

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Tob Control.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 September 25.

Published in final edited form as:

Tob Control. 2020 May ; 29(Suppl 3): s191–s202. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-055671.

Correlates of tobacco product initiation among youth and adults in the USA: findings from the PATH Study Waves 1–3 (2013– 2016)

Karin A. Kasza¹, Kathryn C. Edwards², Zhiqun Tang², Cassandra A. Stanton^{2,3}, Eva Sharma², Michael J. Halenar², Kristie A. Taylor², Elisabeth A. Donaldson⁴, Lynn C. Hull⁴, Hannah R. Day⁴, Maansi Bansal-Travers¹, Jean Limpert⁴, Izabella Zandberg⁴, Lisa D. Gardner⁴, Hoda T. Hammad⁴, Nicolette Borek⁴, Heather L. Kimmel⁵, Wilson M Compton⁵, Andrew Hyland¹

¹Department of Health Behavior, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, USA

²Behavioral Health and Health Policy Practice, Westat, Rockville, MD, USA

³Department of Oncology, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA

⁴Office of Science, Center for Tobacco Products, Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD, USA

⁵National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Abstract

OBJECTIVE: To report on demographic and tobacco product use correlates of tobacco product initiation (cigarettes, electronic nicotine delivery systems [ENDS], cigars, hookah, and smokeless tobacco) among the U.S. population.

DESIGN: Data were from the first three waves (2013–2016) of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study, a nationally representative, longitudinal cohort study of youth (ages 12–17) and adults (ages 18+) in the U.S. Never users of at least one type of tobacco product at Wave 1 (W1, 2013/14) or Wave 2 (W2, 2014/15) were included(N=12,987 youth; N=25,116 adults).Generalized estimating equations were used to evaluate the association between demographic and tobacco product use characteristics at baseline, and tobacco product initiation at follow-up (ever, past 30-day [P30D], frequent [use on 20 or more of the past 30 days]) over two 1-year periods (W1-W2 and W2-W3).

RESULTS: Youth ages 15–17 were more likely than youth ages 12–14 and adults ages 18–24 were more likely than older adults to initiate P30D tobacco use across products; non-heterosexuals were more likely than heterosexuals to initiate P30D cigarette and ENDS use. Older adults were more likely than young adults, and males were more likely than females, to be frequent users of

Corresponding Author: Dr Karin A Kasza, Department of Health Behavior, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY 14263, USA; karin.kasza@roswellpark.org.

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services or any of its affiliated institutions or agencies.

Disclosures: Dr. Compton reports long-term stock holdings in General Electric Company, 3M Company, and Pfizer Incorporated, unrelated to this manuscript. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this manuscript was reported.

ENDS upon initiation. Ever use of another tobacco product predicted P30D initiation of each tobacco product.

DISCUSSION: Other tobacco product use and age predict P30D tobacco initiation across products whereas associations with other demographic characteristics vary by product. Continued contemporary evaluation of initiation rates within the changing tobacco product marketplace is important.

INTRODUCTION

Reducing tobacco initiation is one of a triad of strategies—along with increasing cessation among current tobacco users and reducing relapse among former tobacco users—that will improve population health.¹It is well-established that most cigarette smoking initiation occurs by age 18 and nearly all adult cigarette smokers (99%) smoke their first cigarette by age 26.²In 2013–2016, past 30-day (P30D) initiation rates were highest among young adults (ages 18–24) followed by youth (ages 12–17) for cigarettes, hookah, and cigars, and were similar between youth and young adults for electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS). ³Understanding correlates of ever, P30D, and frequent use initiation across tobacco products can help in targeting prevention efforts and can enable researchers to make better population-level predictions of the potential impacts of regulatory actions and other public health efforts.

Demographic characteristics associated with tobacco product initiation rates are often inferred by cross-sectional data that report on characteristics of tobacco product users at a single point in time (prevalence). Males, those who are not heterosexual, and those of low socioeconomic status generally have higher tobacco use prevalence than their counterparts. ^{4–10}However, correlates of use sometimes differ by tobacco product type. For example, adults with higher household income tend to have lower prevalence of cigarette use but higher prevalence of traditional cigar use compared to those with lower incomes. Those who are non-Hispanic white tend to have lower prevalence of cigarette use but higher prevalence of to those who are non-Hispanic black.⁴

The role that one type of tobacco product may play in initiation of another type of tobacco product is an important consideration as the scope of tobacco products available to consumers has expanded and concurrent use of multiple tobacco products has become common among tobacco users in the U.S.^{4,11–12}Among youth and young adults, use of ENDS has been found to predict ever cigarette initiation,^{13–17} with one study also finding that ENDS use predicts P30D cigarette initiation.¹⁸ Evaluating the transition toP30D use or frequent use focuses on initiation rates more likely to contribute to subsequent nicotine addiction and health effects if maintained.¹⁹

The purpose of this paper is to report on demographic and tobacco product use correlates of ever, P30D, and frequent tobacco product use initiation across types of tobacco products (cigarettes, ENDS, cigars, hookah, and smokeless tobacco), among the U.S. population of youth (ages 12–17) and adults (ages 18+)using data from Waves 1, 2, and 3 (W1, W2, and W3, respectively) of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study.

METHODS

Data Source and Participants

The PATH Study is an ongoing, nationally representative, longitudinal cohort study of youth and adults in the U.S. Data were collected September 2013-December 2014 (W1); October 2014-October 2015 (W2); and October 2015-October 2016 (W3) using audio computer-assisted self-interviews administered in English or Spanish. The overall weighted response rate was 78.4% for youth and 74.0% for adults at W1, 87.3% for youth and 83.2% for adults at W2, and 83.3% for youth and 78.4% for adults at W3. Further details regarding the PATH Study design and W1 methods are published elsewhere.²⁰ Details on interviewing procedures, questionnaires, sampling, weighting, response rates, and accessing the data are described in the PATH Study Restricted Use Files User Guide at https://doi.org/10.3886/Series606.²¹ The study was conducted by Westat and approved by the West at Institutional Review Board. All respondents ages 18 and older provided informed consent, with youth respondents ages 12 to 17 providing assent and each youth's parent/legal guardian providing consent. Data in this paper were drawn from respondents in W1, W2, *and* W3 of the PATH Study, which includes25,384 adults at W1 *or* W2, and 12,993 youth at W1 *or* W2.See Supplemental Table 1 for additional details.

This paper describes correlates of tobacco product initiation over two one-year periods in a single analysis, so the analytic sample was restricted to respondents who *never used at least one type* of tobacco product at W1 *or* W2, which includes 24,432 adults and 12,938 youth.W1 and W2 are each considered the "baseline" wave to the subsequent wave, such that W1 is the baseline to W2, and W2 is the baseline to W3. Inclusion in the youth analyses versus the adult analyses was determined based on age at baseline wave.* The weighted estimates presented in this paper represent the resident non-incarcerated population of the U.S. at the time of W3 who were in the civilian, noninstitutionalized population ages 9 years and older at W1, through application of population and replicate weights that adjust for complex study design characteristics and nonresponse at W1, W2, and W3.

Measures

Tobacco product use—Tobacco products were grouped into five types: cigarettes, ENDS (e-cigarettes at W1 and e-cigarettes, e-cigars, e-pipes, and e-hookah at W2 and W3), cigars (traditional cigars, cigarillos, and filtered cigars), hookah, and smokeless tobacco (loose snus, moist snuff, dip, spit, chewing tobacco, and snus pouches).For each of these five types of tobacco products and for any tobacco product, tobacco use statuses—never use, ever use, past 30-day (P30D) use, and frequent use (smoked/used the product on 20 or more of the past 30 days)[†]—were assessed at each wave, defined in Table 1.

^{*}That is, youth never users who aged into the adult cohort at W2 were included in the youth analyses between W1 and W2 (N=1,687) and in the adult analyses between W2 and W3 (N=1,669). "Shadow youth", who aged into the youth cohort at W2 and were youth never users at W2 (N =1,946), were included in the youth analyses between W2 and W3.

