



GENERAL ARTICLE

Medical and neurobehavioural phenotypes in male and female carriers of Xp22.31 duplications in the UK Biobank

Samuel J.A. Gubb¹, Lucija Brcic², Jack F.G. Underwood^{1,3}, Kimberley M. Kendall¹, Xavier Caseras¹, George Kirov¹ and William Davies^{1,2,3,*},†

¹Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences and Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 4HQ, United Kingdom, ²School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AT, United Kingdom and ³Neuroscience and Mental Health Research Institute, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 4HQ, United Kingdom

*To whom correspondence should be addressed at: School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Tower Building, 70, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, United Kingdom. Tel: +44-(0)29-2087-0152, Fax: +44-(0)29-2087-4000; E-mail: daviesw4@cardiff.ac.uk

Abstract

Deletions spanning the *STS* (steroid sulfatase) gene at Xp22.31 are associated with X-linked ichthyosis, corneal opacities, testicular maldescent, cardiac arrhythmia, and higher rates of developmental and mood disorders/traits, possibly related to the smaller volume of some basal ganglia structures. The consequences of duplication of the same genomic region have not been systematically assessed in large or adult samples, although evidence from case reports/series has indicated high rates of developmental phenotypes. We compared multiple measures of physical and mental health, cognition and neuroanatomy in male ($n = 414$) and female ($n = 938$) carriers of 0.8–2.5 Mb duplications spanning *STS*, and non-carrier male ($n = 192, 826$) and female ($n = 227, 235$) controls from the UK Biobank (recruited aged 40–69 from the UK general population). Clinical and self-reported diagnoses indicated a higher prevalence of inguinal hernia and mania/bipolar disorder respectively in male duplication carriers, and a higher prevalence of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and blistering/desquamating skin disorder respectively in female duplication carriers; duplication carriers also exhibited reductions in several depression-related measures, and greater happiness. Cognitive function and academic achievement did not differ between comparison groups. Neuroanatomical analysis suggested greater lateral ventricle and putamen volume in duplication carriers. In conclusion, Xp22.31 duplications appear largely benign, but could slightly increase the likelihood of specific phenotypes (although results were only nominally-significant). In contrast to deletions, duplications might protect against depressive symptoms, possibly via higher *STS* expression/activity (resulting in elevated endogenous free steroid levels), and through contributing towards an enlarged putamen volume. These results should enable better genetic counselling of individuals with Xp22.31 microduplications.

†William Davies, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7714-2440>

Received: June 15, 2020. Revised: July 28, 2020. Accepted: July 28, 2020

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

The X-linked STS gene encodes the enzyme steroid sulfatase which catalyses the desulfation of sulfated steroids (e.g. dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, DHEAS) to their free steroid counterparts; these may subsequently act as precursors for a variety of androgens and oestrogens (1). Genetic deletions at Xp22.31 encompassing STS are associated with the rare skin condition X-linked ichthyosis (XLI, OMIM: 308100) (2). XLI predominantly affects males, and is associated with a number of conditions including cryptorchidism and benign corneal opacities; some female deletion carriers also have corneal opacities and can exhibit prolonged/delayed labour during childbirth as a consequence of STS deficiency in the fetal portion of the placenta (2). We have recently shown that males with deletions spanning STS are at significantly increased risk of atrial fibrillation/flutter compared to male non-carriers (3). Occasional comorbidities with XLI can include focal epilepsy (4), pyloric hypertrophy, congenital defect of the abdominal wall, acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, bilateral periventricular nodular heterotopia and end-stage renal failure (2). Deletions around STS are also associated with substantially elevated rates of developmental disorders (notably autism and inattentive Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)) (5–7), and with elevated rates of associated cognitive, motor and mood symptoms (3,8,9); these psychological features may stem from subcortical brain structure differences, notably a reduction in the size of some regions of the basal ganglia (3). Rare, larger genetic deletions around STS are associated with a number of more severe neurological conditions including Kallman, Rud, and Conradi syndromes (2).

Whilst the phenotypes associated with XLI-associated deletions are reasonably well-characterised, the phenotypes associated with the reciprocal duplications have yet to be investigated systematically in large or adult samples. Case series/studies documenting predominantly young, clinically-ascertained, individuals with Xp22.31 duplications report a number of neurological features including intellectual disability/cognitive impairment (40%), autism or autistic behaviours (10–20%), global developmental delay (10%), delayed speech and language (10%) and seizures (10%), as well as other less common clinical manifestations including microcephaly (5–10%), muscular hypotonia (5–10%) and clinodactyly or shortness of the fifth finger (5%) (10–20), and (Supplementary Material, Table S1). Whether the Xp22.31 duplication is pathogenic (particularly with regard to its role in brain function and head/facial dysmorphism), or whether it is benign but tends to occur in combination with other pathogenic mechanisms, has been a matter for debate (21–23).

In this study, we exploited the power of the genotyped and extensively-characterised large UK Biobank sample comprising adults recruited from the general population of the UK (24) to compare a wide range of physical and psychiatric illnesses (and treatments), cognitive function, and subcortical brain structure, in male and female Xp22.31 duplication carriers to that of sex-matched control subjects not carrying the variant of interest. Triangulation of multiple objective and self-report Biobank measures is likely to provide comprehensive and accurate information about an individual's phenotype, including their mental health (25). *A priori*, we considered the possibilities that duplication carriers within our sample may exhibit similar, contrasting, and/or distinct phenotypes to deletion carriers, or that duplications carriers may exhibit few, if any, phenotypic differences from non-carrier controls.

Results

Identification and characterisation of duplication cases

We identified 414 male and 938 female duplication carriers, alongside 192 826 male and 227 235 female non-carriers, giving prevalence rates of ~1/470 (0.21%) in males and ~1/240 (0.41%) in females. The mean duplication size was 1.60 ± 0.46 Mb from ChrX:6477469-8080874 encompassing the HDHD1/PUDP (OMIM:306480), STS (OMIM:300747), VCX (OMIM:300229) and PNPLA4 (OMIM:300102) genes and the MIR4767 microRNA.

ICD-10 diagnoses

We identified ICD-10 unique descriptive codes that were the most common in duplication carriers (present in at least 1 in 40 (>2.5%) carriers), and that were also recorded in a significant proportion (>1.5%) of individuals in the overall male and female samples; these selection criteria, analogous to those used in our previous Xp22.31 deletion study (3), were designed to detect robust between-group effects. 13 ICD-10 codes met these criteria in the male sample (Supplementary Material, Table S2). Of these, just one 'Unilateral or unspecified inguinal hernia, without obstruction or gangrene' was nominally-significantly more commonly observed in male duplication carriers than in male non-carrier controls (9.4% vs. 6.8%, OR: 1.42 (95% CI: 1.02–1.97), $\chi^2[1]=3.95$, $P=0.047$); this result did not remain significant after correction for multiple testing. We have previously shown that male deletion carriers in the UK Biobank exhibit significantly increased prevalence of 'atrial fibrillation/flutter' and 'skin of other and unspecified parts of face' relative to male non-carrier controls; analysis of these two phenotypes in male duplication carriers revealed no significant difference from non-carrier controls (atrial fibrillation: 2.4% vs. 2.7% respectively, OR: 0.88 (95% CI: 0.47–1.66), $\chi^2[1]=0.06$, $P=0.82$; Skin: 1.7% vs. 1.5% respectively, OR: 1.12 (95% CI: 0.53–2.37), $\chi^2[1]=0.009$, $P=0.92$).

