

Can Autonomic Testing and Imaging Contribute to the Early Diagnosis of Multiple System Atrophy? A Systematic Review and Recommendations by the Movement Disorder Society Multiple System Atrophy Study Group

Maria Teresa Pellecchia, MD, PhD,^{1,*} Iva Stankovic, MD,² Alessandra Fanciulli, MD, PhD,³ Florian Krismer,³ Wassilios G. Meissner, MD, PhD,⁴ Jose-Alberto Palma, MD, PhD,⁵ Jalesh N. Panicker, MD,^{6,7} Klaus Seppi, MD,³ Gregor K. Wenning, MD, PhD,³ and the Members of the Movement Disorder Society Multiple System Atrophy Study Group

ABSTRACT: **Background:** In the current consensus diagnostic criteria, the diagnosis of probable multiple system atrophy (MSA) is based solely on clinical findings, whereas neuroimaging findings are listed as aid for the diagnosis of possible MSA. There are overlapping phenotypes between MSA-parkinsonian type and Parkinson's disease, progressive supranuclear palsy, and dementia with Lewy bodies, and between MSA-cerebellar type and sporadic adult-onset ataxia resulting in a significant diagnostic delay and misdiagnosis of MSA during life. **Objectives:** In light of an ongoing effort to revise the current consensus criteria for MSA, the Movement Disorders Society Multiple System Atrophy Study Group performed a systematic review of original articles published before August 2019. **Methods:** We included articles that studied at least 10 patients with MSA as well as participants with another disorder or control group for comparison purposes. MSA was defined by neuropathological confirmation, or as clinically probable, or clinically probable plus possible according to consensus diagnostic criteria. **Results:** We discuss the pitfalls and benefits of each diagnostic test and provide specific recommendations on how to evaluate patients in whom MSA is suspected. **Conclusions:** This systematic review of relevant studies indicates that imaging and autonomic function tests significantly contribute to increasing the accuracy of a diagnosis of MSA.

Introduction

Multiple system atrophy (MSA) is an adult-onset, relentlessly progressive neurodegenerative disorder clinically characterized by

autonomic failure, parkinsonism, and cerebellar and pyramidal features in various combinations.¹ Despite the recent advances in imaging and genetics, the diagnosis of MSA remains primarily a clinical exercise and is based on widely accepted consensus

¹Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Department of Medicine, Neuroscience Section, University of Salerno, Fisciano, Italy; ²Neurology Clinic, Clinical Center of Serbia, School of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia; ³Department of Neurology, Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria; ⁴French Reference Center for MSA, Department of Neurology, University Hospital Bordeaux, Bordeaux and Institute of Neurodegenerative Disorders, University Bordeaux, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Unite Mixte de Recherche Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France; ⁵Dysautonomia Center, Langone Medical Center, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA; ⁶Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, United Kingdom; ⁷Department of Uro-Neurology, The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, United Kingdom

*Correspondence to: Dr. Maria Teresa Pellecchia, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry "Scuola Medica Salernitana", Neuroscience Section, University of Salerno, Baronissi (SA), Italy; E-mail: mpellecchia@unisa.it

Keywords: multiple system atrophy, diagnostic tests.

Maria T. Pellecchia and Iva Stankovic contributed equally.

Members of the Movement Disorder Society Multiple System Atrophy Study Group are listed in the Appendix.

Relevant disclosures and conflicts of interest are listed at the end of this article.

Received 4 February 2020; revised 8 May 2020; accepted 23 May 2020.

Published online 3 September 2020 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mdc3.13052

criteria.² According to these, the diagnosis of probable MSA is based solely on clinical findings, whereas neuroimaging findings are allowed to contribute to a diagnosis of possible MSA.² The overlapping phenotypes of MSA-parkinsonian type (MSA-P) with Parkinson's disease (PD), progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), and dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and of MSA-cerebellar type (MSA-C) with sporadic adult-onset ataxia (SAOA) can lead to a high proportion of misdiagnosed MSA cases. Only 25% of patients with MSA are correctly diagnosed at the first visit by primary neurologists,³ and 20% to 38% of patients clinically diagnosed as MSA during life turn out to have another disease on autopsy, the largest confounds being DLB followed by PSP and PD.^{4,5}

Given these diagnostic challenges, defining whether specific neuroimaging or autonomic biomarkers can improve and accelerate the diagnosis of MSA is an important research question. In light of an ongoing effort to revise the current consensus criteria for MSA, the Movement Disorder Society Multiple System Atrophy Study Group identified a need to review the usefulness of imaging and autonomic function tests and define specific findings that can reliably assist in the early diagnosis of patients with MSA who present with an autonomic, parkinsonian, or cerebellar dysfunction.

Methods

We performed a systematic review of the literature. Original reports published between 1989 and August 1, 2019, were identified by applying the predefined search terms in PubMed (MEDLINE). Inclusion criteria were publications in the English language; publications including at least 10 patients with MSA per study defined by postmortem verification, clinically probable, or clinically probable plus possible MSA according to the consensus criteria,^{1,6,7} and at least 1 reference group of MSA-related disorders, such as pure autonomic failure (PAF), PD, PSP, DLB, and SAOA, or healthy controls for comparison purposes. The following search terms were used (phrases are enclosed by quotation marks, and parentheses are used to “nest” concepts that should be processed as a unit):

1. Autonomic function tests: (“multiple system atrophy” OR MSA OR “olivopontocerebellar atrophy” OR OPCA OR “striatonigral degeneration” OR SND OR “shy drager syndrome”) AND (autonomic OR dysautonomia OR “orthostatic hypotension” OR OH OR incontinence OR retention OR “residual volume” OR genital OR “erectile dysfunction” OR impotence)
2. Neuroimaging: (“multiple system atrophy” OR MSA OR “olivopontocerebellar atrophy” OR OPCA OR “striatonigral degeneration” OR SND OR “shy drager syndrome”) AND (imaging OR neuroimaging OR “magnetic resonance imaging” OR MRI OR “single photon emission tomography” OR SPECT OR “positron emission tomography” OR PET OR TCS OR “transcranial parenchymal sonography” OR “transcranial sonography”)

3. Cardiac imaging: (“multiple system atrophy” OR MSA OR “olivopontocerebellar atrophy” OR OPCA OR “striatonigral degeneration” OR SND OR “shy drager syndrome”) AND (imaging OR metaiodobenzylguanidine OR MIBG OR iobenguane) ★

Data including the number of patients and the probability level of MSA diagnosis (definite, probable, or probable plus possible)^{1,6,7} were compiled in tables (Tables S1 and S2). Experts from the Movement Disorder Society Multiple System Atrophy Study Group selected and critically analyzed the relevant studies and defined specific findings that can reliably assist in the early diagnosis of MSA with an autonomic, parkinsonian, or cerebellar presentation at onset.

Results

A total of 173 articles on imaging and autonomic function diagnostic tests in MSA fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were analyzed. Details on each study are specified in Tables S1 and S2.

Section 1: Imaging

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Conventional MRI Sequences. The best described imaging features in MSA include putaminal atrophy, putaminal hypointensity with hyperintense lateral putaminal rim on T2-weighted sequences (at 1.5 Tesla magnet strength), and a number of infratentorial abnormalities, including atrophy of the pons, middle cerebellar peduncle (MCP), medulla oblongata, inferior olives, and cerebellum as well as T2 hyperintensities in the pons (“hot cross bun sign”), MCP, and cerebellum.⁸ Signal abnormalities depend on the strength of the applied magnetic field, in particular the putaminal rim sign, and T2 signal hyperintense changes are possibly unreliable at 3-Tesla MRI.⁹ These MRI abnormalities demonstrate an excellent specificity for distinguishing MSA from PD and PSP, but suboptimal sensitivity particularly at early stages.¹⁰ However, in studies including patients with autopsy-proven MSA, the overall sensitivity of the MRI-based diagnosis was 77%, with MCP hyperintensities and hot cross bun sign with 100% specificity for MSA versus PD. In 30% of patients with a clinical suspicion of MSA-P, conventional MRI biomarkers (in particular, putaminal atrophy, putaminal hypointensity, and putaminal rim) may contribute to an early diagnosis, preceding the diagnosis of possible or probable MSA-P according to current criteria.¹¹ There is a lack of data on conventional MRI in patients with a clinical suspicion of MSA with a cerebellar or autonomic presentation.