[†]Consistent with the reporting of "frequent use" for cigarettes by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention along with that of various other publications^{4,22–23}

Outcomes—The following thresholds of initiation were assessed at follow-up, as defined in Table 1: (1) initiating ever use (i.e., never product user at baseline and ever product user at follow-up), (2) initiating P30D use (i.e., never product user at baseline and P30D product user at follow-up), and (3) initiating frequent use among those who initiated P30D use (i.e., never product user at baseline who initiated P30D use at follow-up and used the product on 20 or more of the past 30 days at follow-up).

Demographic characteristics—Demographic characteristics were assessed at the baseline wave and categorized as shown in the tables. Missing data on age, sex, race, and Hispanic ethnicity were imputed at W1 as described in the PATH Study Restricted Use Files User Guide at https://doi.org/10.3886/Series606.24[‡]

Statistical Analyses

For each type of tobacco product, generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used to evaluate the association between correlates assessed at baseline and initiation assessed at follow-up, over two 1-year periods (W1-W2 and W2-W3). This statistical method allows for the inclusion of transitions from both periods in a single analysis while statistically controlling for interdependence among observations contributed by the same individuals. ^{25,26} Specifically, GEE logistic regression models specified unstructured covariance and within-person correlation matrices and the binomial distribution of the dependent variable using the logit link function. Analyses were weighted using the W3 "all-waves" weights to produce nationally representative estimates, and variances were computed using the balanced repeated replication (BBR) method²⁷ with Fay's adjustment set to 0.3.²⁸ All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). See Supplemental Material for the SAS macro code used to run weighted GEE analyses and calculate adjusted odds ratios (aORs) and confidence intervals (CIs). Analyses were run on the W1-W3 Restricted Use Files (https://doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR36231.v18).

For each type of tobacco product, initiation was evaluated with respect to the given tobacco product. Demographic correlates, never/ever tobacco use correlates, and wave were included in each model. All analyses were conducted among adults and youth (defined at baseline) separately. For the youth analyses, separate models were run to evaluate sexual orientation because only youth aged 14–17 years were asked about sexual orientation. Estimates with a relative standard error greater than 30 or with a denominator less than 50 are suppressed since these estimates may provide unreliable precision and to protect respondent confidentiality.

RESULTS

Ever Tobacco Product Use Initiation

Correlates of ever tobacco product initiation are reported among youth in Supplemental Table 2 and among adults in Supplemental Table 3. Given the similarity in significant correlates of ever use initiation and P30D initiation, we focus on P30D initiation here in text.

[‡]Imputed sex and race/ethnicity were carried forward to also represent these characteristics at W2; however, age at W2 was used since the time between interviews may not have yielded one additional year in all instances.

Tob Control. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 September 25.

P30D Initiation

Youth

Any tobacco product: Among youth, older age (aOR = 3.2, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.6–3.8) and not identifying as heterosexual/straight(aOR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.2-2.2)were associated with higher odds of initiating P30D use of any tobacco product compared to younger age and identifying as heterosexual/straight, respectively. Non-Hispanic Black (aOR = 0.7, CI: 0.5-0.9) and non-Hispanic Other (aOR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4-0.8) race/ ethnicity were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D use than non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity (Table 2).

<u>Cigarettes:</u> Among youth, older age (aOR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.8–3.3), not identifying as heterosexual/straight (aOR = 1.9, 95% CI: 1.3–2.9), and ever use of ENDS(aOR = 3.4, 95% CI:2.4–4.7), cigars (aOR = 2.0, 95% CI:1.1–3.7), hookah (aOR = 2.2, 95% CI:1.5–3.2) or smokeless tobacco(aOR = 2.7, 95% CI:1.5–4.7) were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D cigarette use compared to younger age, identifying as heterosexual/straight, and never use of these tobacco products, respectively. Non-Hispanic Black (aOR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4–0.9) and Hispanic (aOR = 0.8, 95% CI: 0.6–1.0) race/ethnicity were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D cigarette use than non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity (Table 2).

ENDS: Among youth, older age (aOR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.9–3.0), not identifying as heterosexual/straight (aOR = 1.9, 95% CI: 1.3–2.6), and ever use of cigarettes(aOR = 2.9, 95% CI:2.1–4.0), cigars(aOR = 2.5, 95% CI:1.8–3.5), or hookah (aOR = 2.6, 95% CI:1.9–3.7)were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D ENDS use compared to younger age, identifying as heterosexual/straight, and never use of these tobacco products, respectively. Non-Hispanic Black(aOR = 0.5, 95% CI: 0.3–0.6), non-Hispanic Other (aOR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4–0.8), and Hispanic (aOR = 0.7, 95% CI: 0.5–0.8)race/ethnicity were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D ENDS use than non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity (Table 2).

<u>Cigars:</u> Among youth, older age (aOR = 5.7, 95% CI: 3.9–8.3), male sex (aOR = 2.3, 95% CI: 1.7–3.0), and ever use of cigarettes(aOR = 2.7, 95% CI: 1.9–3.7), ENDS(aOR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.7–3.5), hookah (aOR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.2–2.3)or smokeless tobacco (aOR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.1–2.3)were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D use of cigars compared to younger age, female sex, and never use of these tobacco products, respectively (Table 2).

<u>Hookah</u>: Among youth, older age (aOR = 4.1, 95% CI: 2.9–5.9), Hispanic ethnicity (aOR = 1.5, 95% CI: 1.1–2.0), and ever use of ENDS (aOR = 3.1, 95% CI: 2.0–4.7)or cigars (aOR = 2.3, 95% CI: 1.4–3.7)were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D hookah use compared to younger age, non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity, and never use of ENDS or cigars, respectively (Table 2).

<u>Smokeless tobacco</u>: Among youth, older age (aOR = 2.1, 95% CI: 1.3-3.3), male sex (aOR = 4.6, 95% CI: 2.7-7.9), and ever use of cigarettes (aOR = 3.4, 95% CI: 2.0-5.8) or ENDS

(aOR = 2.1, 95% CI: 1.1-3.9) were each associated higher odds of initiating P30D use of smokeless tobacco compared to younger age, female sex, and never use of cigarettes or ENDS, respectively. Hispanic ethnicity (aOR = 0.4, 95% CI: 0.2–0.8) was associated with lower odds of initiating P30D smokeless tobacco use compared to non-Hispanic white race/ ethnicity(Table 2).

Adults

<u>Any tobacco product:</u> Among adults, age 25–39 (aOR = 0.4, 95% CI: 0.3–0.6) was associated with lower odds of initiating P30D tobacco product use compared to age 18–24. Male sex (aOR = 1.5, 95% CI: 1.0-2.3) and non-Hispanic Black race/ethnicity (aOR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.2-2.7) were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D use compared to female sex or non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity, respectively (Table 3).

Cigarettes: Among adults, age 25–39 (aOR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4–0.9) and age 40–54 (aOR = 0.1, 95% CI: 0.1–0.3) were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D cigarette smoking compared to age 18–24, and having some college/associate degree (aOR = 0.5, 95% CI: 0.3–0.8) was associated with lower odds of initiating P30D cigarette smoking compared to having less than high school/some high school/GED. Hispanic race/ethnicity (aOR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.2–2.9), identifying as bisexual (aOR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.2–4.5), and ever use of ENDS (aOR = 3.2, 95% CI: 2.1–4.9) or cigars (aOR = 2.1, 95% CI: 1.3–3.2) were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D cigarette smoking compared to non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity, identifying as heterosexual/straight, and never use of ENDS or cigars, respectively (Table 3).

ENDS: Among adults, age 25–39 (aOR = 0.4, 95% CI: 0.3–0.5), age 40–54 (aOR = 0.3, 95% CI: 0.2–0.4), and age 55+ (aOR = 0.1, 95% CI: 0.1–0.2) were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D ENDS use compared to age 18–24, having a bachelor's degree or more (aOR = 0.3, 95% CI: 0.2–0.4) or having some college/associate's degree (aOR = 0.8, 95% CI: 0.6–1.0) were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D ENDS use than having less than high school/some high school/GED, and household income \$75,000 (aOR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4–0.7) was associated with lower odds compared to income < \$25,000.Identifying as bisexual (aOR = 2.0, 95% CI: 1.3–2.9), and ever use of cigarettes (aOR = 3.1, 95% CI: 2.4–4.0) cigars (aOR = 2.1, 95% CI: 1.6–2.6), hookah (aOR = 1.7, 95% CI: 1.4–2.1) or smokeless tobacco (aOR = 1.3, 95% CI: 1.0–1.6) were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D ENDS use compared to identifying as heterosexual/ straight, and never use of these tobacco products, respectively (Table 3).

