

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Trends Biochem Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Trends Biochem Sci. 2020 November ; 45(11): 978–991. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2020.06.004.

Molecular Mechanisms of Lysosome and Nucleus Communication

Qian Zhao1,3, **Shihong Max Gao**1,2,3, **Meng C. Wang**1,2,3,4,*

¹Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA

²Program in Developmental Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA

³Huffington Center on Aging, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA

⁴Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Abstract

Lysosomes transcend the role of degradation stations, acting as key nodes to inter-organelle crosstalk and signal transduction. Lysosomes communicate with the nucleus through physical proximity and functional interaction. In response to external and internal stimuli, lysosomes actively adjust their distribution between peripheral and perinuclear regions and modulate lysosome-to-nucleus signaling pathways, and the nucleus in turn fine-tunes lysosomal biogenesis and functions through transcriptional controls. Changes in coordination between these two essential organelles are associated with metabolic disorders, neurodegenerative diseases, and aging. This review addresses recent advances in lysosome-and-nucleus communication by multitiered regulatory mechanisms and discusses how these regulations couple metabolic inputs with organellar motility, cellular signaling, and transcriptional network.

Keywords

lysosome-to-nucleus signaling; lysosome positioning; lysosomal adaptation; lysosomal metabolites; transcription factors

Lysosomes as degradation and signaling hubs

Lysosomes were first discovered by the Nobel laureate Christian de Duve in 1955 as a "scientific serendipity" [1], which are later found in nearly all eukaryotic cells (vacuoles in plants and yeasts; lysosomes in animals) and have the reputation of being "waste-to-energy" incinerators inside the cell. Enclosed in a single phospholipid bilayer membrane, lysosomes maintain an acidic interior (pH 4.5~5.5) through pumping cytosolic protons into the internal lumen by vacuolar H+-ATPase, which is aided by other lysosomal ion channels [2]. The

^{*}Correspondence: wmeng@bcm.edu (M.C.W).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

trafficking [8–10]. In several specialized cell types, lysosomes are the critical part of the secretory pathway known as lysosomal **exocytosis** that is essential for plasma membrane repair and cholesterol homeostasis [11–14].

In addition to their well-appreciated housekeeping function as cellular degradative compartments, lysosomes now emerge as crucial signaling hubs to relay external and internal stimuli, such as growth factors, nutrient availability, amino acid, glucose and lipid metabolism, to signaling complexes like mammalian/mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) and AMP activated protein kinase (AMPK) and undergo adaptation to meet cellular needs [15–17]. The adaption of lysosomes occurs at multiple layers, and the communication between the lysosome and the nucleus plays a crucial role in this process. Here, we overview three regulatory layers of lysosome-and-nucleus communication, including peripheral-toperinuclear positioning of lysosomes, nuclear translocation of signaling factors from lysosomes, and transcriptional control of **lysosomal adaptation** by nuclear factors. We highlight what molecular players are involved in these regulations, how these regulations couple with metabolic and environmental changes, and how these discoveries have broadened our view of lysosomal contributions to health, diseases, and aging (Box 2).

Peripheral to perinuclear positioning of lysosomes

Lysosomes are broadly distributed in the cytoplasm and can change positioning in responding to different metabolic and signaling inputs [18]. In particular, the movement from the cell periphery toward the perinuclear region (retrograde) and from the perinuclear region toward the cell periphery (anterograde) contributes to lysosome-related cellular processes, including cell migration, autophagy and immune responses, as well as to the regulation of lysosomal signaling pathways [19]. The intracellular movement of lysosomes is governed by two families of microtubule-based motors, kinesins and dynein. Generally, in an unpolarized cell, kinesins move towards the microtubule plus end (towards the cell periphery) while dynein moves toward the minus end (towards the nucleus or microtubule organizing center) [20, 21]. Perinuclear movement of lysosomes has been observed in a variety of lysosomal storage disorder (LSD) diseases (Table 1) and can be triggered upon nutrient deprivation that is the key input to modulate lysosomal signaling. This movement is governed by multiple cooperative mechanisms, including the enhancement of coupling with dynein and its activator dynactin, the promotion of tethering between lysosomes and the perinuclear endoplasmic reticulum (ER)/Golgi complex, and the inhibition of anterograde transport involving coupling lysosomes to kinesins (Figure 1).

Perinuclear retrograde movement associated with dynein-dynactin

Three independent mechanisms have been reported to couple lysosomes with the dyneindynactin retrograde motor. First, the lysosome associated small GTPase Rab7 can interact with a homodimeric effector protein Rab7-Interacting Lysosomal Protein (RILP), which subsequently recruits the p150^{Glued} subunit of the dynein–dynactin complex and drives lysosomal distribution toward the perinuclear region [22] (Figure 1, pathway A2). RILP is also in association with **Oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 1 (ORP1L)**, which can adopt different conformations in response to the cholesterol level of the lysosome and in turn modulate the formation of lysosome-ER contact sites. In normal conditions, the ER protein VAP interacts with the Rab7-RILP complex at those contact sites and competes off p150Glued and dynein–dynactin [23]. Upon cholesterol accumulation induced by chemical or genetic intervention, ORP1L adopts a conformation that prevents the formation of the lysosome-ER contact and consequently the interaction between VAP and the Rab7-RILP complex, leading to the perinuclear movement of lysosomes [23].

Second, the lysosomal calcium channel **TRPML1** can bind with the calcium senor ALG2 [24], which physically associates with dynein-dynactin and mediates the retrograde transport and perinuclear accumulation of lysosomes [25] (Figure 1, pathway A3). TRPML1 is the predominant calcium channel that controls calcium release in the lysosome [26] and can be activated by lysosome specific phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate $(PI(3,5)P₂)$ [27] whose biosynthesis is regulated by both nutrient and growth factors [28]. In response to starvation, autophagy induction or cytosolic alkalization, lysosomes undergo perinuclear redistribution, which is blocked by acute TRPML1 inhibition or $PI(3,5)P_2$ depletion [25]. Conversely, the activation of TRPML1 sufficiently induces perinuclear accumulation of lysosomes in a $PI(3,5)P_2$ and ALG-2 dependent manner [25]. Notably, although mutations of the human TRPML1 gene are linked with mucolipidosis type IV (ML-IV) LSD [26], the TRPML1- ALG-2 mechanism does not contribute to the perinuclear accumulation of lysosomes in ML-IV, and neither does it contribute to perinuclear accumulation of lysosomes in Niemann-Pick disease type C1, another LSD characterized by cholesterol accumulation [25].

Third, lysosomal transmembrane protein TMEM55B can directly bind with the dynein scaffold protein JIP4 and recruit dynein-dynactin to lysosomes through JIP4, inducing lysosomal retrograde transport and perinuclear positioning [29] (Figure 1, pathway A1). It is known that TMEM55B overexpression causes the clustering of lysosomes around the nucleus, while its knockdown increases the concentration of lysosomes in the cell periphery [29]. Interestingly, starvation up-regulates the expression of TMEM55B through the transcription factor EB (TFEB)/transcription factor E3 (TFE3), the master regulator of lysosomal biogenesis [29, 30] (see the section "Transcriptional control of lysosomal adaptation"). The transcription of TMEM55B also responds to cholesterol levels, which is mediated by SREBF2 and TFEB/TFE3 [29]. As a result, the TMEM55B-JIP4 mechanism contributes to the perinuclear redistribution of lysosomes caused by chemical and genetic induction of lysosomal cholesterol accumulation [29]. In addition to the transcriptional control, the TMEM55B-JIP4 mechanism is also subject to the post-transcriptional regulation by **ERK** [29, 30].