The specificity of putaminal abnormalities is insufficient to distinguish MSA from PSP, although the combination of putaminal hypointensity with putaminal atrophy seems to be specific for MSA-P compared with PSP.^{12–14} The hot cross bun sign may also be observed in genetically determined spinocerebellar ataxias¹⁰ and rare cases of nondegenerative

parkinsonism,¹⁵ thus reducing its diagnostic accuracy. In terms of infratentorial atrophy, MSA is often associated with relatively greater pontine and MCP atrophy compared with PSP and PD.^{10,14} There are no studies comparing conventional magnetic resonance (MR) sequences to distinguish MSA-C from SAOA.

Diffusion-Weighted Imaging. Putaminal diffusivity changes in MSA-P seem to correspond to prominent neuronal loss in the putamen in this disorder. Differences in putaminal diffusivity are highly accurate for distinguishing patients with MSA-P versus PD (sensitivity, 90%; specificity, 93%).¹⁶ However, putaminal diffusivity values were found to overlap in MSA-P and PSP patients.¹⁷ There is conflicting data on the sensitivity of MCP diffusivity changes for the diagnosis of MSA-P.^{13,18} There is a lack of studies on the differential diagnosis of MSA-C versus SAOA. Cut-off values for mean diffusivity depend on the scanner model and sequence properties. Diffusion-weighted imaging is increasingly included in routine brain MRI protocols; however, harmonized diffusion-weighted imaging sequences and regions of interest are needed to increase the interscanner and intersite comparability and reduce heterogeneity among studies using MRI diffusion measures.¹⁶

Volumetry and Morphometry Studies. Other well-studied MR-imaging features of MSA include putaminal atrophy, atrophy of the pons, MCP, medulla oblongata, inferior olives, and cerebellum.⁸ Measures of MR volumetry using semiautomatic segmentation techniques and region of interest–based approaches have shown atrophy of these supratentorial and infratentorial brain structures in patients with atypical parkinsonian disorders. However, accurate diagnosis exploiting volumetric techniques is limited by difficulties in normalizing individual brain atrophy.⁸ With respect to voxel-based morphometry, a meta-analysis showed that patients with MSA-P with disease duration of up to 5 years had decreased gray matter volume in the bilateral putamen and claustrum compared with PD. However, this difference could not be observed within 3 years from onset, suggesting insensitivity of voxel-based morphometry for discriminating MSA-P from PD in the early stages of the disease.¹⁹ Another meta-analysis identified distinctive patterns of gray matter volume loss across atypical parkinsonian disorders relative to PD, with putaminal atrophy being particularly suggestive of MSA-P.²⁰ Bilateral reduction of gray matter volume in cerebellar hemispheres and vermis have been reported in patients with SAOA.²¹ However, given that voxel-based morphometry is based on group-wise comparisons, it may not be used for diagnostic purposes in individual patients.²² Conversely, automated brain segmentation techniques have allowed high diagnostic accuracy at the level of single cases.²³ Automated segmentation of subcortical structures obtained from volumetric T1-weighted MRI yielded a pattern of volume loss in the putamen and cerebellar gray matter without involvement of the midbrain. This allowed for the correct diagnosis of MSA-P versus PD or PSP at the first neurological visit in 100% of patients, substantially improving the clinical diagnostic accuracy of 63%.²³ The combination of

automated subcortical and supra- and infratentorial segmentation that included the volumetric measure of the MCP confirmed the high diagnostic accuracy of this approach in separating both MSA-P and MSA-C from PD.²⁴ There is yet no comparative data from volumetric studies addressing MSA-C versus SAOA.

Magnetization Transfer Ratio. Reductions in the magnetization transfer ratio have been reported in the putamen of patients with MSA compared with patients with PD and in the substantia nigra of patients with MSA, PSP, and PD, allowing discrimination of these disorders.²⁵ Decreased magnetization transfer ratio values in the putamen of patients with MSA-P on 3T MRI were reported in another study, but parkinsonian disorders could not be differentiated on this basis.²⁶

Iron-Sensitive Imaging. Patients with MSA often show putaminal changes on iron-sensitive MRI sequences, but the results varied considerably across different studies and larger confirmatory studies are warranted.⁸ The combination of putaminal atrophy with abnormal putaminal iron accumulation, however, seems to be specific for MSA.¹⁴ Bilaterally increased putaminal R2* signal reliably distinguished MSA-P from PD.²⁶ In particular, iron accumulation in the posterior inner region of the putamen is specific for MSA.²⁷ A visual rating scale for putaminal hypointensities can be useful for evaluation of patients with MSA-P as it correlates with R2*, volume loss, and motor scores.²⁸

Neuromelanin Imaging. Results from studies using neuromelanin-sensitive MRI to differentiate patients with MSA from those with other degenerative parkinsonian disorders have been variable, and no overall conclusion can be drawn to date. One study in patients in early disease stages showed that neuromelanin-MRI signal intensities in the substantia nigra pars compacta and locus coeruleus distinguished between MSA-P and PD with a sensitivity of 60% and specificity of 90% and between MSA-P and PSP with a sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 85%.²⁹ There is currently insufficient evidence to support or reject the use of neuromelanin imaging in the differential diagnosis of MSA.

Multimodal Imaging. Multimodal imaging of nigrostriatal changes including measurements of volume, T2* relaxation rates, and mean diffusivity has been recently used to distinguish MSA-P from PD.³⁰ A discriminant analysis showed that using T2* relaxation rates and mean diffusivity in the putamen distinguished patients with MSA-P from those with PD with 96% accuracy.³⁰ Multimodal imaging approaches seem to be useful for the differential diagnosis,³¹ but are currently restricted to specialized research centers. There is limited evidence concerning the use of multimodal imaging to distinguish MSA versus PSP and a lack of studies to distinguish MSA versus SAOA. We recommend multimodal imaging approaches for future diagnostic studies.

Radio-Tracer Imaging

¹⁸F-Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Imaging. Most ¹⁸F-FDG-PET studies involved small numbers of patients with MSA, and neuropathological confirmation was usually lacking. Hence, the true diagnostic accuracy is difficult to estimate. Cerebellar, brainstem, and putaminal glucose hypometabolism is frequent in patients with MSA and represents a feature of possible MSA in the current consensus criteria.² The specificity of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET for diagnosing MSA was >90%, however, the sensitivity was more variable although still >75%.³² In a recent meta-analysis of 15 studies, visual analysis of ¹⁸F-FDG brain uptake patterns discriminated MSA from PD with a sensitivity of 87% and a specificity of 93%.³³ In patients with clinically suspected atypical parkinsonism, fulfilling clinical diagnoses after a median follow-up time of 12 months, visual assessment of disease-specific patterns discriminated MSA from DLB with a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 97%.³⁴

Compared with visual analysis, automated image-based classification exploiting pattern recognition identified MSA more accurately and differentiated it from PD/PSP with a sensitivity of 85% and a specificity of 96%.³⁵ However, the diagnostic accuracy of this approach varies depending on the algorithm used and an expert review might be required. There is limited evidence for the use of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET to distinguish MSA from SAOA.

