

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/02786125)

# Journal of Manufacturing Systems

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jmansys](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/jmansys)



# Reconfiguring and ramping-up ventilator production in the face of COVID-19: Can robots help?

Ali Ahmad Malik $\mathrm{^{a,\ast},\,Tariq\,Masood}^{\,b,c,d,\ast\ast},$  Rehana Kousar $\mathrm{^{d,e}}$ 

<sup>a</sup> *Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy, Brande, Denmark* 

<sup>b</sup> *Institute for Manufacturing, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, 17 Charles Babbage Road, Cambridge, CB3 0FS, UK* 

<sup>c</sup> *Engineering Design Centre, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, CB2 1PZ, UK* 

<sup>d</sup> *Cambridge Global Challenges Strategic Research Initiative, University of Cambridge, JJ Thompson Road, Cambridge, CB3 0FS, UK* 

<sup>e</sup> *Biomakespace, Cambridge University Biomedical Innovation Hub, Clifford Allbutt Building, Biomedical Campus, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 0AH, UK* 

#### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:*  COVID-19 Pandemic Ventilator Production Ramp-up Reconfigure Cobot Collaborative robot Robot Social distancing Workstation design Guidelines Simulation

## ABSTRACT

As the COVID-19 pandemic expands, the shortening of medical equipment is swelling. A key piece of equipment getting far-out attention has been ventilators. The difference between supply and demand is substantial to be handled with normal production techniques, especially under social distancing measures in place. The study explores the rationale of human-robot teams to ramp up production using advantages of both the ease of integration and maintaining social distancing. The paper presents a model for faster integration of collaborative robots and design guidelines for workstations. The scenario is evaluated for an open source ventilator through continuous human-robot simulation and amplification of results in a discrete event simulation.

#### **1. Introduction**

The world today is facing COVID-19 pandemic that, since its first case appeared in Wuhan, China in Dec 2019 until 21st September 2020, has already affected 30.6 million people (confirmed cases) with 950.000 confirmed casualties in 213 countries, areas and territories (see Fig. 1) [1]. The pandemic is further expanding and has already led to huge disruption in almost every aspect of daily life. One of the most impactful shortage is of medical supplies. Technically, the most complex product among the shortage-facing equipment is medical ventilator that is used for artificial breathing when a patient, in severe cases of COVID-19, becomes unable to breath naturally [2]. However, one of the biggest global challenges has been the widespread shortage of medical ventilators and protective gears in hospitals [3] for effectively dealing with the pandemic [4].

Due to the unprecedented circumstances and ventilator shortages, the governments have been putting manufacturers into war footing to

produce additional ventilators to meet the demand [5]. The companies have been aiming to boost their productions, e.g. Hamilton and Getinge, comparing to their current production of 15,000 and 10,000 units, are aiming to produce 21,000 and 16,000 ventilators respectively in the 2020 [6]. Non-medical device manufactures, on the other hand, are taking rapid measures to repurpose their production lines to tackle the unprecedented demand as a social responsibility or on calls of the governments, e.g. Ford and General Electric (GE) have aimed to produce 50,000 machines in 100 days [7]. Corporate giants, Siemens AG and Airbus SE, have also responded positively to address the demand of ventilators [4]. Dyson has designed a new ventilator in 10 days, and has plans to produce 15,000 ventilators [8].

But the momentous demand is difficult to achieve as the manufacturing systems of today, aimed for mass production, are designed with automation solutions not flexible enough for large scale reconfigurations [9,10]. There is a lack of general-purpose automation solutions [11]. Furthermore, there is a high human involvement in many

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.09.008>

Available online 14 October 2020 0278-6125/© 2020 The Society of Manufacturing Engineers. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Received 14 June 2020; Received in revised form 24 September 2020; Accepted 25 September 2020

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy, Borupvej 16, 7330 Brande, Denmark

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Institute for Manufacturing, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, 17 Charles Babbage Road, Cambridge, CB3 0FS, UK. *E-mail addresses:* [ali.malik@siemensgamesa.com](mailto:ali.malik@siemensgamesa.com) (A.A. Malik), [tm487@cam.ac.uk](mailto:tm487@cam.ac.uk) (T. Masood).

processes such as assembly  $[12,13]$  while assembly is by far the dominant activity in ventilator production [13]. It upholds another challenge in the time of a pandemic (particularly in the case of COVID-19) that adhering to **quarantine** rules require minimum people to go out to work; and (those who do) have to maintain **social distancing** guidelines [5]. Automation (e.g. robotics) is an effective way to replace human effort, relieving humans from physical tasks and boost production volume [14]. However, conventional automation is inflexible making it very difficult or almost impossible to repurpose the production lines on a very short notice, and often not able to coexist with humans [15].

A new hardware in the class of industrial robotics is **collaborative robot or cobot** [16]. Cobots are safer for humans, easier to integrate and are suitable for automation of physical tasks while coexisting with humans [17,18]. Besides high potential of cobotic automation (and use cases available today of using cobots for single repetitive tasks e.g. machine tending) their application in assembly is limited [19]. The aim of the research presented in this article is to explore the role that collaborative robots can play in reconfigurable automation for assembly of medical ventilators. A model for reconfiguring of factories through integration of cobots and recommendations for its workspace design are suggested. Simulation results for cobot based production of an open source ventilator are presented and discussed before concluding this research.

## **2. Theoretical background**

## *2.1. The COVID-19 pandemic*

The defining global health crisis of our time is the novel coronavirus COVID-19, and undoubtedly the greatest challenge humans have seen since World War II [20]. "Coronaviruses (CoV) are a large family of viruses that cause illness ranging from the common cold to more severe diseases. A novel coronavirus (nCoV) is a new strain that has not been previously identified in humans" [1]. The WHO Director-General declared the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak a public health emergency of international concern on 30 January 2020 [1]. The virus is spreading like a wave in every continent and country except Antarctica. It took over three months for the novel coronavirus to affect the first 10, 000 people while it took only 12 days to reach the next 100,000 [21]. The number of deaths as of now are 33,106 concluding a mortality rate of 4.8 % [1]. Consequently, the disease was given the status of a *pandemic* on March 11, 2020 by the World Health Organization (WHO) [22].

The novel coronavirus, after getting into a human body, attacks the lower respiratory system and the victim may experience fever, dry and persistent cough, myalgia and shortness of breath [22]. While the life-threatening situation may result in the form of pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). The WHO estimates that some 80 % of the affected people recover without requiring any hospitalization [23] however, serious breathing difficulties are observed in one in every six COVID-19 victims [24]. In these severe cases the virus damages the lungs and in response, the immune system of the body reacts by expanding the blood vessels and causing the fluid to enter into the lungs. It makes it difficult to breath, as a result of which, the oxygen level drops [23], and can lead to a fatality. The seriously ill people need to be treated in Intensive Care Units (ICUs), where medical ventilators are required to provide artificial breathing support.

