Age-Dependent Differences in T-Cell Responses to Influenza A Virus

Andrew D. Prigge^{1,2}, Ruihua Ma³, Bria M. Coates^{1,2}, Benjamin D. Singer^{3,4,5}*, and Karen M. Ridge^{3,6}*

¹Division of Critical Care Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, ³Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of
Medicine, ⁴Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, ⁵Simpson Querrey Center Cell and Molecular Biology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois; and ² Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

ORCID IDs: [0000-0003-4454-5152](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4454-5152) (A.D.P.); [0000-0001-5775-8427](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5775-8427) (B.D.S.).

Abstract

Respiratory infections from influenza A virus (IAV) cause substantial morbidity and mortality in children relative to adults. T cells play a critical role in the host response to IAV by supporting the innate and humoral responses, mediating cytotoxic activity, and promoting recovery. There are age-dependent differences in the number, subsets, and localization of T cells, which impact the host response to pathogens. In this article, we first review how T cells recognize IAV and examine differences in the resting T-cell populations between juveniles and adults. Next, we describe how

the juvenile $CD4^+$, $CD8^+$, and regulatory T-cell responses compare with those in adults and discuss the potential physiologic and clinical consequences of the differences. Finally, we explore the roles of two unconventional T-cell types in the juvenile response to influenza, natural-killer T cells and $\gamma\delta$ T cells. A clear understanding of age-dependent differences in the T-cell response is essential to developing therapies to prevent or reverse the deleterious effects of IAV in children.

Keywords: influenza; T cell; viral pneumonia; juvenile; agedependent

Influenza A virus (IAV) is a common pathogen that causes a spectrum of disease ranging from a mild upper-respiratory illness to a severe, life-threatening lowerrespiratory illness. Worldwide, influenza is responsible for 290,000–650,000 deaths annually (1, 2). Children, the elderly, and individuals with chronic medical conditions are at greater risk of developing severe disease requiring hospitalization. Each year, there are estimated to be 90 million new cases of influenza in children under the age of 5 years. Of those cases, 20 million are lower-respiratory-tract infections and 1 million are severe (3). This substantial burden of disease in young children is only partially explained by chronic medical

conditions, as half of influenza deaths in the United States from 2016 to 2019 were in previously healthy children (4).

Mouse models of IAV pneumonia support a paradigm in which the increased propensity for healthy children to have severe IAV disease is linked to agedependent differences in the host response to and recovery from infection. Juvenile mice exhibit increased inflammation, lung injury, and mortality from IAV infection when compared with adult mice (5–7). T cells make up a vital component of the immune response to IAV. In both human and murine adults, the role of T cells in response to IAV has been well described; however, there are key differences between

adult and juvenile T cells that change over time in both species (8–10). Understanding how these differences alter the juvenile T-cell response to IAV is critical to developing therapies to prevent or reverse the deleterious effects of IAV pneumonia. In this review, we will examine differences in how several distinct T-cell subsets respond to IAV in juveniles and discuss how those alterations in T-cell biology contribute to the differences in clinical outcomes between juveniles and adults.

Although mice provide a practical model for human disease, given their similar genome and physiology, it is difficult to equate ages between humans and mice (11). In this review, we defined ages on the basis

(Received in original form May 5, 2020; accepted in final form July 1, 2020)

Author Contributions: A.D.P. wrote the initial draft. R.M., B.M.C., B.D.S., and K.M.R. further added to, revised, and updated the manuscript.

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to Andrew D. Prigge, M.D., Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Division of Critical Care Medicine, 225 East Chicago Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611. E-mail: aprigge@luriechildrens.org.

Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol Vol 63, Iss 4, pp 415–423, Oct 2020

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society

Originally Published in Press as DOI: [10.1165/rcmb.2020-0169TR](http://dx.doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2020-0169TR) on July 1, 2020 Internet address: www.atsjournals.org

^{*}B.D.S. is Associate Editor and K.M.R. is Deputy Editor of AJRCMB. Their participation complies with American Thoracic Society requirements for recusal from review and decisions for authored works.

Supported by the Gorter Family Foundation, the Stanley Manne Children's Research Institute, and the Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago (A.D.P.); a David and Christine Cugell Fellowship (R.M.); U.S. National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute grants K08 HL143127 (B.M.C.), K08HL128867, R01HL149883, R01HL114800, and U19AI135964 (B.D.S.); and National Institutes of Health grants P01HL071643, R01HL128194, P01GM0969971, and P01AG049665 (K.M.R.).

of lung development and refer to the age on the first day of infection (12). The term "neonate" will refer to humans ages 0–30 days and mice ages 0–7 days. "Infant" will be used for humans ages 31 days to 1 year and mice ages 8–14 days. "Young" will describe humans ages 1–8 years and mice 15–30 days. Finally, "juvenile" will be used as a collective term for any nonadult (Figure 1).

T-Cell Recognition of IAV

IAV is a single-stranded, enveloped RNA virus of the Orthomyxoviridae family. It contains two surface proteins, HA (hemagglutinin) and NA (neuraminidase). Mutations in these surface proteins allow for evasion of the immune system through antigenic drift, resulting in seasonal influenza epidemics. Exchange of RNA between human and nonhuman strains of IAV creates antigenic shift and is the cause of pandemics (13). The first point of contact between IAV and the host is the respiratory epithelium (for review, see Reference 14). After traversing the mucus layer, IAV attaches to sialic-acid receptors on respiratory epithelial cells via HA, resulting in endocytosis of the virus (15). Acidification of the virus in the endosome allows for release of viral nucleic acid, which is transported to the cell nucleus to initiate viral replication (16). Once viral proteins are synthesized, new virions are assembled and released by NA-mediated cleavage of HA–sialic acid interactions (17). During this process, detection of IAV by the innate immune systems initiates antiviral signaling and a proinflammatory response (for review, see References 18, 19).

Activation of the innate immune system is the first step in engagement of the adaptive immune response (for review, see Reference 20). Dendritic cells (DCs)

residing in the pulmonary interstitium express pattern-recognition receptors that bind pathogen-associated molecular patterns. In addition, DCs can be activated through binding of damage-associated molecular patterns, which are cell components released from stressed or dying cells. Once activated, DCs capture viral antigens and migrate to draining lymph nodes through expression of the chemokine receptor CCR7 (21). B cells residing in lymph nodes can bind a viral antigen that has diffused into the lymph node through the afferent lymphatics, internalize the antigen, and process it for presentation to T cells (22). Macrophages are also able to migrate from the lungs and present antigens to T cells in draining lymph nodes; however, their contribution to the initial activation of the adaptive immune system remains unclear (21, 23, 24).

