

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolecon

# Talk renewables, walk coal: The paradox of India's energy transition

Brototi Roy<sup>a,\*</sup>, Anke Schaffartzik<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ICTA-UAB, Institut de Ciència i Tecnologia Ambientals, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain
<sup>b</sup> Institute of Social Ecology (SEC), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna (BOKU), Austria

#### ARTICLE INFO

Environmental Justice

Just Transitions

Fossil Energy

**Energy Transition** 

Political Ecology

Keywords:

# ABSTRACT

Coal is on the rise in India: despite the devasting impacts of the climate crisis, the awareness for land and forest rights, and political talk of a coal phase-out. In this article, we demonstrate that despite the renewables-led rhetoric, India is in the midst of a transition to (not away from) greater use of coal in its fossil energy system and in the electricity system in particular. We investigate this paradox by combining socio-metabolic and political-ecological analysis of the Indian coal complex. Our framework integrates material and energy flow data as characterizing the Indian fossil energy transition, indicators on the development and structure of the coal industry, and studies of ecological distribution conflicts around coal. The dominant claim to expansive use of coal and the competing counterclaims are indicative of underlying power relations which can also be witnessed in other countries. In India, they extend into the conflicted development of renewable energy including hydropower, in which the land dispossession, exclusion, and injustices associated with the expansion of the coal complex are reproduced. We conclude that the current energy transition – in which coal continues to play a dominant role – is neither sustainable nor just.

# 1. Introduction: The paradox and the logic of extracting coal in times of climate crisis

The need for significant absolute reductions in coal combustion to limit global heating<sup>1</sup> below 2 degrees Celsius is well-established in the literature (Fankhauser and Jotzo, 2018; Spencer et al., 2018). According to McGlade and Ekins (2015, p.187), to meet this target, "over 80 per cent of current coal reserves should remain unused from 2010 to 2050". Any transition to a renewable energy system must also involve a transition away from fossil fuels. Such a transition is not, however, occurring; instead, total primary energy supply (TPES) from fossil energy carriers has continued to increase, contributing over 80% annually to growing global TPES. Between 1990 and 2015, growth in renewables (hydro, wind, solar, biofuels, and waste: +0.7 Gigatons of oil equivalent, Gtoe) has occurred but has been outstripped by growth in fossils (coal, natural gas, and oil: +4 Gtoe) (Fig. 1). In 2015, China was by far the world's largest coal producer, followed by the United States of America, and India. Globally, much of growth in renewables is in biofuels and waste: here, traditional uses of firewood in countries currently expanding their fossil energy systems is an important component (Schaffartzik and Fischer-Kowalski, 2018). To speak of a transition to

renewables at such a time is both premature and potentially misleading (York and Bell, 2019; Edwards, 2019). It seems that renewables are contributing to, rather than challenging the fossil energy system (York and Bell, 2019).

Growth in coal's contribution to TPES was more than twice that of all renewable energy sources combined. In the Global South especially, emerging coal geographies are expected to play a decisive role in the future of the energy mix (Cardoso and Turhan, 2018a). Claims that coal is on its "terminal decline" appear exaggerated and premature (Edenhofer et al., 2018a), especially in the face of geographies of coal "moving east" (Liu and Geman, 2017), with future global coal trade expected to be dominated by India, Australia, Indonesia and Russia.

With the fossil energy system and the use of coal in it expanding rather than contracting amidst the climate crisis, "there is a critical need for normatively engaged and reflective work on coal in the context of climate change" Edwards, 2019, p.12), and, with this article, we aim to make a contribution toward this need. We focus on the development and the role of the coal complex (more on this below) in India. The International Energy Agency (IEA, 2018a) estimates continued average annual growth of 4% in India's energy demand, primarily met by electricity generated by coal combustion. In 2017, India was the world's

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2020.106871

Received 22 February 2020; Received in revised form 25 September 2020; Accepted 2 October 2020 Available online 10 October 2020 0921-8009/ © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Z-135, ICTA-UAB, Institut de Ciència i Tecnologia Ambientals, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Building Z, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola), Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail address: brototi.roy@uab.cat (B. Roy).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The more commonly-used term "global warming" fails to convey the gravity of the change in average surface temperatures (Karl and Trenberth, 2003); we therefore use "global heating".



**Fig. 1.** Global total primary energy supply (TPES) by sources in Gigatons (1 Gt =  $10^9$  tons) of oil equivalent per year (Gtoe/a), 1990–2015. The share of fossil energy carriers (coal, natural gas, and oil) in TPES is indicated on the secondary y-axis. Source of data: (IEA, 2019).

second largest producer, consumer and importer of coal, the most carbon-intensive and the dirtiest of the fossil fuels (IEA, 2018b). Coal accounted for 72% of India's electricity generation and was the source of 65% of its carbon dioxide emissions (Central Electricity Authority, 2018). As recently as May and June 2020, in an attempt to address from the financial impact of the Covid-19 crisis, a Rs. 50,000 crore (US\$ 6.5 billion approx.) investment was announced for the coal sector, putting India on the path to extracting one billion tonnes of coal annually by 2023–24 (Bomnalli, 2020). Auctions for coal mining concessions to private companies were also launched for 41 coal blocks in the country, including in regions of rich biodiversity (Ellis-Petersen, 2020), with further plans to auction 55 concessions for new coal mines and expanding at least 193 current mines in the next five years (Aggarwal, 2020). This poses serious threats in the shape of the climate crisis and the future of global coal, as well as to local socio-ecological wellbeing.

In the face of the climate crisis and the other risks and adversities associated with coal, and despite manifest political intention to expand renewables, coal extraction and use continue to grow, adding to the lock-in for the foreseeable future (Jakob et al., 2020). How can this be? While we cannot fully and unequivocally answer this question, our combined socio-metabolic and political-ecological analysis of Indian coal extraction, distribution and use does provide some insight on the reasons for the paradoxical success of coal. Such analyses are prerequisites to identifying potential points of intervention into and possibly even leverage over currently unsustainable development, not just in India, but also globally.

Despite political initiative and action to boost renewables, fossil fuels, and coal in particular, appear to enjoy unfettered growth in India: The share of fossil energy carriers in TPES increased from 37% in 1975 to 70% in 2015 and the Exajoules (1 EJ =  $10^{18}$  J) added from coal surpassed that of oil and natural gas together, with coal consistently contributing more than 50% to overall fossil TPES (Fig. 2). By 2015, 10% of global TPES from coal was generated in India and reliance on coal is not expected to decline anytime soon (Seetharaman, 2019). It is the promise of industrialization and economic growth – as one particular interpretation of what constitutes 'development' (Escobar, 1995; Esteva and Escobar, 2017) that is offered as justification for the continued adherence to coal (Parasuraman, 2016; Padel and Das, 2010; Ghosh, 2016).

Decreasing production costs for solar electricity reflect the fierce competition and low profit margins accepted by actors in this sphere, leading to questions as to the long-term viability of current bidding prices (Shidore and Busby, 2019; Ghoshal, 2017). As recently as 2015,



**Fig. 2.** India's total primary energy supply (TPES) by sources in Exajoules (1  $EJ = 10^{18} J$ ) per year (EJ/a), 1975–2015. The share of fossil energy carriers (coal, natural gas, and oil) in TPES and the share of coal in fossil energy carriers are indicated on the secondary y-axis. Source of data: UNEP (2019).

production costs for solar electricity were still higher than for its coalfired counterpart: In 2015, solar photovoltaic electricity was auctioned at an average price of approximately 80 US dollars per Megawatt hour (USD/MWh) (IEA, 2020b, 113), compared to approximately 50 USD/ MWh for electricity from non-renewable sources (Shidore and Busby, 2019). Since 2017, the average price for solar has fallen to approximately 75% of that for coal-based electricity, leading observers to remark on India's strong alliance with coal despite the existence of seemingly cheaper energy alternatives (Hemalatha, 2020). Projected price developments may provide support for this relationship: According to the International Energy Agency, levelized costs of electricity (LCOE) of new solar PV are projected to be lower than those of coal-fired power plants by 2025. Solar PV's value-adjusted LCOE (VALCOE), however, is expected to reach 59.8 USD/MWh by 2025 and 65.4 USD/MWh by 2040, compared to a VALCOE of 54.3 USD/MWh in 2025 and 48.6 USD/MWh by 2040 for coal-fired power plants (Wanner, 2019).

These prices, however, fail to reflect the 'true costs' of coal, beyond market prices and related to its socio-ecological effects, with recent studies stressing the need to move away from a coal-based development paradigm (Kalkuhl et al., 2019).

Part of what is at stake here is clearly not only coal as an energy carrier but an entire coal complex, an intricate web of multiple stakeholders wielding power and allowing for certain sector(s) to flourish. Brown and Spiegel (2019, p. 153-4) have described the contemporary coal complex as "a global assemblage of finance, infrastructure, and expertise that together constitutes the political economy of coal and determines the speed and scale of its extraction, transportation, and eventual combustion". Recent work on South Asian energy history has examined the Indian coal complex from the colonial period to the present Shutzer, 2020. Conceptually, the coal complex is akin to the 'polluter-industrial complex' of research centres, non-profit institutions, committees and political actions that hinder stricter environmental regulations, through a variety of methods including lobbying (Faber, 2008) and to the 'oil complex' (Watts, 2005) as the interplay of social, political and economic factors that allow for continued production of oil, despite the environmental conflicts and human rights violations associated with it.

Not just in India, but globally, the expansion of coal mining and coal combustion (cf. Figs. 1 & 2) in the context of the climate crisis seems contradictory and anachronistic (Goodman et al., 2016). The demands for extensive emissions reductions on the one hand and for economic growth and capital accumulation on the other appear irreconcilable. This tension is manifested in energy policy and the (lacking)

transformation of energy supply systems (Goodman, 2016; Tyfield, 2014; Blühdorn, 2007). India's National Action Plan on Climate Change does not directly target supply and use of coal in order to achieve emission reductions, focusing instead on the expansion of renewables, improved efficiency, and the creation of sinks and other adaptive measures (Government of India, 2008). In fact, the narrative commonly provided by representatives of the Indian government is that continued extraction of coal and expansion of the electricity system are necessary in order to meet the 'needs' of the population, especially those of India's poor, making coal "a compulsion" rather than "an option" (Milagros, 2015). Coal is needed, the argument goes, for development - the expansion of industries and services for economic growth and employment, improved access to electricity and clean cooking fuel for those considered to be "energy poor" (Jaeger and Michaelowa, 2016). However, the largest and fastest-growing consumer of Indian electricity is industry: approximately 40% compared to less than 25% for households (with vast inequalities within household consumption) (Ranganadham, 2018).