<u>Cigars:</u> Among adults, older age (e.g., aOR = 0.1, 95% CI: 0.1-0.2 for those aged 55+ years) and higher household income (e.g., aOR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4-0.8 for those with household income \$75,000) were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D cigar use compared to age 18–24 and household income < \$25,000, respectively. Male sex (aOR = 2.1, 95% CI: 1.6-2.8), non-Hispanic Black race/ethnicity (aOR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.8, 3.1), and ever use of cigarettes (aOR = 3.3, 95% CI: 2.4-4.6), ENDS(aOR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.5-2.2), or hookah(aOR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.2-2.0)were each associated with higher odds of initiating

P30D cigar use compared to female sex, non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity, and never use of these tobacco products, respectively (Table 3).

Hookah: Among adults, older age (e.g., aOR = 0.0, 95% CI: 0.0–0.1 for those aged 55+ years) and household income \$25,000 – \$74,999 (aOR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4–0.8) were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D hookah use compared to age 18–24 and household income < \$25,000, respectively. Non-Hispanic Black race/ethnicity (aOR = 3.0, 95% CI: 2.0–4.6), Hispanic ethnicity (aOR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.1–3.0), and non-Hispanic other race/ethnicity (aOR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.0–3.2), were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D hookah use compared to non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity. Ever use of ENDS (aOR = 2.1, 95% CI: 1.5–3.1)or cigars(aOR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.2–2.3)were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D hookah use compared to never use of these tobacco products (Table 3).

Smokeless tobacco: Among adults, age 55 or older (aOR = 0.3, 95% CI: 0.2-0.7), and household income \$75,000 (aOR = 0.5, 95% CI: 0.3-1.0) were each associated with lower odds of initiating P30D smokeless tobacco use compared to age 18–24household income < \$25,000, respectively. Male sex (aOR = 2.7, 95% CI: 1.8-4.1) and ever use of ENDS (aOR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.7-3.4) were each associated with higher odds of initiating P30D smokeless tobacco use compared to female sex and never use of ENDS, respectively (Table 3).

Frequent Use Upon Initiation

We also evaluated rates and correlates of initiating frequent use (use on 20 or more days in the past 30 days) among those who initiated P30D use (hereafter referred to as frequent use upon initiation; data shown in-text only).

Youth

Among youth, 18.6% (95% CI: 15.3–22.5) were frequent users of at least one type of tobacco product upon initiation of any tobacco product, with rates of frequent use upon initiation by product type as follows: 15.9% (95% CI: 12.4–20.2) for cigarettes, 16.5% (95% CI: 13.0–20.8) for ENDS, 4.4% (95% CI: 2.3–8.2) for cigars, 14.8% (95% CI: 9.0–23.4) for hookah, and 29.7% (95% CI: 21.0–40.1) for smokeless tobacco.

Any tobacco product—Among youth, age 15–17 (aOR = 1.8, 95% CI: 1.0-3.1) and not identifying as heterosexual/straight (aOR = 3.0, 95% CI: 1.3-6.8) were each associated with higher odds of frequent use upon initiation of any tobacco product use compared to age 12–14 and identifying as heterosexual/straight, respectively.

Cigarettes—Among youth, Hispanic ethnicity was associated with lower odds of frequent use upon initiation of cigarette use (aOR= 0.4, 95% CI: 0.2–0.9) compared to non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity.

ENDS—Among youth, age 15–17 (aOR = 2.3, 95% CI: 1.1–4.6), male sex (aOR= 2.4, 95% CI: 1.2–4.9), and ever use of smokeless tobacco (aOR = 3.3, 95% CI: 1.2–9.5)were each

associated with higher odds of frequent use upon initiation of ENDS use compared to age 12–14, female sex, and never use of ENDS, respectively.

Findings for correlates of frequent use upon initiation of cigars, hookah, and smokeless tobacco had relative standard errors >30% or were not statistically significant (data not shown).

Adults

Among adults, 19.2% (95% CI: 12.4–28.5) were frequent users of at least one type of tobacco product upon initiation of any tobacco product, with rates of frequent use upon initiation by product type as follows: 27.1% (95% CI: 18.6–37.7) for cigarettes, 24.6% (95% CI: 20.9–28.6) for ENDS, 20.2% (95% CI: 13.7–28.8) for cigars, 22.0% (95% CI: 14.8–31.3) for hookah, and 29.9% (95% CI: 21.7–39.6) for smokeless tobacco.

ENDS—Among adults, age 18-24 (aOR= 2.8, 95% CI: 1.2–6.6) and male sex (aOR= 1.9, 95% CI: 1.1–3.4) were each associated with higher odds of frequent use upon initiation of ENDS use compared to age 55 or older and female sex, respectively. Non-Hispanic Black race/ethnicity (aOR= 0.4, 95% CI: 0.2–0.8) and having a bachelor's degree or more educational attainment (aOR = 0.3, 95% CI: 0.1–0.8) were each associated with lower odds of frequent use upon initiation of ENDS use compared to non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity and having less than high school/some high school/GED educational attainment, respectively (data not shown).

Findings for correlates of frequent use upon initiation of any tobacco product, cigarettes, cigars, hookah, and smokeless tobacco were had relative standard errors >30% or were not statistically significant (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

PATH Study W1-W3 data show that, among the U.S. population of youth and adult never users of each type of tobacco product examined here (cigarettes, ENDS, cigars, hookah, and smokeless tobacco), age consistently predicted tobacco product initiation, with older youth(ages 15–17) more likely than younger youth (ages 12–14) and younger adults (ages 18–24) more likely than older adults to be P30D tobacco product initiators. Other predictors, however, differed somewhat across types of tobacco products. For example, among youth and adults, males were more likely than females to be P30D cigar and smokeless tobacco use initiators, while among those ages 14–17 years, non-heterosexuals were more likely than heterosexuals to initiateP30D cigarette use and to initiate P30D ENDS use.

Our results also show that for initiation of each type of tobacco product, ever use of another type of tobacco product consistently predicted tobacco product initiation, among both youth and adults. Some studies have found that ENDS use predicts cigarette initiation among youth/young adults.^{14–17} In 2018, the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine published a consensus report *Public Health Consequences of E-cigarettes* and concluded that there is substantial evidence that ENDS use increases the risk of ever smoking cigarettes among youth and young adults.¹³Other studies have shown that cigarette

smoking predicts ever hookah initiation,²⁹ hookah use and snus use each predict ever and P30D cigarette smoking initiation,³⁰ and any non-cigarette tobacco product use predicts ever cigarette smoking initiation.¹⁴Taken together, the association between previous tobacco use and initiation of a new product could be due to common liability and/or shared risk factors^{31,32}such as proclivity to engage in risky behaviors/sensation-seeking,³³ receptivity/ susceptibility to use tobacco,^{34,35} having friends who use tobacco,³⁶other substance use,³⁷ or household exposure to tobacco,³⁸which were not examined in this study. Findings could also be due to nicotine dependence since all tobacco products contain the addictive substance, albeit perhaps in different amounts.³⁹Additionally,change in social group,⁴⁰ normalization of tobacco use,⁴¹and experience in/becoming accustomed to using one type of tobacco product may relate to initiating use of another type of tobacco product.⁴²Future studies can examine individual-level, family-level, societal-level, and policy-related factors implicated in tobacco initiation, which may also be related to our observed associations with demographic characteristics.

