

### **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *J Cancer Surviv*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Cancer Surviv. 2020 April; 14(2): 179-187. doi:10.1007/s11764-019-00843-0.

## Chronic pain, health related quality of life, and employment in working-age cancer survivors

Emily Cox-Martin, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Amy Anderson-Mellies, MPH<sup>b</sup>, Virginia Borges, MMSc, MD<sup>a</sup>, Cathy Bradley, PhD<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, 12801 E 17<sup>th</sup> Ave, Aurora, CO 80045,

<sup>b</sup>Cancer Prevention and Control, University of Colorado Cancer Center,

<sup>c</sup>University of Colorado School of Public Health, Department of Health Systems, Management, and Policy, and University of Colorado Cancer Center 13001 E. 17<sup>th</sup> PI, Aurora, CO 80045.

#### Abstract

**Purpose:** This study estimated the prevalence of cancer-related pain in working-age cancer survivors (age 25–64 years) and evaluated differences in demographic and clinical variables in those with and without pain. We also investigated the impact of cancer-related pain on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and employment outcomes in this population.

**Methods:** We used cross-sectional data from the 2016 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). Analyses were conducted with a sample of 1,702 cancer survivors who completed treatment. All analyses were conducted using procedures to account for the complex sampling design of the BRFSS.

**Results:** Nearly 17% (95% CI [13.94–19.58]) of working-age cancer survivors reported experiencing cancer-related pain. Among those who experienced pain, the majority were female, white, non-Hispanic, married/partnered, and non-employed, with breast as the most common cancer disease site. Those with cancer-related pain experienced more physically unhealthy days (adjusted rate ratio [aRR] 1.63, 95% CI [1.16–2.28]), mentally unhealthy days (aRR 1.52, 95% CI [1.02–2.26]), and activity interference (aRR 2.15, 95% CI [1.53–3.02]). Cancer-related pain decreased the odds of being employed, but only in female survivors (aOR 0.34, 95% CI [0.22–0.54]).

**Conclusion:** Cancer-related chronic pain is a prevalent, long-term condition that is negatively associated with HRQoL and employment in working-age cancer survivors.

Corresponding Author: Emily Cox-Martin, PhD, Emily.Cox-Martin@ucdenver.edu, Phone: 720-848-8700, Fax: 720-848-2592. **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and it later amendments or comparable ethical standards. For this type of study, formal consent is not required.

This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by the authors.

**Implications for Cancer Survivors:** Clinical interventions targeting chronic pain may improve HRQoL in working-age cancer survivors and employment outcomes, particularly in women.

#### Keywords

cancer-related chronic pain; health related quality of life; employment; working-age cancer survivor

#### Introduction

The continuous drop in cancer-related death rates over the past few decades has resulted in a growing number of long-term cancer survivors [1]. This increasing population of individuals has brought into focus the need to better understand the long-term effects of cancer treatments [2]. While the majority of individuals in extended survivorship who have completed active treatment are age 65 years or older, younger age is associated with higher rates of cancer-related symptom burden [3–7]. Younger, working-age cancer survivors (i.e., <sup><</sup> 65 years old) represent a distinctive subgroup of cancer survivors who face a constellation of complex responsibilities unique to their phase of life, including family caregiving, professional pressures, and financial insecurity. For certain disease sites, younger age is associated with higher rates of cancer-related pain [8–10], lower quality of life [11–13], and higher levels of financial hardship [14, 15]. Therefore, further investigation into the impact these symptoms have on working-age cancer survivors is warranted.

Prevalence estimates for cancer-related pain vary by disease characteristics and site [4]. While general estimates exist for the prevalence of cancer-related pain in survivors who have completed treatment, the role of cancer-related pain has not been investigated in workingage survivors specifically, despite documented associations between younger age and increased pain prevalence, severity and interference [16]. The majority of studies regarding cancer-related chronic pain focused on female breast cancer survivors, as they comprise the largest percentage of long-term survivors [17]. A study of 877 recurrence-free breast cancer survivors who underwent radiotherapy found younger age to be a significant risk factor for chronic breast pain, with 23.1% of women under age 39 years reporting pain compared to 8.7% of those over age 60 years [9]. Similarly, a long-term follow-up study of breast cancer survivors with post-mastectomy pain syndrome found women with persistent pain to be younger compared to those whose pain resolved (mean age 49.5 vs 56.2 years) [8]. This association between younger age and increased risk for cancer-related chronic pain is true for other disease sites as well [18, 19], although with more limited findings. Therefore, there is a need to investigate this finding in more heterogeneous samples that include multiple disease sites.

A number of hypotheses have been suggested for the association between age and cancerrelated pain in cancer survivors. At the forefront is the association between younger age and an increased likelihood of receiving cancer treatments such as chemotherapy [20] that contribute to long-term pain conditions. Younger patients, often with fewer comorbidities, may also receive more aggressive treatment regimens and may be over treated for their

disease [21]. Another hypothesis for the age and pain association is the differential ability of younger survivors to manage and cope with disease- and treatment-related side effects [22]. The combination of these factors places younger cancer survivors at an increased risk for cancer-related chronic pain syndromes and related distress.