In India, coal may represent more than 'just' a fossil fuel: a key to the country's sovereignty as a nation-state, crucial for an energy-secure future (Lahiri-Dutt, 2014). Coal has wider social, cultural, and political connotations which link it to economic development, nationalism, and nation-building, allowing coal extraction to symbolize a moral endeavor, both historically and in the present (Lahiri-Dutt, 2016; Shutzer, 2020). However, even as approximately 240 million people and 18% of the total population are without access to electricity and many more people only have intermittent access, India became an exporter of electricity in 2017, with neighboring Nepal, Bangladesh, and Myanmar as the most important destinations (Press Information Bureau, 2017).

Power relations are an intricate part the Indian coal complex and ecological distribution conflicts (Martinez-Alier, 2002) over coal form the centerpiece of our analysis. In these conflicts, the dominant claim to expansive use of coal and competing counterclaims are indicative of the underlying power relations. These power relations extend well into the current conflicted development of renewable energy in India in which the land dispossession, exclusion, and injustices associated with the expansion of the coal complex are also reproduced (Lakhanpal, 2019; Yenneti et al., 2016). We frame our study with the material and energy flows that biophysically characterize the Indian energy system and the socio-economic variables that unveil its political-economic structure. We demonstrate that despite the renewables-led rhetoric, India is in fact in the process of deepening its transition to fossil energy carriers, including coal, rather than moving away this energy form. This puts India well within observable global trends (Schaffartzik and Fischer-Kowalski, 2018). From extraction to transportation and combustion, we find coal to be a contested resource and a commodity that does not address India's interlinked socio-ecological challenges of poverty (both economic and energetic) and unemployment, environmental degradation, and the climate crisis.

In the next section we describe the challenges of India's sustainability issues and the multiple worlds of coal. This is followed by a section describing the frameworks used in the paper, viz. social metabolism and the metabolic transition, ecological distribution conflicts and political ecology. Section 4 explains the methodology used in the paper to arrive to the results in section 5. The following section discusses the findings to show that India is moving toward, and not away from coal, despite conflicts and associated environmental justice movements, and section 7 concludes that this energy transition is neither sustainable nor just.

#### 2. India's contested coal complex

As leaders of the G77 in international climate policy negotiations, Indian government representatives have repeatedly insisted that emission reduction targets (and, by extension, emission reduction *measures*) are necessary because of the past and current high emissions of the

world's wealthy countries which must not interfere with the possibilities for development of the poorer countries (Goodman, 2016). Nonetheless, during the 2015 UN Climate Change Conference in Paris (COP21), the Indian government pledged to generate about 40% of electricity from non-fossil sources, both renewable and nuclear, by 2030 (Government of India, 2015a). According to the current National Electricity Plan (Central Electricity Authority, 2018), by 2027 rising electricity demand is to be met with 275 Gigawatts (GW) of total renewable electricity generation capacity, and 464 GW of coal based capacity, which is in addition to the already existing 478 GW of coal based capacity at different stages of construction and likely to be materialized by 2022. The National Electricity Plan also echoes decisions. made between 2015 and 2016 in particular, to abort the construction of coal-fired power plants (Central Electricity Authority, 2018; Edenhofer et al., 2018b). In 2017 alone, India added three times as much power generation through renewables as through thermal power plants (Central Electricity Authority, 2018).

Global heating puts large parts of the Indian population at risk, especially people in low-lying, densely populated coastal regions and islands (Kumar et al., 2006), in cities and at industrial sites, already contaminated by particulate air pollution (Khosla and Bhardwaj, 2019; Revi, 2008; Guttikunda and Goel, 2013). Agriculture, on which the country heavily relies, is expected to experience devastating impacts (Kumar et al., 2001; O'Brien et al., 2004; Rama Rao et al., 2016; Zaveri et al., 2016; Taraz, 2018). Based on their income, 70-80% of India's population can be classified as poor, living in households with less than Rs 5000 monthly income or subsisting on less than 3 USD per day. This vast majority also accounts for CO2 emissions below the national average (Ananthapadmanabhan et al., 2007; Hubacek et al., 2017) while they are disproportionately affected by the climate crisis (Bidwai, 2012). A study on the Indian metropolis of Bangalore indicates that higher income tends to be associated with higher domestic energy consumption and hence greenhouse gas emissions (Ramachandra et al., 2017). Simultaneously, the country's expanding electricity system is depleting its reserves of fossil energy carriers. For coal, these are, at 6.6% of total global reserves, large in absolute terms (Shafiee and Topal, 2009), but dwindle compared to the population (17.7% of the global total). Despite sizeable reserves, coal is not an energy source that can sustain India's energy system into the future. The practical implications of this unsustainability will be felt if and when the fossil energy system, most notably the electricity system, extends its coverage, especially in rural areas (Palit and Bandyopadhyay, 2017).

Beyond its part in the climate crisis, the coal complex in India has significant health impacts - mainly through local air pollution - including premature mortality, ranging from 80,000 to 115,000 premature deaths per year in the local population living around coal-fired power plants (Guttikunda and Jawahar, 2014). Coal-mine workers and communities around coal mines face many adverse diseases, prominent among them pneumoconiosis (commonly known as black lung disease) due to inhalation of coal dust as well as diseases due to polluted drinking water (Sahu et al., 2018; Mishra, 2015). Next to the slow violence of pollution, mining accidents are a persistent hazard (Maiti et al., 2009) with usually fatal consequences. From 2001 to 2014, more than 7000 accidents were reported across all coal mining companies in India (Tripathy and Ala, 2018). In the three years between 2015 and 2017, more than 200 coal miners lost their lives in such accidents (Singh, 2019). In 2017, the death rate per 1000 persons employed was 0.2, and the death rate per million tonnes of coal was 0.1 (Tripathy and Ala, 2018). The rise of the coal complex is associated with land dispossession for construction and expansion of coal mines and thermal power plants across the country, with the associated loss of livelihoods for the local population (Lahiri-Dutt et al., 2012).

Coal is thus a heavily contested resource, the subject of protests and conflicts across India: because coal combustion causes global heating and local pollution detrimental to human health, because the working conditions in coal mines are terrible, and because land and water and thereby livelihoods are appropriated for the expansion of the coal complex (Oskarsson and Bedi, 2018; Kohli and Menon, 2016; Ghosh, 2016). Coal extraction and combustion play a pivotal role in the climate crisis and stopping these processes is crucial for socio-ecologically just sustainability transformations (Edwards, 2019). Conflicts over coal are part of a broader environmental justice movement in India, claiming autonomy and socio-ecological well-being in the face of the country's growth trajectory (Roy and Martinez-Alier, 2019; Randeria, 2004).

Land dispossession, on which the expansion of coal mining often relies, is heavily protested at other extractive frontiers as well (D'Costa and Chakraborty, 2017). Many environmental justice movements in India arise from conflicts over land acquisition (Chakravorty, 2013), related to extractive as well as to renewable energy projects (Avila, 2018; Lakhanpal, 2019) and to wider regimes of dispossession (Oskarsson and Nielsen, 2017), placing them within the global environmental justice movement (Martinez-Alier et al., 2016a). As the coal complex continues to expand - between 1994 and 2014, coal extraction doubled from approximately 250 to 500 million tons per year (Government of India, 2015b) while coal's contribution to TPES increased from approximately one third to just under half (IEA, 2019) – its infringement on land and livelihoods deepens.

Especially as coal mining becomes more heavily contested, access to and control over information are pivotal in the expansion of the extractive frontier, allowing for "dispossession by confusion" (Oskarsson, 2013). Land for coal mining in central India, for example, is commonly secured through a series of 'micro' land grabs which although do not appear to be significant individually and hardly register as land grabbing but do, in sum, allow for the large-scale territorial transformations that the coal complex requires (Oskarsson et al., 2019). The full extent of the coal conflict in India may be underestimated if the explicit opposition is not to coal extraction but to the violation of the local population's rights to resources.

The Indian struggles within and against the coal complex are reflected in other countries, such as Bangladesh (Kotikalapudi, 2016), Colombia and Turkey (Cardoso and Turhan, 2018a), and Poland (Kuchler and Bridge, 2018), among others, and can be expected wherever coal is on its paradoxical rise despite the climate crisis (Tyfield, 2014). The Indian context can, however, be distinguished from conflicts in countries in which coal mining is a (neo-)extractive endeavor, that is, resource extraction for the sake of export, subject to protest and conflict and widely studied for Latin America, in particular (Burchardt and Dietz, 2014; Svampa, 2019). In fact, India has been supporting its expanding electricity generation not only with coal from domestic sources and renewables, especially hydropower, but also increasingly with imported coal, linking the country's production and consumption to the conflicted coal complex elsewhere (Rosewarne, 2016; Misra and Mookerjea, 2017).

As large and as internally heterogenic as the Indian economy is, it comes as no surprise that the coal complex is no homogeneous monolith either. In dynamic spatio-temporal configurations, multiple economies of coal co-exist and have co-existed in India. Four broad types of economies can be distinguished according to the meaning attached to and realized through coal extraction (Lahiri-Dutt, 2016):

- 1) national coal represented by state-owned Coal India Limited (CIL) and its subsidiaries,
- neoliberal coal mined in privately owned and/or operated mines, usually linked to thermal power plants and contracted by CIL,
- institutionalized informal coal<sup>2</sup> produced in states of northeastern India, such as Meghalaya and Assam, in small-scale mines without legal recognition, and.
- 4) the generally illegalized extraction of *subsistence coal* throughout the country.

From large-scale, high-tech to small-scale, no-tech, the materiality of coal extraction varies vastly between these economies, as do labour requirements and monetary value realized. Next to the conflicts between those in favour of and those opposed to coal extraction, conflicts arise between the differing interests of these (and possibly additional other) types of coal economies.

#### 3. Conceptual framework, methods, and material

Studying the contested Indian coal complex clearly requires considering it in socio-metabolic as well as political-economic dimensions: How much coal is being extracted? How is extraction organized? Who are the actors upholding or contesting the functioning of the complex? We have based our study on a conceptual framework informed by social and political ecology. Fieldwork and interviews, data work and analysis contribute to our empirical insights.

