[pubs.acs.org/ptsci](https://pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) Article

[Anti-Opi](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf)oid Antibodies in Individuals Using Chronic Opioid Therapy for Lower Back Pain

Jillian L. Kyzer, Mason McGuire, Hyeri Park, Tyson F. Belz, Robert Bonakdar, Kim D. Janda, [and](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jillian+L.+Kyzer"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Cody](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jillian+L.+Kyzer"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [J.](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jillian+L.+Kyzer"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [W](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jillian+L.+Kyzer"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[enthur](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Mason+McGuire"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)*

ABSTRACT: In addition to the risk of developing opioid use disorder (OUD), known side-effects of long-term opioid use include chronic infl[ammation and hyperalgesia, which may arise from immune responses induced following chronic opioi](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=tgr1&ref=pdf)d use. To investigate this hypothesis, blood samples were obtained from individuals with chronic back pain who were either chronically taking prescription opioids or had minimal recent opioid exposure. Patient samples were analyzed using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) against hydrocodone- or oxycodone-hapten conjugates to assess the levels of antibodies present in the samples. While no specific response was seen in opioid-naive subjects, we observed varying levels of anti-opioid IgM antibodies in the exposed subjects. In these subjects, antibody formation was found to be weakly correlated with current reported daily opioid dose. Other drugs of abuse found to elicit an immune response have been shown to generate advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) through reaction with glucose and subsequent modification of self-proteins. Investigations into this potential mechanism of anti-opioid antibody production identified reduced the formation of reactive intermediate species upon norhydrocodone reaction with glucose in comparison with nornicotine, thus identifying potentially important differences in hapten processing to yield the observed adaptive immune response.

KEYWORDS: opioid, amadori, glycation, antibody, haptenization, back pain

According to the Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention (CDC), 68% of the drug overdose deaths in 2017 involved an opioid, resulting in the declaration of a national emergency.^{1,2} Additional surveys show that 48.5 million Americans have admitted to either using illicit opioids like heroin or misusi[ng](#page-9-0) prescription opioids such as oxycodone and hydrocodone.³ In 2012, at the peak of the opioid epidemic, 255 million opioid prescriptions were dispensed in the United States, [w](#page-9-0)hich corresponds to over 80 prescriptions filled per 100 persons, though that rate had decreased to an average of 51 per 100 persons in $2018^{4,5}$ Despite the decrease in overall prescribing rate, some counties still had rates that were over 4-fold higher, and the presc[rib](#page-9-0)ing of opioids in the United States remains substantially elevated in comparison to that in other countries. 5 One study comparing the opioid prescribing habits of physicians in Japan and the United States (Oregon) found that Jap[an](#page-9-0)ese physicians reported much lower rates of prescribing opioids for both acute (49.4 vs 97.0%) and chronic $(63.7 \text{ vs } 90.9\%)$ pain. 6 Among a cohort of patients with lower back pain in Oregon, 61% were prescribed opioids at least once in the year surro[u](#page-9-0)nding their visit to a doctor's office, and 31% of patients who were prescribed opioids were categorized as long-term opioid users (>120 days or >90 days

with 10 or more prescription fills), despite the lack of evidence supporting the efficacy of long-term use of opioids for chronic pain.

In addition to the potential risk of developing opioid use diso[rd](#page-9-0)er (OUD), known side-effects of long-term opioid use include chronic inflammation and hyperalgesia. Opioidinduced hyperalgesia is a complex process, thought to be at least partially mediated by central sensitization through potentiation of presynaptic N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptors (NMDAR).8,9 Opioid-induced chronic inflammation is likely multifactorial as well, with immune responses arising secondary to chronic [exp](#page-9-0)osure and self-protein modification being one relatively unexplored contributor.^{10,11} This interpretation is preliminarily supported by data from factory workers occupationally exposed to airborne m[orph](#page-9-0)ine in the course of manufacturing narcotics; this population had significantly

Received: May 28, 2020 Published: August 25, 2020

elevated levels of anti-morphine immunoglobulin G (IgG) in their blood.12 After regulations were introduced to reduce exposure, the number of workers with significant levels of antimorphine [ant](#page-9-0)ibodies were significantly decreased.¹² In a separate study of opioid-dependent individuals who primarily used crude preparations of the poppy plant, anti-[mo](#page-9-0)rphine IgM antibodies were observed. 13 These results are consistent with a rodent model experiment showing that it is possible to induce production of anti-mo[rph](#page-9-0)ine antibodies in mammals using chronic administration; interestingly, the route of administration and presence of additives may be important to this process, as subcutaneous implantation of a pellet containing morphine free base was more effective than subcutaneous injection of morphine sulfate in rabbits. 14 Furthermore, other small molecules, such as cocaine, have also been shown to induce the production of antibodies af[ter](#page-9-0) chronic exposure.^{10,15,16}

Small molecules are usually unlikely to induce an antibody response alone i[n the ab](#page-9-0)sence of structural modification. For example, early research into the development of clinical allergies to penicillin revealed that penicillin itself was not responsible for allergic responses, but rather that the product of a ring-opening reaction between penicillin and a lysine from serum proteins subsequently induced IgE autoantibodies directed against these adducts.^{17,18} The process of conjugating small molecules to a protein to create an immunogenic species is called haptenization. Follow[ing t](#page-9-0)he discovery of the process, haptenization has been used to create vaccines for various purposes, including vaccines against substances of abuse, such as nicotine, cocaine, and heroin.^{16,19−21}

Research into other potential mechanisms by which inadvertent immunization occur[s ha](#page-9-0)s [be](#page-9-0)en an intense area of study. One explanation for this phenomenon focuses on the generation of advanced glycation end-product $(AGEs)^{10}$ AGEs have been implicated in chronic disease states such as at[he](#page-9-0)rosclerosis, cancer, and Alzheimer's disease.¹⁰ The proposed mechanism for AGE formation begins with the formation of a Schiff base formed from the ring-open[ed](#page-9-0) form of a monosaccharide (such as glucose) and an amine, either from a small molecule or a protein (Figure 1). Amadori rearrangement of the Schiff base results in an α -ketoamine that can be further oxidized to a dideoxyosone. The dideoxyosone subsequently undergoes isomerization and oxidation, which can lead to cross-linking (in the context of AGEs, the nucleophile comes from an available lysine or arginine from self-proteins). These modified proteins can lead to the

Figure 1. [Proposed mechanism of AGE formation with a generic](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig1&ref=pdf) carbohydrate.