We identified 16 ICD-10 unique descriptive codes that met the aforementioned selection criteria in the female sample (Supplementary Material, Table S3). Of these, only one, 'Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) without oesophagitis' was significantly more common in duplication carriers than in non-carrier controls (2.7% vs. 1.6%, OR: 1.69 (95% CI: 1.13–2.51), $\chi^2[1]=4.88$, $P=0.027$); again, this finding did not survive correction for multiple testing.

The number of 'inguinal hernia' diagnoses did not differ significantly between female duplication carriers and female non-carrier controls (0.6% vs. 0.4% respectively, OR: 1.62 (95%CI: 0.72–3.63), $P=0.20$), nor did the number of 'GORD without oesophagitis' diagnoses differ between male duplication carriers and male non-carrier controls (1.0% vs. 1.2% respectively, OR: 0.82 (95%CI: 0.31–2.19), $P=1.00$).

Clinical diagnosis rates of developmental and mood/anxiety disorders were not significantly different between male and female duplication and sex-matched control cohorts (Supplementary Material, Tables S4 and S5), although baseline diagnosis rates were low across all groups.

Self-reported non-cancer illnesses

We analysed a number of medical and psychiatric/neurological self-reported diagnoses across all groups; the phenotypes we assessed were indicated by the ICD-10 data above, were related to tissues with high STS expression, or were potentially sensitive to dosage of the region of interest as indicated by previous deletion carrier data.

No physical health conditions we assessed were self-reported at significantly higher rates in male duplication carriers compared to male non-carrier controls, although rates of 'inguinal hernia' and 'gastro-oesophageal reflux' tended to be higher in the former than the latter group (1.9% vs. 0.9%, $P=0.065$; 6.0% vs. 4.7%, $\chi^2[1]=1.44$, $P=0.20$ respectively) (Supplementary Material, Table S6). Only one self-reported psychiatric condition ('mania/bipolar disorder') differed in prevalence between male groups with higher rates in the duplication group compared to the control group (1.0% vs. 0.3%, $P=0.026$); this finding did not survive correction for multiple testing (corrected P -value >0.99) (Supplementary Material, Table S6).

Only one of the physical health conditions we assessed ('blistering/desquamating skin disorder') was significantly more commonly self-reported in female duplication carriers compared to female non-carrier controls (0.5% vs. 0.1%, $P=0.013$), although this result did not withstand multiple testing correction (corrected $P=0.69$); the self-reported prevalence of 'inguinal hernia' and 'gastro-oesophageal reflux' was similar in female duplication carriers and in female controls (0.1% vs. 0.1%, $P=0.51$; 4.1% vs. 4.4%, $\chi^2[1]=0.20$, $P=0.63$) (Supplementary Material, Table S7). No psychiatric/neurological conditions we assessed differed in self-reported prevalence between female duplication and control groups (Supplementary Material, Table S7).

Mental Health Questionnaire (MHQ)

The MHQ was completed by a total of 129 male duplication carriers, 59 418 male controls, 269 female duplication carriers and 76 265 female controls. Neither male nor female duplication carriers completing the MHQ self-reported differing frequencies of mental distress or mental health diagnoses relative to sex-matched controls (Table 1).

Male duplication carriers differed from sex-matched controls most frequently with respect to depression-related symptoms and treatments (Supplementary Material, Table S8). Specifically, compared to controls, duplication carriers reported a lower prevalence of 'prolonged feelings of sadness or depression' (34% vs. 44%, OR: 0.66 (95%CI: 0.46–0.96), $\chi^2[1]=4.51$, $P=0.034$), reduced 'recent feelings of tiredness or low energy' ($P=0.022$, $d < 0.02$), lower 'number of lifetime depressed periods' ($P=0.049$, $d < 0.03$) and elevated levels of 'general happiness' ($P=0.019$, $d < 0.02$); those male duplication carriers who did experience depressive episodes, less frequently experienced 'feelings of worthlessness during the worst period of depression' (32% vs. 48%, OR: 0.50 (95%CI:0.26–0.94), $\chi^2[1]=4.16$, $P=0.041$) and were more likely to engage in talking therapies (38% vs. 15%, OR:3.36 (95%CI:1.59–7.11), $\chi^2[1]=4.16$, $P=0.041$). These effects in the male duplication carrier group are unlikely to be a consequence of lower exposure to traumatic events, in that this group generally reported experiencing similar levels of adverse events to the control group, and actually experienced a diagnosis of a life-threatening illness significantly more commonly ($P=0.049$, $d < 0.015$) (Supplementary Material, Table S8). Male duplication carriers did not self-report differently to non-carrier controls with respect to manic/bipolar disorder symptoms, anxiety-related symptoms, addictive behaviours, alcohol or cannabis use, or unusual and psychotic experiences (Supplementary Material, Table S8).

Female duplication carriers also differed from sex-matched controls most consistently with respect to depression-related symptoms and treatments (Supplementary Material, Table S9).

Table 1. Frequency of mental distress, help-seeking behaviour and psychiatric illness in male and female duplication carriers and sex-matched controls completing the Mental Health Questionnaire