Presynaptic Dopaminergic Imaging. Similarly to patients with PD, patients with MSA-P have functional impairment of the presynaptic dopaminergic systems.³⁶ Although the caudate-putamen index [reflecting differences in 18F-fluoro-dihydroxyphenylalanine (F-DOPA) uptake] has been reported as helpful in distinguishing MSA from PD, a large amount of evidence suggests that imaging of presynaptic dopaminergic function is unable to reliably discriminate between MSA-P and PD. In contrast, presynaptic nigrostriatal denervation may assist in the early diagnosis of MSA-C and SAOA, as the latter tend to have normal presynaptic dopaminergic binding.³⁷ In a recent retrospective study, DaTscan (Ioflupane 123I), a dopamine transporter (DAT) single-photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) imaging technique, contributed significantly to the diagnosis of possible MSA-C in 6 of 14 patients (43%) not yet fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for MSA at the time of imaging.³⁸

There is no evidence about the utility of DAT SPECT in predicting the development of MSA in cases with pure autonomic failure (PAF), and ¹²³I-metaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) scintigraphy appears to be superior to DAT SPECT for the identification of premotor PD/DLB in patients presenting with PAF.³⁹

Postsynaptic Dopaminergic Imaging. In untreated PD, D2 dopamine receptor binding shows a transient increase, but as the disease advances and patients are exposed to dopaminergic agents, D2 binding becomes normal. In contrast, in atypical parkinsonian syndromes, ¹¹C-raclopride PET and ¹²³I- Iodobenzamide SPECT imaging suggest that D₂ receptor density is already reduced at the onset of clinical symptoms. However, because of

its suboptimal sensitivity, one could not reliably exclude MSA when ¹²³I- Iodobenzamide SPECT findings are normal.⁴⁰ Desmethoxyfallypride PET labeling of postsynaptic D₂ receptors has also been studied for the differential diagnosis of PD and atypical parkinsonian disorders.^{41,42} One study assessing caudate 18F-Desmethoxyfallypride (18F-DMFP) binding yielded excellent specificity and sensitivity and overall accuracy of 100%, 74%, and 86%, respectively.⁴¹ A second study confirmed these results (sensitivity, 87%; specificity, 96%; and accuracy, 91%).⁴² However, it appears unlikely that the different atypical parkinsonian disorders can be distinguished by DMFP PET imaging. Overall, D₂ receptor imaging is possibly helpful to discriminate MSA-P from PD, but is not helpful to discriminate MSA from other atypical parkinsonian disorders. There is insufficient evidence for the use of postsynaptic dopaminergic imaging to distinguish MSA-C versus SAOA.

Other Radiotracer Imaging Approaches. With regard to the use of technetium-99 m-ethyl cysteine dimer SPECT to measure blood flow, only putamen perfusion distinguished MSA and PD, with a sensitivity of 73.3%, a specificity of 84%, and an overall accuracy of 83.6%.⁴³ Applying voxel level exploratory statistical parametric mapping approach, areas of significantly reduced perfusion in the striatum, brainstem, and cerebellum were localized in patients with MSA compared with patients with PD and healthy subjects.⁴⁴ However, statistical parametric mapping analysis cannot be used for diagnosis in individual patients.

¹¹C-PK11195 PET is an in vivo marker of microglial activation. It has been used to study neuroinflammatory changes in MSA, identifying widespread subcortical increases in ¹¹C-PK11195 uptake in the substantia nigra, putamen, pallidum, thalamus, and brainstem and cortical areas.⁴⁵ However, similar changes are also present in patients with PD, although to a lesser extent.⁴⁶

Transcranial Sonography

Normal echogenicity of the substantia nigra indicates MSA-P rather than PD [sensitivity, 90%; specificity, 98%; positive predictive value (PPV), 86%], whereas third-ventricle dilatation of more than 10 mm in combination with lenticular nucleus hyper-echogenicity is characteristic of PSP rather than PD (sensitivity, 84%; specificity, 98%; PPV, 89%).⁴⁷ However, a more recent study demonstrated suboptimal diagnostic accuracy in discriminating MSA-P and PSP from PD.⁴⁸ Similar diagnostic performance of transcranial sonography (TCS) has been reported in drug-naïve patients with disease duration <3 years (sensitivity, 50%; specificity, 94%).⁴⁹ A combination of positive results for TCS, cardiac ¹²³I-MIBG scintigraphy, and olfactory testing yielded 100% specificity but low sensitivity (25%) in one study; diagnostic accuracy was increased by combining any 2 of the 3 testing modalities.⁴⁸ Notably, 10% to 15% of patients cannot be assessed with TCS owing to insufficient temporal acoustic bone windows. A comparable diagnostic potential of TCS and ¹⁸F-FDG-PET has also been reported.⁴⁹ There is a lack of studies addressing the use of TCS to distinguish MSA-P versus PSP and MSA-C versus SAOA.

Recommendations and Limitations

Current diagnostic criteria include atrophy of the putamen, middle cerebellar peduncle, pons, or cerebellum on conventional MRI and evidence of hypometabolism on FDG-PET in the putamen, middle cerebellar peduncles, pons, and cerebellum as supporting features for the diagnosis of possible MSA-P and MSA-C.⁷ Moreover, presynaptic nigrostriatal denervation on DAT imaging with SPECT or 18F-fluorodopa PET is an additional feature for possible MSA-C.⁷

Suboptimal accuracy of neuroradiological diagnosis, particularly in the early disease stages, may be improved by implementing diffusion-weighted MRI sequences and other advanced techniques.

Increased diffusivity in the posterior putamen and MCP with spared superior cerebellar peduncles on diffusion-weighted sequences is highly predictive for an early diagnosis of MSA-P.¹⁶

Automated brain segmentation using conventional MRI sequences may provide an objective measure to discriminate early to moderately advanced MSA, PSP, and PD with short disease durations from each other at the individual patient level and at first attendance to a specialist clinic.²³

A major shortcoming of most imaging studies is the lack of neuropathological confirmation, so this should be improved in future studies. Moreover, most studies reported data related to clinically established diseases and only a few studies evaluated patients in the early disease stages. However, as the aforementioned features discriminate clinically proven MSA from other conditions, the presence of these features early in the disease should also have discriminatory power, although this has to be proven with prospective studies. A direct comparison of different imaging modalities was rarely performed; hence, there is no evidence to recommend a single imaging modality as the marker. Several studies focusing on D₂ receptor imaging, TCS, and [¹²³I]MIBG scintigraphy pooled atypical parkinsonian disorders, which makes it impossible to draw conclusions regarding the diagnostic accuracy for MSA with these imaging modalities.^{49,50} Significant and persistent between-study variability suggests that there is an urgent need for harmonization of imaging protocols. Finally, there is a noteworthy lack of studies on neuroimaging to distinguish MSA-C versus SAOA.

Section 2: Autonomic Function Testing

Cardiovascular Autonomic Function Testing

Autonomic failure in the synucleinopathies is a consequence of dysfunction in both peripheral and central autonomic areas. The site of the autonomic lesion accounts for the phenotypic variability observed among the synucleinopathies. In MSA, autonomic

failure is a consequence of predominant central involvement, whereas in the Lewy body disorders (PD, DLB) autonomic involvement is predominantly peripheral. However, a minority of patients with MSA also have peripheral autonomic involvement; and central autonomic involvement is apparent also in the Lewy body disorders, particularly in advanced stages.⁵¹ Autonomic dysfunction in DLB is similar to that of PD but usually more severe, with a degree of involvement that is intermediate between PD and MSA. In all of the synucleinopathies, one of the most disabling manifestations of autonomic failure is neurogenic orthostatic hypotension (nOH), which is defined as a sustained drop in systolic or diastolic blood pressure within 3 minutes of standing up or head-up tilt. PAF is a clinical diagnosis characterized by isolated autonomic failure in the absence of motor or cognitive impairment.⁵² Although autonomic involvement in PAF was often considered to be peripheral, the evolution of PAF into other synucleinopathies, including MSA, DLB, and PD (sometimes after 15–20 years), indicates that PAF, in many cases, may be a premotor stage of a central nervous system synucleinopathy.^{51,52}

