



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Reprod Toxicol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Reprod Toxicol. 2020 December ; 98: 1–12. doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2020.02.004.

Review of the environmental prenatal exposome and its relationship to maternal and fetal health

Julia E. Rager^{a,b,c,*}, Jacqueline Bangma^a, Celeste Carberry^a, Alex Chao^e, Jarod Grossman^f, Kun Lu^{a,c}, Tracy A. Manuck^{b,g}, Jon R. Sobus^d, John Szilagyi^a, Rebecca C. Fry^{a,b,c}

^aDepartment of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^bThe Institute for Environmental Health Solutions, Gillings School of Global Public Health, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^cCurriculum in Toxicology, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^dU.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

^eOak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE) Participant, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

^fAgilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA

^gDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Maternal Fetal Medicine, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Abstract

Environmental chemicals comprise a major portion of the human exposome, with some shown to impact the health of susceptible populations, including pregnant women and developing fetuses. The placenta and cord blood serve as important biological windows into the maternal and fetal environments. In this article we review how environmental chemicals (defined here to include man-made chemicals [e.g., flame retardants, pesticides/herbicides, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances], toxins, metals, and other xenobiotic compounds) contribute to the prenatal exposome and highlight future directions to advance this research field. Our findings from a survey of recent literature indicate the need to better understand the breadth of environmental chemicals that reach the placenta and cord blood, as well as the linkages between prenatal exposures, mechanisms of toxicity, and subsequent health outcomes. Research efforts tailored towards addressing these needs will provide a more comprehensive understanding of how environmental chemicals impact maternal and fetal health.

Keywords

Exposome; Environment; Fetus; Pregnancy; Developmental toxicity

*Corresponding author at: 135 Dauer Drive, CB 7431, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA, jrager@unc.edu (J.E. Rager).

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest.

1. Introduction

1.1. Introduction to the exposome

The concept of the “exposome” was introduced in 2005 as “encompass[ing] life-course environmental exposures (including lifestyle factors), from the prenatal period onwards” [1]. This initial definition has since been expanded upon to include three categories: (i) the general external exposome (e.g., lifestyle and socioeconomic factors), (ii) the specific external exposome (e.g., environmental exposures, infectious agents, and therapeutics), and (iii) the internal exposome (e.g., metabolism, circulating hormones, gut microflora, inflammation, and oxidative stress) [2,3]. In short, the exposome is currently a broad term reflecting any non-genetic factor that may play a role in the development of disease.

Evaluating environmental chemicals as part of the human exposome is of high interest, as environmental factors are known to significantly contribute to the global burden of disease [2,4]. At the same time, the majority of chemicals in the environment remain understudied and lack data in relation to both exposures and associated toxicological/health outcomes [5,6]. Methods are currently being expanded upon to evaluate broader chemical domains at increasingly higher rates of throughput [7]. Some recent exposome-relevant examples include studies that have evaluated chemicals in the environment, such as household dust [8–11], drinking water [12–14], and household consumer products [15]. Other notable studies have evaluated exposome measures in biological samples, including circulating blood, teeth, and saliva, and have started linking these measures to health outcomes [16–18].

A critical research topic that remains understudied is the impact of the prenatal environmental exposome on maternal and fetal health outcomes. Addressing this research gap is of high interest as pregnant women and developing fetuses represent populations that are particularly susceptible to potential effects resulting from environmental factors. For example, pregnant women experience shifts in immune cell responses, a hormone-regulated process known to reduce risk of fetal rejection and promote transfer of maternal antibodies to the fetus [19]. With this overall reduction in immune cell responses, pregnant women can exhibit increased risk of certain diseases, including infectious disease [19]. As certain environmental exposures are known to disrupt immune function [20,21], these effects may become exacerbated in pregnant women [22,23]. Within the embryonic environment, rapid cell turnover and tissue growth can cause harmful effects to occur in the developing fetus resulting from certain chemical exposures at lower concentrations than those required to elicit effects in adults [24,25]. These disruptions can also influence health outcomes later in life, a concept also known as the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) [26]. It is therefore of utmost importance to evaluate the composition and resulting impact of prenatal environmental exposures.

1.2. The prenatal exposure space and chemical transport

On a daily basis, pregnant women may be exposed to a vast number of environmental chemicals via ingestion, inhalation, or dermal absorption. Tissue partitioning and excretion rates, which determine how and where these chemicals travel through the maternal body, are

influenced by physiochemical properties of chemicals as well as maternal and fetal-specific influences. Important chemical properties that play roles in tissue partitioning and/or excretion include charge [27], lipophilicity [28], protein binding affinity [29], solubility [30], and size/length [31]. Maternal and fetal-specific influences include metabolic capabilities [32], as well as parameters that commonly change throughout pregnancy, including tissue volumes and blood flow rates, among others [33]. Exposures during pregnancy include complex mixtures of environmental chemicals, many of which partition into maternal blood and are able to reach the placental barrier through the maternal circulation system [34] (Fig. 1). These types of exposures contribute to the ‘prenatal exposome’, which includes the ‘maternal exposome’ (or exposures to pregnant women) and the ‘fetal exposome’ (or exposures that reach the developing fetus). Therefore, chemicals that contribute to the prenatal exposome include those that may impact overall pregnancy health or other pregnancy-relevant outcomes, including impacts on the developing fetus.

Of particular relevance to the developing fetus, environmental chemicals in circulating maternal serum can potentially cross the placenta. Similar to maternal tissue partitioning, this translocation is dependent upon properties of the chemical (e.g., charge, lipophilicity, and size) as well as maternal and placental factors such as metabolism and transporter expression [35,36]. As is the case for other biological barriers, small, lipophilic compounds, such as methylmercury, readily cross the placenta and may even accumulate in the fetal space [37]. The placenta is the interface between mother and fetus, and it serves a multitude of functions, including the regulation of nutrient transfer to the fetus and disposal of fetal waste. Therefore, chemicals passing through the placenta must cross several barriers to reach the fetal circulation. These barriers specifically include: (i) the syncytiotrophoblasts, a fused, multicellular layer that lines the chorionic villi, (ii) the interstitial tissue consisting of cytotrophoblasts, fibroblasts, and connective tissue, collectively comprising the inner mass of the villi, and (iii) the fetal endothelial cells that are present within the villi. Because of their capacity for active transport, the specific barrier function of the placenta is largely regulated by syncytiotrophoblasts [35,36].

Chemical translocation across the placenta can be passive, relying solely on chemical gradients, and/or diffusive, actively driven by transport proteins using ATP or ion gradients as chemical energy sources. Syncytiotrophoblasts express a wide range of xenobiotic transporters that control translocation across the placenta [38]. These include both uptake transporters, such as solute carrier family 6 member 2 (SLC6A2) and solute carrier family 22 member 5 (SLC22A5), and efflux transporters such as ATP binding cassette subfamily B member 1 (ABCB1) and G member 2 (ABCG2) [38]. Of importance to regulating chemical influx/efflux, the apical (maternal facing) and basolateral (fetal facing) membranes of syncytiotrophoblasts each contain a unique profile of transporters. For example, ABCB1 and ABCG2 are both localized on the apical surface of syncytiotrophoblasts, and this, combined with their affinity for a wide range of substrates, allows these proteins to serve in a fetoprotective manner. This fetoprotective activity occurs through the prevention of transplacental transfer of toxicants or active removal of toxicants from fetal circulation [38]. For instance, ABCG2 prevents the fetal accumulation of medications and environmental contaminants, including glyburide, a medication that may be prescribed for the treatment of pre-gestational or gestational diabetes mellitus, and zearalenone, an estrogenic mycotoxin

common in cereal crops [39–41]. Despite the high degree of chemical transport regulation across the placenta, many types of chemicals, including pharmaceuticals and various environmental toxicants, readily pass through the placenta from mother to fetus, potentially impacting fetal health.

1.3. Focus of review: the prenatal exposome

The current review focuses on the evaluation of environmental chemicals (defined here to include man-made chemicals [e.g., flame retardants, pesticides/herbicides, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances], toxins, metals, and other xenobiotic compounds to which humans come into contact) that reach the placental barrier and travel into human cord blood. The placenta and cord blood were selected for focused review as they represent tissues that are feasible to obtain for research purposes with minimally invasive procedures. Furthermore, these tissues are directly applicable as target tissues involved in pregnancy health outcomes and prenatal developmental toxicity, with focus on the prenatal period, as detailed above. It is important to note that certain previous studies have evaluated the pregnancy exposome through analyses of maternal circulating blood [42,43]. These research approaches contribute valuable information towards understanding relationships between exposure to environmental contaminants and maternal and fetal health outcomes, as noted within a recent review [44]. Here, we focus on studies and future research efforts aimed at the evaluation of environmental chemicals that reach and travel through the placental barrier, as these types of contaminants have the potential to directly impact prenatal development in addition to maternal health.

This review is designed to provide a high-level understanding of the types of research that have been completed aimed at evaluating effects from prenatal exposures to environmental chemicals on maternal and infant health. Included studies represent those that serve as examples from the published literature, selected from PubMed and Google Scholar search queries. Example studies were included if researchers examined environmental chemical exposures during the prenatal period, and either measured chemicals and/or exposure-related effects in the placenta or cord blood. A subset of environmental chemicals was selected as the focus of this review to serve as important case studies based on their known relationships to adverse effects resulting from exposures during the prenatal period. Furthermore, this review comments on critical data gaps and future research directions in the fields of environmental exposure science and pregnancy health outcomes.

2. Environmental chemicals measured in placenta and cord blood

Certain classes of environmental chemicals have been shown to travel via maternal circulation and through the placental barrier, impacting the internal environment of pregnant mothers and developing fetuses. Important examples of these chemical classes are provided below and include flame retardants, metals, pesticide and herbicides, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), as well as naturally occurring toxins. Though other types of environmental chemicals may be present within the prenatal exposome, these specific chemical classes were selected for inclusion in this review as example chemicals with published evidence supporting their presence within human placenta and/or cord blood.