Perinuclear retrograde movement via ER/Golgi interaction

Additionaly, lysosomal positioning close to the perinuclear region is mediated by the interaction of lysosomes with ER and Golgi. Rab34, a small GTPase primarily localized to the perinuclear Golgi complex, can interact with RILP [31] and Rab7 to promote lysosome-Golgi tethering and perinuclear retention of lysosomes [32] (Figure 1, pathway A5). Rab34 is required for starvation-induced perinuclear accumulation of lysosomes and is sufficient to promote lysosome movement toward the nucleus upon overexpression [32]. Lysosome associated Folliculin (FLCN) facilitates this regulatory mechanism through interacting with RILP and promoting the loading of active Rab34 onto RILP upon starvation [32].

At the same time, an ER-located ubiquitin ligase **RNF26** can recruit and ubiquitinate p62/ SQSTM1 (the ubiquitin-binding protein involved in autophagosome cargo recruitment), which further attracts ubiquitin-binding domains of various vesicle adaptors, including **EPS15**, **TAX1BP1** and **TOLLIP**, and restricts their cognate vesicles in the perinuclear region [33] (Figure 1, pathway A4). This anchoring process is terminated upon deubiquitination of p62/SQSTM1 by the RNF26-associated deubiquitinating enzyme **USP15**, which facilitates the vesicle release toward the periphery [33]. Depletion of RNF26, or knocking down of p62/SQSTM1, EPS15, TAX1BP1 or TOLLIP leads to redistribution of lysosomes toward the peripheral region, while depletion of USP15 decreases the number of periphery-located lysosomes and overall endosome mobility [33]. It is currently unclear whether the activity of RNF-16 and/or UPS15 is modulated by the nutrient status of the cell.

Peripheral anterograde transport with kinesins

Furthermore, starvation inhibits anterograde transport of lysosomes toward the periphery. Anterograde transport can be mediated by a lysosomal eight-unit complex **BORC**, which recruits a small GTPase, **Arl8**, to the lysosomal membrane [20] (Figure 1, pathway B2). Arl8 in turn interacts with different kinesins to drive peripheral movement of lysosomes [34]. Arl8 requires an effector protein **SKIP** to establish the connection with kinesin-1 [35] but directly binds to kinesin-3 [20]. Knocking down of Arl8, SKIP, BORC, kinesin-1 or kinesin-3 causes perinuclear redistribution of lysosomes without starvation [20], [34, 35]. In the condition of starvation, BORC interaction with the Ragulator complex is enhanced, which prevents BORC-Arl8b binding and consequently leads to lysosomal accumulation around the nucleus [21]. However, overexpression of Arl8 or kinesin-1 can block this starvation-induced perinuclear accumulation [36].

At the same time, anterograde movement can be regulated by phosphatidylinositol 3 phosphate (PtdIns3P), which promotes lysosome-ER contact and the translocation of lysosomes to the cell periphery [37] (Figure 1, pathway B1). PtdIns3P on the lysosome recruits a PtdIns3P-binding protein **FYCO1** to the lysosome membrane and another PtdIns3P-binding protein Protrudin on the ER membrane, which facilitates the transfer of kinesin-1 from protrudin to FYCO1 to initiate anterograde transportation [37, 38]. The production of PtdIns3P on the lysosome is catalyzed by the lipid kinase **VPS34**, which is stimulated by an increased level of amino acids [39]. Thus, upon starvation, this PtdIns3Pdependent anterograde transportation mechanism is comprised due to inactive VPS34.

Collectively, the emerging research on lysosome positioning starts to reveal the tip of the iceberg for this delicately balanced system with high dynamics. Positioning changes have been reported to affect lysosomal acidity and autophagic flux [36, 40, 41] as well as signal transduction (see the section "Lysosomal positioning & signaling activation"). Further understanding of its regulatory mechanisms will advance our current knowledge on the roles of lysosomes in cellular signaling, organismal development, pathogenesis and aging.

Lysosome-to-nucleus interaction in signaling transduction

Importantly, the interaction between lysosomes and the nucleus does not just occur at the morphological level. Dependent on their abilities to cluster at the perinuclear region, produce various metabolites and anchor signaling factors, lysosomes can communicate with the nucleus to regulate signal transduction and transcription responses. Three examples of such regulations are highlighted here.

Lysosomal positioning & signaling activation

As a master regulator of cellular growth and metabolism, mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) plays crucial roles in cancer, neurodegeneration, metabolic disorders and aging. Recent studies reveal mTORC1 activation at the lysosomal surface, which is regulated by Ragulator, GATOR1 and FLCN in complex with FNIP (see reviews [42–44]), and also the interesting link between mTORC1 activation and lysosomal positioning [36]. On one hand, mTORC1 influences lysosomal positioning in response to nutrient and growth factors (see above and Figure 1, pathways B2 and A5). More specifically, Ragulator physically interacts with BORC and in turn comprises its ability to recruit kinesins, which is enhanced upon starvation or weakened by growth factors to drive the perinuclear or peripheral movement of lysosomes, respectively [21, 45]. Meanwhile, direct binding of FLCN with RILP, in response to starvation, promotes the loading of active Rab34, the tethering of lysosomes to the perinuclear Golgi complex, and the retaining of lysosomes around the nucleus [32]. On the other hand, lysosomal positioning can in turn influence mTORC1 activation. Overexpression of proteins mediating the anterograde transport of lysosomes, such as BORC, ARL8, Protrudin and FYCO1, enhances mTORC1 activity [36, 38]. Conversely, genetic knockdown or knockout of those anterograde transport mediators attenuates mTORC1 activation in response to nutrient and growth factors [36, 38, 46].

However, there are also studies that associate peripheral positioning of lysosomes with a reduction in mTORC1 activity [47, 48]. For example, cytosolic acidification resulted from hypoxia leads to peripheral distribution of lysosomes and mTORC1 inhibition [48]. This controversy might be related to specific mechanisms activating mTORC1 under different conditions. In response to growth factors, perinuclear clustering of lysosomes attenuates the activation of mTORC2 and **AKT** that can augment mTORC1 activation [46]; while in response to cytosolic acidification, peripheral redistribution of lysosomes drives lysosomebound mTOR away from the perinuclear pool of RHEB that activates it [48].

Nuclear translocation of lysosomal FABPs

As a metabolic active site, lysosomes are responsible for the digestion and degradation of macromolecules and the production of various metabolites. A crucial group of bioactive metabolites comprise fatty acids (FAs) and FA derivatives that are sources of energy fuel, membrane building, and signal transduction [49]. Within the lysosomal lumen, acidic lipases and phospholipases can de-esterify neutral lipids and phospholipids, respectively, to generate FAs and FA derivatives, which may be exported via vesicular routes and/or lipid binding proteins and transporters [50].

In the cytoplasm, hydrophobic FAs and FA derivatives rely on fatty acid binding proteins (FABPs) to facilitate their transportation between organelles [51]. This family of proteins therefore act as lipid chaperones to mediate the metabolic and signaling effects of FAs and FA derivatives [51]. In particular, specific FABPs can selectively carry FAs to the nucleus and activate a family of nuclear hormone receptors known as peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptors (PPARs) [52]. FABP proteins are conserved from Caenorhabditis elegans to humans, with nine homologs in each organism. One of C. elegans FABPs, LBP-8, is reported to be translocated from the lysosome to the nucleus upon lysosomal **lipolysis** induced by overexpression of a lysosomal acid lipase LIPL-4 [53]. The LBP-8 protein is able to bind a variety of FAs and FA derivatives [53, 54], and carries a structurally conserved nuclear localization signal [54]. Overexpression of LIPL-4 also leads to an increased level of oleoylethanolamide (OEA), a FA derivative that directly binds to LBP-8 and requires its nuclear translocation to activate the nuclear hormone receptor complex NHR-80 and NHR-49 (Figure 2) [53, 54]. This complex in turn upregulates $lbp-8$ gene to form a positive feedback loop [53] and mitochondrial genes to induce lysosome-mitochondria crosstalk [55]. The activation of this lysosome-to-nucleus retrograde lipid signaling pathway by overexpressing lipl-4 or lbp-8 or supplementing OEA promotes longevity, lipid catabolism and redox homeostasis [53, 55].

