[pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett](https://pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett?ref=pdf) **Letter Letter** 

# [Identi](pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett?ref=pdf)fication of Novel Rho-Kinase-II Inhibitors with Vasodilatory Activity

Seema Kesar, Sarvesh Paliwal,\* Pooja Mishra, Kirtika Madan, Monika Chauhan, Neha Chauhan, [Kanika](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Seema+Kesar"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Verma](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Seema+Kesar"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf), and Swapnil S[h](#page-8-0)[arma](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Pooja+Mishra"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)



ABSTRACT: Smal[l GTPase protein Rho-kinase \(ROCK\) plays an important role in the pathogenesis of hyperte](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=tgr1&ref=pdf)nsion. Inhibition of ROCK II brings about the biochemical changes leading to vascular smooth muscles relaxation, finally resulting into potent antihypertensive activity. In the quest for potent ROCK-II inhibitors, a ligand-based pharmacophore containing four essential chemical features, namely two hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA), one hydrogen bond donor (HBD), and one hydrophobe (HY), was developed and rigorously validated. The pharmacophore was used for virtual screening, and hits retrieved from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) database were sorted on the basis of fit value, estimate value, and Lipinski's violation. Potential feature interaction of hits was also observed during docking studies with the amino acids present in the active site of Rho-kinase. Based on the above screening, three hits (NSC 2488, NSC 2888, and NSC 4231) were chosen and subjected to in vitro Rho-kinase enzyme-based assay, followed by ex vivo rat aortic vasodilatory assay. All three compounds showed good biological activity as predicted by the model and confirmed by the docking studies.

KEYWORDS: Rho-kinase, in vitro enzyme assay-based evaluation, hypertension, in silico drug designing

Rho-kinase (p164 protein) is the first discovered down-<br>stream effector of small GTPase-binding protein RhoA. The Rho-kinase (ROCK) belongs to serine-threonine protein kinases, and Rho gets activated by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (Figure 1). GTP-bound RhoA phosphorylates several important substrates such as the myosin-binding subunit of myosi[n light ch](#page-1-0)ain phosphatase  $(MLCP).<sup>1</sup>$  ROCK inhibits the dephosphorylation of myosin light chain (MLC) and regulates the organization of actin cytoskeleton, a[lo](#page-8-0)ng with various cellular activities, such as cell adhesion, secretion, migration, proliferation, and gene expression. Thus, the roles of ROCK inhibitors are to normalize the abnormal activation of the ROCK/Rho pathway. From the aforementioned discussion, it looks very clear that ROCK inhibitors can be of immense utility in the treatment of cardiovascular-related diseases.<sup>2</sup>

Rho-kinase consists of two isoforms: ROCK-I and ROCK-II. Expressi[o](#page-8-0)ns of human genes (ROCK-I and ROCK-II) are

situated on chromosome 18 (18q11.1) and chromosome 2 (2p24), respectively. Both the isoforms share 60% overall and 92% identical distinctive amino acid sequences in their kinase domain. The enzyme constitutes two amino terminals, named as N-terminal kinase domain and C-pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, along with Cysteine-rich Zn finger motif and the complex coiled-coil region, which is the most active ATPcompetitive binding site domain of Rho-kinase (Figure 2), where all the ROCK inhibitors would probably bind.<sup>3</sup> ROCK-I is ubiquitously found in the lung, liver, kidney, s[pleen, an](#page-1-0)d testes, while an abundance of ROCK-II is found in [t](#page-8-0)he brain,

Received: March 11, 2020 Accepted: August 4, 2020 Published: August 4, 2020





<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1. Overview of Rh[o-kinase \(ROCK\) signaling and its activation and the factors leading to vascular smooth mu](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig1&ref=pdf)scle cell contraction. GTPbound RhoA leads to phosphorylation of myosin light chain phosphatase (MLCP); this inhibits the MLCP activity and increased myosin II phosphorylation. (Chem Draw Ultra Version 12.0, http://www.CambridgeSoft.com).



Figure 2. Molecula[r structure of Rho-kinase isoform and its sequences. It consists of three domains, N-terminus entailed catalyti](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig2&ref=pdf)c site of an enzyme, followed by a coiled-coil region comprising the Rho-binding domain. C-terminal is a Pleckstrin-homology (PH) with an internal cysteine-rich domain (CRD). (Chem Draw Ultra Version 12.0, http://www.CambridgeSoft.com).

heart, and skeletal muscle. ROCK-I plays a significant role in various malignant disorders whereas ROCK-[II](http://www.CambridgeSoft.com) [is](http://www.CambridgeSoft.com) [involved](http://www.CambridgeSoft.com) [in](http://www.CambridgeSoft.com) hypertension and associated vascular smooth-muscle contraction. Upon the cleavage of caspase-3, ROCK-I gets activated whereas cleavage of granzyme-B leads to ROCK-II activation.<sup>4</sup> Previous research has clearly shown that ROCK tends to facilitate smooth muscle contraction in tunica media of arteri[al](#page-8-0) wall,<sup>65</sup> which revealed therapeutic potential of the ROCK pathway in the treatment of cardiovascular ailments. Fasudil/ HA[107](#page-8-0)7 (ROCK inhibitor) is the only marketed drug used in Japan for cerebral vasospasm. Initially, Fasudil was used as an intracellular calcium channel antagonist and protein kinase C inhibitor; later its vasodilatory property was observed.<sup>5</sup> The

studies have also revealed the crucial role of the Rho/ROCK pathway in diabetes-induced retinal microvasculopathy. Rho/ ROCK inhibitor Fasudil protects the vascular endothelium by inhibiting neutrophil adhesion and reducing neutrophilinduced endothelial injury.