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL), a multidimensional construct related to physical, emotional, and social functioning, is poorer in survivors who report either cancer-related [8, 23–25] or non-cancer-related chronic pain [26]. For example, among breast cancer survivors who completed active treatment, those reporting persistent pain demonstrated significantly lower levels of HRQoL compared to those without [27]. Hamood and colleagues demonstrated a dose-response relationship, such that as the number of pain symptoms reported increased, an incremental decrease in HRQoL was observed [28]. Younger survivors, in general, are at risk for lower HRQoL relative to older survivors [29], with age being a significant positive predictor of physical HRQoL in certain types of cancer [30].

For working-age survivors, employment is an essential component of well-being. In a study of middle-aged (age 45 to 65 years) individuals with colon cancer one year after diagnosis, those who maintained or increased their professional work load reported significantly better overall quality of life when compared to those who had decreased or stopped working [31]. Additionally, ongoing symptom interference related to cancer and its treatments can contribute to reduced employment [7] that can jeopardize employment-based health insurance required to offset the cost of surveillance and survivorship care.

The purpose of this study was to 1) estimate the prevalence of cancer-related pain in working-age cancer survivors, 2) evaluate differences in demographic and clinical characteristics between working-age cancer survivors with and without cancer-related pain, and 3) investigate the impact of cancer-related pain on HRQoL and employment outcomes in this population.

#### Materials and Methods

#### **Data Source and Study Population**

We analyzed data from the 2016 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), a population-based telephone survey conducted annually in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and participating US territories. This survey collected information about health-related risk behaviors, chronic health conditions, and use of preventive services among non-institutionalized residents aged 18 years. The complex sampling design and weighting methodology of the BRFSS are described elsewhere [32, 33].

This study used data from the nine states (Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wisconsin) that administered the optional Cancer Survivorship Module in 2016. The median survey response rate among these states was 51.4% [33]. The initial study population included 2,409 participants with a history of cancer who indicated that they completed treatment (e.g., surgery, radiation therapy, infusion chemotherapy, or oral chemotherapy), were diagnosed at age 21 years or older, and were currently between ages 25 to 64 years.

#### Measures

Participants were asked if they had ever been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional that they had cancer. If they responded "yes" then the Cancer Survivorship Module was administered. Questions from this module asked participants about their specific cancer diagnosis and if they were still receiving treatment. Cancer-related pain was assessed among those who had completed treatment by asking "Do you currently have physical pain caused by your cancer or cancer treatment?" Participants who responded "yes" to this question were then asked, "Is your pain currently under control?" Response options included 1) yes, with medication (or treatment), 2) yes, without medication (or treatment), 3) No, with medication (or treatment) or 4) No, without medication (or treatment).

Demographic characteristics included sex (male/female), age (within a 10-year cohort), race/ ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and other), marital status (currently married/unmarried couple or unpartnered including divorced, widowed, separated, never married). Education (did not graduate high school, graduated high school, attended college or technical school, graduated college or technical school), and employment status (employed, out of work, homemaker, student, retired or unable to work) were also included in the analysis.

HRQoL was measured using items from the Healthy Days – Health-Related Quality of Life module of the BRFSS, as recommended by the Centers for Disease Control [34]. This measure assesses the number of physically unhealthy days, mentally unhealthy days, and days of activity interference due to poor physical or mental health in the past 30 days.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

Participants diagnosed with non-melanoma skin cancer were excluded from the study sample (n = 627) as were participants who responded, "don't know/not sure," refused to answer, or had missing responses to any of the demographic, cancer-related pain, or HRQoL questions (n = 80). To account for the complex sampling design of BRFSS, all analyses were conducted using statistical procedures that included statements for stratification, clustering, and survey weights. All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Weighted prevalence estimates and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were estimated to summarize the characteristics of working-age cancer survivors. Chi-square test for proportions and two-tailed t-test were used to investigate differences in demographic and HRQoL characteristics between those with cancer-related pain and those without, with a p<0.05 considered statistically significant. The same univariate analyses were used to investigate differences in employment and HRQoL in those with controlled versus uncontrolled pain.

Next, three separate negative binomial regression models were used to evaluate associations between cancer-related pain and measures of HRQoL, controlling for demographic characteristics (sex, age, race/ethnicity, marital status, and education). Negative binomial regression was used as the HRQoL measures were over dispersed count variables. Finally, multivariable logistic regression was used to predict employment status for male and female

working- age cancer survivors with cancer-related pain, age, race/ethnicity, marital status, and education as covariates. Separate analyses were conducted by gender given its role in driving employment patterns. Results for negative binomial regression and multivariable logistic regression are presented as adjusted rate ratios (aRR) and odds ratios (aOR), respectively, and 95% CIs.

