W J S C World Journal of Stem Cells

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Stem Cells 2020 September 26; 12(9): 938-951

DOI: 10.4252/wisc.v12.i9.938

ISSN 1948-0210 (online)

REVIEW

Role of the CXCR4-SDF1-HMGB1 pathway in the directional migration of cells and regeneration of affected organs

Nazmul Haque, Ismail M Fareez, Liew Fong Fong, Chanchal Mandal, Noor Hayaty Abu Kasim, Kranthi Raja Kacharaju, Pratiwi Soesilawati

ORCID number: Nazmul Haque 0000-0002-8191-8993; Ismail M Fareez 0000-0001-6993-5339: Liew Fong Fong 0000-0001-9165-2343; Chanchal Mandal 0000-0003-2865-2847; Noor Hayaty Abu Kasim 0000-0002-8889-842X; Kranthi Raja Kacharaju 0000-0002-2057-9473; Pratiwi Soesilawati 0000-0002-1141-5645

Author contributions: Fareez IM and Fong LF contributed equally to this work; Haque N contributed to the conception and design of the study; Mandel C, Kacharaju KR and Soesilawati P drafted and wrote the article; Haque N, Fareez IM, Fong LF and Abu Kasim NH contributed to the writing of the manuscript, made critical revisions related to relevant intellectual content of the manuscript; Haque N and Abu Kasim NH approved the final version of the article.

Supported by MAHSA University Grant, No. RP158-05/19.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative

Nazmul Haque, Ismail M Fareez, Liew Fong Fong, Department of Oral Biology and Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, MAHSA University, Selangor 42610, Malaysia

Chanchal Mandal, Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Discipline, Life Science, Khulna University, Khulna 9208, Bangladesh

Noor Hayaty Abu Kasim, Faculty of Dentistry, University Kebangsaan Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur 50300, Malaysia

Noor Hayaty Abu Kasim, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 411007, Indonesia

Kranthi Raja Kacharaju, Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry MAHSA University, Selangor 42610, Malaysia

Pratiwi Soesilawati, Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

Corresponding author: Nazmul Haque, PhD, Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral Biology and Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, MAHSA University, Jalan SP 2, Bandar Saujana Putra, Selangor 42610, Malaysia. nazmul@mahsa.edu.my; tanna.bge@gmail.com

Abstract

In recent years, several studies have reported positive outcomes of cell-based therapies despite insufficient engraftment of transplanted cells. These findings have created a huge interest in the regenerative potential of paracrine factors released from transplanted stem or progenitor cells. Interestingly, this notion has also led scientists to question the role of proteins in the secretome produced by cells, tissues or organisms under certain conditions or at a particular time of regenerative therapy. Further studies have revealed that the secretomes derived from different cell types contain paracrine factors that could help to prevent apoptosis and induce proliferation of cells residing within the tissues of affected organs. This could also facilitate the migration of immune, progenitor and stem cells within the body to the site of inflammation. Of these different paracrine factors present within the secretome, researchers have given proper consideration to stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF1) that plays a vital role in tissue-specific migration of the cells needed for regeneration. Recently researchers recognized that SDF1 could facilitate site-specific migration of cells by regulating SDF1-



WJSC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Commons Attribution

NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/licenses /bv-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Received: March 3, 2020 Peer-review started: March 3, 2020 First decision: June 7, 2020 Revised: June 18, 2020 Accepted: July 18, 2020 Article in press: July 18, 2020 Published online: September 26, 2020

P-Reviewer: Huang YC, Politi L, Tang JM S-Editor: Yan JP L-Editor: Webster JR P-Editor: Xing YX



CXCR4 and/or HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 pathways which is vital for tissue regeneration. Hence in this study, we have attempted to describe the role of different types of cells within the body in facilitating regeneration while emphasizing the HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 pathway that orchestrates the migration of cells to the site where regeneration is needed.

Key Words: C-X-C motif chemokine 12; Mesenchymal stem cells; Monocytes; Neutrophils; Peripheral blood mononuclear cells; Receptor for advanced glycation end products

©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: In the last few decades, cell-based regenerative therapy has received considerable attention for the treatment of degenerative diseases or the regeneration of injured organs. However, poor cell retention is considered a major drawback associated with the shortterm regenerative benefits. Furthermore, the short-term regenerative benefits are linked to paracrine factors secreted by the transplanted stem cells. To improve regenerative outcomes, researchers have identified the role of stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF1) as a key chemotactic factor that can facilitate site-specific migration and retention of transplanted cells, and stem or progenitor cells within the body by activating the SDF1-CXCR4 or HMGB1-SFD1-CXCR4 pathways.

Citation: Haque N, Fareez IM, Fong LF, Mandal C, Abu Kasim NH, Kacharaju KR, Soesilawati P. Role of the CXCR4-SDF1-HMGB1 pathway in the directional migration of cells and regeneration of affected organs. World J Stem Cells 2020; 12(9): 938-951 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-0210/full/v12/i9/938.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4252/wjsc.v12.i9.938

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, non-communicable diseases, especially degenerative diseases are becoming more prevalent worldwide, which also contributes to major morbidity. During the past two decades, stem cell-based regenerative therapy has been considered hopeful in addressing the unmet needs of treating degenerative diseases^[1].

Among the different tools of regenerative medicine "stem cells" are considered the most promising due to their self-renewal capability and multi-differentiation potential. However, recent studies have shown that the positive outcomes of different types of stem cell-based regenerative therapies are not directly correlated to the engraftment of transplanted cells^[2,3]. These findings have created a huge interest in the regenerative potential of paracrine factors and have led scientists to reveal the regenerative potential of proteins in the secretomes. Further studies have revealed the mitogenic, angiogenic, anti-apoptotic, anti-scarring and chemoattractant features of secretomes or cell culture supernatants that make them a potential tool for regenerative therapy^[1,4]. Furthermore, the regenerative potential of the secretome from adult stem cells^[5], freshly isolated healthy peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC)^[6] and apoptosisinduced PBMC^[7,8] has been acknowledged by several researchers. Secretomes from stem and progenitor cells have been found to be favorable for regenerating tissues or treating several disorders including neuronal disorders^[9], vascular diseases^[10] and cutaneous wounds^[11]. The growing evidence on the role of paracrine factors (cytokines, chemokines and growth factors) in the regeneration of affected organs has led to the introduction of cell culture supernatants or secretomes as a new therapeutic tool of regenerative medicine.

Regeneration is a complex process and several types of cells namely lymphocytes, monocytes, neutrophils, endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), and tissue resident stem cells are involved in the process of regeneration. Recent studies reported that stromal cell-derived factor-1a (SDF1a) present in the secretome increases proliferation, viability, migration and homing of stem and progenitor cells; helps lymphoid tissue development and differentiation; and inhibits apoptosis of cells^[4,12]. All these features are highly important for regeneration of damaged organs^[13]. Furthermore, SDF1 and C-X-C-X-C



chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR-4) play a vital role in the high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1)-mediated inflammatory cell recruitment to the site of damaged tissue which is also vital for tissue repair and regeneration^[14]. Hence, in this review we have attempted to describe the role of different types of cells in regeneration while emphasizing activation of the HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 pathway which is considered a key pathway that regulates the directional migration of all cells and facilitates the process of regeneration.

PBMC IN REGENERATION

PBMC are widely used in preclinical research and applications in vaccine trials, source of biomarkers in various infectious and chronic diseases, and are a useful tool in studying various aspects of pathology and biology in vitro^[15]. In addition, PBMC are an easily accessible source of different types of adult stem and progenitor cells, such as HSCs, MSCs, osteoclast precursor cells, and EPCs^[16]. Due to the content of different types of adult stem cells, in a favorable microenvironment the potential to differentiate into several tissue specific cells including mature blood cells, endothelial cells, hepatocytes, cardiomyocytes, smooth muscle cells, epithelial cells, neural cells, osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and myofibroblasts has been shown^[16-18]. Furthermore, compared to bone marrow (BM) or other multipotent cells sources, the isolation of PBMC is less invasive. However, a series of standard procedures for PBMC collection, isolation, cryopreservation and preparation are crucial for their use in cell-based regenerative therapy^[15]. PBMC contain terminally differentiated immune cells, namely monocytes and lymphocytes that also a play vital role in tissue remodeling and regeneration^[19-21].

Monocytes

Monocytes that contribute approximately 4%-10% of leukocytes in our bloodstream are highly plastic in nature^[22]. Monocytes and macrophages are the largest types of white blood cells and are involved in inflammation and elimination of harmful foreign substances^[23,24]. As part of the innate immunity they are involved in tissue homeostasis and facilitate wound healing by removing apoptotic and necrotic cells^[24].

In regenerative tissues, macrophages are highly plastic and play a decisive role in tissue repair and regeneration^[25]. In response to injury and subsequent healing, macrophages are capable of polarization towards a spectrum of phenotypes. Based on the environmental cues and molecular mediators, these cells will differentiate into either pro-inflammatory type I macrophage (M1) or anti-inflammatory type II macrophage (M2) phenotypes^[25-27].