#### 3.1. The social and political ecology of coal

In order to fully study the coal complex and the social and ecological implications of its trajectory, we must consider it in both biophysical and socio-cultural terms. The coal complex consists of land, of people, of water and air, of coal, of mines, of roads and rails, of power plants, of transmission lines and electricity. It also spans institutions and organizations, movements and alliances, values and beliefs.

In adopting a social-ecological perspective on the coal complex, we understand coal mining as occurring at the intersect of society's biophysical and socio-cultural spheres of causation (Fisher-Kowalski and Erb, 2016). Within this social-ecological conceptualization, coal mining forms part of society's overall metabolism, of the processes of material and energy appropriation, transformation, and disposal required for socio-cultural and biophysical reproduction (Fischer-Kowalski and Haberl, 2015). In contrast biotic resources (crops, fruits, vegetables, for instance) which are an indispensable part of human nutrition and hence of the metabolism of the societies they form, coal - especially in the amounts it is currently extracted and combusted - has a function only in the metabolism of a society in which coal is used for heat (and the generation of thermal power). As industrializing societies accumulate material stocks for electricity use (e.g., lighting, appliances), distribution (grid, storage), and, of course, generation (power plants), they direct not only material and energy resources to the construction of these stocks but are also very likely to continue directing them to their future use. The lock-in into the fossil energy system is not only a question of financial investments and their amortization but also of societal material stocks (Krausmann et al., 2017). In this sense, the energy transition from a biomass-based to a fossil-fuel system occurs gradually and requires vast material resource investments; this is a process that began much earlier in some of the European countries (Great Britain, the Netherlands) than in other parts of the world (Fischer-Kowalski et al., 2018) where it is currently still ongoing (Schaffartzik and Fischer-Kowalski, 2018). Socio-ecological transitions become evident as changes in society's average metabolic profile, coinciding with social, economic, and ecological shifts as new production, consumption, and trade networks emerge (Fischer-Kowalski and Haberl, 2007). As far as simplified, data-driven manifestations of such a transition are concerned, the process of industrialization following the Western blueprint tends to involve both a significant rise in per capita resource use with most of the growth occurring in abiotic materials such as construction minerals and fossil energy carriers (Schaffartzik et al., 2014). Between 1970 and 2015, India's metabolic rate increased by a factor of 2.5, and the share of biomass therein dropped from 74% to 42% (UNEP, 2019). Despite the expansion of renewable energy, the underlying inertia continues to stem from the transition to a fossil energy system (Schaffartzik and Fischer-Kowalski, 2017, 2018).

The changing social metabolism requires the reconfiguration of society-nature relations, often against the will of the directly affected

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kuntala Lahiri-Dutt calls this *statecraft* coal.

population (Scheidel and Schaffartzik, 2019), giving rise to ecological distribution conflicts that overlap with social conflicts related to class, caste, gender and ethnic identities (Martinez-Alier et al., 2016a) and are studied in political ecology as environmental injustices (Martinez-Alier, 2002). Political ecology understands environmental issues as political, and analyses the relationships between the political, social, and economic factors responsible for socio-ecological distribution conflicts (Robbins, 2004). In adopting a political ecology perspective, power relations have to be considered across levels of scale to elucidate coal's continued dominance in India's energy mix amidst the global climate crisis and despite local mobilizations contesting the (expansion of the) coal complex. Political ecology provides the analytical tools to examine the roles of multiple actors and their power relations, highlighting the connections between vested (economic) interests and the degradation of land and destruction of livelihoods.

Integrating the social-ecological and political-ecological perspectives allows us to consider conflicts with the coal complex as conflicts over the "(re)configuration of metabolisms" with biophysical and social aspects (Demaria and Schindler, 2016, p.295). Specifically, we integrate insights on energy and climate policy, ecological distribution conflicts and land rights, and alternative approaches to development. This can be considered the 'political ecology of social metabolism' (Scheidel et al., 2018).

#### 3.2. Materials and methods

To assess and analyze the coal complex in India from a socio-metabolic and a political ecology perspective, a mix of methods and tools have been implemented in this paper. The initial desk research on movements against coal in India was carried out based on the Environmental Justice Atlas (EJAtlas). The EJAtlas is a tool for collaborative research on environmental justice movements with a theoretical framing rooted in activist knowledge (Temper et al., 2015; Martinez-Alier et al., 2016a). As of July 2020, the EJAtlas covers 3216 cases worldwide, with the highest number of cases from India (336). Out of these, 72 are coal related. Academic articles as well as grey literature such as newspaper articles, recorded interviews, court documents and reports, were consulted as necessary to update or modify understanding of the cases. Many of the environmental justice movements in India are long-drawn, with substantial intervals between multiple court decisions as well as final outcomes, hence the need to continuously update our understanding of them (Roy, 2019). After reviewing secondary literature and/or speaking with local actors, new cases of environmental justice movements emerging in India were added to the atlas, mostly focused on coal, such as the Goa Against Coal movement against expansion of Mormugao port for increased coal imports (EJAtlas, 2017) and the conflict on rat hole coal mining in Meghalaya (EJAtlas, 2018).

Brototi Roy then conducted fieldwork in multiple locations in India for a total of six months between 2017 and 2019. Table 1 provides an overview of how many interviews were carried out in which context. The interviewees were people from the communities affected by the coal projects, district administration officials, as well as activists and journalists who have been associated with the movements.

While most interviews lasted from forty-five minutes to an hour, some were also two to three hours long, requiring flexibility in terms of preparation and planning. In the semi-structured interviews, Roy did not offer a definition of the conflict at hand, leaving it up to the interviewees to identify causes, triggers, opponents, and aims. The main structured themes then revolved around the history of the conflict, the methods and motivations for resistances, the outcomes of the protests, the present situation and the perceived future plan of action. Except for the case of Sompeta in Andhra Pradesh, where translation was required from Telugu, all the other interviews were carried out in Hindi or English. In each of the places, Roy had an initial point of contact, who was either a member of the community, or had worked in the region for many years and was trusted by the locals. The movements in Godda and South Goa are currently active, whereas in Latehar and Srikakulam, the mobilization was at its peak from 2009 to 2013.

Interviews were supplemented by site visits and attendance at activists' meetings, gatherings and conferences, such as a meeting on forest rights in Ranchi, the state capital of Jharkhand, a national gathering of activists fighting against coal mining and thermal power plants in Dhanbad, the 'coal capital' of India, and academic workshops on land and tribal rights in New Delhi, where Roy participated as a direct observer. These contexts offered the chance for informal conversations with activists, policy makers and academics involved in the sphere of environmental justice, social movements, tribal rights and climate activism.

In May and June 2020, following the announcement of an investment of Rs. 50,000 crores (US\$ 6.5 billion approx.) in the coal sector of India and introduction of commercial coal mining auction for 41 coal blocks, 8 additional interviews were carried out virtually with climate justice activists to understand how this would shape the grassroots mobilization on ground, in the midst of a global pandemic. These interviews were also semi-structured and lasted between forty-five minutes and one hour.

These interviews and informal conversations over the last three years were triangulated with government reports, academic articles, grey literature and court documents to examine the multiple ways in which coal is contested, from a political ecology and environmental justice perspective.

Coal mining is simultaneously a social process, part of a wider political-economic configuration, and a socio-metabolic process. Next to the political ecology lens, we therefore also considered the Indian coal complex through the lens of social ecology, considering its role in the social metabolism, that is in the material and energy inputs, transformations, and outputs required to reproduce society (Fisher-Kowalski and Erb, 2016). We considered the role of coal in India's overall material and energy metabolism, using data on extraction, imports, and exports and the resulting supply and consumption indicators (UNEP, 2019; IEA, 2019). Information on access to electricity was extracted from the World Development Indicators (World Bank, 2019) and interpreted in the knowledge that these figures may represent an overestimation of access.

India does not have a centralized system of collection and reporting of energy data which makes it difficult to assess the current status and future national scenario in terms of the different energy mixes (IEA, 2020b). As a result, we had to rely on international databases (UNEP, IEA) to some extent but wherever information was directly available from Indian statistical sources, we gave preference to this data. This especially pertains to Government of India coal statistics (Government

#### Table 1

Overview of interviews carried of	out at each site of coal-related	conflict during fieldwork.
-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------

State	District	Name of Conflict	Туре	No. of Interviews
Jharkhand Jharkhand Andhra Pradesh Goa	Latehar Godda Srikakulam South Goa	Forest rights claims Land disputes Sompeta wetlands Mormugao port	Coal mine Thermal power plant Thermal power plant Coal transport <b>Total</b>	8 12 9 11 <b>40</b>



**Fig. 3.** Between 1970 and 2015, India's total primary energy supply grew from 6.5 Exajoules (10<sup>18</sup> J) per year (EJ/a) to 39.1 EJ/a. Although renewables grew consistently and especially strongly from 2010 onwards, this growth was outstripped by the accelerated supply of coal, natural gas, and petroleum. 70% of TPES stemmed from fossil sources by 2015. Source of data: (UNEP, 2019).

### of India, 2015a, 2015b).

#### 4. Results

### 4.1. The rise in Indian coal extraction and use

India is expanding and solidifying its centralized fossil energy system, of which the electricity system is an important component. By 2015, coal contributed 39% to India's total primary energy supply (TPES), compared to 23% in 1970. Including petroleum and natural gas, 70% of India's TPES stemmed from fossil sources, compared to 37% in 1970 (Fig. 3). The rise of renewables – hydro, wind, solar, biomass combustion and gasification – does not lead to slower growth and certainly not to reductions in fossil energy supply. The use of coal in TPES is growing more strongly in India than anywhere else in the world: Between 2010 and 2015, India's average annual growth rate was 6.2%, while China's was 2.2%, the USA's – 5.7%, and the world average was 1.1%. Yet, India's per capita energy consumption is only a fraction of that of the wealthy, mature industrialized countries: 10% of that of Japan and less than 5% of that of the USA (all data discussed in this paragraph is from (UNEP, 2019)).