Our findings also identify a difference in correlates of P30D initiation versus correlates of frequent use upon initiation. Adults ages 55 or older were far less likely than young adults to be P30D ENDS initiators but were nearly three times more likely than young adults to be frequent ENDS users upon initiation. Coupled with the findings that cigarette use predicts ENDS initiation and that, among adult cigarette smokers, those who use ENDS are more likely to attempt to quit than those who do not use ENDS,⁴³ demographic differences in frequency of use upon initiation may be explained by differences in reasons for product use. That is, several studies have found that adult cigarette smokers use ENDS to assist them in quitting smoking cigarettes^{44,45} or for use in places where smoking is not allowed,^{46,47} with young adults being more likely than older adults to report use because of flavorings. ⁴⁷Differences between young adults and older adults in their reasons for ENDS use⁴⁸ may help to explain differences in patterns of use.

Limitations

The findings reported here importantly cover individual-level correlates of tobacco product initiation across a range of tobacco products, although we grouped different types of ENDS and different types of cigars together. We also did not examine many psychosocial and other factors that may be important to tobacco product initiation. Further, ENDS have evolved since their introduction to the marketplace,⁴⁹with newer generation 'pod-mod' ENDShaving risen in popularity after the time that these data were collected.⁵⁰

Summary and Implications

This study uses nationally representative longitudinal data from youth and adults to evaluate correlates of ever, P30D, and more frequent tobacco product use initiation. Demographic correlates of initiation underscore tobacco use disparities in the U.S., and tobacco use correlates suggest that use of another tobacco product is a common risk factor for initiation across products. Taken together with the rest of the data reported in this Supplement, findings can be used to better tailor prevention and cessation interventions, and can lay the foundation for subsequent work and enable researchers to strengthen population-level

predictions of the potential impacts of regulatory actions and other interventions on tobacco product use.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This manuscript is supported with Federal funds from the National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, and the Center for Tobacco Products, Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, under a contract to West at (Contract No. HHSN271201100027C).

REFERENCES

- 1. Hyland A, Kasza KA, Borek N, et al. Overview of tobacco use transitions for population health. Tob Control 2020;29:s134–8 [PubMed: 32321846]
- 2. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Preventing Tobacco Use Among Youth and Young Adults: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2012.
- Stanton CA, Sharma E, et al. Initiation of any tobacco and five tobacco products across three years among youth, young adults, and adults in the USA: findings from the path study waves 1–3 (2013– 2016). Tob Control 2020;29:s178–90. [PubMed: 32321852]
- Kasza KA, Ambrose BK, Conway KP, et al. Tobacco-Product use by adults and youths in the United States in 2013 and 2014. N Engl J Med 2017;376:342–53. [PubMed: 28121512]
- Gerend MA, Newcomb ME, Mustanski B. Prevalence and correlates of smoking and e-cigarette use among young men who have sex with men and transgender women. Drug and Alcohol Dependence 179 (2017) 395–399 [PubMed: 28850859]
- Trapl ES, Yoder LD, Frank JL, Borawski EA, Sattar A. Individual, Parental, and Environmental Correlates of Cigar, Cigarillo, and Little Cigar Use Among Middle School Adolescents. 2015
- Agaku IT, King BA, Husten CG, et al. Tobacco product use among adults United States, 2012– 2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2014;63(25):542–547. [PubMed: 24964880]
- Shaikh RA, et al. Socioeconomic, demographic and smoking-related correlates of the use of potentially reduced exposure to tobacco products in a national sample. Tob Control 2014;23:353– 358. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050407 [PubMed: 23291431]
- Wheldon CW, Kaufman AR, Kasza KA, Moser RP. Tobacco Use Among Adults by Sexual Orientation: Findings from the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health Study. LGBT Health. 1 2018, 5(1): 33–44. [PubMed: 29324177]
- Rath JM, Villanti AC, Rubenstein RA, Vallone DM. Tobacco use by sexual identity among young adults in the United States. Nicotine Tob Res. 2013;15(11):1822–1831. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntt062. [PubMed: 23680918]
- Lee YO, Hebert CJ, Nonnemaker JM, Kim AE. Multiple tobacco product use among adults in the United States: Cigarettes, cigars, electronic cigarettes, hookah, smokeless tobacco, and snus. Preventive Medicine 62 (2014) 14–19 [PubMed: 24440684]
- Rath JM, Villanti AC, Abrams DB, Vallone DM. Patterns of Tobacco Use and Dual Use in US Young Adults: The Missing Link between Youth Prevention and Adult Cessation. Journal of Environmental and Public Health (2012).
- 13. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. Public health consequences of ecigarettes. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press;2018.
- Watkins SL, Glantz SA, Chaffee BW. Association of Noncigarette Tobacco Product Use With Future Cigarette Smoking Among Youth in the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study, 2013–2015. JAMA Pediatr. 2018;172(2):181–187. doi:10.1001/ jamapediatrics.2017.4173 [PubMed: 29297010]

- Loukas A, Marti CN, Cooper M, Pasch KE, Perry CL. Exclusive e-cigarette use predicts cigarette initiation among college students. Addictive Behaviors 76 (2018) 343–347 [PubMed: 28892771]
- 16. Chaffee BW, Couch ET, Gansky SA (2017). Trends in characteristics and multi-product use among adolescents who use electronic cigarettes, United States 2011–2015. PLoS ONE 12(5): e0177073.
- Leventhal AM, Strong DS, Kirkpatrick MG, et al. Association of Electronic Cigarette Use With Initiation of Combustible Tobacco Product Smoking in Early Adolescence. JAMA. 2015;314(7):700–707. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.8950 [PubMed: 26284721]
- Berry KM, Fetterman JL, Benjamin EJ, et al. Association of Electronic Cigarette Use With Subsequent Initiation of Tobacco Cigarettes in US Youths. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(2):e187794. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.7794
- Public Health Service US. 1964 Smoking and Health: Report of the Advisory Committee to the Surgeon General of the Public Health Service. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Center for Disease Control. PHS Publication No. 1103.
- Hyland A, Ambrose BK, Conway KP, et al. Design and methods of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study. Tob Control 2017;26:371–8. [PubMed: 27507901]
- 21. Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study. 10.3886/Series606.
- 22. United States Public Health Service. Preventing tobacco use among young people: A report of the Surgeon General. US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1994.
- Kann L, Brener ND, Warren CW, Collins JL, Giovino GA. An assessment of the effect of data collection setting on the prevalence of health risk behaviors among adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2002;31(4):327–335. [PubMed: 12359378]
- 24. PATH Study Restricted Use files. https://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/NAHDAP/studies/36231
- 25. Liang K-Y, &Zeger SL (1986). Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. Biometrika, 73(1), 13–22.
- 26. Hardin JW, &Hilbe JM (2003). Generalized estimating equations. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- 27. McCarthy PJ (1969). Pseudoreplication: further evaluation and applications of the balanced halfsample technique.
- 28. Judkins DR (1990). Fay's method for variance estimation. Journal of Official Statistics, 6(3), 223.
- Villanti AC, Cobb CO, Cohn AM, Williams VF, Rath JM. Correlates of Hookah Use and Predictors of Hookah Trial in U.S. Young Adults. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2015;48(6):742–746. [PubMed: 25890683]
- Soneji S, Sargent JD, Tanski SE, Primack BA. Associations Between Initial Water Pipe Tobacco Smoking and Snus Use and Subsequent Cigarette Smoking: Results From a Longitudinal Study of US Adolescents and Young Adults. JAMA Pediatr. 2015;169(2):129–136. [PubMed: 25485959]
- Jessor R, 1991 Risk behavior in adolescence: a psychosocial framework for understanding and action. J Adolesc Health 12, 597–605. [PubMed: 1799569]
- Vanyukov MM, Tarter RE, Kirillova GP, et al. Common liability to addiction and "gateway hypothesis": theoretical, empirical and evolutionary perspective. Drug Alcohol Depend 2012;123 Suppl 1:S3–17. [PubMed: 22261179]
- Colder CR, Scalco M, Trucco EM, et al. Prospective associations of internalizing and externalizing problems and their co-occurrence with early adolescent substance use. Journal of abnormal child psychology. 2013;41(4):667–677. [PubMed: 23242624]
- Pierce JP, Sargent JD, White M, et al. Receptivity to Tobacco Advertising and Susceptibility to Tobacco Products. Pediatrics, 2017
- 35. Trinidad DR, Pierce JP, Sargent JD, et al. Susceptibility to tobacco product use among youth in wave 1 of the population Assessment of tobacco and health (PATH) study. Preventive Medicine 101 (2017) 8–14 [PubMed: 28526392]
- 36. Strong et al. 2017. Pre-adolescent Receptivity to Tobacco Marketing and Its Relationship to Acquiring Friends Who Smoke and Cigarette Smoking Initiation. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, Volume 51, Issue 5, 1 10 2017, Pages 730–740 [PubMed: 28255935]