Studies have reported that M1 macrophages infiltrate tissues at the earlier stages of acute injury to promote the clearance of necrotic cells or tissue debris. Moreover, following activation M1 macrophages secrete a wide range of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, IL-18, IL-23, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 and macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1^[28]. Whereas, M2 macrophages appear within the injured tissue at later stages and release high amounts of anti-inflammatory paracrine factors such as IL-10 and transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β)^[25,29]. They are also capable of secreting extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling components such as fibronectin, osteopontin, fibrin cross-linker transglutaminase and promote tissue healing^[30,31]. Although there are controversies regarding the sequential presence of the two different macrophages within tissues, this is because of the dynamic shift in macrophage polarization or the recruitment of new monocytes which do not invalidate the role of macrophages in tissue regeneration.

Lymphocytes

Among the different types of lymphocytes, the regulatory T-cells (Treg) are involved in the repair and regeneration of affected tissues and organ systems. Following injury, Treg are recruited to the site to regulate inflammation and modulate the process of regeneration^[32]. Following the initiation of inflammation, Treg inhibit recruitment of neutrophils by secreting IL-10 which in turn helps to minimize the secretion of inflammatory cytokines namely IL-1 β , IL-6, interferon (IFN)- γ , and TNF- α . Moreover, Treg induce apoptosis of neutrophils and clear debris by activation of M1 macrophages. In addition, they play a vital role in macrophage polarization towards the M2 phenotype by secreting anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4, IL-10, and IL-13 which eventually support tissue repair and regeneration^[32,33]. However, the



regenerative function of Treg follows a tissue specific manner. For instance, in skeletal muscle, Treg infiltrate the tissues in response to IL-33 following activation of the M1 population and removal of necrotic tissues by these cells. Following infiltration, Treg inhibit M1-mediated inflammation and shift the polarization of macrophages towards the M2 population^[32]. Whereas, in heart tissues, recruitment of the higher number of Treg induces polarization of macrophages towards the M2 phenotype that help to inhibit inflammation, excessive matrix degradation, and adverse remodeling which eventually reduce ventricular ruptures and increases the rate of survival^[34].

PERIPHERAL BLOOD POLYMORPHONUCLEAR CELLS IN REGENERATION

Neutrophils are the most abundantly found white blood cells in the human peripheral circulation and contribute approximately 50%-70% to all circulatory white blood cells. They are the first leukocyte population recruited to the site of injury and regulate the process of tissue regeneration positively or negatively^[32]. However, the role of neutrophils in the process of regeneration is microenvironment-dependent and context-specific.

For example, in the case of skeletal muscle injury, neutrophils impair the restoration and function of muscles by releasing hypochlorous acid, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase, and other cytokines^[32,35,36]. Downregulation of lung regeneration following ischemia-reperfusion by neutrophils has also been reported^[37]. It is noteworthy that neutrophils have also shown positive effects on the repair of lung epithelium and nerve cells^[38,39].

MESENCHYMAL AND OTHER TISSUE-SPECIFIC STEM CELLS IN REGENERATION

Apart from HSCs, other adult stem cells or tissue-specific progenitor cells such as MSCs, EPCs, mammary stem cells, intestinal stem cells, and neural stem cells are found in adult tissues^[40]. Tissue-specific stem cells maintain tissue homeostasis, while MSCs can differentiate into a variety of cell types. MSCs, in particular, have promising cell sources, as they can be harvested from various sources, such as BM, umbilical cord (UC), adipose tissue, and dental tissues^[41-43]. Unlike embryonic stem cells (ES cells or ESCs), which are pluripotent, MSCs are multipotent cells which possess limited differentiation potential. Nevertheless, their potential to differentiate into osteoblasts and osteocytes is very well known. There is also accumulating evidence regarding their robust potential in tissue healing and regenerative medicine, in both preclinical and clinical studies[44-46]. According to a recent PubMed search conducted on November 2019, there were 110 MSC-based human clinical trials exploring the safety and efficacy of stem cells for tissue healing and the treatment of degenerative diseases. However, most of these trials were phase I and phase II, or a mixture of phase I/II studies. Whereas, phase III or phase II/III trials which investigate the long-term safety of MSC-based therapies prior to full establishment of MSCs in clinical practice are poorly documented. Thus, BM-derived MSCs (BMSCs) have been the most studied stem cells in cell therapy and tissue repair for the last 5 years, due to their multilineage differentiation potential^[47].

However, it is worthwhile noting that different MSC populations exhibit tissuespecific characteristics such as the expression of specific cell surface markers and transcription factors. In response to injury signals, these MSCs can potentially migrate from their niche to reach target tissues through vessel walls in the peripheral circulation^[48]. Many studies have been conducted to investigate both the chemical and mechanical factors that influence the homing mechanism and engraftment of MSCs into local areas of damaged sites. The chemical factors that affect the trafficking process are the presence of a variety of chemokines, growth factors and cytokines, whereas the mechanical factors involved in the process include ECM stiffness, vascular cyclic stretching and hemodynamic forces or shear stress on the vessel walls^[49]. These factors make up the vital characteristics of MSCs and result in their promising effect in tissue healing and differentiation.

The first characteristic of MSCs is their multi-lineage differentiation potential. MSCs are capable of differentiating into several mesoderm lineages, including adipogenic, osteogenic, chondrogenic and myogenic lineages, depending on the multitude of



stimuli and inhibitors present in the tissue microenvironment^[50]. The microenvironment plays an important role in the activation or downregulation of transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes responsible for the induction and progression of tissue-specific differentiation^[51]. MSCs can also generate neural cells in the ectodermal layer, and hepatic cells and pancreatic cells in the endodermal layer^[52]. The study by Chen et al^[53] was among the first to explore the ability of MSCs to differentiate into functional islet-like cells that might play an important role in the future treatment of diabetes. MSCs cultured in stiff scaffolds can easily differentiate into osteoblasts, and showed the potential for myogenic, adipogenic and neurogenic differentiation, respectively, but with a decrease in elasticity. Recently, Jung et al^[54] demonstrated that ECM proteins in 3D composites were able to trigger differentiation of BMSCs into mesodermal lineages with enhanced adipogenic differentiation and IL-6 expression compared to that in 2D ECM proteins.

Secondly, MSCs are capable of dynamic interactions with their microenvironment and secrete a wide variety of paracrine factors that are required for tissue recovery or wound healing. Several studies refuted the hypothesis that direct trans-differentiation or cell fusion of MSCs was the principal mechanism underlying their therapeutic action in tissue regeneration^[55]. Indeed, MSCs transplantation regulated released factors in experimental models of tissue injury, which was largely associated with suppression of immune and inflammatory reactions, inhibition of apoptosis, and enhancement of cell proliferation and angiogenesis, thereby promoting regeneration of the tissue^[56]. Apart of MSCs-mediated secretion of these paracrine and autocrine factors, extracellular vesicles such as exosomes and microvesicles may also regulate these functional roles^[57,58]. The MSC-mediated factors released at high levels include the following: (1) Growth factors and their receptors [i.e., granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins (IGFBP3, IGFBP4, IGFBP7) and bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP-2)]; (2) Extracellular matrix remodelers/mediators [i.e., periostin, fibronectin, collagen, TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 2 (TIMP-2), metalloproteinase inhibitors, and decorin]; and (3) Immune system signaling regulatory proteins (*i.e.*, TGF-β, MCP-1, IL-6, and IL-8)^[49,59]. Various studies have demonstrated that the released pro-inflammatory cytokines up-regulate the efficacy of MSC-mediated immunomodulation and functional improvement in microvascular injury^[60], inflammatory liver disease^[61], osteoarthritis^[62], spinal cord injury^[63], brain cancer^[64], ischemic limb regeneration^[65], and asthmatic^[66] models. Taken together, it is well accepted that the combination of MSCs with these trophic factors can modulate their behavior during inflammation and tissue injury. Research should now focus on the strategies to manipulate and modulate the secretion of these molecules in the infused or implanted MSCs microenvironment to enhance their functional role^[1].