Isoform selectivity is a major challenge in the development of ROCK inhibitors because of the high level of similarity between ROCK-I and II. Noticeably, the ATP binding pockets of the two isoforms are 100% identical. Putting together this poses a challenge and limitation for design of isoform specific inhibitors. Even the only clinically used ROCK inhibitor Fasudil is a nonspecific ROCK inhibitor producing multiple effects due to nonspecificity with respect to ROCK-I and II.

Thus, there is an urgent need to develop ROCK-II isoformselective inhibitors for the treatment of hypertension and related diseases.

In light of the proof of concept regarding the potent vasodilatory effect of ROCK-II inhibitors, we have attempted to develop a validated pharmacophore model using a series of isoform specific inhibitors reported in the literature.<sup>6</sup> The model was used for virtual screening, and the identified hits were subjected to biological evaluation to experim[e](#page-8-0)ntally validate them.

Pharmacophore Generation. As a starting point, a data set of 41 ROCK-II inhibitors<sup>6</sup> were selected and subjected to conformation generation (Table S1) using the Best flexible conformation option availabl[e](#page-8-0) in Discovery Studio (v2.0). A total of 255 conformers w[ere genera](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126/suppl_file/ml0c00126_si_001.pdf)ted for each molecule of the selected training set using an energy threshold of 20.0 kcal/ mol above the global minima energy in both torsional and cartesia.

With an aim to identify most crucial and common pharmacophoric features, Hypogen and HipHop (commonfeature pharmacophore) models were generated.

The features obtained from common feature pharmacophore modeling (Hip Hop) were used to generate quantitative pharmacophore models (Hypogen). Three compounds (8a, 12j, and 14c) showed a high error ratio; hence, they were removed from the data set. The probable reason for these three compounds to behave as outliers could be due to inappropriate experimentation or due to different mechanism of action. Out of 10 hypotheses, the best hypothesis comprising 2HBA, 1HY, and 1HBD was selected. Pharmacophoric features along with their interfeature distances are shown in Figure 3. The chosen



Figure 3. [Two HBA, one HY, and one HBD pharmacophoric featu](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig3&ref=pdf)re along with interfeature distances.

pharmacophore model was evaluated for statistical significance and it showed root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of 0.98, correlation coefficient of 0.90, weight of 2.56, and configuration of 14.93 (Table S2) (Figure 4). Statistically fit model was able to differentiate between active and inactive ROCK-II inhibitors and the [results of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126/suppl_file/ml0c00126_si_001.pdf) pharmacophore mapping signified this fact. For instance, most active compound of the training set (12a) showed full chemical feature mapping (Figure 5a) whereas the least active compound missed the 1HBD feature (Figure 5b).



Figure 4. Correlation coeffi[cient values of training set compounds](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig4&ref=pdf).



Figure 5. [\(a\) Most active compound of the training set exhi](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig5a&ref=pdf)biting full four feature mapping with the ligand-based pharmacophore. (b) Least active compound of the training set exhibiting three feature mapping with the ligand-based pharmacophore. (Accelrys Discovery Studio Version 2.0, http://www.rcsb.org.)

#### **ENDRA[MACOPHORE](http://www.rcsb.org) [MO](http://www.rcsb.org)DEL VALIDATION**

Cat Scramble or Fischer's Randomization Test. Using the same chemical features and parameters that were used for model development, 99 spreadsheets were generated at a 99% confidence level. $\frac{7}{7}$  Statistical data of the selected pharmacophore model was better than randomly generated models, which means tha[t](#page-8-0) the selected pharmacophore is not generated by chance correlation (Figure 6).

Internal and External Test Set Validation. The prediction capability [of the m](#page-3-0)odel was assessed using 11 internal test set compounds and 18 external test set compounds comprising a series of indoles and 7-azaindole derivatives (Figure 7).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Costs, random1, random2, random3, random4, random5, random6, random7, random8, random9, random10, random11, random12, random13, random1<



Figure 6. Graph of 99% Cat Scramble/Fischer'[s randomization test. \(Accelrys Discovery Studio Version 2.0,](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig6&ref=pdf) http://www.rcsb.org).



Figure 7. [Actual activity versus predicted activity for the internal a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig7&ref=pdf)nd external test set compounds.

The correlation coefficient values of 0.70 and 0.71 obtained for internal and external test sets undoubtedly indicated the high predictability and universality of the model. Figure 8 illustrates the full feature mapping of the most active compound of the external test set.

 $Rm<sup>2</sup>$  matrices, developed by Roy et al., were used to compute the  $Rm^2$  matrices (average  $rm^2$  and delta  $rm^2$ ) for checking the proximity between estimated and actual activities. The recommended values of average and delta  $rm^2$  are  $>0.5$ 



Figure 8. M[ost active compound of external set showing](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig8&ref=pdf) full feature mapping with ligand-based pharmacophore. (Accelrys Discovery Studio Version 2.0, http://www.rcsb.org.)

and  $\langle 0.2$ . The average and delta  $rm^2$  for the training set was found to be 0.90 and 0.08, re[spectively,](http://www.rcsb.org) [which](http://www.rcsb.org) [c](http://www.rcsb.org)learly shows the soundness of the model.