Lysosome-nucleus shuttling of TFEB and MITF-1

Unlike nuclear hormone receptors mostly residing in the nucleus, numerous transcription factors shuttle between the cytoplasm and the nucleus in response to different stimuli. It is a common mechanism that transcription factors are sequestered in the cytoplasm until specific cues trigger their translocation to the nucleus and regulate the expression of their target genes accordingly. In particular, transcription factors, TFEB and **MITF**-1, can be recruited to the lysosome through interacting with active Rag GTPase heterodimers, leading to mTORC1-dependent inhibition of their activities (Figure 2) [56]. TFEB and MITF-1 both belong to the MITF subfamily of helix-loop-helix leucine zipper transcription factors that also include TFE3 [57]. When nutrient is abundant, TFEB and MITF-1 are constantly cycling between the lysosome and the cytosol, and the lysosome-associated fraction can be phosphorylated by mTORC1 and released back to the cytosol and tethered with the **14-3-3** protein [56, 58–60]. The TFEB-14-3-3 tethering blocks the nuclear translocation of TFEB and consequently its activity.

Upon starvation or mTORC1 inactivation, dephosphorylated TFEB fails to tether with 14-3-3 and translocates into the nucleus and shows a stronger association with lysosomes as

well [62, 65–67]. Similarly, inactivation of mTORC1 can also lead to the accumulation of MITF-1 into the nucleus and at the lysosome [56]. In the nucleus, TFEB activates a series of target genes that control lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy [15, 61] (see the section "TFEB/TFE3 transcription factors"). Besides mTORC1, the phosphorylation and nuclear localization of TFEB can be regulated by several other kinases and phosphatases, including ERK2, protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), as well as calcineurin, a Ca^{2+} triggered serinethreonine phosphatase [62–64]. Interestingly, calcineurin is activated by Ca^{2+} release through the ion channel TRPML1 that is required for promoting Ca^{2+} - dependent lysosomal movement towards the perinuclear region upon nutrient deprivation [25]. Thus, lysosomal positioning might also couple with the modulation of TFEB activity.

Nucleus-to-lysosome interaction in the control of lysosomal adaptation

In supporting cellular homeostasis, not only lysosomal signals actively influence nuclear transcription through altering lysosomal positioning and promoting nuclear translocation of chaperone proteins and transcription factors, but nuclear transcription also dynamically regulates genes involved in lysosomal biogenesis and functions and autophagy. Several transcription factors are implicated in this nuclear control of lysosomal adaptation, in order to ensure that lysosomes can adjust their values and activities in the context of environment fluctuation (Figure 2).

TFEB/TFE3 transcription factors

The discovery of the coordinated lysosomal expression and regulation (CLEAR) gene network and its responsible transcription factor TFEB suggests that the lysosomalautophagic pathway is under a transcriptional control [15, 16]. Genes in the CLEAR network carry a CLEAR motif (TCACG) that is recognized and positively regulated by TFEB [15], and are extensively implicated in the lysosomal-autophagic process, such as autophagosome biogenesis, lysosome acidification, hydrolysis, ion homeostasis and exocytosis [29, 65, 66]. In addition to TFEB, TFE3 also induces the expression of lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy genes via binding with the CLEAR motif [67].

TFEB and TFE3 act independently to some extent, and are both regulated by mTORC1, which phosphorylates them at critical serine residues, leading to 14-3-3 tethering and cytoplasmic retention [67–69]. Nutrient deprivation inhibits the mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation, promotes calcineurin-mediated dephosphorylation following lysosomal $Ca²⁺$ release through TRPML1, and drives the translocation of TFEB/TFE3 into the nucleus [62]. Transcriptional induction of TFEB/TFE3 target genes enhances lysosome biogenesis and autophagic flux, which enable cells and organisms to meet the catabolic need required for survival under starvation. Other kinases responsive to metabolic cues and growth factors, such as MAP4K3, ERK, and **GSK3**, can also phosphorylate TFEB/TFE3 and inhibit their nuclear localization [61, 70–73].

As a counterpart of TFEB, a zinc-finger transcription factor harboring KRAB and SCAN domains (ZKSCAN3) has been reported to translocate into the nucleus when nutrient is available and repress a large set of genes related to the lysosomal-autophagic pathway [74]. Knockdown of ZKSCAN3 increases lysosome biogenesis and promotes autophagy in cells

[74]. However, studies from ZKSCAN3 knockout mice show the dispensable role of this transcription factor in regulating lysosomal or autophagic gene expression [75]. It remains unclear what might cause this discrepancy, and one possible explanation is that ZKSCAN3 knockout over long time leads to transcriptional adaptation that hinders its effects.

FOXO transcription factors and p53

The class O of forkhead transcription factors (FOXO) are involved in regulating numerous cellular processes including cell metabolism, cell cycle and proliferation, oxidative stress response, and longevity [76–78]. C. elegans and Drosophila melanogaster each have one FOXO, but mammals carry four members, FOXO1, FOXO3, FOXO4 and FOXO6 [76, 79, 80]. AKT is the primary regulator of FOXO, which phosphorylates FOXO and causes its tethering with 14-3-3 and retention in the cytoplasm [81]. In muscle cells, FOXO3 is required for the transcriptional induction of autophagy-related genes [82]. More recently, analysis of ChIP-seq data shows that FOXO3 directly binds to and regulates a network of autophagy-related genes in adult neural stem and progenitor cells, where the activation of FOXO3 promotes autophagic flux [83]. In addition to FOXO3, FOXO1 has been shown to induce autophagy upon oxidative stress or serum starvation in human colon cancer cell line HCT116 and human cervical carcinoma cell line HeLa, which is however independent of its transcription activity [84]. Moreover, the expression of autophagy-related genes is reduced in the cartilage-specific triple knockout mice of FOXO1, 3 and 4, but induced in chondrocytes overexpressing the constitutively active form of FOXO1 [85].

For FOXO3, although ChIP-seq analysis reveals its binding to many autophagy-related genes, it has been noted that a number of those genes are not transcriptionally regulated by FOXO3, suggesting a co-factor function of FOXO3. In supporting this idea, a more recent study in C. elegans shows that TFEB/HLH-30 directly interacts with FOXO/DAF-16 by forming a combinatorial transcription factor complex [86]. Further transcriptomic analysis indicates that these two transcription factors jointly regulate many target genes involved in the longevity pathway [86]. Given the vital function of FOXO in multiple stress and metabolic processes, its coordination with TFEB adds another layer to the regulation of lysosomal adaption.

In addition, FOXO3a itself is subject to the transcriptional activation by p53, a key tumor suppressor [87, 88]. p53 family members also up-regulate the expression of other genes involved the lysosomal-autophagic process, ranging from upstream factors mediating AMPK activation and mTOR inhibition, core autophagy components to lysosomal proteins [88–91]. On the other hand, basal cytoplasmic p53 has been shown to repress autophagy in different cellular contexts likely through transcription-independent mechanisms [90]. Thus, p53 regulates the lysosomal-autophagic process in a dual fashion, acting as both an inducer and a suppressor, which demands further investigation.

Nuclear Hormone Receptors

Nuclear hormone receptors are a family of ligand-inducible transcription factors that are commonly activated by various lipid signals, and some of them have been linked with the transcriptional regulation of the lysosomal-autophagic process. In hepatic cells, farnesoid X

receptor (FXR), a well-known bile acid receptor, is activated by feeding-associated increase in bile acids and transcriptionally repress lysosomal and autophagic genes. In one way, this repression is mediated through the interaction between FXR and **CREB**, which hinders the binding of CREB with its coactivator CRTC2. Upon starvation, FXR-mediated inhibition of the CREB-CRTC2 complex is removed, allowing the transcriptional induction of lysosomal and autophagic genes [92].