2.1. Flame retardants

Flame retardants represent a wide group of chemicals that are commonly used within the indoor environment for the purpose of reducing the potential for materials to ignite [45]. These chemicals are commonly used in building materials, bedding, clothing, and furniture. Exposure to flame retardants can occur dermally as well as via inhalation, for instance through resuspension of chemicals in household dust [46]. Flame retardant exposure has been linked to a variety of health consequences, including endocrine disruption, immune system repression, and cancer [45,47,48]. Of particular interest, prenatal exposures to flame retardants have been linked to adverse effects in pregnant mothers and in developing fetuses, including impaired neurologic function, endocrine disruption, and low birth weight for gestational age [45,48,49].

Flame retardants are generally classified by the elements they contain such as bromine, chlorine, phosphorus, nitrogen, boron, or metals. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are a class of flame retardants containing bromine that are persistent organic pollutants and have been widely studied in relation to prenatal exposure [46–48,50–52]. Though PBDEs have been banned in US commerce, PBDEs can persist in the environment causing exposures to remain of high relevance. After exposure, PBDEs act as lipophilic compounds and bind to lipoproteins, including those present in circulating plasma. Because of this binding, measurements of PBDEs in the plasma are often normalized to plasma lipoprotein levels [53]. PBDEs can additionally sequester into lipid heavy compartments such as adipose tissue [54] and mother's milk [55].

PBDEs have been evaluated in placental tissue and cord blood [46,47,50], including one study that evaluated cord blood and maternal serum samples across 50 samples and detected PBDEs in every sample, with brominated diphenyl ether 209 accounting for approximately half of all total PBDEs among samples [46]. Several other studies have measured PBDEs in newborn cord blood and placenta and have identified strong correlations between these and maternal exposures measured from circulating serum [47,50,51]. Additionally, studies have shown relationships between PBDE exposure and adverse fetal health outcomes, including low birth weight and impaired neurodevelopment [48,52].

There is a general lack of data surrounding other classes of flame retardants specifically in relation to the prenatal exposome. For example, other classes of brominated flame retardants, such as tetrabromobisphenol A and hexabromocyclododecane, as well as organophosphate flame retardants, are thought to be possible carcinogens and may induce similar adverse health outcomes as PBDEs [45]. There are significantly fewer studies, however, measuring concentrations and toxicity responses of these chemicals in humans [45]. Because of the potential for associated prenatal toxicity, future research efforts aimed at evaluating this understudied chemical space will contribute to the current understanding of pregnancy outcomes associated with flame retardants.

2.2. Metals

Various toxic metals, including arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, and mercury, have been shown to cross the placenta and accumulate in fetal tissues following prenatal exposure

conditions [56–59]. This occurs because metals are relatively stable compounds that can travel to distal target tissues following exposure. For example, inorganic arsenic (iAs) and its metabolites, monomethylated and dimethylated arsenicals, can be present at levels associated with toxicity in target tissues throughout the body [60,61], including the placenta and cord blood [56,61]. Cadmium has been detected throughout several target tissues, including maternal blood, placenta, and cord blood, with absorption and distribution shown to be highly dependent upon cadmium binding to metallothionein (MT) metal transporters [62]. Of particular relevance to the prenatal exposome, cadmium concentrations have been identified as increased in placental and fetal tissues from MT knockout mice exposed during late gestation [63]. A number of studies have detected and quantified the concentrations of toxic metals that reach the placenta and/or cord blood as a result of exposure during pregnancy, including those that have identified potential exposure biomarkers and related health outcomes.

As an example study that has investigated iAs throughout several tissues from pregnant women, researchers evaluating a birth cohort in New Hampshire measured iAs concentrations within human placenta samples and related these measures to iAs concentrations in maternal urine, and in maternal and newborn toenail samples [56]. Placental concentrations ranged from below detection limits to 18.35 ng/g and were positively correlated with iAs concentrations in newborn and maternal urine and toenails [56]. Cadmium has also been measured in placenta and newborn cord blood as reported by a study conducted in Croatia among smoking and non-smoking pregnant mothers [57]. The concentrations of cadmium were elevated in placenta and cord blood samples among mothers who smoked during pregnancy and ranged from 8.42 to 15.5 ng/g in the placenta and 0.025–0.054 ng/mL in the cord blood [57]. Mercury is another well-researched metal that has been evaluated in relation to prenatal environmental exposures and specifically measured in placenta and cord blood samples [58,59]. For instance, a cohort study conducted in Saudi Arabia found that 13 % of ~1500 cord blood samples had mercury concentrations above the EPA reference dose of 5.8 µg/L [58]. Increasing concentrations of mercury were found to be correlated with seafood consumption and mothers' work status, among other potential factors [58]. These relevant studies measured the ability of various metals to cross the placenta and accumulate in fetal tissues and serve as a basis for future evaluation of associated health outcomes in the context of environmental exposures.

Specific linkages have been made between measures of prenatal exposures to metals and fetal health outcomes, including exposures to arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, and mercury [64–67]. For example, the effects of prenatal iAs exposure were evaluated in a pregnancy cohort located in Gómez Palacio, Mexico, and maternal urinary concentrations of iAs and select iAs metabolites showed negative associations with newborn birth weight, newborn length, and gestational age [68]. Further evaluation of newborn cord blood identified molecular signaling patterns that may drive certain mechanisms linking prenatal iAs exposure to fetal health outcomes [20,69]. The potential effects of prenatal exposure to cadmium have also been evaluated, with previous studies finding associations between concentrations in newborn cord blood and decreased newborn birth weight [57,70]. These represent select example studies from a pool of research on prenatal exposure to toxic metals that has been reviewed elsewhere [65,65,66,67,71,72]. Clearly there is evidence to support

relationships between toxic metals exposures and adverse impacts on maternal and child health outcomes; though there are important aspects of metals research that remain understudied. Critical gaps in research include the impacts of metals as potentially beneficial nutrients versus toxic agents; individual metals that humans are routinely exposed to that still lack data; and metals as mixtures and/or components of complex mixtures within the environment.

2.3. Pesticides and herbicides

The increasing usage of pesticides and herbicides has resulted in the accumulation of these chemicals in ecological systems and other environments, causing increases in human exposures and potential related health outcomes. Maternal exposure to herbicides and pesticides classified as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) such as hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), and dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE), among others, may pose a health risk to the developing fetus. Exposures to POPs such as DDT, DDE, or HCH are most likely to occur through consumption of fruits and vegetables, fatty meats, dairy, and fish, especially those imported from countries that allow the use of these chemicals [73]. These chemicals have been shown to reach placenta tissues and cross into cord blood, with potential associations with adverse fetal development and health outcomes among newborns, such as birth weight under 10th percentile for gestational age and decreased head and chest circumference [51,74–76].

Some studies have evaluated levels of pesticides and herbicides in placenta and cord blood. For instance, a birth cohort study conducted in Delhi, India measured and compared the concentrations of the organochlorine pesticides, HCH, DDT, and DDE, in placental tissue and cord blood between small for gestational age newborns and of appropriate size for gestational age newborns [74]. Total HCH concentration in placenta tissues among low birthweight babies averaged ~20 ng/mL; the concentration of DDT averaged ~2 ng/mL; and the concentration of DDE averaged ~4 ng/mL; all representing concentrations higher than those observed in the control newborns [74]. Similarly, a study within a cohort in Canada was able to measure concentrations of certain pesticide and herbicide POPs in cord blood including DDT, DDE, as well as oxychlorane [76]. The concentration of oxychlorane in cord blood was reported to reach up to 50 µg/kg [76]. These studies among others have provided critical evidence surrounding maternal exposures to certain POPs in pesticides and herbicides that are capable of reaching human placenta and cord blood tissues [51,74–76].

Other types of pesticides that are not currently considered POPs have also been evaluated, to an extent, in the context of prenatal exposures, including chlorpyrifos and glyphosate. Chlorpyrifos is an organophosphate pesticide and is presently one of the most widely used herbicides worldwide. Previous studies have associated prenatal exposure to chlorpyrifos to adverse birth outcomes such as decreased birth weight and length, as well as reduced sensory function and neurodevelopment [77–80]. An example study evaluating pesticide exposure among urban minorities in New York City reported concentrations of chlorpyrifos in newborn cord blood averaging 3.7 pg/g. These cord blood concentrations were significantly associated with concentrations in maternal blood, providing further evidence that chlorpyrifos is capable of crossing the placenta [77]. Glyphosate is currently the most

used herbicide worldwide, however, fetal risks of exposure remain largely understudied in humans. Few studies have evaluated the ability of glyphosate to reach cross the placental barrier, however, one study in Thailand detected glyphosate in maternal serum and cord blood among farmworkers [81]. The wide use of pesticides and herbicides such as chlorpyrifos and glyphosate and their demonstrated potential to reach the fetus and impact health outcomes calls for further studies investigating these relationships.

2.4. PFAS

PFAS are a diverse chemical family of fluorine substituted organic structures that have been used for a wide variety of industrial applications in the United States and worldwide [82]. Only a small portion of PFAS have been evaluated for presence in target tissues relevant to prenatal exposure-induced toxicity, including the perfluoroalkyl acids, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) [83]. However, over 3000 PFAS are estimated to be in global production [82]. Of timely relevance, a chemical category-based prioritization approach was recently developed by the US EPA to prioritize 75 PFAS for tiered toxicity and toxicokinetic testing based on relevance to human exposure, toxicity, and structural diversity [84]; though this prioritization effort has yet to be carried out with specific focus on the prenatal exposure space. While there is much attention now being focused on PFAS exposure and toxicity, there remains a limited understanding of how PFAS enter and affect the target tissues of relevance to maternal and fetal health. Some initial findings from recent studies are summarized below.