Güner-Henry Scoring Method. The virtual screening capability of the ligand-based pharmacophore model was checked by the Güner−Henry Scoring technique. The parameters like % yield of actives, enrichment factor, false positives, and goodness of hit score (GH scoring method) were calculated and evaluated. 360 compounds appeared as actives from a pool of 440 ROCK-II inhibitors. The model showed 94% yield with an enrichment factor of 0.77. Twenty % of compounds appeared as false positives. The overall GH score of the model was 0.68, which is better than the recommended GH score  $(\geq 0.60)$ . The result of the GH score signifies the capability of the model to estimate correctly the activity of compounds (Table S3).

Virtual Screening. The validated pharmacophore model was used as a query to [search the](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126/suppl_file/ml0c00126_si_001.pdf) compounds from NCI and Maybridge database comprising 2, 38, 819, and 53,000 chemical structures, respectively.8 295 NCI and 205 Maybridge hits were retrieved and sorted on the basis of the Lipinski rule of five. The remaini[ng](#page-8-0) hits were further screened on the basis of fit value (greater than 7.5) and estimated value (less than 21 nM). This process led to the identification of seven hits NSC 2888, NSC 4231, NSC 2488, NSC 3597, NSC 2101, NSC 3837, and NSC 3596 (Table S4). Out of seven hits, three hits [NSC 2488: 1-(((6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl)methyl) amino)butan-2-ol, NSC 4231: [methyl 4-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126/suppl_file/ml0c00126_si_001.pdf)(methyl(2-oxo-1,2 diphenylethyl)amino)benzoate, and NSC 2888: 2-((3- ((methoxymethyl)thio)butyl)thio) ethanol] showed good fit values (greater than 8.0) and high predicted activity (less than 13 nM); hence, they were subjected to molecular docking and biological evaluation studies.

Molecular Docking. Selected NSC hits and the standard compound (Y-27632) were subjected to molecular docking studies, to understand the possible molecular interaction between the functional groups of the hits and active site amino acids of ROCK-II protein structure (4L6Q). NSC 2488 with LibDock score of 86.33 (Figure 9) showed one hydrogen bond interaction, three van der Waals, and five hydrophobic interactions. The nitr[o group](#page-4-0) of 6-methoxyquinolin-4-yl

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 9. [Docking pose of NSC 2488 on the active domain of Rho](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig9&ref=pdf)kinase. Colorful dotted lines indicate the bonding interaction with the particular functional group of the amino acid. (Chem Draw Ultra Version 12.0 http://www.CambridgeSoft.com.)

showed hydrogen bonding with GLY 104. On the other hand, LYS 121 and PHE 103 exhibited van der Waals interactions with the methyl group. GLY 234 showed van der Waals interaction with the hydroxyl group of 2-butanol. ASN 219, ASP 232, MET 169, ALA 119, and VAL 106 created a hydrophobic environment around NSC 2488.

With the observed LibDock score of 63.43, NSC 2888 exhibited three hydrogen bond interactions, two van der Waals interactions, and seven hydrophobic interactions. The oxygen of the ethanol group present in NSC 2888 showed hydrogen bonding with GLY 104 and PHE 103, while the other hydrogen bonding interaction was observed at the methoxy group with ALA 231. VAL 106 and LYS 121 exhibited van der Waals interactions. TYR 171, VAL 153, ILE98, ASN 219, ASP 218, MET 172, and VAL 106 created a hydrophobic pocket around the structure as shown in Figure 10.

In NSC 4231, two hydrogen bonds, three van der Waals interactions, and five hydrophobic interactions were seen along with a good LibDock score of 95.92. The hydrogen bonding appeared between the oxygen of 1,2-diphenylethyl and GLY 104 and PHE 103. Whereas ASP 218, ASN 219, and ALA 231 showed Van der Waals interactions with the benzoic acid functionality, LEU 221, ASP 232, VAL 106, PHE 233, and LYS 121 were observed to be responsible for the hydrophobic environment around the structure of NSC 4231 (Figure 11).

The reference compound Y-27632 showed interactions similar to test compounds. For instance, NSC 2488, NSC 2888, and NSC 4231 exhibited the same hydrogen bonding interactions as shown by Y-27632. GLY 104 and PHE 103 showed hydrogen bonding interactions with the oxygen group present in Y-27632. Van der Waal's interactions were observed between the structure of Y-27632 and PHE 136, THR 235, and LYS121. ALA 119, GLY 234, VAL 106, and ILE 98 showed hydrophobic interactions with the structure of Y-27632 (Figure 12).



Figure 10. [Docking pose of NSC 2888 on the active domain of Rho](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig10&ref=pdf)kinase. (Chem Draw Ultra Version 12.0 http://www.CambridgeSoft. com.)



Figure 11. [Docking pose of NSC 4231 on the active domain of](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig11&ref=pdf) Rhokinase. (Chem Draw Ultra Version 12.0 http://www.CambridgeSoft. com.)

