# PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rstb

# Introduction



**Cite this article:** Emery Thompson M, Rosati AG, Snyder-Mackler N. 2020 Insights from evolutionarily relevant models for human ageing. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **375**: 20190605. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0605

Accepted: 24 July 2020

One contribution of 16 to a theme issue 'Evolution of the primate ageing process'.

#### **Subject Areas:**

evolution, health and disease and epidemiology, physiology, systems biology

#### **Keywords:**

senescence, health, primates, evolutionary medicine, phylogeny, physiology

#### Author for correspondence:

Melissa Emery Thompson e-mail: memery@unm.edu

# Insights from evolutionarily relevant models for human ageing

Melissa Emery Thompson<sup>1</sup>, Alexandra G. Rosati<sup>2</sup> and Noah Snyder-Mackler<sup>3,4</sup>

(iii) MET, 0000-0003-2451-6397

As the world confronts the health challenges of an ageing population, there has been dramatically increased interest in the science of ageing. This research has overwhelmingly focused on age-related disease, particularly in industrialized human populations and short-lived laboratory animal models. However, it has become clear that humans and long-lived primates age differently than many typical model organisms, and that many of the diseases causing death and disability in the developed world are greatly exacerbated by modern lifestyles. As such, research on how the human ageing process evolved is vital to understanding the origins of prolonged human lifespan and factors increasing vulnerability to degenerative disease. In this issue, we highlight emerging comparative research on primates, highlighting the physical, physiological, behavioural and cognitive processes of ageing. This work comprises data and theory on non-human primates, as well as under-represented data on humans living in small-scale societies, which help elucidate how environment shapes senescence. Component papers address (i) the critical processes that comprise senescence in longlived primates; (ii) the social, ecological or individual characteristics that predict variation in the pace of ageing; and (iii) the complicated relationship between ageing trajectories and disease outcomes. Collectively, this work provides essential comparative, evolutionary data on ageing and demonstrates its unique potential to inform our understanding of the human ageing process.

This article is part of the theme issue 'Evolution of the primate ageing process'.

#### 1. Introduction

Humans are remarkable among mammals for our extreme longevity, and in the past century, lifespans have increased dramatically across the globe [1,2]. In the year 2018, for the first time in history, the world's population of people over 65 years of age exceeded that of children under the age of 5 [3]. This so-called silver tsunami presents a significant global health challenge, leading to prioritization of ageing as one of the most urgent areas of biomedical research. However, contrary to popular belief, humans were living to old ages long before the spread of western medicine and even before the onset of agriculture. Similarly, in contemporary small-scale foraging communities without access to westernized medicine, infant mortality is high, but the modal age of adult death reaches into the late 70s [4,5]. The way that humans age today has been shaped by a long evolutionary history, meaning that the narrow focus of ageing research on humans in modern, industrialized environments constrains our ability to understand the factors that shape health and lifespan. Basic research on the evolution of ageing, including generation of high-quality data from diverse human populations [6] and closely related, long-lived species [2,7-9], is, therefore, an essential complement to the emerging explosion of clinical research on ageing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Anthropology, University of New Mexico, 500 University Boulevard NE, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology and Anthropology, University of Michigan, 530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Center for Evolution and Medicine, and <sup>4</sup>School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, 427 East Tyler Mall, Tempe, AZ 85281, USA

This theme issue addresses this neglected line of inquiry by examining the comparative biology of ageing across primates, driven by two major questions. First, what processes would probably have affected health and constrained lifespan in the evolutionary past? Second, what factors contribute to variation in ageing processes within and across species? Our authors address emerging paradigms in the clinical ageing literature (such as dementia, immunosenescence and social isolation) and perform explicit quantitative and theoretical comparisons of their findings with prior reports. We highlight scholars who study non-human primates, as well as those who study humans outside of the typical industrialized clinical study setting. This issue comes at a pivotal time for research in primatology and human ecology when the combination of new methods and exhaustive longitudinal data collection has converged to enable robust analyses of ageing. Contributed papers address the important ways that environment influences senescence; highlight new primate models for features of the human ageing process that are not appropriately captured by existing models; and provide productive discourse on how the ageing process may have been shaped throughout our evolutionary history. In pursuing these goals, the contributed papers not only offer novel comparative datasets on primate ageing, but also provide insights on the complex relationship between ageing and health that have translational potential for shaping clinical interventions.

# 2. An evolutionary approach to ageing

For decades, research on ageing has been dominated by two interrelated perspectives. The first addresses the immediacy of treating or curing specific degenerative diseases that plague the elderly, including cardiovascular, metabolic and neurodegenerative diseases. The second aims to prevent agerelated diseases and slow the rate of ageing, with the goal of extending lifespan or increasing the years of healthy living, the 'healthspan' [10]. Much of this literature characterizes ageing as a disease that can theoretically be cured, or at least delayed [11–16]. However, a number of prominent researchers have argued for a change in perspective to distinguish the process of ageing from the diseases that are affected by age [17–19]. This evolutionarily guided perspective acknowledges that for most species, ageing is a natural and inevitable feature of life.

While evolutionary theorists have long argued that ageing evolved primarily because natural selection was unable to prevent it [20,21], there is increasing recognition that ageing and its mechanisms are in fact subject to natural selection, most notably through resource allocation decisions made earlier in life [22-25]. Additionally, factors that promote degenerative ageing, such as glucocorticoid activity, inflammation and production of reactive oxygen species, also serve functions that are essential for survival, creating selective trade-offs [26-28]. Critically, conflating ageing with disease is problematic because even the most common pathologies of old age only occur in a fraction of individuals, and changes that occur during ageing are not intrinsically pathological. While advanced age is the defining risk factor for degenerative disease, this risk is modified heavily by genes and environment, suggesting that the pathways to successful or pathological ageing can begin early in life. As such, there is a need to better understand the basic biological pathways of senescence and their natural scope of variation, an approach that may yield clues to long-term disease prevention.