PFAS are known to be proteinophilic, associating with proteins such as albumin, fatty acid binding proteins, and organic anion transporters [85,86]. Because circulating blood contains many of these aforementioned proteins, PFAS can be detected at high concentrations in maternal blood, allowing for maternal circulation to carry PFAS to the placental interface where transfer into the fetal exposome occurs throughout pregnancy. The strong affinity of PFAS for maternal blood allows these substances to travel to the placenta and into cord blood circulation as is indicated by high correlations between maternal serum and cord blood serum [87,88]. Literature suggests transporters such as the breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) can play a role in transfer of PFAS across the placental barrier, but overall very little is known concerning the mechanism of PFAS transfer from maternal circulation to fetal circulation [89]. Current data highlight that PFAS with linear structures are usually observed in biological fluids at higher concentrations in comparison to their matching branched isomers [90] and have been identified at higher concentrations in fetal serum relative to maternal serum [91]. This trend may reflect differences in efficiencies between compounds crossing the placenta [92], making PFAS uniquely structured to potentially accumulate in target tissues relevant to the fetal exposome. In addition, mothers with gestational diabetes have shown higher transfer efficiencies to cord serum for several PFAS compared to mothers without gestational diabetes [93]. PFAS concentrations have even been shown to increase in the fetal compartment throughout gestation [94], supporting the need for research to better understand potential implications of exposure on fetal health.

A variety of health-related endpoints have been evaluated in relation to PFAS accumulation in human cord blood and placenta with mixed results. For example, studies evaluating

effects have been identified, with aflatoxins measured at significantly higher levels in cord blood samples collected in wet vs. dry months in Kenya [76]. Beyond African countries, aflatoxin B1–DNA adducts have been detected in placenta and cord blood samples from a cohort in Taiwan [75]. Such studies indicate the worldwide prevalence of toxins as environmental contaminants that can potentially impact the maternal and fetal exposome.

3. Current status of the environmental prenatal exposome

3.1. Chemical coverage in the prenatal exposome

There is evidence supporting relationships between prenatal exposure to select environmental chemicals and adverse pregnancy outcomes, as described above. Studies of environmental chemicals in cord blood and placenta samples have generally used targeted analytical approaches, wherein hypotheses related to few specific chemical contaminants are set *a priori*. These studies have employed mass spectrometry (MS) platforms for compound detection, coupled with gas chromatography (GC) or liquid chromatography (LC) systems for analyte separation. Low-resolution quadrupole (Q) mass analyzers (single or triple Q) generally provide adequate sensitivity and specificity for unambiguous analyte detection. Yet, in all instances of targeted analysis, chemical standards are needed to confirm the presence of each analyte (e.g., via matching of chromatographic retention time and mass spectrum), and to produce quantitative estimates of chemical concentration in the target tissue. This dependence on chemical standards has limited the number of environmental chemicals that have been characterized in cord blood and placenta samples.

To improve the characterization of chemicals in the maternal and fetal exposomes, recent studies have implemented more global approaches based on “suspect screening” or “non-targeted analysis” methods [42,43]. By utilizing sophisticated analytical instrumentation, comprehensive chemical databases, and integrated computational workflows (Fig. 2), these complementary methods offer a means to rapidly examine poorly or never-before-studied compounds in high-interest samples [7,111]. In terms of evaluating the maternal/fetal exposome, studies have, to date, focused on the investigation of environmental chemicals in circulating maternal serum. As an important example, a recent study used a suspect screening approach based on liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF/MS) to screen for environmental organic acids in maternal serum [43]. Researchers identified hundreds of potential environmental organic compounds among 20 samples, spanning phenols, phthalates, perfluorinated compounds, acidic pesticides, and related metabolites, the majority of which are not currently measured in large-scale biomonitoring studies. Targeted analyses confirmed the presence of benzophenone-1, bisphenol S, and monopentyl phthalate within maternal serum [43]. Similar methods were also employed by this research team to evaluate additional maternal serum samples, and an average of 56 suspect environmental organic compounds were reported per sample [42]. Six chemicals were then confirmed using targeted approaches: 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol, 3,5-Di-tert-butylsalicylic acid, 2,4-Dinitrophenol, 4-Hydroxycoumarin, Pyrocatechol and 2'-Hydroxyacetophenone [42].

These studies represent important examples of how researchers can use global analytical approaches that are less biased than targeted methods to characterize environmental

chemicals relevant to prenatal exposures, with a focus on circulating maternal blood. Still, there remains a critical data gap surrounding which chemicals specifically reach the placental barrier and cross into the fetal circulation. As previously discussed, select chemicals have been evaluated in placenta and cord blood tissues using targeted approaches. Notable studies have evaluated hundreds of environmentally relevant chemicals in cord blood and infant meconium samples (for instance through the Maternal-Infant Research on Environmental Chemicals [MIREC] Research Platform) [76,112], but these studies have still utilized targeted analytical methods. Future studies could therefore leverage recent advances in analytical and computational methods that support suspect screening and non-targeted analysis to more fully characterize the fetal exposome.

3.2. Expanding methods to evaluate the environmental prenatal exposome

Mass spectrometry (MS) platforms are the primary tools for both suspect screening and non-targeted analysis applications [113]. Suspect screening utilizes reference spectra for individual analytes that have been previously acquired on an MS platform and stored in an accessible library. In suspect screening studies, empirical spectra are acquired for unknown “features” (i.e., an aggregation of associated m/z peaks) within a sample of interest, and then compared to library spectra to identify potential matches. All possible matches are scored based on the similarity between experimental and library spectra, with the best match (corroborated by manual review) often deemed a “tentative candidate” identification [114]. Additional (orthogonal) experimental data (e.g., retention behavior) may be used to elevate the tentative candidate to a “probable structure”, but examination using a reference standard is ultimately needed to list a feature of interest as a “confirmed structure” [114].

Suspect screening is a popular technique for exposomic investigations, as it can be implemented using both GC and LC separation, and both low- and high-resolution MS detection. Low-resolution MS is well-suited for suspect screening when using GC with an electron ionization (EI) source. Unlike “soft” ionization techniques, EI-MS generates rich fragmentation spectra that can be readily used to identify tentative candidates in samples of interest. Massive libraries of reference EI spectra exist for hundreds-of-thousands of known substances [115]. Thus, GC EI-MS applications have broad reach when screening for the presence of small molecules that are relatively volatile and non-polar (e.g., PBDEs and select pesticides/herbicides). Conversely, LC-MS techniques are best suited when seeking a chemical space that is less volatile, more polar, and inclusive of larger compounds (e.g., conjugated xenobiotic metabolites, hormones, lipids, peptides, and select environmental compounds, including PFAS). Unlike most GC-based methods, LC-based methods utilize softer ionization techniques (e.g., electrospray ionization [ESI], atmospheric pressure chemical ionization [APCI]) that produce the molecular ion with limited in-source fragmentation. Tandem mass spectrometry techniques are therefore used to generate fragmentation spectra (i.e., MS/MS spectra) that can be compared to library spectra for tentative compound identification. Similar numbers of reference spectra exist when comparing EI-MS and soft-ionization MS/MS libraries [115]. Yet, far fewer substances are represented in MS/MS libraries, owing to the variable nature of parameters used for spectral acquisition.

In principle, suspect screening works best when reference spectra exist for compounds of interest in an accessible library. Yet, exposome studies routinely show the existence of large numbers of empirical features that do not match with library entries; these unmatched features are described as the “dark matter” of the exposome [115,116]. Non-targeted analysis is the appropriate technique for proposing formulae and eventually structures for these dark matter compounds. Whether using GC or LC separation, non-targeted analysis relies on high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) with soft ionization techniques. Here, the accurate mass, isotope profile, and available fragmentation spectra corresponding to an unknown feature is first used to proffer one or more candidate molecular formulae for that feature. Next, databases of known chemical structures are queried using the tentatively assigned formula and/or the observed accurate mass. Individual databases like PubChem [117] and ChemSpider [118] contain structures for millions of known compounds, whereas the CompTox Chemicals Dashboard (hereafter called the “Dashboard”) is “a one-stopshop for chemistry, toxicity and exposure information for over 875,000 chemicals” of interest to the US EPA [119]. Specific aspects of the Dashboard have been specifically developed to facilitate non-targeted analysis and help improve data organization, integration, and interpretation, making it a valuable resource for the exposomics community [119]. Articles describing this functionality and demonstrating enhancements to specific non-targeted analysis studies can be found in the published literature [7,120–122].

While non-targeted analysis can theoretically allow identification of millions of structures, many MS features remain unidentified even after exhaustive examination. Some of these unidentified features are by-products of the analytical tools (e.g., adducts, multimers and in-source fragments) and may be partially addressed using stringent data filtering procedures [123]. Others are truly unknown substances that may be produced from environmental transformation or degradation processes, or metabolically-aided processes that occur within biological systems. Various computational tools exist that allow prediction of transformation products and/or metabolites given a list of expected parent compounds [124]. Other tools exist that allow prediction of theoretical spectra for these anticipated products/metabolites [125,126]. When used together, these tools enable the identification of truly novel compounds in priority media and can help shed light on the dark matter of the human exposome.

3.3. Linking chemical exposures to toxicological responses and disease outcomes

Many studies that have evaluated environmental chemicals reaching the prenatal exposome have focused on the targeted measurement of these chemicals, with some studies linking chemical measurements to disease outcomes. However, fewer studies have made full linkages between: (i) environmental measures, (ii) maternal/fetal health outcomes, and (iii) biological mechanisms of toxicity mechanisms underlying potential health outcomes. A more comprehensive understanding of how environmental exposures impact maternal and child health can be achieved by linking measured environmental chemicals that cross human placenta and cord blood with toxicological changes, elucidating mechanisms linking exposure to disease, and identifying biomarkers of exposure associated with adverse maternal and fetal health outcomes (Fig. 3). Select studies reviewed here implemented these

types of approaches and aimed to anchor exposures to toxicological responses and disease outcomes.