DCs reach maximal numbers on Days 2–4 after infection in draining lymph nodes, where they interact with T cells in high numbers, increasing the likelihood that they will encounter a viral-specific T cell (21). Viral antigens are presented on MHC (major histocompatibility complex) proteins I and II for recognition by $CDS⁺$ and $CD4^+$ T cells, respectively (25). When a TCR recognizes a viral antigen in an MHC context, an immune synapse forms, allowing for activation and subsequent proliferation of the cognate T cell (26). Activation via the immune synapse is contingent on a second signal, the binding of CD28 on T cells to B7 (CD80/CD86) on DCs (27). This second signal is an important checkpoint on T-cell activation. Once activated, T cells migrate to the lungs using integrins and a chemokine gradient (24, 28).

TCR antigen specificity develops after somatic recombination during T-cell development. However, there is cross-reactivity of antigens between strains

of influenza (29). This cross-reactivity plays a role in subsequent influenza infections via memory activation and is known as heterosubtypic immunity. In nonhuman primates, infection with cross-reactive IAV led to early peak activation of T cells and earlier clearance of virus (30). The possibility of childhood encounters with influenza fundamentally biasing future responses is known as imprinting. In influenza research, imprinting has focused on the B-cell response, even though T cells likely contribute (31). Although imprinting may play a role in the juvenile response, this review will primarily focus on the initial T-cell response to IAV.

T-Cell Population and Localization

The juvenile T-cell response to IAV must be understood in the context of how the juvenile adaptive immune systems differs from that of adults. Neonates are transitioning from a period of tolerance of maternal antigens to an environment where they are exposed to numerous innocuous and pathogenic antigens. Evidence of this transition exists in the number, subsets, and localization of juvenile T cells. Both human infant and neonatal murine T cells are predominantly naive, and a large proportion express CD31, a marker of recent thymic emigration (32). One key difference between human and murine newborns is their peripheral T-cell population at birth. Humans are born with an abundant population of T cells in the spleen and lymph nodes (9, 33). Neonatal mice, however, are born with lymphopenia, with few T cells in the spleen and lymph nodes. These populations increase during the first week of life, meaning that the peripheral T-cell population in newborn mice more closely reflects early stages of human fetal development (9, 33, 34).

After the neonatal period, the number, subsets, and localization of T cells continues to differ between juveniles and adults. In lymphoid tissues, human infants have a reduced T-cell:B-cell ratio; however, their $CD4^+$: $CD8^+$ composition is similar to adults (35). Human infant $CD4^+$ cells display a higher frequency of Foxp3 expression (35). Foxp3 is the lineagedefining transcription factor for regulatory T cells (Tregs), a subtype of $CD4^+$ T cells that is essential for mediating self-tolerance

and immune homeostasis (36). Differences in memory T-cell populations exist as well. Memory T cells are present in human infant tissues in lower proportions when compared with proportions of adults. This difference is more pronounced in the blood and lymphoid tissues than in nonlymphoid tissues (35). Similar to human infants, infant mice produce tissue-resident memory T cells at lower frequencies than their adult counterparts (37). These differences in T-cell populations and localization reveal that even before exposure to IAV, the juvenile adaptive immune response is poised to respond differently from that of adults.

CD4⁺ T-Helper Cells

T-helper cells are a subset of $CD4^+$ T cells that facilitate and enhance the activity of both the innate and adaptive immune system. Once activated, T-helper cells exert their effector function through both cytokines and surface proteins. For example, T-helper cells secrete IFN- γ , which increases viral processing in infected cells for targeting by CDB^+ cytotoxic cells, and IL-4, which is essential for immunoglobulin class-switching in B cells (38, 39). In addition, they express CD40 ligand, which binds to CD40 on both macrophages and DCs to augment their activation (40). Not all T-helper cells have the same effector profile. There are several subtypes that have distinct functions within the immune response. The cytokine milieu present at the time of activation influences the regulation of gene expression and determines the $CD4^+$ T-cell subtype specification (41).

In response to IAV, juveniles exhibit a delayed $CD4^+$ T-cell response as compared with adults (Table 1 and Figure 2). The role of $CD4^+$ T cells during IAV infection is to support immune function. Adult mice without $CD4^+$ T cells infected with IAV demonstrate a diminished CD8⁺ T-cell response and have delayed viral clearance (42, 43). This finding suggests that delayed recruitment of $CD4^+$ T cells to the lungs impairs the juvenile immune response to IAV. Neonatal mice reach peak counts of $CD4⁺$ T cells in the lungs at 14 days after infection with IAV, as opposed to adults, which exhibit peak values at \sim 10 days (44). A similar response is seen in young mice, with lower $CD4^+$ T-cell counts in the lungs

Table 1. Day of Peak Cell Recruitment and Cytokine Levels in Influenza A-infected Lungs

Definition of abbreviation: dpi = days post-infection.

*Adult mice 8–10 weeks old.

† Last time point assessed.

‡ First time point assessed.

at 7 days after infection when compared with adults (45, 46). One difference between neonatal and young mice is the recruitment of $CD4⁺$ T cells into the airways. Although young mice exhibit $CD4⁺$ T cells in the airways during IAV infection, neonatal mice display nearabsence of $CD4⁺$ T cells in the airways (44–46). The diminished $CD4^+$ T-cell response appears to occur in humans as well. In fatal cases of lower-respiratory-tract infections in infants with influenza, there were very low numbers of lung-tissue $CD4^+$ T cells at autopsy (47). In neonatal mice, the proportion of $CD4^+$ T cells in the lungs with an effector phenotype, CD44hiCD62L^{lo}, is lower when compared with adult mice early in infection; however, these cells equilibrate around Day 14 (37, 44). Thus, there is a delay not only in peak counts of absolute $CD4^+$ T cells in the lungs but also in effector $CD4^+$ T cells. Interestingly, early activation does not seem to be impaired in neonatal mice, as they express the activation marker CD69 on $CD4⁺$ T cells throughout infection and at levels that are similar to those of adults (44). Altogether, although early activation may be intact, there is a delay in effector $CD4⁺$ T-cell recruitment to the lungs in juveniles. This relative deficiency early in infection may impair the juvenile's ability to optimally support innate immune-cell and CDB^+ T-cell activity in the lungs, leading to prolonged inflammation.