Evolutionary scholars view ageing, like other biological phenomena, through a long lens, as the outcome of selective

forces shaping our species and its ancestors over millions of years. The essential paradigm underlying the emerging field of evolutionary medicine is that the mechanisms of human ageing have been shaped under dramatically different environments than those in which most humans currently live [6,29,30]. Indeed, the rapid rate of international development means that many elderly people alive today developed under very different nutritional, epidemiological and social conditions than those in which they currently live [31,32]. These evolutionary and developmental 'mismatches' are thought to contribute to the prevalence of many degenerative diseases.

One important evolutionarily informed approach is to examine the health of people living in different environments, particularly in small-scale subsistence populations that are overlooked by the clinical literature. These include foragers, whose hunting and gathering mode of subsistence most closely resembles the lifeway practised throughout most of human existence, as well as pastoralists and those that farm at small scales for family consumption. Populations that have limited access to market goods or westernized medicine, practice natural fertility, and live embedded within strong kin networks are especially informative as they experience resource limitations, high rates of physical activity, prevalent infectious disease and social contexts that best approximate the selective environments that are likely to have shaped the human organism. For example, owing to energetic constraints and long periods of lactation, pre-industrial populations produce relatively low levels of the steroid hormones oestradiol and testosterone [33,34]. High lifetime exposure to these hormones has been linked to the elevated risk of some reproductive cancers [35,36]. Similarly, as the human immune system evolved in a high-pathogen environment, early and frequent exposure to infections, such as gastrointestinal parasites, may promote healthy metabolism and immune regulation. Recent studies of Tsimane horticulturalists, who exhibit high levels of inflammation compared to industrialized populations, suggest that infection with parasites may be protective against musculoskeletal and cognitive decline, and perhaps even atherosclerosis and Alzheimer's disease [37-39]. Rather than increasing 'wear and tear', the high workload in subsistence societies appears to confer some protection against a variety of degenerative diseases, including osteoarthritis [40], cardiovascular disease [41,42] and frailty [43].

Another important facet of the evolutionary approach is to evaluate human ageing biology in the context of our close non-human primate relatives, which share many pertinent biological features with humans through common ancestry [44]. Primates are not only valuable as 'model' species, substituting for humans in experimental research, but as essential comparative referents that can deepen our understanding of the evolutionary forces that have shaped human ageing. Thus, our issue highlights work that situates humans in this broader comparative context, an approach that is vital not only because humans are primates, but because understanding the ageing process requires disentangling true species-level differences from those that may vary according to environment.

# 3. Challenges and benefits of comparative ageing research on non-human primates

While animals have long been used as laboratory models for the study of ageing, model species have almost always been selected for convenience, because they have short generation times and can be subjected to invasive manipulations that are not feasible in humans. Yet, ageing exhibits tremendous diversity across species [45,46], and conventional animal models have significant limitations in their applicability to humans [47]. There is a particular paucity of high-quality data on other long-lived species, including non-human primates. We emphasize three principal arguments for investing in research on primate ageing.

First, primate research is necessary. Genomic studies indicate that the mechanisms which are the focus of research in conventional model organisms, like worms, flies and rodents, have not been major targets of selection in humans and are unlikely to explain interspecific variation in longevity among primates [48]. The combination of a long life and extensive parental investment has shaped primate life course strategies [49,50], potentially affecting not only how quickly we age but also how we age. Primates are indispensable for examining aspects of cognitive, neurobiological and social ageing that are simply not captured in existing animal models. Older adults show shifts in sensitivity to social information, social reasoning abilities and complex forms of value-based decision-making that can impact social competence, financial stability and other aspects of well-being during ageing [51,52], and there is increasing recognition that strong social support promotes successful ageing [53,54]. Second, non-human primates are appropriate comparative models. For example, despite considerable diversity in lifespan, primates exhibit broad similarities in the patterning of mortality that distinguish them from other species [55,56]. Primates share physiological similarities with humans that make them highly relevant models for reproductive ageing, metabolic diseases, musculoskeletal ageing, immune function, cardiovascular disease and cognitive decline [57-64]. Finally, given the overall similarities, the variation among primates is specifically informative. When closely related species exhibit differences in ageing, large or subtle, this presents a unique opportunity to identify key pathways of selection, including environmental influences and genetic mechanisms.

For these reasons, there has been recent recognition of the unique applicability of primates for translational research, most notably for studies of caloric restriction and brain ageing [57-62,65]. However, the bulk of primate research to date has focused on a handful of species that are abundant, easy to maintain in laboratory settings and have tractable generation times: mouse lemurs [66,67], common marmosets [68] and rhesus macaques [65]. Work on ageing in primate populations outside of laboratory contexts, by contrast, has been remarkably rare. Indeed, there was a long-held assumption in gerontology that most animals did not live long enough in the wild to experience the physiological effects of ageing [20,69]. However, longitudinal data from a diverse range of animal taxa now make it clear that senescence is widespread in nature [70–72]. Moreover, the effects of captive environments on physiology are substantial, and coupled with a reduction in genetic diversity, can have complex, and sometimes unpredictable, influences on the ageing process [73]. Studies in the wild, therefore, complement captive studies by examining ageing biology under conditions closer to that in which it evolved, and can provide new insights into how ageing is influenced by environmental context.