Example studies that have evaluated prenatal exposure to iAs include research conducted in the Ron Pibul and Bangkok districts of Thailand, and in Gómez Palacio, Mexico that have associated internal exposure metrics to toxicological mechanisms of iAs-induced disease [20,127]. These studies related maternal iAs exposure through drinking water to altered gene expression profiles in newborn cord blood and related these to associated signaling pathways relevant to iAs-induced disease. More specifically, in Thailand, 11 transcripts in newborn cord blood were determined to be predictive biomarkers for iAs exposure and correlated with biological pathways including cell death, cell signaling, inflammation, and other stress responses [20,127]. Similarly, among the cohort in Mexico, iAs concentrations in maternal urine samples were found to be associated with differential expression of 334 transcripts, a portion of which were predicted to be regulated by epigenetic mediators. Pathway analysis resulted in the identification of similar signaling alterations including those associated with stress, inflammation, and cytokine activity. Interestingly, this study also found a decrease in the expression levels of genes involved in immune response, with general immunosuppression known to play a role known in diseases relevant to iAs exposure, such as increased risk of infectious disease and cancer [20].

In general, there are few studies that have employed mechanistic toxicology approaches to connect prenatal exposures to molecular mediators of disease. A recent example study evaluated the effects of prenatal exposure to PFAS and identified alterations in cord blood CpG methylation patterns within several genes, including those involved in endocrine system signaling, signal transduction, and immune signaling; though this research did not directly link these changes to observable health outcomes [128]. Future research that incorporates more toxicology-driven approaches, using *in vitro*, animal, and human study designs, can play an important role in elucidating how environmental exposures impact maternal and child health outcomes. The biological plausibility linking exposures to disease outcomes can be strengthened through *in vitro* methods aimed at identifying mechanisms of action, for instance through the systems biology-based approaches [129] and/or incorporation of recent genome editing technologies [130]. Indeed, many of the example chemicals discussed in this review have been examined across several models, including *in vitro* systems, and therefore have more robust information linking them to plausible adverse maternal and fetal health outcomes. Chemicals that are less studied, however, could significantly benefit from the inclusion of mechanistic toxicity studies, derived from several models, as well as human tissues. Such mechanistic-based studies will greatly contribute to the available evidence surrounding prenatal chemical exposures, toxicity responses, and adverse health outcomes.

3.4. Other limitations and future directions

The prenatal exposome represents an exciting area of investigation that is rapidly expanding to better address maternal and fetal health impacted by environmental chemicals. As reviewed above, there are many gaps that persist within this research field, including current limitations surrounding: (i) chemical coverage, (ii) anchorage of environmental monitoring

data to toxicological endpoints, and (iii) related disease outcomes. Additionally, there remain limited data surrounding the temporality of exposome measures. Limited studies have evaluated how environmental chemicals and/or biomarkers of exposure change over time, particularly in biological matrices relevance to prenatal exposures and perinatal health. For example, the placenta represents a temporary organ that undergoes profound and dynamic changes in molecular expression, cell differentiation, structure, and function during pregnancy; causing the accumulation and impact of environmental chemicals on this organ to also change over time [62]. The majority of studies, to date, have focused on the evaluation of biological samples at a single point in time (i.e., biased towards time of infant birth). Studies could leverage the use of animal models or select alternative *in vitro* models to obtain information surrounding placenta and cord blood responses throughout pregnancy. In humans, other biological tissues could be used to inform issues surrounding temporality, including maternal blood and urine.

Data are also limited surrounding later-in-life health effects that could result from prenatal exposure conditions, with most studies evaluating effects during pregnancy or at birth. These obstetric outcomes are still critical endpoints to evaluate, as many of these are also associated with later-in-life health effects (e.g., associations between preeclampsia / pre-term birth and suboptimal childhood neurodevelopment [131]). The influence of other factors that play a role in chemicals reaching the placenta/fetus, including genetics and sex-specific traits, also represents an important data gap. Other factors that potentially interact with and influence the prenatal exposome remain understudied, including nutrition and socioeconomic status. These research topics represent important areas that could be addressed in future studies through the implementation of aforementioned advances in analytical chemistry approaches, expanded epidemiological measures, toxicological endpoints, and temporal influences on exposures and disease outcomes.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, this review provides an overview on environmental chemicals representing the prenatal exposome. Emphasis is placed on chemicals reaching the human placenta and cord blood, as these represent important target tissues that heavily regulate maternal and fetal health outcomes. Current studies have shown that certain classes of chemicals, including flame retardants, metals, pesticides and herbicides, PFAS, and toxins, have the potential to impact the maternal and fetal exposome. However, there is a lack of knowledge surrounding the global space of chemicals that may reach the human placenta and cord blood that could be addressed through non-targeted / suspect screening analytical approaches. Further studies are also needed to clearly link chemical exposures to potential adverse pregnancy and developmental toxicity outcomes. Advances in this field of research will significantly contribute towards the understanding of environmental chemicals in the fetal exposome and their impact on maternal and fetal health.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by grants from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (P30ES010126, P42ES005948, R01-MD011609, K24-ES031131).

Abbreviations

DOHaD	Developmental Origins of Health and Disease
SLC6A2	Solute Carrier Family 6 Member 2
SLC22A5	Solute Carrier Family 22 Member 5
ABCG2	ATP Binding Cassette Subfamily G Member 2
ABCB1	ATP Binding Cassette Subfamily B Member 1
PFAS	Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances
PBDEs	Polybrominated diphenyl ethers
iAs	Inorganic Arsenic
POPs	Persistent Organic Pollutants
HCH	Hexachlorocyclohexane
DDT	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DDE	Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene
PFOS	Perfluorooctane Sulfonate
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic Acid
MS	Mass Spectrometry
GC	Gas Chromatography
LC	Liquid Chromatography
Q	Quadrupole
LC-QTOF/MS	Liquid Chromatography-Quadrupole Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometry
MIREC	Maternal-Infant Research on Environmental Chemicals
EI	Electron Ionization
ESI	Electrospray Ionization
APCI	atmospheric Pressure Chemical Ionization
HRMS	High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry

References

- [1]. Wild CP, Complementing the Genome With an “exposome”: the Outstanding Challenge of Environmental Exposure Measurement in Molecular Epidemiology, AACR, 2005.

- [2]. Rappaport SM, Smith MT, Epidemiology. Environment and disease risks, Science 330 (6003) (2010) 460–461 Epub 2010/10/23. doi: 10.1126/science.1192603. [PubMed: 20966241]
- [3]. Wild CP, The exposome: from concept to utility, Int. J. Epidemiol. 41 (1) (2012) 24–32. [PubMed: 22296988]
- [4]. Briggs D, Environmental pollution and the global burden of disease, Br. Med. Bull. 68 (2003) 1–24, 10.1093/bmb/ldg019Epub2004/02/06, [PubMed: 14757707]
- [5]. Egeghy PP, Judson R, Gangwal S, Mosher S, Smith D, Vail J, Cohen Hubal EA, The exposure data landscape for manufactured chemicals, Sci. Total Environ. 414 (2012) 159–166 Epub 2011/11/23. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.10.046. [PubMed: 22104386]
- [6]. Judson R, Richard A, Dix DJ, Houck K, Martin M, Kavlock R, Dellarco V, Henry T, Holderman T, Sayre P, Tan S, Carpenter T, Smith E, The toxicity data landscape for environmental chemicals, Environ. Health Perspect. 117 (5) (2009) 685–695 Epub 2009/05/30. doi: 10.1289/ehp.0800168. [PubMed: 19479008]
- [7]. Sobus JR, Wambaugh JF, Isaacs KK, Williams AJ, McEachran AD, Richard AM, Grulke CM, Ulrich EM, Rager JE, Strynar MJ, Newton SR, Integrating tools for non-targeted analysis research and chemical safety evaluations at the US EPA, J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol 28 (5) (2018) 411–426 Epub 2017/12/31. doi: 10.1038/s41370-017-0012-y. [PubMed: 29288256]
- [8]. Rager JE, Strynar MJ, Liang S, McMahan RL, Richard AM, Grulke CM, Wambaugh JF, Isaacs KK, Judson R, Williams AJ, Sobus JR, Linking high resolution mass spectrometry data with exposure and toxicity forecasts to advance high-throughput environmental monitoring, Environ. Int 88 (2016) 269–280 Epub 2016/01/27. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2015.12.008. [PubMed: 26812473]
- [9]. Moschet C, Anumol T, Lew BM, Bennett DH, Young TM, Household dust as a repository of chemical accumulation: new insights from a comprehensive high-resolution mass spectrometric study, Environ. Sci. Technol. 52 (5) (2018) 2878–2887 Epub 2018/02/14. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b05767. [PubMed: 29437387]
- [10]. Ouyang X, Weiss JM, de Boer J, Lamoree MH, Leonards PEG, Non-target analysis of household dust and laundry dryer lint using comprehensive two-dimensional liquid chromatography coupled with time-of-flight mass spectrometry, Chemosphere. 166 (2017) 431–437 Epub 2016/10/06. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.107. [PubMed: 27705830]
- [11]. Rostkowski P, Haglund P, Aalizadeh R, Alygizakis N, Thomaidis N, Arandes JB, Nizzetto PB, Booi P, Budzinski H, Brunswick P, Covaci A, Gallampo C, Grosse S, Hindle R, Ipolyi I, Jobst K, Kaserzon SL, Leonards P, Lestremau F, Letzel T, Magner J, Matsukami H, Moschet C, Oswald P, Plassmann M, Slobodnik J, Yang C, The strength in numbers: comprehensive characterization of house dust using complementary mass spectrometric techniques, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 411 (10) (2019) 1957–1977 Epub 2019/03/05. doi: 10.1007/s00216-019-01615-6. [PubMed: 30830245]
- [12]. Newton SR, McMahan RL, Sobus JR, Mansouri K, Williams AJ, McEachran AD, Strynar MJ, Suspect screening and non-targeted analysis of drinking water using point-of-use filters, Environ Pollut. 234 (2018) 297–306 Epub 2017/11/29. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.033. [PubMed: 29182974]
- [13]. Brunner AM, Baken KA, Dingemans MML, van Wezel AP, Prioritizing anthropogenic chemicals in drinking water and sources through combined use of mass spectrometry and ToxCast toxicity data, J. Hazard. Mater. 364 (2019) 332–338 Epub 2018/11/02. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.10.044. [PubMed: 30384243]
- [14]. Kimura SY, Cuthbertson AA, Byer JD, Richardson SD, The DBP exposome: development of a new method to simultaneously quantify priority disinfection by-products and comprehensively identify unknowns, Water Res. 148 (2019) 324–333 Epub 2018/11/06. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2018.10.057. [PubMed: 30391861]
- [15]. Phillips KA, Yau A, Favela KA, Isaacs KK, McEachran A, Grulke C, Richard AM, Williams AJ, Sobus JR, Thomas RS, Wambaugh JF, Suspect screening analysis of chemicals in consumer products, Environ. Sci. Technol. 52 (5) (2018) 3125–3135 Epub 2018/02/07. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b04781. [PubMed: 29405058]