development of autoantibodies upon antigen processing. This mechani[sm](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) [has](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) [been](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) [val](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf)idated with both methamphetamine and nicotine.^{10,15} Indeed, a synthesized methamphetamine− AGE−mouse-serum-albumin conjugate was prepared and used to immunize [mic](#page-9-0)e, which resulted in the development of antibodies reactive to the conjugate.¹⁵ The elucidated mechanism requires only a suitable nucleophile, such as the secondary amine found in hydrocodo[ne](#page-9-0) and oxycodone's primary metabolites norhydrocodone and noroxycodone, respectively. Thus, development of opioid−AGEs is a potential mechanism by which some of the negative side effects of chronic opioid use may occur. If validated, then the formation of anti-opioid antibodies in patients with lower back pain could lead to a reinforcing cycle, in which long-term opioid use leads to production of antibodies directed against opioid-modified self-proteins, causing chronic inflammation, which causes pain, which leads to increased use of opioids, which generates proinflammatory species, which causes pain, ad infinitum. Finally, there is evidence that patients with significant levels of selfreactive antibodies would be less likely to benefit from experimental vaccine-based therapies, as demonstrated in clinical trials studying an anti-cocaine vaccine in which patients with preexisting levels of anti-cocaine IgM antibodies (greater than 11 μ g/mL) were unable to generate neutralizing levels of anti-cocaine IgG antibodies following vaccination.¹⁶ If an opioid vaccine were to come to market, then pre-existing antiopioid antibodies would be an important bio[mar](#page-9-0)ker to investigate for patients entering clinical trials. Therefore, the goal of this study was to assess the presence of opioid-directed immunoglobulins in long-term opioid users, identify modifying factors for antibody development, and characterize the formation of drug−protein conjugates formed in vitro.

■ MATERIALS AND METHODS

Approvals and Oversight. This study was approved by the TSRI and UW-Madison Institutional Review Boards, and all experiments were conducted in accordance with national and international guidelines on human subject research.

Chemicals and Reagents. Skim milk powder was obtained from US Biological Life Science. Goat anti-human IgG (31410), IgA (PA1−74395), and IgM (31415) secondaryantibody−horseradish-peroxidase (HRP) conjugates were obtained from Thermo Fisher. Goat anti-human IgE secondary antibody conjugated to HRP was obtained from Novex (A18793). bovine serum albumin (BSA) powder for in vitro AGE experiments was obtained from Sigma. D-Glucose was obtained from Sigma. The tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate kit was obtained from BD OptEIA (Fisher Scientific). Pierce 20× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) stock solution was used throughout. For competitive ELISAs, hydrocodone and oxycodone were obtained from Spectrum Chemical Manufacturing Group, while methyl nicotinate was obtained from Oakwood Laboratories.

Synthesis of N17-linked OxyBSA 1 has been previously reported in the literature.²² Syntheses of C6-linked OxyBSA 2 and N17-linked HydroBSA 3 were adapted from known precedents (see the Sup[por](#page-9-0)ting Information).^{22−25}

Subject Recruitment. The Scripps Health electronic medical records syst[em was initially used to i](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)d[en](#page-9-0)t[ify](#page-9-0) potentially eligible patients; inclusion criteria for the opioid-exposed arm included age between 20 and 65, history of lower back pain (>6 months), and use of hydrocodone or oxycodone on most days for >6 months (the opioid-naive arm included the same

patients who had used oxycodone or hydrocodone for 2 days or less over the last 6 months). Potentially eligible patients were contacted and asked to participate in the study. Additionally, a newspaper advertisement and later a radio advertisement were drafted and used to recruit patients. Interested participants were provided with a questionnaire in order to establish demographics, presence of inclusion and exclusion criteria, a brief pain inventory, opioid use history (current dose, length of use, etc.), and any nonpharmacologic methods of pain relief (see the Supporting Information, Appendix A). Patients were excluded if they had any use of illicit opioids or use of opioids consi[dered structurally similar](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf) [to hydroco](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)done or oxycodone (e.g., morphine, hydromorphone, oxymorphone) at least twice per month on average over a 6 month period, were hospitalized in the previous 12 weeks, were pregnant, or had Alzheimer's disease, chronic kidney failure, or any religious or medical restrictions on the receipt of blood or blood-derived products. Patients were counseled on the long-term ramifications of opioid use and instructed on alternative methods of managing pain, such as changing diet, exercise, or massage, and were provided with a copy of the book "Less Pain, Fewer Pills: Avoid the Dangers of Prescription Opioids and Gain Control over Chronic Pain" and a pamphlet entitled "What YOU can do to reduce chronic pain" (see the Supporting Information, Appendix B). Blood was taken at week 0, and opioid-using patients were given the opportunity to [participate in a follow-up visit to determ](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)ine any changes in pain, medication use, lifestyle changes, and patient experience. Patients received monetary compensation of \$50 for providing a blood sample.

Immunochemical Detection of Anti-Opioid Antibodies in Plasma via Indirect ELISA. Indirect ELISA was performed using previously established methods adapted for use with ELX405 automated plate washer/dispenser system (BioTek). Briefly, ELISA plates (Costar 3690 half-area-binding 96-well microtiter plates) were coated overnight at 37 °C with the antigen of choice (BSA or BSA conjugated to an opioid hapten at 1:200 dilutions of 1 mg/mL antigen). After plates were fixed with methanol, plates were blocked with Blotto (5% skim milk powder in PBS) for 30 min at room temperature. Plasma was added to plates in 2-fold serial dilutions beginning at a 1:40 dilution in Blotto. Plates were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. After washing with PBS (20 wash cycles), secondary antibody (goat anti-human immunoglobulin/HRP conjugate, diluted 1:10 000 in Blotto) was added to the plate and incubated for 30 min. Following an additional washing step, plates were developed with TMB for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 2 M H_2SO_4 , and the optical density (O.D.) at 450 nm was determined using a microplate reader (Synergy H1). Antibody responses were assessed as a percent of the positive control (1:3 000 000 dilution of the secondary antibody in PBS).

Two versions of the OxyBSA bioconjugate were synthesized, N17-linked OxyBSA (1) and C6-linked OxyBSA (2) (Figure 2). Differences in antibody recognition of this structurally distinct species were used to aid in determination of the likely reactive intermediate structure. These antigens were compared side-by-side using indirect ELISA on pooled opioid-exposed and pooled opioid-naive samples.

OxyBSA 1 and HydroBSA 3 (Figure 2) were used to conduct indirect ELISA on individual samples. Background antibody binding to BSA was subtracted from each sample to

Figure 2. [Structures of opioid antigens used to evaluate patient](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig2&ref=pdf) samples.

determine the quantity of opioid-specific antibodies. All measurements were made in triplicate.