In another way, this repression is mediated through the competition between FXR and PPARα on the same binding motif in the promoter regions of lysosomal and autophagic genes. Fasting activates PPARα to induce the transcription of lysosomal and autophagic gene in the liver, while feeding activates FXR to repress the transcription of the same group of genes [93]. Interestingly, TFEB itself is a transcription target of FXR and CREB [92], which in turn transcriptionally regulates PPARα and its coactivator **PGC1**α [61]. Thus, these two mechanisms might coordinate to enhance lysosomal adaption in response to metabolic changes. At the same time, specific FABP can directly bind with PPARα and regulate its transcriptional activity [94], and OEA is a well-known endogenous agonist of PPARα [95], which may suggest the role of lysosomal FABP and lipid signals in the transcriptional regulation of lysosomal adaptation.

Conclusion Remarks

Lysosomes, traditionally viewed as terminal degradative organelles in catabolic processes, their fundamental roles in nutrient sensing and metabolic signal transduction have been emerged and recognized in the past decade. A variety of sensors and transducers within the cell refine metabolic cues from lysosomes and launch signaling cascades to promote appropriate cellular responses. The crosstalk between the lysosome and the nucleus is a crucial part of this signaling orchestra and occurs at multiple layers, which include the modulation of lysosomal motility and positioning, the shuttling of signaling factors between the two compartments, and the transcriptional control of lysosomal adaption, as reviewed in this article.

Moreover, accumulating evidence suggest that lysosomes widely interact and cooperate with other organelles in addition to the nucleus. For example, lysosome-ER contact plays crucial roles in regulating lysosomal positioning, Ca^{2+} homeostasis and mTOR signaling [38, 96, 97]. Both ER and lysosomes are intracellular Ca^{2+} stores. The release of lysosomal Ca^{2+} is implicated in endocytic membrane trafficking and fusion, autophagy, lysosome exocytosis and plasma membrane repair [98, 99]. A recent study shows that the inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate (IP3) receptor is activated by IP3 populated at the contact site between ER and lysosomes and regulates Ca^{2+} exchange between them [100]. In addition, lysosome-ER contact is vital to monitor and regulate cholesterol levels, and **OSBP** can function at lysosome-ER contact sites and transport cholesterol across the contact to activate mTORC1 [97]. Physical contact between lysosomes and mitochondria is also reported in healthy untreated Hela cells, which is mediated by Rab7 [101]. Upon the recruitment of the Rab7 GTPase-activating protein **TBCF1D15** to mitochondria by **FIS1**, increased GTP hydrolysis releases the contact between lysosomes and mitochondria [101]. Unraveling how lysosomes are able to coordinately interact with different organelles and the physiological relevance

underlying these interactions will be a challenging and promising direction for future research. Cutting edge imaging techniques, like lattice light-sheet microscopy, cryogenic super-resolution fluorescence microscopy coupled with focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy [102–106], is shedding new light on this area.

Most of our knowledge regarding the signaling role of lysosomes comes from studies in cell culture systems. In an organism, LSDs usually show a wide range of cell and organ specificity [120]. Although this specificity may be due to different cellular/metabolic demands in different cells and organs, the heterogeneity of lysosomes across different cell types could contribute to different pathologies of distinct organs associated with LSDs [36, 40, 41]. Therefore, it will be crucial to harness the power of tissue/cell type specific proteomics and metabolomics of lysosomes and high-resolution, high-dynamics microscopic analyses of lysosomes to investigate their heterogeneity, complex interaction with other organelles, and cell-autonomous and non-autonomous regulatory mechanisms at the organism level. These systemic studies will provide new ways for improving lysosomal functions in various tissues and deepen our current knowledge about the functional roles of lysosomes in health and diseases.

Acknowledgment

We thank NIH grants R01AG045183 (M.C.W.), R01AT009050 (M.C.W.), R01AG062257 (M.C.W.), DP1DK113644 (M.C.W.), March of Dimes Foundation (M.C.W.), Welch Foundation (M.C.W.). We apologize to colleagues whose work we were not able to cite owing to space limitations.

Glossary

AKT

also known as protein kinase B, is a serine/threonine kinase and main regulator of metabolism

ARL8

ADP ribosylation factor-like 8, is small G protein and regulator of lysosomal anterograde trafficking

BORC

BLOC-1-related complex, is a multi-subunit complex that regulates lysosome positioning

CREB

cAMP response element-binding protein, is a transcription factor

Endocytosis

a process by which cells internalize materials surrounding the cell membrane through membrane invagination and formation of vesicles

ERK

known as extra-cellular signal regulated protein kinase, is a member of mitogen activated protein kinases

EPS15

epidermal growth factor receptor substrate 15, is an endocytic adaptor protein interacting with RNF26/SQSTM1

Exocytosis

an energy-dependent secretory process that transports cellular materials confined within vesicles out of the cell membrane into the extracellular space

FIS1

mitochondria fission 1 protein, is a regulator of mitochondrial fragmentation

FYCO1

FYVE and coiled-coil domain-containing protein 1, is a regulator of lysosomal retrograde transportation

GSK3

glycogen synthase kinase 3, is a serine/threonine protein kinase

Lipolysis

a biochemical pathway through which ester bonds in neutral lipids, triglycerides are hydrolyzed, resulting in the generation of fatty acids and glycerol

Lysosome adaptation

Lysosomes actively adjust their biosynthesis and activities in response to intracellular and environmental inputs, which is transcriptionally coordinated by multiple factors.

MITF

Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor in the family of basic helix-loop-helix leucine zipper transcription factors

Oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 1(ORP1L)

is a member of the human oxysterol-binding protein (OSBP) family

OSBP

oxysterol-binding protein, is a receptor for a variety of oxysterols

PGC1α

peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha, is a transcriptional co-activator for several nuclear receptors

RNF26

Ring finger protein 26, is ER-associated E3 ubiquitin ligase

SKIP

SifA-kinesin interacting protein, also known as PLEKHM2, is an adaptor protein between kinesin motor protein and ARL-8

TAX1BP1

Tax1-binding protein 1, is an autophagy receptor and vesicle adaptor protein interacting with RNF26/SQSTM1

TBCF1D15

TBC1 domain family member 15, is a GTPase activation protein for Rab7

TOLLIP

Toll interacting protein, is a negative regulator of toll-like receptor-mediated signaling as well as a vesicle adaptor protein interacting with RNF26/SQSTM1

TRPML1

transient receptor potential, mucolipin subfamily, member 1, is a cation-permeable channel that is localized on both late endosomes and lysosomes

USP15

ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 15, is a deubiquitinating enzyme

VPS34

vacuolar protein sorting 34, is a class 3 phosphatidylinositol (PtdIns) 3-kinase and an endosomal trafficking regulator

XPO1

exportin 1, is a eukaryotic nuclear export protein

14-3-3

is a family of structural similar intracellular phosphor-serine/threonine binding proteins that are found in all eukaryotes

References:

- 1. Appelmans F, Wattiaux R, and De Duve C, Tissue fractionation studies. 5. The association of acid phosphatase with a special class of cytoplasmic granules in rat liver. Biochem J, 1955 59(3): p. 438– 45. [PubMed: 14363114]
- 2. Mindell JA, Lysosomal acidification mechanisms. Annu Rev Physiol, 2012 74: p. 69–86. [PubMed: 22335796]
- 3. Saftig P, Schroder B, and Blanz J, Lysosomal membrane proteins: life between acid and neutral conditions. Biochem Soc Trans, 2010 38(6): p. 1420–3. [PubMed: 21118100]
- 4. Chapel A, et al., An extended proteome map of the lysosomal membrane reveals novel potential transporters. Mol Cell Proteomics, 2013 12(6): p. 1572–88. [PubMed: 23436907]
- 5. Tooze SA, Abada A, and Elazar Z, Endocytosis and autophagy: exploitation or cooperation? Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 2014 6(5): p. a018358. [PubMed: 24789822]
- 6. Rabinowitz JD and White E, Autophagy and metabolism. Science, 2010 330(6009): p. 1344–8. [PubMed: 21127245]
- 7. Sorkin A and von Zastrow M, Endocytosis and signalling: intertwining molecular networks. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2009 10(9): p. 609–22. [PubMed: 19696798]
- 8. Saftig P and Klumperman J, Lysosome biogenesis and lysosomal membrane proteins: trafficking meets function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2009 10(9): p. 623–35. [PubMed: 19672277]
- 9. Lloyd JB, Metabolite efflux and influx across the lysosome membrane. Subcell Biochem, 1996 27: p. 361–86. [PubMed: 8993166]
- 10. Liu B, et al., LAAT-1 is the lysosomal lysine/arginine transporter that maintains amino acid homeostasis. Science, 2012 337(6092): p. 351–4. [PubMed: 22822152]
- 11. Blott EJ and Griffiths GM, Secretory lysosomes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2002 3(2): p. 122–31. [PubMed: 11836514]

- 12. Rodriguez A, et al., Lysosomes behave as Ca2+-regulated exocytic vesicles in fibroblasts and epithelial cells. J Cell Biol, 1997 137(1): p. 93–104. [PubMed: 9105039]
- 13. Jaiswal JK, Andrews NW, and Simon SM, Membrane proximal lysosomes are the major vesicles responsible for calcium-dependent exocytosis in nonsecretory cells. J Cell Biol, 2002 159(4): p. 625–35. [PubMed: 12438417]
- 14. Chieregatti E and Meldolesi J, Regulated exocytosis: new organelles for non-secretory purposes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2005 6(2): p. 181–7. [PubMed: 15688003]
- 15. Sardiello M, et al., A gene network regulating lysosomal biogenesis and function. Science, 2009 325(5939): p. 473–7. [PubMed: 19556463]
- 16. Perera RM and Zoncu R, The Lysosome as a Regulatory Hub. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology, 2016.
- 17. Ballabio A and Bonifacino JS, Lysosomes as dynamic regulators of cell and organismal homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2020 21(2): p. 101–118. [PubMed: 31768005]
- 18. van Bergeijk P, Hoogenraad CC, and Kapitein LC, Right Time, Right Place: Probing the Functions of Organelle Positioning. Trends Cell Biol, 2016 26(2): p. 121–134. [PubMed: 26541125]
- 19. Pu J, et al., Mechanisms and functions of lysosome positioning. J Cell Sci, 2016 129(23): p. 4329– 4339. [PubMed: 27799357]
- 20. Pu J, et al., BORC, a multisubunit complex that regulates lysosome positioning. Dev Cell, 2015 33(2): p. 176–88. [PubMed: 25898167]
- 21. Pu J, Keren-Kaplan T, and Bonifacino JS, A Ragulator-BORC interaction controls lysosome positioning in response to amino acid availability. J Cell Biol, 2017 216(12): p. 4183–4197. [PubMed: 28993468]
- 22. Jordens I, et al., The Rab7 effector protein RILP controls lysosomal transport by inducing the recruitment of dynein-dynactin motors. Curr Biol, 2001 11(21): p. 1680–5. [PubMed: 11696325]
- 23. Rocha N, et al., Cholesterol sensor ORP1L contacts the ER protein VAP to control Rab7-RILPp150 Glued and late endosome positioning. J Cell Biol, 2009 185(7): p. 1209–25. [PubMed: 19564404]
- 24. Vergarajauregui S, Martina JA, and Puertollano R, Identification of the penta-EF-hand protein ALG-2 as a Ca2+-dependent interactor of mucolipin-1. J Biol Chem, 2009 284(52): p. 36357–66. [PubMed: 19864416]
- 25. Li X, et al., A molecular mechanism to regulate lysosome motility for lysosome positioning and tubulation. Nat Cell Biol, 2016 18(4): p. 404–17. [PubMed: 26950892]
- 26. Dong XP, et al., The type IV mucolipidosis-associated protein TRPML1 is an endolysosomal iron release channel. Nature, 2008 455(7215): p. 992–6. [PubMed: 18794901]
- 27. Dong XP, et al., PI(3,5)P(2) controls membrane trafficking by direct activation of mucolipin Ca(2+) release channels in the endolysosome. Nat Commun, 2010 1: p. 38. [PubMed: 20802798]
- 28. Bridges D, et al., Phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate plays a role in the activation and subcellular localization of mechanistic target of rapamycin 1. Mol Biol Cell, 2012 23(15): p. 2955–62. [PubMed: 22696681]
- 29. Willett R, et al., TFEB regulates lysosomal positioning by modulating TMEM55B expression and JIP4 recruitment to lysosomes. Nat Commun, 2017 8(1): p. 1580. [PubMed: 29146937]
- 30. Takemasu S, et al., Phosphorylation of TMEM55B by Erk/MAPK regulates lysosomal positioning. J Biochem, 2019 166(2): p. 175–185. [PubMed: 31329883]
- 31. Wang T and Hong W, Interorganellar regulation of lysosome positioning by the Golgi apparatus through Rab34 interaction with Rab-interacting lysosomal protein. Mol Biol Cell, 2002 13(12): p. 4317–32. [PubMed: 12475955]
- 32. Starling GP, et al., Folliculin directs the formation of a Rab34-RILP complex to control the nutrient-dependent dynamic distribution of lysosomes. EMBO Rep, 2016 17(6): p. 823–41. [PubMed: 27113757]
- 33. Jongsma ML, et al., An ER-Associated Pathway Defines Endosomal Architecture for Controlled Cargo Transport. Cell, 2016 166(1): p. 152–66. [PubMed: 27368102]