| Measure | Male control | Male duplication | Statistical analysis | Female control | Female duplication | Statistical analysis |
|---|--------------|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mental distress preventing usual activities (Yes/No) | 15 229/43273 | 34/94 | $\chi^2[1]=0.001$, $P=0.971$ | 29 180/46221 | 100/165 | $\chi^2[1]=0.067$, $P=0.796$ |
| Or ever sought/received help for mental distress (Yes/No) | 17 695/41526 | 35/94 | $\chi^2[1]=0.342$, $P=0.559$ | 35 046/40939 | 115/152 | $\chi^2[1]=0.878$, $P=0.349$ |
| Social anxiety or social phobia (Yes/No) | 771/58647 | 1/128 | $P > 0.99$ | 888/75377 | 5/264 | $P=0.250$ |
| Phobia (other than social or agoraphobia) (Yes/No) | 586/58832 | 1/128 | $P > 0.99$ | 1256/75009 | 2/267 | $P=0.337$ |
| Panic attacks (Yes/No) | 2296/57122 | 8/121 | $P=0.166$ | 5 189/71076 | 14/255 | $\chi^2[1]=0.845$, $P=0.358$ |
| Obsessive compulsive disorder (Yes/No) | 336/59082 | 2/127 | $P=0.167$ | 507/75758 | 1/268 | $P > 0.99$ |
| Mania/Bipolar (Yes/No) | 309/59109 | 0/129 | $P > 0.99$ | 371/75894 | 2/267 | $P=0.378$ |
| Depression (Yes/No) | 9295/50123 | 16/113 | $\chi^2[1]=0.794$, $P=0.373$ | 19 554/56711 | 57/212 | $\chi^2[1]=2.557$, $P=0.110$ |
| Bulimia (Yes/No) | 18/59400 | 0/129 | $P > 0.99$ | 388/75877 | 1/268 | $P > 0.99$ |
| Anxiety, nerves or generalised anxiety disorder (Yes/No) | 6369/53049 | 13/116 | $\chi^2[1]=0.009$, $P=0.926$ | 12 870/63395 | 35/234 | $\chi^2[1]=2.586$, $P=0.108$ |
| Anorexia (Yes/No) | 30/59388 | 0/129 | $P > 0.99$ | 721/75544 | 3/266 | $P=0.745$ |
| Agoraphobia (Yes/No) | 119/59299 | 0/129 | $P > 0.99$ | 413/75852 | 1/268 | $P > 0.99$ |
| ADHD (Yes/No) | 50/59368 | 1/128 | $P=0.105$ | 46/76219 | 0/269 | $P > 0.99$ |

Table 2. Highest academic qualification achieved by male and female duplication carriers and sex-matched controls

| Highest academic qualification | Male control (n = 182 574) | Male duplication (n = 396) | Statistical analysis | Female control (n = 212 235) | Female duplication (n = 871) | Statistical analysis |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| College/University degree | 62 833 (34%) | 140 (35%) | $\chi^2_{[1]} = 8.117$, $P = 0.149$ | 67 597 (32%) | 285 (33%) | $\chi^2_{[1]} = 2.097$, $P = 0.836$ |
| A/AS Levels | 20 020 (11%) | 34 (9%) | | 27 150 (13%) | 116 (13%) | |
| O Levels/GCSEs | 36 866 (20%) | 73 (18%) | | 55 048 (26%) | 208 (24%) | |
| CSEs | 10 595 (6%) | 34 (9%) | | 12 524 (6%) | 50 (6%) | |
| NVQ/HND/HNC | 17 905 (10%) | 41 (10%) | | 10 069 (5%) | 43 (5%) | |
| None | 33 959 (19%) | 74 (19%) | | 39 847 (19%) | 169 (19%) | |

Fewer duplication carriers reported sleep changes during depressive episodes (76% vs. 83%, OR:0.62 (95%CI:0.42–0.91), $\chi^2_{[1]} = 5.50$, $P = 0.019$) and fewer reported ‘difficulty concentrating during their worst depressive episode’ (72% vs. 81%, OR:0.61 (95%CI: 0.42–0.87), $\chi^2_{[1]} = 6.89$, $P = 0.009$). Female duplication carriers were also less likely to have taken prescribed substances for depression than female controls (22% vs. 30%, OR:0.65 (95%CI:0.49–0.87), $\chi^2_{[1]} = 7.96$, $P = 0.005$), but more likely to have taken alcohol/drugs to manage depressive symptoms (10% vs. 7%, OR:1.62 (95%CI:1.09–2.40), $\chi^2_{[1]} = 5.32$, $P = 0.021$). A smaller proportion of female duplication carriers than controls who experienced anxiety self-reported being easily tired during their worst episode (65% vs. 76%, OR: 0.61 (95%CI:0.39–0.96), $\chi^2_{[1]} = 4.02$, $P = 0.045$), but a significantly larger proportion of duplication carriers reported using alcohol/drugs to manage anxiety symptoms (8% vs. 5%, OR:1.63 (95%CI:1.05–2.53), $\chi^2_{[1]} = 4.33$, $P = 0.037$). Duplications in female participants were not associated with manic/bipolar disorder symptoms, addictive behaviours, alcohol or cannabis use, unusual and psychotic experiences, experiencing traumatic events, or happiness/subjective wellbeing (Supplementary Material, Table S9).

Medications

We compared prescription rates of medications for gastric reflux, blistering/desquamating disorders and psychiatric conditions indicated above in male and female duplication carriers and controls. There was a trend for male duplication carriers to be prescribed proton pump inhibitors more frequently than male controls (11.1% vs. 8.3%, OR:1.38 (95%CI:1.01–1.87), $\chi^2_{[1]} = 3.85$, $P = 0.050$, corrected $P = 0.40$), but there was no between-group difference for antacids or H2 receptor antagonists; prescription rates of psychiatric medications were similar between male duplication carriers and male controls (Supplementary Material, Table S10). Female duplication carriers and controls were prescribed all of the aforementioned medications at equivalent rates (Supplementary Material, Table S11).

Academic qualifications and cognitive function

Male and female duplication carriers did not differ significantly from their sex-matched controls in terms of their highest academic qualification (Table 2). Regression analysis controlling for age at testing indicated that male duplication carriers performed equivalently to male controls across all of the cognitive measures we assessed (Table 3). Female duplication carriers performed similarly to female controls on all measures apart from the number of correct substitutions within the Symbol Digit Substitution task (an index of complex processing), where they performed slightly worse ($B = -0.254 \pm 0.108$, $\beta = -0.019$, $P = 0.019$);

this result did not remain significant after correction for multiple testing (Table 3).

Intra-cranial volume and subcortical neuroanatomy

As both microcephaly and macrocephaly have been reported in duplication carriers, we initially tested whether intra-cranial volume (a surrogate measure of skull size) was associated with duplication carrier status after adjusting for age at scanning, handedness and scanning centre; this did not appear to be the case for either male ($B = 10.7 \pm 53.4$, $\beta = 0.004$, $P = 0.84$) or female ($B = 15.5 \pm 27.7$, $\beta = 0.010$, $P = 0.58$) participants. Regression analysis adjusting for age at scanning, intra-cranial volume, handedness and scanning centre, indicated a nominally-significantly enlarged left lateral ventricle size in male duplication carriers compared to controls ($B = 4.58 \pm 2.20$, $\beta = 0.036$, $P = 0.038$), a result which did not survive correction for multiple testing; however, consistent with this, male duplication carriers exhibited a trend towards enlarged right lateral ventricle volumes, and female duplication carriers also exhibited enlarged left and right lateral ventricles on average relative to female controls (Table 4). Equivalent regression analysis in females indicated a nominally-significantly enlarged left putamen in duplication carriers relative to controls ($B = 0.31 \pm 0.14$, $\beta = 0.037$, $P = 0.033$, corrected $P = 0.53$); both left and right putamen volumes were, on average, also higher in male duplication carriers than male controls (Table 4).