Data from diverse primate populations are, therefore, essential, but also present several challenges for ageing

research. First, many primate species, including our closest living relatives, are endangered, raising ethical considerations about their use. Notably, in 2015, the National Institutes of Health and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service released landmark policy changes that have seriously restricted laboratory research on chimpanzees. However, minimally invasive measures using urine, faeces, blood spots and observational data have developed rapidly over the last 20 years, transforming the ability to monitor health in wild primates, as well as in humans in non-clinical settings [74-79]. Papers in this issue highlight impressive datasets derived from non-invasive specimen collections, post-mortem skeletal analyses and opportunistic sampling during routine health screenings. Second, the relatively long lifespan of primates poses inherent feasibility challenges for obtaining adequate samples. Sample size is additionally constrained by the small numbers in most captive colonies or habituated wild groups, making it difficult to avoid confounds such as mortality election. Yet, these studies have the ability to collect continuous, longitudinal data that are not feasible with large, human cohorts. Finally, it can be difficult to implement experimental treatments and controls in the field, and primates generally cannot be manipulated in the same manner as other species. However, this drawback is balanced by a key strength: the ability to obtain detailed, objective data on individual experience, such as on diet or social interactions. Given the strong associations between social environmental variables, like social integration and social status, for healthy ageing in humans [80], research on primate social groups offers one of the few tangible systems to examine potential mechanisms for such effects [81,82].

# 4. Key themes from this volume

The work presented in the current volume aims to overcome the challenges associated with studying diverse primate populations, contributing new methodological advances to monitor humans and animals, harnessing rich long-term datasets on long-lived species and evaluating the application of theoretical ideas to these understudied populations. Here, we highlight major themes cross-cutting this work.

#### (a) Comparative toolkits

While clinical science has developed standard diagnostics of ageing across many domains, these measures can be difficult to translate to naturalistic settings or to different species, hindering direct comparisons. Contributions to this volume demonstrate productive approaches to this problem.

One such approach stems from the recent recognition by gerontology of 'emergent' ageing processes, such as frailty, physiological dysregulation and allostatic load. This approach recognizes that the functional effects of ageing are the result of a complex network of underlying mechanisms that can unfold differently across individuals [83]. As such, examining variation across a range of measures yields a more robust correlation with age and proves more effective in predicting disease and mortality than isolated diagnostic tests [84,85]. Importantly, component measures need not be identical, nor identically measured, across studies, allowing for comparisons across diverse datasets and even across species. Two recent studies, for example, found similar emergent ageing processes in multivariate biomarker datasets of humans and non-human primates that contained overlapping, but not completely

compatible, information [86,87]. Comparative research can be designed by testing for features of previously identified emergent processes (top-down), or by using variation in the data to organically identify axes of age-related change (bottom-up).

Several papers in this volume directly apply emergent process approaches: one examines physiological dysregulation in Amazonian horticulturalists using a large biomarker dataset ([88]), while two others compare age-related changes in gene expression and epigenetic measures of gene-regulation between humans and non-human primates [64,89]. Two other papers translate clinical approaches to frailty to field conditions, examining ecologically relevant measures of physical performance in wild chimpanzees [90] and African foragers and pastoralists [91]. Other papers test for immunosenescence, which is difficult to measure directly in wild primates, but is detectable through its functional outcomes: age-related increases in parasitic [92] and viral infections [93]. Others have taken the opposite approach of dissecting complex phenomena like cognitive [94,95]), neurobiological [96] and skeletal ageing [97] to examine whether they result from common mechanisms across species.

# (b) Shared and divergent ageing trajectories

The contributions in this volume highlight extensive similarities in the ageing processes between humans and other primates across a variety of domains. For example, several contributions demonstrate shared patterns of immunosenescence, both in its specific regulatory mechanisms [64] and its effects on infectious disease burden in the wild [92,93]). While the process of immunosenescence in human ageing is well recognized, the role of infectious disease in driving late-age mortality has diminished in industrialized nations relative to the role of degenerative diseases. However, the increased vulnerability to infection with age would have been an important constraint on lifespan even in the very recent past, and it is vital to consider the way that pathogen stress itself would have shaped the evolution of the ageing process [7,39].

Critically, however, several contributed papers conclude that broad similarities in ageing phenomena do not necessarily yield the same functional outcomes. For example, while it might be predicted that physically demanding lifestyles would accelerate musculoskeletal ageing and exacerbate its consequences, contributed studies of wild chimpanzees [90] and human subsistence populations [91] suggest the opposite. These studies support the conclusion that physical performance and body condition are widespread features of ageing, but they also suggest less impairment than has been observed in industrialized human samples. Similarly, Ruff et al. [97] report that while the bones of mountain gorillas exhibit several human-like signatures of ageing, they contrast with humans in that bone strength is preserved late into life. Guevara and colleagues report that the brains of chimpanzees recapitulate characteristics of ageing human brains, like neuronal loss in the hippocampus, but do not exhibit the human-specific pathologies linked to dementia and Alzheimer's disease [96].

Collectively, studies in this volume support the hypothesis that the evolution of the extended human lifespan was accompanied by slowing of ageing processes rather than by fundamental changes to these processes. For example, contributions to this volume demonstrate close similarities in age-related DNA methylation between rhesus macaques, chimpanzees and humans, though accelerated and scaled to the expected lifespan of the shorter-lived species [64,89]. Similarly, Kraft et al. [88] demonstrate that even in challenging environments, physiological dysregulation in humans proceeds at a slower pace compared with non-human primates. These studies demonstrate a remarkable conservation of the broad regulatory phenomena that govern senescence across primates and across ecological contexts.

