030]
- 417. Efimova I, Bagaeva M, Bagaev A and Chubarenko IP, Secondary microplastics generation in the sea swash zone with coarse bottom sediments: laboratory experiments, Front. Mar. Sci, 2018, 5, 313

418. Ekvall MT, Lundqvist M, Kelpsiene E, Šileikis E, Gunnarsson SB and Cedervall T, Nanoplastics formed during the mechanical breakdown of daily-use polystyrene products, Nanoscale Adv, 2019, 1, 1055–1061

Bernhard et al.



Fig. 1.

Decadal spring-time trends in UVI for the 17 sites analysed by McKenzie and colleagues.² Trends in measured UVI (blue) are compared with trends calculated for clear skies from measurements of total ozone (black), and with trends calculated from total ozone derived by a chemistry-climate model according to the "World Expected" (green) and "World Avoided" (magenta) scenarios. Sites where the time series spans 20 years or more are denoted by bold text and solid symbols. The number of years of data included in the trend analysis at each site is indicated beside the site name. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval of the regression model.



Fig. 2.

Daily maximum UV index (UVI) measured at the South Pole in 2018 (red line) compared with the average (white line) and the range (grey shading) of daily maximum observations of the years 1991 to 2017. The UVI was calculated from spectra measured by a SUV-100 spectroradiometer located at the South Pole. Up to 2009, the instrument was part of the NSF UV monitoring network¹⁹ and is now a node in the NOAA Antarctic UV Monitoring Network (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/grad/antuv/). Consistent data processing methods were applied for all years.²⁰

Bernhard et al.



Fig. 3.

Spectral single scattering albedo (SSA) derived from AERONET, MFRSR, and Pandora measurements (blue symbols), as well as from SKYNET retrievals (orange symbols). Horizontal lines indicate the median, boxes indicate the interquartile range (IQR), whiskers extend to the minimum and maximum values within $1.5 \times IQR$, and outliers are shown as circles. Figure from Mok *et al.*,³⁵ licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Fig. 4.

June and December climatology of DNA-damaging fluence rate. Adapted from Madronich *et al.*⁴³ by permission of the Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC) on behalf of the European Society for Photobiology, and the European Photochemistry Association.



Fig. 5.

Moss turf and lichen encrusted rocks near Casey Station in East Antarctica. Antarctic mosses emerge from under the snow in early summer and can be exposed to high solar radiation, including UV-B radiation. When plants first emerge they are bright green and those in protected areas, such as under melt water or in small depressions, will remain green; however, plants on exposed ridges quickly accumulate sunscreen pigments to protect themselves, evident here in the red-brown colour of the exposed moss. Photo by Sharon Robinson on 18 December 2012.



Fig. 6.

The updated Water Quality Analysis Simulation Program 8 (WASP8) predicts the photoreactions of contaminants (here using graphene oxide as an example) as a function of the penetration of UV radiation with depth of the water column. The model can also simulate interactions of UV radiation with contaminants such as pesticides, metals, pathogens, and industrial and household organic compounds. Figure designed by Wen-Che Hou.




Bernhard et al.





Global monthly mean methane (CH₄) concentration (reported as a mole fraction) measured by NOAA (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends_ch4/). Data from 2019 are preliminary. Figure prepared by Ed Dlugokencky (NOAA).

Bernhard et al.



Fig. 9.

The formation of BrVSLS in marine environments results from the reduction of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) by bromide ion (Br⁻), yielding hydrobromous acid (HOBr), which subsequently reacts with dissolved organic matter (DOM) to form CHBr₃.³⁴⁰ The former reaction is catalysed by the enzyme bromoperoxidase, which is produced by micro- and macroalgae^{340,343} (figure modified from ref. 326).

Table 1

Comparison of zonal mean changes in clear-sky UVI calculated by Lamy <i>et al.</i> ³ and B	ais <i>et al.</i> ¹
---	--------------------------------

Latitudes	Lamy et al. ³							Bais et al. ¹			
	Change [%] 1960 to 2100 ^a				ŗ	Change [%] 2015 to 2090 ^b		Change [%] 2015 to 2090 ^c			
	Transient AODs, RCP =				Fixed AODs	Transient AODs	Fixed AODs	Fixed AODs			
	2.6	4.5	6.0	8.5	RCP 6.0	RCP 6.0	RCP 6.0	RCP 6.0			
	Annual mean ^e						Jan	Apr	Jul	Oct	
High N^d	6	2	1	-8	-5	0	-6	-3	-7	-5	-4
30–60° N	8	5	5	-1	-2	5	-3	-4	-5	-3	-2
0–30° N	3	3	3	1	3		1	-1	0	-1	-1
0–30° S	3	3	3	2	3	0	0	-1	0	-1	-1
30–60° S	3	3	2	-2	0	-5	-6	-5	-4	-5	-6
High S ^d	7	6	4	0	-2	-18	-18	-8	-6	-6	-23

All values are rounded. a According to Table 5 of Lamy *et al.*³ b Inferred from Fig. 4 and Fig. 6 of Lamy *et al.*³ c Table 1 of Bais *et al.*¹ d Latitude range of "High northern (N)" and "High southern (S)" refer to $60-90^{\circ}$ in Lamy *et al.*³ and $60-80^{\circ}$ in Bais *et al.*¹ e Trends reported by Lamy *et al.*³ refer to trends averaged over all months; Bais *et al.*¹ provide trends for the months of January, April, July and October.