Discussion

Here, we have systematically compared the prevalence/magnitude of a wide range of medical and neurobehavioural phenotypes in male and female carriers of small Xp22.31 duplications to that in sex-matched non-carrier controls, using a large adult sample drawn from the UK general population. As male and female duplication carriers did not differ from sex-matched controls across the vast majority of the large number of measures we assessed, our data indicate that within this sample (and presumably across a substantial proportion of the general population), such variants are generally benign. Previous data from clinical case studies/series have indicated that these microduplications are often associated with severe neurological and physical phenotypes. To resolve this apparent paradox we argue that in many previously-described cases the duplication may be an innocent bystander or a risk factor, and that other co-occurring genetic (e.g. alternative damaging copy number variants), environmental or stochastic factors acting in parallel with it predispose to the more severe phenotypes. Alternatively, it is possible that the duplication is pathogenic in a proportion of cases, and that these cases are not ascertained into the

Table 3. Performance on key measures of seven cognitive tasks by male and female duplication carriers and sex-matched controls

| Cognitive task | Control group | Duplication group | Statistical analysis | Benjamini-Hochberg corrected P-value |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>Male participants</i> | | | | |
| Pairs Matching Test (total number of errors) | -0.048 (-0.038- -0.058) (n = 43 575) | 0.058 (-0.123-0.239) (n = 103) | $B = 0.106 \pm 0.102, \beta = 0.005, P = 0.297$ | 0.520 |
| Reaction Time Test | -0.087 (-0.078- -0.096) (n = 43 203) | 0.010 (-0.175-0.195) (n = 101) | $B = 0.098 \pm 0.093, \beta = 0.005, P = 0.295$ | 0.520 |
| Fluid Intelligence Test (total number of correct answers) | 0.162 (0.180-0.144) (n = 13 251) | -0.030 (-0.397-0.336) (n = 34) | $B = -0.192 \pm 0.181, \beta = -0.009, P = 0.288$ | 0.520 |
| Digit Span Test (maximum number of digits remembered) | 0.147 (0.118-0.176) (n = 4242) | 0.5031 (-0.040-1.047) (n = 13) | $B = 0.362 \pm 0.267, \beta = 0.021, P = 0.176$ | 0.520 |
| Symbol Digit Substitution Test (number of correct substitutions) | -0.010 (-0.026-0.005) (n = 11 768) | -0.030 (-0.295-0.234) (n = 30) | $B = -0.022 \pm 0.158, \beta = -0.001, P = 0.887$ | 0.887 |
| Trail Making Test A (Time to completion) | -0.059 (-0.0760- -0.042) (n = 10669) | -0.011 (-0.326- -0.305) (n = 30) | $B = 0.052 \pm 0.165, \beta = 0.003, P = 0.751$ | 0.876 |
| Trail Making Test B (Time to completion) | -0.043 (-0.026- -0.060) (n = 10 677) | 0.007 (-0.363-0.378) (n = 30) | $B = 0.055 \pm 0.164, \beta = 0.003, P = 0.736$ | 0.876 |
| <i>Female participants</i> | | | | |
| Pairs Matching Test (total number of errors) | -0.008 (-0.017-0.000) (n = 54 232) | 0.074 (-0.061-0.209) (n = 231) | $B = 0.084 \pm 0.066, \beta = 0.005, P = 0.206$ | 0.288 |
| Reaction Time Test | 0.102 (0.095-0.110) (n = 53 772) | 0.128 (0.003-0.252) (n = 229) | $B = 0.030 \pm 0.060, \beta = 0.002, P = 0.620$ | 0.620 |
| Fluid Intelligence Test (total number of correct answers) | 0.046 (0.031-0.061) (n = 16 651) | -0.030(-0.289-0.230) (n = 67) | $B = -0.076 \pm 0.119, \beta = -0.005, P = 0.526$ | 0.614 |
| Digit Span Test (maximum number of digits remembered) | -0.034 (-0.060- -0.008) (n = 5404) | 0.338 (-0.112-0.789) (n = 18) | $B = 0.372 \pm 0.226, \beta = 0.022, P = 0.100$ | 0.175 |
| Symbol Digit Substitution Test (number of correct substitutions) | -0.026 (-0.040- -0.011) (n = 15 156) | -0.277 (-0.504- -0.050) (n = 71) | $B = -0.254 \pm 0.108, \beta = -0.019, P = 0.019$ | 0.133 |
| Trail Making Test A (Time to completion) | 0.101 (0.084-0.117) (n = 13 173) | 0.303 90.072-0.535) (n = 64) | $B = 0.203 \pm 0.120, \beta = 0.015, P = 0.090$ | 0.175 |
| Trail Making Test B (Time to completion) | 0.078 (0.063-0.094) (n = 13 200) | 0.271 (0.051-0.490) (n = 64) | $B = 0.193 \pm 0.114, \beta = 0.015, P = 0.091$ | 0.175 |

Table 4. Volumes of eight subcortical brain regions (right and left hemisphere) in male and female duplication carriers and sex-matched controls