India primarily extracts (and imports) coal for electricity generation. Approximately <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of India's electricity is coal-based with the remaining <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> stemming almost exclusively from renewables and nuclear (IEA, 2019). Imports have become important in meeting India's coal demand: For hard coal, the most commonly extracted and used type of coal in India, imports in 2015 corresponded to 20% of domestic production. Imports stem from other Asian and Global South countries: India receives the second largest share (after China) of Indonesia's coal exports, for example. India is also the main importer of steam coal – also primarily used to generate electricity – from the USA (IEA 2018a). As India accelerates its transition to a fossil energy system, it requires extractive expansion domestically and abroad. India's dependence on coal imports and Indian investments in coal extraction in other countries link its energy consumption to conflicts in, for example, Australia (Rosewarne, 2016) and Bangladesh (Misra and Mookerjea, 2017), and in the recent past even in the Russian Arctic (Peter, 2019).

Unlike the patterns identified in Latin American economies of resource (neo-)extractivism, the expansion of coal extraction in India is not driven by exports (Burchardt and Dietz, 2014). This is framed politically as an argument in support of expanding coal extraction. In the shape of economic growth and employment (not just in coal mining but also in related industries) and industrialization with the associated access to electricity and other services, coal extraction is supposedly for the common good of the Indian people (Bidwai, 2012).

In direct terms, the Indian coal industry is not an important source of employment for the working population, over 50% of which are employed in agriculture, 25% in services, 11% in manufacturing, and 10% in construction (NSSO, 2014). Less than 1% of employment is in mining and electricity, gas, and water supply combined (S. Chowdhury, 2011). Coal mines are sources of employment during their initial establishment and provide less employment once the mine is 'up and running' - employment in resource extraction in general tends to be temporary and/or seasonal (Schaffartzik and Fischer-Kowalski, 2018). Of course, all other industries, including the service sectors, depend on electricity, generated mainly through coal combustion. A large share of the economic value added by the country's government-run Indian Railways is obtained in the transport of coal. However, neither Indian Railways nor Coal India Limited have created additional employment in step with the growth of their revenues. The coal complex replicates the "virtually jobless" growth that has characterized India's economy in the late 20th and early 21st century (Dasgupta and Singh, 2005). As coal output increases, average employment in coal mining either stagnates or even declines. This can be observed for the Indian average as well as for the three main coal-mining states (Chhattisgarh, Orissa, and Jharkhand, Fig. 4). Labor productivity, i.e., the coal produced per average person employed daily, tends to be higher in those states with large, open-pit coal mines, more conducive to mechanization than in those states and areas where coal is mined manually. Both types of mining are subject to different conflicts as we will demonstrate in Section 4.2.

Overall access to electricity, in urban as well as rural areas, improved during the period of rising coal extraction and use: While just over 40% of the Indian population had access to electricity in 1990, this rate more than doubled to 85% by 2017 (World Bank, 2018). This average, however, is the result of almost complete access to electricity for the urban population (so long as the very important informal settlements in urban areas are not considered) and lower access in the rural areas. The gains in terms of electricity access are not proportional to the extracted coal: Between 1990 and 2010, access to electricity



Fig. 4. In all of India and in the major coal states, average daily employment (ADE) in coal mining (in 1000 people) decreases or stagnates as coal output (in Megatons (10<sup>6</sup> tons) per year (Mt/a)) increases. Source of data: Government of India (2015a, 2015b).

tended to improve by about 3% per year (World Bank, 2018), irrespective of whether 2 million tons less coal than in the previous year were extracted (as was the case in 1998) or 35 million additional tons (2008). At the very least, this seems to indicate that access to electricity is not functionally hinged on expanding coal extraction.

### 4.2. Conflicts about coal

The conflicts erupting over coal indicate that significant parts of the population are not in agreement with extractive expansion as the development pathway. The underlying power relations that have been (and continue to be) sustained by coal became nationally very visible by what is popularly known as the CoalGate scandal. On September 24, 2014, the Supreme Court of India, the apex court of the country, ordered the deallocation of 214 of the 218 coal blocks allocated between 1993 and 2010. This was based on a court ruling that the allotments of coal blocks made by the government were illegal and arbitrary. Amidst discussions on corruption and crony capitalism, CoalGate became one of the major political scandals of recent years, causing an uproar about the illegal and corrupt ways of the Indian coal complex (Sarma, 2013).

However, despite generating national awareness, the realities on the ground didn't change much. There were instead more worries and uncertainty over lost land and rehabilitation processes (Chakravartty, 2015). Mining auctions also re-started soon after, and as of June 2020, privatized and commercial mining are being boosted, generating renewed protests.

A multitude of old and new struggles directly and indirectly related to coal have emerged of which we discuss only a few that are exemplary of the central contestations in many more conflicts. High levels of violence, including the deaths of protestors, are a frightening and common feature and a cross-cutting issue in protests over coal mining. Those who are confronted by the brutality of police and private security companies are oftentimes tribals (also known as *adivasis*) who are also at the forefront of many other ecological distribution conflicts (Shrivastava and Kothari, 2012). The competing claims to extractive expansion include indigenous (tribal) or other local rights to land, sacredness, and protection from pollution and risks to health. The resulting disagreement with the current or looming configuration of coal extraction may be expressed in written communication and consultations, through demonstrations, or through blockades of mining or production sites or of transport routes for coal.

In understanding why, in times of such conflicts and the climate impacts of coal combustion, the coal complex continues to expand, the diversity of the Indian coal economies is not casual but causal. We follow Lahiri-Dutt (2016) in generally distinguishing national coal, neoliberal coal, institutionalized informal (statecraft) coal, and subsistence coal and investigate the conflicts to which each of these economies gives rise.

#### 4.2.1. National coal - the state's claim to land

Jharkhand in India's east contains one-third of the country's coal reserves and is the largest coal-producing state. The state also has a large indigenous population who have been historically and continue to be marginalized and oppressed (Munda and Bosu Mullick, 2003Dungdung, 2017Shah, 2011). The indigenous communities displaced by coal mines experience livelihood insecurity and poor living and working conditions despite provisions for compensation and for employment in the mines operated by Coal India Limited (Meher, 2009 Doshi, 2016).

As a result, there are numerous conflicts against coal, many lasting for decades. One such conflict, ongoing since 2004 and located in the district of Hazaribagh, is against a joint venture coal mining project between Coal India Limited and the National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC) Limited, the largest power utility company in the country EJAtlas, 2016. Coal was to be mined from the Punkhri-Barwadih coal block of the North Karanpura coal field which has a confirmed deposit of 1400 million tons of coal. If realized, not only would forest and agricultural land be destroyed, but also the prehistoric megaliths discovered in the region would be harmed (Imam, 2003). Local villagers, many of them adivasis, organized to protest the land appropriation for the sake of mining (Meher, 2009). Since 2004, the *Karanpura Bachao Sangarsh Samiti* (Committee for the Struggle to Save Karanpura) had been protecting farmland against NTPC's coal mining ambitions, organizing a number of marches and demonstrations (fieldnotes, October 2017). Amidst protest, and with heavy security, however, mining commenced on May 17, 2016 in the Punkhri-Barwadih coal block.

Soon afterwards, opposition politicians began to back the villagers in their struggle for rights to land and livelihood. This was because, out of the 8745 families that NTPC had urged to the sell their land, only 2614 had accepted the compensation offered. Others protested the unjust level of compensation and the illegal methods of land appropriation (Chowdhury, 2016). On August 14, 2016, approximately 200 villagers prevented NTPC contractors from building a resettlement colony. The police responded to this with tear gas and 22 rounds of bullets, injuring six people who were arrested when they reached a civil hospital in Hazaribagh for treatment. On September 15, some thousand villagers began a sit-in near a mining site in Chiru Barwadih village. On October 1, five of them were killed and at least 40 others injured, when in the early morning hours, police fired 60 rounds of bullets at these villagers (Chowdhury, 2016). The fate of the villagers, the jungle, and the heritage of the Karanpura Valley still remains undecided as forced acquisition continues despite the protests (Iqbal, 2016; Pal, 2019).

### 4.2.2. Neoliberal coal - Threats to local livelihoods for coal production

India's south eastern state of Andhra Pradesh highlights the illegalities and violence associated with the coal complex, where both local livelihoods and ecologically sensitive regions are ignored for the construction of thermal power plants. In and around Andhra's coastal district of Srikakulam, at least seven thermal power plants were proposed in the early 2000s on fertile wetlands, which were allegedly falsely denoted as wasteland for obtaining environmental clearances (Dasgupta, 2010). Kakarapalli (EJAtlas, 2018b) and Sompeta (EJAtlas, 2019), two of the proposed sites in Srikakulam district, were the epicenters of protests against the power plants. These protests continued despite the deaths of activists at the hands of the police. The proposed sites for Kakarapalli promoted by East Coast Energy Private Limited and for Sompeta by Nagarjuna Construction Company, were on expanses of wetlands where construction would destroy the livelihoods of the farmers and fisherfolks (Sarma, 2011; Sarma, 2010). As a result, both regions saw different forms of mobilization to stop these coal projects, including relay hunger strikes (fieldnotes, February 2017).

Under the banner of Paryavaran Parirakshana Sangham (Committee for the Preservation of Environment), 3000 people gathered in Sompeta on July 14, 2010 to protest the destruction of their land, water, and air that would be caused by the proposed power plant. In the brutal repression of their protest, three of them were killed when police opened fire on the protestors. In Kakarapalli, protests were similarly directed against the locally proposed power plant on February 28, 2011 when two people were killed by police fire. In both instances, many more protestors were injured. Due to these protests and the associated violence which made national news, the Union Ministry of Environment and Forests set up a committee which confirmed the existence of wetlands and the dire socio-ecological consequences of setting up thermal power plants in the regions (Narayanan, 2015).

In Sompeta, it took several years for the state government to concede to the protestors' demands and assure the site be used only for "eco-friendly" projects such as agri-business which the locals are still struggling against to conserve the unique wetland on which their sustenance depends (Rajeev, 2015). In Kakarapalli, it was only in August 2017 that some indication was provided that the project would not proceed – reportedly due to financial issues and changed government policy (The Hans India, 2017). However, according to the May 2019 report of the Ministry of Power on thermal power projects in India, the plant is still under construction, despite slow progress due to financial problems (CEA, 2019). According to local sources, the plant was partially set up and then abandoned, but not before destroying roughly a thousand acres of wetland (Adve, 2020).