Determination of Specificity of Antibody Binding via Competitive ELISA. For competitive ELISA, plasma samples were plated at a concentration of 1:60 and coincubated with drug (0−5 mM). Pooled samples (opioid-exposed or -naï ve) were evaluated against both OxyBSA 1 and HydroBSA 3 and coincubated with hydrocodone, oxycodone, or methyl nicotinate (as a negative control) in a 6-point dose−response assay. Additionally, individual plasma samples were evaluated for specificity to opioids via coincubation with hydrocodone (0−5 mM) and either OxyBSA 1 or BSA as the antigen in a 12-point dose−response assay. Absorbance values were normalized to the highest absorbance value per sample against OxyBSA 1. All measurements were made in triplicate.

In Vitro Generation of Amadori Intermediates. Nornicotine or norhydrocodone (10 mM) were incubated with glucose (200 mM) in PBS at 37 °C for 4 weeks. At given time intervals, aliquots were removed (50 μ L), condensed via rotary evaporator, diluted with methanol (1 mL), and analyzed by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) to detect the presence of the Amadori product and calculate the area under the curve (AUC) for the norhydrocodone− Amadori product $(m/z: [M + 1]^+ = 448)$. Nornicotine was utilized as a positive control in order to verify the procedure $(m/z: [M + 1]^+ = 311).$

In Vitro Generation of Advanced Glycation End Products. Nornicotine or norhydrocodone (0.7 mg/mL) were incubated with BSA (1 mg/mL) in glucose-rich PBS (200 mM) for 4 weeks at 37 °C. At given time intervals, aliquots were removed, eluted through a ZipTip (EMD Millipore) to a final volume of 200 μ L and analyzed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI/ToF) mass spectrometry to detect alterations in measured m/z of BSA.

Statistics. Statistical analysis was performed using Graph-Pad PRISM 8. All values are provided as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). This data was then fit using a log(inhibitor) vs normalized response-variable slope equation. To determine positive and negative samples, a Z-score was calculated for each sample; a Z-score above 1.65 as compared to the opioid-naive sample average was considered significant. For questionnaire items measured on a Likert scale, responses were coded using evenly spaced ordinal numbering beginning with 1. Correlations between questionnaire response items were assessed using the coefficient of linear correlation R^2 , and the resulting fit was tested for deviation from zero slope. Comparison of N17-linked OxyBSA 1 and C6-linked OxyBSA 2 determined by one-way ANOVA. Outliers were

ACS Pharmacology & Translational Science **pubs.acs.org/ptsci** Article pubs.acs.org/ptsci Article

identified using the ROUT method. A Student's t-test was utilized to compare the difference between opioid-exposed and opioid-naï ve response to the OxyBSA antigens. A type 1 error $p \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant, and all tests were run using two-tailed analysis.

■ RESULTS

Subject Enrollment and Demographics. The screen of electronic medical records combined with print, radio, and Internet advertisements yielded 22 subjects with chronic lower back pain, comprised of long-term opioid users $(n = 19)$ and opioid-naïve individuals ($n = 3$) (Figure 3). Of the recruited

Figure 3. [Enrollment of subjects with chronic lower back pain.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig3&ref=pdf)

subjects, 21 of 22 were Caucasian, and 19 of 22 were male (Table 1). All three females were in the opioid-naive cohort. Only 1 individual had experienced less than 24 months of severe back pain. There was no significant difference between opioid-exposed and -naï ve cohorts in body mass index (BMI), average pain, current pain, number, and class of current

"Continuous data shown as mean \pm SEM. b Two-tailed Fisher's exact test. c Two-tailed t-test. d Two-tailed Welch's t-test.

medications, exercise, or number of nonpharmacological methods [used](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) [to](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) [minimi](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf)ze pain. Reported medications were divided into 4 classes: analgesic, neuromodulatory, other prescription, and over the counter (OTC)/supplements. The nonpharmacological techniques used by the subjects were divided into 5 categories: diet, alternative medicines (supplements, chiropractic or osteopathic manipulation, massage, acupuncture, prolotherapy, energy medicine, traditional Chinese medicine, homeopathy, and naturopathy), mindfulness and relaxation (meditation, spiritual or religious practices, breathing exercises, biofeedback, guided imagery, and music therapy), movement therapy (physical exercise, yoga, dance therapy, or tai chi/qi gong), and devices (bracelets/bands or electric stimulation). There were no significant differences between the groups in the types of nonpharmacological techniques used. Six opioid-exposed individuals returned to complete the follow-up visit.

Perception of Opioid Efficacy over Time. As long-term opioid use is associated with tolerance, chronic inflammation, and hyperalgesia, it was hypothesized that the analgesic efficacy of opioids would be decreased over time. Thus, subjects were questioned regarding their perception of the effect of their prescription opioid upon initiation of use and at the time of study enrollment, as well as the frequency of break-through pain (Table 2). Responses revealed that initially subjects saw

decreased pain upon use of opioids, but at enrollment, the positive effect of the opioid was lessened (Δ –0.9 \pm 0.3, p = 0.003, paired t-test). This decrease in efficacy was reported at time points ranging from 6 months to >20 years of opioid use. The relationship between chronic opioid use on frequency of breakthrough pain over time was not significant $(\Delta +0.4 \pm 0.3,$ $p = 0.5$).

Subjects also provided information regarding changes to their opioid prescription over time (switching from hydrocodone to oxycodone or oxycodone to hydrocodone, or addition of a second opioid). Unsurprisingly, individuals that had their dose of opioid increased observed increased efficacy on treatment of average daily pain $(\Delta +2 \pm 0.3)$ while subjects who had decreased their dosage observed decreased efficacy $(\Delta -0.6 \pm 0.2)$; the effect of dose change on average daily pain was significant ($p = 0.0005$). The effect of dose increase on frequency of breakthrough pain was nonsignificant (Δ –0.8 \pm 0.5 vs Δ +0.4 \pm 0.4, $p = 0.09$).

At enrollment and following the counseling session, subjects were asked whether they desired to decrease their opioid dose in the next 3 months. The majority of subjects desired to decrease their dosage. At the 3 month follow-up, the 3

ACS Pharmacology & Translational Science **pubs.acs.org/ptsci** Article **Article** Article

individuals who had seen no dose decrease had experienced worse pain compared to baseline, while 2 of 3 individuals who had decreased their dose at the time of the follow-up study reported that they were less severe daily pain than at baseline. Contrasting these results with the above-reported changes in pain when their dosages were previously decreased indicates that self-initiated tapering could reduce the perception of increased pain, as compared to prescriber-initiated tapers. This observed difference in reported pain outcome in these two circumstances is consistent with other observed positive outcomes seen through use of more patient-centered tapering approaches.26 Additionally, 2 of the subjects that completed the follow-up reported adding exercise for their pain manageme[nt.](#page-9-0) One subject observed improvement in frequency of breakthrough pain but not average daily pain. The other subject had also decreased their opioid dose and experienced decreased frequency of breakthrough pain and less severe pain but attributed the improvement to the dose change.