| Subcortical region | Control group (cm ³) | Duplication group (cm ³) | Statistical analysis | Benjamini-Hochberg corrected P-value |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Male participants | | | | |
| <i>Left hemisphere</i> | | | | |
| Lateral ventricle | 13.86 (13.72–14.00) (n = 8268) | 17.11 (13.78–20.45) (n = 20) | B = 4.58 ± 2.20, β = 0.036, P = 0.038 | 0.56 |
| Thalamus | 7.98 (7.96–8.01) (n = 8536) | 7.38 (6.81–7.94) (n = 20) | B = -0.45 ± 0.36, β = -0.020, P = 0.202 | 0.85 |
| Caudate | 3.58 (3.57–3.59) (n = 8508) | 3.51 (3.36–3.66) (n = 20) | B = -0.077 ± 0.16, β = -0.008, P = 0.629 | 0.98 |
| Putamen | 5.27 (5.25–5.28) (n = 8550) | 5.38 (5.13–5.63) (n = 20) | B = 0.011 ± 0.27, β = 0.001, P = 0.968 | 0.98 |
| Pallidum | 1.37 (1.36–1.37) (n = 8544) | 1.32 (1.30–1.42) (n = 20) | B = 0.028 ± 0.097, β = 0.006, P = 0.772 | 0.98 |
| Hippocampus | 4.31 (4.30–4.32) (n = 8539) | 4.25 (4.03–4.46) (n = 20) | B = -0.015 ± 0.17, β = -0.002, P = 0.929 | 0.98 |
| Amygdala | 1.63 (1.63–1.64) (n = 8531) | 1.62 (1.52–1.72) (n = 19) | B = -0.073 ± 0.086, β = -0.015, P = 0.398 | 0.98 |
| Nucleus accumbens | 5.28 (5.26–5.31) (n = 8547) | 5.54 (4.86–6.22) (n = 20) | B = 0.011 ± 0.045, β = 0.004, P = 0.806 | 0.98 |
| <i>Right Hemisphere</i> | | | | |
| Lateral ventricle | 12.88 (12.75–13.01) (n = 8279) | 14.93 (12.12–17.67) (n = 20) | B = 2.55 ± 2.05, β = 0.021, P = 0.213 | 0.85 |
| Thalamus | 6.93 (6.92–6.95) (n = 8518) | 6.71 (6.38–7.04) (n = 20) | B = -0.0065 ± 0.23, β = 0.000, P = 0.977 | 0.98 |
| Caudate | 3.75 (3.74–3.76) (n = 8512) | 3.74 (3.56–3.92) (n = 20) | B = -0.075 ± 0.17, β = -0.008, P = 0.651 | 0.98 |
| Putamen | 5.05 (5.04–5.06) (n = 8540) | 5.14 (4.89–5.40) (n = 20) | B = -0.010 ± 0.22, β = -0.001, P = 0.963 | 0.98 |
| Pallidum | 1.58 (1.58–1.59) (n = 8517) | 1.62 (1.55–1.70) (n = 20) | B = 0.054 ± 0.076, β = 0.013, P = 0.480 | 0.98 |
| Hippocampus | 4.46 (4.45–4.47) (n = 8535) | 4.37 (4.14–4.56) (n = 20) | B = -0.029 ± 0.17, β = -0.003, P = 0.863 | 0.98 |
| Amygdala | 1.70 (1.70–1.71) (n = 8538) | 1.68 (1.55–1.81) (n = 19) | B = -0.016 ± 0.087, β = -0.003, P = 0.858 | 0.98 |
| Nucleus accumbens | 5.68 (5.66–5.70) (n = 8546) | 6.06 (5.60–6.52) (n = 20) | B = 0.073 ± 0.040, β = 0.033, P = 0.070 | 0.56 |
| Female participants | | | | |
| <i>Left hemisphere</i> | | | | |
| Lateral ventricle | 10.18 (10.07–10.28) (n = 9364) | 10.53 (8.88–12.18) (n = 35) | B = -0.31 ± 1.16, β = -0.004, P = 0.791 | 0.99 |
| Thalamus | 7.22 (7.20–7.24) (n = 9422) | 6.75 (6.45–7.04) (n = 36) | B = -0.28 ± 1.91, β = -0.022, P = 0.141 | 0.56 |
| Caudate | 3.31 (3.30–3.32) (n = 9406) | 3.31 (3.20–3.42) (n = 36) | B = 0.0012 ± 0.091, β = 0.000, P = 0.989 | 0.99 |
| Putamen | 4.85 (4.84–4.86) (n = 9420) | 4.96 (4.74–5.16) (n = 36) | B = 0.31 ± 0.14, β = 0.037, P = 0.033 | 0.53 |
| Pallidum | 1.24 (1.23–1.24) (n = 9419) | 1.26 (1.20–1.33) (n = 36) | B = 0.092 ± 0.056, β = 0.030, P = 0.097 | 0.56 |
| Hippocampus | 4.08 (4.07–4.09) (n = 9409) | 3.94 (3.76–4.12) (n = 36) | B = -0.056 ± 0.089, β = -0.010, P = 0.534 | 0.85 |
| Amygdala | 1.48 (1.48–1.49) (n = 9405) | 1.47 (1.39–1.55) (n = 36) | B = 0.043 ± 0.048, β = 0.015, P = 0.367 | 0.73 |
| Nucleus accumbens | 4.96 (4.94–4.98) (n = 9419) | 4.88 (4.57–5.20) (n = 36) | B = 0.023 ± 0.025, β = 0.016, P = 0.353 | 0.73 |
| <i>Right Hemisphere</i> | | | | |
| Lateral ventricle | 9.45 (9.35–9.55) (n = 9351) | 10.02 (8.60–11.44) (n = 35) | B = 0.20 ± 1.06, β = 0.003, P = 0.853 | 0.99 |
| Thalamus | 6.40 (6.39–6.41) (n = 9415) | 6.21 (6.01–6.41) (n = 36) | B = -0.096 ± 0.119, β = -0.012, P = 0.420 | 0.75 |
| Caudate | 3.45 (3.44–3.46) (n = 9411) | 3.43 (3.31–3.55) (n = 36) | B = 0.016 ± 0.094, β = 0.003, P = 0.862 | 0.99 |
| Putamen | 4.63 (4.62–4.65) (n = 9410) | 4.63 (4.34–4.86) (n = 36) | B = 0.19 ± 0.12, β = 0.027, P = 0.118 | 0.56 |
| Pallidum | 1.43 (1.43–1.44) (n = 9395) | 1.43 (1.39–1.48) (n = 36) | B = 0.019 ± 0.041, β = 0.008, P = 0.642 | 0.93 |
| Hippocampus | 4.22 (4.21–4.22) (n = 9410) | 4.15 (4.00–4.32) (n = 36) | B = -0.097 ± 0.091, β = -0.017, P = 0.285 | 0.73 |
| Amygdala | 1.53 (1.52–1.53) (n = 9415) | 1.50 (1.43–1.58) (n = 36) | B = 0.004 ± 0.047, β = 0.001, P = 0.938 | 0.99 |
| Nucleus accumbens | 5.22 (5.20–5.24) (n = 9421) | 5.21 (4.88–5.54) (n = 36) | B = 0.021 ± 0.023, β = 0.016, P = 0.361 | 0.73 |

UK Biobank; individuals with developmental disorders/learning disabilities and other major health issues are under-represented in this sample (26).

The prevalence of Xp22.31 microduplications has been reported as 0.41% in general population controls (0.18% in males, and 0.52% in females) (18); the overall rate of 0.32% which we observed, in a sample > 80 times larger, is of comparable magnitude to this (equivalent to around 200 000 carriers in the UK, or > 23.5 million carriers worldwide). The 2–3 fold difference in prevalence of the variant between females and males in our sample, and in that of Liu *et al.* (18), most likely reflects the presence of two X chromosomes in females relative to one in males (hence double the opportunity for mutation), but could also partially reflect a less severe effect of duplication of this X-inactivation escaping-region in the former sex relative to the latter (i.e. a theoretical 50% increase in gene dosage in females compared to a 100% increase in gene dosage in males). The 3–4 fold higher prevalence of the duplication relative to the deletion (0.32% vs. < 0.1%) in the UK Biobank sample likely reflects the comparatively lower pathogenicity of the former variant.