- 34. Guardia CM, et al., BORC Functions Upstream of Kinesins 1 and 3 to Coordinate Regional Movement of Lysosomes along Different Microtubule Tracks. Cell Rep, 2016 17(8): p. 1950– 1961. [PubMed: 27851960]
- 35. Rosa-Ferreira C and Munro S, Arl8 and SKIP act together to link lysosomes to kinesin-1. Dev Cell, 2011 21(6): p. 1171–8. [PubMed: 22172677]
- 36. Korolchuk VI, et al., Lysosomal positioning coordinates cellular nutrient responses. Nat Cell Biol, 2011 13(4): p. 453–60. [PubMed: 21394080]
- 37. Raiborg C, et al., Repeated ER-endosome contacts promote endosome translocation and neurite outgrowth. Nature, 2015 520(7546): p. 234–8. [PubMed: 25855459]
- 38. Hong Z, et al., PtdIns3P controls mTORC1 signaling through lysosomal positioning. J Cell Biol, 2017 216(12): p. 4217–4233. [PubMed: 29030394]
- 39. Nobukuni T, et al., Amino acids mediate mTOR/raptor signaling through activation of class 3 phosphatidylinositol 3OH-kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2005 102(40): p. 14238–43. [PubMed: 16176982]
- 40. Johnson DE, et al., The position of lysosomes within the cell determines their luminal pH. J Cell Biol, 2016 212(6): p. 677–92. [PubMed: 26975849]
- 41. Gowrishankar S and Ferguson SM, Lysosomes relax in the cellular suburbs. J Cell Biol, 2016 212(6): p. 617–9. [PubMed: 26975848]
- 42. Kim J and Guan KL, mTOR as a central hub of nutrient signalling and cell growth. Nat Cell Biol, 2019 21(1): p. 63–71. [PubMed: 30602761]
- 43. Savini M, Zhao Q, and Wang MC, Lysosomes: Signaling Hubs for Metabolic Sensing and Longevity. Trends Cell Biol, 2019 29(11): p. 876–887. [PubMed: 31611045]
- 44. Liu GY and Sabatini DM, mTOR at the nexus of nutrition, growth, ageing and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2020.
- 45. Filipek PA, et al., LAMTOR/Ragulator is a negative regulator of Arl8b- and BORC- dependent late endosomal positioning. J Cell Biol, 2017 216(12): p. 4199–4215. [PubMed: 28993467]
- 46. Jia R and Bonifacino JS, Lysosome Positioning Influences mTORC2 and AKT Signaling. Mol Cell, 2019 75(1): p. 26–38 e3. [PubMed: 31130364]
- 47. Clippinger AJ and Alwine JC, Dynein mediates the localization and activation of mTOR in normal and human cytomegalovirus-infected cells. Genes Dev, 2012 26(18): p. 2015–26. [PubMed: 22987636]
- 48. Walton ZE, et al., Acid Suspends the Circadian Clock in Hypoxia through Inhibition of mTOR. Cell, 2018 174(1): p. 72–87 e32. [PubMed: 29861175]
- 49. Duffy J, Mutlu AS, and Wang MC, Lipid Metabolism, Lipid Signalling and Longevity, in Ageing: Lessons from C. elegans, Olsen A and Gill MS, Editors. 2017, Springer International Publishing: Cham p. 307–329.
- 50. Thelen AM and Zoncu R, Emerging Roles for the Lysosome in Lipid Metabolism. Trends Cell Biol, 2017 27(11): p. 833–850. [PubMed: 28838620]
- 51. Furuhashi M and Hotamisligil GS, Fatty acid-binding proteins: role in metabolic diseases and potential as drug targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov, 2008 7(6): p. 489–503. [PubMed: 18511927]
- 52. Tan NS, et al., Selective cooperation between fatty acid binding proteins and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in regulating transcription. Mol Cell Biol, 2002 22(14): p. 5114– 27. [PubMed: 12077340]
- 53. Folick A, et al., Lysosomal signaling molecules regulate longevity in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science, 2015 347: p. 83–86. [PubMed: 25554789]
- 54. Tillman MC, et al., Structural characterization of life-extending Caenorhabditis elegans Lipid Binding Protein 8. Sci Rep, 2019 9(1): p. 9966. [PubMed: 31292465]
- 55. Ramachandran PV, et al., Lysosomal Signaling Promotes Longevity by Adjusting Mitochondrial Activity. Dev Cell, 2019 48(5): p. 685–696 e5. [PubMed: 30713071]
- 56. Martina JA and Puertollano R, Rag GTPases mediate amino acid-dependent recruitment of TFEB and MITF to lysosomes. J Cell Biol, 2013 200(4): p. 475–91. [PubMed: 23401004]

- 57. Rehli M, et al., Cloning and characterization of the murine genes for bHlH-ZIP transcription factors TFEC and TFEB reveal a common gene organization for all MiT subfamily members. Genomics, 1999.
- 58. Bronisz A, et al., Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor interactions with 14-3- 3 modulate differentiation of committed myeloid precursors. Mol Biol Cell, 2006 17(9): p. 3897– 906. [PubMed: 16822840]
- 59. Settembre C, et al., A lysosome-to-nucleus signalling mechanism senses and regulates the lysosome via mTOR and TFEB. EMBO J, 2012 31(5): p. 1095–108. [PubMed: 22343943]
- 60. Roczniak-Ferguson A, et al., The transcription factor TFEB links mTORC1 signaling to transcriptional control of lysosome homeostasis. Sci Signal, 2012 5(228): p. ra42. [PubMed: 22692423]
- 61. Settembre C, et al., TFEB links autophagy to lysosomal biogenesis. Science, 2011 332(6036): p. 1429–33. [PubMed: 21617040]
- 62. Medina DL, et al., Lysosomal calcium signalling regulates autophagy through calcineurin and TFEB. Nat Cell Biol, 2015 17(3): p. 288–99. [PubMed: 25720963]
- 63. Tong Y and Song F, Intracellular calcium signaling regulates autophagy via calcineurin-mediated TFEB dephosphorylation. Autophagy, 2015 11(7): p. 1192–5. [PubMed: 26043755]
- 64. Wang W, et al., Up-regulation of lysosomal TRPML1 channels is essential for lysosomal adaptation to nutrient starvation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2015 112(11): p. E1373–81. [PubMed: 25733853]
- 65. Medina DL, et al., Transcriptional activation of lysosomal exocytosis promotes cellular clearance. Dev Cell, 2011 21(3): p. 421–30. [PubMed: 21889421]
- 66. Sbano L, et al., TFEB-mediated increase in peripheral lysosomes regulates store-operated calcium entry. Sci Rep, 2017 7: p. 40797. [PubMed: 28084445]
- 67. Martina JA, et al., The nutrient-responsive transcription factor TFE3 promotes autophagy, lysosomal biogenesis, and clearance of cellular debris. Sci Signal, 2014 7(309): p. ra9. [PubMed: 24448649]
- 68. Xu Y, et al., YWHA/14-3-3 proteins recognize phosphorylated TFEB by a noncanonical mode for controlling TFEB cytoplasmic localization. Autophagy, 2019 15(6): p. 1017–1030. [PubMed: 30653408]
- 69. Martina JA, et al., MTORC1 functions as a transcriptional regulator of autophagy by preventing nuclear transport of TFEB. Autophagy, 2012 8(6): p. 903–14. [PubMed: 22576015]
- 70. Marchand B, et al., Glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK3) inhibition induces prosurvival autophagic signals in human pancreatic cancer cells. J Biol Chem, 2015 290(9): p. 5592–605. [PubMed: 25561726]
- 71. Hsu CL, et al., MAP4K3 mediates amino acid-dependent regulation of autophagy via phosphorylation of TFEB. Nat Commun, 2018 9(1): p. 942. [PubMed: 29507340]
- 72. Li Y, et al., Protein kinase C controls lysosome biogenesis independently of mTORC1. Nat Cell Biol, 2016 18(10): p. 1065–77. [PubMed: 27617930]
- 73. Li L, et al., A TFEB nuclear export signal integrates amino acid supply and glucose availability. Nat Commun, 2018 9(1): p. 2685. [PubMed: 29992949]
- 74. Chauhan S, et al., ZKSCAN3 is a master transcriptional repressor of autophagy. Mol Cell, 2013 50(1): p. 16–28. [PubMed: 23434374]
- 75. Pan H, et al., The role of ZKSCAN3 in the transcriptional regulation of autophagy. Autophagy, 2017 13(7): p. 1235–1238. [PubMed: 28581889]
- 76. Webb AE and Brunet A, FOXO transcription factors: key regulators of cellular quality control. Trends Biochem Sci, 2014 39(4): p. 159–69. [PubMed: 24630600]
- 77. Klotz LO, et al., Redox regulation of FoxO transcription factors. Redox Biol, 2015 6: p. 51–72. [PubMed: 26184557]
- 78. Cheng Z, The FoxO-Autophagy Axis in Health and Disease. Trends Endocrinol Metab, 2019 30(9): p. 658–671. [PubMed: 31443842]
- 79. Tissenbaum HA, DAF-16: FOXO in the Context of C. elegans. Curr Top Dev Biol, 2018 127: p. 1– 21. [PubMed: 29433733]