#### Results

A total of 1,702 respondents met our inclusion criteria. Of the nearly 17% (95% CI [13.94– 19.58)) of working-age cancer survivors currently experiencing cancer-related pain, threeguarters (95% CI [69.90-81.55]) controlled their pain either with or without medication/ treatment. Among those who experienced pain, the majority were female (70.98%, 95% CI [61.00-80.96]), white, non-Hispanic (82.52%, 95% CI [71.82-93.23]), married/partnered (57.82%, 95% CI [47.85–67.79]), and non-employed (56.41%, 95% CI [46.92–65.89]). The most common cancer disease site in survivors with cancer-related pain was breast (32.26%, 95% CI [24.12–40.40]). Differences in demographic characteristics between those with and without cancer-related pain were further evaluated by gender. In working-age male cancer survivors, significant differences were found for age and race/ethnicity between those with cancer-related pain and those without (Table 1a). For female respondents, a significant difference in employment status was demonstrated between those with and those without cancer-related pain (Table 1b). Among cancer survivors with uncontrolled pain, approximately 20% reported being employed compared to almost 80% who reported being non-employed (Table 2). Additionally, statistically significant differences were found in all domains of HRQoL between those with controlled pain versus uncontrolled pain, such that respondents with uncontrolled pain reported a more physically and mentally unhealthy days, as well as more days of activity interference (Table 2). Differences in employment and HRQoL between those with controlled versus uncontrolled pain were not evaluated by gender given sample size limitations.

Findings from the negative binomial regression estimates of HRQoL are reported in Table 3. Those with cancer-related pain experienced 63% more physically unhealthy days (adjusted odds ratio [aRR] 1.63, 95% CI [1.16–2.28]) than those without cancer-related pain. Men had fewer physically unhealthy days (aRR 0.59; 95% CI [0.42–0.82]) compared to women, while respondents who were unpartnered had more physically unhealthy days relative to those who were partnered (aRR 1.67, 95% CI [1.19–2.33]). Survivors with cancer-related pain experienced 52% more mentally unhealthy days (aRR 1.52, 95% CI [1.02–2.26]) relative to those without pain. Men had fewer mentally unhealthy days (aRR 0.61, 95% CI [0.42–0.88]) as compared to women. Additionally, being unpartnered, younger, and having no more than a high school education were all associated with more mentally unhealthy days of activity interference related to physically or mentally unhealthy days (aRR 2.15, 95% CI [1.53–3.02]) than those without pain. In contrast, being black non-Hispanic, unpartnered, or having graduated from college were associated with fewer physically or mentally unhealthy days interfere with activity.

Findings from logistic regression models that predicted employment are reported in Table 4. For men, younger age groups were more likely to be employed compared to those in the oldest age group (age 55–64 year), as were those who were partnered. Notably, women with cancer-related pain were two-thirds less likely to be employed (aOR 0.34, 95% CI [0.22–0.54]). The odds of being employed were higher for female cancer survivors in younger age groups and those with higher levels of education.

#### Discussion

This study sought to improve our understanding of the cancer-related pain experience in working-age survivors who completed treatment, and its relationship with HRQoL and employment. Nearly 17% of working-age survivors reported cancer-related pain. Of those reporting cancer-related pain, the majority were women, with the most common disease site being breast cancer. Previous research in older cancer survivors (age 57–79 years) with heterogeneous cancer disease sites also found female sex to positively predict pain following diagnosis, as well as pain severity [13]. Our results support a similar finding in this workingage sample. Notably, over 24% of working-age men reporting cancer-related chronic pain were African American compared to only 4% of men without chronic pain. In a previous study with a diverse sample of older male and female survivors, identifying as African American as a significant predictor of pain since diagnosis [13]. Our results extend this finding to younger survivors; however, only for males. For women, a significant difference in employment status was found, such that almost 60% of women without pain reported being employed compared to 34% of women with pain. Additionally, among those reporting uncontrolled pain, nearly 80% were non-employed, compared to less than 50% of those with controlled pain.

For both men and women, initial analyses indicated that HRQoL was significantly lower in individuals who experienced cancer-related pain, particularly for those with uncontrolled pain. Further regression models including the entire sample found the presence of cancer-related pain was significantly associated with greater physically unhealthy days, mentally unhealthy days, and total days of activity interference. This speaks to the ubiquitous negative impact of chronic pain across physical and psychological functioning. This finding also lends support to the negative association previously identified between cancer-related chronic pain and HRQoL, specifically in younger, working-age survivors. Being non-employed was also statistically significantly associated with all measures of HRQoL, as was being unpartnered. Finally, while the presence of cancer-related pain was associated with employment status for women, the same relationship was not found for men.

This paper highlights components of the cancer-related chronic pain experience in workingage cancer survivors. Cancer-related chronic pain has a clear association with decreased quality of life, across domains, particularly when uncontrolled. The relationship between cancer-related chronic pain and employment differed by gender, with a statistically significant relationship on employment shown only for female cancer survivors. This difference in employment may also reflect symptoms associated with breast cancer, the most common cancer reported among women, or work situations of women where conditions such as neuropathy or lymphedema may interfere with job performance. Due to sample size

limitations, disease site was not included in the model and specific pain-related conditions were not evaluated. Therefore, when considering pain as a possible clinical target for employment outcomes, gender differences, disease site and/or pain syndrome should be considered.