Finally, MSCs exhibit immunomodulatory properties[67-69]. The immunomodulatory properties of MSCs proved effective in treating various immune disorders in both in vivo and human studies. MSCs modulate the functions of almost all cells of both the innate and adaptive immune systems and induce an anti-inflammatory phenotype^[59]. MSCs interact with a variety of immune cells and have the capacity to inhibit the excessive response of B cells, T cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, and natural killer cells^[68]. Nevertheless, the underlying molecular and cellular mechanisms behind MSCmediated immunomodulation have not been fully elucidated. MSCs have been shown to modulate the immune response by secreting soluble factors [e.g., IL-6, M-CSF, IL-10, TGF- β , HGF, and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2)] in the presence of adhesion molecules [*i.e.*, vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM)-1, intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1, and lymphocyte function-associated antigen (LFA)-3^[70-72]. Through a synergy of cell contact-dependent mechanisms and these soluble factors, MSCs are able to initiate the T-cell interactions that play a prominent role in their immunomodulatory potential^[71,73]. Furthermore, anti-inflammatory monocytes/macrophages and Tregs are also important in MSC-mediated immunosuppression^[69,74]. Studies also linked the low immunogenic properties of MSCs to the lower level of expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I antigens, and lack of MHC class II and costimulatory molecules such as CD80, CD86, and CD40^[75,76]. Although the immunomodulatory effect of MSCs is hypothesized to be via MSC-secreted cytokines in many studies, most studies documented that MSCs act differently depending on the local microenvironment and the presence of inflammatory cytokines during the pretreatment of MSCs. An understanding of the immune suppressive role of MSCs would enhance prospective clinical applications of these cells.

Thus, the fate of MSCs is vastly influenced by their environment which includes mechanical or physical stimulation, growth factors, cell density, and cell-cell



attachment or interactions. However, this multipotency of MSCs could also be due to another reason which has been widely discussed. In fact, a debate is currently ongoing regarding the 'stem cell' status of MSCs^[77]. It is postulated that MSCs are purely specific adult stem cells, which contradicts findings that MSCs are a diverse mixture of many specific lineage progenitor cells. However, these shortcomings provide a good reason for the continuous research on MSCs in stem-cell based therapy.

CELL MIGRATION IS ESSENTIAL FOR TISSUE REGENERATION

Progenitors and MSCs migrate and initiate the homing mechanism in response to inflammatory signaling molecules and corresponding receptors around the injured tissue. MSCs are therapeutically capable of reaching and homing to sites of inflammation by various routes such as intravenous (IV), intra-arterial (IA), intraparenchymal, intracoronary (IC) local administration and into the subarachnoid and epidural spaces^[48]. From the systemic circulation, MSCs migrate specifically to damaged tissue sites and exert their functional effects locally under a variety of pathologic conditions. Luger et al^[78] demonstrated that intravenously administered fluorescent and radiolabeled MSCs homed to regions of myocardial injury to suppress the progressive deterioration in left ventricular function and adverse remodeling in mice, and it is thought to be a feasible and effective therapeutic strategy for the treatment of patients with large infarcts and ischemic cardiomyopathy. MSCs homing involves various chemokines and their receptors (i.e., SDF1, CCL5, CXCR4, CXCR5, CXCR6, CCR2, CCR3, and CCR4), matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [MMP-2 and membrane type 1 MMP (MT1-MMP)], receptor tyrosine kinase dependent growth factors [e.g., hepatocyte growth factor-Mesenchymal Epithelial Transition Factor (c-Met) proto-oncogene/receptor tyrosine kinase (HGF/c-Met) axes, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1)] and some other adhesion molecules (i.e., integrin β1, integrin α4, and VCAM)^[79-82]. These homing signals are released by injured cells and/or respondent immune cells. Besides these homing signals, other molecules are implicated in different steps of the homing process such as PGE2 and hematopoietic cell E-/L-selectin ligand (HCELL) that are functionally involved in cell migration to the injured tissue^[83]. These factors could be a feasible strategy to facilitate therapeutic delivery of MSCs to targeted injured tissue.

Of the different chemokines and chemokine-mediated pathways, the SDF1-CXCR4 and HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 axis have received considerable attention due to their potential in-site specific directional migration of stem and progenitor cells. The role of HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 in regeneration of injured tissues or organs is discussed further below.

HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 AXIS IN FACILITATING TISSUE-SPECIFIC MIGRATION

HMGB1 in orchestrating the process of migration and regeneration

HMGB1 protein is a highly conserved non-histone nuclear protein that binds to DNA and regulates the expression of genes and the chromosomal architecture^[84]. Extracellular HMGB1 is actively secreted from activated or stressed immune cells, while passively secreted from necrotic tissues^[85,86]. Following secretion into the extracellular space, HMGB1 exerts chemotactic activity or acts as a damage-associated molecular pattern molecule^[87]. Indeed, the overall signaling mechanism by HMGB1 interacting with target cells needs to be elucidated for future therapeutic intervention^[88].

Wound healing is a complex process that involves the ECM, cytokines, growth factors and several types of cells. The steps involved in the process of wound healing include hemostasis, inflammation, cell migration and proliferation, wound contraction, and remodeling^[89,90]. During the inflammatory phase, vasodilation followed by early vasoconstriction which is mediated by histamine, leukotrienes, and prostaglandins, increases capillary permeability and cell migration into the wound site^[9]. Neutrophils are the first among the infiltrated cells to the site of injury followed by monocytes and lymphocytes. Initiation of leukocyte migration is mediated by several autocrine and paracrine factors. In addition, proteases are involved in the elimination of denatured ECM components. Following infiltration into the site of injury, monocytes transform into macrophages and clear debris from the area, release



cytokines and growth factors, such as FGF, TGF-β, PDGF, and EGF that help to initiate the formation of granulation tissue^[92]. HMGB1 also acts as an important chemotactic factor that regulates the directional migration of monocytes and neutrophils^[93]. Following injury or inflammation, HMGB1 is released into the extracellular space and triggers the secretion of TNF, IL-1α, IL-6, and IL-8 from monocytes, macrophages and neutrophils^[94-96].

Upon interaction of HMGB1 with the advanced glycation products (RAGE), toll-like receptor (TLR) 2, TLR4, and TLR9, activate pro-inflammatory responses thereby facilitating cell migration and the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Figure 1)^[97-99]. In 2018, Xue *et al*^[100] showed that the HMGB1/RAGE axis mediated migration of neural stem cells (NSCs) by the formation of filopodia which was further linked to the activation of RAGE/Rac and CDC42 or the RAGE/MAPK signaling cascade.

SDF1 in upregulation of the HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 axis

SDF1a, known as C-X-C motif chemokine 12 (CXCL12), is a chemotactic factor encoded by the CXCL12 gene on chromosome 10^[101]. Studies have reported the therapeutic potential of the SDF1-CXCR4 axis in tissue regeneration. SDF1 is capable of activation, mobilization, homing and retention of HSCs, MSCs and several progenitor cells^[80,102-104]. SDF1 is able to bind to CXCR4 and CXCR7. However, the SDF1-CXCR4 axis induces the homing process by regulating the cellular secretion and cell adhesion molecules, while SDF1-CXCR7 is involved in angiogenesis and tumor development^[105].

Principally, the binding of chemokine SDF1 to the chemokine receptor CXCR4 plays an important role in homeostatic regulation of leukocyte trafficking, hematopoiesis, organogenesis, cell differentiation and tissue regeneration in response to other molecules that are involved in triggering inflammation^[14,106]. The mechanism of MSCs mobilization mediated by HMGB1 is analogous to the recruitment of inflammatory cells to injured tissues for leukocyte trafficking and homing (Figure 1). As mentioned above, HMGB1 acts as a damage-associated molecular pattern which is released either from necrotic cells or by secretion from activated immune cells, hepatocytes, enterocytes, and possibly several other types of cells under distress^[107]. Stress conditions that promote HMGB1 secretion include hypoxia^[108], lethal irradiation^[109], treatment with specific antitumor drugs^[110] or through regulation of autophagy^[111]. HMGB1-induced cell migration requires both IκB kinase (IKK)-β and IKKα-dependent nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activation. IKKβ-mediated activation of NF-κB maintains expression of RAGE, while continuous production of SDF1 is ensured by IKKadependent NF-KB activation^[112,113]. Moreover, HMGB1 induces both physical and functional interactions between molecules that prevent the degradation of SDF1^[114].

In 2012, Kew *et al*^[115] proposed that the SDF1-CXCR4 axis works as a co-receptor signal for RAGE receptor-dependent HMGB1 migration responses. Furthermore, SDF1 binding to CXCR4 can also induce CXCR4-TCR heterodimerization, which in turn can enhance gene transcription, cytokine production, increased calcium ion concentrations, and could facilitate cell migration. However, it is possible that the SDF1-CXCR4 axis might have other indirect effects on the regulation of cell migration such as enhancing HMGB1 binding to RAGE, which require further investigation.

TLR and RAGE dependent or independent activation of the HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 axis

There is ample evidence of the capability of stem cells to regulate numerous growth factors, cytokines and chemokines. Chemokines, specifically, regulate cell locomotion and integrin function by binding to seven transmembrane domain receptors coupled to G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR)s, which are heterotrimeric GTP-binding proteins, that are differentially expressed in various cell types^[48,107]. In addition, there is always a need to assess the consequences of the combined activity of these chemokines and other inflammatory molecules to control appropriate tissue distribution of distinct leukocyte subsets under normal and pathological conditions. One of the interesting insights in stem cell research is the effect of such paracrine factors in the HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 signaling pathway during tissue regeneration.

