

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

- 3 Webb BJ, Peltan ID, Jensen P, et al. Clinical criteria for COVID-19-associated hyperinflammatory syndrome: a cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2020; published online Sept 29. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30343-X.
- 4 Crayne C, Cron RQ. Pediatric macrophage activation syndrome, recognizing the tip of the iceberg. *Eur J Rheumatol* 2020; **7** (suppl 1): S13–20.
- 5 Henderson LA, Canna SW, Schulert GS, et al. On the alert for cytokine storm: immunopathology in COVID-19. Arthritis Rheumatol 2020; 72: 1059–63.
- 6 Hutchinson M, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ. Haemophagocytic lymphohisticytosis-an underrecognized hyperinflammatory syndrome. *Rheumatology* 2019; **58** (suppl 6): vi23–30.
- 7 Horby P, Lim WS, Enberson JR, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19—preliminary report. N Engl J Med 2020; published online July 17. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM0a2021436.
- 8 Schulert GS, Minoia F, Bohnsack J, et al. Effect of biologic therapy on clinical and laboratory features of macrophage activation syndrome associated with systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Arthritis Care Res 2018; **70:** 409–19.
- 9 Eloseily EMA, Minoia F, Crayne CB, Beukelman T, Ravelli A, Cron RQ. Ferritin to erythrocyte sedimentation rate ratio: simple measure to identify macrophage activation syndrome in systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis. ACR Open Rheumatol 2019; 1: 345–49.
- 10 Schulert GS, Cron RQ. The genetics of macrophage activation syndrome. Genes Immun 2020; 21: 169–81.

The opening salvo of anti-complement therapy against COVID-19

The COVID-19 pandemic remains unrelenting as the autumn of 2020 approaches. Despite many clinical trials underway to find effective treatments for COVID-19, few studies have yielded positive results. Increasing evidence shows diffuse activation of the complement pathway in severe COVID-19 infections, from increased serum levels to widespread deposition in autopsy specimens.¹⁻⁴ Initial case reports and case series using complement inhibitors in COVID-19 have shown promising results.⁵⁻⁸ The complement pathway, a key effector of the innate immune system, has emerged as a nidus of investigation in this pandemic.⁹

In brief, the complement cascade can be activated by three pathways (classical, lectin, and alternative), which converge on the terminal complement pathway at C3. The terminal pathway results in anaphylatoxins, C3a and C5a, and the membrane attack complex (MAC), C5b-9. The anaphylatoxins are potent activators of neutrophils and monocytes. The MAC disrupts pathogen cell membranes. The dysregulation of this pathway is hypothesised to underlie severe COVID-19 complications.

In *The Lancet Rheumatology*, Alexander Vlaar and colleagues¹⁰ report the first randomised controlled clinical trial investigating a complement pathway inhibitor for severe COVID-19. 30 patients (22 [73%] men and eight [27%] women) were randomly assigned to receive IFX-1, an investigational drug that inhibits C5a (n=15), or standard of care (n=15). The primary endpoint of mean relative change in the ratio of partial pressure of arterial oxygen to fractional concentration of oxygen in inspired air (PaO₂/FiO₂) on day 5 was not significantly different between groups (difference –24% [95% CI

-58 to 9], p=0.15). The heterogeneity of oxygenation levels in COVID-19 made this a problematic endpoint, as the authors discuss. Nevertheless, the trial shows the safety and tolerability of IFX-1 in patients with severe COVID-19—an important milestone.

The secondary outcomes reported are notable. In IFX-1-treated patients, there were fewer pulmonary embolisms (two [13%] patients in the IFX-1 group vs six [40%] in the control group) and fewer cases of renal impairment (none vs two) than in the control group. The IFX-1 group had a significantly lower estimated 28-day mortality rate versus the control group (adjusted hazard ratio for death 0.65 [95% CI 0.10–4.14]). This small exploratory study does not have enough power to draw conclusions about these endpoints, but data certainly are hypothesis generating.

An important caveat is that pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic analysis, including C5a, are absent in this study and are planned to be published separately. Investigators using the C5 complement pathway inhibitors eculizumab and ravulizumab have significantly increased their dose and dosing frequency in the acute setting of COVID-19 compared with the doses approved for use in atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome. Whether IFX-1 in this trial successfully inhibited complement C5a in the setting of severe COVID-19 is uncertain at this time.

The next step for IFX-1 is proceeding with the phase 3 trial, informed by the trial by Vlaar and colleagues. The randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial aims to enrol 360 patients with COVID-19 who have been intubated less than 48 h, with 28-day all-cause mortality as the primary endpoint.