Detection of Anti-Opioid Antibodies via Indirect ELISA. Indirect ELISA was utilized to ascertain the presence anti-opioid antibodies in the serum of opioid-exposed subjects; the presence of these antibodies could indicate that the immune system was being trained to detect opioids, which could have potentially negative consequences for patients chronically taking these drugs. The antigens utilized in the experiment consisted of oxycodone or hydrocodone covalently linked to BSA. Baseline signal for the ELISA with PBS was found to be 0.073 ± 0.0017 ($n = 57$) across all assay plates. The positive control signal used for normalization was $0.392 \pm$ 0.011 ($n = 57$). Experiments were performed on individual samples as well as pooled opioid-exposed or pooled opioidnaive samples.

In order to provide evidence regarding the identity of the opioid-protein antigen being recognized by the antibodies, two different oxycodone antigens were synthesized, which provided alternate faces for antibody recognition. Antibody binding was significantly higher when N17-linked OxyBSA 1 antigen was used compared to C6-linked OxyBSA 2 for both pooled opioid-exposed and pooled opioid-naï ve samples (Figure 4A,B). Furthermore, while there was a significant difference between the opioid-exposed and opioid-naive groups' responses to OxyBSA 1 ($p < 0.0001$), there was no difference between the responses for OxyBSA 2 ($p = 0.68$) (Figure 4C). OxyBSA 1 was also more sensitive to opioid exposure in terms of detecting anti-opioid antibodies, as there was a significant difference in the Δ (exposed-naive) between the two antigens ($p = 0.001$, Figure 4D). This difference in response suggests that the antibodies are more prone to recognize haptens with structural modifications occurring between the N17 amine and a reactive protein intermediate in vivo. Due to its superior response, OxyBSA 1 was used as the primary antigen for evaluating individual subject responses in subsequent experiments (see Figure S3). The signal from HydroBSA 3 was lower overall (see Figure S5) and not used for subsequent evaluation.

Evaluati[on of indiv](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)idual opioid-naï ve samples revealed that the averag[e maximu](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)m response to OxyBSA 1 following subtraction of background binding to BSA was 19.6% \pm 10.5% of the positive control. Individual responses of the opioid-exposed subjects were far more variable, with considerable differences between the highest and lowest responders (Figure 5). IgM antibodies recognizing OxyBSA 1 were detected in 11 of the opioid-exposed subject samples (Z ≥ 1.65) (F[igure 6\).](#page-5-0) IgG anti-opioid responses were not

Figure 4. Determination of facial recognition of anti-opioid IgM [antibody binding through comparison of response to two OxyBSA](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig4&ref=pdf) conjugates (1 and 2) via indirect ELISA. (A) Pooled opioid-exposed or opioid-naï ve response to OxyBSA 1. (B) Pooled opioid-exposed or -naive response to OxyBSA 2. (C) Comparison of the maximum response for opioid-exposed and -naive patients against OxyBSA 1 and OxyBSA 2. One-way ANOVA. ****, $p < 0.0001$ compared to opioid-exposed response to OxyBSA 1. $p < 0.0001$ compared to opioid-naive response to OxyBSA 1. $\# \# \#$, $p < 0.0001$; $\# \# \#$, $p \le$ 0.001; ##, $p < 0.01$. (D) Quantification of the difference in opioidexposed and opioid-naive response at the maximum plasma concentration between OxyBSA 1 and OxyBSA 2 (Student's t-test: $p = 0.0009$). All data shown as mean \pm SEM from $n = 3$ replicates.

detected (see Figure S6). Maximum IgM responses were plotted against the subjects' opioid use to determine if there was a correlatio[n between](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf) response and subject characteristics (Figure 7). We determined that there was no correlation between response and years taking the opioids ($p = 0.55$) or r[esponse a](#page-5-0)nd estimated lifetime dose ($p = 0.53$, one outlier removed); however, there was a correlation between daily dose and response ($R^2 = 0.26$, $p = 0.03$). Considering that unlike with infectious diseases reactivation of immune response to drug-modified self-proteins requires ongoing exposure to the small molecule, this correlation with recent but not remote exposure is consistent with a haptenization mechanism for antibody formation.

Determination of Selectivity of Anti-Opioid Antibodies via Competitive ELISA. Competitive ELISA was utilized to establish the opioid-specific response by comparing the decrease in maximal signal to BSA−opioid conjugates following the addition of an opioid (hydrocodone or oxycodone) to the decrease in signal caused by a structurally unrelated compound (methyl nicotinate). Competitive ELISA performed on pooled samples (opioid-exposed or opioidnaï ve), utilizing either of N17-linked antigens OxyBSA 1 or HydroBSA 3, revealed minimal response to methyl nicotinate, the negative control. The range of the pIC_{50} for the opioidexposed subjects against antigen 1 was very broad and suggestive of weak overall binding. Responses to hydrocodone and oxycodone were very similar, which shows the response

Figure 5. Detection of OxyBSA 1 specifi[c response determined through subtraction of baseline BSA IgM response from OxyBSA](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig5&ref=pdf) 1 IgM response and then normalized to the 2° antibody-positive control response. (A) Antibody quantification using indirect ELISA using OxyBSA 1 antigen in opioid-naive individuals. (B) Antibody quantification using indirect ELISA using OxyBSA 1 antigen in opioid-exposed individuals with the lowest responses. (C) Antibody quantification using indirect ELISA using OxyBSA 1 antigen in opioid-exposed individuals with the highest responses. All data shown as mean \pm SEM from $n = 3$ replicates.

Figure 6. [Comparison of results from indirect ELISA detection of](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig6&ref=pdf) anti-OxyBSA 1 IgM antibodies in each individual subject. Responses were compared to opioid-naive individuals (shown in red) using Z scores. Dotted blue line delineates Z score of 1.65 as compared to opioid-naï ve average. This line indicates a 95% confidence interval for positive anti-opioid IgM response. Z-test $*$, $p < 0.05$.

could be inhibited in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 8; Table S1).

Competitive ELISA was also performed for each [individua](#page-6-0)l [against O](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)xyBSA 1 and evaluated using hydrocodone. Despite the low level of response in the opioid-naive samples (individuals 2, 7, and 17 in Figure 9A) and individual 11 (Figure 9B), there was a slight dose response to hydrocodone remaining after correction f[or carrier](#page-6-0) protein binding. In c[ontrast, t](#page-6-0)hree of the opioid-exposed subjects with the highest responses (individuals 10, 12, and 18) showed a robust dosedependent decrease to increasing concentrations of hydrocodone (Figure 9C). Individual competitive ELISA results against OxyBSA 1 and BSA in response to hydrocodone for all subjects confi[rmed](#page-6-0) this high interindividual variability across all samples (see Figure S7). There was essentially no dose− response due to hydrocodone when BSA was utilized as the antigen.