- Silveira ML, Conway KP, Green VR, Kasza KA, Sargent JD, Borek N, et al. Longitudinal associations between youth tobacco and substance use in waves 1 and 2 of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 2018;191:25– 36. [PubMed: 30077053]
- Wakefield MA, Chaloupka FJ, Kaufman NJ, Orleans CT, Barker DC, Ruel EE, et al. Effect of restrictions on smoking at home, at school, and in public places on teenage smoking: cross sectional study. BMJ. 2000;321:333. [PubMed: 10926588]
- Djordjevic MV & Doran KA. Nicotine Content and Delivery Across Tobacco Products In: Henningfield JE, London ED, Pogun S. (eds) Nicotine Psychopharmacology. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, 2009; vol 192 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- 40. Schneider S, Diehl K. Vaping as a Catalyst for Smoking? An Initial Model on the Initiation of Electronic Cigarette Use and the Transition to Tobacco Smoking Among Adolescents. Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 2016;18(5):647–653. [PubMed: 26386472]
- 41. Wills TA, Knight R, Williams RJ, Pagano I, Sargent JD. Risk factors for exclusive e-cigarette use and dual e-cigarette use and tobacco use in adolescents. Pediatrics. 2015;135(1):e43–51. doi:10.1542/peds.2014-0760. [PubMed: 25511118]
- Brandon TH, Herzog TA, Irvin JE, Gwaltney CJ. Cognitive and social learning models of drug dependence: implications for the assessment of tobacco dependence in adolescents. Addiction. 2004;99(suppl 1):51–77. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00737.x [PubMed: 15128380]
- 43. Kasza KA, Tang Z. Correlates of tobacco product cessation among youth and adults in the USA: findings from the path study waves 1–3 (2013–2016). Tob Control 2020;29:s203–15. [PubMed: 32321854]
- 44. Delnevo CD, Giovenco DP, Steinberg MB, Villanti AC, Pearson JL, Niaura RS, Abrams DB. Patterns of Electronic Cigarette Use Among Adults in the United States. Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 2016, 715–719 [PubMed: 26525063]
- 45. Richardson A, Pearson J, Xiao H, Stalgaitis C, Vallone D. Prevalence, Harm Perceptions, and Reasons for Using Noncombustible Tobacco Products Among Current and Former Smokers. Am J Public Health. 2014; 104:1437–1444. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2013.301804 [PubMed: 24922154]
- Coleman BN, Rostron B, Johnson SE, et al. Electronic cigarette use among US adults in the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study, 2013–2014. Tobacco Control. 2017;26:e117–e126. [PubMed: 28624763]
- Patel D, Davis KC, Cox S, Bradfield B, King BA, Shafer P, Caraballo R, Bunnell R. Reasons for current E-cigarette use among U.S. adults. Preventive Medicine. 2016;93:14–20. [PubMed: 27612572]
- Chapman SLC, Wu L-T. E-cigarette prevalence and correlates of use among adolescents versus adults: A review and comparison. Journal of Psychiatric Research. 2014;54:43–54. [PubMed: 24680203]
- 49. Etter JF. Characteristics of users and usage of different types of electronic cigarettes: findings from an online survey. Addiction. 2015; 111: 724–733.
- Barrington–Trimis JL, Leventhal AM. Adolescents' Use of "Pod Mod" E-Cigarettes Urgent Concerns. N Engl J Med 2018; 379:1099–1102. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp1805758. [PubMed: 30134127]

WHAT THIS PAPER ADDS:

- Previous research that is focused on ever use initiation may be missing important correlates of tobacco product use initiation related to negative health outcomes due to more consistent use.
- This study uses nationally representative longitudinal data from youth and adults to evaluate correlates of past 30-day (P30D) use initiation and more frequent use initiation across multiple tobacco products.
- Ever use of another type of tobacco product consistently predicted tobacco product initiation, among both youth and adults, whereas demographic correlates showed more product-specific findings, consistent with previous literature.
- Our findings also identify some differences in correlates of P30D initiation versus correlates of frequent use upon initiation.
- Adults ages 55 or older were far less likely than young adults to be P30D ENDS initiators but were nearly three times more likely than young adults to be frequent ENDS users upon initiation.
- Demographic correlates of P30D initiation underscore tobacco use disparities in the U.S., and tobacco use correlates of initiation suggest that use of another tobacco product is a common risk factor for initiation across products.

Table 1:

Definitions.

Follow-up Outcome (W2 or W3)	<u>Initiating ever use</u> : Ever smoked/used the product/any tobacco product (even one or two times)	Initiating P30D use: Smoked/used the product/any tobacco product in the past 30 days	Frequent use upon initiation 2 : Smoked/used the product on 20 or more of the past 30 days	
Baseline Tobacco Use Group (W1 or W2)	Never users f : never smoked/used the product/any tobacco product (even one or two times)	Never users as defined above	Never users who initiated P30D use at follow-up as defined above	
Initiation Behaviors	Initiating ever use (Supplemental Tables 2 & 3)	Initiating past 30-day (P30D) use (Tables 2 & 3)	Initiating frequent use among those who initiated P30D use (results in-text only)	

Notes:

Abbreviations: W1 = Wave 1; W2 = Wave 2; W3 = Wave 3

I Respondents who indicated ever use of a given tobacco product at a previous wave and never use of that same product at the current wave were coded as "ever" users and excluded from the baseline sample. The percentage of those who met this definition at W2 ranged from 1.4% for hookah to 12.9% for ENDS.

 2 For any tobacco product use, frequent use upon initiation was defined as having used at least one type of tobacco product on 20 or more of the past 30 days.

Author
Manuscri
þţ

Author Manuscript

Author	
[.] Manus	
script	

Kasza et al.

2–17) at Baseline.
(Youth 1
· Users
Never
Among
Use
P30D
s of Initiating
Correlates