Standard cardiovascular autonomic function testing batteries include head-up tilt, Valsalva maneuver, heart rate variability during deep breathing, and sustained handgrip.⁵³ During head-up tilt, patients with MSA often have a greater degree of orthostatic hypotension (OH),^{54,55} often associated with supine hypertension,^{56,57} and blunted orthostatic plasma noradrenaline increase compared with patients with PD.^{54,55} The prevalence of nOH appears to be similar in MSA and PD. However, rather than its presence, it is the early development of nOH that usually points toward MSA instead of PD. Less impaired heart rate response on standing along with a higher nocturnal heart rate in MSA compared with synucleinopathies with peripheral autonomic nervous system involvement reflects a relatively spared sympathetic postganglionic innervation of the heart in MSA.^{58,59} Absent or reduced blood pressure overshoot in late phase II and phase IV of the Valsalva maneuver (a sign of impaired sympathetic function),^{57,60} as well as reduced Valsalva ratio and heart rate variability during deep breathing (signs of parasympathetic dysfunction),^{54,55,57,60} are more common in MSA than in PD. Overall, cardiovascular autonomic findings in MSA and PD overlap and do not reliably distinguish these disorders,^{55,57} however, more severe and generalized autonomic failure is characteristic of MSA. Cardiovascular autonomic function tests may be helpful to discriminate MSA-C from SAOA,⁶¹ but nOH may not be sensitive to distinguish MSA-C versus spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA)-1, SCA-2, SCA-6, or SCA-17.⁶²

The presence of nOH is specific for MSA versus PSP and corticobasal degeneration (CBD), particularly in the early stages. Specificity ranges from 85% to 100%, but sensitivity is low in early disease (21%).^{4,63–66} The presence of nOH is of limited usefulness to distinguish MSA versus DLB.^{4,5}

An orthostatic decrease of blood pressure by at least 30 mmHg systolic or 15 mmHg diastolic required for the diagnosis of probable MSA² can also be diagnosed by a bedside standing test.⁶⁷ Expanding orthostatic blood pressure measurements from 3 to 10 minutes during standing test significantly increases the sensitivity to detect delayed OH (ie, a drop in blood pressure when

standing beyond 3 minutes) and correctly diagnose additional patients with MSA.⁶⁸

Plasma norepinephrine levels in the supine position >100 pg/mL have a good sensitivity (82%–100%) and specificity (75%–100%) to distinguish MSA from isolated autonomic failure (also at premotor stages), but not MSA from PD (36%–100%).^{56,61,69} Some studies reported that the norepinephrine increase on standing was more blunted in MSA and isolated autonomic failure than in PD, but no cutoffs were available.^{56,69} Thus, there is insufficient evidence regarding the diagnostic value of plasma norepinephrine levels on standing.

Until recently, it was considered that patients with PAF were primarily at risk to phenoconvert to Lewy body disease. However, several retrospective and one prospective study have shown that some patients with PAF can evolve into MSA as well.^{52,70} Patients presenting with PAF who phenoconverted to MSA were younger at the onset of autonomic failure and had preserved olfaction and shorter times to phenoconversion diagnosis when compared with patients presenting with PAF phenoconverting to PD and DLB. In patients presenting with PAF, a heart rate response upon tilt >10 bpm, severe bladder dysfunction (ie, requiring intermittent catheterization), and a preganglionic pattern of sweat loss (ie, impaired thermoregulatory sweat test with preserved sweat axon reflex test) have been suggested as autonomic features predicting future phenoconversion to MSA.⁷⁰

Cardiac Sympathetic Imaging

In most patients with MSA, cardiac postganglionic sympathetic innervation is preserved, whereas a majority of patients with Lewy body disorders present degeneration of peripheral postganglionic sympathetic fibers.⁵¹ Consequently, cardiac uptake of radiolabeled catechols and plasma norepinephrine concentrations are preserved in most patients with MSA and reduced in most patients with Lewy body disorders.⁵¹

¹²³I-MIBG scintigraphy uses an analog of norepinephrine to evaluate the functional integrity of myocardial sympathetic fibers. Cardiac MIBG uptake is typically impaired in PD and normal in most patients with MSA.⁷¹ However, the diagnostic performance of cardiac MIBG is limited by the fact that some patients with early PD may have normal MIBG uptake, whereas some patients with MSA (about 30%) have reduced MIBG uptake.⁷² A recent meta-analysis comprising 625 patients with PD and 220 patients with other neurodegenerative parkinsonism showed a very good accuracy of both early (pooled sensitivity, 82.6%; specificity 89.2%) and delayed heart-to-mediastinum ratio (pooled sensitivity, 89.7%; specificity, 82.6%).⁷¹ Similarly, in early parkinsonism (Hoehn and Yahr stage 1–2) a good diagnostic accuracy has been shown using the delayed heart-to-mediastinum ratio (sensitivity, 94%; specificity, 80%).⁷¹ Both early and delayed heart-to-mediastinum ratios were lower in patients with PAF and DLB compared with patients with MSA and PSP.^{73,74} There are anecdotal reports indicating that a normal MIBG myocardial scintigraphy, in patients with PAF, may predict phenoconversion to MSA.⁷⁵ There are little

data on the use of ¹²³I-MIBG scintigraphy to distinguish patients with MSA from those with sporadic or genetic late-onset ataxias.

Urinary Function Testing

Lower urinary tract dysfunction is an early feature of MSA that often precedes other neurological symptoms, including cardiovascular autonomic failure, possibly more in the cerebellar than parkinsonian subtype.⁷⁶ The prominence and magnitude of lower urinary tract symptoms in patients with early MSA are typically greater compared with those of advanced PD.⁷⁷ The presence of urinary urgency, frequency, and incontinence distinguishes definite MSA-C from SAOA both at initial presentation and throughout the disease course.⁷⁸ Patients with SAOA have a mild degree of detrusor overactivity, whereas detrusor underactivity and urinary retention are extremely uncommon.

Urinary urgency and increased frequency, reflecting detrusor overactivity, are characteristic of early MSA and frequently evolve to overt incontinence already in the first years of disease. Urinary retention with increased postvoid residual (PVR) volume can already be present at early stages,⁷⁹ but usually emerges in advanced disease as a result of bladder neck dysfunction and external sphincter denervation as well as detrusor underactivity/tonia.^{80,81} Other urodynamic findings in MSA, such as detrusor sphincter dyssynergia, are also seen in later disease stages.⁸⁰ In contrast, the most frequent bladder abnormality in PD is detrusor overactivity, whereas detrusor sphincter dyssynergia is uncommon and the PVR volume is generally low.⁸¹ There are limited urinary function data in early disease stages of MSA.⁸¹ An average PVR volume of 70 mL was reported in the first year after disease onset,⁸⁰ but whereas this finding was specific for MSA, it had a sensitivity of <20%.⁸¹ The presence of urinary retention can help distinguish MSA from PD, but not from PSP because equivalent PVR volumes have been reported in patients with MSA and patients with PSP.⁸¹

Sudomotor Function Testing

More widespread, severe, and progressive anhidrosis on thermoregulatory sweat testing can distinguish MSA from PD with a specificity of 85% to 100% and from DLB with a specificity of 92%.^{61,62,71,82} Notably, in a recent study, 95% of 232 patients with MSA had abnormal thermoregulatory sweat testing, but only 59% had abnormal postganglionic sudomotor test, whereas abnormalities on both tests were reported in 41% of patients.⁸³

Recommendations and Limitations

Cardiovascular and sudomotor function testing assists in delineating the presence, magnitude, and distribution of autonomic

TABLE 1 Utility of diagnostic tests for the differential diagnosis of MSA versus related disorders based on predominant clinical presentation (diagnostic accuracy values presented where available)