In Vitro Ge[neration](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf) of Amadori Intermediates. Previous research indicated that drugs containing an available amine could react with glucose to form a reactive species and subsequently modify proteins.¹⁰ Norhydrocodone's ability to react with glucose to form the initial Amadori intermediate was compared with nornicotine, w[hic](#page-9-0)h had been previously shown to undergo this reaction.¹⁰ Thus, LC/MS was utilized to monitor the reaction of norhydrocodone or nornicotine with glucose in PBS at 37 °C. [Th](#page-9-0)e ratio of area under the curves (AUCs) for nornicotine−Amadori product/nornicotine or norhydrocodone−Amadori product/norhydrocodone were determined and plotted over time (Figure 10A). The nornicotine−Amadori product, structurally confirmed by independent synthesis (Figure S8), was o[bserved on](#page-7-0) day 2 of incubation, with the observed AUC being 30% of that for nornicotine, then slowl[y increasin](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)g to 42% on day 12, before gradually decreasing again thereafter. Surprisingly, the norhydrocodone Amadori intermediate was only observed as a small fraction of the norhydrocodone response on day 22 (0.3% of norhydrocodone).

Figure 7. Correlation of maximum anti-OxyBSA 1 [IgM response vs opioid use. \(A\) Maximum speci](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig7&ref=pdf)fic OxyBSA 1 response vs daily dose. (B) Maximum specific OxyBSA 1 response vs estimated lifetime dose with assumed error of ± 20 %. One outlier removed following ROUT analysis; see Figure S4 for data with outlier included and sensitivity analysis to different error assumptions. (C) Maximum specific OxyBSA 1 response vs years of opioid use. Linear regression with 95% confidence bands are shown. All data provided as mean \pm SEM from $n = 3$ replicates.

Figure 8. [Determination of anti-opioid IgM antibody selectivity via competitive ELISA in pooled samples \(opioid-exposed or -nai](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig8&ref=pdf)̈ ve) coincubated with hydrocodone, oxycodone, or methyl nicotinate, evaluated against opioid BSA conjugates. (A) Dose−response curves for pooled opioid-naï ve samples vs OxyBSA 1. (B) Dose−response curves for pooled opioid-exposed samples vs OxyBSA 1. (C) Dose−response curves for pooled opioidnaïve samples HydroBSA 3. (D) Dose–response curves for pooled opioid-exposed samples vs HydroBSA 3. All data shown as mean \pm SEM from n = 3 replicates.

Figure 9. [Determination of anti-opioid IgM antibody selectivity via competitive ELISA vs OxyBSA](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig9&ref=pdf) 1 against hydrocodone for individual subjects. (A) Dose–response curves for opioid-naive subjects. (B) Dose response curves for lowest 3 opioid-exposed subjects. (C) Dose–response curves for highest 3 opioid-exposed subjects. All data shown as mean \pm SEM from $n = 3$ replicates.

In Vitro Generation of Advanced Glycation End Products. We determined the ability of norhydrocodone and nornicotine to modify proteins through the development of glycated intermediates by incubating norhydrocodone or nornicotine with BSA in glucose-enriched buffer at 37 °C and determining the approximate mass of BSA over time using MALDI-ToF. After 5 days, a small increase in the mass of BSA was detected on day 5 following incubation with nornicotine (correlating with 1.1 copies of the nornicotine hapten per BSA), an increase that continued through day 28 (correlating with 4.7 copies of the nornicotine hapten per BSA), suggesting that formation of the glycated product was occurring (Figure 10B). This time line is consistent with previous experiments

with BSA and nornicotine in which the AGE was observed after 7 days.¹⁰ In contrast, no corresponding increase in mass was observed for norhydrocodone, which is consistent with the lack of Ama[do](#page-9-0)ri intermediate seen in the previous experiment, indicating that alternative glycans and/or proteins may be required for haptenization of norhydrocodone.

■ DISCUSSION

The low sample size, particularly with regard to the follow-up study, hindered our ability to determine the effect of dose change on frequency of breakthrough pain and severity of pain. Of the patients who did decrease their opioid dosage, the majority observed less severe average pain. More research is

Figure 10. [Evaluation of glycation as a pathway for](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?fig=fig10&ref=pdf) antibody formation. (A) Comparison of rate of formation of Amadori intermediate between nornicotine and norhydrocodone through monitoring by LC/MS for the appearance of nornicotine or norhydrocone Amadori intermediates with m/z 311 or 448, respectively. (B) Comparison of rate of formation of BSA AGE over time for nornicotine and norhydrocodone determined by monitoring mass of BSA using MALDI-ToF. Unmodified BSA shown as black triangle.

necessary to determine the correlation between patientinitiated dose decreases and pain. Additional research is also needed to determine the effect of lifestyle changes such as exercise on pain severity and breakthrough pain.

We discovered anti-opioid antibodies in the plasma of approximately half the individuals chronically taking prescription opioids for lower back pain. The levels of anti-opioid IgM response varied from subject to subject, with the only significant correlation found between daily dose and quantity of antibodies, although observation of potential correlations between response and lifetime dose or total time taking opioids were hampered by a small sample size overall. While no definitive general conclusions can be generally drawn in regard to antibody titer response and patient characteristics from this study, several interesting connections are observed in this specific sample. Two of the three subjects with the highest responses (individuals 10 and 12) had also been taking opioids that were structurally different from hydrocodone (buprenorphine or fentanyl). This elevation is particularly intriguing, given the lack of cross-reactivity previously observed between for compounds by hydrocodone/oxycodone-directed antibodies. 22 Three of the subjects with the lowest responses (individuals 11, 15, and 16) had recently taken steroids or

immunosuppressants. A final opioid-exposed subject with a low response [\(individual](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) [22\)](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) was the only subject who reported having lower back pain for less than 2 years; however, this individual had reported taking opioids for 20 years overall. One additional note is that several subjects were taking drugs indicated for treatment of diabetes, a condition that not only increases the rate of AGE formation overall but also acts to suppress immune response.^{10,27} The outcomes in individuals taking these antidiabetic medications were highly variable. Our analysis was limited by the [lack](#page-9-0) of opioid-naive subjects that were recruited. Despite extensive recruitment efforts, we were unable to locate significant numbers of patients with chronic back pain with no opioid exposure. We cannot rule out the possibility that the lack of opioid response in this group is a result of the small sample size, given that the anti-opioid antibodies were only observed in approximately 50% of opioidexposed individuals. We were, however, encouraged to observe a dose−response relationship between current daily dose and anti-opioid antibodies across all samples. We did not observe a similar relationship between response and lifetime dose. An important consideration for future work is that lifetime dose is a measure that may not be available for the general population, considering the intermittent and often temporally remote nature of opioid exposure. This likely complicates the ability of subjects to accurately recall total lifetime dosage, as exposure may have occurred even in utero.