We did identify a small number of phenotypes that differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) in prevalence and/or magnitude between groups. However, these comparisons did not survive stringent correction for multiple testing, were not statistically significant across both male and female duplication carrier groups, were associated with relatively small effect sizes, and were somewhat discrepant across objective and self-report measures. Hence, the positive results presented here will require replication in alternative large samples to test whether they represent true, or false positive, findings.

We have previously shown using the UK Biobank sample that deletion of the genomic region surrounding STS is associated with an increased rate of depressive-anxiety symptoms (but not diagnoses), and a reduction of the volume of sub-regions of the basal ganglia, including the left putamen (3). Our present data suggest that duplications of the same region, in both males and females, may impact upon similar phenotypes (though in the opposite direction), thus strengthening the evidence for a link between the associated genes and mood symptoms; the high expression of STS in the developing human basal ganglia (27), together with the enzyme's regulatory role in neurochemical and steroid hormone-mediated mood disorder-related processes (28–30) implicates this gene/protein in particular. Elevated expression of STS in duplication carriers is expected to be associated with higher levels of circulating free steroids, including dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and allopregnanolone; however, to our knowledge, free steroid levels in Xp22.31 duplication carriers have not yet been systematically evaluated. There is some evidence that administration of these free steroids may be beneficial in treating aspects of depression (29,31,32). Moreover, left putamen volume appears to be consistently smaller in older (33), first episode (34) and unmedicated (35) individuals with depression relative to unaffected controls. Hence, we propose that microduplications encompassing STS might protect against depressive-anxiety traits developmentally via increased free steroid abundance and/or increased putamen volume. The relationship between putamen volume and depression-related traits is likely to be complex, in that increased putamen volume has also been reported in individuals with psychotic disorder and associated mood symptoms (although this finding may be explained by the effects of antipsychotic administration) (36). Interestingly, males carrying duplications self-reported being diagnosed with mania/bipolar disorder

more often than control males, although rates were low across both groups (< 1%); there is some evidence that lower concentrations of circulating DHEAS (as might be expected in duplication carriers) are correlated with higher mania scores in a sample of patients with bipolar disorder (37). Hence, duplications could potentially have dissociable effects on depressive and manic traits, acting to reduce the former whilst eliciting the latter. In terms of neuroanatomy, duplication carriers also exhibited evidence for enlarged left lateral ventricle volume relative to controls; this finding aligns with a previous observation of a widened left lateral ventricle in a fetus possessing an Xp22.31 1.6 Mb microduplication (21).

Male duplication carriers were significantly more likely to have been diagnosed with inguinal hernia than male controls; the prevalence of this condition in our control group (6.8%) was similar to that previously observed in adult European males (7.2%) (38). Inguinal hernia has been reported in both Xp22.31 duplication (Supplementary Table 1) and deletion (39, 40) cases, and approximately 20% of deletion cases are affected by the related condition of cryptorchidism (2, 41). Together, these findings suggest that aberrant (either over- or under-) expression of one of more genes in the interval of interest may predispose to aberrant inguinal canal anatomy and/or function. Abnormalities in the dosage of STS and consequent hormonal changes represent one plausible candidate mechanism underlying this association, although altered dosage of other genes within the interval may also be relevant (42); the respective contribution of these mechanisms remains to be investigated.

Female duplication carriers were more likely to have received a diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (without oesophagitis) than female controls. GORD and pyloric stenosis have previously been reported in male, but not female, duplication cases (18 and Supplementary Material, Table S1), and this may be consistent with our finding of increased proton pump inhibitor prescription in male duplication carriers. GORD is not a condition that is frequently associated with X-linked ichthyosis. Hence, the putative relationship between Xp22.31 gene dosage and GORD requires further investigation and clarification. Xp22.31 duplication was also associated with a higher self-reported rate of blistering/desquamating disorder diagnosis in females but not in males. This result may represent a true finding in that it is consistent with a role for genes within this interval (most likely STS) affecting skin morphology in a sex-biased manner, and with overexpression eliciting a similar phenotypic effect to under-expression.

In summary, our data indicate that Xp22.31 duplications are largely benign, with possible small protective effects against certain mood symptoms and small risk effects for specific medical conditions. Assuming replication of our positive findings, follow-up studies in carrier individuals and in model systems should clarify the underlying biological mechanisms. Documenting the full range of phenotypes associated with Xp22.31 duplications will be important to facilitate more informed counselling as genetic information for a greater proportion of the population becomes available.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Participants were individuals (40–69 yrs) recruited under UK Biobank informed consent procedures between 2006–2010, for which anonymised genotype/phenotype data were available.

Copy Number Variant (CNV) calling

Anonymised genotype data were downloaded as raw (CEL) files from the UK Biobank website, and stored and processed as described previously (3). Individuals with duplications of 0.8–2.5 Mb spanning STS were identified, with calls and coordinates based upon the GRCh37/hg19 genome build. Following QC, CNV data were available for a total of 421 413 individuals. CNV data will be transferred to UK Biobank in accordance with their policies, and access to the data reported here may be obtained through application to UK Biobank.

Measures

Hospital diagnoses according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems Revision-10 (ICD-10, > 18 000 in total), self-reported non-cancer illnesses, relevant questions from the Mental Health Questionnaire (MHQ), and medication history were analysed. Highest levels of academic qualification and key performance measures on seven cognitive tasks (transformed and converted to z-scores) were analysed as described previously (3). Brain images were acquired using Siemens Skyra 3 T scanners in UK Biobank's imaging centres in Cheadle and Newcastle, UK using identical acquisition protocols (43); T1-weighted brain images were processed using automated methods implemented in FreeSurfer (44) to obtain volumetric estimates for 8 right and left subcortical regions.

Statistics

Data were analysed using SPSS v25.0 (IBM Corporation). As male and female duplication phenotypes could differ in magnitude and/or nature, two comparisons were performed: male duplication carriers vs. male non-carriers, and female duplication carriers vs. female non-carriers. Across the overall sample for each cognitive/neuroimaging measure, outlying values > 2.2 times the interquartile range below the first quartile, or above the third quartile, were excluded. Categorical data were analysed using Chi-squared Test (continuity-adjusted for 2x2 analyses)/Fisher Exact Test, with Odds Ratios and 95% confidence intervals presented as a measure of effect size. Ordinal/non-normally distributed data were compared using Mann-Whitney U test, with Cohen's d presented as a measure of effect size. For cognitive and neuroanatomical analyses hierarchical linear regression with relevant covariates was performed. Data are presented as mean values with 95% confidence intervals or as mean values ± standard error of the mean. Two-sided P-values < 0.05 were regarded as nominally-significant, with P-values < 0.1 after Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment regarded as surviving correction for multiple comparisons.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Cardiff University School of Psychology Research Internship (SPRInt) Programme grant to LB; Wellcome Trust GW4-CAT Clinical Research Fellowship and Welsh Clinical Academic Track funded by Health Education and Improvement Wales to JFGU; Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Fellowship (grant number 201171/Z/16/Z) to KMK and Medical Research Council Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics (Centre Grant Number MR/L010305/1). This research

has been conducted using the UK Biobank resource under Application Numbers 14421 and 17044. This study makes use of data generated by the DECIPHER community. A full list of centres who contributed to the generation of the data is available from <https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk> and via email from decipher@sanger.ac.uk; funding for the project was provided by Wellcome Trust.