- [16]. Bessonneau V, Pawliszyn J, Rappaport SM, The saliva exposome for monitoring of individuals' health trajectories, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 125 (7) (2017) 077014 Epub 2017/07/27. doi: 10.1289/EHP1011. [PubMed: 28743678]
- [17]. Rappaport SM, Barupal DK, Wishart D, Vineis P, Scalbert A, The blood exposome and its role in discovering causes of disease, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 122 (8) (2014) 769–774. [PubMed: 24659601]
- [18]. Andra SS, Austin C, Arora M, The tooth exposome in children's health research, *Curr. Opin. Pediatr* 28 (2) (2016) 221–227 Epub 2016/02/10. doi: 10.1097/MOP.0000000000000327. [PubMed: 26859286]
- [19]. Robinson DP, Klein SL, Pregnancy and pregnancy-associated hormones alter immune responses and disease pathogenesis, *Horm. Behav* 62 (3) (2012) 263–271 Epub 2012/03/13. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.02.023. [PubMed: 22406114]
- [20]. Rager JE, Bailey KA, Smeester L, Miller SK, Parker JS, Laine JE, Drobna Z, Currier J, Douillet C, Olshan AF, Rubio-Andrade M, Styblo M, Garcia-Vargas G, Fry RC, Prenatal arsenic exposure and the epigenome: altered microRNAs associated with innate and adaptive immune signaling in newborn cord blood, *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 55 (3) (2014) 196–208 Epub 2013/12/12. doi: 10.1002/em.21842. [PubMed: 24327377]
- [21]. Mattison DR, Environmental exposures and development, *Curr. Opin. Pediatr* 22 (2) (2010) 208–218 Epub 2010/03/11. doi: 10.1097/MOP.0b013e32833779bf. PubMed PMID: 20216314; PMCID: PMC2887611.. [PubMed: 20216314]
- [22]. Kourtis AP, Read JS, Jamieson DJ, Pregnancy and infection, *N. Engl. J. Med* 370 (23) (2014) 2211–2218 Epub 2014/06/05. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1213566. [PubMed: 24897084]
- [23]. Sappenfield E, Jamieson DJ, Kourtis AP, Pregnancy and susceptibility to infectious diseases, *Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2013 (2013) 752852 Epub 2013/08/13. doi: 10.1155/2013/752852. [PubMed: 23935259]
- [24]. Brent RL, Tanski S, Weitzman M, A pediatric perspective on the unique vulnerability and resilience of the embryo and the child to environmental toxicants: the importance of rigorous research concerning age and agent, *Pediatrics.* 113 (4 Suppl) (2004) 935–944 [PubMed: 15060185]
- [25]. Falck AJ, Mooney S, Kapoor SS, White KM, Bearer C, El Metwally D, Developmental exposure to environmental toxicants, *Pediatr. Clin. North Am* 62 (5) (2015) 1173–1197 Epub 2015/09/01. doi: 10.1016/j.pcl.2015.05.005. [PubMed: 26318946]
- [26]. Hoffman DJ, Reynolds RM, Hardy DB, Developmental origins of health and disease: current knowledge and potential mechanisms, *Nutr. Rev* 75 (12) (2017) 951–970 Epub 2017/12/01. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nux053. [PubMed: 29186623]
- [27]. McKinney J, Rogers R, ES&T metal bioavailability, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 26 (7) (1992) 1298–1299.
- [28]. Aylward L, Hays S, LaKind J, Ryan J, Rapid communication: partitioning of persistent lipophilic compounds, including dioxins, between human milk lipid and blood lipid: an initial assessment, *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A* 66 (1) (2003) 1–5.
- [29]. Han X, Snow TA, Kemper RA, Jepson GW, Binding of perfluorooctanoic acid to rat and human plasma proteins, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 16 (6) (2003) 775–781. [PubMed: 12807361]
- [30]. Peters SA, Ungell AL, Dolgos H, Physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling and simulation: applications in lead optimization, *Curr. Opin. Drug Discov. Devel* 12 (4) (2009) 509–518
- [31]. Conder JM, Hoke RA, Wolf Wd M.H. Russell, Buck RC, Are PFCA's bioaccumulative? A critical review and comparison with regulatory criteria and persistent lipophilic compounds, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42 (4) (2008) 995–1003. [PubMed: 18351063]
- [32]. Frederiksen H, Skakkebaek NE, Andersson AM, Metabolism of phthalates in humans, *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 51 (7) (2007) 899–911. [PubMed: 17604388]
- [33]. Kapraun DF, Wambaugh JF, Setzer RW, Judson RS, Empirical models for anatomical and physiological changes in a human mother and fetus during pregnancy and gestation, *PLoS One* 14 (5) (2019) e0215906 Epub 2019/05/03. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0215906. [PubMed: 31048866]

- [34]. Needham LL, Grandjean P, Heinzow B, Jørgensen PJ, Nielsen F, Patterson DG Jr, Sjödin A, Turner WE, Weihe P, Partition of environmental chemicals between maternal and fetal blood and tissues, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (3) (2010) 1121–1126. [PubMed: 21166449]
- [35]. Eshkoli T, Sheiner E, Ben-Zvi Z, Holcberg G, Drug transport across the placenta, *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol* 12 (5) (2011) 707–714 [PubMed: 21342126]
- [36]. Al-Enazy S, Ali S, Albekairi N, El-Tawil M, Rytting E, Placental control of drug delivery, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 116 (2017) 63–72 Epub 2016/08/17. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2016.08.002. [PubMed: 27527665]
- [37]. Dock L, Rissanen RL, Vahter M, Demethylation and placental transfer of methyl mercury in the pregnant hamster, *Toxicology* 94 (1994) 131–142. [PubMed: 7801317]
- [38]. Iqbal M, Audette MC, Petropoulos S, Gibb W, Matthews SG, Placental drug transporters and their role in fetal protection, *Placenta.* 33 (3) (2012) 137–142 Epub 2012/01/24. doi: 10.1016/j.placenta.2012.01.008. [PubMed: 22265855]
- [39]. Bircsak KM, Gupta V, Yuen PY, Gorczyca L, Weinberger BI, Vetrano AM, Aleksunes LM, Genetic and dietary regulation of glyburide efflux by the human placental breast Cancer resistance protein transporter, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther* 357 (1) (2016) 103–113 Epub 2016/02/07. doi: 10.1124/jpet.115.230185. [PubMed: 26850786]
- [40]. Szilagyi JT, Gorczyca L, Brinker A, Buckley B, Laskin JD, Aleksunes LM, Placental BCRP/ABCG2 transporter prevents fetal exposure to the estrogenic mycotoxin zearalenone, *Toxicol. Sci.* (2018) Epub 2018/12/24. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfy303.
- [41]. Xiao J, Wang Q, Bircsak KM, Wen X, Aleksunes LM, In vitro screening of environmental chemicals identifies zearalenone as a novel substrate of the placental BCRP/ABCG2 transporter, *Toxicol. Res. (Camb)* 4 (3) (2015) 695–706 Epub 2015/06/09. doi: 10.1039/c4tx00147h. [PubMed: 26052432]
- [42]. Wang A, Gerona RR, Schwartz JM, Lin T, Sirota M, Morello-Frosch R, Woodruff TJ, A suspect screening method for characterizing multiple chemical exposures among a demographically diverse population of pregnant women in San Francisco, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 126 (7) (2018) 077009 Epub 2018/07/26. doi: 10.1289/EHP2920. [PubMed: 30044231]
- [43]. Gerona RR, Schwartz JM, Pan J, Friesen MM, Lin T, Woodruff TJ, Suspect screening of maternal serum to identify new environmental chemical biomonitoring targets using liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry, *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol* 28 (2) (2018) 101–108 Epub 2017/10/12. doi: 10.1038/jes.2017.28. [PubMed: 29019345]
- [44]. Wang A, Padula A, Sirota M, Woodruff TJ, Environmental influences on reproductive health: the importance of chemical exposures, *Fertil. Steril.* 106 (4) (2016) 905–929 Epub 2016/08/12. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.07.1076. [PubMed: 27513554]
- [45]. Sciences NIOEH. Flame Retardant Fact Sheet, (2016) [cited 2019 April 11]. Available from: https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/flame_retardants_508.pdf.
- [46]. Frederiksen M, Thomsen M, Vorkamp K, Knudsen LE, Patterns and concentration levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in placental tissue of women in Denmark, *Chemosphere* 76 (11) (2009) 1464–1469 Epub 2009/08/18. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.07.017. [PubMed: 19682725]
- [47]. Frederiksen M, Thomsen C, Froshaug M, Vorkamp K, Thomsen M, Becher G, Knudsen LE, Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in paired samples of maternal and umbilical cord blood plasma and associations with house dust in a Danish cohort, *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 213 (4) (2010) 233–242 Epub 2010/05/18. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2010.04.008. [PubMed: 20471317]
- [48]. Eskenazi B, Chevrier J, Rauch SA, Kogut K, Harley KG, Johnson C, Trujillo C, Sjödin A, Bradman A, In utero and childhood polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) exposures and neurodevelopment in the CHAMACOS study, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 121 (2) (2013) 257–262 Epub 2012/11/17. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1205597. [PubMed: 23154064]
- [49]. Mitro SD, Johnson T, Zota AR, Cumulative chemical exposures during pregnancy and early development, *Curr. Environ. Health Rep.* 2 (4) (2015) 367–378 Epub 2015/09/06. doi: 10.1007/s40572-015-0064-x. [PubMed: 26341623]
- [50]. Antignac JP, Cariou R, Zalko D, Berrebi A, Cravedi JP, Maume D, Marchand P, Monteau F, Riu A, Andre F, Le Bizec B, Exposure assessment of French women and their newborn to brominated

flame retardants: determination of tri- to deca- polybromodiphenylethers (PBDE) in maternal adipose tissue, serum, breast milk and cord serum, *Environ Pollut.* 157 (1) (2009) 164–173 Epub 2008/09/23. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2008.07.008. [PubMed: 18804904]