## *2.2. Medical ventilators*

Machine ventilation or artificial breathing is facilitated to a person experiencing difficulty or loss of all the ability to breath naturally [13]. A ventilator enables artificial breathing, through mechanical aids and oxygen under a defined period of pressure and volume (i.e. ventilation pattern) [13]. A ventilator is a device that gets oxygen into the lungs and removes carbon dioxide from the body (Fig. 2) and is considered a common feature of an ICU [25].

The history of ventilatory assistance can be traced back to Biblical times [25] but the modern day ventilating machines dates back to 1940s [26]. Since then, the ventilators have evolved from totally machine-triggered volume-ventilating devices to microprocessor-controlled smart respiratory systems [25]. The journey is continued to make them smarter, portable, less damaging to the lungs and data-connected to other equipment of an ICU.

## *2.3. Shortage of medical ventilators*

Ventilating machines are a common feature of acute care beds in hospitals [27]. The number of acute care beds available in a hospital is considered an important indicator of health service quality [28]. The European Union (EU) average of acute care beds available in hospitals is 11.5 per 100,000 heads of population (Fig. 3), while for USA it is 28/100,000; as of 2010 [27]. In USA, at the start of COVID-19 pandemic, 62,188 ventilating machines were available while the projected need is 960,000 [29]. Similarly, the hospitals in the U.K. are equipped with 8000 ventilators while 30,000 ventilators are estimated to be needed at the peak of pandemic [24].

The available ventilating machines in low- and middle-income countries are significantly lower, where the pandemic is just beginning to outsize except China where it appears to be coming under control now. The three most populated countries in South Asia i.e. India, Pakistan and Bangladesh, comprising nearly one quarter of the global population, have 3.7, 2.5, and 3.6 critical care beds respectively for every 100,000 population [30]. The situation is even appalling in



**Fig. 1.** World map of confirmed COVID-19 cases as of 21st September 2020, 01:00 BST (WHO 2020).



**Fig. 2.** A schematic of machine ventilation to a patient [23].

underdeveloped countries, such as Mali, that has only 56 ventilators for a 19 million population [24]. To conclude, as of now, a big reason for mortality among COVID-19 patients has been described as the unavailability of machine ventilators.

## *2.4. Reconfiguring factories in a global emergency*

Gearing up the response efforts, the governments across the world are forming strategies together with the manufacturers to reconfigure their factories and to ramp up the production in order to meet the anticipated demand of ventilators. Manufacturing reconfiguration refers to quickly adapt to new tasks and requirements to increase volume or to produce a different product [31]. It is the responsiveness of a manufacturing system to, very quickly, add machines to respond rapidly and cost effectively to unexpected demand surge [32,33]. Koren [34] defines responsiveness of manufacturing systems as the ability to rapidly and cost effectively produce new products on existing systems if facing market or product changes, or if (a part of) a system fails.

The manufacturing systems of today are designed for mass production with minimum product variability at competitive cost [31,35]. Significant research has been produced in the past decades to build reconfigurable or responsive manufacturing systems [36–38] but their realization in industry is undoubtedly limited. As a result, conventional manufacturing systems, even when exhibiting a degree of flexibility, are often not easy to up-scale or down-scale to react if the demand fluctuates [34].

been the high investment cost and the increase in systems' complexity. There is a lack of general-purpose automation solutions that can be integrated and reconfigured conveniently and quickly to boost automation and increase production volume. Correspondingly, in the time of current pandemic, only the leading companies equipped with gigantic technical and human resources are (hesitantly) aiming to address the need of fluctuating demands, while they face challenges of supply chain disruptions, large number of staff working from their homes, and social distancing measures globally but particularly in factories.

Automation increases production volume, improves product quality and relieves humans from repetitive tasks [39]. Industrial automation of physical tasks is greatly driven by robots in today's manufacturing [40]. However, robots remain too inflexible [38] and are impractical when they need to coexist with humans [41]. It takes weeks to integrate a robot in a production system; with little to no possibility of any modifications without considerable efforts.

Furthermore, a substantial production ramp-up amid COVID-19 pandemic is needed when humans are asked to maintain social distancing or preferably stay at home. Only, the least possible staffing, is allowed at production floors or assembly lines while maintaining a minimum distance of two meters from each other (as currently advised by some governments) aimed at minimizing the spread of COVID-19.

## **3. Methodology**

The study takes the public health emergency of COVID-19 as basis to make recommendations to utilize cobots for production reconfiguration

The complications to realize responsive manufacturing systems have



**Fig. 3.** Number of acute care beds available in Europe per 100,000 capita of population [27].

and ramp-up. A generic design of a ventilator is used to decompose its assembly process into tasks and the assignment of tasks between humans and robots. Robots, that can operate in close proximity to humans, are identified as a flexible manufacturing resource that can economically produce a variety of products, as well as, have the capability of building a system and expanding it quickly and modularly [42]. A simulation model is generated to understand and present the robotic usefulness. The advantages of computer simulations for decision making in COVID-19 have been emphasized by [43]. The epidemiological simulation modelling has been effectively used since the beginning of the pandemic to predict number of new cases and reduce the transmission by identifying the right measures. However, the authors argued that simulation techniques can be effective in various other decision making e.g. supply chain management and allocation of resources.

A hybrid simulation is developed where equation-based models are generated that represent the resources (humans, robots, material etc.). First, the interaction between models (resources and stocks) is studied through dynamic time-lapsed continuous simulation. The robotic and human tasks were executed in this simulation for detailed process visualization, and cycle time evaluations. The process was also balanced to avoid any waiting time.

The results were then exported into discrete event simulation (DES) to study the variability in time taken to carry out the activities. DES are useful to study the impact of resource availability, production volumes and estimating the lead time to fulfil customer demand [43].

The rationale behind using two types of simulations has also been proposed by [44] as CS accounts for time-dependent dynamic behaviour of the system while DES includes stochastic effects. By using the two simulations the advantages are combined while minimizing the shortcomings of each.

# **4. Reconfiguring ventilator production with human-robot collaborative assembly**

The rationale of reconfiguration of factories may arise from the tremendous disruptions in demand and supply for certain products during a pandemic. Contrasting to previous pandemics, new technological enablers can support the needed adaptability and ramping up of manufacturing systems for highly needed products such as ventilators. A responsive and evolvable production system for medical ventilators can be designed exhibiting a modest initial production capacity but with the ability to add additional capacity and functionality as the demand increases (Fig. 4). The two capabilities needed for a production system to be reconfigurable are the ability to change its (i) functionality, and the (ii) capacity [34], while the critical design issues are its layout and the workload distribution between its resources (e.g. robots and humans).