### [■](http://www.CambridgeSoft.com) BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Enzyme-Based Rho-Kinase Inhibitory Assay. Test compounds were evaluated for Rho-kinase inhibitory activity using a microplate based Rho-associated kinase (ROCK) activity assay. Results of the study indicated that all three hits (NSC 2488, NSC 2888, and NSC 4231) are active with  $IC_{50}$ values of 8.02 nM, 8.4 nM, and 9.01 nM, respectively, when compared to the  $IC_{50}$  value of standard drug Y-27632, which was observed to be 8.3 nM (Figure 13).

Ex Vivo Isometric Contraction-Based Vasodilatory Assay. After obtaining pro[mising resu](#page-5-0)lts in the Rho-kinase

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 12. [Docking pose of Y-27632 on the active domain of Rh](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig12&ref=pdf)okinase. (Chem Draw Ultra Version 12.0 http://www.CambridgeSoft. com.)



Figure 13. Rho-kinase inhibition at different concentrations of [compounds: \(a\) Y-27632, \(b\) NSC 2488, \(c\) NSC 2888, and \(d\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig13&ref=pdf) NSC 4231.

enzyme-based inhibitory assay, all the test compounds and standard were examined for vasodilatory activity using precontracted rat aortic strips. Exposure of test compounds exhibited concentration-dependent relaxation and produced vasodilatory activity similar to standard Y-27632 (Figures 14a, b, and c). The  $EC_{50}$  of each test compound NSC 2888, NSC 2488, and NSC 4231 and standard was found to b[e 8.5 nM, 8.](#page-6-0)4 nM, 9.0 nM, and, 9.5 nM respectively.

Repercussions of irregular RhoA/ROCK activity seem to be the up-regulation of renin-angiotensin aldosterone system and subsequent increase in arterial pressure coupled with increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). It has been well established that the inhibition of this pathway leads to vasoprotection and vasorelaxation.<sup>9</sup>

In order to get selective and structurally diverse ROCK-II [inhibitors,](pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett?ref=pdf) [an](pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett?ref=pdf) *in silico* approach was laid down along with *in* vitro and ex vivo studies to identify new ROCK-II inhibitors. During in silico studies, the developed pharmacophore exhibited the importance of HBA, HY, and HBD features in eliciting the RhoA/ROCK inhibitory activity. Earlier study reported<sup>10</sup> that the pharmacophore model having 1HBA and 2HY chemical features have the ability to interact and inhibit RhoA/[RO](#page-8-0)CK. In contrast to this, we observed that in addition to HBA and HY, one HBD if present can substantiate the inhibitory activity by interacting within the hinge region of ATP binding site of the enzyme. The validated four feature (2HBA, 1HY, and 1HBD) quantitative pharmacophore model was used as a query for virtual screening of large database. The retrieved hits were sorted on the basis of fit value, estimated activity, and Lipinski's violation. Three potent virtual hits (NSC 2488, NSC 2888, and NSC 4231) were chosen for biological evaluation (Rho-kinase inhibitory assay and rat aortic ring based vasodilatory assay), but prior to this molecular docking studies were performed.

Interestingly all the three selected hits docked perfectly onto the Rho-kinase II active site and exhibited significant hydrogen bond interaction between ligand and the bottom region of the kinase domain. Previous studies revealed the importance of methionine, valine, and isoleucine in the hydrophobic interaction and tyrosine in  $\pi-\pi$  interaction. The present study also exhibited a similar kind of interaction between NSC 2488, NSC 2888, and NSC 4231 and active site amino acids (Figure S1). The type of interactions observed during docking clearly shows agreement between standard drug Y-27632 and t[he identi](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126/suppl_file/ml0c00126_si_001.pdf)fied hits, which reflects the structural analogy between standard Rho-kinase inhibitor and the hits.

Recently reported studies revealed that the irregular progression of Rho-kinase enzyme leads to metabolic  $disorders, <sup>11</sup>$  and its obvious fact that hypertension is the major component of metabolic syndrome. It is noteworthy that the up-re[gu](#page-8-0)lation of Rho-kinase has been considered as a key factor in the pathogenesis of hypertension. Thus, inhibition of Rho-kinase would be a rational strategy in combating hypertension and associated risk factors.

In light of this and motivation received from pharmacophore and docking results, in vitro RhoA/ROCK enzyme inhibitory assay and the ex-vivo vasodilatory assay were performed.

In vitro enzyme, inhibitory assay was executed using microplate reader Synergy HI Biotek Gen 5.0. Out of three hits, NSC 2488 exhibited the highest inhibitory potential (IC $_{50}$ = 8.02 nM) and showed equal potency to that of Y-27632. Rho-kinase inhibitory activity of NSC 2488 was also observed to be in agreement with high LibDock score of 122 and good estimated activity (0.98 nM). The other two hits also showed good enzyme inhibitory activity but slightly less than NSC 2488. In light of good in vitro activity, all three hits along with standard ROCK inhibitor were subjected to ex-vivo vasodilatory assay using precontracted aortic tissue. Interestingly, here also NSC 2488 produced remarkable vasodilator activity  $(EC_{50} = 8.4 \text{ nM})$  similar to standard.  $EC_{50}$  values of the other two hits were also observed to be in the nanomolar range, exhibiting their good Rho-kinase enzyme inhibitory potential.