## (c) Environment and lifestyle

Several contributions highlight the merits of comparative work for addressing how variation in lifestyles and environmental context shape ageing. Studies contrasting humans in industrialized and subsistence cultures demonstrate that some aspects of human ageing are more variable than others. For example, Sayre et al.'s [91] comparisons of foragers, pastoralists and industrialized populations suggest some universal features of ageing physical performance but also identify important variation that may be shaped by the different nature and age structure of workloads in the different settings. On the other hand, Kraft et al.'s [88] examination of physiological dysregulation in a small-scale horticulturalist population finds only modest differences compared with industrialized samples despite major ecological and genetic differences between these populations. The contrast between captive and wild non-human primate populations also simulates at least some of the changes that have characterized modernization in human societies. As a demonstration of this paradigm, Cole et al. [98] report that chimpanzees with species-appropriate diets and ranging opportunities exhibit lower blood lipid levels compared to chimpanzees living a sedentary lifestyle with processed diets in captivity. Though chimpanzees are less vulnerable to atherosclerosis than humans [99], these findings suggest that lifestyle risk factors may operate through a shared pathway.

### (d) Sex differences in ageing

Sex is a crucial modulator of the ageing process across species and features in several of the volume's contributions. Primates generally exhibit sexually dimorphic mortality, with males living significantly shorter lives than their female counterparts [56]. Yet higher mortality in human men is juxtaposed against higher rates of many degenerative diseases in women [100]. The reasons for this are still unclear, particularly as global measures of ageing differ little between the sexes. For example, physiological dysregulation does not appear to vary systematically between men and women, a finding confirmed here in a small-scale horticultural population [88]. As in human studies, rates of DNA methylation showed minimal sex differences in chimpanzees and rhesus macaques [64,89].

Sex differences in reproductive effort are predicted to yield differences in health and longevity. Compared with humans, many primates exhibit high levels of aggressive male competition, generating both direct and indirect impacts on health via risk of injury, physiological stress and energetic expenditure [101]. Contributed studies indicate that wild chimpanzee males are more vulnerable to viral infections and losses of physical condition with age than are females [90,93] and that wild male baboons who attain high social status pay the price of a reduced lifespan [102]. Conversely, among chimpanzees in sanctuaries, females exhibited more proatherogenic lipid profiles than males across the lifespan [98].

For females, the high costs of pregnancy and lactation are expected to compromise health and accelerate ageing. However, evidence for health costs to high fertility remains inconclusive in humans, even in challenging environments where one might expect the strongest trade-off [103]. Is this the biological reality or a product of methodological limitations? In this volume, Jasienska [104] advocates for evolutionarily informed approaches to design more powerful studies of the health effects of reproduction, including more realistic assessments of reproductive effort. However, using detailed measures of reproductive effort, Phillips et al. [92] found that wild chimpanzee females experienced only transient increases in faecal parasites during pregnancy, and high fertility predicted lower, not higher parasitism with age. This is surprising because, unlike humans, chimpanzees do not receive support from others to raise their offspring, suggesting instead that they may have effective strategies to resist the trade-offs between reproduction and health.

# (e) Ageing in mind, brain and behaviour

During ageing, humans show marked changes in aspects of complex cognition, neurobiology and sociality that are difficult to study in distantly related taxa. For example, executive functions (including inhibitory control and working memory) and the neurobiological substrates that support these processes show key age-related changes both during healthy ageing and in the context of neurodegenerative disease [105]. Lacreuse et al. [95] review the increasing evidence that declining executive function is a common and early sign of cognitive ageing across many diverse primate species, even though the neurobiological basis of these changes varies. For example, Edler et al. [96] report that the brains of chimpanzees exhibited regional neuronal losses that could impact cognition, though these losses were less severe than those associated with dementia in humans. By contrast, Rathke & Fisher [94] report that age had little effect on measures of executive function in semi-free-ranging Barbary macaques, though individuals showed declines in motivation to engage with the cognitive tasks overall. These changes parallel patterns observed in humans and emphasize that there are diverse pathways to declining cognitive performance with age. As motivation may be highly affected by an individual's surroundings, this finding reinforces the need to evaluate cognition in appropriate social and ecological contexts.

Another line of work highlights the important interactions between an individual's social environment and their ageing trajectory. In fact, recent evidence from non-human primates indicates that social stress and ageing yield congruent physiological and molecular effects [106,107]. In this issue, Campos et al. [102] report that baboons of both sexes experienced increased survival when they had strong social bonds, but as the mechanisms of social competition varied between the sexes, so did the effect of social rank on survival. However, social integration is also affected by ageing. Machanda & Rosati [108] report that while shifts in social behaviour and social cognition occur commonly across primates, these changes vary by species and can manifest differently in males and females. The human social ageing phenotype appears to be unusual, though available evidence points to important similarities in our closest relatives, chimpanzees.

# 5. Conclusion

We present this theme issue in 2020, at the onset of the World Health Organization's 'Decade of Healthy Ageing', one among many international initiatives targeted at improving the lives of older people. As ageing research expands its horizons, there is an essential place for evolutionarily informed research on the ageing process and a growing need for comparative data on closely related, long-lived species and on humans living under diverse environmental conditions. Already, these data fundamentally challenge the notion that longer life is equivalent to successful ageing and provide surprising insights into the relationship between ageing and disease. As we dissect these pathways and examine them in context, we can provide better answers to which aspects of ageing are broadly conserved and how lifestyle shapes health across the life course. In addition to describing novel empirical findings for the comparative biology of ageing, the contributed papers provide theoretical guidance and methodological innovations to shape future work.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Authors' contributions. M.E.T., A.R. and N.S.M. wrote and edited the paper.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was funded by the National Institute on Aging grants R01-AG049395, R01-AG060931 and R01-AG051764.