An approach towards a changeable design of a human-robot assembly cell is shown in Fig. 4. The assembly system is composed of modules of robots, assembly stations, and storage racks. Depending upon the assembly tasks and production demand (capacity and functionality), the modules can be combined to form an assembly system. The approach can replace the conventional manual assembly cells in pursuit of higher flexibility and to meet unprecedented demands while maintaining social distancing.

The production of ventilators (like many other products) is mainly an assembly activity. Assembly is conventionally a manual activity due to its complexity and variety of tasks that are deemed suitable for humans [45]. A large proportion of these tasks are repetitive and easy to automate by a robot; but due to the complexity of the remnant tasks, and the safety challenges arisen by coexistence of humans and robots, the whole process often remains highly manual [40].

Since, depending upon the design of ventilators, its assembly may have several easy tasks (pick-and-place, screw driving tasks etc.) that can be performed by a collaborative robot, while coexisting humans can take care of more complex tasks requiring human skills and dexterity (e. g. cable attachment, fine adjustments etc.).

Nevertheless, cobots can be a great choice for repurposing the existing production systems for making ventilators in terms of:

- Reducing direct man-hours needed to produce the ventilators,
- Reducing total time for the required production volume,
- Safer colleagues of humans to maintain social distancing,
- Saving the investment as the cobot can be easily repurposed for another application once the pandemic is over, and
- Standard robot program templates can be developed for faster integration.

#### *4.1. Factory reconfiguration enablers*

The research presented in this article takes HRC as a basis for flexible and reconfigurable automation for tasks such as assembly. A set of change enablers are discussed that can support the deployment of reconfigurable HRC assembly systems.

## *4.1.1. Modularization*

A modular production system constitutes a universal architecture both in the mechanical design of its elements (modules) as well as in the information flow. The universal architecture can enable a quick and economic inclusion or exclusion of the elements of a production system for capacity or capability adjustment [46]. Modularization maybe achieved both in the product being produced and in its manufacturing system [47,48]. A reconfigurable HRC assembly cell may address demand fluctuations if the modularization is integrated in its hardware (robots, robot-tables, conveyors, fixtures) and software (robot programs) [46]. If the demand increases, additional modules may be added to the existing system with little effort. The approach is analogous to toy



**Fig. 4.** Schematic of human-robot assembly system producing medical ventilators.

construction bricks (Fig. 5) and is recognized as a key enabler to achieve reconfigurability [49].

Modular approach is an ideal solution for reconfigurability [50] especially when combining automation (e.g. robots) and human skills. Off the shelf robots with varying properties of mechanical architecture, degrees of freedom, price, payload and safety limitations may be used to accomplish different types of tasks. Similarly, other hardware if having a universal and modular design can be added or removed when needed. According to the assembly complexity and work tasks distribution between human and robot, modules can be added forming an assembly cell.

## *4.1.2. Standardized and rapid to deploy hardware*

General purpose and ready to deploy automation solutions can make it convenient to upscale automation level and boost production volume. It is an ideation of having production systems or sub-systems that are portable and quick to implement. Factory-in-a-box concept characterizes standardized modular production units that are flexible, quick to deploy and mobile [51]. The concept of standardized and ready to deploy hardware is available in military combats and health service units but is hard to find in manufacturing landscape. Some hardware solutions are getting available with ease of mobility, and reconfigurability (Fig. 6). Such hardware may be utilized for developing reconfigurable production systems.

### *4.2. Technological enablers for factory reconfiguration*

#### *4.2.1. Cobots as co-workers*

In recent years there has been a notable interest in deploying robots as co-workers, referring to them as collaborative robots (aka cobots) [53–55]. Cobots have emerged as a new hardware in the class of industrial robotics (Fig. 7). They don't require closed-off areas for their



**Fig. 6.** Standard and modular hardware [52].

operation, instead they can cooperate or collaborate with humans and share their workload to perform tasks requiring a combination of their best and complementing competencies [56,18,19]. Furthermore, they are easier to program (or repurpose) and are portable [57]. Cobots have been successfully integrated into several industrial applications in the past years from simple to complex tasks [58] but their full potential in labour intensive tasks, such as in assembly, has remained untapped.

The key idea with cobots is the partial automation of some of the tasks (that doesn't necessarily require human skills) in a process, in a way that cobots tend to assist or empower the fellow human(s) [59].



**Fig. 5.** Modules of production system.



**Fig. 7.** Schematic of human-robot collaboration for assembly work.

Cobots are aimed at increasing the degree of automation in manual work, thus opening new applications or domains for automation. Since assembly is among the most labour-intensive elements of a manufacturing value chain, the automation of a fraction of it can potentially has huge benefits [60].

#### *4.2.2. Digital twins*

Digital twin (DT) is a data connected virtual representation of the design and elements of a physical system [61]. In the presented work, a DT can be an extension of the simulation models beyond the design phase of the HRC system (Fig. 8) and consists of a physical space, virtual space, data and information exchange between them [60]. DT can support decision making in design, planning and operation of manufacturing systems  $[61, 6]$ . The usefulness of digital twins to address several challenges of cobots has been discussed in the literature [4,7]. Simulation-based DTs offer a safe space to get insights into the operational behaviour of a complex system, making optimizations and validation of the solutions before bringing solutions into the real world [8].

The most common tasks for robot automation are palletizing, pick and place, machine tending, screwing etc. The robots are programmed in a machine language to perform any of the assigned tasks. However, a large part of the robot program can be used as a template to reprogram the robot if encountered changes e.g. in product design or layout (change in pick and place locations). Ready to use standard program templates can support the end users for faster integration and reconfiguration. An example of this is *EasyTemplates* developed by Danish Technological Institute (DTI) [62] for UR robots. These are easy to use pre-developed templates for most common robotic tasks (Fig. 9).

#### **5. Deploying an HRC assembly system**

The process of designing an HRC assembly system can starts from decomposing the assembly process into tasks and evaluating each task for its automation potential (see  $Fig. 10$ ). Thereby the tasks with a high

automation potential for a cobot are separated from the rest of the tasks. To balance the assembly process between human and robot, it is required to know the cycle time for the manual as well as robotic tasks. This can be achieved through computer or physical simulation. Finally, a task allocation can be carried out considering assembly precedence and cycle time.

According to the assembly process, the resources are selected, which include the selection of both the active resources (e.g. human operator, the robot manipulator and its tooling etc.) and passive resources (e.g. tables and fixtures). The selection of the robot and tooling may have various views ranging from technical, economic and social [57]. The technical aspects are the payload, reachability, repeatability, and price etc. [63]. The right robot manipulator is context specific as different robot designs along with their accessories may have different advantages [64].