## 4.2.3. Institutionalized informal coal - legal grey areas created by statecraft

Coal mining in the north-eastern state of Meghalaya is quite different from the rest of the country (EJAtlas, 2018). Under the Indian constitution, Meghalaya has special status as a Sixth Schedule state which gives indigenous communities the rights to the natural resources (unlike the rest of the country, where these resources are owned by the state governments). This implies that whoever owns the land also owns the coal. However, according to the Mines and Minerals Development and Regulation Act of 1957 (with multiple amendments over the years), coal is a major mineral that cannot be mined by individuals. The legal grey area in which coal is nonetheless mined in Meghalaya is the result of rights granted in the process of statecraft nation-building.

The most common form in which coal is mined on the individually held lands of Meghalaya is rat-hole mining: manual coal extraction in which workers reach the coal seam by digging and crawling through small tunnels, approximately 1 m in diameter. On April 17, 2014, the National Green Tribunal (NGT) banned rat-hole mining in Meghalaya as well as transport of coal previously extracted in this manner, stockpiled at mining sites in the East Jaintia Hills, West Khasi Hills and South Garo Hills regions of the state. The ban followed a petition filed in the neighboring state of Assam, where acidic discharge from the mines in the Jaintia Hills had polluted the Kopili river basin. The petition further cited illegal and unscientific (rat-hole) mining methods leading to hazardous working conditions for the miners (NGT, 2014).

The ban on rat-hole mining triggered fundamental debates on livelihoods and indigenous rights (McDuie-Ra and Kikon, 2016). The practice of rat-hole mining enabled people to make a living from coal with very low capital requirements. This was argued to allow people to meet their livelihood needs and to be within the rights of the indigenous population within the Sixth Schedule areas to use their land and the resources it harbors. On these grounds, a lifting of the ban was requested. At the same time, many activists claimed that rat-hole mining only benefitted a few powerful people, including politicians, while the indigenous communities had been displaced in large numbers and for decades. This raised the issue of what kind of development rat-hole mining allowed for and what conceivable alternatives there were.

The presence of a coal mafia (and the violence it exercises) is an open secret in Meghalaya (Saikia, 2019). On November 8, 2018, activists Agnes Kharshiing and Anita Sangma were assaulted, reportedly by the coal mafia's henchmen, for documenting the extent of illegal coal mining in the East Jaintia Hills Roy and Martinez-Alier, 2019. A few years prior, in 2015, P J Marbaniang, a sub-inspector of police, was found dead under suspicious circumstances after he had seized 32 trucks that had been used in violation of the NGT ban on transport of coal (Press Trust of India, 2015). The mafia consists of people with social, economic and political power who stand to gain much from continued coal extraction. As a result, there have been multiple petitions made to lift the ban, and in July 2019, the Supreme Court revoked it (Mazumdar, 2019).

# 4.2.4. Subsistence coal - Koyla Satyagraha livelihoods and entrepreneurs

In many parts of central and eastern India, there exists a subsistence coal economy of people who, in most cases, are former farmers who have been displaced by larger mines (fieldnotes, October 2017). Within the affected communities, small-scale coal mining is a claim to subsistence which has been formalized in a movement called *Koyla* (Coal) *Satyagraha.*<sup>3</sup> By extracting coal manually, the miners and their communities exercise non-violent protest against the current patterns of coal extraction while simultaneously claiming as theirs the resources

that their land harbors Chandrasekhar, 2015. The argument is that, 'If the government wants the coal beneath our land, we will give it to them, but we won't part with our fertile land'The first such *Satyagraha* started in 2011 in Gare village, in Raigarh, Chhattisgarh and since then has spread in other parts of the state as well as to Jharkhand (Amnesty International India, 2015).

#### 5. Discussion: More power relations than electric power

Despite strong opposition to the expanding coal complex; despite the local environmental destruction through mines, and air pollution associated with mining, transport, and combustion; despite the disastrous effects that the climate crisis has on India; despite the political commitment to renewable energy and environmental protection, "King Coal" continues to reign in India.

In just two decades, between 1994 and 2014, India's annual coal extraction doubled from 250 to 500 million tons and fossil fuels use continues to grow rapidly. That the Indian government so strongly adheres to the coal project is indicative of the multiple socio-economic functions of coal beyond energy provision (Lecavalier and Harrington, 2017).

The most visible justification for the expansion in times of conflict and climate crisis is that coal supposedly allows for development, that is, for industrialization following the Western (and more recently Chinese (Tyfield, 2014)) blueprint, with coal (and the harnessing of energy it represents) attracting investment and enabling much-needed better access to energy, especially in the shape of electricity; and with mines and power plants and trickle-down effects into the economy generating the employment the country desperately needs; in sum, with coal improving the income and the lives of all Indians. This was, for example, the justification provided for the auction of 41 coal blocks for private companies to mine in June 2020 (Ellis-Petersen, 2020).

Whether or not such goals – lofty and basal – are truly what motivates decision-makers stands to question. Either way, the reasoning or the narrative alone does not drive the observable change. Based on our analysis of the Indian coal complex, we propose that what gives rise to the unimpeded expansion of coal, in the face of local opposition and of the climate crisis, is the constricted socio-metabolic corridor coupled with the diversity of coal economies coexisting in the seemingly monolithic coal complex.

#### 5.1. An extraction imperative in the socio-metabolic corridor

The continued expansion of coal extraction in India and the rising levels of imports, fueling a fossil electricity system, fall into the ongoing build-up and cementation of a heavily centralized material- and emission-intensive fossil energy system (Schaffartzik and Fischer-Kowalski, 2018). This system constricts the socio-metabolic corridor, that is, the present and future space within which society's biophysical reproduction must take place. The changes to the local and global environment caused by societal resource use range from irreversible forms of deforestation, soil erosion, damages to human health, and loss of agricultural land, to the climate crisis with its far-reaching effects on natural ecosystems and society-nature relations. Any and all future biophysical societal reproduction will have to occur within the confines of these changes. At the same time, the claims to land and other resources made in the name of industrialization and expansion of the fossil energy system preclude other forms of land and resource use. Coal extracted and burnt now will not be available in the future. To make

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  The term Satyagraha, translated from Hindi to mean 'holding onto truth' was a form of passive political resistance used by M.K. Gandhi first in South Africa and then during the freedom struggle in India in the first half of the twentieth century, and has been replicated in many social and political struggles in the country since then.

land occupied now by coal mines or power plants viable again for other uses – agriculture, human settlement, and environmental protection – will take a substantial material and energetic effort and/or a very long time. As the expansion of the coal complex progresses, the metabolic corridor becomes gradually narrower. Within the smaller operating space, competing human activities are even more likely to come into conflict with another.

Worryingly, it is conceivable that the closing of the metabolic corridor will cease to be gradual and instead occur in an exponential manner. The reason for this change of pace is that the expansion of the coal complex prescribes the increasing use of coal. Within the capitalist economic system, mines and powerplants have expected active lifetimes that do not have to do with the useful services they deliver to society but with their return on investment. People who – in the course of extractive expansion – are dispossessed of their livelihood resources are forced to undergo a metabolic transition of their own (Scheidel and Schaffartzik, 2019), thereby becoming dependent on the corresponding energy and material availability and access. They are coerced into engaging in wage labor to secure a market-based subsistence, changing their consumption patterns accordingly. The dependence on wages often gives workers no other choice but to put up with hazardous working conditions, with high risks of accidents.

### 5.2. Economic diversity and cumulative expansion

Behind the seemingly monolithic growth of India's coal complex are different, partially competing processes of expansion. Following Lahiri-Dutt (2016), we have referred to these as economies of coal (Sections 2 and 4.2) which differ in socio-metabolic and political-ecological terms. The coexistence, the differences but also the overlapping of these economies cumulatively enable the expansion of the coal complex. Indian coal can simultaneously be conceived of as a state-building and -upholding resource, an opportunity for capitalist growth, a regional development chance (for entrepreneurs and politicians), and the main source of household reproduction. Within Lahiri-Dutt's category of national coal, there is a market-based form of subsistence coal (or, it has been successfully established) in which people are or feel they are dependent on the coal complex for income and thus for their livelihood. This circumstance can easily lead people to develop a certain attachment to this resource and its use (or to their right to profit from the expansion of the coal complex). Conflicts over coal show that where coal is extracted by state-held companies, law enforcement is at their beck and call, even to turn against the Indian population at large. Considering that - even though it is state-held - Coal India Limited is not an operation for the common good but a business required to make profit, this highlights the role of law enforcement in de facto protecting capitalist production imperatives Chandra, 2018. That the same law enforcement organs would then conceivably protect the interests of private companies (and neoliberal coal) is not much of a stretch and has already been demonstrated in practice.

# 6. Conclusions: Are the coal phase-out and renewable energy ingredients of transformation?

Given the problems attached to coal expansion in India, which we have demonstrated, the announced coal phase out (Central Electricity Authority, 2018) is – in theory – an important countermeasure. A true coal phase-out, however, would have to involve two things that are absent from the current plan of the Indian government:

 the decision to leave the "coal in the hole" and to halt extraction even while it is still considered 'economically viable'; Instead, the continued expansion of the coal complex makes it seem as though the coal phase-out will not be a concerted effort but rather the result of exhausted coal reserves in some areas and financially unviable 'stranded assets' in others. India's tryst with coal is far from over, although it may possibly be slowing down (Vishwanathan et al., 2018) and might never reach Chinese dimensions. This is directly related to the unrealized second requirement of the coal phase-out:

2) a tremendous joint endeavor of people, government, and business to transform the energy system; not only from one based on fossil fuels and nuclear energy to one based on renewables but also from a heavily capitalized, centralized system to locally controllable decentralized energy provisioning.

Neither the lip service of the Indian government to renewable energy nor the actual investments and installed capacities break the mold of the fossil system, which is neither sustainable nor just. In fact, many renewable energy projects have raised similar problems as the coal complex expansion, including the dispossession of the local population from their livelihood resources and the sustained lack of access to electricity, even the vicinity of new projects. One example is the 113 MW Andhra Lake wind power project, promoted by the multi-national Enercon, on the outskirts of Bhimashankar Wildlife Sanctuary in the Western Ghats of Maharashtra. Here, the villagers who live next to the project site don't have access to electricity while the project threatens their livelihoods and the rich biodiversity of the region (Lakhanpal, 2019). This pattern is followed in different parts of the global south, where land is always a contested commodity (Avila, 2018). It is studied globally as 'extractivism of renewables' in which renewables such as hydropower often replicate similar patterns of violence as have been observed in the extraction of fossil and metal minerals (Del Bene et al., 2018).