	Predominant parkinsonism			Predominant ataxia MSA vs. SAOA
	MSA vs. PD	MSA vs. PSP	MSA vs. DLB	
Conventional MRI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Putaminal (sensitivity, 43%–100%; specificity, 61%–100%), pontine (sensitivity, 43%–63%; specificity, 75%–100%), MCP (sensitivity, 33%–100%; specificity, 100%; and/or cerebellar atrophy (sensitivity, 42%–58%; specificity, 86%–100%) Increased putaminal diffusivity (sensitivity, 77%–100%; specificity, 80%–100%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pontine (sensitivity, 44%–76%; specificity, 60%–100%), MCP (sensitivity, 33%–56%; specificity, 83%–86%), and/or cerebellar atrophy (sensitivity, 58%; specificity, 59%), normal midbrain (midbrain atrophy for diagnosis of PSP vs. MSA: sensitivity, 86%–100%; specificity, 67%–100%), and SCP (SCP atrophy for diagnosis of PSP vs. MSA: sensitivity, 57%; specificity, 83%) Increased MCP (sensitivity, 91%; specificity, 84%) and normal SCP diffusivity (increased rADC in SCP for diagnosis of PSP vs. MSA: sensitivity, 96%; specificity, 93%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Putaminal and infratentorial abnormalities Increased putaminal and MCP diffusivity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Atrophy of putamen, MCP (sensitivity, 41%–93%; specificity, 82%–90%) and pons (sensitivity, 73%–100%; specificity, 82%–90%)
MRI with automated segmentation [18F]-FDG-PET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Volume loss in the putamen and cerebellar gray matter (sensitivity, 100%; specificity, 100%) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No data available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No data available
DAT SPECT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hypometabolism in putamen, brainstem, or cerebellum (sensitivity, 85%–87%; specificity, 93%–96%) Not useful 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited data available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited data available Presynaptic nigrostriatal denervation No data available
Transcranial sonography	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Normal echogenicity of substantia nigra (sensitivity, 52%–91%; specificity, 70%–98%) Areas of increased echogenicity in the lenticular nucleus (sensitivity, 75%; specificity, 75%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not useful 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Normal echogenicity of substantia nigra 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No data available

(Continues)

fa-
il-
u-
re.

TABLE 1 Continued

	Predominant parkinsonism		Predominant autonomic failure		Predominant ataxia MSA vs. SAOA
	MSA vs. PD	MSA vs. PSP	MSA vs. DLB	MSA vs. PAF	
^[123I] MIBG cardiac scintigraphy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Normal early (sensitivity, 83%; specificity, 89%) and delayed heart to mediastinum ratio (sensitivity, 90%-94%; specificity, 80%-83%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not useful 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Normal early and delayed heart to mediastinum ratio 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Normal early and delayed heart to mediastinum ratio 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not useful
Cardiovascular autonomic function testing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Early and severe OH (sensitivity, 45%-64%; specificity, 48%-80%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presence of OH (sensitivity, 60%; specificity, 87%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not useful 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cardiac chronotropic response upon tilt >10 bpm and mild degree of cardiovascular impairment predict phenoconversion from PAF to MSA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presence of OH
Urinary function testing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased postvoid residual (sensitivity, 14%-55%; specificity, 79%-95%) Detrusor underactivity/atonía 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detrusor underactivity/atonía 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited data available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Severe bladder dysfunction may predict phenoconversion from PAF to MSA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Early urinary urgency and incontinence Increased postvoid residual
Sudomotor function tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More widespread and severe anhidrosis (sensitivity, 66%; specificity, 54%) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited data available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More widespread and severe anhidrosis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preganglionic pattern of sweat loss may predict phenoconversion to MSA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited data available
Supine norepinephrine level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> >100 pg/mL 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not useful 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited data available 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> >100 pg/mL may predict phenoconversion to MSA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limited data available

MSA, multiple system atrophy; PD, Parkinson's disease; PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy; DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; PAF, pure autonomic failure; SAOA, sporadic adult onset ataxia; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; rADC, relative apparent diffusion coefficient; ^[18F]FDG-PET, fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography; DAT SPECT, dopamine transporter–single photon emission computerized tomography; ^[123I]MIBG, metaiodobenzylguanidine; MCP, middle cerebellar peduncle; SCP, superior cerebellar peduncle; OH, orthostatic hypotension.

More severe, generalized, and rapidly progressive autonomic failure is typical for MSA compared with PD particularly in the early stages. The presence of nOH is even more valuable when the differential diagnosis includes tauopathies (PSP, CBD) or SAOA. Intact functional integrity of myocardial sympathetic fibers on ^{123}I MIBG scintigraphy is a useful supporting feature for the diagnosis of MSA, as it is typically impaired in PD and DLB but normal in MSA-P. The diagnostic accuracy of MIBG imaging in early parkinsonism is overall high, although specificity may be reduced by normal findings in some patients with early PD. MIBG imaging cannot discriminate MSA-P from PSP or CBD. Further studies are needed to assess the utility of MIBG scintigraphy for the early diagnosis of MSA with isolated cerebellar or autonomic presentation. Confounding factors (comorbidities, comedications) should be carefully controlled before analyzing the results of MIBG scintigraphy. However, it must be acknowledged that specialized autonomic testing (eg, thermoregulatory sweat testing, tilt-table test) and MIBG scintigraphy may not be available in all locations, limiting their routine application.

Bladder sonography and abnormal urodynamic findings can discriminate MSA from PD. An elevated PVR in the early stages may help to distinguish MSA from both PD and SAOA. Repeated cardiovascular and urodynamic/sonographic tests are encouraged in patients with suspected MSA who have no evidence of OH and/or urinary involvement at their initial neurological evaluation.

Conclusion

Current evidence on the accuracy of diagnostic tests for the early diagnosis of MSA is mostly available for patients presenting with parkinsonism (Table 1); however, specific findings that can support early clinical diagnosis of patients presenting with cerebellar and autonomic dysfunction have been also discussed and are summarized in Table 1.

Overall, most diagnostic markers have good specificity but suboptimal sensitivity to distinguish MSA from related disorders. This is particularly true for the early disease stages when specific clinical features may still be absent or overlap with other neurodegenerative disorders. Several investigations, in particular MRI, ^{18}F FDG-PET, and ^{123}I MIBG scintigraphy as well as cardiovascular autonomic and urodynamic tests have proven efficacious in supporting a diagnosis of MSA in individual patients. The use of automated algorithms in clinical routine is expected to improve the accuracy of early MSA diagnosis in the coming years. The costs and/or availability may be a limitation for a more extensive use in routine clinical practice of autonomic function tests and cardiac MIBG scintigraphy.

A major shortcoming of all diagnostic tests is the lack of sufficient validation in postmortem MSA series. Moreover, most studies are cross-sectional and do not evaluate patients at the very earliest stage, following them prospectively until they do fulfill diagnostic criteria for MSA. Further prospective studies are

necessary to identify diagnostic markers that can reliably predict an autopsy-proven diagnosis of MSA even in the early or prodromal stages of the disease.

Author Roles

(1) Research Project: A. Conception, B. Organization, C. Execution; (2) Manuscript Preparation: A. Writing of the First Draft, B. Review and Critique.

M.T.P.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A

I.S.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A

A.F.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2B

F.K.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2B

W.G.M.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2B

J.A.P.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2B

J.P.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2B

K.S.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2B

G.K.W.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A

Disclosures

Ethical Compliance Statement: The authors confirm that the approval of an institutional review board was not required for this work. No informed consent was required. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this work is consistent with those guidelines.

Funding Sources and Conflicts of Interest: None.