The layout planning involves the selected hardware to be effectively placed in the workspace. Layout planning is used to organize the resources in the given space considering the interaction between the active and passive resources. The attributes forming a decision-making arena for the comparison between layout alternatives are travel distance of operator and material, safety, aesthetics, operator acceptance, ergonomics, throughput etc. [65]. Simulation tools with digital human and robotic models can be used to design and evaluate workplace layouts for HRC [63].

### *5.1. (Re) Design of the workspace*

A carefully (re) designed assembly workstation results in lower cost, shorter cycle time, ease of reconfiguration and safety. A set of guidelines for a human-robot collaborative assembly workstation is formulated. These guidelines are based on the design guidelines for lean workstations [66], safety specifications of ISO15066, and authors' experiences. Guideline 1–5 are focused on human-cobot interaction while guidelines 6–8 and 10–11 are identical to the lean design of the assembly workstations. Guideline 9 related to social distancing is unique to the current situation of a pandemic and would be applicable in such unprecedented circumstances.

# *5.2. Social distancing*

The COVID-19, accustomed to history of pandemics [67,68], has brought strict social distancing measures. In addition to their international border closures and suspension of air traffic, the governments are using national guards to restrict the public movement [5]. The governments around the globe have asked the public to limit their social contacts as much as possible. If someone gets symptoms of COVID-19, the whole household is obligated to self-quarantine for 14 days [69]. Furthermore, people in high risk category (i.e. older than 70 years,



**Fig. 8.** Digital twin for an HRC assembly system.



Fig. 9. Templates for cobot program development developed by Danish Technological Institute [62].



**Fig. 10.** The steps in designing an HRC assembly system.

pregnant women and people with history of severe diseases e.g. severe asthma, lung diseases, and hypertension etc.) are required to self-isolate [70]. With a higher compliance to the strategy, the pandemic can likely buy more time by slowing down the spread and finally be averted with other measures in place, particularly vaccines [67].

Social distancing is targeted for the time until a strain-specific

vaccine is developed and distributed which may take several months or even years. The implementation of social distancing strategies for such a long time is very challenging. It brings halt to economic activity, mass unemployment, and shortage of goods. Factories, besides producers of medical supplies, are asked to remain operational with minimum possible staffing.

Equivalently, maintaining social distancing strategies in factory areas is also challenging. Most manufacturing companies are operational while maintaining a distance between operators during and after work. In this scenario, cobots, machine colleagues of humans, are inherently able to support the social distancing strategies. It is suggested that the work tasks are designed in a way that the direct interaction between humans is made limited, rather interaction is made between robot and the human where possible (Fig. 11).

The arrows in the given Fig. 11 show possible engagements between human(s) and robot(s). The engagement between human to human is broken down as a social distancing measure. Even with this model of HRC engagement, transfer of a pathogen is possible from surface of a work-in-process that is routed from an infected human to a robot and then to another human. In order to de-risk this, wearing hand gloves must be ensured for all human operators.

#### *5.3. HRC system design guidelines*

Additional planning may involve cobot programming and safety system design. Once an initial sketch is ready, a preliminary design of the HRC system can be conceived. A set of generic **guidelines** are presented for design of an HRC workspace for ventilator production focussed at ramping up production.

- 1 **Keep it modular:** Since the cobot stations are desired to be flexible, modular fixturing tables can be used to enable easy (dis) mounting of fixtures and other hardware.
- 2 **Keep it small:** Miniaturize the cell as much as possible. A large workspace will require the cobot as well as the operator to make unnecessary movements.
- 3 **Logical flow:** Determine a logical and sequential flow of the product. A human-cobot collaborative cell has a high potential to enable one-piece flow, and minimization of any work-in process.
- 4 **Ergonomics:** Ensure that the workstation is ergonomic friendly, and the operator doesn't need to bend the body to reach its target locations.
- 5 **Visibility of the cobot movements:** Place the cobot in the eyeview of the operator.
- 6 **Emergency stop:** An emergency stop should always be present in the arm reach of the operator.
- 7 **Human interaction with cobot:** For every task execution by the cobot, an enabling device must be available to the operator.
- 8 **Minimize collisions:** Determine the cobot workspace and operator's workspace and minimize their overlapping.
- 9 **Maintain social distance:** Keep recommended social distance between human operators on the HRC assembly stations (e.g. 2 metre as currently recommended by some governments)
- 10 **Maintain a digital twin:** Validate the cell and its performance using simulations, and retain it as a virtual platform for validation of future modifications
- 11 **Simpler design:** Keep it simple, easy to develop and reconfigure

# **6. Simulating HRC human-robot assembly system for ventilator production**

The presented scope of cobots for ramping-up of ventilator production is examined through computer simulations, which helped in:

- 1 Validating ventilator assembly tasks by the cobots,
- 2 Detailed design and layout planning of the workspace, and
- 3 Stochastic analysis of the HRC production system.

Two different simulation environments were used to evaluate cobots for production ramp up for ventilators.

#### *6.1. Mechanical ventilator and assembly process description*

The case used to demonstrate the usability of cobots forming a human-robot assembly system to produce medical ventilators is shown in Fig. 12.

The ventilator, in the use case, consists of 15 unique parts and each part defines a unique assembly task. There can be additional testing and orientation tasks involved. The case is based on an open source design of ventilator [71] however; some design modifications were made to make i-t convenient for an automated assembly.

The assembly station (see Fig. 14) is comprised of two robotmanipulators and two humans. This robot manipulator used in this study is Universal Robot UR-10e. The robot has six degrees of freedom, a payload capacity of 10 kg and a reach of 1000 mm. A vacuum gripper by EPIC is used with the robot. The second robot is a Universal Robot UR-5e. The robot has six degrees of freedom, a payload capacity of 5 kg and a reach of 850 mm. The robot is equipped with a screwdriver at its tool post to perform the screwing tasks.

#### *6.2. Work distribution and process balancing*

The complete assembly process is decomposed into tasks, where each task is evaluated for its ease of cobotic automation. The evaluation of tasks for HRC automation is different from conventional robotic automation as safety implications also need to be considered. Different techniques have been described in the literature to evaluate product complexity for cobot automation [72–75].

The most important factors constituting complexity of a task for automation include the shape of the component, feeding of parts to the assembly station, the alignment needed between two mating components, and the risk of injury for the humans [19]. A simplified method for task distribution is shown in Table 1. If a task has no potential challenge in any of the attributes (i.e. handling, feeding, mounting, joining and safety) for performance by the robot; it denotes that the task can be performed by the cobot. The remaining tasks can be assigned to the human.