Extracellular HMGB1 can interact with different molecules to dictate their biologic effects. The role of HMGB1 as a chemokine or cytokine is determined by its oxidative state (Figure 1). The role of extracellular HMGB1 to promote cell migration was first reported in smooth muscle cells in 2010^[116]. Similar involvement was also reported in different cell types in the same year by Rauvala and Rouhiainen^[117]. Studies showed that HMGB1-induced cell migration requires the formation of a heterocomplex with

Raisbideng® WJSC | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 Schematic diagram of the dynamic HMGB1–CXCL12–SDF1 axis for accelerated tissue regeneration. Disulfide (oxidized) HMGB1 usually binds to RAGE and TLR and regulates the expression of genes with pro- or anti-inflammatory properties and partial chemotactic properties. Whereas, fully reduced HMGB1 released from necrotic or stressed cells forms a heterocomplex with SDF1 secreted from activated immune cells or from cells within the injured tissues. Later, this heterocomplex binds to the CXCR4 receptors on the cells and facilitates site-specific migration^[123-125]. MSC: Mesenchymal stem cells.

SDF1 and further binding with CXCR4, and not with RAGE, TLR2, or TLR4. Furthermore, it was also reported that HMGB1 does not affect migration by other chemokines such as CXCL8, CCL2, CCL7, CCL19, and CCL21^[14].

The bonding chemistry between SDF1 and HMGB1 was analyzed by NMR chemical shift mapping and revealed that most of the amino acids present in SDF1 have the ability to bind HMGB1 or its individual HMG boxes^[14]. Each HMGB1 molecule has two HMG boxes and thereby can attach two SDF1 molecules at a time. Interestingly, the first few N-terminal residues of the SDF1 molecule do not attach to the HMGB1 molecule and remain free. These free residues can access deep inside the CXCR4 transmembrane domain to initiate signaling cascades^[118]. As the HMGB1-SDF1 heterocomplex can present two SDF1 ligand molecules to dimers of the CXCR4 receptor, this heterocomplex would be more efficient than SDF1 alone in inducing cellular migration^[119]. Alternatively, the HMGB1-SDF1 heterocomplex may help to unlock the CXCR4 binding site to promote SDF1 binding, or help lock in SDF1 into the CXCR4 transmembrane domain by providing direct HMGB1-CXCR4 contacts.

HMGB1 induces changes in SDF1 residues that are responsible for the activation of CXCR4, the SDF1 receptor. An analysis using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) demonstrated that there are different conformational rearrangements of CXCR4 homodimers triggered by SDF1 alone or in complex with HMGB1^[14]. It has also been hypothesized that the formation of a heterocomplex between HMGB1 and SDF1 acts through CXCR4 which promotes the recruitment of monocytes to the injury site^[14]. The interaction of locally produced SDF1 and its receptor CXCR4 expressed on the surface of MSCs plays an important role in the homing of transplanted cells. The binding of SDF1 to both CXCR4 and CXCR7 is also responsible for the production of paracrine mediators, including VEGF, IGF-1, β -FGF and HGF that exert mitogenic, pro-angiogenic, anti-apoptotic, and anti-inflammatory effects^[120]. Hypoxia has been shown to enhance the expression of both SDF1 receptors, CXCR4 and CXCR7, in MSCs. Liu et al^[121] demonstrated that SDF1a is upregulated in ischemic kidneys during reduced oxygen tension. Hypoxia induces expression of CXCR4 and CXCR7 while promoting the role of both SDF1 receptors for enhanced migration, adhesion and survival of hypoxia preconditioned (HP)-MSCs and thus improves homing of systemically delivered MSCs to the ischemic kidney. In addition, in normal cultureexpanded MSCs, CXCR4 expression will alleviate progressively and thus could affect its ability to migrate toward the SDF1 gradient in the ischemic tissue.



The intracellular signaling cascades have not yet been clearly demonstrated. In the case of SDF1-CXCR4 bonding, activation and coordination of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) were reported in the migration of human dental pulp stem cells^[122]. Subsequently, increased β -catenin expression by phosphorylation of protein kinase B (Akt) at ser473 that inhibits the activation of glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK3 β) was also reported. All these results indicate that the SDF1-CXCR4 axis activates the FAK/PI3K/Akt and GSK3 β / β -catenin pathways that could facilitate the migration of human dental pulp stem cells. Whereas in the HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 axis, elevated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation and Ca²⁺ release from stores were reported^[14]. Elevated ERK phosphorylation was observed in the presence of the SDF1-HMGB1 heterocomplex but not observed in the presence of SDF1 and HMGB1 alone. In the presence of HMGB1 a suboptimal SDF1 concentration was reported with a rapid increase in intracellular Ca2+.

CONCLUSION

Until now, the migration and retention of transplanted cells have been considered a major drawback of cell-based regenerative therapy. SDF1 and its receptor CXCR4 play an important role in maintaining homeostasis by facilitating the homing of progenitor or other adult multipotent stem cells in the BM and regulating their mobilization into peripheral tissues during injury or stress. Studies have shown the potential of the SDF1-CXCR4 axis and/or HMGB1-SDF1-CXCR4 signaling pathways in regulating the process of directional migration followed by retention which are vital for the regeneration of injured tissues or organs. In addition, these pathways could play a major role in regulating the inflammatory conditions at the site of injury. Further studies concentrating on these pathways could make cell-based regenerative therapy more efficient and fruitful.

REFERENCES

- 1 Haque N, Abdullah BJJ, BJJ, Kasim NHA. Secretome: Pharmaceuticals for Cell-Free Regenerative Therapy. In: Pham PV. Stem Cell Drugs - A New Generation of Biopharmaceuticals. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018: 17-35 [DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-99328-7_2]
- 2 Crivelli B, Chlapanidas T, Perteghella S, Lucarelli E, Pascucci L, Brini AT, Ferrero I, Marazzi M, Pessina A, Torre ML; Italian Mesenchymal Stem Cell Group (GISM). Mesenchymal stem/stromal cell extracellular vesicles: From active principle to next generation drug delivery system. J Control Release 2017; 262: 104-117 [PMID: 28736264 DOI: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.07.023]
- 3 Boido M, Ghibaudi M, Gentile P, Favaro E, Fusaro R, Tonda-Turo C. Chitosan-based hydrogel to support the paracrine activity of mesenchymal stem cells in spinal cord injury treatment. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 6402 [PMID: 31024032 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-42848-w]
- 4 Haque N, Kasim NHA, Kassim NLA, Rahman MT. Autologous serum supplement favours in vitro regenerative paracrine factors synthesis. Cell Prolif 2017; 50: e12354 [PMID: 28682474 DOI: 10.1111/cpr.12354]
- 5 Madrigal M, Rao KS, Riordan NH. A review of therapeutic effects of mesenchymal stem cell secretions and induction of secretory modification by different culture methods. J Transl Med 2014; 12: 260 [PMID: 25304688 DOI: 10.1186/s12967-014-0260-8]
- Hoetzenecker K, Zimmermann M, Hoetzenecker W, Schweiger T, Kollmann D, Mildner M, Hegedus B, Mitterbauer A, Hacker S, Birner P, Gabriel C, Gyöngyösi M, Blyszczuk P, Eriksson U, Ankersmit HJ. Mononuclear cell secretome protects from experimental autoimmune myocarditis. Eur Heart J 2015; 36: 676-685 [PMID: 23321350 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs459]
- 7 Hoetzenecker K, Assinger A, Lichtenauer M, Mildner M, Schweiger T, Starlinger P, Jakab A, Berényi E, Pavo N, Zimmermann M, Gabriel C, Plass C, Gyöngyösi M, Volf I, Ankersmit HJ. Secretome of apoptotic peripheral blood cells (APOSEC) attenuates microvascular obstruction in a porcine closed chest reperfused acute myocardial infarction model: role of platelet aggregation and vasodilation. Basic Res Cardiol 2012; 107: 292 [PMID: 22899170 DOI: 10.1007/s00395-012-0292-2]
- Altmann P, Mildner M, Haider T, Traxler D, Beer L, Ristl R, Golabi B, Gabriel C, Leutmezer F, Ankersmit HJ. Secretomes of apoptotic mononuclear cells ameliorate neurological damage in rats with focal ischemia. F1000Res 2014; 3: 131 [PMID: 25383184 DOI: 10.12688/f1000research.4219.2]
- Pires AO, Neves-Carvalho A, Sousa N, Salgado AJ. The Secretome of Bone Marrow and Wharton Jelly Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Induces Differentiation and Neurite Outgrowth in SH-SY5Y Cells. Stem Cells Int 2014; 2014: 438352 [PMID: 25132857 DOI: 10.1155/2014/438352]
- 10 Dao M, Tate CC, McGrogan M, Case CC. Comparing the angiogenic potency of naïve marrow stromal cells and Notch-transfected marrow stromal cells. J Transl Med 2013; 11: 81 [PMID: 23531336 DOI: 10.1186/1479-5876-11-81]
- 11 Mildner M, Hacker S, Haider T, Gschwandtner M, Werba G, Barresi C, Zimmermann M, Golabi B, Tschachler E. Ankersmit HJ. Secretome of peripheral blood mononuclear cells enhances wound healing.