The Tanimoto index is often used to determine the structural similarity of molecules between a set of established ligands and helps to provide information regarding specific substructures within the molecule. In the present study, the Tanimoto index protocol available in DS was utilized in order

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 14. [\(A\) Percentage of relaxation of the precontracted aortic strip at di](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?fig=fig14&ref=pdf)fferent concentrations of the compound (NSC 2488) and standard drug (Y-27632). (B) Percentage of relaxation of the precontracted aortic strip at different concentrations of the compound (NSC 2888) and standard drug (Y-27632). (C) Percentage of relaxation of the precontracted aortic strip at different concentrations of the compound (NSC 4231) and standard drug (Y-27632).

to check the novelty of the obtained hits and the Tanimoto index should be less than 0.5 for a hit to be considered as novel.

All the three identified hits NSC 2488, NSC 2888, and NSC 4231were found novel as their Tanimoto similarity index was 0.08, 0.12, and 0.13  $(<0.5$ ).

In the present study we have identified three potent inhibitors of ROCK-II through application of a battery of insilico tools. The identified hits appear to be equally potent to that of standard drug Y-27632 in in vitro and ex-vivo studies. The potent vasodilatory activity of the identified ROCK-II inhibitors supports the predominant role of the ROCK-II isoform in the vascular smooth muscle contractility. The observed limitation of the present study is that it does not exclude the possibility of binding of the identified compounds to ROCK-I. Nevertheless, the promising results of the reported study warrant further preclinical and clinical studies.

Pharmacophore Generation and Validation. For pharmacophore model generation, structurally diverse ureabased Rho-kinase inhibitors, $6$  with wide activity range (1 nM to 3324 nM) were selected and sketched using Chem Draw (12.0). All the chemical str[uc](#page-8-0)tures were split into training set and test set comprising 27 and 11 compounds (Table S5), respectively, following the established ratio of 70 and 30% of compounds in each set. While splitting the compounds, care was exercised to include the most active, moderately active, and least active compounds in the training set for assuring the chemical diversity and activity range. All the sketched structures were imported into the 3D window of Discovery Studio version 2.0 for energy minimization using the CHARMm force field. Conformers were generated for all the inhibitors using the best conformation generation module.<sup>12</sup> Pharmacophore models were generated using functional features like hydrophobic (HY), ring aromatic (RA), hydrog[en](#page-8-0) bond donors (HBD), and hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA). Out of various hypotheses, the best model was selected on the basis of correlation coefficient (r) value, cost function parameters, RMSD value, and cost difference values.

Validation of Generated Model. Validation of the statistically fit model was carried out using tools such as internal and external test set prediction, Cat Scramble or Fischer's randomization, Rm<sup>2</sup> metrics, and GH scoring.

Internal and External Test Set Prediction. An internal test set comprising 11 compounds belonging to the same series was selected for pharmacophore modeling<sup>13</sup> and used to check the prediction abilities of the model. In order to double-check the prognostic nature of the model, an ex[ter](#page-8-0)nal test containing

18 known Rho-kinase inhibitors was used, and predictions were analyzed.

Cat Scramble or Fischer's Randomization. This validation test is often used to eliminate the possibility of chance correlation in the chosen hypothesis. Using the structures and their corresponding biological activities Cat scrambling was carried out at the 99% confidence level. The statistical results of the models constructed from the randomized data were evaluated.

 $Rm<sup>2</sup>$  Metrics Validation.  $Rm<sup>2</sup>$  metrics test was carried out on Rho-kinase inhibitors to predict the differences between their actual and predicted activities. A proposed value of "Average  $\text{rm}^{2}$ " and Delta  $\text{rm}^{2}$  is >0.5 and <0.2, respectively.<sup>14</sup>

Güner-Henry (GH) Scoring Method. This method ascertains the virtual screening capability ofthe develop[ed](#page-8-0) pharmacophore model and also checks the ability of the model to distinguish between the active and inactive molecules.<sup>15</sup> GH scoring includes the calculation of percent yield of actives in a database (% Y, recall), the percent ratio of actives in the [hi](#page-8-0)t list (% A, precision), the enrichment factor  $(E)$ , and the GH score.<sup>16</sup> These parameters were computed using eqs 1–4.

$$
\%A = Ha/A \times 100\tag{1}
$$

$$
\%Y = Ha/Ht \times 100\tag{2}
$$

$$
E = Ha/Ht \times A/D
$$
 (3)

$$
GH = (Ha(3A + Ht)/4Ht \times A)(1 - Ht - Ha/D - A)
$$
  
(4)

% A is the percentage of known active compounds retrieved from the database (precision); Ha, the number of actives in the hit list (true positives); A, the number of active compounds in the database; % Y, the percentage of known actives in the hit list (recall); Ht, the number of hits retrieved; D, the number of compounds in the database; E, the enrichment of active compounds in the virtual screening hit list in comparison to the nonfiltered database; and GH is the Güner−Henry score. To calculate GH score, a data set of 440 compounds was taken from six different available series,<sup>17-22</sup> of structurally diverse</sup> Rho-kinase inhibitors.

Virtual Screening. Database [sea](#page-8-0)r[ch](#page-8-0) is an important aspect of pharmacophore modeling. Hence the validated pharmacophore model was used to retrieve potential hits from the two large database libraries namely NCI and Maybridge. Parameters such as estimated value, fit value, and Lipinski rule of five were used to sort the potential hits obtained from the virtual screening for further consideration.