# Authors' profiles



Melissa Emery Thompson is an Associate Professor of Anthropology at the University of New Mexico where she directs the Center for Comparative Human and Primate Physiology. She received her PhD from Harvard University in 2005 and conducts research on the mechanisms and evolution of life-history strategies in humans and our closest primate relatives. She co-directs the Kibale Chimpanzee Project and leads a National Institutes of Health-funded research study on ageing of wild chimpanzees.



Alexandra Rosati is an Assistant Professor of Psychology and Anthropology at the University of Michigan, where she directs the Cognitive Evolution Group. She received her PhD from Duke University in 2012. Her research focuses on the evolution and development of cognition and behaviour across primate species, including apes, macaques and lemurs. This work is supported by a National Science Foundation CAREER award and a Sloan Research Fellowship.



Noah Snyder-Mackler is an Assistant Professor in the School of Life Sciences and Center for Evolution and Medicine at Arizona State University. He received his PhD from the University of Pennsylvania in 2012. He co-directs the Simien Mountain Gelada Research Project, leads epigenomic components of the National Institute on Aging (NIA)-funded Dog Aging Project and co-leads an NIA-funded research study on ageing in free-ranging rhesus macaques. He combines research on non-human animal models with cutting-edge molecular tools to study how environmental variation affects health, ageing and survival.

# References

- 1. Riley JC. 2001 Rising life expectancy: a global history. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- 2. Burger O, Baudisch A, Vaupel JW. 2012 Human mortality improvement in evolutionary context. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 109, 18 210-18 214. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1215627109)
- United Nations. 2019 World population prospects 2019. Highlights (ST/ESA/SER.A/423). New York, NY: Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.
- Gurven M, Kaplan H. 2007 Longevity among hunter-gatherers: a cross-cultural examination. Popul. Dev. Rev. 33, 321-365. (doi:10.1111/j.1728-4457.2007.00171.x)
- Blurton-Jones N, Hawkes K, O'Connell JF. 2002 Antiquity of postreproductive life: are there modern impacts on hunter-gatherer postreproductive life spans? Am. J. Hum. Biol. 14, 184-205. (doi:10. 1002/ajhb.10038)
- Gurven MD, Lieberman DE. In press. WEIRD bodies: mismatch, medicine and missing diversity. Evol.
- 7. Finch C. 2010 Evolution of the human lifespan and diseases of aging: roles of infection, inflammation, and nutrition. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 1718–1724. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0909606106)
- 8. Austad SN. 2009 Comparative biology of aging. J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biomed. Sci. Med. Sci. 64, 199-201. (doi:10.1093/gerona/gln060)
- 9. Lane MA. 2000 Nonhuman primate models in biogerontology. Exp. Gerontol. 35, 533-541. (doi:10.1016/S0531-5565(00)00102-9)

- 10. Crimmins EM. 2015 Lifespan and healthspan: past, present, and promise. Gerontologist 55, 901-911. (doi:10.1093/geront/gnv130)
- 11. Bulterijs S, Hull RS, Björk VCE, Roy AG. 2015 It is time to classify biological aging as a disease. Front. Genet. 6, 205. (doi:10.3389/fgene.2015.00205)
- 12. De Magalhães J. 2014 The scientific quest for lasting youth: prospects for curing aging. Rejuvenation Res. 17, 458-467. (doi:10.1089/rej.2014.1580)
- 13. Gems D. 2014 What is an anti-aging treatment? Exp. Gerontol. **58**, 14–18. (doi:10.1016/j.exger.2014.
- 14. Sierra F. 2016 Moving geroscience into uncharted waters. J. Gerontol. A 71, 1385-1387. (doi:10.1093/ gerona/glw087)
- 15. Caplan AL. 2005 Death as an unnatural process. EMBO Rep. 6, S72-S75. (doi:10.1038/sj.embor. 7400435)
- 16. Gems D. 2015 The aging-disease false dichotomy: understanding senescence as pathology. Front. Genet. 6, 212. (doi:10.3389/fgene.2015.00212)
- 17. Hayflick L. 2004 Debates: the not-so-close relationship between biological aging and ageassociated pathologies in humans. J. Gerontol. A 59, B547-B550. (doi:10.1093/gerona/59.6.B547)
- 18. Fulop T, Larbi A, Khalil A, Cohen AA, Witkowski JM. 2019 Are we ill because we age? Front. Physiol. 10, 1508. (doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.01508)
- 19. Barton M. 2005 Aging and biomedicine 2005: where should we go from here? Cardiovasc. Res. 66, 187-189. (doi:10.1016/j.cardiores.2005.03.003)
- 20. Medawar PB. 1952 An unsolved problem of biology. London, UK: HK Lewis.

- 21. Williams GC. 1957 Pleiotropy, natural selection and the evolution of senescence. *Evolution* **11**, 398–411. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1957.tb02911.x)
- 22. Baudisch A, Vaupel JW. 2012 Getting to the root of aging. Science 338, 618-619. (doi:10.1126/science. 1226467)
- 23. Kirkwood T. 1977 Evolution of ageing. Nature 270, 301-304. (doi:10.1038/270301a0)
- 24. Kirkwood TB, Rose MR. 1991 Evolution of senescence: late survival sacrificed for reproduction. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 332, 15-24. (doi:10. 1098/rstb.1991.0028)
- 25. Kaplan HS, Lancaster J, Robson AJ. 2003 Embodied capital and the evolutionary economics of the human life span. Popul. Dev. Rev. 29, 152-182.
- 26. Sohal RS, Orr WC. 2012 The redox stress hypothesis of aging. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 52, 539-555.
- 27. Sapolsky RM, Krey LC, McEwen BS. 1986 The neuroendocrinology of stress and aging: the glucocorticoid cascade hypothesis. Endocr. Rev. 7, 284-301. (doi:10.1210/edrv-7-3-284)
- 28. Franceschi C, Garagnani P, Parini P, Giuliani C, Santoro A. 2018 Inflammaging: a new immunemetabolic viewpoint for age-related diseases. Nat. *Rev. Endocrinol.* **1**, 576–590.
- 29. Eaton SB, Konner M, Shostak M. 1988 Stone agers in the fast lane: chronic degenerative diseases in evolutionary perspective. Am. J. Med. 84, 739-749. (doi:10.1016/0002-9343(88)90113-1)
- 30. Corbett S, Courtiol A, Lummaa V, Moorad J, Stearns S. 2018 The transition to modernity and chronic disease: mismatch and natural selection. Nat. Rev. Genet. 19, 419-430. (doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0012-3)