PLoS One 2013; 8: e60103 [PMID: 23533667 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060103]

- Zhang M, Mal N, Kiedrowski M, Chacko M, Askari AT, Popovic ZB, Koc ON, Penn MS. SDF-1 12 expression by mesenchymal stem cells results in trophic support of cardiac myocytes after myocardial infarction. FASEB J 2007; 21: 3197-3207 [PMID: 17496162 DOI: 10.1096/fj.06-6558com]
- 13 Abduelmula A, Huang R, Pu Q, Tamamura H, Morosan-Puopolo G, Brand-Saberi B. SDF-1 controls the muscle and blood vessel formation of the somite. Int J Dev Biol 2016; 60: 29-38 [PMID: 26934287 DOI: 10 1387/iidb 150132rb]
- Schiraldi M, Raucci A, Muñoz LM, Livoti E, Celona B, Venereau E, Apuzzo T, De Marchis F, Pedotti M, 14 Bachi A, Thelen M, Varani L, Mellado M, Proudfoot A, Bianchi ME, Uguccioni M. HMGB1 promotes recruitment of inflammatory cells to damaged tissues by forming a complex with CXCL12 and signaling via CXCR4. J Exp Med 2012; 209: 551-563 [PMID: 22370717 DOI: 10.1084/jem.20111739]
- Betsou F, Gaignaux A, Ammerlaan W, Norris PJ, Stone M. Biospecimen Science of Blood for Peripheral 15 Blood Mononuclear Cell (PBMC) Functional Applications. Curr Pathobiol Rep 2019; 7: 17-27 [DOI: 10.1007/s40139-019-00192-8
- Zhang M, Huang B. The multi-differentiation potential of peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Stem Cell 16 Res Ther 2012; 3: 48 [PMID: 23200034 DOI: 10.1186/scrt139]
- Hopper N, Wardale J, Brooks R, Power J, Rushton N, Henson F. Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells 17 Enhance Cartilage Repair in in vivo Osteochondral Defect Model. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0133937 [PMID: 26252391 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0133937]
- Xian B, Zhang Y, Peng Y, Huang J, Li W, Wang W, Zhang M, Li K, Zhang H, Zhao M, Liu X, Huang B. 18 Adult Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells Are Capable of Producing Neurocyte or Photoreceptor-Like Cells That Survive in Mouse Eyes After Preinduction With Neonatal Retina. Stem Cells Transl Med 2016; 5: 1515-1524 [PMID: 27458266 DOI: 10.5966/sctm.2015-0395]
- 19 Wang X, Balaji S, Steen EH, Li H, Rae MM, Blum AJ, Miao Q, Butte MJ, Bollyky PL, Keswani SG. T Lymphocytes Attenuate Dermal Scarring by Regulating Inflammation, Neovascularization, and Extracellular Matrix Remodeling. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle) 2019; 8: 527-537 [PMID: 31637099 DOI: 10.1089/wound.2019.0981]
- 20 Wynn TA, Vannella KM. Macrophages in Tissue Repair, Regeneration, and Fibrosis. Immunity 2016; 44: 450-462 [PMID: 26982353 DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.02.015]
- 21 Ogle ME, Segar CE, Sridhar S, Botchwey EA. Monocytes and macrophages in tissue repair: Implications for immunoregenerative biomaterial design. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 2016; 241: 1084-1097 [PMID: 27229903 DOI: 10.1177/1535370216650293]
- Yang J, Zhang L, Yu C, Yang XF, Wang H. Monocyte and macrophage differentiation: circulation 22 inflammatory monocyte as biomarker for inflammatory diseases. Biomark Res 2014; 2: 1 [PMID: 24398220 DOI: 10.1186/2050-7771-2-11
- 23 Chiu S, Bharat A. Role of monocytes and macrophages in regulating immune response following lung transplantation. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2016; 21: 239-245 [PMID: 26977996 DOI: 10.1097/MOT.00000000000313]
- Gordon S, Taylor PR. Monocyte and macrophage heterogeneity. Nat Rev Immunol 2005; 5: 953-964 24 [PMID: 16322748 DOI: 10.1038/nri1733]
- 25 Atri C, Guerfali FZ, Laouini D. Role of Human Macrophage Polarization in Inflammation during Infectious Diseases. Int J Mol Sci 2018; 19: 1801 [PMID: 29921749 DOI: 10.3390/ijms19061801]
- 26 Mosser DM, Edwards JP. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat Rev Immunol 2008; 8: 958-969 [PMID: 19029990 DOI: 10.1038/nri2448]
- Gu B, Kaneko T, Zaw SYM, Sone PP, Murano H, Sueyama Y, Zaw ZCT, Okiji T. Macrophage populations 27 show an M1-to-M2 transition in an experimental model of coronal pulp tissue engineering with mesenchymal stem cells. Int Endod J 2019; 52: 504-514 [PMID: 30387178 DOI: 10.1111/iej.13033]
- McWhorter FY, Davis CT, Liu WF. Physical and mechanical regulation of macrophage phenotype and 28 function. Cell Mol Life Sci 2015; 72: 1303-1316 [PMID: 25504084 DOI: 10.1007/s00018-014-1796-8]
- Rigamonti E, Zordan P, Sciorati C, Rovere-Querini P, Brunelli S. Macrophage plasticity in skeletal muscle 29 repair. Biomed Res Int 2014; 2014; 560629 [PMID: 24860823 DOI: 10.1155/2014/560629]
- 30 Gratchev A, Kzhyshkowska J, Köthe K, Muller-Molinet I, Kannookadan S, Utikal J, Goerdt S. Mphil and Mphi2 can be re-polarized by Th2 or Th1 cytokines, respectively, and respond to exogenous danger signals. Immunobiology 2006; 211: 473-486 [PMID: 16920487 DOI: 10.1016/j.imbio.2006.05.017]
- 31 Krzyszczyk P, Schloss R, Palmer A, Berthiaume F. The Role of Macrophages in Acute and Chronic Wound Healing and Interventions to Promote Pro-wound Healing Phenotypes. Front Physiol 2018; 9: 419 [PMID: 29765329 DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00419]
- Li J, Tan J, Martino MM, Lui KO. Regulatory T-Cells: Potential Regulator of Tissue Repair and 32 Regeneration. Front Immunol 2018; 9: 585 [PMID: 29662491 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00585]
- Tiemessen MM, Jagger AL, Evans HG, van Herwijnen MJ, John S, Taams LS. CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells induce alternative activation of human monocytes/macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104: 19446-19451 [PMID: 18042719 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0706832104]
- Weirather J, Hofmann UD, Beyersdorf N, Ramos GC, Vogel B, Frey A, Ertl G, Kerkau T, Frantz S. 34 Foxp3+ CD4+ T cells improve healing after myocardial infarction by modulating monocyte/macrophage differentiation. Circ Res 2014; 115: 55-67 [PMID: 24786398 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.303895]
- Toumi H, F'guyer S, Best TM. The role of neutrophils in injury and repair following muscle stretch. J Anat 35 2006; **208**: 459-470 [PMID: 16637872 DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2006.00543.x]
- 36 **Pizza FX**. Peterson JM. Baas JH. Koh TJ. Neutrophils contribute to muscle injury and impair its resolution after lengthening contractions in mice. J Physiol 2005; 562: 899-913 [PMID: 15550464 DOI: 10.1113/jphysiol.2004.073965]
- Yang Z, Sharma AK, Linden J, Kron IL, Laubach VE. CD4+ T lymphocytes mediate acute pulmonary 37 ischemia-reperfusion injury. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009; 137: 695-702; discussion 702 [PMID: 19258091 DOI: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2008.10.044]
- Lindborg JA, Mack M, Zigmond RE. Neutrophils Are Critical for Myelin Removal in a Peripheral Nerve 38



Injury Model of Wallerian Degeneration. J Neurosci 2017; 37: 10258-10277 [PMID: 28912156 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2085-17.2017]

