



Published in final edited form as:

Am J Ophthalmol. 2020 October ; 218: 208–213. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2020.05.025.

Retinopathy of Prematurity: Evolving Treatment with anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

M. Elizabeth Hartnett, MD.

65 N. Mario Capecchi Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84132.

Abstract

Purpose: To discuss the evolution in retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) since its first description as retrolental fibroplasia in the US, including the changes in the understanding of pathophysiology; methods of diagnosis; destructive, anti-VEGF, and supportive treatments; and differences in ROP manifestations worldwide. The overall goal is to clarify ROP currently and formulate questions to optimize future care.

Study Design: Literature Review and Synthesis

Methods: Critical review and consideration of the literature with inclusion of historical articles and those regarding pathophysiologic risk factors, ROP worldwide, basic and clinical science particularly regarding anti-VEGF mechanisms and agents tested in clinical trials.

Results: ROP has evolved from affecting infants about 2 months premature to affecting extremely premature infants. Worldwide, ROP differs and in emerging countries, has features similar to that experienced in the US when ROP first manifested. Treatments have evolved from destruction of the peripheral avascular retina to inhibit angiogenic stimuli to anti-VEGF agents, which inhibit pathologic angiogenesis but also extend normal intraretinal angiogenesis by ordering the development of intraretinal vessels. Clinical trial evidence is accruing with the goal to develop less destructive treatments to optimize vision and that are protective to the retina and infant.

Conclusions: Goals for ROP are to optimize prenatal and perinatal care, improve diagnostic acumen worldwide and refine treatment strategies, including with anti-VEGF agents, to inhibit intravitreal angiogenesis and facilitate vascularization of the previously avascular retina, which include supporting neural and vascular development of the premature infant and retina.

Retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) was originally described as retrolental fibroplasia (RLF) in the US in the 1940's¹ and, despite advances in neonatal care, continues to be a leading cause of childhood vision loss worldwide². Complex retinal detachment from uncontrolled blood vessel growth into the vitreous (henceforth, referred to as preretinal neovascularization) remains a cause of blindness, but the risk profile of infants with this “treatment-warranted” ROP has changed in the US^{2,3} and differs from countries worldwide,

Corresponding Author: Mary Elizabeth Hartnett, MD, Tel: 801-213-4044; Fax: 801-581-3357 ME.Hartnett@hsc.utah.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

which have the resources and means to save premature infants but not to optimize care⁴. There is also a shortage of trained ophthalmologists who are skilled in screening premature infants using indirect ophthalmoscopy or tele-imaging to diagnose treatment-warranted ROP⁵, administer treatment and identify reactivation or progressive disease. In this perspective, the current understanding of how anti-VEGF treatment not only reduces the development of uncontrolled preretinal neovascularization into the vitreous but also facilitates ongoing intraretinal “vascularization of previously avascular retina” will be presented based on basic and clinical research. Ongoing concerns of anti-VEGF agents and traditional treatments in the developing preterm infant and retina will be discussed as will future directions and questions, including the differences in ROP risk profiles worldwide.

Changes in ROP Evolution and Differences in Risk Profile Worldwide

ROP is a retinovascular disease in which blood vessels grow into the vitreous rather than into the retina. At the time Terry described ROP in 1942¹, premature infants at risk were more developmentally mature being born on average 2 months premature compared to 4 months premature today. Infants were also born at larger birth weights. The retinal vasculature, which does not extend to the ora serrata until about 40 weeks gestation, was also likely more completely developed then compared to today. The ability to examine the peripheral retina was limited, so the understanding of the causes of ROP was based largely on experimentation in newborn animals exposed to similar conditions that preterm infants experienced in early incubators that were less sophisticated than today. Experimentation led to the observations that high, unregulated oxygen damaged newly formed retinal capillaries, leaving areas of avascular retina. This avascular retina was postulated to become hypoxic when the animal was moved out of high supplemental oxygen into ambient air and induced fulminant angiogenesis into the vitreous as well as tortuous retinal vessels⁶. A multicenter clinical prospective study by Patz and Kinsey⁷ provided evidence that high oxygen exposure at birth was related to clinical RLF. At that time, the ability to monitor and regulate oxygen had not been developed, and with technologic advances, regulation of oxygen virtually abolished RLF. However, ROP re-emerged with the ability to save extremely premature infants. The process of high oxygen induced retinal capillary damage may still occur in some infants where there are insufficient resources to monitor and regulate oxygen².

In developed countries, such as the US, Canada, UK, Sweden and Australia, as examples, ROP occurs in extremely preterm infants born less than 28 weeks gestational age or under 1000 grams birth weight. In extremely premature infant eyes, the retinal vasculature has extended incompletely to the ora serrata often leaving broad areas of avascular retina. Therefore, if treatment-warranted ROP develops, it includes incomplete peripheral retinal vascularization and later preretinal neovascularization into the vitreous. Oxygen monitoring and regulation are now standard care, so besides high oxygen at birth, other factors have been associated with the pathophysiology of ROP. These vary across regions of the world but include oxygen saturation targets, sepsis, ventilator related factors, fluctuations in oxygenation, diseases associated with oxidative signaling, extreme prematurity and poor postnatal growth⁸⁻¹³. Since the retinal vasculature is often incompletely developed in extremely premature infants, inhibiting abnormal blood vessel growth to treat preretinal neovascular growth into the vitreous may also interfere with normal retinal vascularization

to the ora serrata, and treatments for ROP, such as cryotherapy, laser or anti- VEGF agents either destroy peripheral avascular retina or potentially interfere with peripheral retinal vascular growth to the ora serrata.

Advances in Treatments

Prior to anti-VEGF agents for adult vitreoretinal diseases, several seminal clinical trials tested methods that ablate the avascular peripheral retina in order to cause regression of preretinal neovascularization and prevent subsequent fibrovascular retinal detachments. Treatment-warranted ROP was defined by a threshold of characteristics that together led to a 50% risk of an unwanted outcome, and cryotherapy reduced the risk compared to no treatment (Multicenter Trial of Cryotherapy for ROP¹⁴). A later multicenter clinical trial found efficacy with laser treatment for a less severe form of treatment-warranted ROP (type 1 ROP), in which the risk of an unwanted outcome was approximately 15% (Early Treatment for ROP study¹⁵). The ablation of the peripheral avascular retina was believed to reduce the hypoxic retina that expressed angiogenic factor(s) or to treat the cells that were expressing the angiogenic factors. Once laser or cryotherapy was performed, it was difficult to determine if the avascular retina could have supported intraretinal vascularization extending to the ora serrata. There is anecdotal evidence that retinal vessels grow in between treatment spots toward the ora serrata (personal observation), and stronger evidence from the natural history of comparisons groups in the multicenter studies in which eyes underwent spontaneous regression of preretinal neovascularization and vascularization of the previously avascular retina^{16,17}. Altogether, observations supported the thinking that if a treatment were developed to extend normal vascularization, the stimulus for abnormal preretinal neovascularization might be removed. Although remodeling of retinal vasculature had been reported in adult retinovascular diseases^{18,19}, it requires vascular repair and regrowth as opposed to re-initiating a developmental process that had been stalled, as in some preterm infants.

When VEGF was discovered as an important growth factor largely responsible for adult retinovascular diseases associated with preretinal neovascularization, there was the concern that treating premature infants with anti-VEGF agents might halt normal retinal vascularization, because VEGF is also essential for the development of the retinal vasculature^{20,21}. This potential interference with normal vascularization then might also result in later recurrences of preretinal neovascularization and, in fact, reactivation has now been reported in some infants treated with anti-VEGF agents^{22,23}.

New approaches to facilitate vascularization of the previously avascular retina as a means to prevent preretinal neovascularization were tested experimentally based on current pathophysiologic risk factors. Animal models were chosen that represent ROP in which oxygen was a factor as was delayed normal vascular development of the peripheral retina. Several studies reported that inhibiting or regulating signaling mechanisms triggered by reactive oxygen species supported intraretinal vascular development but did not prevent preretinal neovascularization experimentally²⁰. Later clinical trials also failed to find a benefit or found toxicity from anti-oxidants^{3,24}. Downstream signaling of the JAK/STAT3 pathways in retinal endothelial cells through experimental approaches reduced preretinal

neovascularization but did not promote intraretinal vascularization of the previously avascular retina²⁵. However, innovative methods specifically knocking down, and thereby regulating but not abolishing, signaling through the angiogenic receptor of VEGF, VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2), specifically in retinal endothelial cells provided strong evidence that regulation of the VEGF signaling pathway could both inhibit preretinal neovascularization and facilitate vascularization of the previously avascular retina²⁵. Although it is counterintuitive that inhibiting an angiogenic factor would actually promote intraretinal angiogenesis, experimental evidence established the importance of VEGFR2 signaling in ordering the alignment of dividing retinal endothelial cells to form into vessels that could extend intraretinal vascularization to the ora serrata²⁶.

Clinical Evidence

Clinical evidence supports experimental observations that regulating actions of VEGF not only inhibits preretinal neovascularization, but also extends intraretinal vascularization of the previously avascular retina toward the ora serrata. However, dose and type of anti-VEGF agent appear important. The first clinical trial, BEAT-ROP, found 0.625 mg of bevacizumab effective at reducing the need for retreatment at 54 weeks post-conceptual age compared to laser in a subgroup of infants with ROP in zone I or posterior zone II²⁷. Subsequent reports using similar doses reported recurrent ROP with progression to retinal detachment in infants of older post-conceptual ages than reported in the natural history of disease or after laser treatment, or reduced serum VEGF for more than several months after injection²⁸. These findings were concerning, because examination of the peripheral retina in older, more active preterm infants is difficult to perform without sedation in the clinic. Low serum VEGF may be harmful to developing organs, such as the brain, in the premature infant. Studies have reported either increased risk or no effect on neurocognitive outcomes in infants treated with intravitreal anti-VEGF agents^{29–31}.

However, the question whether reduced serum VEGF truly affects neurocognitive development in preterm infants is difficult. Extremely premature infants at the greatest risk of ROP are also at the greatest risk of neurocognitive delay. The highest risk infants are not always included in prospective clinical trials, and controlling for enrollment can lead to bias³². A recent example of this regards addressing the question whether erythropoietin administered to preterm infants can improve neurocognitive development. A meta-analysis of several clinical studies that addressed this question showed a benefit of erythropoietin for neuroprotection, but the recent PENUT clinical trial, which had stringent enrollment criteria, did not find value of early erythropoietin treatment on neurocognitive outcomes in children at 2 years of age³³. The studies to date testing anti-VEGF in preterm infants have been retrospective or may have excluded infants at the greatest risk³². Currently, few, if any, studies have evaluated the effect of anti-VEGF on the neural retina, including by optical coherence tomography (OCT). Considering the effect of VEGF on the developing retina is important, because VEGF is also a survival factor for other retinal cells besides endothelial cells³⁴.

Drugs that regulate VEGFR2 specifically in retinal endothelial cells without inhibiting its beneficial effects in other retinal cells are not currently available. Experimental models used

subretinal delivery of gene therapy²⁵, but this is not safe in the human preterm infant eye. Current approaches for ROP employ intravitreal agents that bind the ligand, VEGF, in order to reduce signaling through receptors, but the approach is not specific to the receptor or to endothelial cells. However, experimental methods that reduce the expression of VEGF in Müller cells where it was produced in a representative model of ROP provided evidence that greater anti-VEGF effect thinned neural retina, but a lesser anti-VEGF effect reduced preretinal neovascularization and did not thin the neural retina³⁴. These findings together suggest that an appropriate dose of the right anti-VEGF agent may safely extend intraretinal angiogenesis and inhibit preretinal neovascularization without adversely affecting developing organs or the brain.

Ideally, a dose of intravitreal anti-VEGF would neutralize excess VEGF and thereby regulate signaling through VEGFR2 specifically in retinal endothelial cells, but it is unknown what the concentration of intravitreal VEGF is in an eye of an infant with ROP. Also the VEGF concentration may vary from infant to infant and eye to eye. Vitreous and blood volumes are small in premature infants so errors in dose can have big effects. It is difficult to prepare and deliver small volumes and concentrations to preterm infant eyes. In addition, larger infants with ROP, reported in some countries⁴, have bigger volumes of vitreous and blood. Injecting a similar dose of drug into a larger infant may lead to a lower concentration of anti-VEGF in the blood stream and a lesser deleterious systemic effect on the more developmentally mature infant. When reviewing the many studies throughout the world addressing anti-VEGF agents in ROP, there are differences found, including in the definitions of treatment-warranted ROP, in the size and developmental ages of infants who develop ROP, and in the agents and doses used. For these reasons, it is not as helpful to compare agents or doses from different clinical studies. A previous Cochrane review of studies testing anti-VEGF agents for ROP concluded that more evidence was needed³⁵.

Recent prospective and randomized clinical studies and trials have been reported since the Cochrane review in 2018. The RAINBOW study used ranibizumab, which is cleared more rapidly from the blood and eye, compared to laser³⁶, and the PEDIG ROP1 studies tested de-escalating doses of bevacizumab to find an effective and safe dose³⁷. Studies through Regeneron are also testing the effect of aflibercept³⁸ to laser in type 1 ROP.

The RAINBOW STUDY was a multicenter international randomized, open-label study testing the superiority of ranibizumab (0.2 mg, 0.1 mg) to laser. The study did not achieve statistical significance as a superiority study, but the 0.2 mg dose of ranibizumab was concluded to be possibly superior to laser. The trial was performed for a more severe level of treatment-warranted ROP than type 1 ROP tested in the ETROP and ROP1 studies and mainly included eyes with zone II ROP. Recurrences by 6 months were found in 31%, but there was no reduced serum VEGF from either dose of ranibizumab at one month³⁶.

The PEDIG ROP1 study found that, bevacizumab, even at a dose of 0.031 mg, was effective for type 1 ROP through 6 months. The number of infants is too small to make a firm conclusion about correct dose³⁷, and future studies will compare a chosen dose to laser with outcomes of efficacy of treatment, extension of vascularization of the previously avascular retina, reactivation of ROP and neurocognitive outcomes.

Ongoing Questions

Although much knowledge has been gained about ROP since the first description in 1942, questions remain. It remains unclear what dose and what anti-VEGF agent can be delivered to safely inhibit preretinal neovascularization and facilitate vascularization of the previously avascular retina without injuring the neural retina or developing systemic organs, including the brain. The anti-VEGF treatment ideally would be an agent that would not require repeat injections, which also increase risk to the eye. If ROP is misdiagnosed and treatment is performed too early, then the effect might be similar to delivering too high a dose. Therefore, accurate diagnosis is important. Telemedicine and methods employing artificial intelligence and machine based learning are being evaluated^{39,40}.

Controversy exists whether to treat persistent avascular retina with laser following anti-VEGF treatment. There is a risk of reactivation following anti-VEGF even over a year after treatment, and there is concern that persistent avascular retina may increase the risk of later retinal tears adjacent to thinned, avascular retina. However, it has not been established that laser will prevent reactivation in all cases and long-term study is needed to address the effect of laser to persistent avascular retina on risk of later retinal detachment. It is also unclear if anti-VEGF increases the risk of persistent avascular retina or later retinal detachment, or if persistent avascular retina in eyes that never develop ROP or with regressed ROP poses similar risks. Perhaps the retina of an extremely premature infant has not developed normally or fully and is unable to support complete intraretinal vascularization to the ora serrata. If persistent avascular retina is common after anti-VEGF even in zone II ROP, perhaps laser would be optimal to anti-VEGF as a first-line treatment. Clinical trials are testing the effect of anti-VEGF compared to laser on refractive errors, including myopia, vascularization of the previously avascular retina, and neural structure of the retina, and these outcomes, in addition to future studies assessing risk of retinal detachment, are important.

Methods to vascularize the avascular retina through nutritional supplementation and growth factors, including IGF-1, are being tested. An initial study found infusion of IGF-1 did not reduce ROP⁴¹, but future trials will test IGF-1 for other complications of prematurity, and ROP will also be evaluated. In addition, it will be important to continue to test strategies to address neuroprotection and neurovascular effects on the developing neural and vascular retina. Throughout the world, more work is needed to understand the causes for differences in infants at risk of ROP. Work in public health is needed to improve resources and to develop systems to optimize pre- and peri-natal care including but not excluding implementing methods to regulate oxygen². In addition, research to identify causes and test strategies to prevent premature birth, such as by reducing environmental pollutants and other factors⁴², and thereby reduce the number of infants at risk of ROP is important.

Conclusion

In conclusion, many changes have occurred since ROP was first described, including in our understanding of the pathophysiology of disease to find potentially better mechanisms to treat ROP. There are different appearances and causes of ROP worldwide, from extremely

premature infants in developed countries to larger infants worldwide². Studies have made great strides in the care of these fragile premature infants, who are true survivors, and there is still much to learn.

Acknowledgements/Disclosure:

a. Funding/Support:

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (EY014800) and an Unrestricted Grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc., New York, NY, to the Department of Ophthalmology & Visual Sciences, University of Utah. Dr. Hartnett is also supported by two grants from the NEI/NIH: R01 EY015130 and R01 EY017011.

b. Financial Disclosures:

MEH has been a consultant for Novartis, USA and Regeneron, USA and receives royalties for the textbook, *Pediatric Retina* from Wolters Kluwer Health, Philadelphia PA. MEH has patent 10,214,741 US and a provisional patent, 62/905,880.

c. Other Acknowledgments:

Maria Isabel Gomez, Moran Eye Center, University of Utah, for assistance with formatting of text and references.

Biography



References

1. Terry TL. rhIGF-1/rhIGFBP-3 in Preterm Infants: A Phase 2 Randomized Controlled Trial. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*. 1942;25:203–204.
2. Darlow BA, Gilbert C. Retinopathy of prematurity - A world update. *Semin Perinatol*. 2019;43(6):315–316. [PubMed: 31151777]
3. Hartnett ME, Penn JS. Mechanisms and Management of Retinopathy of Prematurity. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(26):2515–2526. [PubMed: 23268666]
4. Shah P, Narendran V, Kalpana N, Gilbert C. Severe retinopathy of prematurity in big babies in India: History repeating itself? *Indian J Pediatr*. 2009;76(8):801–804. [PubMed: 19802548]
5. Ells AL, Holmes JM, Astle WF, et al. Telemedicine approach to screening for severe retinopathy of prematurity: a pilot study. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110(11):2113–2117. [PubMed: 14597517]
6. Ashton N, Cook C. Direct observation of the effect of oxygen on developing vessels: preliminary report. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 1954;38:433–440. [PubMed: 13172418]
7. Kinsey VE, Arnold HJ, Kalina RE, et al. PaO₂ levels and retrolental fibroplasia: A report of the cooperative study. *Pediatrics*. 1977;60:655–668. [PubMed: 578921]
8. AlRyalat SA, Al Oweidat K, Al-Amer A, et al. Perinatal events predicting retinopathy of prematurity in extremely pre-term infants. *J Neonatal Perinatal Med*. 2020.
9. York JR, Landers S, Kirby RS, Arbogast PG, Penn JS. Arterial Oxygen Fluctuation and Retinopathy of Prematurity in Very-Low-Birth-Weight Infants. *J Perinatol*. 2004;24(2):82–87. [PubMed: 14762452]
10. Di Fiore JM, Bloom JN, Orge F, et al. A higher incidence of intermittent hypoxemic episodes is associated with severe retinopathy of prematurity. *J Pediatr*. 2010;157(1):69–73. [PubMed: 20304417]

11. Wu C, Vanderveen DK, Hellstrom A, Lofqvist C, Smith LE. Longitudinal postnatal weight measurements for the prediction of retinopathy of prematurity. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2010;128(4):443–447. [PubMed: 20385939]
12. Saugstad OD. Oxidative stress in the newborn—a 30-year perspective. *Biol Neonate*. 2005;88(3):228–236. [PubMed: 16210845]
13. Askie LM, Darlow BA, Finer N, et al. Association Between Oxygen Saturation Targeting and Death or Disability in Extremely Preterm Infants in the Neonatal Oxygenation Prospective Meta-analysis Collaboration. *Jama*. 2018;319(21):2190–2201. [PubMed: 29872859]
14. Multicenter trial of cryotherapy for retinopathy of prematurity. Preliminary results. Cryotherapy for Retinopathy of Prematurity Cooperative Group. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1988;106(4):471–479. [PubMed: 2895630]
15. Good WV, Hardy RJ, Dobson V, et al. Final visual acuity results in the early treatment for retinopathy of prematurity study. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2010;128(6):663–671. [PubMed: 20385926]
16. Schaffer DB, Palmer EA, Plotsky DF, et al. Prognostic factors in the natural course of retinopathy of prematurity. *Ophthalmology*. 1993;100:230–237. [PubMed: 8437832]
17. Palmer EA, Flynn JT, Hardy RJ, et al. Incidence and early course of retinopathy of prematurity. *Ophthalmology*. 1991;98:1628–1640. [PubMed: 1800923]
18. Chui TY, Pinhas A, Gan A, et al. Longitudinal imaging of microvascular remodelling in proliferative diabetic retinopathy using adaptive optics scanning light ophthalmoscopy. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt*. 2016;36(3):290–302. [PubMed: 26803289]
19. Liew G, Campbell S, Klein R, et al. Ten-year longitudinal changes in retinal microvascular lesions: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(8):1612–1618. [PubMed: 21529953]
20. Hartnett ME. The effects of oxygen stresses on the development of features of severe retinopathy of prematurity: knowledge from the 50/10 OIR model. *Doc Ophthalmol*. 2010;120(1):25–39.
21. Chan-Ling T, Gock B, Stone J. The effect of oxygen on vasoformative cell division: Evidence that ‘physiological hypoxia’ is the stimulus for normal retinal vasculogenesis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1995;36:1201–1214. [PubMed: 7775098]
22. Huang Q, Zhang Q, Fei P, et al. Ranibizumab Injection as Primary Treatment in Patients with Retinopathy of Prematurity: Anatomic Outcomes and Influencing Factors. *Ophthalmology*. 2017;124(8):1156–1164. [PubMed: 28412066]
23. Mintz-Hittner HA, Geloneck MM, Chuang AZ. Clinical Management of Recurrent Retinopathy of Prematurity after Intravitreal Bevacizumab Monotherapy. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123(9):1845–1855. [PubMed: 27241619]
24. Brion LP, Bell EF, Raghuvver TS. Vitamin E supplementation for prevention of morbidity and mortality in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2003(4):Cd003665.
25. Simmons AB, Bretz CA, Wang H, et al. Gene therapy knockdown of VEGFR2 in retinal endothelial cells to treat retinopathy. *Angiogenesis*. 2018;21(4):751–764. [PubMed: 29730824]
26. Zeng G, Taylor SM, McColm JR, et al. Orientation of endothelial cell division is regulated by VEGF signaling during blood vessel formation. *Blood*. 2007;109(4):1345–1352. [PubMed: 17068148]
27. Mintz-Hittner HA, Kennedy KA, Chuang AZ. Efficacy of intravitreal bevacizumab for stage 3+ retinopathy of prematurity. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364(7):603–615. [PubMed: 21323540]
28. Sato T, Wada K, Arahori H, et al. Serum concentrations of bevacizumab (avastin) and vascular endothelial growth factor in infants with retinopathy of prematurity. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2012;153(2):327–333 e321. [PubMed: 21930258]
29. Molnar AEC, Andreasson SO, Larsson EKB, Akerblom HM, Holmstrom GE. Reduction of Rod and Cone Function in 6.5-Year-Old Children Born Extremely Preterm. *JAMA Ophthalmology*. 2017;135(8):854–861. [PubMed: 28662245]
30. Fan YY, Huang YS, Huang CY, et al. Neurodevelopmental Outcomes after Intravitreal Bevacizumab Therapy for Retinopathy of Prematurity: A Prospective Case-Control Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2019;126(11):1567–1577. [PubMed: 30954553]
31. Natarajan G, Shankaran S, Nolen TL, et al. Neurodevelopmental Outcomes of Preterm Infants With Retinopathy of Prematurity by Treatment. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(2).