The primary strength of this study was the focus on working-age cancer survivors who completed treatment. It also included a variety of cancer disease sites, allowing for greater generalizability of findings, as many previous studies of cancer-related pain in extended survivorship focused on breast cancer or other more prevalent types of cancer. However, the cross-sectional nature of the data limits the causal interpretations. Additionally, while multiple states provided data for the optional Cancer Survivorship module, the median survey response rate among these states was 51.4%, which may indicate a bias in selfselection for completing the survey. While the presence of pain in nearly 17% of the sample is notable in terms of a long-term consequence of treatment, it is significantly lower than previous estimates of 40% for all adult survivors who completed treatment [4]. This is particularly surprising given the significant amount of previous research establishing younger age as a strong predictor of cancer-related chronic pain. However, nearly all states included in the survey were regionally located in the Midwest, leaving out more diverse states and those with large urban centers. Finally, while participants indicated the presence of cancer-related pain, they did not indicate levels of severity or intensity. Additionally, the presence of non-cancer related pain or the presence of other common treatment-related symptoms, such as fatigue, was not evaluated. Therefore, exploration of these important pain-related variables and other indicators of broader symptom burden was not possible.

Pain is a frequent side-effect of a cancer diagnosis and its treatment that can persist long after treatment has ended. This sequela can negatively impact HRQoL, as well as employment outcomes, which may be particularly deleterious in working-age cancer survivors facing additional aspects of financial hardship and who count on employment as a source of medical insurance. Reduction or loss of employment in younger, working-age cancer survivors compounds difficulties in survivors already at risk for financial hardship [14, 35]. Working-age cancer survivors are more likely to experience debt and bankruptcy related to their cancer diagnosis and treatment, with those who are younger and unemployed being most at risk [15]. Addressing chronic pain management needs in working-age survivors may also impact these other relevant outcomes.

Calls have been made to include social and behavioral determinants of health in order to achieve personalized health care [36], including in the management of chronic pain and cancer-related pain [37, 38]. This study identified a number of gender differences in the experience and impact of cancer-related chronic pain in working-age survivors. Given the negative relationship between chronic pain and employment outcomes in women, a pain management intervention with an additional aim for back-to-work could be effective at increasing employment. However, for male cancer survivors, there was a negative relationship between marital status and employment, such that unpartnered men were less likely to be employed; a similar association was not found for women. This may indicate that a better fit for back-to-work outcomes for men may include a focus on enhancing perceived social support.

For working-age cancer survivors, there are multiple contextual factors that should be considered for how to effectively manage chronic pain. Efficacious interdisciplinary programs for chronic pain management typically include a variety of interventions, including medications (e.g., opioids), procedures (e.g., nerve blocks), psychological treatment, as well as physical and/or occupational therapy. However, long-term opioid use for chronic pain can have side-effects, including mental clouding, fatigue, infertility, and reduced libido, [26, 39], which may be particularly impairing for younger survivors managing family, relationships, and employment. Likewise, practical barriers exist to the accessibility of behavioral interventions for cancer-related chronic pain in working-age survivors [40]. These services are often offered exclusively during working hours, over multiple time-consuming, in person sessions, which is not a feasible delivery method for working-age survivors who may have more time constraints. Novel methods of delivery (e.g., telehealth, mobile health) may offer a pathway for increased access to effective treatment for pain management in working age survivors.

#### Funding:

ECM was funded by the National Cancer Institute through grant K12 CA086913.

#### References

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, and Jemal A, Cancer statistics 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019; 69(1): 7–34. [PubMed: 30620402]
- Kline RM, et al., Long-Term Survivorship Care After Cancer Treatment-Summary of a 2017 National Cancer Policy Forum Workshop. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2018; 110(12): 1300–1310. [PubMed: 30496448]
- 3. Wu H-S and Harden JK, Symptom burden and quality of life in survivorship: a review of the literature. Cancer Nurs. 2015; 38(1): E29–E54. [PubMed: 24831042]
- Van Den Beuken-Van MH, et al., Update on prevalence of pain in patients with cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2016; 51(6): 1070–1090. e9. [PubMed: 27112310]
- 5. Sun Y, Shigaki CL, and Armer JM, Return to work among breast cancer survivors: a literature review. Support Care Cancer. 2017; 25(3): 709–718. [PubMed: 27873016]
- Duijts SF, et al., Physical and psychosocial problems in cancer survivors beyond return to work: a systematic review. Psychooncology. 2014; 23(5): 481–492. [PubMed: 24375630]
- Tevaarwerk A, et al., Employment outcomes among survivors of common cancers: the Symptom Outcomes and Practice Patterns (SOAPP) study. J Cancer Surviv. 2013; 7(2): 191–202. [PubMed: 23378060]
- 8. Macdonald L, et al., Long-term follow-up of breast cancer survivors with post-mastectomy pain syndrome. Br J Cancer. 2005; 92(2): 225. [PubMed: 15655557]
- 9. Lundstedt D, et al., Risk factors of developing long-lasting breast pain after breast cancer radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2012; 83(1): 71–78. [PubMed: 22079722]
- Bredal IS, et al., Chronic pain in breast cancer survivors: comparison of psychosocial, surgical, and medical characteristics between survivors with and without pain. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2014; 48(5): 852–862. [PubMed: 24703940]
- Champion VL, et al., Comparison of younger and older breast cancer survivors and age-matched controls on specific and overall quality of life domains. Cancer. 2014; 120(15): 2237–2246. [PubMed: 24891116]
- Kroenke K, et al., The association of depression and pain with health-related quality of life, disability, and health care use in cancer patients. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2010; 40(3): 327–341. [PubMed: 20580201]