- 80. Webb AE, Kundaje A, and Brunet A, Characterization of the direct targets of FOXO transcription factors throughout evolution. Aging Cell, 2016 15(4): p. 673–85. [PubMed: 27061590]
- 81. Tzivion G, Dobson M, and Ramakrishnan G, FoxO transcription factors; Regulation by AKT and 14-3-3 proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2011 1813(11): p. 1938–45. [PubMed: 21708191]
- 82. Mammucari C, et al., FoxO3 controls autophagy in skeletal muscle in vivo. Cell Metab, 2007 6(6): p. 458–71. [PubMed: 18054315]
- 83. Audesse AJ, et al., FOXO3 directly regulates an autophagy network to functionally regulate proteostasis in adult neural stem cells. PLoS Genet, 2019 15(4): p. e1008097. [PubMed: 30973875]
- 84. Zhao Y, et al., Cytosolic FoxO1 is essential for the induction of autophagy and tumour suppressor activity. Nat Cell Biol, 2010 12(7): p. 665–75. [PubMed: 20543840]
- 85. Matsuzaki T, et al., FoxO transcription factors modulate autophagy and proteoglycan 4 in cartilage homeostasis and osteoarthritis. Sci Transl Med, 2018 10(428).
- 86. Lin XX, et al., DAF-16/FOXO and HLH-30/TFEB function as combinatorial transcription factors to promote stress resistance and longevity. Nat Commun, 2018 9(1): p. 4400. [PubMed: 30353013]
- 87. Renault VM, et al., The pro-longevity gene FoxO3 is a direct target of the p53 tumor suppressor. Oncogene, 2011 30(29): p. 3207–21. [PubMed: 21423206]
- 88. Kenzelmann Broz D, et al., Global genomic profiling reveals an extensive p53-regulated autophagy program contributing to key p53 responses. Genes Dev, 2013 27(9): p. 1016–31. [PubMed: 23651856]
- 89. Crighton D, et al., DRAM, a p53-induced modulator of autophagy, is critical for apoptosis. Cell, 2006 126(1): p. 121–34. [PubMed: 16839881]
- 90. Tasdemir E, et al., Regulation of autophagy by cytoplasmic p53. Nat Cell Biol, 2008 10(6): p. 676– 87. [PubMed: 18454141]
- 91. Brady OA, et al., The transcription factors TFE3 and TFEB amplify p53 dependent transcriptional programs in response to DNA damage. Elife, 2018 7.
- 92. Seok S, et al., Transcriptional regulation of autophagy by an FXR-CREB axis. Nature, 2014 516(7529): p. 108–11. [PubMed: 25383523]
- 93. Lee JM, et al., Nutrient-sensing nuclear receptors coordinate autophagy. Nature, 2014 516(7529): p. 112–5. [PubMed: 25383539]
- 94. Hostetler HA, et al., L-FABP directly interacts with PPARalpha in cultured primary hepatocytes. J Lipid Res, 2009 50(8): p. 1663–75. [PubMed: 19289416]
- 95. Fu J, et al., Oleylethanolamide regulates feeding and body weight through activation of the nuclear receptor PPAR-alpha. Nature, 2003 425(6953): p. 90–3. [PubMed: 12955147]
- 96. Garrity AG, et al., The endoplasmic reticulum, not the pH gradient, drives calcium refilling of lysosomes. Elife, 2016 5.
- 97. Lim CY, et al., ER-lysosome contacts enable cholesterol sensing by mTORC1 and drive aberrant growth signalling in Niemann-Pick type C. Nat Cell Biol, 2019 21(10): p. 1206–1218. [PubMed: 31548609]
- 98. Li P, Gu M, and Xu H, Lysosomal Ion Channels as Decoders of Cellular Signals. Trends Biochem Sci, 2019 44(2): p. 110–124. [PubMed: 30424907]
- 99. Xu H and Ren D, Lysosomal physiology. Annu Rev Physiol, 2015 77: p. 57–80. [PubMed: 25668017]
- 100. Atakpa P, et al., IP3 Receptors Preferentially Associate with ER-Lysosome Contact Sites and Selectively Deliver Ca2+ to Lysosomes. Cell Reports, 2018.
- 101. Wong YC, Ysselstein D, and Krainc D, Mitochondria-lysosome contacts regulate mitochondrial fission via RAB7 GTP hydrolysis. Nature, 2018 554(7692): p. 382–386. [PubMed: 29364868]
- 102. Chen BC, et al., Lattice light-sheet microscopy: imaging molecules to embryos at high spatiotemporal resolution. Science, 2014 346(6208): p. 1257998. [PubMed: 25342811]
- 103. Guo Y, et al., Visualizing Intracellular Organelle and Cytoskeletal Interactions at Nanoscale Resolution on Millisecond Timescales. Cell, 2018 175(5): p. 1430–1442 e17. [PubMed: 30454650]

- 104. Liu Z, Lavis LD, and Betzig E, Imaging live-cell dynamics and structure at the single-molecule level. Mol Cell, 2015 58(4): p. 644–59. [PubMed: 26000849]
- 105. Valm AM, et al., Applying systems-level spectral imaging and analysis to reveal the organelle interactome. Nature, 2017 546(7656): p. 162–167. [PubMed: 28538724]
- 106. Hoffman DP, et al., Correlative three-dimensional super-resolution and block-face electron microscopy of whole vitreously frozen cells. Science, 2020 367(6475).
- 107. Reid PC, Sugii S, and Chang TY, Trafficking defects in endogenously synthesized cholesterol in fibroblasts, macrophages, hepatocytes, and glial cells from Niemann-Pick type C1 mice. J Lipid Res, 2003 44(5): p. 1010–9. [PubMed: 12611909]
- 108. Kwiatkowska K, et al., Visualization of cholesterol deposits in lysosomes of Niemann-Pick type C fibroblasts using recombinant perfringolysin O. Orphanet J Rare Dis, 2014 9: p. 64. [PubMed: 24775609]
- 109. Uusi-Rauva K, et al., Neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis protein CLN3 interacts with motor proteins and modifies location of late endosomal compartments. Cell Mol Life Sci, 2012 69(12): p. 2075– 89. [PubMed: 22261744]
- 110. Erie C, et al., Altered lysosomal positioning affects lysosomal functions in a cellular model of Huntington's disease. Eur J Neurosci, 2015 42(3): p. 1941–51. [PubMed: 25997742]
- 111. Wong YC and Holzbaur EL, The regulation of autophagosome dynamics by huntingtin and HAP1 is disrupted by expression of mutant huntingtin, leading to defective cargo degradation. J Neurosci, 2014 34(4): p. 1293–305. [PubMed: 24453320]
- 112. Tammineni P, et al., Impaired retrograde transport of axonal autophagosomes contributes to autophagic stress in Alzheimer's disease neurons. Elife, 2017 6.
- 113. Gowrishankar S, et al., Massive accumulation of luminal protease-deficient axonal lysosomes at Alzheimer's disease amyloid plaques. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2015 112(28): p. E3699–708. [PubMed: 26124111]
- 114. Cuervo AM and Dice JF, A receptor for the selective uptake and degradation of proteins by lysosomes. Science, 1996 273(5274): p. 501–3. [PubMed: 8662539]
- 115. Chiang HL, et al., A role for a 70-kilodalton heat shock protein in lysosomal degradation of intracellular proteins. Science, 1989 246(4928): p. 382–5. [PubMed: 2799391]
- 116. Dice JF, Peptide sequences that target cytosolic proteins for lysosomal proteolysis. Trends Biochem Sci, 1990 15(8): p. 305–9. [PubMed: 2204156]
- 117. Oku M and Sakai Y, Three Distinct Types of Microautophagy Based on Membrane Dynamics and Molecular Machineries. Bioessays, 2018 40(6): p. e1800008. [PubMed: 29708272]
- 118. Zhao YG and Zhang H, Autophagosome maturation: An epic journey from the ER to lysosomes. J Cell Biol, 2019 218(3): p. 757–770. [PubMed: 30578282]
- 119. Nakatogawa H, Mechanisms governing autophagosome biogenesis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2020.
- 120. Schultz ML, et al., Clarifying lysosomal storage diseases. Trends Neurosci, 2011 34(8): p. 401– 10. [PubMed: 21723623]
- 121. Parenti G, Andria G, and Ballabio A, Lysosomal storage diseases: from pathophysiology to therapy. Annu Rev Med, 2015 66: p. 471–86. [PubMed: 25587658]
- 122. Marques ARA and Saftig P, Lysosomal storage disorders challenges, concepts and avenues for therapy: beyond rare diseases. J Cell Sci, 2019 132(2).
- 123. Dehay B, et al., Lysosomal impairment in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord, 2013 28(6): p. 725– 32. [PubMed: 23580333]
- 124. Uddin MS, et al., Autophagic dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease: Cellular and molecular mechanistic approaches to halt Alzheimer's pathogenesis. J Cell Physiol, 2019 234(6): p. 8094– 8112. [PubMed: 30362531]
- 125. Martin DD, et al., Autophagy in Huntington disease and huntingtin in autophagy. Trends Neurosci, 2015 38(1): p. 26–35. [PubMed: 25282404]
- 126. Sharma A, et al., Cerebrospinal Fluid from Sporadic Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Patients Induces Mitochondrial and Lysosomal Dysfunction. Neurochem Res, 2016 41(5): p. 965–84. [PubMed: 26646005]