- Zemans RL, Briones N, Campbell M, McClendon J, Young SK, Suzuki T, Yang IV, De Langhe S, 39 Reynolds SD, Mason RJ, Kahn M, Henson PM, Colgan SP, Downey GP. Neutrophil transmigration triggers repair of the lung epithelium via beta-catenin signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011; 108: 15990-15995 [PMID: 21880956 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1110144108]
- Saez B, Yusuf RZ, Scadden DT. Harnessing the Biology of Stem Cells' Niche. Biology and Engineering of 40 Stem Cell Niches: Elsevier; 2017: 15-31 [DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-802734-9.00002-0]
- Mushahary D, Spittler A, Kasper C, Weber V, Charwat V. Isolation, cultivation, and characterization of 41 human mesenchymal stem cells. Cytometry A 2018; 93: 19-31 [PMID: 29072818 DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.23242]
- 42 Haque N, Abu Kasim NH. Pooled Human Serum Increases Regenerative Potential of In Vitro Expanded Stem Cells from Human Extracted Deciduous Teeth. Adv Exp Med Biol 2018; 1083: 29-44 [PMID: 28730381 DOI: 10.1007/5584 2017 74]
- Haque N, Widera D, Abu Kasim NH. Stem Cells from Human Extracted Deciduous Teeth Expanded in 43 Foetal Bovine and Human Sera Express Different Paracrine Factors After Exposure to Freshly Prepared Human Serum. Adv Exp Med Biol 2019; 1084: 175-186 [PMID: 30771186 DOI: 10.1007/5584 2018 299]
- 44 Grayson WL, Bunnell BA, Martin E, Frazier T, Hung BP, Gimble JM. Stromal cells and stem cells in clinical bone regeneration. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2015; 11: 140-150 [PMID: 25560703 DOI: 10.1038/nrendo.2014.234]
- Trounson A, McDonald C. Stem Cell Therapies in Clinical Trials: Progress and Challenges. Cell Stem Cell 45 2015; 17: 11-22 [PMID: 26140604 DOI: 10.1016/j.stem.2015.06.007]
- 46 Gu W, Hong X, Potter C, Qu A, Xu Q. Mesenchymal stem cells and vascular regeneration. Microcirculation 2017; 24 [PMID: 27681821 DOI: 10.1111/micc.12324]
- 47 Shi Z, Wang Q, Jiang D. Extracellular vesicles from bone marrow-derived multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells regulate inflammation and enhance tendon healing. J Transl Med 2019; 17: 211 [PMID: 31238964 DOI: 10.1186/s12967-019-1960-x]
- Nitzsche F. Müller C. Lukomska B. Jolkkonen J. Deten A. Boltze J. Concise Review: MSC Adhesion 48 Cascade-Insights into Homing and Transendothelial Migration. Stem Cells 2017; 35: 1446-1460 [PMID: 28316123 DOI: 10.1002/stem.2614]
- Fu X, Liu G, Halim A, Ju Y, Luo Q, Song AG. Mesenchymal Stem Cell Migration and Tissue Repair. Cells 49 2019; 8: 784 [PMID: 31357692 DOI: 10.3390/cells8080784]
- Visweswaran M, Pohl S, Arfuso F, Newsholme P, Dilley R, Pervaiz S, Dharmarajan A. Multi-lineage differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells - To Wnt, or not Wnt. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2015; 68: 139-147 [PMID: 26410622 DOI: 10.1016/j.biocel.2015.09.008]
- 51 Almalki SG, Llamas Valle Y, Agrawal DK. MMP-2 and MMP-14 Silencing Inhibits VEGFR2 Cleavage and Induces the Differentiation of Porcine Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Endothelial Cells. Stem Cells Transl Med 2017; 6: 1385-1398 [PMID: 28213979 DOI: 10.1002/sctm.16-0329]
- 52 Zhang Y, Khan D, Delling J, Tobiasch E. Mechanisms underlying the osteo- and adipo-differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. ScientificWorldJournal 2012; 2012: 793823 [PMID: 22500143 DOI: 10.1100/2012/793823]
- 53 Chen LB, Jiang XB, Yang L. Differentiation of rat marrow mesenchymal stem cells into pancreatic islet beta-cells. World J Gastroenterol 2004; 10: 3016-3020 [PMID: 15378785 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v10.i20.3016]
- Jung JP, Bache-Wiig MK, Provenzano PP, Ogle BM. Heterogeneous Differentiation of Human 54 Mesenchymal Stem Cells in 3D Extracellular Matrix Composites. Biores Open Access 2016; 5: 37-48 [PMID: 26862471 DOI: 10.1089/biores.2015.0044]
- Gruh I, Martin U. Transdifferentiation of stem cells: a critical view. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol 2009; 55 114: 73-106 [PMID: 19343303 DOI: 10.1007/10 2008 49]
- 56 Bassi ÊJ, de Almeida DC, Moraes-Vieira PM, Câmara NO. Exploring the role of soluble factors associated with immune regulatory properties of mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cell Rev Rep 2012; 8: 329-342 [PMID: 21881832 DOI: 10.1007/s12015-011-9311-1]
- Merino-González C, Zuñiga FA, Escudero C, Ormazabal V, Reyes C, Nova-Lamperti E, Salomón C, Aguayo C. Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Promote Angiogenesis: Potencial Clinical Application. Front Physiol 2016; 7: 24 [PMID: 26903875 DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00024]
- Lee Y, El Andaloussi S, Wood MJ. Exosomes and microvesicles: extracellular vesicles for genetic 58 information transfer and gene therapy. Hum Mol Genet 2012; 21: R125-R134 [PMID: 22872698 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/dds317]
- Haque N, Khan IM, Abu Kasim NH. Survival and immunomodulation of stem cells from human extracted 59 deciduous teeth expanded in pooled human and foetal bovine sera. Cytokine 2019; 120: 144-154 [PMID: 31071675 DOI: 10.1016/j.cyto.2019.04.018]
- 60 Chang PY, Zhang BY, Cui S, Qu C, Shao LH, Xu TK, Qu YQ, Dong LH, Wang J. MSC-derived cytokines repair radiation-induced intra-villi microvascular injury. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 87821-87836 [PMID: 29152123 DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.21236]
- de Witte SFH, Merino AM, Franquesa M, Strini T, van Zoggel JAA, Korevaar SS, Luk F, Gargesha M, 61 O'Flynn L, Roy D, Elliman SJ, Newsome PN, Baan CC, Hoogduijn MJ. Cytokine treatment optimises the immunotherapeutic effects of umbilical cord-derived MSC for treatment of inflammatory liver disease. Stem Cell Res Ther 2017; 8: 140 [PMID: 28595619 DOI: 10.1186/s13287-017-0590-6]
- 62 Toh WS, Lai RC, Hui JHP, Lim SK. MSC exosome as a cell-free MSC therapy for cartilage regeneration: Implications for osteoarthritis treatment. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2017; 67: 56-64 [PMID: 27871993 DOI: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.11.008
- Cantinieaux D, Quertainmont R, Blacher S, Rossi L, Wanet T, Noël A, Brook G, Schoenen J, Franzen R. Conditioned medium from bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells improves recovery after spinal cord injury in rats: an original strategy to avoid cell transplantation. PLoS One 2013; 8: e69515 [PMID: 24013448 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0069515]
- Motaln H, Turnsek TL. Cytokines play a key role in communication between mesenchymal stem cells and



brain cancer cells. Protein Pept Lett 2015; 22: 322-331 [PMID: 25642990 DOI: 10.2174/0929866522666150131123808]