- Green CR, Hart-Johnson T, and Loeffler DR, Cancer-related chronic pain: examining quality of life in diverse cancer survivors. Cancer. 2011; 117(9): 1994–2003. [PubMed: 21509777]
- Yabroff KR, et al., Financial hardship associated with cancer in the United States: findings from a population-based sample of adult cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol. 2016; 34(3): 259. [PubMed: 26644532]
- 15. Banegas MP, et al., For working-age cancer survivors, medical debt and bankruptcy create financial hardships. Health Aff. 2016; 35(1): 54–61.
- 16. Cox-Martin E, et al., Disease burden and pain in obese cancer patients with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. Support Care Cancer. 2017; 25(6): 1873–1879. [PubMed: 28124735]
- Miller KD, et al., Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016; 66(4): 271–289. [PubMed: 27253694]
- Waldenström A-C, et al., Pain and mean absorbed dose to the pubic bone after radiotherapy among gynecological cancer survivors. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2011; 80(4): 1171–1180. [PubMed: 20643516]
- Mao JJ, et al., Symptom burden among cancer survivors: impact of age and comorbidity. J Am Board Fam Med. 2007; 20(5): 434–443. [PubMed: 17823460]
- 20. Casadaban L, et al., Adjuvant chemotherapy is associated with improved survival in patients with stage II colon cancer. Cancer. 2016; 122(21): 3277–3287. [PubMed: 27417445]
- Kiderlen M, et al., Variations in compliance to quality indicators by age for 41,871 breast cancer patients across Europe: a European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists database analysis. Eur J Cancer. 2015; 51(10): 1221–1230. [PubMed: 25892645]
- 22. Leach CR, et al., Preparedness and cancer-related symptom management among cancer survivors in the first year post-treatment. Ann Behav Med. 2017; 51(4): 587–598. [PubMed: 28224276]
- 23. Peuckmann V, et al., Chronic pain and other sequelae in long-term breast cancer survivors: Nationwide survey in Denmark. Euro J Pain. 2009; 13(5): 478–485.
- Paice JA, et al., Management of chronic pain in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2016; 34(27): 3325–3345. [PubMed: 27458286]
- Eckhoff L, et al., Persistence of docetaxel-induced neuropathy and impact on quality of life among breast cancer survivors. Euro J Pain. 2015; 51(3): 292–300.
- 26. Huang I-C, et al., Differential impact of symptom prevalence and chronic conditions on quality of life in cancer survivors and non-cancer individuals: a population study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2017; 26(7): 1124–1132. [PubMed: 28336581]
- 27. Manfuku M, et al., Comparison of central sensitization-related symptoms and health-related quality of life between breast cancer survivors with and without chronic pain and healthy controls. Breast Cancer. 2019: 1–8.
- 28. Hamood R, et al., Chronic pain and other symptoms among breast cancer survivors: prevalence, predictors, and effects on quality of life. Breast Can Res Treat. 2018; 167(1): 157–169.
- 29. Jansen L, et al., Quality of life among long-term (≥ 5 years) colorectal cancer survivors–systematic review. Eur J Cancer. 2010; 46(16): 2879–2888. [PubMed: 20605090]
- 30. Arndt V, et al., Quality of life in long-term and very long-term cancer survivors versus population controls in Germany. Acta Oncol. 2017; 56(2): 190–197. [PubMed: 28055266]
- Beesley VL, et al., Association between change in employment participation and quality of life in middle-aged colorectal cancer survivors compared with general population controls. Psychooncology. 2017; 26(9): 1354–1360. [PubMed: 27862573]
- 32. Pickens CM, et al., Surveillance for Certain Health Behaviors and Conditions Among States and Selected Local Areas—Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 2015. MMWR Surveillance Summaries. 2018: 67(9): 1.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System- Overview BRFSS 2016 https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual\_data/2016/pdf/overview\_2016.pdf. Accessed 16 Sept 2019.
- 34. Moriarty DG, Zack MM, and Kobau R, The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Healthy Days Measures–Population tracking of perceived physical and mental health over time. Health Qual Life Out. 2003; 1(1): 37.

- 35. Gordon LG, et al., Reduced employment and financial hardship among middle-aged individuals with colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer Care. 2017; 26(5): e12744.
- 36. Glasgow RE, Kwan BM, and Matlock DD, Realizing the full potential of precision health: The need to include patient-reported health behavior, mental health, social determinants, and patient preferences data. J Clin Transl Res. 2018; 2(3): 183–185.
- 37. Hui D and Bruera E, A personalized approach to assessing and managing pain in patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2014; 32(16): 1640. [PubMed: 24799495]
- Gatchel RJ, et al., Interdisciplinary chronic pain management: past, present, and future. Am Psychol. 2014; 69(2): 119. [PubMed: 24547798]
- 39. Paice JA and Von Roenn JH, Under-or overtreatment of pain in the patient with cancer: how to achieve proper balance. J Clin Oncol. 2014; 32(16): 1721–1726. [PubMed: 24799468]
- Ehde DM, Dillworth TM, and Turner JA, Cognitive-behavioral therapy for individuals with chronic pain: Efficacy, innovations, and directions for research. Amer Psychol. 2014; 69(2): 153. [PubMed: 24547801]

$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
2
Ŧ
ō
-
$\leq$
a
lanu
a
lanu
lanu

Table 1a.