Previous findings by Gamaleya et al. in other opioid-using populations indicated that a longer duration of opioid use is not associated with an increase in anti-opioid antibody titer, but it is associated with increased frequency of antibody presence in general.²⁸ The data herein also reflect an overall lack of correlation between antibody amounts and duration of use, although this m[ea](#page-9-0)sure was sensitive to exclusion of a single outlier (see Figure S4). These findings support undertaking a broader study in order to determine the prevalence of these antibodies i[n wider po](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)pulations as this study was not powered to determine the role of age, race, and gender on the development of anti-opioid antibodies, or their time to onset, which appears to be sooner than 6 months.

Furthermore, one of the exclusionary criteria in this study was previous use of illicit opioids. Separate study of this population is warranted, as illicit drugs are often adulterated with cutting agents such as levamisole, which has been associated with serious side effects, such as neutropenia, agranulocytosis, and arthralgia.²⁹ These side effects are possibly due to the actions of a reactive metabolite of levamisole, such as aminorex, which also co[nt](#page-9-0)ains an available amine for bioconjugation. 30 In addition to the presence of adulterants, illicit drugs are often utilized by other routes of administration, which may be [ass](#page-9-0)ociated with altered immune response; early research into penicillin haptenization showed that oral administration was less likely to cause allergic reactions compared to parenteral administration.¹⁸ Further expandsion of the pool of opioid-exposed subjects to include users of illicit opioids and gathering information [r](#page-9-0)egarding route of administration would therefore be likely to add additionally relevant information on the factors modifying the development of the observed anti-opioid antibodies. Inclusion of additional subjects with absent or relatively low opioid exposure will also be important to validate the modest dose−response correlation observed here.

Increasing our understanding of the conditions in which the form of these antibodies is important in the context of next-

ACS Pharmacology & Translational Science **pubs.acs.org/ptsci** Article pubs.acs.org/ptsci Article

generation treatments for SUD; development of vaccines for various drugs of abuse is ongoing. Indeed, understanding the individual immunologic factors that can modify the efficacy of these vaccines could be key to effective patient selection, in order to proactively identify likely nonresponders.¹⁶

Regarding the antibodies that were detected, they exhibited enhanced recognition of N17-linked OxyBSA 1 versus C6 linked OxyBSA 2, suggesting that the N17 amine i[s](#page-9-0) [m](#page-9-0)ore likely to be involved in the formation of the immunogenic species. 31 Previous work has determined that nornicotine and methamphetamine react to form AGEs in serum, whi[ch](#page-9-0) subsequently lead to the development of an immune response. Our investigation suggests that the likelihood of norhydrocodone or noroxycodone reacting with glucose and then subsequently modifying serum albumin is unlikely, as the formation of the glucose-dependent Amadori product is much slower than the formation of the analogous nornicotine Amadori intermediate in vitro, and there was no subsequent conversion to the AGE observed for norhydrocodone. While seemingly at odds with the discovery of an immune response in some subjects, several explanations for this discrepancy are possible. In a study by Bunn and co-workers on reactivity of monosaccharides with hemoglobin, glucose reacted more slowly than did the majority of biologically relevant carbohydrates; thus, it is possible that norhydrocodone and noroxycodone react with an alternative sugar.³² Additionally, it is possible that as N-demethylation of oxycodone and hydrocodone occurs in the liver the AGE [sp](#page-10-0)ecies could be occurring on a hepatic tissue protein not represented in the plasma sample. Indeed, previous human subject research on the link between inadvertent development of antinicotine antibodies and the presumed AGE responsible revealed that the protein involved in the process was a low molecular weight protein, not human serum albumin.¹⁰ Other studies have also implicated 1,5-anhydro-D-fructose (a metabolite of glycogen catabolism) in the formation of [so](#page-9-0)me AGEs, which also suggests the liver as a possible preferential site of AGE generation.³³

We did find low levels of weakly opioid reactive antibodies even in t[he](#page-10-0) negative controls. There are several potential explanations for this phenomenon, which is consistent with previous research.²⁸ First, due to the difficulty in locating subjects with chronic lower back pain who had never taken any opioids, the opioi[d-n](#page-9-0)aive cohort consisted of subjects who had not regularly taken opioids or taken opioids more than twice in the last 6 months and so may have had some previous exposure. Second, a study by Gamaleya found that there was cross-reactivity between a morphine antigen and betaendorphin; thus, it is possible that the antibodies were generated in response to some other opioid-like structure instead of hydrocodone or $oxycodone.²⁸$ Finally, the concentrations at which opioid-responsivity were seen were relatively high overall, and may repre[sen](#page-9-0)t nonspecific responding.

In summary, the studies described here demonstrate evidence that chronic use of opioids to treat lower back pain is associated with the presence of low concentrations of lowaffinity anti-opioid IgM antibodies, particularly at higher opioid dosages. Future studies in this area are planned to isolate the key antigenic intermediate generating these antibodies and explore the use of anti-opioid IgM as a predictive biomarker for immunologic responses to experimental opioid vaccine approaches.

<u>Pubs.acs.org/ptsci</u>
■ AS[SOCIATED CON](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf)TENT

6 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057.

Additional methods, data, and materials supporting this [publication\(PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?goto=supporting-info)

■ AUTHOR IN[FORM](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057/suppl_file/pt0c00057_si_001.pdf)ATION

Corresponding Author

Cody J. Wenthur − School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53705, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-6043-3842; Email: wenthur@ wisc.edu

Aut[hors](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6043-3842)

- Ji[llian](mailto:wenthur@wisc.edu) [L.](mailto:wenthur@wisc.edu) [K](mailto:wenthur@wisc.edu)yzer − School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53705, United States
- Mason McGuire School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53705, United States
- Hyeri Park − Department of Chemistry and Immunology and Microbial Science, Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, Worm Institute for Research and Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California 92037, United States
- Tyson F. Belz − Department of Chemistry and Immunology and Microbial Science, Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, Worm Institute for Research and Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California 92037, United States
- Robert Bonakdar − Scripps Center for Integrative Medicine, Scripps Clinic, La Jolla, California 92037, United States
- Kim D. Janda − Department of Chemistry and Immunology and Microbial Science, Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, Worm Institute for Research and Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California 92037, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-6759-4227

Complete contact information is available at: http[s://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsptsci](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6759-4227).0c00057

[Author Contributions](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsptsci.0c00057?ref=pdf)

C.J.W. was responsible for study concept and experimental design, sample and data collection, and data analysis. J.L.K. was responsible for chemical synthesis, data collection, data analysis. M.M. was responsible for data collection. T.F.B. and H.P. were responsible for chemical synthesis. R.B. and K.D.J. were responsible for study concept. J.L.K., M.M., and C.J.W. were responsible for drafting the manuscript, and all authors edited and gave approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was generously funded by the ALSAM Foundation (to K.D.J.: 5−77693) as well as funds from the UW Madison School of Pharmacy and the UW Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Education.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): K.D.J. has patents relating to the development and use of vaccine approaches to generate anti-opioid antibodies as a potential treatment for opioid use disorder, and he is a board member for Cessation Therapeutics but receives no compensation for his services.