- [51]. Polder A Muller MHB, Brynildsrud OB, Gronnestad R, Karimi M, Lie E, Manyilizu WB, Mdegela RH, Mokiti F, Murtadha M, Nonga HE, Skaare JU, Solhaug A, Lyche JL, Prenatal exposure to persistent organic pollutants in Northern Tanzania and their distribution between breast milk, maternal blood, placenta and cord blood, *Environ. Res.* 170 (2019) 433–442 Epub 2019/01/12. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2018.12.026. [PubMed: 30634139]
- [52]. Herbstman JB, Sjodin A, Kurzon M, Lederman SA, Jones RS, Rauh V, Needham LL, Tang D, Niedzwiecki M, Wang RY, Perera F, Prenatal exposure to PBDEs and neurodevelopment, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 118 (5) (2010) 712–719 Epub 2010/01/09. doi: 10.1289/ehp.0901340. [PubMed: 20056561]
- [53]. Butt CM, Miranda ML, Stapleton HM, Development of an analytical method to quantify PBDEs, OH-BDEs, HBCDs, 2, 4, 6-TBP, EH-TBB, and BEH-TEBP in human serum, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 408 (10) (2016) 2449–2459. [PubMed: 26864867]
- [54]. Brown JF, Lawton RW, Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) partitioning between adipose tissue and serum, *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 33 (1) (1984) 277–280. [PubMed: 6434008]
- [55]. Schecter A, Pavuk M, Päpke O, Ryan JJ, Birnbaum L, Rosen R, Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in US mothers' milk, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 111 (14) (2003) 1723–1729. [PubMed: 14594622]
- [56]. Punshon T, Davis MA, Marsit CJ, Theiler SK, Baker ER, Jackson BP, Conway DC, Karagas MR, Placental arsenic concentrations in relation to both maternal and infant biomarkers of exposure in a US cohort, *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* 25 (6) (2015) 599–603 Epub 2015/03/26. doi: 10.1038/jes.2015.16. [PubMed: 25805251]
- [57]. Piasek M, Mikolic A, Sekovanic A, Sulimanec Grgec A, Jurasovic J, Cadmium in placenta- a valuable biomarker of exposure during pregnancy in biomedical research, *J Toxicol Environ Health A.* 77 (18) (2014) 1071–1074 Epub 2014/07/30. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2014.915779. [PubMed: 25072893]
- [58]. Al-Saleh I, Shinwari N, Mashhour A, Mohamed Gel D, Rabah A, Heavy metals (lead, cadmium and mercury) in maternal, cord blood and placenta of healthy women, *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 214 (2) (2011) 79–101 Epub 2010/11/26. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2010.10.001. [PubMed: 21093366]
- [59]. Gilman CL, Soon R, Sauvage L, Ralston NV, Berry MJ, Umbilical cord blood and placental mercury, selenium and selenoprotein expression in relation to maternal fish consumption, *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.* 30 (2015) 17–24 Epub 2015/03/10. doi: 10.1016/j.jtemb.2015.01.006. [PubMed: 25744505]
- [60]. Rager JE, Tilley SK, Tulenko SE, Smeester L, Ray PD, Yosim A, Currier JM, Ishida MC, Gonzalez-Horta Mdel C, Sanchez-Ramirez B, Ballinas-Casarrubias L, Gutierrez-Torres DS, Drobná Z, Del Razo LM, Garcia-Vargas GG, Kim WY, Zhou YH, Wright FA, Styblo M, Fry RC, Identification of novel gene targets and putative regulators of arsenic-associated DNA methylation in human urothelial cells and bladder cancer, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 28 (6) (2015) 1144–1155 Epub 2015/06/04. doi: 10.1021/tx500393y. [PubMed: 26039340]
- [61]. Hall M, Gamble M, Slavkovich V, Liu X, Levy D, Cheng Z, van Geen A, Yunus M, Rahman M, Pilsner JR, Graziano J, Determinants of arsenic metabolism: blood arsenic metabolites, plasma folate, cobalamin, and homocysteine concentrations in maternal-newborn pairs, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 115 (10) (2007) 1503–1509 Epub 2007/10/17. doi: 10.1289/ehp.9906. [PubMed: 17938743]
- [62]. Jacobo-Estrada T, Santoyo-Sanchez M, Thevenod F, Barbier O Cadmium Handling, Toxicity and molecular targets involved during pregnancy: lessons from experimental models, *Int. J. Mol. Sci* 18 (7) (2017) Epub 2017/07/25. doi: 10.3390/ijms18071590.
- [63]. Brako EE, Wilson AK, Jonah MM, Blum CA, Cerny EA, Williams KL, Bhattacharyya MH, Cadmium pathways during gestation and lactation in control versus metallothionein 1,2-knockout mice, *Toxicol. Sci.* 71 (2) (2003) 154–163 Epub 2003/02/04. [PubMed: 12563101]
- [64]. Patel NB, Xu Y, McCandless LC, Chen A, Yolton K, Braun J, Jones RL, Dietrich KN, Lanphear BP, Very low-level prenatal mercury exposure and behaviors in children: the HOME Study,

Environ. Health 18 (1) (2019) 4 Epub 2019/01/11. doi: 10.1186/s12940-018-0443-5. [PubMed: 30626382]

- [65]. Wai KM, Mar O, Kosaka S, Umemura M, Watanabe C, Prenatal heavy metal exposure and adverse birth outcomes in Myanmar: a birth-cohort study, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 14 (11) (2017) Epub 2017/11/04. doi: 10.3390/ijerph14111339.
- [66]. Bommarito PA, Martin E, Fry RC, Effects of prenatal exposure to endocrine disruptors and toxic metals on the fetal epigenome, *Epigenomics* 9 (3) (2017) 333–350 Epub 2017/02/25. doi: 10.2217/epi-2016-0112. [PubMed: 28234024]
- [67]. Bank-Nielsen PI, Long M, Bonefeld-Jorgensen EC, Pregnant inuit women's exposure to metals and association with fetal growth outcomes: ACCEPT 2010(–) 2015, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 16 (7) (2019) Epub 2019/04/04. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16071171.
- [68]. Laine JE, Bailey KA, Rubio-Andrade M, Olshan AF, Smeester L, Drobna Z, Herring AH, Styblo M, Garcia-Vargas GG, Fry RC, Maternal arsenic exposure, arsenic methylation efficiency, and birth outcomes in the Biomarkers of Exposure to ARsenic (BEAR) pregnancy cohort in Mexico, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 123 (2) (2015) 186–192 Epub 2014/10/18. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307476. [PubMed: 25325819]
- [69]. Rojas D, Rager JE, Smeester L, Bailey KA, Drobna Z, Rubio-Andrade M, Styblo M, Garcia-Vargas G, Fry RC, Prenatal arsenic exposure and the epigenome: identifying sites of 5-methylcytosine alterations that predict functional changes in gene expression in newborn cord blood and subsequent birth outcomes, *Toxicol. Sci.* 143 (1) (2015) 97–106 Epub 2014/10/12. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfu210. [PubMed: 25304211]
- [70]. Salpietro CD, Gangemi S, Minciullo PL, Briuglia S, Merlino MV, Stelitano A, Cristani M, Trombetta D, Saija A, Cadmium concentration in maternal and cord blood and infant birth weight: a study on healthy non-smoking women, *J. Perinat. Med* 30 (5) (2002) 395–399 Epub 2002/11/22. doi: 10.1515/JPM.2002.061. [PubMed: 12442603]
- [71]. Gundacker C, Hengstschlager M, The role of the placenta in fetal exposure to heavy metals, *Wien. Med. Wochenschr* 162 (9–10) (2012) 201–206 Epub 2012/06/22. doi: 10.1007/s10354-012-0074-3. [PubMed: 22717874]
- [72]. Rahman A, Kumarathanan P, Gomes J, Infant and mother related outcomes from exposure to metals with endocrine disrupting properties during pregnancy, *Sci. Total Environ.* 569–570 (2016) 1022–1031 Epub 2016/07/06. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.134.
- [73]. Registry AFTSaD. Public Health Statement for DDT, DDE, and DDD Center for Disease Control and Prevention, (2002) [cited 2019 April 15]. Available from: <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/phs/phs.asp?id=79&tid=20>.
- [74]. Dewan P, Jain V, Gupta P, Banerjee BD, Organochlorine pesticide residues in maternal blood, cord blood, placenta, and breastmilk and their relation to birth size, *Chemosphere* 90 (5) (2013) 1704–1710 Epub 2012/11/13. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.09.083. [PubMed: 23141556]
- [75]. Needham LL, Grandjean P, Heinzow B, Jorgensen PJ, Nielsen F, Patterson DG Jr., A. Sjodin, W.E. Turner, P. Weihe, Partition of environmental chemicals between maternal and fetal blood and tissues, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (3) (2011) 1121–1126 Epub 2010/12/21. doi: 10.1021/es1019614. [PubMed: 21166449]
- [76]. Fisher M, Arbuckle TE, Liang CL, LeBlanc A, Gaudreau E, Foster WG, Haines D, Davis K, Fraser WD, Concentrations of persistent organic pollutants in maternal and cord blood from the maternal-infant research on environmental chemicals (MIREC) cohort study, *Environ. Health* 15 (1) (2016) 59 Epub 2016/05/05. doi: 10.1186/s12940-016-0143-y. [PubMed: 27142700]
- [77]. Whyatt RM, Camann D, Perera FP, Rauh VA, Tang D, Kinney PL, Garfinkel R, Andrews H, Hoepner L, Barr DB, Biomarkers in assessing residential insecticide exposures during pregnancy and effects on fetal growth, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 206 (2) (2005) 246–254 Epub 2005/06/22. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2004.11.027. [PubMed: 15967215]
- [78]. Whyatt RM, Rauh V, Barr DB, Camann DE, Andrews HF, Garfinkel R, Hoepner LA, Diaz D, Dietrich J, Reyes A, Tang D, Kinney PL, Perera FP, Prenatal insecticide exposures and birth weight and length among an urban minority cohort, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 112 (10) (2004) 1125–1132 Epub 2004/07/09. doi: 10.1289/ehp.6641. [PubMed: 15238288]
- [79]. Silver MK, Shao J, Ji C, Zhu B, Xu L, Li M, Chen M, Xia Y, Kaciroti N, Lozoff B, Meeker JD, Prenatal organophosphate insecticide exposure and infant sensory function, *Int. J. Hyg. Environ.*