Molecular Docking. The Lib Dock module of Discovery Studio 2.0 was used to analyze enzyme-ligand binding interaction with minimum computational time.<sup>23</sup> During the process, the ligand was kept movable and the target protein was kept rigid. While preparing the recep[tor](#page-9-0), all water molecules were removed and hydrogen atoms were included, and the radius 10 Å was set from the geometric centroid of the ligand as defined in the active site. Top 10 docking poses of all the compounds were considered for analyzing polar and nonpolar interactions in the active site. $24$ 

Molecular Fingerprint and Structural Similarity Indices. The Tanimoto index is consid[ere](#page-9-0)d as a good measure to establish the structural similarity of molecules. The Tanimoto index protocol was implemented to check the novelty of the obtained hits using "Find Similar Molecules by Fingerprint" option available in Discovery Studio.

# pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett<br> [BIOLOGICAL EVAL](pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett?ref=pdf)UATION

Enzyme-Based Rho-Kinase Inhibitory Assay. Using microplate reader (Synergy H1 Biotek), Rho-kinase inhibitor screening assay was performed to evaluate enzyme inhibition ability of selected hits by phosphorylated MYPT1 (myosin phosphatase target subunit 1). The precoated plates with primary antibody MYPT1 were added with the enzyme, which started phosphorylation of MYPT1 at Thr 696 through the conversion of ATP to ADP using tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) as a substrate. TMB acts as a hydrogen donor, and reduction of hydrogen peroxidase to water takes place by horseradish peroxidase enzyme. Resulting diimine (carbon−nitrogen double bond) turned solution colorless to blue after addition of chromogenic substrate TMB into the wells, and the wavelength was measured at 450 nm. All the test compounds (NSC 2488, NSC 2888, and NSC 4238) were examined at five different concentrations to determine their respective  $IC_{50}$ values and compared with the standard (Y-27632).

Ex-Vivo Isometric Contraction-Based Vasodilation Assay. The regulations and guidelines of the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals were strictly followed during the entire animal study. All the experiments and procedures were approved by the Institutional animal ethical committee of Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, India (ref No. BV/3542/17-18). Two healthy male Wistar albino rats (150−230 g) were housed in polypropylene cages and maintained at temperature of 23  $\pm$  0.5 °C with 55  $\pm$ 5.0% relative humidity for 12 h in a light/dark atmospheric cycle.

Rats were sacrificed under high doses of anesthesia, and the thoracic aorta was quickly removed and placed in a petri dish filled with PSS (physiological salt solution) of the following composition (mM: NaCl 122; KCl 4.7; NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 15.5;  $KH_2PO_4$  1.2; MgCl<sub>2</sub> 1.2; CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2.0; glucose 11.5; EDTA 0.026; pH 7.4). After removing all the fatty connective tissues, the aorta was cut into 2−3 mm rings which were used for each set of individual triplicate experiments.<sup>25</sup> At pH 7.4, a 25 mL jacketed organ bath was filled with PSS buffer with a continuous supply of 95%  $O^2$  and 5%  $CO^2$  gas mixture at 37 °C. The rings were mounted in between two hooks. One end of the hook was connected to the aerator tube and another end of the hook was connected to a transducer where the isometric changes were recorded. Each aortic ring was progressively stretched under a passive tension of 2 g before adding any drug and the aortic ring was stabilized for 90 min.

Viability and precontraction of the rings were checked with 70 mM KCl solution and rings were allowed to return to the basal tension by washing with PSS every 10 min. The stable and sustained contraction was achieved by allowing the cumulative concentration of phenylephrine ( $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-3}$  M) to the aortic rings.<sup>26</sup> The effect of each concentration was recorded on IWORX organ bath assembly and before the addition of the n[ew](#page-9-0) concentration; the effect of previous concentration was removed with an aim to stabilize the model. After this, the cumulative concentration of test compounds and reference drug Y-27632 was added in tissue assembly and the vasodilatory effect was recorded and analyzed.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

# **9** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126.

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>ACS Medicinal Chemistry Letters **Ack and Accept and Accept Accepts** pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett **Accept Accept Letter** Letter

Data set used for pharmacophore model development, table of 10 pharmacophore hypotheses, detailing of Güner-Henry scoring, list of selected hits generated from NCI database, experimental and predicted activity values of data set compounds, and the docking poses of all three hits and standard drug captured from the Discovery Studio 2.0 itself (PDF)

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

Sarvesh Paliwal − Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali Vidyapith, Banasthali 304022, Rajasthan, India;  $\bullet$  orcid.org/ 0000-0002-5247-2021; Email: paliwalsarvesh@yahoo.com, psarvesh@banasthali.ac.in

#### Aut[hors](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5247-2021)

S[eema Kesar](mailto:psarvesh@banasthali.ac.in) − Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali Vidyapith, Banasthali 304022, Rajasthan, India

Pooja Mishra − Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali Vidyapith, Banasthali 304022, Rajasthan, India

Kirtika Madan − Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali Vidyapith, Banasthali 304022, Rajasthan, India

Monika Chauhan − Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali Vidyapith, Banasthali 304022, Rajasthan, India

Neha Chauhan − Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali Vidyapith, Banasthali 304022, Rajasthan, India

Kanika Verma − Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali Vidyapith, Banasthali 304022, Rajasthan, India

Swapnil Sharma − Department of Pharmacy, Banasthali Vidyapith, Banasthali 304022, Rajasthan, India

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126

#### Notes

[The](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?ref=pdf) [authors](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?ref=pdf) [declare](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?ref=pdf) [no](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?ref=pdf) [competing](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00126?ref=pdf) financial interest.

### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Aditya Shastri, Vice Chancellor, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, India, for providing the necessary facility to carry out the present work.

#### ■ ABBREVIATIONS

ROCK, Rho-associated protein kinase; MLCP, myosin light chain phosphatase; HBA, hydrogen bond acceptor; HBD, hydrogen bond donor; HY, hydrophobe; NCI, National Cancer Institute; GEF, guanine nucleotide exchange factors.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Deng, Ti. J.; Bhaidani, S.; Sutherland, C.; MacDonald, A. J.; Walsh, P. M. Rho-associated kinase and zipper-interacting protein kinase, but not myosin light chain kinase, are involved in the regulation of [myosin phosphorylation in serum-stimulated human](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226406) arterial smooth muscle cells. PLoS One 2019, 14, 1−34.

[\(2\) Zong, H.; Kaibuchi, K.; Quilliam, A. L. The Insert Region of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226406) [RhoA Is Essential for Rho Kinase Activation and Cellular Trans](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226406)formation. [Mol. Cell. Biol.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226406) 2001, 21, 5287−5298.

(3) Surma, M.; Wei, L.; Shi, J. Rho kinase a[s a therapeutic target in](https://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MCB.21.16.5287-5298.2001) [cardiovascular disease.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MCB.21.16.5287-5298.2001) Future Cardiol. 2011, 7, 657−671.

[\(4\) Shim](https://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MCB.21.16.5287-5298.2001)okawa, H.; Rashid, M. Development of Rho-kinase [inhibitors for cardiova](https://dx.doi.org/10.2217/fca.11.51)scular medicine. [Trends Pharmacol. Sci.](https://dx.doi.org/10.2217/fca.11.51) 2007, 28, 296−302.

(5) Feng, Y.; LoGrasso, V. P.; Defer[t, O.; Li, R. Rho Kinase \(ROCK\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2007.04.006) [Inhibitors and Their Therapeutic Poten](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2007.04.006)tial. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 1−32.

(6) Yin, Y.; Lin, Li.; Ruiz, C.; Khan, S.; Cameron, D. M.; Grant, W.; [Pocas, J.; Eid, N.; Park, H.](pubs.acs.org/acsmedchemlett?ref=pdf); Schroter, T.; LoGrasso, V. P.; Feng, Y. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Urea Derivatives as Highly Potent and Selective Rho Kinase Inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 3568−3581.

[\(7\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [Singh,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [A.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [et](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [al.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [Identification](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [novel](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [anti-fungal](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [lead](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [compounds](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [through](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [pharmacophore](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r) [mod](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm400062r)eling, virtual screening, molecular docking, an[ti-microbial evaluation and gastrointestinal](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) permeation studies. J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 2017, 35, 2363−2371.

[\(8\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [Mittal,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [A.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [et](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [al.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [Pharmacophore](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [based](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [virtual](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [screening,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [molecular](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [docking](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [biological](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [evaluation](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [to](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [identify](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [novel](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [PDE5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [inhibitors](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [with](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369) [vasod](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1218369)ilatory activity. [Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) 2014, 24, 3137−3141.

[\(9\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [Shah,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [S.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [Savjani,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [J.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [A](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [review](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [on](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [ROCK-II](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [inhibitors:](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [from](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) [molecular modelling to synthesis.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2014.05.004) Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2016, 26, 2383−2391.

(10) Wang, H. D.; Qu, [L.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [W.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [Shi,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [Q.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [L.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [Wei,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [J.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [Molecular](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [docking](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [pharmacophore](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [model](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113) [stud](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.113)ies of Rho-kinase inhibitors. Mol. Simul. 2011, 37, 488−494.

(11) Jahani, V.; Kavousi, A.; Mehri, S.; Kari[mi,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [S.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [Rho](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [kinase,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [a](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [potential](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [target](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [in](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [the](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [treatment](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [metabolic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [syndrome.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548) [Bio](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927022.2011.554548)med. Biomed. Pharmacother. 2018, 106, 1024−1030.

(12) MacKerell, A. D., Jr; Kuczera, J. W.; Karplus, [M.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [An](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [all-atom](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [empirical](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [energy](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [function](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [for](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [the](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [simulation](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [nucleic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) [acids.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.07.060) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11946−11975.

(13) Iwakubo, M.; Takami, A.; Okada, Y.; Kawata, T.[;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00153a017) [Tagami,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00153a017) [Y.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00153a017) [Sato, M.; Sugiyama, T.; Fukushima, K.; Taya, S.; Aman](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00153a017)o, M.; Kaibuchi, K.; Iijima, H. Design and synthesis of Rho-kinase inhibitors (III). Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 1022−1033.

(14) Roy, K.; et al. Some case studies on application of " $(rm)$ <sup>2</sup>" metrics for judging qu[ality](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.10.028) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.10.028) [quantitative](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.10.028) [structure-activity](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.10.028) [relation](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.10.028)[ship](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.10.028) predictions: em[phasis on scaling of response data.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) J. Comput. Chem. 2013, 34, 1071−1082.