Published Online September 28, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2665-9913(20)30353-2 See Articles page e764 Other clinical trials are underway investigating other inhibitors of the terminal complement pathway against COVID-19, including C3 inhibitors—AMY-101 (NCT04395456) and APL-9 (NCT04402060); C5 inhibitors—eculizumab (NCT04346797 and NCT04355494), ravulizumab (NCT04369469 and NCT04390464), and zilucoplan (NCT04382755); and a C5a receptor inhibitor avdoralimab (NCT04371367). Furthermore, C1 esterase inhibitors, which block the classical complement pathway, are under investigation—conestat alfa (NCT04414631) and ruconest (NCT04530136).

Beyond the fundamental question of whether anticomplement therapy improves outcomes in COVID-19, the ongoing clinical trials hopefully will address both critical and nuanced questions in the campaign against COVID-19 over the ensuing months.

First, what is the optimal time to start anti-complement therapy during COVID-19 infection? Early use in the viral phase of COVID-19 might block critical antiviral responses of the innate immune system. Late use in patients on mechanical ventilation might be after significant complement-mediated injury has already occurred.

Second, which complement pathway protein is the most effective target against COVID-19?

Third, will treatment with anti-complement therapies increase the risk of complications from other infections? Although no safety issues were recorded in this trial, larger studies or different targets might have different risk profiles. Scarcity of MAC can increase the risk of encapsulated bacterial infections.

Fourth, does inhibition of complement decrease thrombotic events or other complications?

Fifth, is complement inhibition beneficial to any patient with severe COVID-19 or only to a subset of patients with a genetic predisposition to complement pathway dysregulation? Sixth, the trial by Vlaar and colleagues¹⁰ was done before data showing benefit in COVID-19 from remdesivir and dexamethasone. Whether anti-complement therapy will offer incremental or synergistic benefits with these therapies remains to be established.

Complement inhibitors are promising potential therapies for COVID-19 and, indeed, might emerge as crucial therapies in other severe viral infections. Future studies will show whether IFX-1 or another anti-complement therapy could be an effective approach against COVID-19. Ideclare no competing interests.

Courtney M Campbell

courtney.campbell@osumc.edu

Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

- 1 Carvelli J, Demaria O, Vély F, et al. Association of COVID-19 inflammation with activation of the C5a-C5aR1 axis. Nature 2020; published online July 29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2600-6.
- Shen B, Yi X, Sun Y, et al. Proteomic and metabolomic characterization of COVID-19 patient sera. *Cell* 2020; **182**: 59–72.e15.
- 3 Cugno M, Meroni PL, Gualtierotti R, et al. Complement activation in patients with COVID-19: a novel therapeutic target. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020; 146: 215–17.
- Magro C, Mulvey JJ, Berlin D, et al. Complement associated microvascular injury and thrombosis in the pathogenesis of severe COVID-19 infection: a report of five cases. Transl Res 2020; 220: 1–13.
- 5 Mastaglio S, Ruggeri A, Risitano AM, et al. The first case of COVID-19 treated with the complement C3 inhibitor AMY-101. *Clin Immunol* 2020; **215:** 108450.
- 6 Diurno F, Numis FG, Porta G, et al. Eculizumab treatment in patients with COVID-19: preliminary results from real life ASL Napoli 2 Nord experience. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020; 24: 4040–47.
- Laurence J, Mulvey JJ, Seshadri M, et al. Anti-complement C5 therapy with eculizumab in three cases of critical COVID-19. *Clin Immunol* 2020; **219:** 108555.
- 8 Araten DJ, Belmont HM, Schaefer-Cutillo J, Iyengar A, Mattoo A, Reddy R. Mild clinical course of COVID-19 in 3 patients receiving therapeutic monoclonal antibodies targeting C5 complement for hematologic disorders. Am J Case Rep 2020; 21: e927418.
- 9 Campbell CM, Kahwash R. Will complement inhibition be the new target in treating COVID-19-related systemic thrombosis? *Circulation* 2020; 141: 1739–41.
- 10 Vlaar APJ, de Bruin S, Busch M, et al. Anti-C5a antibody IFX-1 (vilobelimab) treatment versus best supportive care for patients with severe COVID-19 (PANAMO): an exploratory, open-label, phase 2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2020; published online Sept 28. https://doi. org/10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30340-4.

Optimal temporal artery biopsy length for diagnosis of giant cell arteritis

Published Online August 20, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2665-9913(20)30290-3 See Articles page e774 Giant cell arteritis is a major type of large vessel vasculitis.¹ Abrupt-onset headache with or without scalp tenderness, temporal artery abnormalities, and claudication (in the jaw, tongue, and limbs) might be clinically prominent, accompanied by constitutional symptoms and, in about half of patients, polymyalgia rheumatica.² Patients with giant cell arteritis show no specific laboratory features, but most have elevated acute phase reactants at disease onset. The 2018 update of the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations