

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2020 April 01; 142(13): 5918–5923. doi:10.1021/jacs.9b13531.

Nickel-catalyzed decarbonylative amination of carboxylic acid esters

Christian A. Malapit‡, **Margarida Borrell**‡, **Michael W. Milbauer**, **Conor E. Brigham**, **Melanie S. Sanford***

Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, 930 North University Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

Abstract

The reaction of carboxylic acid derivatives with amines to form amide bonds has been the most widely used transformation in organic synthesis over the past century. Its utility is driven by the broad availability of the starting materials as well as the kinetic and thermodynamic driving force for amide bond formation. As such, the invention of new reactions between carboxylic acid derivatives and amines that strategically deviate from amide bond formation remains both a challenge and an opportunity for synthetic chemists. This report describes the development of a nickel-catalyzed decarbonylative reaction that couples (hetero)aromatic esters with a broad scope of amines to form (hetero)aryl amine products. The successful realization of this transformation was predicated on strategic design of the cross-coupling partners (phenol esters and silyl amines) to preclude conventional reactivity that forms inert amide by-products.

Graphical Abstract

Carboxylic acids are abundant, inexpensive, and stable compounds, and these properties render them attractive building blocks for organic synthesis. As such, metal-catalyzed decarbonylative or decarboxylative reactions that employ aryl carboxylic acid derivatives

Supporting Information

^{*}**Corresponding Author**: mssanfor@umich.edu.

[‡]These authors contributed equally.

Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website. Experimental details, characterization data, and NMR spectra of compounds (PDF) X-ray crystal structure files (CIF)

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

 $[ArC(O)X]$ as coupling partners have gained tremendous attention over the past two decades.^{1,2} A variety of (hetero)aryl carbon–carbon, carbon–sulfur, carbon–boron, carbon–

silicon, carbon–halogen, and other carbon–heteroatom linkages can be formed using this approach.¹ However, methods for the decarbonylative or decarboxylative coupling of ArC(O)X (Ar = aryl) with amines to afford aryl C(sp^2)–N bonds (Figure 1A) remain limited. While a few such transformations have been reported, they often have a very narrow scope with respect to the carboxylic acid and/or amine coupling partner.^{3–6} For example, most decarboxylative methods require strong electron withdrawing groups on the arene ring, or weakly nucleophilic N-group to form carbamates.^{5–6} In decarbonylative reactions, couplings involving non-stabilized 1° or 2° amines remain challenging, largely due to the competing amide formation with these strongly nucleophilic partners (Figure 1A, conventional reactivity).³ This is exemplified by Rueping's recent report of Ni-catalyzed decarbonylative amination of phenyl esters. As shown in Figure 1B, this transformation is effective for weakly nucleophilic benzophenone imine. In contrast, the use of more nucleophilic amines such as morpholine, 3 aniline, and indole results in exclusive formation of the amide product.

The paucity of methods to convert carboxylic acid derivatives to amines is particularly noteworthy because the products are of high value in medicinal chemistry.⁷ As such, new, general, and practical approaches to forge (hetero)aryl $C(sp^2)$ –N bonds from abundant starting materials have the potential for widespread application.

We hypothesized that undesired background amide formation could be mitigated by masking the amine with a main group element (M). Appropriate selection of M would provide an amine nucleophile, M–NR₂, that is inert to background acyl transfer but can selectively engage a metal catalyst via transmetalation.⁸ A catalytic cycle that leverages this approach is shown in Figure 1B. First, ArC(O)X reacts with a low valent metal catalyst via oxidative addition and carbonyl deinsertion to afford an arylmetal intermediate (**B**).⁹ Intermediate **B** then undergoes transmetalation with M–NR₂ and subsequent C(sp^2)–NR₂ coupling to release the targeted aryl amine product. The success of this cycle relies on strategic design of $M-NR₂$, $ArC(O)X$, and the metal catalyst such that: (i) background acyl transfer between M–NR₂ and ArC(O)X is slow; (ii) **B** undergoes facile transmetalation with M–NR₂; (iii) carbonyl deinsertion is fast relative to transmetalation (since reaction between M–NR₂ and metal acyl intermediate **A** would afford the undesired amide by-product; Figure 1B);¹⁰ and (iv) other key steps of the catalytic cycle (oxidative addition, $C(sp^2)$ –N coupling) are energetically feasible. This report describes the successful realization of this transformation, using a nickel phosphine catalyst to couple aromatic ester electrophiles with *in situ*generated silyl amines.

Based on the criteria outlined above, trimethylsilyl (TMS)-substituted amines as the M–NR² nucleophile are expected to slow background acyl transfer, while facilitating base-free transmetalation⁸ between TMS–NR₂ and **B**. To identify a suitable ArC(O)X coupling partner, we evaluated the background reaction of TMS–morpholine with three carboxylic acid derivatives: acid chloride **1-Cl**, acid fluoride **1-F**, and aryl ester **1-OPh**. Heating TMS– morpholine with **1-Cl** or **1-F** at 100 °C for 1 h resulted in undesired acyl transfer to afford amide **3** in high yield (Figure 2A, [M] = TMS). In contrast, the less electrophilic **1-OPh** showed <5% amide formation under analogous conditions. Notably, as expected, the use of

free morpholine as the nucleophile led to a high yield of the amide product with all three electrophiles (Figure 2A, $[M] = H$).

We next examined the coupling of TMS–morpholine with **1-F** and **1-OPh** in the presence of Ni-bisphosphine catalysts (Figure 2B). Importantly, Ni phosphine complexes are known to participate in oxidative addition and carbonyl deinsertion with diverse ArC(O)X electrophiles.¹ Bisphosphine supporting ligands were chosen based on their ability to effect $C(sp^2)$ –N coupling at Ni^{II} centers.¹¹ Representative results with dppf and dcype are shown in Figure 2 (see SI for larger ligand screen). Consistent with the fast background reaction, amide formation dominated with **1-Cl** and **1-F** under catalytic conditions. In contrast, with **1-OPh**, decarbonylative coupling to afford aryl amine **4** proceeded in modest to high yield with dppf and dcype, respectively. Under the optimized conditions (10 mol % Ni/dcype in toluene at 150 °C), **1-OPh** reacted with TMS–morpholine to afford **4** in 90% yield with >19:1 selectivity for amine **4** versus amide **3**.

We next explored the scope of this transformation and found that it is general for a variety of electron-deficient and electron-neutral carboxylic acid esters (Figure 3A).¹² Substituents such as trifluoromethyl, methyl ester, nitrile, ketone, and phenyl ether (**4**-**9**) are well tolerated. Competing cross-coupling is not observed at methyl ester (**5**) or boronate ester (**10**) sites. Various N-containing heteroaryl carboxylic acid esters such as pyridine, quinoline, and quinoxaline derivatives are converted to N-heteroaryl amines (**12**-**14**) in moderate to excellent yields. S- and O-containing heteroaryl esters such as benzothiophene (**15**), benzofuran (**16**), chromone (**17**), and thiazoles (**20**) are also converted to the desired amine products. Esters derived from carboxylic acid-containing drugs such as probenecid (**18**), bexarotene (**19**) and febuxostat (**20**) afford good to excellent yields. This transformation is also general with respect to the amine coupling partner (Figure 3B). Utilizing the probenecid ester **18-OPh** as the electrophile, various TMS-amines react smoothly to yield **18**, **25**, **27**, and **28**. More stable triethylsilyl (TES)- and triisopropylsilyl (TIPPS)-protected amines are effective coupling partners but provide lower yields of **25**.

While TMS–amines are straightforward to synthesize, their commercial availability is limited. In addition, some derivatives are susceptible to hydrolysis. Thus, the in situ formation of these species from readily available amine starting materials would significantly enhance the practicality of this method. After some optimization, we identified the commercial silyl transfer reagent N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) as effective for the rapid, room temperature conversion of diverse $HNR₂$ to TMS– $NR₂$. Indeed, the direct addition of $HNR₂$ and MSTFA to the standard coupling conditions resulted in effective Ni-catalyzed decarbonylative coupling (Figure 3B). Secondary dialkyl and diaryl N-heterocycles13 such as morpholines (**18**), piperidines (**21**, **22**), piperazines (**23**), pyrrolidines (**24**), indoles (**25**), and carbazoles (**26**) underwent coupling in good to excellent yields under these conditions. Furthermore, both primary aryl (**28**-**30**), and alkyl amines (**31**-**34**) afforded secondary aryl amines products in good yields. In the few cases where background acyl transfer reactivity was observed (**31**-**34**), prestirring of HNR2 with MSTFA for 1 h prior to catalysis resulted in selective generation of the desired aryl amine.

Traditional metal-catalyzed couplings between aryl electrophiles and amines require typically stoichiometric quantities of an exogenous inorganic base to promote metalation of the amine coupling partner.14 This is a key limitation of the Buchwald-Hartwig amination of aryl halides, and significant recent effort has focused on identifying milder bases for these transformations.15 In contrast, the current method eliminates the need for an exogenous base for $C(sp^2)$ –N coupling. As such, base-sensitive amine substrates¹⁶ are well tolerated and deliver aryl amine products (**24**, **33**, **34**) in good yields.

We then set out studies focused on eliminating the need for air-sensitive $Ni(cod)_2$ as the nickel source. These investigations revealed that the use of $Ni(CO)_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$, an air-stable and commercial reagent, as Ni(0) source affords aryl amine **28** in good yield (Figure 3C). All of the catalysts and reagents were weighed on the benchtop without the requirement of an inert glovebox.

Finally, we conducted stoichiometric studies to interrogate the proposed reaction mechanism. The reaction of phenyl ester **8-OPh** with Ni(cod)₂/dcype in toluene at 80 °C for 3 h resulted in oxidative addition/carbonyl deinsertion to afford (dcype)Ni(Ph)(OPh) (**B**) in 60% yield (Figure 4A).¹⁷ A Ni^{II} acyl intermediate (A in Figure 1B) was not detected by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy during this reaction, suggesting that carbonyl deinsertion is fast under these conditions. Notably, reactions performed at 60 °C or lower did not afford observable conversion of **8-OPh** due to slow oxidative addition. The treatment of **B** with TMS–indole in toluene at room temperature for 1 h resulted in transmetalation to form Ni^{II} complex C in quantitative yield (Figure 4B). Complex **C** is stable and isolable at room temperature. Aryl $C(sp^2)$ –N bond-forming reductive elimination was only observed upon heating at 120 °C, which afforded aryl amine product **35** in 65% yield after 16 h. These studies show the feasibility of each proposed step of the proposed catalytic cycle. Furthermore, they demonstrate that in this stoichiometric system, $C(sp^2)$ –N bond formation is the most challenging step of the sequence.

In conclusion, we developed a Ni-catalyzed decarbonylative conversion of esters to aryl amines. The generality, selectivity, and base-free nature of this transformation render it complementary to existing Pd/Ni-catalyzed methods for the construction of (hetero)aryl amines. Current limitations, including low reactivity of electron rich and sterically hindered aryl esters (see SI), and the requirement of high temperatures and catalyst loading, will be addressed by future mechanistic and catalysis development studies.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge J. W. Kampf for X-ray crystallographic analyses of compounds **B** and **C**. We acknowledge financial support from NIH NIGMS (GM073836), the Danish National Research Foundation (Carbon Dioxide Activation Center; CADIAC), and the Spanish Ministry of Science (PhD grant to M.B.; BES-2016-076349).

REFERENCES

- 1. For recent reviews, see:(a)Ogiwara Y; Sakai N Acyl fluorides in late transition-metal catalysis. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2019, DOI: 10.1002/ange.201902805(b)Blanchard N; Bizet V Acid fluorides in transition-metal catalysis: a good balance between stability and reactivity. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2019, 58, 2(c)Guo L; Rueping M Decarbonylative cross-couplings: nickel catalyzed functional group interconversion strategies for the construction of complex organic molecules. Acc. Chem. Res 2018, 51, 1185 [PubMed: 29652129] (d)Meng G; Szostak M N-Acyl-glutarimides: privyleged scaffolds in amide N–C bond cross-coupling. Eur. J. Org. Chem 2018, 2352(e)Takise R; Muto K; Yamaguchi J Cross-coupling of aromatic esters and amides. Chem. Soc. Rev 2017, 46, 5864 [PubMed: 28685781] (f)Rodriguez N; Gooβen LJ Decarboxylative coupling reactions: a modern strategy for C–C bond formation. Chem. Soc. Rev 2011, 40, 5030. [PubMed: 21792454]
- 2. For seminal report on decarboxylative biaryl synthesis:Gooβen LJ; Deng G; Levy LM Synthesis of biaryls via catalytic decarboxylative coupling. Science 2006, 313, 662. [PubMed: 16888137]
- 3. (a)Yue H; Guo L; Liao H-H; Cai Y; Zhu C; Rueping M Catalytic ester and amide to amine interconversion: nickel-catalyzed decarbonylative amination of esters and amides by C–O and C–C bond activation. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 4282(b)Lee S-C; Guo L; Yue H; Liao H-H; Rueping M Nickel-catalyzed decarbonylative silylation, borylation, and amination of arylamides via a deaminative reaction pathway. Synlett 2017, 28, 2594.
- 4. For intramolecular decarbonylation of aryl amides, see:(a)Liu X; Yue H; Jia J; Guo L; Rueping M Synthesis of amidines from amides using nickel-catalyzed decarbonylative amination through CO extrusion intramolecular recombination fragment coupling. Chem. Eur. J 2017, 23, 11771 [PubMed: 28695654] (b)Morioka T; Nakatani S; Sakamoto Y; Kodama T; Ogoshi S; Chatani N; Tobisu M Nickel-catalyzed decarbonylation of N-acylated N-heteroarenes. Chem. Sci 2019, 10, 6666. [PubMed: 31367320]
- 5. For catalytic decarboxylative formation of aryl $C(sp^2)$ –N bonds, see:(a)Zhang Y; Patel S; Mainolfi N Copper-catalyzed decarboxylative C–N coupling for N-arylation. Chem. Sci 2012, 3, 3196(b)Sheng W-J; Ye Q; Yu W-B; Liu R-R; Xu M; Gao J-R; Jia Y-X CuSO₄-mediated decarboxylative C–N cross-coupling of aromatic carboxylic acids with amides and anilines. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 599(c)Dai Q; Li P; Ma N; Hu C Palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative synthesis of arylamines. Org. Lett 2016, 18, 5560 [PubMed: 27754691] (d)Ghorbani-Choghamarani A ; Taherinia Z High catalytic activity of peptide nanofibers decorated with Ni and Cu nanoparticles for the synthesis of 5-substituted 1H-tetrazoles and N-arylation of amines. Aus. J. Chem 2017, 70, 1127(e)Pichette Drapeau M; Bahri J; Lichte D; Gooβen LJ Decarboxylative ipso amination of activated benzoic acids. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2019, 58, 892.
- 6. For decarboxylation to alkyl $C(sp^3)$ –N bonds, see:Trapani G; Reho A; Latrofa A Trimethylamineborane as useful reagent in the N-acylation or N-alkylation of amines by carboxylic acids. Synthesis 1983, 12, 1013(b)Zhao W; Wurz RP; Peters JC; Fu GC Photoinduced, copper-catalyzed decarboxylative C–N coupling to generate protected amines: an alternative to Curtius rearrangement. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 12153 [PubMed: 28841018] (c)Liang Y; Zhang X; MacMillan DWC Decarboxylative sp^3 C–N coupling via dual copper and photoredox catalysis. Nature 2018, 559, 83 [PubMed: 29925943] (d)Mao R; Frey A; Balon J; Hu X Decarboxylative $C(sp^3)$ –N cross-coupling via synergetic photoredox and copper catalysis. Nat. Catal 2018, 1, 120(e)Li P; Ma N; Wang Z; Dai Q; Hu C Base-mediated intramolecular decarboxylative synthesis of alkylamines from alkanoyloxycarbamates. J. Org. Chem 2018, 83, 8233. [PubMed: 29787264]
- 7. For selected recent reviews, see:(a)Forero-Cortés PA; Haydl AM The 25th anniversary of the Buchwald–Hartwig amination: development, applications, and outlook. Org. Process Res. Dev 2019, 23, 1478(b)Ruiz-Castillo P; Buchwald SL Applications of palladium-catalyzed C–N crosscoupling reactions. Chem. Rev 2016, 116, 12564 [PubMed: 27689804] (c)West MJ; Fyfe JWB; Vantourout JC; Watson AJB Mechanistic development and recent applications of the Chan-Lam amination. Chem Rev. 2019, 119, 12491. [PubMed: 31756093]
- 8. (a)Malapit CA; Bour JR; Brigham CE; Sanford MS Base-free nickel-catalysed decarbonylative Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of acid fluorides. Nature 2018, 563, 100 [PubMed: 30356210] (b)Malapit CA; Bour JR; Laursen SR; Sanford MS Mechanism and scope of nickel-catalyzed decarbonylative borylation of carboxylic acid fluorides. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2019, 141, 17322. [PubMed: 31617708]

- 9. Initial oxidative addition could occur into either the C(carbonyl)–O or C(carbonyl)–C(aryl) bond of the ester:(a)Ben Halima T; Zhang W; Yalaoui I; Hong X; Yang Y-F; Houk KN; Newman SG Palladium-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl esters. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 1311– 1318 [PubMed: 28001393] (b)Chatupheeraphat A; Liao H-H; Srimontree W; Guo L; Minenkov Y; Poater A; Cavallo L; Rueping M Ligand-controlled chemoselective C(acyl)–O bond vs. C(aryl)–C bond activation of aromatic eters in nickel catalyzed $C(sp^2)$ – $C(sp^3)$ cross-couplings. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, 140, 3724–3735. [PubMed: 29461813]
- 10. For transition-metal-catalyzed amidation of esters and amides, see:(a)Baker EL; Yamano MM; Zhou Y; Anthony SM; Garg NK A two-step approach to achieve secondary amide transamidation enabled by nickel catalysis. Nat. Commun 2016, 7, 1(b)Malapit CA; Caldwell DR; Sassu N; Milbin S; Howell AR Pd-catalyzed acyl C–O bond activation for selective ring-opening of αmethylene-β-lactones with amines. Org. Lett 2017, 19, 1966 [PubMed: 28375015] (c)Ben Halima T; Masson-Makdissi J; Newman SG Nickel-catalyzed amide bond formation from methyl esters. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2018, 57, 12925.
- 11. Lavoie CM; Stradiotto M Bisphosphines: a prominent ancillary ligand class for application in nickel-catalyzed C–N cross-coupling. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 7228.
- 12. The more electron rich substrate phenyl 4-methoxybenzoate showed low reactivity. Under the standard reaction conditions, only starting material was detected. We hypothesize that this may be a result of slow oxidative addition (see SI).
- 13. Vitaku E; Smith DT; Njardarson JT Analysis of the structural diversity, substitution patterns, and frequency of nitrogen heterocycles among U.S. FDA approved pharmaceuticals. J. Med. Chem 2014, 57, 10257. [PubMed: 25255204]
- 14. (a)Sunesson Y; Lime E; Nilsson Lill SO; Meadows RE; Norrby P-O Role of the base in Buchwald-Hartwig amination. J. Org. Chem 2014, 79, 11961 [PubMed: 25340530] (b)Shekhar S; Hartwig JF Effects of bases and halides on the amination of chloroarenes catalyzed by $Pd(PtBu_3)_{2}$. Organometallics 2007, 26, 340. [PubMed: 29962646]
- 15. (a)Brusoe AT; Hartwig JF Palladium-catalyzed arylation of fluoroalkylamines. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 8460 [PubMed: 26065341] (b)Dennis JM; White NA; Liu RY; Buchwald SL Breaking the base barrier: an electron-deficient palladium catalyst enables the use of a common soluble base in C–N coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, 140, 4721 [PubMed: 29529363] (c)Dennis JM; White NA; Liu RY; Buchwald SL Pd-Catalyzed C–N coupling reactions facilitated by organic bases: mechanistic investigation leads to enhanced reactivity in the arylation of weakly binding amines. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 3822 [PubMed: 31649828] (d)Beutner GL; Coombs JR; Green RA; Inankur B; Lin D; Qiu J; Roberts F; Simmons EM; Wisniewski SR Palladium-catalyzed amidation and amination of (hetero)aryl chlorides under homogeneous conditions enabled by a soluble DBU/ NaTFA dual-base system. Org. Process Res. Dev 2019, 23, 1529(e)Kawamata Y; Vantourout JC; Hickey DP; Bai P; Chen L; Hou Q; Qiao W; Barman K; Edwards MA; Garrido-Castro AF; deGruyter JN; Nakamura H; Knouse K; Qin C; Clay KJ; Bao D; Li C; Starr JT; Garcia-Irizarry C; Sach N; White HS; Neurock M; Minteer SD; Baran PS Electrochemically driven, Ni-catalyzed aryl amination: scope, mechanism, and applications. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2019, 141, 6392 [PubMed: 30905151] (d)Liu RY; Dennis JM; Buchwald SL The quest for the ideal base: rational design of a nickel precatalyst enables mild, homogeneous C–N cross-coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010, 142, 4500.
- 16. A recent report by Hartwig identified these β-fluoroamines as base sensitive. See ref. 15a.
- 17. Complex **B** does not undergo $C(sp^2)$ -O bond-forming reductive elimination even upon heating at 150 °C for 24 h. This is consistent with the observation that diaryl ether side products are not detected during catalysis.

B. Rueping's decarbonylative amination (a) and limitations (b) .

C. Proposed mechanistic strategy and fundamental challenge.

Proposed strategy for the catalytic decarbonylation of carboxylic acid derivatives to aryl amines.

Malapit et al. Page 8

Figure 2.

(A) Uncatalyzed reaction of **1-X** with morpholine and TMS–morpholine at 100 °C for 1 h. (B) Ni-catalyzed reaction of **1-X** with TMS–morpholine at 150 °C for 24 h. Yields determined via 19F NMR spectroscopy.

Figure 3.

Scope of Ni-catalyzed decarbonylative amination. ^aUsing TMS–amine. ^bTMS–amine was generated in situ by premixing the amine with MSTFA. σ Using TES–indole or σ TIPPS– indole. ^{*d*}Reagents were weighed on benchtop. For additional substrates that were found to be challenging under the optimized conditions, see SI.

Figure 4.

Mechanistic studies: stoichiometric reactions for the fundamental steps in decarbonylative amination. See the SI for details on reaction conditions.