Financial Disclosures for Previous 12 Months: Dr. Palma received research funding from the National Institutes of Health, Michael J. Fox Foundation, MSA Coalition, Familial Dysautonomia Foundation, US Food and Drug Administration, and Biogen; is an advisory board member for Lundbeck, Biogen, PTC Therapeutics, and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories; is the managing editor of *Clinical Autonomic Research*; and is the principal investigator in multiple system atrophy clinical trials funded by Theravance Biopharma and Biohaven. Dr. Schmahmann is a site principal investigator for a Biohaven trial in multiple system atrophy and consults for Cadent Therapeutics. ■

APPENDIX

Members of the Movement Disorder Society Multiple System Atrophy Study Group

Paolo Barone (Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases [CEMAND], Department of Medicine, Neuroscience Section, University of Salerno, Italy); Vladimir Kostic, Milos Sabanovic (Neurology Clinic, Clinical Center of Serbia, School of

Medicine, University of Belgrade, Serbia); Sweta Bajaj (Department of Neurology, Innsbruck Medical University, Austria); Horacio Kaufmann (Dysautonomia Center, Langone Medical Center, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA); Niall Quinn [University College London (UCL), Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK]; Angelo Antonini (Department of Neuroscience, University of Padua, Italy); Jee Bang, Alexander Pantelyat (Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA); Alfredo Berardelli [Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico (IRCCS), Neuromed, Pozzilli, IS, Italy, Department of Human Neurosciences, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy]; Daniela Berg (German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Tübingen, Germany, Center for Neurology and Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, Department of Neurology, Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel, Kiel, Germany); Italo Biaggioni (Departments of Medicine and Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA); Bastiaan Bloem (Radboud University Medical Center; Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour; Department of Neurology; Center of Expertise for Parkinson & Movement Disorders; Nijmegen, The Netherlands); David J. Brooks (Neurology Imaging Unit, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, Institute of Neuroscience, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle University Campus for Ageing and Vitality, Newcastle, UK); Giovanna Calandra-Buonaura, Pietro Cortelli (IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy, DIBINEM, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy); Carlo Colosimo (Department of Neurological Sciences, Santa Maria University Hospital, Terni, Italy); Joaquim Ferreira (Instituto de Medicina Molecular, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal); Susan Fox (Edmond J. Safra Program in Parkinson's Disease and the Morton and Gloria Shulman Movement Disorders Clinic, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto, Canada, Division of Neurology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, Krembil Brain Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada); Birgit Frauscher (Montreal Neurological Institute and Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, Department of Medicine and Center for Neuroscience Studies, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada); Roy Freeman (Department of Neurology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA); Victor Fung (Movement Disorders Unit, Neurology Department, Westmead Hospital, Westmead, NSW, Australia; Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia); Thomas Gasser (German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Tübingen, Germany, Center for Neurology and Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany); Alexander Gerhard (Wolfson Molecular Imaging Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom, Departments of Nuclear Medicine and Geriatric Medicine, University Hospital Essen, Germany); David Goldstein (Clinical Neurocardiology Section, Clinical Neurosciences Program, Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA); Mark Hallett (Human Motor Control Section, Medical Neurology Branch, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA); Glenda Halliday (Brain and Mind Centre and Central Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia); Günter U. Höglinger (Department of Neurology, Hanover Medical School, Hanover, Germany; German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, München, Germany); Janice L. Holton (Queen Square Brain Bank for Neurological Disorders, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK); Henry Houlden (Department of Neuromuscular Disease, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology and The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK, Neurogenetics Laboratory, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology and The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK); Valeria Iodice (Autonomic Unit, National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, Queen Square/Division of Clinical Neurology, Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK); Thomas Klockgether (Department of Neurology, University of Bonn, Germany, German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Bonn, Germany); Anthony Lang (Edmond J. Safra Program in Parkinson's Disease and the Morton and Gloria Shulman Movement Disorders Clinic, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto, Canada); Helen Ling (Queen Square Brain Bank for Neurological Disorders, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK, Reta Lila Weston Institute of Neurological Studies, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK); Phillip Low (Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA); Irene Litvan (Department of Neurosciences, Parkinson and Other Movement Disorders Center, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA); Yasuo Miki (Queen Square Brain Bank for Neurological Disorders, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK, Department of Neuropathology, Institute of Brain Science, Hirosaki University Graduate School of Medicine, Hirosaki, Japan); Takashi Nomura (Division of Neurology, Department of Brain and Neurosciences, Tottori University, Tottori, Japan); Satoshi Orimo (Department of Neurology, Kanto Central Hospital, Tokyo, Japan); Tetsutaro Ozawa (Department of Neurology, Uonuma Institute of Community Medicine, Niigata University Medical and Dental Hospital, Japan); Ronald Postuma (Department of Neurology, Montreal General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada); Olivier Rascol (Centre Référence Maladie Rare Alliance Médicale et Scientifique (AMS); CIC 1436, Toulouse NeuroImaging Center, Unite Mixte de Recherche (ToNIC UMR 1214), Department of Neurosciences, Centre d'Excellence en maladies Neurodégénératives (COEN) NeuroToul; University Hospital of Toulouse, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), University of Toulouse 3; Toulouse; France); David Robertson (Autonomic Dysfunction Center, Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville,

TN, USA); Ryuji Sakakibara (Neurology, Internal Medicine, Sakura Medical Center, Toho University, Sakura, Japan); Cristina Sampaio (Cure Huntington's Disease Initiative/El [CHDI] Management/CHDI Foundation, Princeton, NJ, USA and Laboratório de Farmacologia Clínica, Faculdade de Medicina de Lisboa, Portugal); Jeremy D. Schmahmann (Ataxia Center, Laboratory for Neuroanatomy and Cerebellar Neurobiology, Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA); Sonja Scholz (Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA, Neurodegenerative Diseases Research Unit, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA); Jean-Michel Senard (Institut des maladies métaboliques et cardiovasculaires, université de Toulouse, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire (CHU) Rangueil, Toulouse, France, Pharmacology department, Faculty of Medicine, Toulouse, France); Manu Sharma (Centre for Genetic Epidemiology, Institute for Clinical Epidemiology and Applied Biometry, University of Tubingen, Germany); Wolfgang Singer (Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA); Maria Stamelou (Parkinson's disease and Movement Disorders Department, HYGEIA Hospital, and Aiginiteion Hospital, University of Athens, Greece, Philipps University Marburg, Germany and European University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus); Atsushi Takeda (Department of Neurology, National Hospital Organization Sendai Nishitaga Hospital, Miyagi, Japan); Eduardo Tolosa (Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red sobre Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas Hospital Clínic, Institut de Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Universitat de Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain, Movement Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain); Shoji Tsuji (Department of Molecular Neurology, The University of Tokyo, Graduate School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan, International University of Health and Welfare, Chiba, Japan); Luca Vignatelli (IRCCS Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy, Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche e Neuromotorie (DIBINEM), Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy); Uwe Walter (Department of Neurology, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany); Hirohisa Watanabe (Department of Neurology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan); Daniel Weintraub (Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA, Parkinson's Disease and Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Centers (Philadelphia Parkinson's Disease Research, Education and Clinical Center and Mental Illness Research Education Clinical, Centers of Excellence), Philadelphia Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA); Uwe Siebert (Department of Public Health, Health Services Research and Health Technology Assessment, Institute of Public Health, Medical Decision Making and Health Technology Assessment, Private University for Health Sciences, Medical Informatics and Technology (UMIT)-University for Health Sciences, Medical Informatics and Technology, Hall in Tirol, Austria, Department of Health Policy and Management, Center for Health Decision Science, Harvard Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA,

USA, Department of Radiology, Institute for Technology Assessment, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA); Werner Poewe (Department of Neurology, Innsbruck Medical University, Austria). ■