An important distinction between the juvenile and adult response to IAV is the type of T-helper cell response mounted. It has been well described using noninfluenza antigens that neonatal mice have a bias toward a T-helper cell type 2 (Th2) response (48–50). One theory for why this bias exists is that it promotes perinatal adaption and protects developing tissues (51, 52). Type 2 immune responses, which are important for immunity against extracellular organisms, generate lower levels of inflammation and are involved in tissue repair. In contrast, type 1 immune responses, which are important for immunity against intracellular organisms, are proinflammatory. Thus, a type 2–biased immune response in neonates may have evolved to limit exposure to damaging inflammation during the perinatal period. In the context of IAV infection, this bias may be detrimental to the neonatal T-cell response. In studies of adult mice, Th2 cells are less effective against IAV than are Th1 cells, as a primarily Th2-driven response is associated with increased morbidity, mortality, and delayed viral clearance (53). During IAV infection, adult mice display a predominantly Th1-skewed response, whereas neonatal mice exhibit a mixed response without clear skewing (44, 54, 55). As juveniles age, there is a shift to a Th1 skewed response. Young mice display a decrease in the absolute number of Th1, Th2, and Th17 T cells in the lungs during IAV infection relative to adults; however, their proportions are similar (45). Although these observations show that the bias of the T-helper cell response changes as juveniles age, there is a persistent deficiency in lung Th1 cells among juveniles. The lack of a

robust Th1 cell response likely contributes to an inadequate type 1 immune response that is insufficient to support cytotoxic and phagocytic cells in the lungs.

Consistent with differences between the juvenile and adult T-helper cell response, there are differences in the levels and production of IFN-g between juveniles and adults during IAV infection (Table 1 and Figure 2). IFN- γ is the sole type II IFN and is primarily secreted by T cells (56). It is involved in several biologic processes, including adaptive immunity, regulation of inflammation, apoptosis, and the cell cycle. During IAV infection, IFN- γ has many functions. This is evident when examining mice deficient in IFN- γ production or signaling. Although there is no change in mortality or viral clearance, absence of IFN- γ during IAV infection results in delayed $CDS⁺$ T-cell recruitment, increased inflammation, and more severe

impairment of lung function (57–59). This IFN- γ -deficient phenotype is similar to that of juveniles. Neonatal mice exhibit a delayed and lower peak level of IFN- γ in their lungs when compared with adults (44). In addition, there is a near-absence of IFN- γ in the airways of neonatal mice (44). Similarly, young mice display lower levels of IFN- γ in their lungs during IAV infection (45). Differences are seen in humans as well. Peripheral $CD4^+$ cells from young children produce less IFN- γ in response to stimulation with influenza HA antigens when compared with adult cells (60). One consequence of lower levels of IFN- γ in juveniles is decreased T-cell recruitment, as administration of recombinant IFN- γ during IAV infection in mice improves lung migration (44, 45). In addition, in young mice, recombinant IFN- γ increases B-cell populations in the spleen and IAV-specific antibody titers;

Figure 2. Differences between juvenile and adult T-cell responses to influenza A virus. (A) Timeline depicting peak cell recruitment and cytokine levels in the lungs of juvenile and adult mice. (B) In addition to being delayed, the juvenile $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell responses to influenza A virus are diminished compared with those of adults. Curves and time points approximated from References 44–46, 54, 75. dpi = days post-infection.

however, histopathology scores remain unchanged (45). These findings suggest that the decreased production of IFN- γ in juveniles impairs both cell-mediated and humoral immunity during IAV infection.

Transcriptional regulation of the Ifng gene partially explains the decrease of IFN- γ production observed in juvenile mice. During T-cell development and in response to antigen recognition, there are changes in transcription orchestrated by transcription factors and epigenetic phenomena that determine T-cell activity (61–63). Methylation of DNA near gene promoters and transcription start sites is an epigenetic change that generally represses gene expression (64). $CD4^+$ T cells isolated from human cord blood are hypermethylated within and adjacent to the IFNG promoter (65). This hypermethylation likely contributes to the decreased production of IFN- γ observed in neonates. In young mice, the mechanism behind decreased IFN- γ may be different. Binding of IL-12 to its receptor on Th1 cells activates STAT4 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 4), which in turn induces expression of ERM, an Ets family transcription factor, to promote Ifng gene expression (66). Young mouse $CD4^+$ T cells have decreased expression of ERM and its downstream transcripts during activation when compared with adult $CD4^+$ cells (45). This implies that although the effects of decreased IFN- γ are similar across juveniles of different ages, the mechanisms regulating the deficiency change over time.

T cell–generated cytokines differ during the adult and juvenile response to IAV. IL-4 is a cytokine associated with type 2 immune responses (67). In addition to being essential for B-cell immunoglobulin class-switching, it is critical for differentiation of Th2 cells (39). Consistent with their mixed T-helper cell response, neonatal mice have higher levels of IL-4 in their lungs during IAV infection when compared with adults (44). These higher levels of IL-4 are not seen during IAV infections in young mice, which parallels their shift to a Th1-skewed response (45). TNF- α is a proinflammatory cytokine that is produced by many cell types, including macrophages, endothelial cells, B cells, and T cells (68). Although there is no difference in the levels of TNF- α seen between either neonatal or juvenile mice and adults, the peak of TNF- α is delayed in neonates and correlates with the delayed recruitment of

Figure 3. The juvenile T-cell response to influenza A virus. Recruitment of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells to the lungs is delayed and diminished relative to that of adults. Regulatory T cells are recruited in similar numbers. Production of inflammatory and suppressive cytokines IFN-y and IL-10 is reduced. In neonates, there is a mixed T-helper cell type 1 (Th1) and Th2 response that contributes to increased levels of IL-4. As juveniles age, there is a shift to a Th1 response. In addition, neonates have less TCR variability, which increases as they age, as well as an increased propensity to induce stable Foxp3 expression, which decreases as they age. $\gamma\delta$ T cells are a source of IL-17 in neonates and promote tissue recovery by inducing amphiregulin. DN NKT cells rapidly expand and exhibit the ability to suppress $CD4^+$ T cells. DN NKT = double-negative natural-killer T cell.