The authors thank Dr. Cameron Scarlett and Xiaolei Li at the Analytical Instrumentation Center at UW SOP for MALDI-ToF analysis. The authors thank Dr. Patrick Gentry for assistance with radio advertisement production.

ENDINABBREVIATIONS

OUD, opioid use disorder; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; AGE, advanced glycation end-product; BSA, bovine serum albumin; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; IgM, immunoglobulin M; IgG, immunoglobulin; NMDAR, N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptor; IgE, immunoglobulin E; IgA, immunoglobulin A; HRP, horseradish peroxidase; TMB, tetramethylbenzidine; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; O.D., optical density; LC/MS, liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry; m/z , mass-to-charge ratio; MALDI-ToF, matrixassisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight; SEM, standard error of the mean; SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; OTC, over the counter; pIC_{50} , $-\log(\text{half-maximal})$ inhibitory concentration); AUC, area under the curve

■ REFERENCES

(1) Scholl, L., Seth, P., Kariisa, M., Wilson, N., and Baldwin, G. (2018) Drug and Opioid-Involved Overdose Deaths - United States, 2013−2017. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 67, 1419−1427.

(2) President's Commission on Combating Drug Addiction and the Opioid Crisis. Interim Report[; Washington, DC, 2017.](https://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6751521e1)

(3) [2018 A](https://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6751521e1)nnual Surveillance Drug-Related Risks and Outcomes -- United States; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018.

(4) Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings; NSDUH Series H-46, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13−4795; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration: Rockville, MD, 2013.

(5) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. U.S. Opioid Prescribing Rate Maps. https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/maps/ rxrate-maps.html (accessed May 4, 2020).

(6) Onishi, E., Kobayashi, T., Dexter, E., Marino, M., Maeno, T., and Deyo, R. A. (2017) Com[parison of Opioid Prescribing Patterns in the](https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/maps/rxrate-maps.html) [United States an](https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/maps/rxrate-maps.html)d Japan: Primary Care Physicians' Attitudes and Perceptions. J. Am. Board Fam. Med. 30, 248−254.

(7) Deyo, R. A., [Smith, D. H. M., Johnson, E. S., Donovan, M.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2017.02.160299) [Tillotson, C. J., Yang, X., Petrik, A. F., and Dobscha, S. K. \(2011\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2017.02.160299) [Opioids for](https://dx.doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2017.02.160299) Back Pain Patients: Primary Care Prescribing Patterns and Use of Services. J. Am. Board Fam. Med. 24, 717−727.

(8) Mao, J., Price, D. D., and Mayer, D. J. (1994) Thermal [Hyperalgesia in Association with the Development of Morphine](https://dx.doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2011.06.100232) [Tolerance in Rats: R](https://dx.doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2011.06.100232)oles of Excitatory Amino Acid Rec[eptors and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-04-02301.1994) Protein Kinase C. J. Neurosci. 14, 2301−2312.

[\(9\) Zhao, Y. L., Chen, S.-R., Chen, H., and Pan, H.-L. \(2012\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-04-02301.1994) [Chronic Opioid Potentiates Presynaptic but Impairs Postsynaptic N-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-04-02301.1994)[Methyl-D-Asparti](https://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-04-02301.1994)c Acid Receptor Activity in Spinal Cords: [Implications for Opioid Hyperalgesia and Tolerance.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.378737) J. Biol. Chem. 287, 25073−25085.

[\(10\) Dickerson, T. J., and Janda, K. D. \(2002\) A Previously](https://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.378737) [Undescribed Chemical Link between Smoking a](https://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.378737)nd Metabolic Disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99, 15084−15088.

(11) Chen, S. L., Lee, S. Y., Tao, P. L., Chang, Y. [H., Chen, S. H.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.222561699) [Chu, C. H., Chen, P. S., Lee, I. H., Yeh, T. L., Yang, Y. K., Hong, J. S.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.222561699) [and Lu,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.222561699) R. B. (2012) Dextromethorphan Attenuated Inflammation and Combined Opioid Use in Humans Undergoing Methadone Maintenance Treatment. J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 7, 1025−1033.

(12) Biagini, R. E., Kl[incewicz, S. L., Henningsen, G. M., MacKenzie,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11481-012-9400-1) [B. A., Gallagher, J. S., Bernstein, D. I., and Bernstein, I. L. \(1990\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11481-012-9400-1) [Antibodies To Morphin](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11481-012-9400-1)e in Workers Exposed to Opiates at a Narcotics Manufacturing Facility and Evidence for Similar Antibodies [in Heroin Abusers.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(90)90604-P) Life Sci. 47, 897−908.

(13) Gamaleya, N. B., Parshin, A. N., Tronnikov, S. I., and Yusupov, D. V. (1[993\) Induction of](pubs.acs.org/ptsci?ref=pdf) Antibodies to Morphine during Chronic Morphine Treatment in Rodents and Opiate Addicts. Drug Alcohol Depend. 32, 59−64.

(14) Ringle, [D.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [A.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [Herndon,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [B.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [L.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [\(1975\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [Immunologic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [Effects](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [Morphine](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [Administration](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [in](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) [Rabbits.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(93)90022-I) J. Immunol. 115, 876−883.

(15) Dickerson, T. J., Yamamoto, N., Ruiz, D. I., and Janda, K. D. (2004) Immunological Consequences of Methamphetamine Protein Glycation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 11446−11447.

(16) Orson, F. M., Rossen, R. D., Shen, X., Lopez, A. Y., Wu, Y., and Kosten[,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [T.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [R.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [\(2013\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [Spontaneous](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [Development](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [IgM](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [Anti-Cocaine](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) [Antibodies](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja047690h) in Habitual Cocaine Users: Effect on IgG Antibody Responses to a Coc[aine Cholera Toxin B Conjugate Vaccine.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) Am. J. Addict. 22, 169−174.