From a global, somewhat abstract perspective, the expansion of the Indian coal complex is troubling because of the present and expected contribution to the climate crisis. From a more concrete solidarity with those locally protesting this expansion, the threat to human livelihoods and human lives is devastating. The violence that is inherent to the observed conflicts over coal erupts not only over the rights to extraction or to electricity that are at stake but over the fundamental power relations and rights (all too often "rights" claimed without a legal basis) to resources. In this light, why actors go to such extremes to enforce one way of production becomes simultaneously more understandable and more deplorable; the conflicts aren't even about getting a service to the people who may need it. What is so violently enforced in all these cases is also the dominance of the interests of powerful actors over the local population.

This dominance, as the article shows, based on underlying power relations, is replicated in the different subnational economies of coal in India, in particular, and across different countries and commodities more generally. It must be further investigated to understand why coal continues to reign, across different scales, despite global concerns of climate crisis and local concerns of adverse impacts on health and environment.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the two anonymous reviewers and editor Oliver Petit for their valuable comments as well as the time they put in for reviewing this paper. We are also thankful to Joan Martinez-Alier for his constant support during the writing process, and to Federico Demaria, Giorgos Kallis and Nagraj Adve for proving comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

Brototi Roy acknowledges the support from the European Research Council (ERC) Advanced Grant ENVJUSTICE (No. 695446). Anke Schaffartzik acknowledges the financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, through the 'María de Maeztu' program for Units of Excellence (MDM-2015-0552) and from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through Hertha Firnberg project T949.

#### References

- Adve, Nagraj, 2020. "Global Warming in the Indian Context: An Overview.". Human Rights Foundation Forthcoming (Telugu edition).
- Aggarwal, Mayank, 2020. India's mining sector: present is tense and future could be imperfect. Mongabay July 17, 2020. https://india.mongabay.com/2020/07/indiasmining-sector-present-is-tense-and-future-could-be-imperfect/.
- Amnesty International India, 2015. "Koyla Satyagraha: Raising Bapu's Clarion 'Coal'in Chhattisgarh." 2015. https://amnesty.org.in/koyla-satyagraha-raising-bapus-clarioncoal-chhattisgarh/.
- Ananthapadmanabhan, G., Srinivas, K., Gopal, Vinuta, 2007. "Hiding Behind the Poor. A Report by Greenpeace on Climate Injustice." Bangalore.
- Avila, Sofia, 2018. Environmental justice and the expanding geography of wind power conflicts. Sustain. Sci. 13 (3), 599–616. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-018-0547-4.
- Bidwai, Praful, 2012. The Politics of Climate Change and the Global Crisis. Orient Black Swan, New Delhi.
- Blühdorn, Ingolfur, 2007. Sustaining the unsustainable: symbolic politics and the politics of simulation. Environ. Politics 16 (2), 251–275. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09644010701211759.
- Bomnalli, Manjunath Hegde, 2020. Coal India will not be privatised; given target of billion Tonnes output by 2023: Pralhad Joshi. Deccan Herald July 10, 2020. https:// www.deccanherald.com/state/karnataka-districts/coal-india-will-not-be-privatisedgiven-target-of-billion-tonnes-output-by-2023-pralhad-joshi-859390.html.
- Brown, B., Spiegel, S.J., 2019. Coal, climate justice, and the cultural politics of energy transition. Global Environmental Politics 19 (2), 149–168. https://doi.org/10.1162/ glep a 00501.
- Burchardt, Hans-Jürgen, Dietz, Kristina, 2014. (Neo-)Extractivism a new challenge for development theory from Latin America. Third World Q. 35 (3), 468–486. https:// doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2014.893488.
- Cardoso, Andrea, Turhan, Ethemcan, 2018a. Examining new geographies of coal: dissenting energyscapes in Colombia and Turkey. Appl. Energy. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.apenergy.2018.04.096.
- CEA, 2019. Monthly Report on Broad Status of Thermal Power Projects in the Country. (New Delhi).
- Central Electricity Authority, Ministry of Power Government of India, 2018. "National Electricity Plan Volume 1" I (Volume I).
- Chakravartty, Anupam, 2015. "Life After Coalgate." Down to Earth. 2015. https://www. downtoearth.org.in/coverage/life-after-coalgate-47160.
- Chakravorty, Sanjoy, 2013. The Price of Land: Acquisition, Conflict and Consequences. Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
- Chandra, Rohit, 2018. Adaptive State Capitalism in the Indian Coal Industry (PhD Dissertation). Department of Public Policy, Harvard University.
- Chandrasekhar, Aruna, 2015. Coal is the new salt: Why Chhattisgarh villagers have taken up mining. DailyO October 16, 2015. https://www.dailyo.in/politics/coal-is-thenew-salt-why-people-in-a-chhattisgarh-village-mining-their-own/story/1/6825.html.
- Chowdhury, Subhail, 2011. Employment in India: what does the latest data show? Econ. Polit. Wkly. 46 (32), 23–26.
- Chowdhury, Manob, 2016. 'Shoot us all': Hazaribagh still in shock at police firing on protest against land acquisition. Scroll October 5, 2016. https://scroll.in/article/ 818270/shoot-us-all-hazaribagh-still-in-shock-at-police-firing-on-protest-againstland-acquisition.
- Dasgupta, Sukti, Singh, Ajit, 2005. Will services be the new engine of Indian economic growth? Development and Change 36 (6), 1035–1057.
- Dasgupta, Debarshi, Tata, Madhavi, 2010. Pushing for Power: The Quest for Megawatts Encroaches upon Wetlands and Livelihoods. (Outlook India, August 2010). D'Costa, Anthony, Chakraborty, Achin, 2017. The Land Question in India: State,
- Dispossession and Capitalist Transition. Oxford University Press. Del Bene, Daniela, Scheidel, Arnim, Temper, Leah, 2018. More dams, more violence? A global analysis on resistances and repression around conflictive dams through co-
- produced knowledge. Sustain. Sci. 13 (3), 617–633. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11625-018-0558-1. Demaria, Federico, Schindler, Seth, 2016. Contesting urban metabolism: struggles over
- Demaria, Federico, Schindler, Sein, 2010. Contesting urban metabolism: struggles over waste-to-energy in Delhi, India. Antipode 48 (2), 293–313. https://doi.org/10.1111/ anti.12191.
- Doshi, Vidhi, 2016. Coal India accused of bulldozing human rights amid production boom. The Guardian July 13, 2016. https://www.theguardian.com/globaldevelopment/2016/jul/13/coal-india-accused-of-bulldozing-human-rights-miningoperations-amid-production-boom-annesty-international.
- Dungdung, Gladson, 2017. Endless Cry in the Red Corridor. Prithvi Prakashan, New Delhi.
- Edenhofer, Ottmar, Steckel, Jan Christoph, Jakob, Michael, Bertram, Christoph, 2018a. Reports of coal's terminal decline may be exaggerated. Environ. Res. Lett. 13 (2). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaa3a2.
- Edenhofer, Ottmar, Steckel, Jan Christoph, Jakob, Michael, Bertram, Christoph, 2018b. Reports of coal's terminal decline may be exaggerated. Environ. Res. Lett. 13 (2). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaa3a2.

- Edwards, Gareth A.S., 2019. Coal and climate change. In: Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, no. December 2018: 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.607.
- EJAtlas, 2016. Coal mining conflict in Hazaribagh with NTPC in Jharkhand, India. Atlas Environ. Justice 2016. https://ejatlas.org/conflict/illegal-land-acquisition-for-coalmining-and-violent-protest-in-hazaribagh-jharkhand.
- EJAtlas, 2017. Goa against Mormugao port trust coal projects, India. Atlas Environ. Justice. 2017. https://ejatlas.org/conflict/goa-against-mormugao-port-trust-coalprojects.
- EJAtlas, 2018. Ban of rat hole mining across Meghalaya, India. Atlas Environ. Justice. 2018. https://ejatlas.org/conflict/ban-of-rat-hole-mining-in-jaintia-hills-meghalaya.
- EJAtlas, 2018b. Bhavanapadu and kakarapalli ECEPL coal fired power plant, AP, India. Atlas Environ. Justice 2018. https://ejatlas.org/conflict/bhavanapadu-east-coastenergy-private-limited-ecepl-thermal-power-plant-ap-india.
- EJAtlas, 2019. Sompeta coal power plant, AP, India. Atlas Environ. Justice. 2019. https://ejatlas.org/conflict/sompeta-power-plant.
- Ellis-Petersen, Hannah, 2020. India plans to fell ancient forests to create 40 new coalfields. Guardian (August10, 2020). https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/ aug/08/india-prime-minister-narendra-modi-plans-to-fell-ancient-forest-to-create-40-new-coal-fields.
- Escobar, Arturo, 1995. Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Esteva, Gustavo, Escobar, Arturo, 2017. Post-development @ 25: on 'being stuck' and moving forward, sideways, backward and otherwise. Third World Q. 38 (12), 2559–2572. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2017.1334545.
- Faber, D., 2008. Capitalizing on environmental injustice: the polluter-industrial complex in the age of globalization. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, New York.
- Fankhauser, Sam, Jotzo, Frank, 2018. Economic growth and development with lowcarbon energy: economic growth and development with low-carbon energy. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Chang. 9 (1), e495. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.495.
- Fischer-Kowalski, Marina, Haberl, H., 2007. Socioecological transitions and global change: trajectories of social metabolism and land use. In: Advances in Ecological Economics. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham.
- Fischer-Kowalski, Marina, Haberl, Helmut, 2015. Social metabolism: A metric for biophysical growth and degrowth. In: Martinez-Alier, Joan (Ed.), Handbook of Ecological Economics, pp. 100–138. Edward Elgar. https://doi.org/10.4337/ 9781783471416.
- Fischer-Kowalski, Marina, Rovenskaya, Elena, Krausmann, Fridolin, Pallua, Irene, Mc, John R., Neill, 2018. Energy transitions and social revolutions. Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.08.010. August. Fisher-Kowalski, Marina, Erb, Karl Heinz, 2016. Core concepts and heuristics. In: Haberl,
- Fisher-Kowalski, Marina, Erb, Karl Heinz, 2016. Core concepts and heuristics. In: Haberl, Helmut, Fisher-Kowalski, Marina, Krausmann, Fridolin, Winiwarter, V. (Eds.), Social Ecology: Society-Nature Relations across Time and Space. Springer International, pp. 29–61.
- Ghosh, Devleena, 2016. 'We Don't want to eat coal': development and its discontents in a Chhattisgarh District in India. Energy Policy 99, 252–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. enpol.2016.05.046.
- Ghoshal, Devjyot, 2017. Solar is now cheaper than coal-based electricity in India, but the math makes no sense. Quartz India (May 18, 2017). https://qz.com/india/984656/ solar-power-is-now-cheaper-than-coal-based-electricity-in-india-but-the-mathmakes-no-sense/.
- Goodman, James, 2016. The 'climate dialectic' in energy policy: Germany and India compared. Energy Policy 99, 184–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.03. 014.
- Goodman, James, Marshall, Jonathan Paul, Pearse, Rebecca, 2016. Coal, climate and development: comparative perspectives. Energy Policy 99 (October 2016), 180–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.08.001.
- Government of India, 2008. "National Action Plan on Climate Change." New Delhi. 2008. http://pmindia.nic.in/Pg01-52.pdf.
- Government of India, 2015a. India's Intended Nationally Determined Contribution: Working Towards Climate Justice. Unfccc/Indc. https://doi.org/10.1017/ CBO9781107415324.004.