 $CD4⁺$ T cells to the lungs (44, 45). IL-10 is an immunosuppressive cytokine that targets both innate and adaptive immune cells to reduce inflammation and tissue damage; it is decreased in the lungs of young mice infected with IAV when compared with adults (Table 1) (46, 69). This observation of low IL-10 levels together with low IFN- γ levels in the lungs of young mice during IAV infection is consistent with the observation that IL-10 and IFN- γ levels are closely correlated during IAV infection in adult mice (70). Although many immune cells can produce IL-10, the predominant source of IL-10 in adult mice during IAV infection is effector $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells (69, 70). In young mice, the expression of IL-10 was found to be downregulated in both $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells (46). When IL-10 is blocked in adult mice there is uncontrolled inflammation during IAV infection (70). Administration of IL-10 to juvenile mice during IAV infection improves histopathology scores (46). Thus, the diminished production of

IL-10 in juveniles likely allows for severe inflammation after IAV infection, resulting in increased tissue damaged and delayed recovery.

Altogether, the juvenile $CD4^+$ T-cell and T cell–generated cytokine responses to IAV pneumonia are delayed and diminished when compared with those of adults (Figure 3). Transcriptional regulation partially explains the decreased production of IFN- γ observed in juveniles. The relative deficiency of the $CD4^+$ T-cell response may result in insufficient support of the innate, cytotoxic, humoral, and antiinflammatory immune responses, causing severe, prolonged inflammation. This results in significant lung injury and the severe clinical phenotype from IAV pneumonia observed in juveniles.

CD8⁺ Cytotoxic T Cells

The primary function of $CD8⁺$ cytotoxic T cells is to eliminate infected cells.

Cytotoxic T cells are activated through their TCR and via other costimulatory signals (71). Once activated, $CD8⁺$ T cells deploy several mechanisms to kill target cells. They release perforins and proteases that form channels in the target cell membrane and degrade cellular proteins, respectively. In addition, they can induce apoptosis of the target cell through the Fas–Fas ligand (72). Cytotoxic T-cell effector function is not limited to cell lysis, as they contribute to production of immunomodulatory cytokines such as IFN- γ , TNF, and IL-10 (70, 72).

During IAV infection, juveniles display a delayed and diminished $CDB⁺ T-cell$ response relative to adults (Figure 2, Table 1). The involvement of $CD8⁺$ T cells during IAV infection is crucial, as their absence in adult mice results in delayed viral clearance with sublethal doses and increased mortality with lethal doses of IAV when compared with wild-type mice (73). This critical role of $CD8⁺$ T cells is observed in humans as well. Adults with an

Figure 4. Comparison of T-cell response, viral clearance, and lung inflammation in adults and juveniles with influenza A infection. (A) In healthy adults, there is an early and robust T-cell response with mild inflammation that manifests as mild clinical disease. (B) In juveniles, there is a delayed and diminished T-cell response, resulting in prolonged, severe inflammation that manifests as severe clinical disease.

early, prominent peak of $CD8⁺$ T cells in peripheral blood recover more quickly from IAV than those adults with a delayed peak of $CD8⁺$ T cells (74). Although this early peak likely represents a heterosubtypic memory response, it illustrates the importance of a timely $CDS⁺$ T-cell response, which juveniles are lacking. Neonatal and infant mice have decreased IAV-specific $CD8⁺$ T cells in the lungs relative to older juveniles and adults during infection (32, 44, 75–77). The peak of $CDS⁺$ T cells in the lungs is not only lower but also delayed (77). Young mice similarly exhibit a lower and delayed peak of $CD8⁺$ T cells in the lungs during infection with IAV (46). One difference between neonatal and young mice is that neonates have few to no $CD4^+$ or $CD8^+$ T cells in their airways during IAV infection, whereas young mice display these lymphocyte

populations in their airways during IAV infection (44, 46). Alterations in $CD8⁺$ T-cell migration to the lungs is also seen in humans. In fatal cases of lower-respiratorytract infections from influenza, infants have a near-absence of $CD8⁺$ T cells in the lungs (47). The consequence of the delayed and diminished $CDS⁺$ T-cell response in juveniles during IAV infection is likely prolonged immune activation.

There are cell-autonomous differences between adult and juvenile $CDS⁺ T-cell$ responses to IAV. Adoptive transfer of adult $CD8⁺$ T cells into neonatal mice results in improved $CD8⁺$ T-cell expansion, enhanced pulmonary function, and reduced inflammation during IAV infection (75, 77). Conversely, when neonatal $CD8⁺$ T cells are transferred into an adult mouse, no improvement in T-cell expansion is observed (77). One potential source of this difference is the TCR. Neonatal mice have less TCR variability, and the antigenspecific response of neonatal $CD8⁺$ cells is less diverse because of differences in usage of TCR gene segments as well as decreased nucleotide addition to complementaritydetermining regions (77). When $CD8^+$ T cells with a high-affinity TCR are selectively transferred from a neonatal mouse to an adult, $CDS⁺ T-cell expansion$ is similar to that of adult $CDS⁺ T$ cells (77). It is important to note that this likely only affects neonates, as there is rapid evolution of the TCR repertoire in mice during the first week after birth (77). Another potential mechanism for differences between juvenile and adult $CD8⁺$ T-cell responses is transcriptional regulation. The transcriptional profile of peripheral-blood $CD8⁺$ T cells isolated from young children $(₇$ yr old) with influenza revealed decreased upregulation of IFN-stimulated genes relative to older children $($ >7 yr old) (78). This difference could only partially be accounted for by evidence of previous influenza exposure, suggesting that T-cell memory alone does not account for agedependent differences (78). Altogether, these studies suggest that age-related differences in transcriptional regulation and TCR diversity influence the $CD8⁺$ T-cell response to IAV.

Collectively, the juvenile $CD8⁺$ T-cell response to IAV pneumonia is delayed and diminished when compared with that of adults (Figure 3). Age-dependent differences in TCR somatic recombination and transcriptional regulation may contribute to this observation. The consequence of an inadequate $CD8⁺$ T-cell response is prolonged immune activation. This state likely delays the initiation of prorecovery functions and allows for ongoing lung injury from inflammation, resulting in the severe disease from IAV pneumonia observed in juveniles.