32. Carden SM. Are We Closer to Understanding Developmental Outcomes from Intravitreal Bevacizumab Therapy for Retinopathy of Prematurity? *Ophthalmology*. 2019;126(11):1578–1579. [PubMed: 31635702]
33. Juul SE CB, Wadhawan R, et al. A Randomized Trial of Erythropoietin for Neuroprotection in Preterm Infants. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:233–243. [PubMed: 31940698]
34. Becker S, Wang H, Simmons AB, et al. Targeted Knockdown of Overexpressed VEGFA or VEGF164 in Muller cells maintains retinal function by triggering different signaling mechanisms. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):2003. [PubMed: 29386650]
35. Sankar MJ, Sankar J, Chandra P. Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) drugs for treatment of retinopathy of prematurity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018;1:Cd009734.
36. Stahl A, Lepore D, Fielder A, et al. Ranibizumab versus laser therapy for the treatment of very low birthweight infants with retinopathy of prematurity (RAINBOW): an open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10208):1551–1559. [PubMed: 31522845]
37. Wallace DK, Dean TW, Hartnett ME, et al. A Dosing Study of Bevacizumab for Retinopathy of Prematurity: Late Recurrences and Additional Treatments. *Ophthalmology*. 2018;125(12):1961–1966. [PubMed: 29887334]
38. Vural A, Perente I, Onur IU, et al. Efficacy of intravitreal aflibercept monotherapy in retinopathy of prematurity evaluated by periodic fluorescence angiography and optical coherence tomography. *Int Ophthalmol*. 2019;39(10):2161–2169. [PubMed: 30478752]
39. Moshfeghi DM. Systemic Solutions in Retinopathy of Prematurity. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2018;193:xiv–xviii. [PubMed: 29792838]
40. Brown JM, Campbell JP, Beers A, et al. Automated Diagnosis of Plus Disease in Retinopathy of Prematurity Using Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. *JAMA Ophthalmology*. 2018;136(7):803–810. [PubMed: 29801159]
41. Ley D, Hallberg B, Hansen-Pupp I, et al. rhIGF-1/rhIGFBP-3 in Preterm Infants: A Phase 2 Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Pediatr*. 2019;206:56–65.e58. [PubMed: 30471715]
42. Etzel RA. Is the Environment Associated With Preterm Birth? *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(4):e202239–e202239.