The assembly process follows a sequence that is referred to as assembly precedence [76]. To distribute the tasks between human and



**Fig. 11.** Social distancing measures adapted with human to robot interaction only and maintaining a distance of 2 m between the two operators.



**Fig. 12.** Mechanical ventilator parts.





robot, task complexity, as well as the precedence need to be considered. In the ideal situation, the tasks assigned to the cobot must take same amount of time as the predecessor or successor human tasks to have maximum utilization of resources.

The analysis and simulation show that nearly half of the assembly tasks can be performed by the cobot. Six of the total fifteen assembly tasks were selected to be assigned to the cobots (Fig. 13). Primarily, these tasks included picking and placing of components (Task 1–6) and mounting of screws. The remaining nine tasks were kept as manual.

Robot-A initiates the process and completes tasks 1–6 in 106 s. Then the assembly table rotates, and the work-in-process is moved to the human. Human-A performs subsequent tasks 7–14, taking 140 s. After this, the assembly station rotates again, and the Robot-B mounts all the

screws. The assembly table rotates and reaches to Robot-A that picks and places the assembled product on the delivery conveyer and starts working on the next product. Human-B picks the sub-assembly from the conveyer and conducts tests and then attaches the stand to it. It takes 406 s to complete one unit of ventilator (Fig. 15).

There are two operators being used at each station. One of the operators (Operator-A) directly collaborate with the robots to perform the assembly tasks (Fig. 15). While the operator-B performs the final testing, and assembles the stand with the product, and places the final assembly on a trolley.

## *6.3. Production ramp-up for ventilators*

The HRC system is then amplified with multiple workstations (Fig. 16) incorporating stochastic variables to quantify the sensitivity of the results under production variables (Table 2). The cycle time (CT) to produce one unit of ventilator is derived from the kinematic simulation in section 5.2. Process time (PT) includes waiting/ idle time. Setup time (ST) is the time required to prepare the station before it starts its operation. The time to feed the parts into assembly stations is taken as setup time, and the availability (AV) of each resource is taken as 100 %.

Available working time per shift is 7 h and 30 min =  $450$  min = 27,000 s. The results are simulated for a demand of 5000 ventilators to be produced in 15 days, which sets a target of minimum 334 ventilators per day. The takt time is calculated by dividing the available working time by the number of ventilators needed (i.e. 80 s).

From the DES results the average throughput is 85 ventilators per day from one HRC station. It will require 4 HRC assembly stations to



**Fig. 13.** Ventilator assembly tasks assigned to the cobot.



Human B  $160$  ser Robot B Human A Robot A Time

**Fig. 15.** Cycle time to produce one unit of ventilator.



**Fig. 16.** Ramping-up ventilator production - DES simulation with stochastic variables.





produce 340 units of ventilators in a day. It shows that four HRC assembly stations can produce 5100 ventilators in 15 days.

# **7. Discussion**

In this section, the results of the simulations are discussed. Then, implications for academia and practice are outlined as well as limitations and future outlook.

In this article, the case of using cobots for ventilator production is

continuous simulation and discrete event simulation. The results have shown that nearly half of the assembly tasks are repetitive and easier to be performed by robots. It is validated that collaborative robots can help to form reconfigurable manufacturing systems and can be exploited for ramping up of production when the world faces huge challenge of meeting the unprecedented demand. Different technologies such as modularization, ready to deploy hardware, software templates and digital twins can be combined for faster integration, reconfiguration, and safety validation.

presented. The study is simulated and validated with a combination of

# *7.1. Implications for academia*

There has been a tremendous interest in the past years in industry 4.0 technological enablers to evolve the fourth industrial revolution. These technological enablers include human-robot collaborative systems, internet of things, big data, additive manufacturing, augmented and mixed reality etc. [77,78]. However, it is now also important that the future factories in the post COVID-19 world must not only be pandemic proof but also be flexible enough to be repurposed or reconfigured as and when required. A collective view is needed to form solutions and frameworks for an emergency response in the manufacturing sector.

#### *7.2. Implications for practice*

As the pandemic of COVID-19 progresses rapidly, several questions of socio-technological life need to be urgently addressed. Considering that every crisis brings a sense of responsibility, it is hoped that the COVID-19 pandemic will make humankind recognize the need of sustainability and the value of joint actions to fight global challenges [79].

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the automation anxiety has escalated. Human-robot production systems were already known to the research community, but their industrial penetration is still limited. The sale of collaborative robots was merely 3% of the total robotic sales for the year 2019 [80]. The study presents that cobot is an ideal solution for flexible and quick to integrate automation. In terms of meeting demand of medical supplies, shortage of medical ventilators has posed one of the most pressing challenges. In the given case, the use of cobots has demonstrated help in:

- Automating nearly half of the processes required for ventilator assembly,
- Decreasing man-hours required to produce the same or more production volume of ventilators,
- Maintaining social distance between humans as required at times of pandemics, and
- Reconfiguration of the cobots, which may later be used for some other tasks easily.

#### *7.3. Limitations and future outlook*

As in the time of emergency demand hike for certain products, manufacturers are under pressure to respond quickly while delivering uncommon results, the measures adapted are often unconventional. As a result, the adapted techniques set new directions for the manufacturing sector. The unconventional approaches may provide new understandings and quite often become a new normal of producing things. The learnings from using cobots during a pandemic, to replace human efforts, may potentially be a step forward towards human-robot teams in factories of the future.

Unusual collaborations are being forged between governments, manufacturers of similar as well as different products to adapt, ramp-up and reconfigure to supply medical ventilators. The resilience and changeability maybe achieved through emerging digital technologies [41,77,78]. Efforts are being made globally by many research communities to fulfil the demand of ventilators as well as other personal protective equipment (PPEs). Proposals have been made to utilize robotics in a human-robot team fashion to rampu-up production targets for ventilators [3]. It is a leading eye-opener to manage large scale disasters. Considerable research is expected in this domain in the near future.

## **8. Conclusion**

The scale and the severity of the pandemic call the scientific community to guide national and international efforts to combat the disease. Manufacturing sector is under pressure and is struggling to effectively respond to the momentous but hopefully short-term need of products, e. g. a medical ventilator. The flexibility of most manufacturing systems seen today to adapt to product variations is constrained within a very limited boundary. The results of the research presented in this article demonstrate that robots (particularly cobots) with combination of modern manufacturing technologies can be useful for production reconfiguration and ramp up in emergency situations, particularly for ventilator production.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors report no declarations of interest.