Sample demographic and clinical characteristics, Men, BRFSS 2016 (n = 533)

Variable         Unweighted frequency (n = Weighted           Age. y         7         Weighted           Age. y         7         7         95           Age. y         7         7         97         115           S5-64         35.6         68         68         68           S5-64         35.6         83         68         97         115           S5-64         35.6         83         68         97         115         97         115           S5-64         S5-64         35.6         86         97         97         115         116         117         117         116         117         117         116         117         117         116         117         117         111	<ul> <li>Meighted percentage (95% CI)</li> <li>2.25 (0.24-4.27)</li> <li>9.37 (4.48-14.25)</li> <li>19.99 (15.37-24.61)</li> </ul>	Unweighted frequency (n =	Weighted percentage (95% CI)	n-value*
7     25       856     356       7     356       7     356       7     356       7     17       7     17       17     19       18     17       19     19       19     147       19     147       19     147       19     147       10 runmarried couple     338       ad Widowed/Separated/Never Married     147       11     147       10     147       23     27       graduate High School     126       ed     174       polyed     174       ployed     174       ployed     174	2.25 (0.24-4.27) 9.37 (4.48–14.25) 19.99 (15.37–24.61)	68)	1	Annu - d
7     25       97     356       97     356       97     356       98     356       99     356       91     17       92     17       93     19       949     17       95     19       96     147       97     147       98     147       99     147       90     147       91     147       92     147       93     147       93     147       94     147       95     147       96     147       97     147       100     126       91     126       92     126       93     126       94     126       95     174       96     126       97     174       97     174       98     174       99     174       91     174       91     174       91     174       91     174       91     174       91     174       92     174       93     17	2.25 (0.24-4.27) 9.37 (4.48–14.25) 19.99 (15.37–24.61)			<.001
25 Sthnicity non-Hispanic 356 anon-Hispanic 449 non-Hispanic 17 non-Hispanic 17 a dor unmarried couple 147 d or unmarried couple 338 ed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married 147 a dor unmarried 27 t graduate High School 129 a College or Technical School 126 ated from College or Technical School 126 ated from College or Technical School 126 ted from College or Technical School 126 ted from College or Technical School 126 the from College or Technical	9.37 (4.48–14.25) 19.99 (15.37–24.61)	3	24.87 (0.00–55.95)	
97     356       356     356       non-Hispanic     449       non-Hispanic     17       al Status     17       al Status     338       do runmarried couple     338       ed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married     147       t graduate High School     126       t graduate High School     126       ed College or Technical School     203       yment     311       winent     311       ndor College or Technical School     203       ith medication (or treatment)     N/A	19.99 (15.37–24.61)	3	3.09 (0.00–7.40)	
356 Ethnicity 17 non-Hispanic 449 non-Hispanic 17 non-Hispanic 17 non-Hispanic 17 al Status 19 d or unmarried couple 19 al Status 338 ed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married 147 ted widowed/Separated/Never Married 147 tion 27 at ed High School 129 ted College or Technical School 126 at ed from College or Technical School 203 wment 311 tip medication (or treatment) N/A		18	25.18 (8.93–41.44)	
Ethnicity and the spanic state of the spanic and th	68.39 (62.32–74.46)	44	46.86 (25.45–68.28)	
non-Hispanic49non-Hispanic17non-Hispanic19al Status19al Status338d or unmarried couple338ed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married147dor unmarried couple238ed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married147tion27to graduate High School27ated High School126ed College or Technical School203winent311winent311mployed174inh medication (or treatment)N/A				0.002
non-Hispanic 17 19 19 al Status 19 ed or unmarried couple 338 ed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married 147 tion 338 ed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married 27 tifon tion 27 tifon School 27 tifon College or Technical School 126 ated from College or Technical School 203 twent 311 tip metication (or treatment) N/A	92.29 (88.94–95.64)	58	71.78 (41.64–100.00)	
19       al Status       cd or unmarried couple       338       cd or unmarried couple       sed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married       147       ifion       sed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married       147       ifion       sed/Widowed/Separated/Never Married       147       ifion       stad High School       27       ated High School       129       ed College or Technical School       126       ated from College or Technical School       203       yment       sted from College or Technical School       174       inholyed       ith medication (or treatment)       N/A	4.39 (1.91–6.88)	Э	24.83 (0.00–56.00)	
338 147 27 126 126 203 311 174 N/A	3.32 (0.95–5.68)	7	3.38 (0.00–7.45)	
338 147 27 129 126 203 311 174 N/A				0.522
147 27 126 126 311 174 N/A	65.98 (59.79–72.16)	47	57.69 (31.87–83.52)	
27 129 126 203 311 174 N/A	34.02 (27.84–40.21)	21	42.31 (16.48–68.14)	
27 126 126 203 311 174 N/A				0.069
129 126 203 311 174 N/A	11.31 (5.85–16.77)	7	28.21 (0.00-58.18)	
126 203 311 174 N/A	23.53 (18.33–28.72)	19	24.44 (10.67–38.20)	
203 311 174 N/A	30.24 (24.37–36.11)	27	33.85 (15.96–51.74)	
311 174 (or treatment) N/A	34.92 (29.43-40.41)	15	13.50 (2.08–24.93)	
311 174 (or treatment) N/A				0.683
174 (or treatment) N/A	61.10 (55.11–67.09)	41	65.05 (47.20-82.89)	
(or treatment)	38.90 (32.91–44.89)	27	34.95 (17.11–52.80)	
	N/A	29	58.85 (42.60–75.11)	
Yes, without medication (or treatment) N/A	N/A	28	29.48 (16.17–42.80)	
No, with medication (or treatment)	N/A	3	1.55 (0.94–2.17)	
No, without medication (or treatment) N/A	N/A	5	6.42 (3.10–9.74)	
Unknown N/A	N/A	3	3.69 (1.48–5.91)	
Health-related quality of life Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Me	Mean (SD)	