Government of India, 2015b. Statistics of Mines in India.

- Guttikunda, Sarath K., Goel, Rahul, 2013. Health impacts of particulate pollution in a megacity-Delhi, India. Environ. Develop. 6 (1), 8–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envdev.2012.12.002.
- Guttikunda, Sarath K., Jawahar, Puja, 2014. Atmospheric emissions and pollution from the coal-fired thermal power plants in India. Atmos. Environ. 92, 449–460. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.057.
- Hemalatha, Karthikeyan, 2020. India wedded to coal even as solar prices plummet. Deutsche Welle (August 25, 2020). https://www.dw.com/en/india-coal-energysolar-power-renewables-change/a-54688107.
- Hubacek, Klaus, Baiocchi, Giovanni, Feng, Kuishuang, Castillo, Raúl Muñoz, Sun, Laixiang, Xue, Jinjun, 2017. Global carbon inequality. Energy Ecol. Environ. 2 (6), 361–369. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-017-0072-9.
- IEA, 2018a. Coal 2018: Analysis and Forecast to 2023. 2018.
- IEA, 2018b. World Energy Statistics. 2018https://doi.org/10.1787/data-00510-en. IEA, 2019. World energy statistics. World Energy Stat. Balances (Database). 2019.
- https://doi.org/10.1787/data-00510-en.
- IEA, 2020b. India 2020. Energy Policy Review. International Energy Agency, Paris. https://niti.gov.in/sites/default/files/2020-01/IEA-India%202020-In-depth-EnergyPolicy\_0.pdf.
- Imam, Bulu, 2003. Hazaribagh and the north Karanpura Valley. Heritage Risk 107–112.
- Iqbal, Javed, 2016. For the people of Hazaribagh, the cost of mining include the loss of lives. The Wire (October 7, 2016). https://thewire.in/rights/karanpura-miningpolice-violence-jharkhand.
- Jaeger, Mark Daniel, Michaelowa, Katharina, 2016. Global climate policy and local

energy politics: is India hiding behind the poor? Clim. Pol. 16 (7), 940–951. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2015.1058239.

- Jakob, Michael, Steckel, Jan Christoph, Jotzo, Frank, Sovacool, Benjamin K., Cornelsen, Laura, Chandra, Rohit, Edenhofer, Ottmar, et al., 2020. The future of coal in a carbon-constrained climate. Nat. Clim. Chang. 10 (8), 704–707. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41558-020-0866-1.
- Kalkuhl, Matthias, Steckel, Jan Christoph, Montrone, Lorenzo, Jakob, Michael, Peters, Jörg, Edenhofer, Ottmar, 2019. Successful coal phase-out requires new models of development. Nat. Energy 4 (11), 897–900. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0500-5.
- Karl, T.R., Trenberth, Kevin E., 2003. Modern global climate change. Science 302 (5651), 1719–1723. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1090228.
- Khosla, Radhika, Bhardwaj, Ankit, 2019. Urbanization in the time of climate change: examining the response of Indian cities. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Chang. 10 (1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.560.
- Kohli, Kanchi, Menon, Manju, 2016. The tactics of persuasion: environmental negotiations over a corporate coal project in coastal India. Energy Policy. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.enpol.2016.05.027.
- Kotikalapudi, Chaitanya Kumar, 2016. Corruption, crony capitalism and conflict: rethinking the political economy of coal in Bangladesh and beyond. Energy Res. Soc. Sci. 17, 160–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2016.05.001.
- Krausmann, Fridolin, Wiedenhofer, Dominik, Lauk, Christian, Haas, Willi, Tanikawa, Hiroki, Fishman, Tomer, Miatto, Alessio, Schandl, Heinz, Haberl, Helmut, 2017. Global socioeconomic material stocks rise 23-fold over the 20th century and require half of annual resource use. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114 (8), 1880–1885. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1613773114.
- Kuchler, Magdalena, Bridge, Gavin, 2018. Down the black hole: sustaining national sociotechnical imaginaries of coal in Poland. Energy Res. Soc. Sci. 41 (July 2017), 136–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.04.014.
- Kumar, K., Kavi, S., Parikh, Jyoti, 2001. Indian agriculture and climate sensitivity. Glob. Environ. Chang. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-3780(01)00004-8.
- Kumar, K., Kavi, S., Tholkappian, S., 2006. Relative vulnerability of Indian coastal districts to sea-level rise and climate extremes. Int. Rev. Environ. Strateg. 6 (1), 3–22. Lahiri-Dutt, Kuntala, 2014. The Coal Nation: Histories, Politics and Ecologies of Coal in
- India. Ashgate, Aldershot. Lahiri-Dutt, Kuntala, 2016. The diverse worlds of coal in India: Energising the nation, Energising livelihoods. Energy Policy 99, 203–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.
- 2016.05.045. Lahiri-Dutt, Kuntala, Krishnan, Radhika, Ahmad, Nesar, 2012. Land acquisition and dispossession. Econ. Polit. Wkly. 47 (6), 39–45.
- Lakhanpal, Shikha, 2019. Contesting renewable energy in the global south: a case-study of local opposition to a wind power project in the Western Ghats of India. Environ. Develop. 30, 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2019.02.002.
- Lecavalier, Emma, Harrington, Cameron, 2017. Entangling carbon lock-in: India's coal constituency. Crime Law Soc. Chang. 68 (5), 529–546. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10611-017-9701-7.
- Liu, Bo, Geman, Hélyette, 2017. World coal markets: still weakly integrated and moving east. J. Commod. Mark. 5 (March), 63–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomm.2017. 02.002.
- Maiti, J., Khanzode, Vivek V., Ray, P.K., 2009. Severity analysis of Indian coal mine accidents – a retrospective study for 100 years. Saf. Sci. 47 (7), 1033–1042. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2008.11.007.
- Martinez-Alier, Joan, 2002. The Environmentalism of the Poor: A Study of Ecological Conflicts and Valuation. Edward Elgar. https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.40-4110.
- Martinez-Alier, Joan, Temper, Leah, Del Bene, Daniela, Scheidel, Arnim, 2016a. Is there a global environmental justice movement? J. Peasant Stud. 43 (3), 731–755. https:// doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2016.1141198.

Mazumdar, Prasanta, 2019. Victory for Conrad Sangma Government, SC Allows Coal Mining Operation in Meghalaya. New Indian Express (July 3, 2019).

- McDuie-Ra, Duncan, Kikon, Dolly, 2016. Tribal communities and coal in Northeast India: the politics of imposing and resisting mining bans. Energy Policy 99, 261–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.05.021.
- McGlade, Christophe, Ekins, Paul, 2015. The geographical distribution of fossil fuels unused when limiting global warming to 2 °C. Nature 517 (7533), 187–190. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature14016.
- Meher, Rajkishor, 2009. Globalization, displacement and the livelihood issues of tribal and agriculture dependent poor people: the case of mineral-based industries in India. J. Dev. Soc. 25 (4), 457–480. https://doi.org/10.1177/0169796X0902500403.
- Milagros, Miranda, 2015. COP21: India says coal is not an option but a necessity. World Coal Assoc. 2015. https://www.worldcoal.org/cop21-india-says-coal-not-optionnecessity
- Mishra, Suji Kumar, 2015. Putting value to human health in coal mining region of India. J. Health Manag. 17 (3), 339–355. https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063415589235.
- Misra, M., Mookerjea, S., 2017. Why New Delhi must withdraw from the Rampal power plants. Econ. Polit. Wkly. 52 (18), 26–28.
- Munda, Ram Dayal, Bosu Mullick, S., 2003. The Jharkhand Movement: Indigenous People's Struggle for Autonomy in India. International Work Group for Indigenous Affairs, Copenhagen.

Narayanan, Sumana, 2015. "Clearance Based on False Claims." Down to Earth, July 2015. NGT, 2014. Original Application Number 73/2014.

NSSO, 2014. Employment and Unemployment Situation in India. (New Delhi).

O'Brien, Karen, Leichenko, Robin, Kelkar, Ulka, Venema, Henry, Aandahl, Guro, Tompkins, Heather, Javed, Akram, et al., 2004. Mapping vulnerability to multiple stressors: climate change and globalization in India. Glob. Environ. Chang. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2004.01.001.

Oskarsson, Patrik, 2013. Dispossession by confusion from mineral-rich lands in Central

India. J. S. Asia Stud. 36 (2), 199–212. https://doi.org/10.1080/00856401.2012. 739597.