- Kuzawa CW, Quinn EA. 2009 Developmental origins of adult function and health: evolutionary hypotheses. *Annu. Rev. Anthropol.* 38, 131–147. (doi:10.1146/annurev-anthro-091908-164350)
- 32. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Buklijas T. 2010 A conceptual framework for the developmental origins of health and disease. *J. Dev. Orig. Health Dis.* **1**, 6–18. (doi:10.1017/S2040174409990171)
- Ellison PT, Bribiescas RG, Bentley GR, Campbell BC, Lipson SF, Panter-Brick C, Hill K. 2002 Population variation in age-related decline in male salivary testosterone. *Hum. Reprod.* 17, 3251–3253. (doi:10. 1093/humrep/17.12.3251)
- Ellison PT, Lipson SF, O'Rourke MT, Bentley GR, Harrigan AM, Panter-Brick C, Vitzthum VJ.
   1993 Population variation in ovarian function. Lancet 342, 433–434. (doi:10.1016/0140-6736 (93)92845-K)
- Jasienska G, Thune I. 2001 Lifestyle, hormones, and risk of breast cancer. *Br. Med. J.* 322, 586–587. (doi:10.1136/bmj.322.7286.586)
- Alvarado LC. 2013 Do evolutionary life-history trade-offs influence prostate cancer risk? A review of population variation in testosterone levels and prostate cancer disparities. *Evol. Appl.* 6, 117–133. (doi:10.1111/eva.12036)
- Martin M, Blackwell AD, Gurven M, Kaplan H. 2013
   Make new friends and keep the old? Parasite
   coinfection and comorbidity in *Homo sapiens*. In
   *Primates, pathogens, and evolution* (eds JF
   Brinkworth, K Pechenkina), pp. 363–387. Berlin,
   Germany: Springer.
- Trumble BC, Stieglitz J, Blackwell AD, Allayee H, Beheim B, Finch CE, Gurven M, Kaplan H. 2017 Apolipoprotein E4 is associated with improved cognitive function in Amazonian foragerhorticulturalists with a high parasite burden. FASEB J. 31, 1508–1515. (doi:10.1096/fj.201601084R)
- Gurven M, Kaplan H, Trumble B, Stieglitz J. In press
  The biodemography of human health in
  contemporary non-industrial populations: insights
  from the Tsimane Health and Life History Project. In
  Human evolutionary demography (eds R Sear,
  O Burger, R Lee). Cambridge, UK: Open Book
  Publishers.
- Berenbaum F, Wallace IJ, Lieberman DE, Felson DT.
   Modern-day environmental factors in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 674–681. (doi:10.1038/s41584-018-0073-x)
- Kaplan H et al. 2017 Coronary atherosclerosis in indigenous South American Tsimane: a crosssectional cohort study. Lancet 389, 1730–1739. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30752-3)
- 42. Raichlen DA *et al.* 2017 Physical activity patterns and biomarkers of cardiovascular disease risk in hunter-gatherers. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* **29**, e22919. (doi:10.1002/ajhb.22919)
- 43. Sayre MK, Pike IL, Raichlen DA. 2019 High levels of objectively measured physical activity across adolescence and adulthood among the Pokot pastoralists of Kenya. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* **31**, e23205. (doi:10.1002/ajhb.23205)

- 44. Muller MN, Wrangham RW, Pilbeam DR. (eds). 2017 Chimpanzees and human evolution. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- 45. Jones OR *et al.* 2014 Diversity of ageing across the tree of life. *Nature* **505**, 169–173. (doi:10.1038/nature12789)
- Baudisch A. 2011 The pace and shape of ageing. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 2, 375–382. (doi:10.1111/j. 2041-210X.2010.00087.x)
- Cohen AA. 2018 Aging across the tree of life: the importance of a comparative perspective for the use of animal models in aging. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Mol. Basis Dis.* 1864, 2680–2689. (doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2017. 05.028)
- De Magalhães JP, Church GM. 2007 Analyses of human—chimpanzee orthologous gene pairs to explore evolutionary hypotheses of aging. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 128, 355–364. (doi:10.1016/j.mad. 2007.03.004)
- Podlutsky A. 2019 Running out of developmental program and selfish anti-aging: a new hypothesis explaining the aging process in primates. *GeroScience* 41, 243–253. (doi:10.1007/s11357-019-00060-8)
- King AM, Kirkwood TB, Shanley DP. 2017 Explaining sex differences in lifespan in terms of optimal energy allocation in the baboon. *Evolution* 71, 2280–2297. (doi:10.1111/evo.13316)
- 51. Charles ST, Carstensen LL. 2010 Social and emotional aging. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* **61**, 383–409. (doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.093008. 100448)
- Samanez-Larkin GR, Knutson B. 2015 Decision making in the ageing brain: changes in affective and motivational circuits. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 278–289. (doi:10.1038/nrn3917)
- 53. Umberson D, Montez JK. 2010 Social relationships and health: a flashpoint for health policy. *J. Health Soc. Behav.* **51**, S54–S66. (doi:10.1177/0022146510383501)
- Yang YC, Boen C, Gerken K, Li T, Schorpp K, Harris KM. 2016 Social relationships and physiological determinants of longevity across the human life span. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 113, 578–583. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1511085112)
- Colchero F *et al.* 2016 The emergence of longevous populations. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 113, E7681–E7690. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1612191113)
- Bronikowski AM et al. 2011 Aging in the natural world: comparative data reveal similar mortality patterns across primates. Science 331, 1325–1328. (doi:10.1126/science.1201571)
- Hof PR et al. 2002 Comparative neuropathology of brain aging in primates. In Aging in nonhuman primates, vol. 31 (eds JM Erwin, PR Hof), pp. 130–154. Karger Publishers.
- Harding JD. 2017 Nonhuman primates and translational research: progress, opportunities, and challenges. *ILAR J.* 58, 141–150. (doi:10.1093/ilar/ ilx033)
- Capitanio JP, Emborg ME. 2008 Contributions of non-human primates to neuroscience research.