References

1. Fanciulli A, Wenning G. Multiple system atrophy. *N Engl J Med* 2015; 372(3):249–263.
2. Gilman S, Wenning G, Low P, et al. Second consensus statement on the diagnosis of multiple system atrophy. *Neurology* 2008;71(9):670–676.
3. Litvan I, Goetz C, Jankovic J, et al. What is the accuracy of the clinical diagnosis of multiple system atrophy? *Arch Neurol* 1997;54(8):937–944.
4. Koga S, Aoki N, Uitti R, et al. When DLB, PD, and PSP masquerade as MSA. *Neurology* 2015;85(5):404–412.
5. Miki Y, Foti SC, Asi YT. Improving diagnostic accuracy of multiple system atrophy: a clinicopathological study. *Brain* 2019;142(9):2813–2827.
6. Quinn N. Multiple system atrophy—the nature of the beast. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1989;52:78–89.
7. Gilman S, Low P, Quinn N, et al. Consensus statement on the diagnosis of multiple system atrophy. *J Neurol Sci* 1999;163(1):94–98.
8. Heim B, Krismer F, De Marzi R, Seppi K. Magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. *J Neural Transm* 2017;124(8): 915–964.
9. Watanabe H, Ito M, Fukatsu H, et al. Putaminal magnetic resonance imaging features at various magnetic field strengths in multiple system atrophy. *Mov Disord* 2010;25(12):1916–1923.
10. Massey L, Micallef C, Paviour D, et al. Conventional magnetic resonance imaging in confirmed progressive supranuclear palsy and multiple system atrophy. *Mov Disord* 2012;27(14):1754–1762.
11. Mestre T, Gupta A, Lang A. MRI signs of multiple system atrophy preceding the clinical diagnosis: the case for an imaging-supported probable MSA diagnostic category. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2016;87(4): 443–444.
12. Kraft E, Schwarz J, Trenkwalder C, Vogl T, Pfluger T, Oertel W. The combination of hypointense and hyperintense signal changes on T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging sequences a specific marker of multiple system atrophy? *Arch Neurol* 2013;56:225–228.
13. Paviour D, Thornton J, Lees A, Jäger H. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging differentiates parkinsonian variant of multiple system atrophy from progressive supranuclear palsy. *Mov Disord* 2007;22(1): 68–74.
14. Wadia PM, Howard P, Ribeiro MQ, et al. The value of GRE, ADC and routine MRI in distinguishing Parkinsonian disorders. *Can J Neurol Sci* 2013;40(3):389–402.
15. Muqit M, Mort D, Miskiel K, Shakir R. “Hot cross bun” sign in a patient with parkinsonism secondary to presumed vasculitis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2001;71(4):565–566.
16. Bajaj S, Krismer F, Palma J, et al. Diffusion-weighted MRI distinguishes Parkinson disease from the parkinsonian variant of multiple system atrophy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2017;12(12): e0189897.
17. Seppi K, Schocke M, Esterhammer R, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging discriminates progressive supranuclear palsy from PD, but not from the parkinson variant of multiple system atrophy. *Neurology* 2003;60(6): 922–927.
18. Pellecchia M, Barone P, Mollica C, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging in multiple system atrophy: a comparison between clinical subtypes. *Mov Disord* 2009;24(5):689–696.
19. Shao N, Yang J, Shang H. Voxelwise meta-analysis of gray matter anomalies in Parkinson variant of multiple system atrophy and Parkinson's disease using anatomic likelihood estimation. *Neurosci Lett* 2015;587:79–86.
20. Yu F, Barron D, Tantiwongkosi B, Fox P. Patterns of gray matter atrophy in atypical parkinsonism syndromes: a VBM meta-analysis. *Brain Behav* 2015;5(6):e00329.
21. Jiang X, Faber J, Giordano I, et al. Characterization of cerebellar atrophy and resting state functional connectivity patterns in sporadic adult-

- onset ataxia of unknown etiology (SAOA). *Cerebellum* 2019;18(5): 873–881.
22. Heim B, Krismer F, Seppi K. Structural imaging in atypical parkinsonism. *Int Rev Neurobiol* 2018;142:67–148.
 23. Scherfler C, Göbel G, Müller C, et al. Diagnostic potential of automated subcortical volume segmentation in atypical parkinsonism. *Neurology* 2016;86:1242–1249.
 24. Krismer F, Seppi K, Göbel G, et al. Morphometric MRI profiles of multiple system atrophy variants and implications for differential diagnosis. *Mov Disord* 2019;34(7):1041–1048.
 25. Eckert T, Sailer M, Kaufmann J, et al. Differentiation of idiopathic Parkinson's disease, multiple system atrophy, progressive supranuclear palsy, and healthy controls using magnetization transfer imaging. *Neuroimage* 2004;21(1):229–235.
 26. Focke N, Helms G, Pantel P, et al. Differentiation of typical and atypical parkinson syndromes by quantitative MR imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2011;32(11):2087–2092.
 27. Wang Y, Butros SR, Shuai X, et al. Different iron-deposition patterns of multiple system atrophy with predominant parkinsonism and idiopathic Parkinson diseases demonstrated by phase-corrected susceptibility-weighted imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2012;33(2):266–273.
 28. Lee MJ, Kim TH, Kim SJ, Kim BK, Mun CW, Lee JH. Quantitative validation of a visual rating scale for defining high-iron putamen in patients with multiple system atrophy. *Front Neurol* 2019;10:1014.
 29. Ohtsuka C, Sasaki M, Konno K, et al. Differentiation of early-stage parkinsonisms using neuromelanin-sensitive magnetic resonance imaging. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2014;20(7):755–760.
 30. Barbagallo G, Sierra-Peña M, Nemmi F, et al. Multimodal MRI assessment of nigro-striatal pathway in multiple system atrophy and Parkinson disease. *Mov Disord* 2016;31(3):325–334.
 31. Péran P, Barbagallo G, Nemmi F, et al. MRI supervised and unsupervised classification of Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy. *Mov Disord* 2018;33(4):600–608.
 32. Meyer P, Frings L, Rucker G, Hellwig S. F-FDG PET in parkinsonism: differential diagnosis and cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. *J Nucl Med* 2017;58(12):1888–1898.
 33. Gu S, Ye Q, Yuan C. Metabolic pattern analysis of 18F-FDG PET as a marker for Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rev Neurosci* 2019;30:743–756. <https://doi.org/10.1515/revneuro-2018-0061>.
 34. Hellwig S, Amtage F, Krefl A, et al. [¹⁸F]FDG-PET is superior to [¹²³I]IBZM-SPECT for the differential diagnosis of parkinsonism. *Neurology* 2012;79:1314–1322.
 35. Tang C, Poston K, Eckert T, et al. Differential diagnosis of parkinsonism: a metabolic imaging study using pattern analysis. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9(2): 149–158.
 36. Antonini A, Benti R, De Notaris R, et al. 123I-Ioflupane/SPECT binding to striatal dopamine transporter (DAT) uptake in patients with Parkinson's disease multiple system atrophy, and progressive supranuclear palsy. *Neuro Sci* 2003;24(3):149–150.
 37. Nocker M, Seppi K, Boesch S, et al. Topography of dopamine transporter availability in the cerebellar variant of multiple system atrophy. *Mov Disord Clin Pract* 2016;4(3):389–396.
 38. Vergnet S, Hives F, Foubert-Samier A, et al. Dopamine transporter imaging for the diagnosis of multiple system atrophy cerebellar type. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2019;63:199–203.
 39. Sakakibara R, Tateno F, Aiba Y, et al. MIBG myocardial scintigraphy identifies premotor PD/DLB during a negative DAT scan period: second report. *Mov Disord Clin Pract* 2019;6(1):46–50.
 40. Plotkin M, Amthauer H, Klaffke S, et al. Combined 123I-FP-CIT and 123I-IBZM SPECT for the diagnosis of parkinsonian syndromes: study on 72 patients. *J Neural Transm* 2005;112(5):677–692.
 41. Schreckenberger M, Hägele S, Siessmeier T, et al. The dopamine D2 receptor ligand 18F-desmethoxyfallypride: an appropriate fluorinated PET tracer for the differential diagnosis of parkinsonism. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2004;31(8):1128–1135.
 42. la Fougere C, Popperl G, Levin J, et al. The value of the dopamine D2/3 receptor ligand 18F-desmethoxyfallypride for the differentiation of idiopathic and nonidiopathic parkinsonian syndromes. *J Nucl Med* 2010; 51(4):581–587.
 43. Bosman T, Van Laere K, Santens P. Anatomically standardised 99mTc-ECD brain perfusion SPET allows accurate differentiation between healthy volunteers, multiple system atrophy and idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Nucl Med* 2003;30(1):16–24.
 44. Cilia R, Marotta G, Benti R, Pezzoli G, Antonini A. Brain SPECT imaging in multiple system atrophy. *J Neural Transm* 2005;112(12): 1635–1645.
 45. Gerhard A, Banati R, Goerres G, et al. [11C](R)-PK11195 PET imaging of microglial activation in multiple system atrophy. *Neurology* 2003;61(5): 686–689.
 46. Gerhard A, Pavese N, Hotton G, et al. In vivo imaging of microglial activation with [11C](R)-PK11195 PET in idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* 2006;21(2):404–412.
 47. Walter U, Dressler D, Probst T, et al. Transcranial brain sonography findings in discriminating between parkinsonism and idiopathic Parkinson disease. *Arch Neurol* 2007;64(11):1635–1640.
 48. Fujita H, Suzuki K, Numao A, et al. Usefulness of cardiac MIBG scintigraphy, olfactory testing and substantia nigra hyperchogenicity as additional diagnostic markers for distinguishing between Parkinson's disease and atypical parkinsonian syndromes. *PLoS One* 2016;11(11): e0165869.
 49. Hellwig S, Reinhard M, Amtage F, et al. Transcranial sonography and [18F] fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography for the differential diagnosis of parkinsonism: a head-to-head comparison. *Eur J Neurol* 2014;21(6):860–866.
 50. Sudmeyer M, Antke C, Zizek T, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of combined FP-CIT, IBZM, and MIBG scintigraphy in the differential diagnosis of degenerative parkinsonism: a multidimensional statistical approach. *J Nucl Med* 2011;52(5):733–740.
 51. Coon E, Cutsforth-Gregory J, Benarroch E. Neuropathology of autonomic dysfunction in synucleinopathies. *Mov Disord* 2018;33(3): 349–358.
 52. Kaufmann H, Norcliffe-Kaufmann L, Palma J-A, et al. Natural history of pure autonomic failure: a United States prospective cohort. *Ann Neurol* 2017;81(2):287–297.
 53. Baschieri F, Calandra-Buonaura G, Doria A, et al. Cardiovascular autonomic testing performed with a new integrated instrumental approach is useful in differentiating MSA-P from PD at an early stage. *Park Relat Disord*. 2015;21(5):477–482.
 54. Deguchi K, Sasaki I, Tsukaguchi M, Kamoda M, Touge T. Abnormalities of rate-corrected QT intervals in Parkinson's disease- a comparison with multiple system atrophy and progressive supranuclear palsy. *J Neurol Sci* 2002;199:31–37.
 55. Deguchi K, Sasaki I, Ikeda K, Shimamura M, Urai Y. The validity of a hyperventilation test for an investigation of autonomic failure: assessment in patients with multiple system atrophy and Parkinson's disease. *Int J Clin Pract* 2006;64(2):1542–1547.
 56. Goldstein D, Pechnik S, Holmes C, Eldadah B, Sharabi Y. Association between supine hypertension and orthostatic hypotension in autonomic failure. *Hypertension* 2003;42(2):136–142.
 57. Fanciulli A, Strano S, Ndayisaba J, et al. Detecting nocturnal hypertension in Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy: proposal of a decision-support algorithm. *J Neurol* 2014;261:1291–1299.
 58. Norcliffe-Kaufmann L, Kaufmann H, Palma J, et al. Orthostatic heart rate changes in patients with autonomic failure caused by neurodegenerative synucleinopathies. *Ann Neurol* 2018;83(3):522–531.
 59. Pilleri M, Levedianos G, Weis L, et al. Heart rate circadian profile in the differential diagnosis between Parkinson disease and multiple system atrophy. *Park Relat Disord*. 2014;20(2):217–221.
 60. Schmidt C, Herting B, Prieur S, et al. Valsalva manoeuvre in patients with different Parkinsonian disorders. *J Neural Transm* 2009;116(7): 875–880.
 61. Klockgether T. Sporadic ataxia with adult onset: classification and diagnostic criteria. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9(1):94–104.
 62. Kim HJ, Jeon BS, Shin J, et al. Should genetic testing for SCAs be included in the diagnostic workup for MSA? *Neurology* 2014;83(19): 1733–1738.
 63. Wenning G, Scherfler C, Granata R, et al. Time course of symptomatic orthostatic hypotension and urinary incontinence in patients with post-mortem confirmed parkinsonian syndromes: a clinicopathological study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1999;67:620–623.
 64. Höglinger GU, Kassubek J, Csoti I, et al. Differentiation of atypical Parkinson syndromes. *J Neural Transm* 2017;124(8):997–1004.