Author Manuscript

	Working-age survivors without chronic cancer-related pain	Working-age survivors with chronic cancer-related pain	c cancer-related pain	
Variable	Unweighted frequency (n = Weighted percentage (95% CI) 485)	Unweighted frequency (n = 68)	Weighted percentage (95% CI) p-value*	p-value*
Physically unhealthy days	4.31 (8.62)	6.60 (9.71)		<.001
Mentally unhealthy days	2.97 (7.41)	7.28 (10.35)		<.001
Days interference	3.23 (7.91)	4.55 (7.98)		<.001

\* Note: Chi-square test for proportions and two-tailed t-test for means comparing those without pain to those with pain. \* Note: Data are from 9 states that administered the optional Cancer Survivorship Module in 2016 ( (Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wisconsin)

\* Note: N/A = not applicable. BRFSS = Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

~
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
~
<u> </u>
<b>±</b>
2
0
<u> </u>
~
$\leq$
a
5
<b>Z</b>
<u> </u>
S
0
9

# Table 1b.

Sample demographic and clinical characteristics, Women, BRFSS 2016 (n = 1,149)

	Working-age survivors witho	Working-age survivors without chronic cancer-related pain	Working-age survivors wit	Working-age survivors with chronic cancer-related pain	
Variable	Unweighted frequency (n = 952)	Weighted percentage (95% CI)	Unweighted frequency (n = 197)	Weighted percentage (95% CI)	p-value*
Age, $y$					0.334
25–34	39	7.26 (4.61–9.90)	8	5.24 (0.91–9.57)	
35-44	84	12.55 (8.87–16.24)	22	18.86 (10.25–27.47)	
4554	241	28.64 (24.46–32.82)	52	30.37 (21.77–38.97)	
55-64	588	51.55 (46.98–56.12)	115	45.53 (36.53–54.52)	
Race/Ethnicity					0.325
White, non- Hispanic	851	87.29 (83.75–90.83)	169	86.91 (81.02–92.80)	
Black, non-Hispanic	50	6.22 (3.60–8.84)	14	9.12 (3.90–14.35)	
Other	51	6.49 (3.87–9.11)	14	3.96 (1.00–6.93)	
Marital Status					0.400
Married or unmarried couple	581	62.23 (57.74–66.71)	111	57.87 (48.66–67.08)	
Divorced/Widowed/Separated/Never Married	371	37.77 (33.29–42.26)	86	42.13 (32.92–51.34)	
Education					0.101
Did not graduate High School	44	7.34 (4.43–10.25)	13	7.58 (2.80–12.36)	
Graduated High School	244	30.94 (26.49–35.39)	61	36.68 (27.37-46.00)	
Attended College or Technical School	295	33.13 (28.94–37.31)	71	38.56 (29.36–47.76)	
Graduated from College or Technical School	369	28.60 (24.94–32.25)	52	17.18 (10.98–23.37)	
Employment					<.001
Employed	547	59.70 (55.20–64.21)	81	34.82 (26.18–43.47)	
Non-employed	405	40.30 (35.79-44.80)	116	65.18 (56.53–73.82)	
Pain Under Control					
Yes, with medication (or treatment)	N/A	N/A	75	35.85 (27.64-44.07)	
Yes, without medication (or treatment)	N/A	N/A	64	34.67 (26.83-42.50)	
No, with medication (or treatment)	N/A	N/A	17	8.00 (3.19–12.81)	
No, without medication (or treatment)	N/A	N/A	38	20.08 (14.23–25.93)	
Unknown	N/A	N/A	2	1.41 (0.00–3.92)	
Health-related quality of life	Mea	Mean (SD)	Mea	Mean (SD)	

Author Manuscript

	Working-age survivors without chronic cancer-related pain	Working-age survivors with	Working-age survivors with chronic cancer-related pain	
Variable	Unweighted frequency (n = Weighted percentage (95% CI) 952)	Unweighted frequency (n = 197)	Weighted percentage (95% CI) p-value*	p-value*
Physically unhealthy days	5.91 (10.00)	11.36 (	11.36 (11.42)	<.001
Mentally unhealthy days	5.40 (9.34)	8.46 (1	8.46 (10.13)	<.001
Days interference	4.06 (8.73)	9.21 (1	9.21 (11.31)	<.001

 $_{\star}^{*}$  Note: Chi-square test for proportions and two-tailed t-test for means comparing those without pain to those with pain.