- Bhang SH, Cho SW, Lim JM, Kang JM, Lee TJ, Yang HS, Song YS, Park MH, Kim HS, Yoo KJ, Jang Y, 65 Langer R, Anderson DG, Kim BS. Locally delivered growth factor enhances the angiogenic efficacy of adipose-derived stromal cells transplanted to ischemic limbs. Stem Cells 2009; 27: 1976-1986 [PMID: 19544425 DOI: 10.1002/stem.115]
- 66 **Zhang LB.** He M. Effect of mesenchymal stromal (stem) cell (MSC) transplantation in asthmatic animal models: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2019; 54: 39-52 [PMID: 30496803 DOI: 10.1016/j.pupt.2018.11.007]
- Yaochite JN, de Lima KW, Caliari-Oliveira C, Palma PV, Couri CE, Simões BP, Covas DT, Voltarelli JC, Oliveira MC, Donadi EA, Malmegrim KC. Multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells from patients with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus exhibit preserved in vitro and in vivo immunomodulatory properties. Stem Cell Res Ther 2016; 7: 14 [PMID: 26781648 DOI: 10.1186/s13287-015-0261-4]
- 68 Palomares Cabeza V, Hoogduijn MJ, Kraaijeveld R, Franquesa M, Witte-Bouma J, Wolvius EB, Farrell E, Brama PAJ. Pediatric Mesenchymal Stem Cells Exhibit Immunomodulatory Properties Toward Allogeneic T and B Cells Under Inflammatory Conditions. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2019; 7: 142 [PMID: 31245368 DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2019.00142]
- Haque N, Ramasamy TS, Kasim NHA. Mechanisms of Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Autoimmune Disease 69 Treatment. In: Pham PV. Stem Cell Transplantation for Autoimmune Diseases and Inflammation. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019: 27-44 [DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-23421-8_2]
- 70 Chen X, Wang S, Cao W. Mesenchymal stem cell-mediated immunomodulation in cell therapy of neurodegenerative diseases. Cell Immunol 2018; 326: 8-14 [PMID: 28778534 DOI: 10.1016/j.cellimm.2017.06.006
- 71 Gebler A, Zabel O, Seliger B. The immunomodulatory capacity of mesenchymal stem cells. Trends Mol Med 2012; 18: 128-134 [PMID: 22118960 DOI: 10.1016/j.molmed.2011.10.004]
- 72 Coulson-Thomas VJ, Coulson-Thomas YM, Gesteira TF, Kao WW. Extrinsic and Intrinsic Mechanisms by Which Mesenchymal Stem Cells Suppress the Immune System. Ocul Surf 2016; 14: 121-134 [PMID: 26804815 DOI: 10.1016/j.jtos.2015.11.004]
- Najar M, Raicevic G, Fayyad-Kazan H, Bron D, Toungouz M, Lagneaux L. Mesenchymal stromal cells 73 and immunomodulation: A gathering of regulatory immune cells. Cytotherapy 2016; 18: 160-171 [PMID: 26794710 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcvt.2015.10.011]
- 74 Dazzi F, Lopes L, Weng L. Mesenchymal stromal cells: a key player in 'innate tolerance'? Immunology 2012; **137**: 206-213 [PMID: 22804624 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2012.03621.x]
- Krampera M, Glennie S, Dyson J, Scott D, Laylor R, Simpson E, Dazzi F. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells inhibit the response of naive and memory antigen-specific T cells to their cognate peptide. Blood 2003; 101: 3722-3729 [PMID: 12506037 DOI: 10.1182/blood-2002-07-2104]
- Romieu-Mourez R, François M, Boivin MN, Stagg J, Galipeau J. Regulation of MHC class II expression 76 and antigen processing in murine and human mesenchymal stromal cells by IFN-gamma, TGF-beta, and cell density. J Immunol 2007; 179: 1549-1558 [PMID: 17641021 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.179.3.1549]
- Bhartiya D. The need to revisit the definition of mesenchymal and adult stem cells based on their 77 functional attributes. Stem Cell Res Ther 2018; 9: 78 [PMID: 29587828 DOI: 10.1186/s13287-018-0833-1]
- 78 Luger D, Lipinski MJ, Westman PC, Glover DK, Dimastromatteo J, Frias JC, Albelda MT, Sikora S, Kharazi A, Vertelov G, Waksman R, Epstein SE. Intravenously Delivered Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Systemic Anti-Inflammatory Effects Improve Left Ventricular Dysfunction in Acute Myocardial Infarction and Ischemic Cardiomyopathy. Circ Res 2017; 120: 1598-1613 [PMID: 28232595 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.310599]
- 79 Chen W, Zhou D, Li YR, Liu JX, Wang XM, Zhu F, Xu KL. [Effect of Lentiviral Vector-Mediated CXCR4 Gene Overexpression on Mesenchymal Stem Cell Homing Capacity]. Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi 2018; 26: 1543-1547 [PMID: 30295281 DOI: 10.7534/j.issn.1009-2137.2018.05.047]
- Jin W, Liang X, Brooks A, Futrega K, Liu X, Doran MR, Simpson MJ, Roberts MS, Wang H. Modelling of 80 the SDF-1/CXCR4 regulated in vivo homing of therapeutic mesenchymal stem/stromal cells in mice. PeerJ 2018; 6: e6072 [PMID: 30564525 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.6072]
- Sordi V. Mesenchymal stem cell homing capacity. Transplantation 2009; 87: S42-S45 [PMID: 19424004 81 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3181a28533]
- 82 Hocking AM. The Role of Chemokines in Mesenchymal Stem Cell Homing to Wounds. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle) 2015; 4: 623-630 [PMID: 26543676 DOI: 10.1089/wound.2014.0579]
- Sackstein R. The biology of CD44 and HCELL in hematopoiesis: the 'step 2-bypass pathway' and other 83 emerging perspectives. Curr Opin Hematol 2011; 18: 239-248 [PMID: 21546828 DOI: 10.1097/MOH.0b013e3283476140]
- Martinotti S, Patrone M, Ranzato E. Emerging roles for HMGB1 protein in immunity, inflammation, and 84 cancer. Immunotargets Ther 2015; 4: 101-109 [PMID: 27471716 DOI: 10.2147/ITT.S58064]
- 85 Yang H, Wang H, Chavan SS, Andersson U. High Mobility Group Box Protein 1 (HMGB1): The Prototypical Endogenous Danger Molecule. Mol Med 2015; 21 Suppl 1: S6-S12 [PMID: 26605648 DOI: 10.2119/molmed.2015.00087]
- Raucci A, Di Maggio S, Scavello F, D'Ambrosio A, Bianchi ME, Capogrossi MC. The Janus face of HMGB1 in heart disease: a necessary update. Cell Mol Life Sci 2019; 76: 211-229 [PMID: 30306212 DOI: 10.1007/s00018-018-2930-9
- Kohno T, Anzai T, Naito K, Miyasho T, Okamoto M, Yokota H, Yamada S, Maekawa Y, Takahashi T, 87 Yoshikawa T, Ishizaka A, Ogawa S. Role of high-mobility group box 1 protein in post-infarction healing process and left ventricular remodelling. Cardiovasc Res 2009; 81: 565-573 [PMID: 18984601 DOI: 10.1093/cvr/cvn291]
- Andersson U, Erlandsson-Harris H, Yang H, Tracey KJ. HMGB1 as a DNA-binding cytokine. J Leukoc 88 Biol 2002; 72: 1084-1091 [PMID: 12488489]
- Frangogiannis NG. Fibroblast-Extracellular Matrix Interactions in Tissue Fibrosis. Curr Pathobiol Rep 89 2016; 4: 11-18 [PMID: 27171595 DOI: 10.1007/s40139-016-0099-1]