												P30D use at	t follow-up											
		Any t	tobacco			Cig	arettes			E	SUS			Ci	şars			Ho	okah			Smo	keless	
Correlates at baseline	%	95% CI	aOR	95% CI	%	95% CI	aOR ^I	95% CI	%	95% CI	aOR ^I	95% CI	%	95% CI	aor	95% CI	%	95% CI	aOR ^I	95% CI	%	95% CI	aOR ^I	95% CI
Overall	4.4	(4.0- 4.7)	N/A	N/A	2.0	(1.8– 2.2)	N/A	N/A	3.1	(2.8–3.4)	N/A	N/A	1.7	(1.5-1.9)	N/A	N/A	1.4	(1.3– 1.6)	N/A	N/A	0.8	$^{+0.7}_{-0.9}$	N/A	N/A
DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS																								
Age group																								
12–14	2.3	(2.0- 2.7)	1	;	1.0	(0.7-1.3)	1	:	1.6	(1.3–1.9)	;	1	0.4	(0.3-0.6)	;	;	0.5	(0.4-0.6)	;	:	0.4	(0.3 - 0.5)	1	;
15–17	7.1	(6.4– 7.8)	3.2	(2.6–3.8)	3.2	(2.9– 3.6)	2.4	(1.8- 3.3) ***	4.9	(4.3–5.5)	2.4	(1.9- $(1.9)^{***}$	3.2	(2.8– 3.5)	5.7	(3.9- 8.3) ***	2.5	(2.2– 2.9)	4.1	(2.9– 5.9)	1.2	(1.0- 1.5)	2.1	(1.3- $(1.3)^{**}$
Sex																								
Female	4.3	(3.7– 4.9)	1	:	2.0	(1.7-2.3)	1	-	2.8	(2.4–3.4)	;	:	1.2	(1.0– 1.4)	1	:	1.5	(1.2– 1.8)	;	:	0.3	(0.2 - 0.4)	:	:
Male	4.5	(4.0– 5.0)	1.0	(0.9–1.3)	2.0	(1.7– 2.3)	0.8	(0.6–1.0)	3.3	(2.9–3.8)	1.2	(1.0–1.5)	2.3	(2.0– 2.6)	2.3	(1.7- $(3.0)^{***}$	1.4	(1.2– 1.6)	0.8	(0.6–1.0)	1.3	(1.0-1.5)	4.6	(2.7- 7.9) ***
Race/ethnicity																								
Non-Hispanic White	4.9	(4.5– 5.5)	:	1	2.4	(2.1– 2.7)	1	:	3.8	(3.3–4.3)	;	:	1.8	(1.6– 2.1)	1	:	1.3	(1.1-1.6)	;	:	1.1	(0.9- 1.4)	:	:
Non-Hispanic Black	3.4	(2.6– 4.5)	0.7	(0.5-0.9)	1.4	(1.0- 2.0)	0.6	(0.4-0.9)	1.8	(1.3–2.6)	0.5	(0.3- $0.6)^{***}$	2.0	(1.5– 2.8)	1.3	(0.9–1.9)	1.4	(1.1-2.0)	1.2	(0.8–1.7)	#	#	#	#
Non-Hispanic Other (includes two or more races)	2.9	(2.1- $4.0)$	0.6	(0.4- $(0.8)^{**}$	1.5	(0.9– 2.3)	0.7	(0.4–1.1)	2.4	(1.7–3.2)	0.6	(0.4- $0.8)^{**}$	1.3	(0.9– 2.0)	6.0	(0.5–1.4)	1.5	(1.0– 2.2)	1.2	(0.8–2.0)	#	#	#	#
Hispanic	4.4	(3.8– 5.1)	6.0	(0.8–1.1)	1.8	(1.5– 2.2)	0.8	(0.6–1.0)*	2.6	(2.2–3.1)	0.7	(0.5- $0.8)^{**}$	1.4	(1.2-1.8)	0.8	(0.7–1.1)	1.7	(1.4– 2.2)	1.5	(1.1–2.0)*	0.5	(0.3- 0.8)	0.4	(0.2^{-})
Sexual orientation (ages 14+)																								
Straight/Heterosexual	5.9	(5.3-6.4)	ı	I	2.5	(2.2- 2.8)	I	:	3.9	(3.4-4.4)	;	I	2.5	(2.2– 2.8)	I	1	2.0	(1.7– 2.3)	1	:	1.0	(0.8– 1.2)	I	ł
Other (includes gay, lesbian, bisexual, other)	9.1	(7.1-11.7)	1.6	(1.2- 2.2) **	5.1	(3.5- 7.2)	1.9	(1.3- 2.9) **	8.0	(6.1-10.4)	1.9	(1.3- 2.6) ***	3.3	(2.3– 4.7)	1.4	(0.9–2.2)	3.1	(2.1– 4.7)	1.2	(0.7–1.9)	6.0	(0.5-1.7)	0.9	(0.4–1.7)
TOBACCO USE CORRELATES																								
Use of cigarettes																								
Never use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.5	(2.2–2.8)	1	ł	1.2	(1.1-1.4)	I	:	1.1	(0.9-1.3)	1	I	0.5	(0.4- 0.6)	I	ł

												P30D use at	dn-wolloj											
		Any t	obacco			Cig	arettes			E	SUS			Ci	gars			Н	ookah			S	nokeless	
Correlates at baseline	%	95% CI	aOR	95% CI	%	95% CI	aor ^I	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{\rm aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{aOR}{}^{I}$	ID %56
Ever use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.6	(11.0– 16.7)	2.9	(2.1- $4.0)$ $***$	7.5	(6.3-9.0)	2.7	(1.9– 3.7) ***	4.8	(3.7– 6.1)		(0.6–2.0)	3.3	(2.6– 4.2)	3.4	(2.0– 5.8) ***
Use of ENDS																								
Never use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.5	(1.3-1.7)	1	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.2	(1.0-1.4)	1	:	1.0	(0.9-1.2)	1	:	0.5	$^{(0.4-}_{0.7)}$	1	
Ever use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.5	(6.9-10.3)	3.4	(2.4- 4.7) ***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.4	(5.3- 7.7)	2.4	(1.7– 3.5) ***	4.9	(3.9– 6.1)	3.1	(2.0– 4.7) ***	2.7	(2.1– 3.4)	2.1	(1.1–3.9)
Use of cigars																								
Never use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8	(1.6-2.0)	1	1	2.8	(2.5–3.1)	;	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.2	(1.0-1.4)	;	:	0.6	(0.5-0.8)	1	:
Ever use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.6	(7.8– 16.9)	2.0	(1.1–3.7)*	18.3	(14.5– 22.8)	2.5	(1.8- 3.5) ***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.5	(5.6-10.1)	2.3	(1.4– 3.7) **	3.7	(2.7– 5.1)	1.3	(0.7–2.4)
Use of hookah																								
Never use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.7	$^{(1.5-}_{2.0)}$	I	I	2.7	(2.4–3.0)	:	1	1.5	(1.3-1.6)	1	:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.7	$^{(0.6-}_{0.8)}$	I	
Ever use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.6	(7.7– 12.5)	2.2	(1.5- 3.2) ***	16.3	(13.0– 20.3)	2.6	(1.9- 3.7) ***	7.0	(5.5-9.0)	1.6	(1.2- 2.3) **	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.4	(1.7-3.5)	1.1	(0.6–2.0)
Use of smokeless																								
Never use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8	(1.6– 2.1)	I	I	2.9	(2.6–3.2)	:	1	1.5	$^{(1.4-}_{1.7)}$	1	:	1.3	(1.2 - 1.5)	:	1	N/A	W/N	N/A	V/N
Ever use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.3	(8.0– 15.7)	2.7	(1.5- 4.7) ***	12.8	(8.8– 18.3)	1.6	(1.0–2.6)	8.2	(6.1-11.0)	1.6	(1.1–2.3)*	4.8	(3.2- 7.1)	1.2	(0.7–2.1)	N/A	V/N	N/A	V/N

Notes:

Tob Control. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 September 25.

Abbreviations: P30D = past 30-day; ENDS = electronic nicotine delivery system; aOR = adjusted odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; <math>N/A = not applicableThe percentages and odds ratios in the table are based on weighted data.

Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Any tobacco" = 15,922 (without sexual orientation), 9,494 (with sexual orientation) Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Cigarettes" = 18,184 (without sexual orientation), 11,338 (with sexual orientation) Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "ENDS" = 17,782 (without sexual orientation), 11,000 (with sexual orientation) Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "ENDS" = 19,257 (without sexual orientation), 12,216 (with sexual orientation) Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Hookah" = 19,338 (without sexual orientation), 12,216 (with sexual orientation) Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Hookah" = 19,338 (without sexual orientation), 12,276 (with sexual orientation) Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Hookah" = 19,662 (without sexual orientation), 12,776 (with sexual orientation) Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Hookah" = 19,662 (without sexual orientation), 12,713 (with sexual orientation) For each of the five tobacco products, and for any tobacco product, use is defined with respect to the given tobacco product/any tobacco product:

Never use is defined as never having used the product, even 1 or 2 times

P30D use is defined as use in the past 30 days.

The outcome 'initiating P30D' is defined as P30D use at follow-up (vs. no P30D use at follow-up) among never users at baseline.

Since never use at baseline is defined with respect to each tobacco product, never/ever use of 'other' tobacco products at baseline are considered as correlates of initiating P30D use of the given tobacco product at follow-up.