\* Note: Data are from 9 states that administered the optional Cancer Survivorship Module in 2016 ( (Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wisconsin)

\* Note: N/A = not applicable. BRFSS = Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

~
Ŧ
5
9
<
$\leq$
≤a
Man
a
anu
a
anu
anuscr
anusci
anuscr

Author Manuscript

# Table 2.

Employment and HRQoL Characteristics of Working-age survivors with controlled versus uncontrolled pain, BRFSS, 2016 (n = 259)

	Controlled pain	ed pain	Uncontrolled pain	dled pain	
Variable	Unweighted frequency (n = 196)	Unweighted frequency (n = 196) Weighted percentage (95% CI) Unweighted frequency (n = 63) Weighted percentage (95% CI) p-value*	Unweighted frequency $(n = 63)$	Weighted percentage (95% CI)	p-value*
Employment					<.001
Employed	102	50.62 (41.06-60.19)	17	20.18 (10.13-30.24)	
Non-employed	94	49.38 (39.81–58.94)	46	79.82 (69.76–89.87)	
Health-related quality of life	Mean (SD)	(SD)	Mean (SD)	( <b>SD</b> )	
Physically unhealthy days	7.88 (10.18)	.0.18)	15.93 (	15.93 (11.83)	<.001
Mentally unhealthy days	7.00 (9.40)	9.40)	12.09 (	12.09 (12.09)	<.001
Days interference	6.23 (9.77)	(77)	12.77 (	12.77 (11.69)	<.001

a Ļ hupd \* Note: Data are from 9 states that administered the optional Cancer Survivorship Module in 2016 ( (Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, South Dakota, and Wisconsin)

\* Note: BRFSS = Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

	Physically Unhealthy Days	Mentally Unhealthy Days	Days of Activity Interference
Variable	Adjusted RR (95% CI)	Adjusted RR (95% CI)	Adjusted RR (95% CI)
Cancer-related pain			
Yes	1.63 (1.16–2.28)	1.52 (1.02–2.26)	2.15 (1.53–3.02)
No (Reference)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Sex			
Male	$0.59\ (0.42-0.82)$	$0.61 \ (0.42 - 0.88)$	0.80 (0.52–1.24)
Female (Reference)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Age, y			
25–34	0.75 (0.30–1.87)	1.57 (0.73–3.37)	1.44 (0.68–3.06)
35-44	1.04(0.68 - 1.58)	1.87 (1.08–3.25)	1.30 (0.77–2.20)
45-54	1.13(0.80-1.59)	1.28 (0.92–1.78)	0.87 (0.51–1.48)
55-64 (Reference)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Race/Ethnicity			
White, non- Hispanic (Reference)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Black, non-Hispanic	$0.86\ (0.50{-}1.47)$	0.66(0.33 - 1.33)	0.59 (0.36–0.97)
Other	2.01 (1.01-4.03)	1.65(0.86 - 3.16)	2.01 (0.55–7.29)
Marital Status			
Married or unmarried couple (Reference)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Divorced/Widowed/Separated/Never Married	1.67 (1.19–2.33)	2.49 (1.69–3.68)	2.88 (1.90-4.37)
Education			
Did not graduate High School	1.41 (0.84–2.36)	1.70 (0.83–3.46)	$0.86\ (0.44 - 1.68)$
Graduated High School	$0.98\ (0.67 - 1.41)$	1.77 (1.20–2.60)	1.23 (0.73–2.07)
Attended College or Technical School (Reference)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Graduated from College or Technical School	0.81 (0.51–1.29)	1.11 (0.75–1.64)	0.54 (0.32–0.90)
Employment			
Employed (Reference)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Non-employed	3.72 (2.76–5.01)	3.06 (2.17–4.31)	6.81 (4.35–10.68)

J Cancer Surviv. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 October 01.

Table 3.

Author Manuscript

Anthor Manual Risk Factor Surveillance System.

Aut

Author Manuscript

Cox-Martin et al.

#### Table 4.

Likelihood of employed, stratified by sex, BRFSS, 2016 (n = 1,702)

	Male (n = 553)	Female (n = 1,149)
Variable	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Cancer-related pain		
Yes	0.78 (0.37-1.64)	0.34 (0.22–0.54)
No (Reference)	1.00	1.00
Age, y		
25–34	31.84 (1.42–715.79)	6.23 (2.73–14.22)
35–44	5.45 (1.29-22.96)	1.84 (1.03–3.28)
45–54	5.98 (2.92–12.25)	2.38 (1.57-3.60)
55–64 ( <i>Reference</i> )	1.00	1.00
Race/Ethnicity		
White, non- Hispanic (Reference)	1.00	1.00
Black, non-Hispanic	0.78 (0.19-3.24)	0.54 (0.23–1.23)
Other	1.40 (0.34–5.76)	0.73 (0.31-1.75)
Marital Status		
Married or unmarried couple (Reference)	1.00	1.00
Divorced/Widowed/Separated/Never Married	0.33 (0.19–0.58)	0.92 (0.64–1.33)
Education		
Did not graduate High School	0.53 (0.18–1.58)	0.28 (0.11-0.69)
Graduated High School	0.80 (0.40–1.57)	0.54 (0.35-0.83)
Attended College or Technical School (Reference)	1.00	1.00
Graduated from College or Technical School	1.11 (0.57–2.17)	1.68 (1.12–2.53)

Note: BRFSS = Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System