[\(17\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [Levine,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [B.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [B.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [Ovary,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [Z.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [\(1961\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [Studies](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [on](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [the](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [Mechanism](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [the](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [Formation](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [the](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [Penicillin](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [Antigen.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [III.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [The](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x) [N-\(D-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.00314.x)Alpha-Benzylpenicilloyl) Group as an Antigeni[c Determinant Responsible](https://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.114.6.875) for Hypersensitivity to Penicillin G. J. Exp. Med. 114, 875−904.

[\(18\) Solensky, R. \(2004\) Drug Desensitization.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.114.6.875) Immunol. Allergy Clin. North Am. 24, 425−443.

[\(19\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.114.6.875) [Bremer,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.114.6.875) [P.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.114.6.875) [T.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.114.6.875) [Schlosburg,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.114.6.875) [J](https://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.114.6.875). E., Banks, M. L., Steele, F. F., Zhou, B., Poklis, J. L., and [Janda,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2004.03.008) [K.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2004.03.008) [D.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2004.03.008) [\(2017\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2004.03.008) [D](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iac.2004.03.008)evelopment of a Clinically Viable Heroin Vaccine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 8601−8611.

(20) Lockner, J. W., Lively, J. M., Collins, K. C., Vendruscolo, J. C. M., Azar, M. R., and Janda, K. D. (2015) A Conj[ugate](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b03334) [Vaccine](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b03334) [Using](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b03334) [Enantiopure](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b03334) [Hapten](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b03334) [Imparts](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b03334) [Sup](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b03334)erior Nicotine-Binding Capacity. J. Med. Chem. 58, 1005−1011.

(21) Bremer, P. T., and Janda, K. D. [\(2017\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501625j) [Conjugate](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501625j) [Vaccine](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501625j) [Immunotherapy](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501625j) [for](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501625j) [Substance](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501625j) [Use](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501625j) [Disorder.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm501625j) Pharmacol. Rev. 69, 298−315.

(22) Kimishima, A., Wenthur, C. J., Zhou, [B.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [Janda,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [K.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [D.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [\(2017\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [An](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [Advance](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [in](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [Prescription](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) [Opioid](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/pr.117.013904) Vaccines: Overdose Mortality Reduction and Extraordinary Alteration of Drug Half-Life. ACS Chem. Biol. 12, 36−40.

(23) [Pravetoni,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [M.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [Le](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [Naour,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [M.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [Harmon,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [T.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [M.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [Tucker,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [A.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [M.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [Portoghese,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [P.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [S.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [Pentel,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [P.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [R.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [\(2012\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [An](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [Oxycodone](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) [Conjugate](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00977) Vaccine Elicits Drug-Specific Antibodies That Reduce Oxycodone Distribution to Brain and Hot-Plate Analgesia. [J. Pharmacol. Exp.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) Ther. 341, 225−232.

[\(24\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [Chopdekar,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [V.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [M.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [Redkar,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [S.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [N.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [Schlek,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [J.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [R.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [Opioid](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [Tannate](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [Compositions.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [US](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [20040157784A1,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506) [200](https://dx.doi.org/10.1124/jpet.111.189506)4.

(25) Iijima, I., Minamikawa, J., Jacobson, A. E., Brossi, A., Rice, K. C., and Klee, W. A. (1978) Studies in the (+)-Morphinan Series. 5. Synthesis and Biological Properties of (+)-Naloxone. J. Med. Chem. 21, 398−400.

(26) Frank, J. W., Levy, C., [Matlock,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [D.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [D.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [Calcaterra,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [S.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [L.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [Mueller,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [S.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [R.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [Koester,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [S.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [Binswanger,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [I.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [A.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [\(2016\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018) [Patien](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00202a018)ts' Perspectives on Tapering of Chronic Opioid Therapy: A Qualitative Study. Pain Med. (United States) 17, 1838−1847.

(27) Guo, X., Meng, G., Liu, F., Zhang, Q., L[iu,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [L.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Wu,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [H.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Du,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [H.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Shi,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [H.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Xia,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Y.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Liu,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [X.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Li,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [C.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Bao,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [X.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Su,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Q.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Gu,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Y.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Fang,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [L.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078) [Y](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw078)u, F., Yang, H., Yu, B., Sun, S., Wang, X., Zhou, M., Jia, Q., Chen, X., Huang, G., Song, K., and Niu, K. (2016) Serum Levels of Immunoglobulins in an Adult Population and Their Relationship with Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 115, 76−82.

(28) Gamaleya, N. B. (1993) Antibodies to D[rugs](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [as](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Indicators](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Chronic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Drug](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Use.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [An](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Alternative](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [to](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Toxicological](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Hair](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Analysis.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Forensic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Sci.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [Int.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007) [63](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.03.007), 285−293.

(29) Lee, K. C., Ladizinski[,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [B.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [Federman,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [D.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [G.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [\(2012\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [Complications](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [Associated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [with](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [Use](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) [Levamisole-Contaminated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0379-0738(93)90282-F) Cocaine: An Emerging Public Health Challenge. Mayo Clin. Proc. 87, 581−586.

[\(30\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [Nolan,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [A.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [L.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [Jen,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [K.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [Y.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [\(2015\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [Pathologic](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [Manifestations](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [Levamisole-Adulterated](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [Cocaine](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) [Exposure.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.03.010) Diagn. Pathol. 10, 4−9. (31) Matyas, G. R., Rice, K. C., Cheng, K., Li, F., Antoline, J. F. G., Iyer, M. R., Jacobson, A. E., Mayorov, A. [V.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [Beck,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [Z.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [Torres,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [O.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [and](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [Alving,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [C.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [R.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [\(2014\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [Facial](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [Recognition](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [of](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z) [H](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13000-015-0279-z)eroin Vaccine Opiates: Type 1 Cross-Reactivities of Antibodies Induced by Hydrolytically

Stable Haptenic Surrogates of Heroin, 6-Acetylmorphine, and Morphine. Vaccine 32, 1473−1479.

(32) Bunn, H. F., and Higgins, P. J. (1981) Reaction of [Monosaccharides with Proteins: Possible Evolutionary Significance.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.01.028) [Science 213](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.01.028), 222−224.

(33) Sakasai-Sakai, A., Takata, T., Suzuki, H., [Maruyama, I.,](https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.12192669) [Motomiya, Y., and Takeuchi, M. \(2019\) Immunological Evidence](https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.12192669) for in Vivo Production of Novel Advanced Glycation End-Products from 1,5-Anhydro-D-Fructose, a Glycoge[n Metabolite.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46333-2) Sci. Rep. 9, [10194.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46333-2)