Conflict of interest statement. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Mueller, J.W., Gilligan, L.C., Idkowiak, J., Arlt, W. and Foster, P.A. (2015) The regulation of steroid action by Sulfation and Desulfation. *Endocr. Rev.*, **36**, 526–563.
- Fernandes, N.F., Janniger, C.K. and Schwartz, R.A. (2010) X-linked ichthyosis: an oculocutaneous genodermatosis. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.*, **62**, 480–485.
- Brcic, L., Underwood, J.F., Kendall, K.M., Caseras, X., Kirov, G. and Davies, W. (2020) Medical and neurobehavioural phenotypes in carriers of X-linked ichthyosis-associated genetic deletions in the UK biobank. *J. Med. Genet.* in press. doi: [10.1136/jmedgenet-2019-106676](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2019-106676).
- Myers, K.A., Simard-Tremblay, E. and Saint-Martin, C. (2020) X-linked familial focal epilepsy associated with Xp22.31 deletion. *Pediatr. Neurol.*, **108**, 113–116.
- Diociaiuti, A., Angioni, A., Pisaneschi, E., Alesi, V., Zambruno, G., Novelli, A. and El Hachem, M. (2019) X-linked ichthyosis: clinical and molecular findings in 35 Italian patients. *Exp. Dermatol.*, **28**, 1156–1163.
- Rodrigo-Nicolas, B., Bueno-Martinez, E., Martin-Santiago, A., Canueto, J., Vicente, A., Torrelo, A., Noguera-Morel, L., Duat-Rodriguez, A., Jorge-Finnigan, C., Palacios-Alvarez, I. et al. (2018) Evidence of the high prevalence of neurological disorders in nonsyndromic X-linked recessive ichthyosis: a retrospective case series. *Br. J. Dermatol.*, **179**, 933–939.
- Kent, L., Emerton, J., Bhadravathi, V., Weisblatt, E., Pasco, G., Willatt, L.R., McMahon, R. and Yates, J.R. (2008) X-linked ichthyosis (steroid sulfatase deficiency) is associated with increased risk of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, autism and social communication deficits. *J. Med. Genet.*, **45**, 519–524.
- Cavenagh, A., Chatterjee, S. and Davies, W. (2019) Behavioural and psychiatric phenotypes in female carriers of genetic mutations associated with X-linked ichthyosis. *PLoS One*, **14**, e0212330.
- Chatterjee, S., Humby, T. and Davies, W. (2016) Behavioural and psychiatric phenotypes in men and boys with X-linked Ichthyosis: evidence from a worldwide online survey. *PLoS One*, **11**, e0164417.
- Pavone, P., Corsello, G., Marino, S., Ruggieri, M. and Falsaperla, R. (2019) Microcephaly/Trigonocephaly, intellectual disability, autism Spectrum disorder, and atypical Dysmorphic features in a boy with Xp22.31 duplication. *Mol. Syndromol.*, **9**, 253–258.
- MacColl, C., Stein, N., Tarnopolsky, M. and Lu, J.Q. (2020) Neurodevelopmental and associated changes in a patient with Xp22.31 duplication. *Neurol. Sci.*, **41**, 713–716.
- Massimino, C.R., Smilari, P., Greco, F., Marino, S., Vecchio, D., Bartuli, A., Parisi, P., Cho, S.Y. and Pavone, P. (2020) Poland syndrome with atypical malformations associated to a De novo 1.5Mb Xp22.31 duplication. *Neuropediatrics* in press. doi: [10.1055/s-0039-3402009](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-3402009).