Tregs

Tregs are a subset of $CD4^+$ lymphocytes that mediate self-tolerance and maintain immune homeostasis (for review, see Reference 36). They are defined by expression of the transcription factor Foxp3. Tregs can develop in the thymus (natural Tregs) or differentiate in the periphery from $CD4^+$ T cells (induced Tregs) (79). They have multiple

mechanisms to suppress the immune response, including expression of cellsurface proteins CTLA4, lymphocyte activation gene 3, CD39, CD73, and CD25 as well as production of the cytokines IL-10, IL-35, and transforming growth factor β (79–81). During lung injury, Tregs play an essential role in recovery and produce the epidermal growth factor receptor ligand amphiregulin (82–84). Their absence results in delayed recovery, decreased epithelial proliferation, and increased fibroproliferation (82, 83, 85).

Few studies have examined the role of Tregs in the juvenile response to IAV. In neonatal mice, there are higher proportions of Tregs in the lungs at 6 days after infection when compared with adults; however, the absolute number is similar throughout infection (54). In another model of lung injury using intrapharyngeal LPS, adoptive transfer of adult Tregs into neonatal mice attenuated inflammation and decreased weight loss, suggesting cell-autonomous differences between juveniles and adults (86). There is also a difference in the propensity to generate Tregs. When exposed to antigens, murine neonatal $CD4⁺$ T cells are more likely to induce stable expression of Foxp3 and acquire a regulatory phenotype when compared with adult $CD4^+$ T cells (87). This propensity dramatically decreases in the first 2 weeks of life, so it likely does not play a role in older juveniles. The specific role of Tregs during juvenile IAV infection is unclear. Loss of Tregs in neonatal mice increases the number of $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells in the lungs, increases activation of T cells, and increases IFN- γ production (54). Even with this increase in T-cell recruitment and IFN- γ , neonatal mice without Tregs have delayed viral clearance (54). This suggests a role for Tregs in mediating viral elimination. It is interesting that despite equal-to-increased numbers of Tregs in the lungs, juveniles develop increased inflammation and tissue damage after IAV infection because Tregs have an essential role in the recovery from lung injury (Figure 3). Altogether, these findings raise questions about the ability of juvenile Tregs to promote tissue recovery and repair during IAV infection.

Natural-Killer T Cells and γ δ T Cells

Natural-killer T (NKT) cells and $\gamma\delta$ T cells are unconventional T cells that have both innate and adaptive properties. Like conventional T cells, NKT cells express an $\alpha\beta$ TCR; however, there are limited rearrangements resulting in recognition of conserved antigens on MHC I–like molecules (for review, see Reference 88). $\gamma\delta$ T cells express a TCR composed of γ and δ subunits, which recognizes conserved nonpeptide antigens that are upregulated by cells under stress (for review, see References 89, 90). Both NKT cells and $\gamma\delta$ T cells have diverse populations with a spectrum of effector functions ranging from proinflammatory to immunosuppression and have been shown to play a role in the response to IAV (88–90).

Infant mice have lower counts of NKT cells at baseline when compared with adults (91). When infant mice are infected with IAV, there is rapid expansion of the NKT-cell population (91). One specific population that increases is $CD4 - CD8$ ⁻ (double-negative) NKT cells (91, 92). These cells produce IFN- γ and suppress activity of $CD4^+$ T cells in vitro (91). During reinfection in adulthood, these cells are protective against airway hyperreactivity (91, 92).

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells appear to play a protective role in the neonatal IAV response. Neonatal mice without $\gamma \delta$ T cells have increased weight loss, increased inflammation, and decreased survival with IAV infection when compared with wild-type mice (93). In neonatal mice, $\gamma\delta$ T cells are early producers of IL-17, which induces epithelial-cell production of IL-33 to cause higher levels of amphiregulin, driving tissue protection and recovery (93, 94). Both types of unconventional T cells described here appear to have protective roles for juveniles during IAV infection; however, additional studies are needed to further delineate the roles of NKT cells and $\sqrt{\delta}$ T cells as well as to describe the roles of other unconventional lymphoid cells, such as mucosa-associated invariant T cells and innate lymphoid cells (Figure 3).

Conclusions

Healthy children are more severely affected by IAV than are adults. This difference in outcomes may be due to age-dependent differences in their T-cell response. The juvenile adaptive immune system is poised for immune tolerance and changes throughout development in the number, subsets, and localization of T cells. This shifting landscape alters the T-cell response to IAV as juveniles age, creating differences among neonates, infants, and young children. Nevertheless, despite these developmental changes, similar themes exist. There is a parallel delayed and diminished peak of the juvenile $CD4^+$ T-cell, $CD8^+$ T-cell, and IFN- γ response to IAV in the lungs. Similarly, other T cell–generated cytokines such as IL-10 are decreased, and despite an equal-toincreased presence of Tregs, there is increased inflammation and tissue damage. This relatively deficient T-cell response in juveniles may be providing insufficient support to the innate, humoral, and prorecovery immune responses, resulting in increased lung injury that manifests as severe clinical disease (Figure 4).

Current studies suggest transcriptional and epigenetic programming are at least partially responsible for these differences. Further studies are needed to better under understand the mechanisms behind the delayed and diminished response. In addition, future investigation should explore juvenile repair mechanisms and the regulation of switching from proinflammatory to prorecovery processes. An understanding of the mechanisms underlying why the T-cell response is delayed and diminished and how this influences the balance of inflammation and recovery is vital to developing therapies to prevent and reverse the detrimental effects of IAV in children. \blacksquare

[Author disclosures](http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1165/rcmb.2020-0169TR/suppl_file/disclosures.pdf) are available with the text of this article at [www.atsjournals.org.](http://www.atsjournals.org)

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Jennifer Davis for her assistance in figure preparation and copy editing. Figure 3 was created with [BioRender.com.](http://BioRender.com)