## **References**

- [1] World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Weekly Epidemiological Update; 2020. 21 September [accessed 21 September 2020], [htt](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports)  [ps://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-repo](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports)  [rts](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports).
- [2] [Chatburn RL. Understanding mechanical ventilators. Expert Rev Respir Med 2010;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0010)  [4:809](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0010)–19.
- [3] [Malik AA, Masood T, Kousar R. Repurposing factories with robotics in the face of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0015)  [COVID-19. Sci Robot 2020:5.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0015)
- [4] Formula 1 Helps Boris Johnson Edge Closer to 30,000 Ventilator Target; [https](https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-24/formula-1-helps-johnson-edge-closer-to-30-000-ventilator-target) [://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-24/formula-1-helps-johnson-e](https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-24/formula-1-helps-johnson-edge-closer-to-30-000-ventilator-target)  [dge-closer-to-30-000-ventilator-target](https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-24/formula-1-helps-johnson-edge-closer-to-30-000-ventilator-target) [accessed 29 March 2020].
- [5] [Ebrahim SH, Ahmed QA, Gozzer E, Schlagenhauf P, Memish ZA. Covid-19 and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0025) [community mitigation strategies in a pandemic. BMJ Publishing Group 2020;368:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0025)  [m1066.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0025)
- [6] Ventilators Market Industry Updates See How Industry Players are preparing against Covid-19 depression; [https://www.marketwatch.com/press-release/ventil](https://www.marketwatch.com/press-release/ventilators-market-industry-updates---see-how-industry-players-are-preparing-against-covid-19-depression-medtronic-bd-getinge-ab-fisher-paykel-healthcare-limited-dragerwerk-ag-co-kgaa-smiths-group-hamilton-medical-general-electric-company-air-liquide-2020-03-30?mod=mw_quote_news%26tesla=y) [ators-market-industry-updates—see-how-industry-players-are-preparing-against-c](https://www.marketwatch.com/press-release/ventilators-market-industry-updates---see-how-industry-players-are-preparing-against-covid-19-depression-medtronic-bd-getinge-ab-fisher-paykel-healthcare-limited-dragerwerk-ag-co-kgaa-smiths-group-hamilton-medical-general-electric-company-air-liquide-2020-03-30?mod=mw_quote_news%26tesla=y)  [ovid-19-depression-medtronic-bd-getinge-ab-fisher-paykel-healthcare-limited-dr](https://www.marketwatch.com/press-release/ventilators-market-industry-updates---see-how-industry-players-are-preparing-against-covid-19-depression-medtronic-bd-getinge-ab-fisher-paykel-healthcare-limited-dragerwerk-ag-co-kgaa-smiths-group-hamilton-medical-general-electric-company-air-liquide-2020-03-30?mod=mw_quote_news%26tesla=y)  [agerwerk-ag-co-kgaa-smiths-group-hamilton-medical-general-electric-company](https://www.marketwatch.com/press-release/ventilators-market-industry-updates---see-how-industry-players-are-preparing-against-covid-19-depression-medtronic-bd-getinge-ab-fisher-paykel-healthcare-limited-dragerwerk-ag-co-kgaa-smiths-group-hamilton-medical-general-electric-company-air-liquide-2020-03-30?mod=mw_quote_news%26tesla=y)[air-liquide-2020-03-30?mod](https://www.marketwatch.com/press-release/ventilators-market-industry-updates---see-how-industry-players-are-preparing-against-covid-19-depression-medtronic-bd-getinge-ab-fisher-paykel-healthcare-limited-dragerwerk-ag-co-kgaa-smiths-group-hamilton-medical-general-electric-company-air-liquide-2020-03-30?mod=mw_quote_news%26tesla=y)=mw\_quote\_news&tesla=y [accessed 8 April 2020].
- [7] Ford, GE plan to produce 50,000 ventilators in 100 days; [https://www.cnbc.com/](https://www.cnbc.com/2020/03/30/ford-ge-plan-to-produce-50000-ventilators-in-100-days.html)  [2020/03/30/ford-ge-plan-to-produce-50000-ventilators-in-100-days.html](https://www.cnbc.com/2020/03/30/ford-ge-plan-to-produce-50000-ventilators-in-100-days.html) [accessed 31 March 2020].
- [8] James Dyson designed a new ventilator in 10 days. [https://edition.cnn.](https://edition.cnn.com/2020/03/26/tech/dyson-ventilators-coronavirus/index.html) [com/2020/03/26/tech/dyson-ventilators-coronavirus/index.html](https://edition.cnn.com/2020/03/26/tech/dyson-ventilators-coronavirus/index.html) [accessed 15 April 2020].
- [9] [Edmondson N, Redford A. Generic flexible assembly system design. Assem Autom](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0045)  [2002;22\(2\):139](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0045)–52.
- [10] [ElMaraghy H, ElMaraghy W. Smart adaptable assembly systems. Procedia CIRP](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0050)  [2016;44:4](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0050)–13.
- [11] Joergensen SN, Hvilshø[j M, Madsen O. Designing modular manufacturing systems](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0055)  [using mass customisation theories and methods. Int J Mass Cust 2012;4:171](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0055)–94.
- [12] [Parasuraman R, Wickens CD. Humans: still vital after all these years of automation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0060)  [Hum Factors J Hum Factors Ergon Soc 2008;50:511.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0060)
- [13] Bahns E. It began with the pulmotor: the history of mechanical ventilation. Lübeck, Germany: Drägerwerk; 2015. p. 10-55.
- [14] [Krüger J, Lien TK, Verl A. Cooperation of human and machines in assembly lines.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0070)  [CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology 2009;58:628](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0070)–46.
- [15] [Malik AA, Masood T, Kousar R. Reconfiguring and ramping-up ventilator](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0075) [production in the face of COVID-19: Can robots help? arXiv 2020. Preprint arXiv:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0075)  [200407360](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0075).
- [16] [Garcia MAR, Rojas R, Gualtieri L, Rauch E, Matt D. A human-in-the-loop cyber](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0080)[physical system for collaborative assembly in smart manufacturing. Procedia CIRP](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0080)  [2019;81:600](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0080)–5.
- [17] [Faccio M, Bottin M, Rosati G. Collaborative and traditional robotic assembly: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0085) [comparison model. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 2019;102:1355](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0085)–72.
- [18] Wang L, Gao R, Váncza J, Krüger J, Wang X, Makris S, et al. Symbiotic human[robot collaborative assembly. CIRP Ann Manuf Technol 2019;68:701](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0090)–26.
- [19] [Malik AA, Bilberg A. Complexity-based task allocation in human-robot](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0095)  [collaborative assembly. Industrial Robot The International Journal of Robotics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0095)  [Research and Application 2019;46:471](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0095)–80.
- [20] COVID-19 pandemic: Humanity needs leadership and solidarity to defeat the coronavirus; [https://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/coronavirus.html](https://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/coronavirus.htm) [accessed 26 April 2020].
- [21] World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Weekly Epidemiological Update; 2020. 21 September [accessed 21 September 2020], [htt](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports)  [ps://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-repo](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports) [rts](https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports).
- [22] Landry MD, Geddes L, Moseman AP, Lefler JP, Raman SR, van Wijchen J. Early reflection on the global impact of COVID19, and implications for physiotherapy. Physiotherapy 2020:107. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2020.03.003\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2020.03.003)).
- [23] Coronavirus: What are ventilators and why are they important?; [https://www.bbc.](https://www.bbc.com/news/health-52036948)  [com/news/health-52036948](https://www.bbc.com/news/health-52036948) [accessed 31 March 2020].
- [24] Ventilators explained: Key device in fight against coronavirus; [https://www.alja](https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/03/ventilators-explained-key-device-fight-coronavirus-200329065155185.html)  [zeera.com/news/2020/03/ventilators-explained-key-device-fight-coronavirus-2](https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/03/ventilators-explained-key-device-fight-coronavirus-200329065155185.html)  [00329065155185.html](https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/03/ventilators-explained-key-device-fight-coronavirus-200329065155185.html) [accessed 31 March 2020].
- [25] [Kacmarek RM. The mechanical ventilator: past, present, and future. Respir Care](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0125)  [2011;56:1170](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0125)–80.
- [26] [Bristle TJ, Collins S, Hewer I, Hollifield K. Anesthesia and critical care ventilator](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0130) [modes: past, present, and future. AANA J 2014:82.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0130)
- [27] [Rhodes A, Ferdinande P, Flaatten H, Guidet B, Metnitz PG, Moreno RP. The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0135)  [variability of critical care bed numbers in Europe. Intensive Care Med 2012;38:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0135) [1647](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0135)–53.
- [28] Acute care hospital beds per 100 000; [https://gateway.euro.who.int/en/indicator](https://gateway.euro.who.int/en/indicators/hfa_478-5060-acute-care-hospital-beds-per-100-000/)  [s/hfa\\_478-5060-acute-care-hospital-beds-per-100-000/](https://gateway.euro.who.int/en/indicators/hfa_478-5060-acute-care-hospital-beds-per-100-000/) [accessed 31 March 2020].
- [29] [Halpern NA, Tan KS. United States resource availability for COVID-19. Pediatr Crit](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0145)  [Care Med 2020:3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0145).