- 90 Klingberg F, Hinz B, White ES. The myofibroblast matrix: implications for tissue repair and fibrosis. J Pathol 2013; 229: 298-309 [PMID: 22996908 DOI: 10.1002/path.4104]
- 91 Zaja-Milatovic S, Richmond A. CXC chemokines and their receptors: a case for a significant biological role in cutaneous wound healing. Histol Histopathol 2008; 23: 1399-1407 [PMID: 18785122 DOI: 10.14670/HH-23.1399]
- Behm B, Babilas P, Landthaler M, Schreml S. Cytokines, chemokines and growth factors in wound healing. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2012; 26: 812-820 [PMID: 22211801 DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2011.04415.x
- Wang H, Bloom O, Zhang M, Vishnubhakat JM, Ombrellino M, Che J, Frazier A, Yang H, Ivanova S, Borovikova L, Manogue KR, Faist E, Abraham E, Andersson J, Andersson U, Molina PE, Abumrad NN, Sama A, Tracey KJ. HMG-1 as a late mediator of endotoxin lethality in mice. Science 1999; 285: 248-251 [PMID: 10398600 DOI: 10.1126/science.285.5425.248]
- 94 Andersson U, Wang H, Palmblad K, Aveberger AC, Bloom O, Erlandsson-Harris H, Janson A, Kokkola R, Zhang M, Yang H, Tracey KJ. High mobility group 1 protein (HMG-1) stimulates proinflammatory cytokine synthesis in human monocytes. J Exp Med 2000; 192: 565-570 [PMID: 10952726 DOI: 10.1084/jem.192.4.565]
- 95 Kokkola R, Andersson A, Mullins G, Ostberg T, Treutiger CJ, Arnold B, Nawroth P, Andersson U, Harris RA, Harris HE. RAGE is the major receptor for the proinflammatory activity of HMGB1 in rodent macrophages. Scand J Immunol 2005; 61: 1-9 [PMID: 15644117 DOI: 10.1111/j.0300-9475.2005.01534.x]
- 96 Park JS, Arcaroli J, Yum HK, Yang H, Wang H, Yang KY, Choe KH, Strassheim D, Pitts TM, Tracey KJ, Abraham E. Activation of gene expression in human neutrophils by high mobility group box 1 protein. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2003; 284: C870-C879 [PMID: 12620891 DOI: 10.1152/ajpcell.00322.2002]
- 97 Palumbo R, De Marchis F, Pusterla T, Conti A, Alessio M, Bianchi ME. Src family kinases are necessary for cell migration induced by extracellular HMGB1. J Leukoc Biol 2009; 86: 617-623 [PMID: 19401391 DOI: 10.1189/jlb.0908581]
- Palumbo R, Galvez BG, Pusterla T, De Marchis F, Cossu G, Marcu KB, Bianchi ME. Cells migrating to sites of tissue damage in response to the danger signal HMGB1 require NF-kappaB activation. J Cell Biol 2007; 179: 33-40 [PMID: 17923528 DOI: 10.1083/jcb.200704015]
- 99 Yang J, Chen L, Yang J, Ding J, Rong H, Dong W, Li X. High mobility group box-1 induces migration of vascular smooth muscle cells via TLR4-dependent PI3K/Akt pathway activation. Mol Biol Rep 2012; 39: 3361-3367 [PMID: 21698364 DOI: 10.1007/s11033-011-1106-6]
- 100 Xue X, Chen X, Fan W, Wang G, Zhang L, Chen Z, Liu P, Liu M, Zhao J. High-mobility group box 1 facilitates migration of neural stem cells via receptor for advanced glycation end products signaling pathway. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 4513 [PMID: 29540727 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-22672-4]
- 101 Shirozu M, Nakano T, Inazawa J, Tashiro K, Tada H, Shinohara T, Honjo T. Structure and chromosomal localization of the human stromal cell-derived factor 1 (SDF1) gene. Genomics 1995; 28: 495-500 [PMID: 7490086 DOI: 10.1006/geno.1995.1180]
- 102 Grunewald M, Avraham I, Dor Y, Bachar-Lustig E, Itin A, Jung S, Chimenti S, Landsman L, Abramovitch R Keshet E VEGF-induced adult neovascularization: recruitment, retention, and role of accessory cells Cell 2006; 124: 175-189 [PMID: 16413490 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.10.036]
- 103 Ratajczak MZ, Kim CH, Abdel-Latif A, Schneider G, Kucia M, Morris AJ, Laughlin MJ, Ratajczak J. A novel perspective on stem cell homing and mobilization: review on bioactive lipids as potent chemoattractants and cationic peptides as underappreciated modulators of responsiveness to SDF-1 gradients. Leukemia 2012; 26: 63-72 [PMID: 21886175 DOI: 10.1038/leu.2011.242]
- 104 Cheng M, Qin G. Progenitor cell mobilization and recruitment: SDF-1, CXCR4, a4-integrin, and c-kit. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 2012; 111: 243-264 [PMID: 22917234 DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-398459-3.00011-3
- Burns JM, Summers BC, Wang Y, Melikian A, Berahovich R, Miao Z, Penfold ME, Sunshine MJ, Littman 105 DR, Kuo CJ, Wei K, McMaster BE, Wright K, Howard MC, Schall TJ. A novel chemokine receptor for SDF-1 and I-TAC involved in cell survival, cell adhesion, and tumor development. J Exp Med 2006; 203: 2201-2213 [PMID: 16940167 DOI: 10.1084/jem.20052144]
- Ponte AL, Marais E, Gallay N, Langonné A, Delorme B, Hérault O, Charbord P, Domenech J. The in vitro 106 migration capacity of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells: comparison of chemokine and growth factor chemotactic activities. Stem Cells 2007; 25: 1737-1745 [PMID: 17395768 DOI: 10.1634/stemcells.2007-0054]
- 107 Gauley J, Pisetsky DS. The translocation of HMGB1 during cell activation and cell death. Autoimmunity 2009: **42**: 299-301 [PMID: 19811282 DOI: 10.1080/08916930902831522]
- Tang Z, Jiang M, Ou-Yang Z, Wu H, Dong S, Hei M. High mobility group box 1 protein (HMGB1) as 108 biomarker in hypoxia-induced persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn: a clinical and in vivo pilot study. Int J Med Sci 2019; 16: 1123-1131 [PMID: 31523175 DOI: 10.7150/ijms.34344]
- 109 Zhao Y, Yi J, Tao L, Huang G, Chu X, Song H, Chen L. Wnt signaling induces radioresistance through upregulating HMGB1 in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cell Death Dis 2018; 9: 433 [PMID: 29567990 DOI: 10.1038/s41419-018-0466-4]
- Yadav SS, Kumar M, Varshney A, Yadava PK. KLF4 sensitizes the colon cancer cell HCT-15 to cisplatin 110 by altering the expression of HMGB1 and hTERT. Life Sci 2019; 220: 169-176 [PMID: 30716337 DOI: 10.1016/j.lfs.2019.02.005
- Foglio E, Pellegrini L, Germani A, Russo MA, Limana F. HMGB1-mediated apoptosis and autophagy in 111 ischemic heart diseases. Vasc Biol 2019; 1: H89-H96 [DOI: 10.1530/VB-19-0013]
- Penzo M, Habiel DM, Ramadass M, Kew RR, Marcu KB. Cell migration to CXCL12 requires 112 simultaneous IKKα and IKKβ-dependent NF-κB signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta 2014; 1843: 1796-1804 [PMID: 24747690 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.04.011]
- Penzo M, Molteni R, Suda T, Samaniego S, Raucci A, Habiel DM, Miller F, Jiang HP, Li J, Pardi R, 113 Palumbo R, Olivotto E, Kew RR, Bianchi ME, Marcu KB. Inhibitor of NF-kappa B kinases alpha and beta are both essential for high mobility group box 1-mediated chemotaxis [corrected]. J Immunol 2010; 184: 4497-4509 [PMID: 20231695 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.0903131]



- 114 Lolmede K, Campana L, Vezzoli M, Bosurgi L, Tonlorenzi R, Clementi E, Bianchi ME, Cossu G, Manfredi AA, Brunelli S, Rovere-Querini P. Inflammatory and alternatively activated human macrophages attract vessel-associated stem cells, relying on separate HMGB1- and MMP-9-dependent pathways. J Leukoc Biol 2009; 85: 779-787 [PMID: 19197071 DOI: 10.1189/jlb.0908579]
- 115 Kew RR, Penzo M, Habiel DM, Marcu KB. The IKKα-dependent NF-κB p52/RelB noncanonical pathway is essential to sustain a CXCL12 autocrine loop in cells migrating in response to HMGB1. J Immunol 2012; 188: 2380-2386 [PMID: 22287708 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.1102454]
- 116 Degryse B, Bonaldi T, Scaffidi P, Müller S, Resnati M, Sanvito F, Arrigoni G, Bianchi ME. The high mobility group (HMG) boxes of the nuclear protein HMG1 induce chemotaxis and cytoskeleton reorganization in rat smooth muscle cells. J Cell Biol 2001; 152: 1197-1206 [PMID: 11257120 DOI: 10.1083/jcb.152.6.1197]
- Rauvala H, Rouhiainen A. Physiological and pathophysiological outcomes of the interactions of HMGB1 117 with cell surface receptors. Biochim Biophys Acta 2010; 1799: 164-170 [PMID: 19914413 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2009.11.012]
- Kofuku Y, Yoshiura C, Ueda T, Terasawa H, Hirai T, Tominaga S, Hirose M, Maeda Y, Takahashi H, 118 Terashima Y, Matsushima K, Shimada I. Structural basis of the interaction between chemokine stromal cellderived factor-1/CXCL12 and its G-protein-coupled receptor CXCR4. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 35240-35250 [PMID: 19837984 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M109.024851]
- 119 Venereau E, Schiraldi M, Uguccioni M, Bianchi ME. HMGB1 and leukocyte migration during trauma and sterile inflammation. Mol Immunol 2013; 55: 76-82 [PMID: 23207101 DOI: 10.1016/j.molimm.2012.10.037]
- 120 Lee G, Espirito Santo AI, Zwingenberger S, Cai L, Vogl T, Feldmann M, Horwood NJ, Chan JK, Nanchahal J. Fully reduced HMGB1 accelerates the regeneration of multiple tissues by transitioning stem cells to GALett. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2018; 115: E4463-E4472 [PMID: 29674451 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.18028931151
- Liu H, Liu S, Li Y, Wang X, Xue W, Ge G, Luo X. The role of SDF-1-CXCR4/CXCR7 axis in the 121 therapeutic effects of hypoxia-preconditioned mesenchymal stem cells for renal ischemia/reperfusion injury. PLoS One 2012; 7: e34608 [PMID: 22511954 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034608]
- Li M, Sun X, Ma L, Jin L, Zhang W, Xiao M, Yu Q. SDF-1/CXCR4 axis induces human dental pulp stem 122 cell migration through FAK/PI3K/Akt and GSK3β/β-catenin pathways. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 40161 [PMID: 28067275 DOI: 10.1038/srep40161]
- Lau TT, Wang DA. Stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1): homing factor for engineered regenerative 123 medicine. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2011; 11: 189-197 [PMID: 21219236 DOI: 10.1517/14712598.2011.546338
- Vénéreau E, Ceriotti C, Bianchi ME. DAMPs from Cell Death to New Life. Front Immunol 2015; 6: 422 124 [PMID: 26347745 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00422]
- Zhao W, Jin K, Li J, Qiu X, Li S. Delivery of stromal cell-derived factor 1a for in situ tissue regeneration. J 125 Biol Eng 2017; 11: 22 [PMID: 28670340 DOI: 10.1186/s13036-017-0058-3]



WJSC | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