- Oskarsson, Patrik, Bedi, Heather P., 2018. Extracting environmental justice: countering technical renditions of pollution in India's coal industry. Extract. Ind. Soc. 5 (3), 340–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2018.05.003.
- Oskarsson, Patrik, Nielsen, Kenneth Boo, 2017. Industrialising Rural India: Land, Policy, Resistance. Routledge, London.
- Oskarsson, Patrik, Lahiri-Dutt, Kuntala, Wennström, Patrick, 2019. From incremental dispossession to a cumulative land grab: understanding territorial transformation in India's north Karanpura coalfield. Dev. Chang. 0 (0), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/ dech.12513.
- Padel, Felix, Das, Samarendra, 2010. Cultural genocide and the rhetoric of sustainable Mining in East India. Contemp. S. Asia 18 (3), 333–341. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09584935.2010.503871.

Pal, Sumedha, 2019. NTPC land acquisition: houses of villagers demolished in Jharkhand's Jugra. Newsclick (September 6, 2019). https://www.newsclick.in/ NTPC-land-acquisition-houses-villagers-demolished-iharkhand-iugra.

- Palit, Debajit, Bandyopadhyay, Kaushik Ranjan, 2017. Rural electricity access in India in retrospect: a critical rumination. Energy Policy 109 (May), 109–120. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.enpol.2017.06.025.
- Parasuraman, Saroj, 2016. The Development Dilemma: Displacement in India. (Springer Netherlands).
- Peter, Laurence, 2019. Russia's Taymyr plan: Arctic coal for India risks pollution. BBC
- News (November 29, 2019). https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-50507539. Press Information Bureau, 2017. India Becomes Net Exporter of Electricity for the First Time. (New Delhi).
- Press Trust of India, 2015. 5 held on charge of abetting Cop's suicide. India Today (October 9, 2015). https://www.indiatoday.in/pti-feed/story/5-held-on-charge-ofabetting-cops-suicide-480273-2015-10-09.
- Rajeev, M., 2015. Govt. cancels land given to Sompeta thermal plant. The Hindu (September 10, 2015). https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/andhra-pradesh/ govt-cancels-land-given-to-sompeta-thermal-plant/article7634852.ece.
- Rama Rao, C.A., Raju, B.M.K., Rao, A.V.M. Subba, Rao, K.V., Rao, V.U.M., Ramachandran, Kausalya, Venkateswarlu, B., et al., 2016. A district level assessment of vulnerability of indian agriculture to climate change. Curr. Sci. 110 (10), 1939. https://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v110/1939-1946.
- Ramachandra, T.V., Bajpai, Vishnu, Kulkarni, Gouri, Aithal, Bharath H., Han, Sun Sheng, 2017. Economic disparity and CO 2 emissions: the domestic energy sector in greater Bangalore, India. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 67 (January), 1331–1344. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.038.

Randeria, Shalini, 2004. "Glocalization of Law: Environmental Justice, World Bank, NGOs and the Cunning State in India." Global Forces and Local Life-Worlds: Social Transformations. pp. 105–126. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446211328.n7.

- Ranganadham, M.V.S., 2018. Energy Statistics. 2018.
- Revi, Aromar, 2008. Climate change risk: an adaptation and mitigation agenda for Indian cities. Environ. Urban. 20 (1), 207–229. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0956247808089157.
- Robbins, Paul, 2004. Political Ecology: A Critical Introduction, Critical Introductions to Geography. Blackwell, Malden, MA.
- Rosewarne, Stuart, 2016. The transnationalisation of the Indian coal economy and the Australian political economy: the fusion of regimes of accumulation? Energy Policy 99, 214–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.05.022.
- Roy, Brototi, 2019. Global perspective on ecological distribution conflicts in India. Multitudes 75 (2), 167–179.
- Roy, Brototi, Martinez-Alier, Joan, 2019. Environmental justice movements in India: an analysis of the multiple manifestations of violence. Ecol. Econ. Soc. INSEE J. 2 (1), 77–92.
- Sahu, Satya Prakash, Patra, Aditya Kumar, Kolluru, Soma Sekhara Rao, 2018. Spatial and temporal variation of respirable particles around a surface coal mine in India. Atmos. Pollut. Res. 9 (4), 662–679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2018.01.010.
- Saikia, Arunabh, 2019. Livelihood or fear: what really drives illegal coal Mining in Meghalaya. Scroll(January 2019).
- Sarma, E.A.S., 2010. The Saga of Sompeta: public deception, private gains. Econ. Polit. Wkly. 45 (33), 38–43.
- Sarma, E.A.S., 2011. Kakarapalli: another blot on India's democratic systems. Econ. Polit. Wkly. 46 (11), 12–15.
- Sarma, E.A.S., 2013. Myopia on Coal. Econ. Polit. Wkly. 48 (44), 12-14.
- Schaffartzik, Anke, Fischer-Kowalski, Marina, 2017. A socio-metabolic reading of the long-term development trajectories of China and India. In: *Global Change, Ecosystems, Sustainability*: Theory, Methods, Practice, pp. 66–81.
- Schaffartzik, Anke, Fischer-Kowalski, Marina, 2018. Latecomers to the fossil energy transition, frontrunners for change? The relevance of the energy 'underdogs' for sustainability transformations. Sustainability (Switzerland) 10 (8). https://doi.org/ 10.3390/su10082650.
- Schaffartzik, Anke, Mayer, Andreas, Gingrich, Simone, Eisenmenger, Nina, Loy, Christian, Krausmann, Fridolin, 2014. The global metabolic transition: regional patterns and trends of global material flows, 1950-2010. Glob. Environ. Chang. 26 (1), 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.03.013.
- Scheidel, Arnim, Schaffartzik, Anke, 2019. A socio-metabolic perspective on environmental justice and degrowth movements. Ecol. Econ. 161 (February), 330–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.02.023.
- Scheidel, Arnim, Temper, Leah, Demaria, Federico, Martínez-Alier, Joan, 2018. Ecological distribution conflicts as forces for sustainability: an overview and conceptual framework. Sustain. Sci. 13 (3), 585–598. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-017-0519-0.

Seetharaman, G., 2019. Coal Here to stay despite India's ambitious goals for renewable

Ecological Economics 180 (2021) 106871

energy. The Economic Times (May 12, 2019). https://energy.economictimes. indiatimes.com/news/coal/coal-here-to-stay-despite-indias-ambitious-goals-forrenewable-energy/69300357.

Shafiee, Shahriar, Topal, Erkan, 2009. When will fossil fuel reserves be diminished? Energy Policy 37 (1), 181–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2008.08.016.

- Shah, Alpa, 2011. In the Shadows of the State: Indigenous Politics, Environmentalism, and Insurgency in Jharkhand, India. Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
- Shidore, Sarang, Busby, Joshua W., 2019. What explains India's embrace of solar? Stateled energy transition in a developmental polity. Energy Policy 129 (June), 1179–1189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.02.032.

Shrivastava, Aseem, Kothari, Ashish, 2012. Churning the Earth: The Making of Global India. New Delhi: Penguin.

- Shutzer, Matthew, 2020. Energy in South Asian history. History Compass e12635. https://doi.org/10.1111/hic3.12635.
- Singh, Shiv Sahay, 2019. India records 377 mine deaths in three years. The Hindu January 2, 2019, sec. National. https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/indiarecords-377-mine-deaths-in-three-years/article25892356.ece.
- Spencer, Thomas, Colombier, Michel, Sartor, Oliver, Garg, Amit, Tiwari, Vineet, Burton, Jesse, Caetano, Tara, Green, Fergus, Teng, Fei, Wiseman, John, 2018. The 1.5°C target and coal sector transition: at the limits of societal feasibility. Clim. Pol. 18 (3), 335–351. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2017.1386540.
- Svampa, Maristella, 2019. Neo-Extractivism in Latin America: Socio-Environmental Conflicts, the Territorial Turn, and New Political Narratives. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108752589.

Taraz, Vis, 2018. Can farmers adapt to higher temperatures? Evidence from India. World Dev. 112 (December), 205–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.08.006.

- Temper, Leah, Del Bene, Daniela, Martinez-Alier, Joan, 2015. Mapping the Frontiers and front lines of global environmental justice: the EJAtlas. J. Polit. Ecol. 22 (1). https:// doi.org/10.2458/v22i1.21108. 255–255.
- The Hans India, 2017. Thermal power stations not viable now in AP: Kimida Kala Venkata Rao. The Hans India (April 12, 2017). https://www.thehansindia.com/posts/index/ Andhra-Pradesh/2017-04-12/Thermal-power-stations-not-viable-now-in-AP-Kimida-

#### Kala-Venkata-Rao/292775.

- Tripathy, Debi Prasad, Ala, Charan Kumar, 2018. Identification of safety hazards in Indian underground coal mines. J. Sustain. Min. 17 (4), 175–183. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jsm.2018.07.005.
- Tyfield, David, 2014. 'King coal is dead! Long live the kingl': The paradoxes of Coal's resurgence in the emergence of global low-carbon societies. Theory Cult. Soc. 31 (5), 59–81. https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276414537910.

UNEP, 2019. Natural Resources: Resource Efficiency Indicators.

Vishwanathan, Saritha S., Garg, Amit, Tiwari, Vineet, 2018. Coal Transition in India: Assessing India's Energy Transition Options. (Ahmedabad).

- Wanner, Brent, 2019. "Is Exponential Growth of Solar PV the Obvious Conclusion?" International Energy Association. June 2, 2019. https://www.iea.org/commentaries/ is-exponential-growth-of-solar-pv-the-obvious-conclusion.
- Watts, Michael J., 2005. Righteous oil? Human rights, the oil complex, and corporate social responsibility. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 30, 373–407. https://doi.org/10. 1146/annurev.energy.30.050504.144456.

World Bank, 2018. "Atlas of Sustainable Development Goals 2018 : From World Development Indicators." Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1250-7.

World Bank, 2019. World Development Indicators. Washington, DC.

Yenneti, Komali, Day, Rosie, Golubchikov, Oleg, 2016. Spatial justice and the land politics of renewables: dispossessing vulnerable communities through solar energy mega-projects. Geoforum 76 (November), 90–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. geoforum.2016.09.004.

York, Richard, Bell, Shannon Elizabeth, 2019. Energy transitions or additions? Energy Res. Soc. Sci. 51 (May), 40–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.01.008.

Zaveri, Esha, Grogan, Danielle S., Fisher-Vanden, Karen, Frolking, Steve, Lammers, Richard B., Wrenn, Douglas H., Prusevich, Alexander, Nicholas, Robert E., 2016. Invisible water, visible impact: groundwater use and Indian agriculture under climate change. Environ. Res. Lett. 11 (8), 084005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/ 8/084005.