GEE logistic regression analyses were used to assess correlates of initiating P30D use at follow-up among never users at baseline over a one-year period of time (i.e., Wave 2 and Wave 2-Wave 3), including up to two change data points per individual and statistically controlling for the correlation among observations from the same individuals. All correlates reflect baseline measurement for each wave pair (e.g., when evaluating change between Wave 1 and Wave2, the age correlate reflects a person's age at Wave 1, and when evaluating change between Wave 2 and Wave 2, the age correlate reflects a person's age at Wave 2).

Data consist of those who are youth at all three waves, youth who age into the adult cohort at Wave 2, and Wave 2, and Wave 1. Wave 2 data only from youth who age into the adult cohort at Wave 2 (their Wave 2. Wave 3, data is included in adult tables).

Analyses adjusted for age group, sex, race/ethnicity, each tobacco use correlate, and wave. Sexual orientation (asked only of those 14 and older) was run separately and not included as a covariate in the other aORs

#Estimates with RSE >30 or denominator < 50 are suppressed.

* p <0.05

cu.u> q **

p <0.01

*** p <0.001

Table 3:

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

at Baseline.
18+
(Adults
Users
Never
se Among
P30D U
of Initiating
Correlates

		Any to	bacco			Cig	arettes			EN	SQ	P30D use i	at follow-u		igars				ookah			Š	mokeless		
aseline	%	95% CI	aOR	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{aOR}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{\rm aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{\rm aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	
	2.2	(1.9– 2.7)	N/A	N/A	1.4	(1.2- 1.7)	N/A	N/A	1.5	(1.3– 1.6)	N/A	N/A	1.4	(1.2– 1.7)	N/A	N/A	0.6	$^{(0.6-}_{0.7)}$	N/A	N/A	0.3	(0.3-0.4)	N/A	N/A	
IIC ISTICS																									î
	7.0	(6.0- 8.3)	;	1	3.6	(3.1- 4.2)	1	1	4.5	(3.9– 5.1)	1	1	3.9	(3.4- 4.5)	:	:	3.6	(3.1- 4.3)	:	:	0.8	(0.6-1.0)	:	:	
	2.7	(1.9– 3.8)	0.4	(0.3–0.6)	1.6	(1.1– 2.4)	0.6	(0.4-0.9)	1.8	(1.5- 2.1)	0.4	(0.3- $0.5)^{***}$	2.0	(1.5– 2.6)	0.5	$^{(0.4-}_{0.7)}$	1.0	(0.8-1.3)	0.3	(0.2- $(0.4)^{***}$	0.5	(0.3- 0.7)	0.7	(0.4-1.0)	
	#	#	#	#	0.6	(0.3- 1.1)	0.1	(0.1- $0.3)^{***}$	1.4	(1.2- 1.7)	0.3	(0.2- (0.4)	1.0	(0.8– 1.4)	0.2	(0.2^{-}) $(0.3)^{***}$	0.2	(0.1- (0.3)	0.1	(0.0- $(0.1)^{***}$	0.2	(0.1- 0.5)	0.5	(0.2-1.1)	1
	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	0.6	(0.5- 0.8)	0.1	(0.1- $0.2)^{***}$	0.6		0.1	(0.1- $0.2)^{***}$	0.1	(0.1- 0.2)	0.0	(0.0- $0.1)^{***}$	0.2	(0.1- 0.3)	0.3	(0.2–0.7)	
	1.8	(1.3-2.4)	;	1	1.2	(0.9– 1.6)	:	1	1.2	(1.1-1.4)	1	1	1.0	(0.8-1.2)	:	:	0.5	$^{(0.4-}_{0.7)}$:	:	0.2	$^{(0.1-}_{0.2)}$:	:	
	3.1	(2.4– 3.9)	1.5	(1.0–2.3)	1.7	(1.4– 2.2)	1.2	(0.8–1.8)	1.8	(1.5- 2.0)	1.0	(0.8–1.2)	2.3	(1.8– 2.9)	2.1	(1.6- $2.8)^{***}$	0.8	(0.6)	1.2	(0.9–1.7)	0.6	$^{(0.4-}_{0.7)}$	2.7	(1.8- $4.1)$ $***$	
																									-
c White	1.7	(1.3– 2.2)	;	1	1.0	(0.7- 1.3)	1	-	1.4	(1.2-1.6)	1	I	1.1	(0.9-1.3)	:	:	0.4	$^{(0.3-}_{0.5)}$:	:	0.3	$^{(0.2-}_{0.4)}$	-	:	
c Black	3.5	(2.6– 4.6)	1.8	(1.2– 2.7) **	1.8	(1.3-2.5)	1.4	(0.9–2.1)	1.7	(1.4- 2.1)	0.9	(0.7–1.1)	2.9	(2.3– 3.6)	2.4	(1.8- 3.1) ***	1.5	(1.2-1.9)	3.0	(2.0- 4.6) ***	0.4	$_{0.7)}^{(0.2-}$	0.7	(0.3-1.7)	
ic Other r more races)	1.4	(0.8– 2.7)	0.8	(0.4-1.4)	0.8	(0.4– 1.6)	0.9	(0.5-1.8)	1.4	(1.0– 2.1)	1.3	(0.9–1.9)	0.9	(0.5– 1.5)	0.6	(0.4–1.0)	0.8	(0.5-1.3)	1.8	(1.0–3.2)*	#	#	#	#	í – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –
	3.0	(2.0– 4.3)	1.5	(0.9–2.4)	2.3	(1.7– 3.2)	1.8	(1.2- 2.9) **	1.7	(1.4- 2.1)	0.9	(0.7–1.2)	1.7	(1.2– 2.3)	1.0	(0.6–1.5)	1.0	(0.7- 1.3)	1.8	(1.1–3.0)*	0.4	(0.2-0.5)	0.7	(0.4–1.2)	
tion																									-
erosexual	2.2	(1.8– 2.7)	;	1	1.3	(1.1-1.6)	I	-	1.4	(1.3-1.6)	1	1	1.4	(1.2-1.6)	1	:	0.6	$_{0.7)}^{(0.5-)}$:	:	0.3	(0.3-0.4)		:	
ian	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	2.2	(1.2- $4.0)$	1.1	(0.6–1.8)	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	
	#	#	#	#	4.5	(2.6– 7.7)	2.4	$(1.2 4.5)^{**}$	4.6	(3.2– 6.5)	2.0	$^{(1.3-)}_{2.9)}$	2.3	(1.3- 3.9)	0.9	(0.5–1.4)	1.6	(0.9– 2.7)	1.2	(0.6–2.1)	#	#	#	#	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