References

- 1. Iuliano AD, Roguski KM, Chang HH, Muscatello DJ, Palekar R, Tempia S, et al.; Global Seasonal Influenza-associated Mortality Collaborator Network. Estimates of global seasonal influenza-associated respiratory mortality: a modelling study. Lancet 2018;391:1285–1300.
- 2. World Health Organization. Fact sheets: influenza (seasonal). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2018 [accessed 2020 Jan 23]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/](https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/influenza-(seasonal)) detail/infl[uenza-\(seasonal\).](https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/influenza-(seasonal))
- 3. Nair H, Brooks WA, Katz M, Roca A, Berkley JA, Madhi SA, et al. Global burden of respiratory infections due to seasonal influenza in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2011;378: 1917–1930.
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. FluView Interactive: influenza-associated pediatric mortality. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2020 [accessed 2020 Jan 23]. Available from: [https://gis.cdc.gov/GRASP/Fluview/PedFluDeath.](https://gis.cdc.gov/GRASP/Fluview/PedFluDeath.html) [html.](https://gis.cdc.gov/GRASP/Fluview/PedFluDeath.html)
- 5. Sun S, Zhao G, Xiao W, Hu J, Guo Y, Yu H, et al. Age-related sensitivity and pathological differences in infections by 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus. Virol J 2011;8:52.
- 6. Coates BM, Staricha KL, Koch CM, Cheng Y, Shumaker DK, Budinger GRS, et al. Inflammatory monocytes drive influenza A virus-mediated lung injury in juvenile mice. J Immunol 2018;200:2391–2404.
- 7. Coates BM, Staricha KL, Ravindran N, Koch CM, Cheng Y, Davis JM, et al. Inhibition of the NOD-like receptor protein 3 inflammasome is protective in juvenile influenza A virus infection. Front Immunol 2017;8:782.
- 8. Chen X, Liu S, Goraya MU, Maarouf M, Huang S, Chen JL. Host immune response to influenza A virus infection. Front Immunol 2018;9:320.
- 9. Kumar BV, Connors TJ, Farber DL. Human T cell development, localization, and function throughout life. Immunity 2018;48:202–213.
- 10. Fike AJ, Kumova OK, Carey AJ. Dissecting the defects in the neonatal CD8¹ T-cell response. J Leukoc Biol 2019;106:1051–1061.
- 11. Dutta S, Sengupta P. Men and mice: relating their ages. Life Sci 2016; 152:244–248.
- 12. Chao CM, El Agha E, Tiozzo C, Minoo P, Bellusci S. A breath of fresh air on the mesenchyme: impact of impaired mesenchymal development on the pathogenesis of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Front Med (Lausanne) 2015;2:27.
- 13. van de Sandt CE, Kreijtz JH, Rimmelzwaan GF. Evasion of influenza A viruses from innate and adaptive immune responses. Viruses 2012;4:1438–1476.
- 14. Benam KH, Denney L, Ho LP. How the respiratory epithelium senses and reacts to influenza virus. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2019;60: 259–268.
- 15. Rust MJ, Lakadamyali M, Zhang F, Zhuang X. Assembly of endocytic machinery around individual influenza viruses during viral entry. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2004;11:567–573.
- 16. Samji T. Influenza A: understanding the viral life cycle. Yale J Biol Med 2009;82:153–159.
- 17. Rossman JS, Lamb RA. Influenza virus assembly and budding. Virology 2011;411:229–236.
- 18. Coates BM, Staricha KL, Wiese KM, Ridge KM. Influenza A virus infection, innate immunity, and childhood. JAMA Pediatr 2015;169: 956–963.
- 19. Iwasaki A, Pillai PS. Innate immunity to influenza virus infection. Nat Rev Immunol 2014;14:315–328.
- 20. Hufford MM, Kim TS, Sun J, Braciale TJ. The effector T cell response to influenza infection. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 2015;386:423–455.
- 21. Kim TS, Braciale TJ. Respiratory dendritic cell subsets differ in their capacity to support the induction of virus-specific cytotoxic CDB ⁺ T cell responses. PLoS One 2009;4:e4204.
- 22. Adler LN, Jiang W, Bhamidipati K, Millican M, Macaubas C, Hung SC, et al. The other function: class II-restricted antigen presentation by B cells. Front Immunol 2017;8:319.
- 23. Kirby AC, Coles MC, Kaye PM. Alveolar macrophages transport pathogens to lung draining lymph nodes. J Immunol 2009;183: 1983–1989.
- 24. Braciale TJ, Sun J, Kim TS. Regulating the adaptive immune response to respiratory virus infection. Nat Rev Immunol 2012;12:295–305.
- 25. Rossjohn J, Gras S, Miles JJ, Turner SJ, Godfrey DI, McCluskey J. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. Annu Rev Immunol 2015;33:169–200.
- 26. Courtney AH, Lo WL, Weiss A. TCR signaling: mechanisms of initiation and propagation. Trends Biochem Sci 2018;43:108–123.
- 27. Bugeon L, Dallman MJ. Costimulation of T cells. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;162:S164–S168.
- 28. D'Ambrosio D, Mariani M, Panina-Bordignon P, Sinigaglia F. Chemokines and their receptors guiding T lymphocyte recruitment in lung inflammation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001;164:1266–1275.
- 29. Koutsakos M, Illing PT, Nguyen THO, Mifsud NA, Crawford JC, Rizzetto S, et al. Human CDB^+ T cell cross-reactivity across influenza A, B and C viruses. Nat Immunol 2019;20:613–625.
- 30. Weinfurter JT, Brunner K, Capuano SV III, Li C, Broman KW, Kawaoka Y, et al. Cross-reactive T cells are involved in rapid clearance of 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza virus in nonhuman primates. PLoS Pathog 2011;7:e1002381.
- 31. Nelson SA, Sant AJ. Imprinting and editing of the human CD4 T cell response to influenza virus. Front Immunol 2019;10:932.
- 32. Fike AJ, Nguyen LT, Kumova OK, Carey AJ. Characterization of CD31 expression on murine and human neonatal T lymphocytes during development and activation. Pediatr Res 2017;82:133–140.
- 33. Burt TD. Fetal regulatory T cells and peripheral immune tolerance in utero: implications for development and disease. Am J reprod Immunol 2013;69:346–358.
- 34. Friedberg SH, Weissman IL. Lymphoid tissue architecture: II. Ontogeny of peripheral T and B cells in mice. Evidence against Peyer's patches as the site of generation of B cells. J Immunol 1974;113:1477–1492.
- 35. Thome JJ, Bickham KL, Ohmura Y, Kubota M, Matsuoka N, Gordon C, et al. Early-life compartmentalization of human T cell differentiation and regulatory function in mucosal and lymphoid tissues. Nat Med 2016;22:72–77.
- 36. Zhao H, Liao X, Kang Y. Tregs: where we are and what comes next? Front Immunol 2017;8:1578.
- 37. Zens KD, Chen JK, Guyer RS, Wu FL, Cvetkovski F, Miron M, et al. Reduced generation of lung tissue-resident memory T cells during infancy. J Exp Med 2017;214:2915–2932.
- 38. Boehm U, Klamp T, Groot M, Howard JC. Cellular responses to interferon-gamma. Annu Rev Immunol 1997;15:749–795.
- 39. Seder RA, Paul WE, Davis MM, Fazekas de St Groth B. The presence of interleukin 4 during in vitro priming determines the lymphokineproducing potential of $CD4^+$ T cells from T cell receptor transgenic mice. J Exp Med 1992;176:1091–1098.
- 40. Grewal IS, Flavell RA. CD40 and CD154 in cell-mediated immunity. Annu Rev Immunol 1998;16:111–135.
- 41. Tripathi SK, Lahesmaa R. Transcriptional and epigenetic regulation of T-helper lineage specification. Immunol Rev 2014;261:62–83.
- 42. Belz GT, Wodarz D, Diaz G, Nowak MA, Doherty PC. Compromised influenza virus-specific CD8(+)-T-cell memory in CD4(+)-T-celldeficient mice. J Virol 2002;76:12388–12393.
- 43. Riberdy JM, Christensen JP, Branum K, Doherty PC. Diminished primary and secondary influenza virus-specific CD8(+) T-cell responses in CD4-depleted $lg(-/-)$ mice. J Virol 2000;74:9762-9765.
- 44. Lines JL, Hoskins S, Hollifield M, Cauley LS, Garvy BA. The migration of T cells in response to influenza virus is altered in neonatal mice. J Immunol 2010;185:2980–2988.
- 45. Verhoeven D, Perry S, Pryharski K. Control of influenza infection is impaired by diminished interferon- γ secretion by CD4 T cells in the lungs of toddler mice. J Leukoc Biol 2016;100:203–212.
- 46. Verhoeven D, Perry S. Differential mucosal IL-10-induced immunoregulation of innate immune responses occurs in influenza infected infants/toddlers and adults. Immunol Cell Biol 2017;95:252–260.
- 47. Welliver TP, Garofalo RP, Hosakote Y, Hintz KH, Avendano L, Sanchez K, et al. Severe human lower respiratory tract illness caused by respiratory syncytial virus and influenza virus is characterized by the absence of pulmonary cytotoxic lymphocyte responses. J Infect Dis 2007;195:1126–1136.
- 48. Singh RR, Hahn BH, Sercarz EE. Neonatal peptide exposure can prime T cells and, upon subsequent immunization, induce their immune deviation: implications for antibody vs. T cell-mediated autoimmunity. J Exp Med 1996;183:1613-1621.