13. Brinciotti, M., Fioriello, F., Mittica, A., Bernardini, L., Goldoni, M. and Matricardi, M. (2019) Epilepsy phenotype in patients with Xp22.31 microduplication. *Epilepsy Behav. Case Rep.*, **11**, 31–34.
14. Mohammadzadeh, A., Akbaroghli, S., Aghaei-Moghadam, E., Mahdieh, N., Badv, R.S., Jamali, P., Kariminejad, R., Chavoshzadeh, Z., Ghasemi Firouzabadi, S., Mansour Ghanaie, R. et al. (2019) Investigation of chromosomal abnormalities and microdeletion/ microduplication(s) in fifty Iranian patients with multiple congenital anomalies. *Cell J.*, **21**, 337–349.
15. Roumelioti, F.M., Louizou, E., Karras, S., Neroutsou, R., Velisariou, V. and Gagos, S. (2019) Unbalanced X;9 translocation in an infertile male with de novo duplication Xp22.31p22.33. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.*, **36**, 769–775.
16. Addis, L., Sproviero, W., Thomas, S.V., Caraballo, R.H., Newhouse, S.J., Gomez, K., Hughes, E., Kinali, M., McCormick, D., Hannan, S. et al. (2018) Identification of new risk factors for rolandic epilepsy: CNV at Xp22.31 and alterations at cholinergic synapses. *J. Med. Genet.*, **55**, 607–616.
17. Esplin, E.D., Li, B., Slavotinek, A., Novelli, A., Battaglia, A., Clark, R., Curry, C. and Hudgins, L. (2014) Nine patients with Xp22.31 microduplication, cognitive deficits, seizures, and talipes anomalies. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A*, **164A**, 2097–2103.
18. Liu, P., Erez, A., Nagamani, S.C., Bi, W., Carvalho, C.M., Simmons, A.D., Wiszniewska, J., Fang, P., Eng, P.A., Cooper, M.L. et al. (2011) Copy number gain at Xp22.31 includes complex duplication rearrangements and recurrent triplications. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **20**, 1975–1988.
19. Mencarelli, M.A., Katzaki, E., Papa, F.T., Sampieri, K., Caselli, R., Uliana, V., Pollazzon, M., Canitano, R., Mostardini, R., Grosso, S. et al. (2008) Private inherited microdeletion/microduplications: implications in clinical practice. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.*, **51**, 409–416.
20. Dupont, C., Lebbar, A., Teinturier, C., Baverel, F., Viot, G., Le Tessier, D., Le Bozec, J., Cuisset, L. and Dupont, J.M. (2007) First reported case of intrachromosomal cryptic inv dup del Xp in a boy with developmental retardation. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A*, **143A**, 1236–1243.
21. Zhuang, J., Wang, Y., Zeng, S., Lv, C., Lin, Y. and Jiang, Y. (2019) A prenatal diagnosis and genetics study of five pedigrees in the Chinese population with Xp22.31 microduplication. *Mol. Cytogenet.*, **12**, 50.
22. Faletta, F., D'Adamo, A.P., Santa Rocca, M., Carrozzi, M., Perrone, M.D., Pecile, V. and Gasparini, P. (2012) Does the 1.5 Mb microduplication in chromosome band Xp22.31 have a pathogenetic role? New contribution and a review of the literature. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A*, **158A**, 461–464.
23. Li, F., Shen, Y., Kohler, U., Sharkey, F.H., Menon, D., Coulleaux, L., Malan, V., Rio, M., McMullan, D.J., Cox, H. et al. (2010) Interstitial microduplication of Xp22.31: causative of intellectual disability or benign copy number variant? *Eur. J. Med. Genet.*, **53**, 93–99.
24. Conroy, M., Sellors, J., Effingham, M., Littlejohns, T.J., Boulwood, C., Gillions, L., Sudlow, C.L.M., Collins, R. and Allen, N.E. (2019) The advantages of UK Biobank's open-access strategy for health research. *J. Intern. Med.*, **286**, 389–397.
25. Davis, K.A.S., Cullen, B., Adams, M., Brailean, A., Breen, G., Coleman, J.R.I., Dregan, A., Gaspar, H.A., Hubel, C., Lee, W. et al. (2019) Indicators of mental disorders in UK biobank—a comparison of approaches. *Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res.*, **28**, e1796.
26. Fry, A., Littlejohns, T.J., Sudlow, C., Doherty, N., Adamska, L., Sprosen, T., Collins, R. and Allen, N.E. (2017) Comparison of Sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of UK biobank participants with those of the general population. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, **186**, 1026–1034.
27. Stergiakouli, E., Langley, K., Williams, H., Walters, J., Williams, N.M., Suren, S., Giegling, I., Wilkinson, L.S., Owen, M.J., O'Donovan, M.C. et al. (2011) Steroid sulfatase is a potential modifier of cognition in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Genes Brain Behav.*, **10**, 334–344.
28. Maguire, J. (2019) Neuroactive steroids and GABAergic involvement in the neuroendocrine dysfunction associated with major depressive disorder and postpartum depression. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.*, **13**, 83.
29. Peixoto, C., Devicari Cheda, J.N., Nardi, A.E., Veras, A.B. and Cardoso, A. (2014) The effects of dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) in the treatment of depression and depressive symptoms in other psychiatric and medical illnesses: a systematic review. *Curr. Drug Targets*, **15**, 901–914.
30. Trent, S., Cassano, T., Bedse, G., Ojarikre, O.A., Humby, T. and Davies, W. (2012) Altered serotonergic function may partially account for behavioral endophenotypes in steroid sulfatase-deficient mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **37**, 1267–1274.
31. Meltzer-Brody, S., Colquhoun, H., Riesenberger, R., Epperson, C.N., Deligiannidis, K.M., Rubinow, D.R., Li, H., Sankoh, A.J., Clemson, C., Schacterle, A. et al. (2018) Brexanolone injection in post-partum depression: two multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trials. *Lancet*, **392**, 1058–1070.
32. Samardzic, J., Hencic, B., Jancic, J., Jadzic, D., Djuric, M., Obradovic, D.I. and Svob Strac, D. (2017) Neurosteroid dehydroepiandrosterone improves active avoidance retrieval and induces antidepressant-like behavior in rats. *Neurosci. Lett.*, **660**, 17–21.
33. Sachs-Ericsson, N.J., Hajcak, G., Sheffler, J.L., Stanley, I.H., Selby, E.A., Potter, G.G. and Steffens, D.C. (2018) Putamen volume differences among older adults: depression status, melancholia, and age. *J. Geriatr. Psychiatry Neurol.*, **31**, 39–49.
34. Zhao, K., Liu, H., Yan, R., Hua, L., Chen, Y., Shi, J., Yao, Z. and Lu, Q. (2017) Altered patterns of association between cortical thickness and subcortical volume in patients with first episode major depressive disorder: a structural MRI study. *Psychiatry Res. Neuroimaging*, **260**, 16–22.
35. Yang, X., Ma, X., Li, M., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Huang, B., Zhao, L., Deng, W., Li, T. and Ma, X. (2015) Anatomical and functional brain abnormalities in unmedicated major depressive disorder. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.*, **11**, 2415–2423.
36. Okada, N., Fukunaga, M., Yamashita, F., Koshiyama, D., Yamamori, H., Ohi, K., Yasuda, Y., Fujimoto, M., Watanabe, Y., Yahata, N. et al. (2016) Abnormal asymmetries in subcortical brain volume in schizophrenia. *Mol. Psychiatry*, **21**, 1460–1466.
37. Lee, S.Y., Wang, L.J., Chang, C.H., Wu, C.C., Chen, H.L., Lin, S.H., Chu, C.L., Lu, T. and Lu, R.B. (2017) Serum DHEA-S concentration correlates with clinical symptoms and neurocognitive function in patients with bipolar II disorder: a case-controlled study. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry*, **74**, 31–35.
38. de Goede, B., Timmermans, L., van Kempen, B.J., van Rooij, F.J., Kazemier, G., Lange, J.F., Hofman, A. and Jeekel, J. (2015) Risk factors for inguinal hernia in middle-aged and elderly men: results from the Rotterdam study. *Surgery*, **157**, 540–546.
39. Ghosh, A., Ahar, R., Chatterjee, G., Sharma, N. and Jad-hav, S.A. (2017) Clinico-epidemiological study of congenital Ichthyosis in a tertiary care Center of eastern India. *Indian J. Dermatol.*, **62**, 606–611.

40. Cuevas-Covarrubias, S.A., Kofman-Alfaro, S.H., Rivera-Vega, M.D., Palencia, A.B. and Diaz-Zagoya, J.C. (1994) Inguinal hernia in recessive X-linked ichthyosis. *J. Dermatol.*, **21**, 985.
41. Tomiyama, H., Sasaki, Y., Huynh, J., Yong, E., Ting, A. and Hutson, J.M. (2005) Testicular descent, cryptorchidism and inguinal hernia: the Melbourne perspective. *J. Pediatr. Urol.*, **1**, 11–25.
42. Traupe, H. and Happle, R. (1986) Mechanisms in the association of cryptorchidism and X-linked recessive ichthyosis. *Dermatologica*, **172**, 327–328.
43. Alfaro-Almagro, F., Jenkinson, M., Bangerter, N.K., Andersson, J.L.R., Griffanti, L., Douaud, G., Sotiropoulos, S.N., Jbabdi, S., Hernandez-Fernandez, M., Vallee, E. et al. (2018) Image processing and quality control for the first 10,000 brain imaging datasets from UK biobank. *Neuroimage*, **166**, 400–424.
44. FreeSurfer Software Suite. Available at: <https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu> (last accessed 28 July 2020).