#### *A.A. Malik et al.*

- [30] [Phua J, Faruq MO, Kulkarni AP, Redjeki IS, Detleuxay K, Mendsaikhan N, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0150)  [Critical care bed capacity in Asian countries and regions. Crit Care Med 2020;48:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0150)  [654](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0150)–62.
- [31] [Masood T, Weston R. An integrated modelling approach in support of next](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0155)  [generation reconfigurable manufacturing systems. Int J Comput Aided Eng Technol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0155)  [2011;3:372](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0155)–98.
- [32] Masood T, Weston R, Rahimifard A, A computer integrated unified modelling [approach to responsive manufacturing. Int J Ind Syst Eng 2010;5:287](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0160)–312.
- [33] [Koren Y, Gu X, Guo W. Reconfigurable manufacturing systems: principles, design,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0165)  [and future trends. Front Mech Eng 2017;13:121](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0165)–36.
- [34] [Koren Y, Shpitalni M. Design of reconfigurable manufacturing systems. J Manuf](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0170) [Syst 2010;29:130](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0170)–41.
- [35] [Masood T, Kern M, John Clarkson P. Characteristics of changeable systems across](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0175)  [value chains. Int J Prod Res 2020:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0175)–23.
- [36] [Rashid A, Masood T, Erkoyuncu JA, Tjahjono B, Khan N, Shami M. Enterprise](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0180) systems' [life cycle in pursuit of resilient smart factory for emerging aircraft](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0180) [industry: a synthesis of Critical Success Factors](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0180)'(CSFs), theory, knowledge gaps, [and implications. Enterp Inf Syst 2018;12:96](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0180)–136.
- [37] [Haq I, Masood T, Ahmad B, Harrison R, Raza B, Monfared R. Product to process](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0185)  [lifecycle management in assembly automation systems. 7th CIRP International](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0185)  [Conference on Digital Enterprise Technology 2011:476](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0185)–86.
- [38] [Perzylo A, Rickert M, Kahl B, Somani N, Lehmann C, Kuss A, et al. SMErobotics:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0190) [smart robots for flexible manufacturing. IEEE Robot Autom Mag 2019;26:78](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0190)–90.
- [39] [Nof SY. Springer handbook of automation. Springer Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0195) & Business Media; [2009.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0195)
- [40] [Malik AA, Andersen MV, Bilberg A. Advances in machine vision for flexible feeding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0200)  [of assembly parts. Procedia Manuf 2019;38:1228](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0200)–35.
- [41] [Malik AA, Masood T, Bilberg A. Virtual reality in manufacturing: immersive and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0205) [collaborative artificial-reality in design of human-robot workspace. Int J Comput](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0205) [Integr Manuf 2019:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0205)–16.
- [42] [Zheng C, Qin X, Eynard B, Bai J, Li J, Zhang Y. SME-oriented flexible design](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0210) [approach for robotic manufacturing systems. J Manuf Syst 2019;53:62](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0210)–74. [43] Currie CS, Fowler JW, Kotiadis K, Monks T, Onggo BS, Robertson DA, et al. How
- [simulation modelling can help reduce the impact of COVID-19. J Simul 2020:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0215)–15.
- [44] [Antonelli D, Litwin P, Stadnicka D. Multiple System Dynamics and discrete event](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0220) [simulation for manufacturing system performance evaluation. Procedia CIRP 2018;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0220)  [78:178](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0220)–83.
- [45] [Bogue R. What future for humans in assembly? Assem Autom 2014;34:305](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0225)-9.
- [46] [Salonitis K. Modular design for increasing assembly automation. CIRP Annals-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0230)[Manuf Technol 2014;63\(1\):189](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0230)–92.
- [47] [Shaik AM, Rao VK, Rao CS. Development of modular manufacturing systems](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0235)—a [review. Int J Adv Manuf Technol 2015;76:789](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0235)–802.
- [48] [Heilala J, Voho P. Modular reconfigurable flexible final assembly systems. Assem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0240) [Autom 2001;21:20](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0240)–30.
- [49] Bortolini M, Galizia FG, Mora C. Reconfigurable manufacturing systems: literature [review and research trend. J Manuf Syst 2018;49:93](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0245)–106.
- [50] [Gyulai D, Monostori L. Capacity management of modular assembly systems.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0250) [J Manuf Syst 2017;43:88](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0250)–99.
- [51] [Jackson M, Abedullah Z. Factory-in-a-box–mobile production capacity on demand.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0255)  [Int J Mod Trends Eng Res 2007;8\(1\):12](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0255)–26.
- [52] ProFeeder assembly cell; [https://www.easyrobotics.biz/products/robot-arms/pro](https://www.easyrobotics.biz/products/robot-arms/profeeder/)  [feeder/](https://www.easyrobotics.biz/products/robot-arms/profeeder/) [accessed 16 May 2020].
- [53] [Ososky S, Schuster D, Phillips E, Jentsch FG. Building appropriate trust in human](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0265)[robot teams. AAAI Spring Symposium: Trust and Autonomous Systems; 2013.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0265)
- [54] [Schwartz T, Feld M, Bürckert C, Dimitrov S, Folz J, Hutter D, et al. Hybrid teams of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0270)  [humans, robots, and virtual agents in a production setting. Intelligent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0270) [Environments \(IE\), 2016 12th International Conference on 2016:234](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0270)–7.
- [55] [Correia F, Petisca S, Alves-Oliveira P, Ribeiro T, Melo FS, Paiva A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0275) "I choose… YOU!" [Membership preferences in human-robot teams. Auton Robots 2019;43:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0275)  [359](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0275)–73.