- Lancet **371**, 1126–1135. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60489-4)
- Phillips KA *et al.* 2014 Why primate models matter.
   Am. J. Primatol. **76**, 801–827. (doi:10.1002/ajp. 22281)
- 61. Colman RJ. 2018 Non-human primates as a model for aging. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) Mol. Basis Dis.* **1864**, 2733–2741. (doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2017. 07.008)
- Shively CA, Clarkson TB. 2009 The unique value of primate models in translational research.
   Am. J. Primatol. 71, 715–721. (doi:10.1002/ajp. 20720)
- 63. Bellino FL, Wise PM. 2003 Nonhuman primate models of menopause workshop. *Biol. Reprod.* **68**, 10–18. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod.102.005215)
- 64. Chiou KL *et al.* 2020 Rhesus macaques as a tractable physiological model of human ageing. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **375**, 20190612. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2019.0612)
- Mattison JA et al. 2017 Caloric restriction improves health and survival of rhesus monkeys. Nat. Commun. 8, 14063. (doi:10.1038/ncomms14063)
- Ezran C et al. 2017 The mouse lemur, a genetic model organism for primate biology, behavior, and health. Genetics 206, 651–664. (doi:10.1534/ genetics.116.199448)
- 67. Languille S *et al.* 2012 The grey mouse lemur: a non-human primate model for ageing studies. *Age Res. Rev.* **11**, 150–162. (doi:10.1016/j.arr.2011. 07.001)
- 68. Tardif SD, Mansfield KG, Ratnam R, Ross CN, Ziegler TE. 2011 The marmoset as a model of aging and age-related diseases. *ILAR J.* **52**, 54–65. (doi:10. 1093/ilar.52.1.54)
- 69. Hayflick L. 2000 The future of ageing. *Nature* **408**, 267–269. (doi:10.1038/35041709)
- 70. Kowald A, Kirkwood TBL. 2015 Evolutionary significance of ageing in the wild. *Exp. Gerontol.* **71**, 89–94. (doi:10.1016/j.exger.2015.08.006)
- 71. Roach DA, Carey JR. 2014 Population biology of aging in the wild. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **45**, 421–443. (doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091730)
- Nussey DH, Froy H, Lemaitre J-F, Gaillard J-M, Austad SN. 2013 Senescence in natural populations of animals: widespread evidence and its implications for bio-gerontology. *Age Res. Rev.* 12, 214–225. (doi:10.1016/j.arr.2012.07.004)
- 73. Harper JM. 2008 Wild-derived mouse stocks: an underappreciated tool for aging research. *Age* **30**, 135–145. (doi:10.1007/s11357-008-9057-0)
- 74. Behringer V, Deschner T. 2017 Non-invasive monitoring of physiological markers in primates. *Horm. Behav.* **91**, 3–18. (doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2017. 02.001)
- 75. Heistermann M. 2010 Non-invasive monitoring of endocrine status in laboratory primates: methods, guidelines and applications. *Adv. Sci. Res.* **5**, 1. (doi:10.5194/asr-5-1-2010)
- Gillespie TR, Nunn CL, Leendertz FH. 2008
   Integrative approaches to the study of primate infectious disease: implications for biodiversity

- conservation and global health. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **137**, 53–69.
- Stumpf RM, Gomez A, Amato KR, Yeoman CJ, Polk JD, Wilson BA, Nelson KE, White BA, Leigh S. 2016 Microbiomes, metagenomics, and primate conservation: new strategies, tools, and applications. *Biol. Conserv.* 199, 56–66. (doi:10.1016/j.biocon. 2016.03.035)
- 78. Gilardi KV, Gillespie TR, Leendertz FH, Macfie EJ, Travis DA, Whittier CA, Williamson EA. 2015 *Best practice guidelines for health monitoring and disease control in great ape populations*. Gland, Swizerland: IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group.
- McDade TW, Williams S, Snodgrass JJ. 2007 What a drop can do: dried blood spots as a minimally invasive method for integrating biomarkers into population-based research. *Demography* 44, 899–925. (doi:10.1353/dem.2007.0038)
- Berkman LF, Kawachi I. (eds). 2000 Social epidemiology. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 81. Snyder-Mackler N et al. 2020 Social determinants of health and survival in humans and other animals. Science **368**, aax9553. (doi:10.1126/science. aax9553)
- 82. Silk JB. 2014 Evolutionary perspectives on the links between close social bonds, health, and fitness. In Sociality, hierarchy, health: comparative biodemography: a collection of papers (eds NR Council, M Weinstein, M Lane), pp. 121–144. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- 83. Cohen AA. 2016 Complex systems dynamics in aging: new evidence, continuing questions. Biogerontology 17, 205–220. (doi:10.1007/s10522-015-9584-x)
- Milot E, Morissette-Thomas V, Li Q, Fried LP, Ferrucci L, Cohen AA. 2014 Trajectories of physiological dysregulation predicts mortality and health outcomes in a consistent manner across three populations. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 141–142, 56–63. (doi:10.1016/j.mad.2014.10.001)
- Fried LP, Kronmal RA, Newman AB, Bild DE, Mittelmark MB, Polak JF, Robbins JA, Gardin LM.
   1998 Risk factors for 5-year mortality in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *JAMA* 279, 585–592. (doi:10.1001/jama.279.8.585)
- Dansereau G, Wey TW, Legault V, Brunet MA, Kemnitz JW, Ferrucci L, Cohen AA. 2019 Conservation of physiological dysregulation