- Health 221 (3) (2018) 469–478 Epub 2018/02/07. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.01.010. [PubMed: 29402694]
- [80]. Rauh VA, Garcia WE, Whyatt RM, Horton MK, Barr DB, Louis ED, Prenatal exposure to the organophosphate pesticide chlorpyrifos and childhood tremor, *Neurotoxicology* 51 (2015) 80–86 Epub 2015/09/20. doi: 10.1016/j.neuro.2015.09.004. [PubMed: 26385760]
- [81]. Kongtip P, Nankongnab N, Phupancharoensuk R, Palarach C, Sujirarat D, Sangprasert S, Sermasuk M, Sawattrakool N, Woskie SR, Glyphosate and paraquat in maternal and fetal serums in Thai women, *J. Agromed* 22 (3) (2017) 282–289 Epub 2017/04/20. doi: 10.1080/1059924X.2017.1319315.
- [82]. KEMI S, Occurrence and Use of Highly Fluorinated Substances and Alternatives, Swedish Chemicals Agency Stockholm, Sweden, 2015.
- [83]. Monroy R, Morrison K, Teo K, Atkinson S, Kubwabo C, Stewart B, Foster WG, Serum levels of perfluoroalkyl compounds in human maternal and umbilical cord blood samples, *Environ. Res.* 108 (1) (2008) 56–62. [PubMed: 18649879]
- [84]. Patlewicz G, Richard AM, Williams AJ, Grulke CM, Sams R, Lambert J, Noyes PD, DeVito MJ, Hines RN, Strynar M, Guiseppi-Elie A, Thomas RS, A chemical category-based prioritization approach for selecting 75 per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) for tiered toxicity and toxicokinetic testing, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 127 (1) (2019) 14501 Epub 2019/01/12. doi: 10.1289/EHP4555. [PubMed: 30632786]
- [85]. MacManus-Spencer LA, Tse ML, Hebert PC, Bischel HN, Luthy RG, Binding of Perfluorocarboxylates to serum albumin: a comparison of analytical methods, *Anal. Chem.* 82 (3) (2010) 974–981, 10.1021/ac902238u. [PubMed: 20039637]
- [86]. Ng CA, Hungerbühler K, Bioconcentration of Perfluorinated Alkyl Acids: How Important Is Specific Binding? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (13) (2013) 7214–7223, 10.1021/es400981a. [PubMed: 23734664]
- [87]. Glynn A, Berger U, Bignert A, Ullah S, Aune M, Lignell S, Darnerud PO, Perfluorinated alkyl acids in blood serum from primiparous women in Sweden: serial sampling during pregnancy and nursing, and temporal trends 1996–2010, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46 (16) (2012) 9071–9079. [PubMed: 22770559]
- [88]. Porpora MG, Lucchini R, Abballe A, Ingelido AM, Valentini S, Fuggetta E, Cardi V, Ticino A, Marra V, Fulgenzi AR, Placental transfer of persistent organic pollutants: a preliminary study on mother-newborn pairs, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 10 (2) (2013) 699–711. [PubMed: 23435591]
- [89]. Szilagyi JT, Composto-Wahler GM, Joseph LB, Wang B, Rosen T, Laskin JD, Aleksunes LM, Anandamide down-regulates placental transporter expression through CB2 receptor-mediated inhibition of cAMP synthesis, *Pharmacol. Res.* 141 (2019) 331–342, 10.1016/j.phrs.2019.01.002. [PubMed: 30610963]
- [90]. Zhang Y, Beeson S, Zhu L, Martin JW, Biomonitoring of perfluoroalkyl acids in human urine and estimates of biological half-life, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (18) (2013) 10619–10627. [PubMed: 23980546]
- [91]. Gützkow KB, Haug LS, Thomsen C, Sabaredzovic A, Becher G, Brunborg G, Placental transfer of perfluorinated compounds is selective—a Norwegian Mother and Child sub-cohort study, *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 215 (2) (2012) 216–219. [PubMed: 21937271]
- [92]. Kim S, Choi K, Ji K, Seo J, Kho Y, Park J, Kim S, Park S, Hwang I, Jeon J, Trans-placental transfer of thirteen perfluorinated compounds and relations with fetal thyroid hormones, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (17) (2011) 7465–7472. [PubMed: 21805959]
- [93]. Eryasa B, Grandjean P, Nielsen F, Valvi D, Zmirou-Navier D, Sunderland E, Weihe P, Oulhote Y, Physico-chemical properties and gestational diabetes predict transplacental transfer and partitioning of perfluoroalkyl substances, *Environ. Int* 130 (2019) 104874., 10.1016/j.envint.2019.05.068. [PubMed: 31200157]
- [94]. Mamsen LS, Björvang RD, Mucs D, Vinnars M-T, Papadogiannakis N, Lindh CH, Andersen CY, Dandimopoulou P, Concentrations of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in human embryonic and fetal organs from first, second, and third trimester pregnancies, *Environ. Int* 124 (2019) 482–492. [PubMed: 30684806]

- [95]. Arbuckle TE, Kubwabo C, Walker M, Davis K, Lalonde K, Kosarac I, Wen SW, Arnold DL, Umbilical cord blood levels of perfluoroalkyl acids and polybrominated flame retardants, *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 216 (2) (2013) 184–194. [PubMed: 22494936]
- [96]. Itoh S, Araki A, Mitsui T, Miyashita C, Goudarzi H, Sasaki S, Cho K, Nakazawa H, Iwasaki Y, Shinohara N, Association of perfluoroalkyl substances exposure in utero with reproductive hormone levels in cord blood in the Hokkaido Study on Environment and Children's Health, *Environ. Int* 94 (2016) 51–59. [PubMed: 27209000]
- [97]. Tsai M-S, Lin C-C, Chen M-H, Hsieh W-S, Chen P-C, Perfluoroalkyl substances and thyroid hormones in cord blood, *Environ. Pollut.* 222 (2017) 543–548. [PubMed: 28024813]
- [98]. Wang I-J, Hsieh W-S, Chen C-Y, Fletcher T, Lien G-W, Chiang H-L, Chiang CF, Wu T-N, Chen P-C, The effect of prenatal perfluorinated chemicals exposures on pediatric atopy, *Environ. Res.* 111 (6) (2011) 785–791. [PubMed: 21601844]
- [99]. Chen M-H, Ha E-H, Liao H-F, Jeng S-F, Su Y-N, Wen T-W, Lien G-W, Chen CY, Hsieh W-S, Chen P-C, Perfluorinated compound levels in cord blood and neurodevelopment at 2 years of age, *Epidemiology* 24 (6) (2013) 800–808. [PubMed: 24036611]
- [100]. Rappazzo KM, Coffman E, Hines EP, Exposure to perfluorinated alkyl substances and health outcomes in children: a systematic review of the epidemiologic literature, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 14 (7) (2017) 691.
- [101]. Ode A, Källén K, Gustafsson P, Rylander L, Jönsson BA, Olofsson P, Ivarsson SA, Lindh CH, Rignell-Hydbom A, Fetal exposure to perfluorinated compounds and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in childhood, *PLoS One* 9 (4) (2014) e95891. [PubMed: 24760015]
- [102]. Jensen DV, Christensen J, Virtanen HE, Skakkebaek NE, Main KM, Toppari J, Veje CW, Andersson A-M, Nielsen F, Grandjean P, No association between exposure to perfluorinated compounds and congenital cryptorchidism: a nested case–control study among 215 boys from Denmark and Finland, *Reproduction.* 147 (4) (2014) 411–417. [PubMed: 24218628]
- [103]. Inoue K, Okada F, Ito R, Kato S, Sasaki S, Nakajima S, Uno A, Saijo Y, Sata F, Yoshimura Y, Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and related perfluorinated compounds in human maternal and cord blood samples: assessment of PFOS exposure in a susceptible population during pregnancy, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 112 (11) (2004) 1204. [PubMed: 15289168]
- [104]. Belli P, Bellaton C, Durand J, Balleydier S, Milhau N, Mure M, Mornex JF, Benahmed M, Le Jan C, Fetal and neonatal exposure to the mycotoxin zearalenone induces phenotypic alterations in adult rat mammary gland, *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 48 (10) (2010) 2818–2826 Epub 2010/07/20. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2010.07.012. [PubMed: 20637251]
- [105]. Hilakivi-Clarke L, Cho E, Clarke R, Maternal genistein exposure mimics the effects of estrogen on mammary gland development in female mouse offspring, *Oncol. Rep.* 5 (3) (1998) 609–616 [PubMed: 9538161]
- [106]. Szilagyi JT, Gorczyca L, Brinker A, Buckley B, Laskin JD, Aleksunes LM, Placental BCRP/ ABCG2 transporter prevents fetal exposure to the estrogenic mycotoxin zearalenone, *Toxicol. Sci.* 168 (2) (2019) 394–404 Epub 2018/12/24. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfy303. [PubMed: 30576553]
- [107]. Rogers EH, Zehr RD, Gage MI, Humpage AR, Falconer IR, Marr M, Chernoff N, The cyanobacterial toxin, cylindrospermopsin, induces fetal toxicity in the mouse after exposure late in gestation, *Toxicol.* 49 (6) (2007) 855–864 Epub 2007/02/13. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicol.2006.12.009. [PubMed: 17292934]
- [108]. Wangikar PB, Dwivedi P, Sinha N, Effect in rats of simultaneous prenatal exposure to ochratoxin A and aflatoxin B1. I. Maternal toxicity and fetal malformations, *Birth Defects Res. B Dev. Reprod. Toxicol* 71 (6) (2004) 343–351 Epub 2004/12/24. doi: 10.1002/bdrb.20021. [PubMed: 15617020]
- [109]. Biasucci G, Calabrese G, Di Giuseppe R, Carrara G, Colombo F, Mandelli B, Maj M, Bertuzzi T, Pietri A, Rossi F, The presence of ochratoxin A in cord serum and in human milk and its correspondence with maternal dietary habits, *Eur. J. Nutr.* 50 (3) (2011) 211–218 Epub 2010/09/03. doi: 10.1007/s00394-010-0130y. [PubMed: 20812016]
- [110]. Jonsyn FE, Maxwell SM, Hendrickse RG, Human fetal exposure to ochratoxin A and aflatoxins, *Ann. Trop. Paediatr* 15 (1) (1995) 3–9 [PubMed: 7598434]