- *Journal of Manufacturing Systems 60 (2021) 864–875*
- [56] [Yao B, Zhou Z, Wang L, Xu W, Yan J, Liu Q. A function block based cyber-physical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0280)  [production system for physical human-robot interaction. J Manuf Syst 2018;48:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0280)   $12 - 23$
- [57] [Malik AA, Bilberg A. Framework to implement collaborative robots in manual](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0285) [assembly: a lean automation approach. In: Katalinic B, editor. Proceedings of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0285)  [28th DAAAM International Symposium; 2017. p. 1726](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0285)–9679.
- [58] [Villani V, Pini F, Leali F, Secchi C. Survey on human-robot collaboration in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0290)  [industrial settings: safety, intuitive interfaces and applications. Mechatronics 2018;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0290)  [55:248](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0290)–66.
- [59] [Vicentini F. Collaborative robotics: a survey. J Mech Des 2020:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0295)–29.
- [60] [Bilberg A, Malik AA. Digital twin driven human-robot collaborative assembly. CIRP](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0300)  [Ann Manuf Technol 2019;68:499](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0300)–502.
- [61] [Xu W, Cui J, Li L, Yao B, Tian S, Zhou Z. Digital twin-based industrial cloud](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0305)  [robotics: framework, control approach and implementation. J Manuf Syst 2020](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0305).
- [62] Danish Technological Institute; <https://www.dti.dk/> [accessed 30 April 2020]. [63] Tsarouchi P, Michalos G, Makris S, Athanasatos T, Dimoulas K, Chryssolouris G, G [63] [Tsarouchi P, Michalos G, Makris S, Athanasatos T, Dimoulas K, Chryssolouris G. On](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0315)  [a human-robot workplace design and task allocation system. Int J Comput Integr](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0315)  [Manuf 2017;30:1272](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0315)–9.
- [64] [Zhang J, Fang X. Challenges and key technologies in robotic cell layout design and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0320)  [optimization. Proc Inst Mech Eng Part C J Mech Eng Sci 2017;231:2912](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0320)–24.
- [65] [Gong L, Berglund J, Fast-Berglund Å, Johansson B, Wang Z, B](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0325)ӧrjesson T. [Development of virtual reality support to factory layout planning. Int J Interact Des](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0325)  [Manuf 2019;13:935](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0325)–45.
- [66] [Wiendahl H-P, Reichardt J, Nyhuis P. Handbook factory planning and design.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0330) [Springer; 2015](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0330).
- [67] [Glass RJ, Glass LM, Beyeler WE, Min HJ. Targeted social distancing designs for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0335)  [pandemic influenza. Emerging Infect Dis 2006;12:1671.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0335)
- [68] [Fenichel EP. Economic considerations for social distancing and behavioral based](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0340) [policies during an epidemic. J Health Econ 2013;32:440](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0340)–51.
- [69] [Mahase E. Covid-19: UK starts social distancing after new model points to 260 000](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0345)  [potential deaths. 2020](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0345).
- [70] [Thomson G. COVID-19: social distancing, ACE 2 receptors, protease inhibitors and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0350)  [beyond? Int J Clin Pract 2020:e13503.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0350)
- [71] R&D project: Medical Ventilator; [https://grabcad.com/library/medical-ventila](https://grabcad.com/library/medical-ventilator-1/details?folder_id=320729) [tor-1/details?folder\\_id](https://grabcad.com/library/medical-ventilator-1/details?folder_id=320729)=320729 [accessed 8 April 2020].
- [72] [Tan JTC, Duan F, Kato R, Arai T, Hall E. Collaboration planning by task analysis in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0360)  [human-robot collaborative manufacturing system. INTECH Open Access Publisher;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0360)  [2010](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0360).
- [73] [Culleton M, McGinn C, Kelly K. Framework for assessing robotic dexterity within](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0365)  [flexible manufacturing. J Intell Robot Syst 2017:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0365)–23.
- [74] [KoMPI: Verrichtungsbasierte digitale P kollaborativer M und I in variable P. Quick-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0370)[Check zur MRK-Potentialanalyse. 2018](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0370).
- [75] Weß[kamp V, Seckelmann T, Barthelmey A, Kaiser M, Lemmerz K, Glogowski P,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0375)  [et al. Development of a sociotechnical planning system for human-robot](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0375) [interaction in assembly systems focusing on small and medium-sized enterprises.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0375)  [Procedia CIRP 2019;81:1284](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0375)–9.
- [76] [Nof SY, Wilhelm WE, Warnecke H. Industrial assembly. Springer Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0380) & [Business Media; 2012.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0380)
- [77] [Masood T, Egger J. Augmented reality in support of Industry 4.0](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0385)—implementation [challenges and success factors. Robot Comput Integr Manuf 2019;58:181](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0385)–95.
- [78] [Masood T, Sonntag P. Industry 4.0: adoption challenges and benefits for SMEs.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0390)  [Comput Ind 2020;121:103261](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0390).
- [79] The world after coronavirus; [https://www.ft.com/content/19d90308-6858-11e](https://www.ft.com/content/19d90308-6858-11ea-a3c9-1fe6fedcca75) [a-a3c9-1fe6fedcca75](https://www.ft.com/content/19d90308-6858-11ea-a3c9-1fe6fedcca75) [accessed on 28 March 2020].
- [80] [Christensen HI, Batzinger T, Bekris K, Bohringer K, Bordogna J, Bradski G, et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0400) [A roadmap for us robotics: from internet to robotics. Computing Community](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0400) [Consortium 2020:44](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0278-6125(20)30168-0/sbref0400).