		ID %56	#		:	(0.4–1.2)	(0.3-1.2)	#			(0.4–1.3)	$(0.3-1.0)^{*}$	#				(0.8–2.8)			(1.7- 3.4) ***			(0.7–1.5)
	okeless	$_{\rm aOR}{}^{I}$	#		;	0.7	9.0	#			0.8	0.5	#				1.5		-	2.4		:	1.0
	Sn	95% CI	#		(0.4- 0.8)	(0.2-0.5)	$_{0.5)}^{(0.2-}$	#		$^{(0.4-}_{0.7)}$	(0.2- 0.5)	(0.1- 0.3)	#			(0.1- 0.4)	(0.3- 0.5)		(0.2-0.3)	(0.7- 1.1)		(0.2-0.3)	$^{(0.4-}_{0.7)}$
		%	#		0.6	0.3	0.3	#		0.5	0.3	0.2	#			0.2	0.4		0.2	6.0		0.2	0.5
		95% CI	#		:	(0.8–1.6)	(0.7–1.4)	(0.5–1.4)		:	(0.4- $(0.8)^{**}$	(0.4–1.4)	#			1	(0.8–2.1)		-	(1.5- 3.1) ^{***}		:	(1.2- 2.3) **
	ookah	$_{\rm aOR}{}^I$	#		;	1.1	6.0	0.8		:	0.6	0.7	#			:	1.3		1	2.1		:	1.6
	H	95% CI	#		(0.6– 1.1)	(0.7-1.1)	$^{(0.5-}_{0.8)}$	(0.2-0.5)		(1.0-1.4)	(0.3- 0.6)	(0.2-0.6)	#			(0.5- 0.8)	(0.5- 0.8)		(0.4-0.6)	(1.4– 2.0)		(0.4- 0.7)	(0.8-1.1)
		%	#		0.8	0.8	0.7	0.3		1.2	0.4	0.3	#			0.6	0.6		0.5	1.7		0.5	0.9
		95% CI	#		:	(0.8-1.5)	(0.5-1.0)	(0.3-1.1)		:	(0.5- $(0.8)^{***}$	(0.4- $0.8)^{**}$	(0.4–1.3)			:	(2.4– 4.6) ***		1	(1.5- 2.2) ***		N/A	N/A
	igars	$_{\rm aOR}{}^{I}$	#		;	1.1	0.7	0.6		;	0.6	0.6	0.8			:	3.3		:	1.8		N/A	N/A
	C	95% CI	#		(1.7– 2.5)	(1.6– 2.5)	(1.1-1.6)	(0.4– 1.0)		(2.0– 2.8)	(0.8– 1.3)	(0.5– 1.1)	(0.9– 2.4)			(0.6-1.2)	(1.8– 2.4)		(0.9- 1.3)	(3.8– 5.1)		N/A	N/A
dn-wolloj		%	#		2.1	2.0	1.3	0.6		2.4	1.0	0.7	1.5			0.9	2.0		1.1	4.4		N/A	N/A
P30D use at		95% CI	(0.5-1.9)		1	(0.7–1.1)	(0.6–1.0)*	(0.2^{-}) $(0.4)^{***}$		1	(0.6–1.0)	(0.4- $(0.7)^{***}$	* (0.5–0.9)			-	(2.4- 4.0) ***		N/A	N/A			(1.6– 2.6) ***
	NDS	$_{aOR}{}^{I}$	1.0		1	0.9	0.8	0.3		1	0.8	0.6	0.7			I	3.1		N/A	N/A			2.1
	El	95% CI	(0.9-3.4)		(1.6– 2.4)	(1.5- 2.1)	(1.6– 2.2)	(0.5- 0.8)		(2.0– 2.6)	(1.2-1.7)	(0.7-1.1)	(0.8-1.6)			(0.5- 0.8)	(1.8–2.2)		N/A	N/A		(0.7- 1.0)	(2.5- 3.1)
		%	1.7		2.0	1.8	1.9	0.6		2.3	1.5	6.0	1.1			0.6	2.0		N/A	N/A		0.9	2.8
		95% CI	#		:	(0.4–1.3)	(0.3- $0.8)^{**}$	#		-	(0.5–1.2)	(0.4–1.2)	#			N/A	N/A			(2.1- $4.9)$		-	(1.3- 3.2) **
	garettes	$_{aOR}{}^{I}$	#		I	0.7	0.5	#			0.8	0.7	#			N/A	N/A		-	3.2		-	2.1
	Cļi	95% CI	#		(1.6– 3.8)	(1.4– 2.5)	$^{(0.9-}_{(1.7)}$	#		(1.6– 2.9)	(0.8-1.6)	(0.5- 1.2)	#			N/A	N/A		(0.9-1.5)	(6.9– 11.5)		(1.0-1.5)	(2.5– 4.3)
		%	#		2.5	1.8	1.2	#		2.2	1.2	0.8	#			N/A	N/A		1.2	8.9		1.2	3.3
		13 %S6	#		I	(0.6–1.7)	(0.4–1.0)	#			(0.7–1.6)	(0.4–1.3)	(0.7–1.9)			V/N	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A	V/N
	tobacco	aOR	#		1	1.0	0.6	#		:	1.1	0.7	1.1			N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
	Any	13 %56	#		(2.0- 4.5)	(2.3- 4.2)	(1.5– 2.8)	#		(2.3- 4.0)	(1.5- 3.0)	(0.7- 1.8)	(1.9– 4.2)			V/N	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A	V/N
		%	#		3.0	3.1	2.1	#		3.0	2.1	1.1	2.9			N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
		Correlates at baseline	Something else	Educational attainment	Less than high school or some high school (no diploma) or GED	High school graduate— diploma	Some college (no degree) or associate degree	Bachelor's degree or more	Annual household income	< \$25,000	\$25,000-\$74,999	\$75,000	Not reported	TOBACCO USE CORRELATES	Use of cigarettes	Never use	Ever use	Use of ENDS	Never use	Ever use	Use of cigars	Never use	Ever use

~
∕
5
Ŧ
5
2
$\underline{\circ}$
-
\sim
\leq
S S S
Mar
Man
Manu
Manus
Manusc
Manuscr
Manuscri
Manuscrip

Author Manuscript

												P30D use :	at follow-u	d										
		Any	tobacco			Ci	garettes			E	NDS			C	ligars			H	ookah			Sn	okeless	
Correlates at baseline	%	95% CI	aOR	95% CI	%	95% CI	${}_{\rm aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{\rm aOR}{}^I$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{\rm aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI	%	95% CI	$_{\rm aOR}{}^{I}$	95% CI
Use of hookah																								
Never use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.2	(0.9-1.5)	1	-	1.1	(1.0-1.3)	1	I	1.2	(1.0-1.4)	-	;	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3	(0.2- 0.3)	:	:
Ever use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.1	(3.2– 5.4)	1.0	(0.6–1.6)	4.5	(4.0- 5.0)	1.7	(1.4- 2.1) ***	4.4	(3.7– 5.2)	1.6	(1.2- 2.0) ***	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.8	(0.7-1.0)	1.5	(1.0–2.3)
Use of smokeless																								
Never use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.4	(1.1-1.7)	1	-	1.3	(1.1-1.4)	1	I	1.3	(1.2-1.6)	1	:	0.6	(0.6– 0.8)	1	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ever use	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.8	$^{(1.0-}_{(3.3)}$	1.3	(0.7–2.2)	2.8	(2.4– 3.4)	1.3	(1.0–1.6)*	3.3	(2.4– 4.6)	1.2	(0.8-1.8)	0.6	$_{0.9)}^{(0.5-}$	0.8	(0.5–1.3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Notes:	,			r										5										

Abbreviations: P30D = past 30-day; ENDS = electronic nicotine delivery system; aOR = adjusted odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; N/A = not applicable

The percentages and odds ratios in the table are based on weighted data.

Overall unweighted number of individuals contributing to the table = 25,384

Tob Control. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 September 25.

Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Any tobacco" = 6,903

Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Cigarettes" = 10,592

Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "ENDS" = 27,087

Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Cigars" = 20,464

Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Hookah" = 30,442

Denominator N (unweighted number of observations) for aOR in "Smokeless" = 35,706

Tobacco product types were categorized into five groups: cigarettes, ENDS (e-cigarettes, e-cigars, e-pipes, and e-hookah at Waves 2 & 3), cigars (i.e., loose study, and smokeless tobacco (i.e., loose study, and study e-cigarettes, e-cigars, e-pipes, and e-hookah at Waves 2 & 3), cigars (i.e., loose study end edge) snuff, dip, spit, chewing tobacco, and snus pouches).

For each of the five tobacco products, and for any tobacco product, use is defined with respect to the given tobacco product/any tobacco product:

Never use is defined as never having used the product, even 1 or 2 times.

P30D use is defined as use in the past 30 days.

The outcome 'initiating P30D' is defined as P30D use at follow-up (vs. no P30D use at follow-up) among never users at baseline.

Since never use at baseline is defined with respect to each tobacco product, never/ever use of 'other' tobacco product at follow-up

GEE logistic regression analyses were used to assess correlates of initiating P30D use at follow-up among never users at baseline over a one-year period of time (i.e., Wave 2 and Wave 2-Wave 3), including up to two change data points per individual and statistically controlling for the correlation among observations from the same individuals. All correlates reflect baseline measurement for each wave pair (e.g., when evaluating change between Wave 1 and Wave 2, the age correlate reflects a person's age at Wave 1, and when evaluating change between Wave 3 and Wave 3, the age correlate reflects a person's age at Wave 2).

/ Analyses adjusted for age group, sex, sexual orientation, race/ethnicity, each tobacco use correlate, educational attainment, income, and wave.

Estimates with RSE >30 or denominator < 50 are suppressed.

** p <0.01 * p <0.05

*** p <0.001