- [111]. Hollender J, Schymanski EL, Singer HP, Ferguson PL, Nontarget Screening with High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in the Environment: Ready to Go? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51 (20) (2017) 11505–11512 Epub 2017/09/07. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b02184. [PubMed: 28877430]
- [112]. Arbuckle TE, Fraser WD, Fisher M, Davis K, Liang CL, Lupien N, Bastien S, Velez MP, von Dadelszen P, Hemmings DG, Wang J, Helewa M, Taback S, Sermer M, Foster W, Ross G, Fredette P, Smith G, Walker M, Shear R, Dodds L, Ettinger AS, Weber JP, D'Amour M, Legrand M, Kumarathasan P, Vincent R, Luo ZC, Platt RW, Mitchell G, Hidiroglou N, Cockell K, Villeneuve M, Rawn DF, Dabeka R, Cao XL, Becalski A, Ratnayake N, Bondy G, Jin X, Wang Z, Tittlemier S, Julien P, Avar D, Weiler H, Leblanc A, Muckle G, Boivin M, Dionne G, Ayotte P, Lanphear B, Seguin JR, SaintAmour D, Dewailly E, Monnier P, Koren G, Ouellet E, Cohort profile: the maternal-infant research on environmental chemicals research platform, *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol* 27 (4) (2013) 415–425 Epub 2013/06/19. doi: 10.1111/ppe.12061. [PubMed: 23772943]
- [113]. Andra SS, Austin C, Patel D, Dolios G, Awawda M, Arora M, Trends in the application of high-resolution mass spectrometry for human biomonitoring: an analytical primer to studying the environmental chemical space of the human exposome, *Environ. Int* 100 (2017) 32–61 Epub 2017/01/08. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2016.11.026. [PubMed: 28062070]
- [114]. Schymanski EL, Jeon J, Gulde R, Fenner K, Ruff M, Singer HP, Hollender J, Identifying small molecules via high resolution mass spectrometry: communicating confidence, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48 (4) (2014) 2097–2098 Epub 2014/01/31. doi: 10.1021/es5002105. [PubMed: 24476540]
- [115]. Peisl BYL, Schymanski EL, Wilmes P, Dark matter in host-microbiome metabolomics: tackling the unknowns-A review, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1037 (2018) 13–27 Epub 2018/10/08. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2017.12.034. [PubMed: 30292286]
- [116]. da Silva RR, Dorrestein PC, Quinn RA, Illuminating the dark matter in metabolomics, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112 (41) (2015) 12549–12550 Epub 2015/10/03. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1516878112. [PubMed: 26430243]
- [117]. Kim S, Chen J, Cheng T, Gindulyte A, He J, He S, Li Q, Shoemaker BA, Thiessen PA, Yu B, Zaslavsky L, Zhang J, Bolton EE, PubChem 2019 update: improved access to chemical data, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (D1) (2019) D1102–D9. Epub 2018/10/30. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1033. [PubMed: 30371825]
- [118]. Williams AJ, ChemSpider, (2015).
- [119]. Williams AJ, Grulke CM, Edwards J, McEachran AD, Mansouri K, Baker NC, Patlewicz G, Shah I, Wambaugh JF, Judson RS, Richard AM, The CompTox Chemistry Dashboard: a community data resource for environmental chemistry, *J. Cheminform* 9 (1) (2017) 61 Epub 2017/12/01. doi: 10.1186/s13321-017-02476. [PubMed: 29185060]
- [120]. McEachran AD, Sobus JR, Williams AJ, Identifying known unknowns using the US EPA's CompTox chemistry dashboard, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 409 (7) (2017) 1729–1735 Epub 2016/12/18. doi: 10.1007/s00216-016-0139-z. [PubMed: 27987027]
- [121]. McEachran AD, Mansouri K, Grulke C, Schymanski EL, Ruttkies C, Williams AJ, MS-Ready" structures for non-targeted high-resolution mass spectrometry screening studies, *J. Cheminform* 10 (1) (2018) 45 Epub 2018/09/01. doi: 10.1186/s13321-018-0299-2. [PubMed: 30167882]
- [122]. Sobus JR, Grossman JN, Chao A, Singh R, Williams AJ, Grulke CM, Richard AM, Newton SR, McEachran AD, Ulrich EM, Using prepared mixtures of ToxCast chemicals to evaluate non-targeted analysis (NTA) method performance, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 411 (4) (2019) 835–851 Epub 2019/01/07. doi: 10.1007/s00216-018-1526-4. [PubMed: 30612177]
- [123]. Mahieu NG, Patti GJ, Systems-level annotation of a metabolomics data set reduces 25000 features to fewer than 1000 unique metabolites, *Anal. Chem.* 89 (19) (2017) 10397–10406 Epub 2017/09/16. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02380. [PubMed: 28914531]
- [124]. Djoumbou-Feunang Y, Fiamoncini J, Gil-de-la-Fuente A, Greiner R, Manach C, Wishart DS, BioTransformer: a comprehensive computational tool for small molecule metabolism prediction and metabolite identification, *J. Cheminform* 11 (1) (2019) 2 Epub 2019/01/07. doi: 10.1186/s13321-018-0324-5. [PubMed: 30612223]
- [125]. Blazenovic I, Kind T, Torbasinovic H, Obrenovic S, Mehta SS, Tsugawa H, Wermuth T, Schauer N, Jahn M, Biedendieck R, Jahn D, Fiehn O, Comprehensive comparison of in silico

MS/MS fragmentation tools of the CASMI contest: database boosting is needed to achieve 93% accuracy, *J. Cheminform* 9 (1) (2017) 32 Epub 2017/11/01. doi: 10.1186/s13321-017-0219-x. [PubMed: 29086039]

- [126]. Schymanski EL, Ruttkies C, Krauss M, Brouard C, Kind T, Duhrkop K, Allen F, Vaniya A, Verdegem D, Bocker S, Rousu J, Shen H, Tsugawa H, Sajed T, Fiehn O, Ghesquiere B, Neumann S, Critical assessment of small molecule identification 2016: automated methods, *J. Cheminform* 9 (1) (2017) 22 Epub 2017/11/01. doi: 10.1186/s13321-017-0207-1. [PubMed: 29086042]
- [127]. Fry RC, Navasumrit P, Valiathan C, Svensson JP, Hogan BJ, Luo M, Bhattacharya S, Kandjanapa K, Soontararuks S, Nookabkaew S, Mahidol C, Ruchirawat M, Samson LD, Activation of inflammation/NF-kappaB signaling in infants born to arsenic-exposed mothers, *PLoS Genet.* 3 (11) (2007) e207 Epub 2007/11/28. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030207. [PubMed: 18039032]
- [128]. Miura R, Araki A, Miyashita C, Kobayashi S, Kobayashi S, Wang S-L, Chen CH, Miyake K, Ishizuka M, Iwasaki Y, An epigenome-wide study of cord blood DNA methylations in relation to prenatal perfluoroalkyl substance exposure: the Hokkaido study, *Environ. Int* 115 (2018) 21–28. [PubMed: 29544137]
- [129]. Rager JE, Fry RC, Systems biology and environmental exposures, in: Zhang W (Ed.), *Network Biology*, Nova Science Publishers, 2013, pp. 81–130.
- [130]. Fry RC, Carberry C, Rager JE, Use of genome editing tools in environmental health research, *Curr. Opin. Toxicol* (2019).
- [131]. Tuovinen S, Eriksson JG, Kajantie E, Raikonen K, Maternal hypertensive pregnancy disorders and cognitive functioning of the offspring: a systematic review, *J. Am. Soc. Hypertens* 8 (11) (2014) 832–847 e1. Epub 2014/12/03. doi: 10.1016/j.jash.2014.09.005. [PubMed: 25455009]




Fig. 1. Overview of environmental contaminants reaching the maternal and fetal exposomes (e.g. prenatal exposome), potentially influencing pregnancy outcomes (i.e., maternal and fetal health).




Fig. 2. Integration of recent advances in analytical instrumentation, chemical databases, and computational tools and workflows to enable high-throughput chemical screening. The utilization of such integrative approaches will result in increased knowledge surrounding the fetal exposome.




Fig. 3. Example toxicological endpoints and disease outcomes that can be related to measures of the prenatal exposome to better understand relationships and mechanisms underlying environmental exposure-induced disease.