- 49. Li L, Lee HH, Bell JJ, Gregg RK, Ellis JS, Gessner A, et al. IL-4 utilizes an alternative receptor to drive apoptosis of Th1 cells and skews neonatal immunity toward Th2. Immunity 2004;20:429–440.
- 50. Rose S, Lichtenheld M, Foote MR, Adkins B. Murine neonatal $CD4^+$ cells are poised for rapid Th2 effector-like function. J Immunol 2007; 178:2667–2678.
- 51. Harris NL, Loke P. Recent advances in type-2-cell-mediated immunity: insights from helminth infection. Immunity 2017;47:1024–1036.
- 52. Gause WC, Wynn TA, Allen JE. Type 2 immunity and wound healing: evolutionary refinement of adaptive immunity by helminths. Nat Rev Immunol 2013;13:607–614.
- 53. Graham MB, Braciale VL, Braciale TJ. Influenza virus-specific CD4⁺ T helper type 2 T lymphocytes do not promote recovery from experimental virus infection. J Exp Med 1994;180:1273–1282.
- 54. Oliphant S, Lines JL, Hollifield ML, Garvy BA. Regulatory T cells are critical for clearing influenza A virus in neonatal mice. Viral Immunol 2015;28:580–589.
- 55. Román E, Miller E, Harmsen A, Wiley J, Von Andrian UH, Huston G, et al. CD4 effector T cell subsets in the response to influenza: heterogeneity, migration, and function. J Exp Med 2002;196:957–968.
- 56. Castro F, Cardoso AP, Gonçalves RM, Serre K, Oliveira MJ. Interferongamma at the crossroads of tumor immune surveillance or evasion. Front Immunol 2018;9:847.
- 57. Graham MB, Dalton DK, Giltinan D, Braciale VL, Stewart TA, Braciale TJ. Response to influenza infection in mice with a targeted disruption in the interferon gamma gene. J Exp Med 1993;178:1725–1732.
- 58. Wiley JA, Cerwenka A, Harkema JR, Dutton RW, Harmsen AG. Production of interferon- γ by influenza hemagglutinin-specific CD8 effector T cells influences the development of pulmonary immunopathology. Am J Pathol 2001;158:119–130.
- 59. Turner SJ, Olivas E, Gutierrez A, Diaz G, Doherty PC. Disregulated influenza A virus-specific $CDB⁺ T$ cell homeostasis in the absence of IFN-gamma signaling. J Immunol 2007;178:7616–7622.
- 60. Shannon I, White CL, Murphy A, Qiu X, Treanor JJ, Nayak JL. Differences in the influenza-specific CD4 T cell immunodominance hierarchy and functional potential between children and young adults. Sci Rep 2019;9:791.
- 61. Ohkura N, Hamaguchi M, Morikawa H, Sugimura K, Tanaka A, Ito Y, et al. T cell receptor stimulation-induced epigenetic changes and Foxp3 expression are independent and complementary events required for Treg cell development. Immunity 2012;37:785–799.
- 62. Makar KW, Wilson CB. DNA methylation is a nonredundant repressor of the Th2 effector program. J Immunol 2004;173:4402-4406.
- 63. McGrath-Morrow SA, Ndeh R, Helmin KA, Chen SY, Anekalla KR, Abdala-Valencia H, et al. DNA methylation regulates the neonatal $CD4^+$ T-cell response to pneumonia in mice. J Biol Chem 2018;293:11772–11783.
- 64. Morales-Nebreda L, McLafferty FS, Singer BD. DNA methylation as a transcriptional regulator of the immune system. Transl Res 2019;204: 1–18.
- 65. White GP, Watt PM, Holt BJ, Holt PG. Differential patterns of methylation of the IFN-gamma promoter at CpG and non-CpG sites underlie differences in IFN-gamma gene expression between human neonatal and adult CD45RO⁻ T cells. J Immunol 2002;168:2820-2827.
- 66. Ouyang W, Jacobson NG, Bhattacharya D, Gorham JD, Fenoglio D, Sha WC, et al. The Ets transcription factor ERM is Th1-specific and induced by IL-12 through a Stat4-dependent pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96:3888–3893.
- 67. Annunziato F, Romagnani C, Romagnani S. The 3 major types of innate and adaptive cell-mediated effector immunity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015;135:626–635.
- 68. Matikainen S, Sirén J, Tissari J, Veckman V, Pirhonen J, Severa M, et al. Tumor necrosis factor alpha enhances influenza A virus-induced expression of antiviral cytokines by activating RIG-I gene expression. J Virol 2006;80:3515–3522.
- 69. Ouyang W, O'Garra A. IL-10 family cytokines IL-10 and IL-22: from basic science to clinical translation. Immunity 2019;50:871–891.
- 70. Sun J, Madan R, Karp CL, Braciale TJ. Effector T cells control lung inflammation during acute influenza virus infection by producing IL-10. Nat Med 2009;15:277–284.
- 71. Haring JS, Badovinac VP, Harty JT. Inflaming the $CDB⁺$ T cell response. Immunity 2006;25:19–29.
- 72. Harty JT, Tvinnereim AR, White DW. $CDB⁺$ T cell effector mechanisms in resistance to infection. Annu Rev Immunol 2000;18:275–308.