- signatures of aging across primates. *Aging Cell* **18**, e12925. (doi:10.1111/acel.12925)
- 87. Wey TW, Roberge É, Legault V, Kemnitz JW, Ferrucci L, Cohen AA. 2019 An emergent integrated aging process conserved across primates. *J. Gerontol. A* **74**, 1689–1698. (doi:10.1093/gerona/qlz110)
- Kraft TS, Stieglitz J, Trumble BC, Garcia AR, Kaplan H, Gurven M. 2020 Multi-system physiological dysregulation and ageing in a subsistence population. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375, 20190610. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0610)
- 89. Guevara EE, Lawler RR, Staes N, White CM, Sherwood CC, Ely JJ, Hopkins WD, Bradley BJ. 2020 Age-associated epigenetic change in chimpanzees and humans. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **375**, 20190616. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0616)
- Thompson EM, Machanda ZP, Fox SA, Sabbi KH,
   Otali E, Thompson González N, Muller MN,
   Wrangham RW. 2020 Evaluating the impact of
   physical frailty during ageing in wild chimpanzees
   (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* B 375, 20190607. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0607)
- Sayre MK, Pontzer H, Alexander GE, Wood BM, Pike IL, Mabulla AZP, Raichlen DA. 2020 Ageing and physical function in East African foragers and pastoralists. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375, 20190608. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0608)
- 92. Phillips SR *et al.* 2020 Faecal parasites increase with age but not reproductive effort in wild female chimpanzees. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **375**, 20190614. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0614)
- 93. Negrey JD *et al.* 2020 Demography, life-history trade-offs, and the gastrointestinal virome of wild chimpanzees. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **375**, 20190613. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0613)
- Rathke E-M, Fischer J. 2020 Differential ageing trajectories in motivation, inhibitory control and cognitive flexibility in Barbary macaques (*Macaca sylvanus*). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375, 20190617. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0617)
- Lacreuse A, Raz N, Schmidtke D, Hopkins WD, Herndon JG. 2020 Age-related decline in executive function as a hallmark of cognitive ageing in primates: an overview of cognitive and neurobiological studies. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375, 20190618. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0618)
- 96. Edler MK *et al.* 2020 Neuron loss associated with age but not Alzheimer's disease pathology in the chimpanzee brain. *Phil.*

- *Trans. R. Soc. B* **375**, 20190619. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2019.0619)
- Ruff CB, Junno J-A, Eckardt W, Gilardi K, Mudakikwa A, McFarlin SC. 2020 Skeletal ageing in Virunga mountain gorillas. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375, 20190606. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0606)
- 98. Cole MF, Cantwell A, Rukundo J, Ajarova L, Fernandez-Navarro S, Atencia R, Rosati AG. 2020 Healthy cardiovascular biomarkers across the lifespan in wildborn chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **375**, 20190609. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0609)
- 99. Varki N *et al.* 2009 Heart disease is common in humans and chimpanzees, but is caused by different pathological processes. *Evol. Appl.* **2**, 101–112. (doi:10.1111/j.1752-4571.2008.00064.x)
- 100. Kulminski AM, Culminskaya IV, Ukraintseva SV, Arbeev KG, Land KC, Yashin Al. 2008 Sex-specific health deterioration and mortality: the morbidity mortality paradox over age and time. *Exp. Gerontol.* 43, 1052–1057. (doi:10.1016/j.exger.2008.09.007)
- Emery Thompson M, Georgiev AV. 2014 The high price of success: costs of mating effort in male primates. *Int. J. Primatol.* 35, 609–627. (doi:10. 1007/s10764-014-9790-4)
- 102. Campos FA, Villavicencio F, Archie EA, Colchero F, Alberts SC. 2020 Social bonds, social status and survival in wild baboons: a tale of two sexes. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* B 375, 20190621. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0621)
- 103. Gurven M, Costa M, Ben T, Stieglitz J, Beheim B, Eid Rodriguez D, Hooper PL, Kalpan H. 2016 Health costs of reproduction are minimal despite high fertility, mortality and subsistence lifestyle. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 30056. (doi:10.1038/srep30056)
- Jasienska G. 2020 Costs of reproduction and ageing in the human female. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375, 20190615. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0615)
- 105. Grady C. 2012 The cognitive neuroscience of ageing. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **13**, 491. (doi:10.1038/nrn3256)
- Snyder-Mackler N et al. 2016 Social status alters immune regulation and response to infection in macaques. Science 354, 1041–1045. (doi:10.1126/ science.aah3580)
- 107. Snyder-Mackler N, Somel M, Tung J. 2014 Shared signatures of social stress and aging in peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression profiles. *Aging Cell* **13**, 954–957. (doi:10.1111/acel.12239)
- Machanda ZP, Rosati AG. 2020 Shifting sociality during primate ageing. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375, 20190620. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0620)