65. Oliveira M, Ling H, Lees A, Holton J, De Pablo-Fernandez E, Warner T. Association of autonomic symptoms with disease progression and survival in progressive supranuclear palsy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2019;90(5):555–561.
66. Armstrong M, Litvan I, Lang A, et al. Criteria for the diagnosis of corticobasal degeneration. *Neurology* 2013;80(5):496–503.
67. Wenning G, Granata R, Krismer F, et al. Orthostatic hypotension is differentially associated with the cerebellar versus the parkinsonian variant of multiple system atrophy: a comparative study. *Cerebellum* 2012;11(1):223–226.
68. Pavy-Le Traon A, Piedvache A, Perez-Lloret S, et al. New insights into orthostatic hypotension in multiple system atrophy: a European multi-centre cohort study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2016;87(5):554–561.
69. Cohen J, Low P, Fealey R, Sheps S, Jiang N. Somatic and autonomic function in progressive autonomic failure and multiple system atrophy. *Ann Neurol* 1987;22(6):692–699.
70. Singer W, Berini S, Sandroni P, et al. Pure autonomic failure predictors of conversion to clinical CNS involvement. *Neurology* 2017;88(12):1129–1136.
71. Orimo S, Suzuki M, Inaba A, Mizusawa H. 123I-MIBG myocardial scintigraphy for differentiating Parkinson's disease from other neurodegenerative parkinsonism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Park Relat Disord* 2012;18(5):494–500.
72. Druschky K, Kuwert T, Druschky A, Hilz M, Platsch G, Neundorfer B. Differentiation of Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy in early disease stages by means of I-123-MIBG-SPECT. *J Neurol Sci* 2000;175(1):3–12.
73. Kashihara K, Ohno M, Kawada S, Okumura Y. Reduced cardiac uptake and enhanced washout of 123I-MIBG in pure autonomic failure occurs conjointly with Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. *J Nucl Med* 2006;47(7):1099–1101.
74. Miyamoto T, Miyamoto M, Suzuki K, Nishibayashi M, Iwanami M, Hirata K. 123I-MIBG cardiac scintigraphy provides clues to the underlying neurodegenerative disorder in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep* 2008;31(5):717–723.
75. Baschieri F, Calandra-Buonaura G, Cecere A, et al. Iodine-123-meta-iodobenzylguanidine myocardial scintigraphy in isolated autonomic failure: potential red flag for future multiple system atrophy. *Front Neurol* 2017;8:225.
76. Sakakibara R, Hattori T, Uchiyama T, et al. Urinary dysfunction and orthostatic hypotension in multiple system atrophy: which is the more common and earlier manifestation? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2000;68(1):65–69.
77. Fowler C. Videodynamic and sphincter motor unit potential analyses in Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2001;71(5):575.
78. Lin D, Hermann K, Schmahmann J. The diagnosis and natural history of multiple system atrophy, cerebellar type. *Cerebellum* 2017;15(6):663–679.
79. Fanciulli A, Goebel G, Lazzeri G, et al. Early distinction of Parkinson-variant multiple system atrophy from Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2019;34(3):440–441.
80. Yamamoto T, Asahina M, Yamanaka Y, et al. Postvoid residual predicts the diagnosis of multiple system atrophy in parkinsonian syndrome. *J Neurol Sci* 2017;381:230–234.
81. Yamamoto T, Tateno F, Sakakibara R, Furukawa S. Urinary dysfunction in progressive supranuclear palsy compared with other parkinsonian disorders. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(2):e0149278.
82. Thaisetthawatkul P, Boeve B, Benarroch E, et al. Autonomic dysfunction in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology* 2004;62(10):1804–1809.
83. Coon E, Fealey R, Sletten D, et al. Anhidrosis in multiple system atrophy involves pre- and postganglionic sudomotor dysfunction. *Mov Disord* 2017;32(3):397–404.

Supporting Information

Supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Table S1. Summary of studies on imaging in MSA. A total of 1836 articles were identified in PubMed using the search terms on July 20, 2019. A total of 118 relevant papers were included in the analysis.

Table S2. Summary of studies on autonomic function testing in MSA. A total of 5901 articles were identified in PubMed using the search terms on July 20, 2019. A total of 55 relevant papers were included in the analysis.