- 73. Bender BS, Croghan T, Zhang L, Small PA Jr. Transgenic mice lacking class I major histocompatibility complex-restricted T cells have delayed viral clearance and increased mortality after influenza virus challenge. J Exp Med 1992;175:1143–1145.
- 74. Wang Z, Wan Y, Qiu C, Quiñones-Parra S, Zhu Z, Loh L, et al. Recovery from severe H7N9 disease is associated with diverse response mechanisms dominated by $CDB⁺ T$ cells. Nat Commun 2015;6:6833.
- 75. You D, Ripple M, Balakrishna S, Troxclair D, Sandquist D, Ding L, et al. Inchoate $CDB⁺$ T cell responses in neonatal mice permit influenzainduced persistent pulmonary dysfunction. J Immunol 2008;181: 3486–3494.
- 76. Fike AJ, Kumova OK, Tardif VJ, Carey AJ. Neonatal influenza-specific effector CTLs retain elevated CD31 levels at the site of infection and have decreased IFN-y production. J Leukoc Biol 2019;105:539-549.
- 77. Carey AJ, Gracias DT, Thayer JL, Boesteanu AC, Kumova OK, Mueller YM, et al. Rapid evolution of the $CDB⁺ TCR$ repertoire in neonatal mice. J Immunol 2016;196:2602–2613.
- 78. Henrickson SE, Manne S, Dolfi DV, Mansfield KD, Parkhouse K, Mistry RD, et al. Genomic circuitry underlying immunological response to pediatric acute respiratory infection. Cell Rep 2018;22:411–426.
- 79. Georgiev P, Charbonnier LM, Chatila TA. Regulatory T cells: the many faces of Foxp3. J Clin Immunol 2019;39:623–640.
- 80. Venet F, Chung CS, Huang X, Lomas-Neira J, Chen Y, Ayala A. Lymphocytes in the development of lung inflammation: a role for regulatory CD4⁺ T cells in indirect pulmonary lung injury. J Immunol 2009;183:3472–3480.
- 81. Dowling MR, Kan A, Heinzel S, Marchingo JM, Hodgkin PD, Hawkins ED. Regulatory T cells suppress effector T cell proliferation by limiting division destiny. Front Immunol 2018;9:2461.
- 82. Mock JR, Garibaldi BT, Aggarwal NR, Jenkins J, Limjunyawong N, Singer BD, et al. $F\alpha p3^+$ regulatory T cells promote lung epithelial proliferation. Mucosal Immunol 2014;7:1440–1451.
- 83. Garibaldi BT, D'Alessio FR, Mock JR, Files DC, Chau E, Eto Y, et al. Regulatory T cells reduce acute lung injury fibroproliferation by decreasing fibrocyte recruitment. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2013;48:35–43.
- 84. Arpaia N, Green JA, Moltedo B, Arvey A, Hemmers S, Yuan S, et al. A distinct function of regulatory T cells in tissue protection. Cell 2015; 162:1078–1089.
- 85. Singer BD, Mock JR, Aggarwal NR, Garibaldi BT, Sidhaye VK, Florez MA, et al. Regulatory T cell DNA methyltransferase inhibition accelerates resolution of lung inflammation. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2015;52:641–652.
- 86. McGrath-Morrow SA, Lee S, Gibbs K, Lopez A, Collaco JM, Neptune E, et al. Immune response to intrapharyngeal LPS in neonatal and juvenile mice. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2015;52:323-331.
- 87. Wang G, Miyahara Y, Guo Z, Khattar M, Stepkowski SM, Chen W. "Default" generation of neonatal regulatory T cells. J Immunol 2010; 185:71–78.
- 88. Trottein F, Paget C. Natural killer T cells and mucosal-associated invariant T cells in lung infections. Front Immunol 2018;9:1750.
- 89. Cheng M, Hu S. Lung-resident $\gamma\delta$ T cells and their roles in lung diseases. Immunology 2017;151:375–384.
- 90. Zhao Y, Lin L, Xiao Z, Li M, Wu X, Li W, et al. Protective role of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in different pathogen infections and its potential clinical application. J Immunol Res 2018;2018:5081634.
- 91. Chang YJ, Kim HY, Albacker LA, Lee HH, Baumgarth N, Akira S, et al. Influenza infection in suckling mice expands an NKT cell subset that protects against airway hyperreactivity. J Clin Invest 2011;121:57–69.
- 92. Chuang YT, Leung K, Chang YJ, DeKruyff RH, Savage PB, Cruse R, et al. A natural killer T-cell subset that protects against airway hyperreactivity. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2019;143:565–576, e7.
- 93. Guo XJ, Dash P, Crawford JC, Allen EK, Zamora AE, Boyd DF, et al. Lung $\gamma\delta$ T cells mediate protective responses during neonatal influenza infection that are associated with type 2 immunity. Immunity 2018;49:531–544, e6.
- 94. Monticelli LA, Osborne LC, Noti M, Tran SV, Zaiss DM, Artis D. IL-33 promotes an innate immune pathway of intestinal tissue protection dependent on amphiregulin-EGFR interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015;112:10762–10767.