(15) Vaghefi[nezhad,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [N.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [Farsani,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [F.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [S.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [Gharaghani,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [S.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [In](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [silico](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [drug](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231)[designing](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [studies](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [on](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [sulforaphane](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [analogues:](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231) [phar](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23231)macophore mapping molecular docking and QSAR modeling. [Curr. Drug](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047) Discovery Technol., published online November 12, 2019; [DOI:](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047) [10.2174/1570163816666191112122047.](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047)

(16) Gü[ner, O. F.; Henry, D. R. Metric for analyzing](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047) hit lists and pharmacophores. In Pharmacophore perception, development, and use in drug design[;](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047?ref=pdf) [International](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047?ref=pdf) [University](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047?ref=pdf) [Line:](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047?ref=pdf) [San](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047?ref=pdf) [D](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1570163816666191112122047?ref=pdf)iego, 2000; Vol. 1, pp 193−212.

(17) Bandarage, K. U.; Cao, J.; Come, H. J.; Court, J. J.; Gao, H.; Jacobs, D. M.; Marhefka, C.; Nanthakumar, S.; Green, J. ROCK inhibitors 3: Design, synthesis and structure-activity relationships of 7 azaindole-based Rho kinase (ROCK) inhibitors. Bioorg. Me[d. Chem.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) Lett. 2018, 28, 2622−2626.

[\(18\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [Chowdhury,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [S.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [Sessions,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [E.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [H.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [Pocas,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [J.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [R.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [Grant,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [W.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040) [Schroter, T.; Lin, L.; Ruiz, C.; Cameron, M](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.06.040). D.; Schurer, S.; LoGrasso, P.; Bannister, T. D.; Feng, Y. Discovery and optimization of indole and 7-azaindoles as Rho kinase (ROCK) inhibitors (Part-I). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 7107−7112.

(19) Sessions, H. E.; Chowdhury, S.; Yi[n,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [Y.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [Pocas,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [R.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [J.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [Grant,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [W.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) Schrö[ter,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [T.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [Lin,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [Li.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [Ruiz,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [C.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [Cameron,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [D.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [M.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [LoGrasso,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) [P.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.083) Bannister, D. T.; Feng, Y. Discovery and optimization of indole and 7 azaindoles as Rho kinase (ROCK) inhibitors (Part-II). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 7113−7118.

(20) Green, J.; Cao, [J.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [Bandarage,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [K.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [U.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [Gao,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [H.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [Court,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [J.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [Marhefka,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [C.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [Jacobs,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [M.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [Taslimi,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [P.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [Newsome,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [D.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084) [N](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.09.084)akayama, T.; Shah, S.; Rodems, S. Design, Synthesis, and Structure−Activity Relationships of Pyridine-Based Rho Kinase (ROCK) Inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 5028−5037.

(21) Yin, Y.; Zheng, [Ke.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Eid,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [N.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Howard,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [S.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Jeong,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [H.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [J.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Yi,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [F.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Guo,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [J.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Park,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [M.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [C.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Bibian,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [M.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Wu,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [W.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Hernandez,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [P.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [Park,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424) [H](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00424).; Wu, Y.; Luo, Li. J.; LoGrasso, V. P.; Feng, Y. Bis-aryl Urea Derivatives as Potent and Selective LIM Kinase (Limk) Inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 1846−1861.

(22) Sturdivant, M. J.; Royalty, M. S.; L[in,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [W.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [C.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [Moore,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [A.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [L.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [Yingling,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [D.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [J.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [Laethem,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [L.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [C.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [Sherman,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [B.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m) [Heintz](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501680m)elman, R. G.; <span id="page-9-0"></span>Kopczynski, C. C.; deLong, A. M. Discovery of the ROCK inhibitor netarsudil for the treatment of open-angle glaucoma. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2016, 26, 2475−2480.

(23) Mei, D.; Yin, Y.; Wu, F.; C[ui, J.; Zhou, H.; Sun, G.; Jiang, Y.;](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.104) [Feng, Y. Discovery of potent and selective urea-b](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.03.104)ased ROCK inhibitors: Exploring the inhibitor's potency and ROCK2/PKA selectivity by 3D-QSAR, molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulations. [Bioorg. Med. Chem.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.03.047) 2015, 23, 2505−2517.

[\(24\) Mishra, P.; Kesar, S.; Paliwal, K. S.; Chauhan, M.; Madan, K.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.03.047) [In-Silico Screening of Ligand Based Pharmacophore, Database](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.03.047) [Mining an](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.03.047)d Molecular Docking on 2, 5-Diaminopyrimidines Azapurines as Potential Inhibitors of Glycogen Synthase Kinase-3β. [Cent. Nerv. Syst. Agents Med. Chem.](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1871524918666180530074116) 2018, 18, 1−9.

[\(25\) Cordaillat, M.; et al. Nitric oxide pathway counteracts enhanced](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1871524918666180530074116) [contraction to membrane depolarization in aortic rings of rats on](https://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1871524918666180530074116) high-sodium diet. Am. J. [Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00624.2006) 2007, 292, 1557−1562.

[\(26\) Mojiminiyi, F. B. O.; Anigbogu, C. N.; Sofola, O. A.; Adigun, S.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00624.2006) [A. Endothelium-d](https://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00624.2006)ependent and -independent relaxations in Aortic rings obtained from hypertensive hooded (AGUTI). Rats. Niger. J. P[hysiol. Sci.](https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/njps.v22i1-2.54880) 2010, 22, 109−116.