- 127. Lie PPY and Nixon RA, Lysosome trafficking and signaling in health and neurodegenerative diseases. Neurobiol Dis, 2019 122: p. 94–105. [PubMed: 29859318]
- 128. Song W, et al., TFEB regulates lysosomal proteostasis. Hum Mol Genet, 2013 22(10): p. 1994– 2009. [PubMed: 23393155]
- 129. Spampanato C, et al., Transcription factor EB (TFEB) is a new therapeutic target for Pompe disease. EMBO Mol Med, 2013 5(5): p. 691–706. [PubMed: 23606558]
- 130. Tsunemi T, et al., PGC-1alpha rescues Huntington's disease proteotoxicity by preventing oxidative stress and promoting TFEB function. Sci Transl Med, 2012 4(142): p. 142ra97.
- 131. Martini-Stoica H, et al., The Autophagy-Lysosomal Pathway in Neurodegeneration: A TFEB Perspective. Trends Neurosci, 2016 39(4): p. 221–234. [PubMed: 26968346]
- 132. Martini-Stoica H, et al., TFEB enhances astroglial uptake of extracellular tau species and reduces tau spreading. J Exp Med, 2018 215(9): p. 2355–2377. [PubMed: 30108137]
- 133. Polito VA, et al., Selective clearance of aberrant tau proteins and rescue of neurotoxicity by transcription factor EB. EMBO Mol Med, 2014 6(9): p. 1142–60. [PubMed: 25069841]
- 134. Silvestrini MJ, et al., Nuclear Export Inhibition Enhances HLH-30/TFEB Activity, Autophagy, and Lifespan. Cell Rep, 2018 23(7): p. 1915–1921. [PubMed: 29768192]
- 135. Kimmelman AC and White E, Autophagy and Tumor Metabolism. Cell Metab, 2017 25(5): p. 1037–1043. [PubMed: 28467923]
- 136. Perera RM, Di Malta C, and Ballabio A, MiT/TFE Family of Transcription Factors, Lysosomes, and Cancer. Annu Rev Cancer Biol, 2019 3: p. 203–222. [PubMed: 31650096]
- 137. Perera RM, et al., Transcriptional control of autophagy-lysosome function drives pancreatic cancer metabolism. Nature, 2015 524(7565): p. 361–5. [PubMed: 26168401]
- 138. Yang S, et al., Pancreatic cancers require autophagy for tumor growth. Genes Dev, 2011 25(7): p. 717–29. [PubMed: 21406549]
- 139. Kauffman EC, et al., Molecular genetics and cellular features of TFE3 and TFEB fusion kidney cancers. Nat Rev Urol, 2014 11(8): p. 465–75. [PubMed: 25048860]
- 140. Calcagni A, et al., Modelling TFE renal cell carcinoma in mice reveals a critical role of WNT signaling. Elife, 2016 5.
- 141. Martins R, Lithgow GJ, and Link W, Long live FOXO: unraveling the role of FOXO proteins in aging and longevity. Aging Cell, 2016 15(2): p. 196–207. [PubMed: 26643314]
- 142. Lapierre LR, et al., The TFEB orthologue HLH-30 regulates autophagy and modulates longevity in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Commun, 2013 4: p. 2267. [PubMed: 23925298]

BOX 1:

Lysosomes in autophagy

In response to nutrient deprivation, autophagy is a highly regulated catabolic process that captures unwanted intracellular components, including macromolecules and even entire organelles and delivers them to lysosomes for bulk degradation and recycling. Three major types of autophagy have been identified in eukaryotes: chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA), microautophagy, and macroautophagy. CMA and microautophagy both take place directly on lysosomes. In CMA, protein substrates with KFERQ-like motifs are recognized by HSC70 and co-chaperones and internalized into the lysosome with the aid of lysosome-associated membrane protein type 2A (LAMP2A) [114–116]. In microautophagy, cytosolic materials are directly captured into the lysosome via the invagination of lysosomal membrane [117]. On the other hand, macroautophagy, a major type of autophagy, requires extensive membrane remodeling and the formation of autophagosome, a specialized double membrane-bound vesicle that engulfs cytoplasmic contents and delivers these cargos to the lysosome through direct fusion (autolysosome) [118, 119]. Upon the fusion, the cargos are degraded inside the acidic lumen of autolysosome by various hydrolases for recycling. All three forms of autophagy depend on the proper function of lysosomes.

BOX2:

Lysosome dysfunction and diseases.

Dysfunction of lysosomes has been associated with multiple human diseases. Mutations in lysosomal hydrolase and/or transporter genes result in aberrant accumulation of a variety of metabolites within the lysosomal lumen, leading to metabolic diseases collectively called lysosomal storage diseases (LSDs) [120–122]. Dysfunction of lysosomal-autophagic pathways is detected in neurodegenerative diseases, such as Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, Huntington's diseases and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), that share a common feature with neuronal disposition of protein aggregates [123–126]. Defects in lysosome trafficking machinery are also involved in LSDs and neurodegenerative diseases, as reviewed in [127]. Overexpression of TFEB/TFE3 stimulates lysosomal exocytosis and promotes the clearance of undigested materials in several cell models of LSDs [67, 128, 129]. Overexpression of TFEB or pharmacological stimulation of its activity also offers protection against the pathology of Parkinson's, Alzheimer's and Huntington's diseases in mouse models [65, 130–133]. In invertebrate model organisms, the nuclear retention of TFEB caused by the mutation of a nuclear export protein **XPO1** enhances autophagy and protects ALS-afflicted fruit flies from developing neurodegeneration [134].

Despite the protection against LSDs and neurodegenerative diseases, the enhanced lysosomal-autophagic process caused by TEFB/TFE3 overexpression can drive tumor progression by recycling internal cellular constituents in cancer cells and compensating the shortage of external nutrients due to insufficient vascularization [135, 136] . For instance, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) exhibits constitutive activation of MITF transcription factors to maintain the amino acid pool derived from autophagy [137], and consequently the pathogenesis of PDA requires a high level of autophagy [138]. It is also known that TFE-fusion renal cell carcinomas (TFE-fusion RCCs) are resulted from chromosomal translocation and MITF gene fusion that lead to the overexpression of TFEB and TFE3 encoding genes [139, 140]. On the other hand, FOXO1 is shown to activate the autophagic process, which leads to cell death and a protection against human colon cancer [84, 140].

Moreover, the lysosomal-autophagic activity decreases with age. FOXO and TFEB transcription factors can co-regulate lysosome biogenesis and autophagy genes [85, 86], and their increased activities contribute to lifespan extension in diverse organisms [76, 141, 142] and protection against age-associated diseases like osteoarthritis [85].

Outstanding questions:

- **•** Other than nutrient deprivation, are there environmental cues that modulate lysosome motility and positioning?
- **•** How does lysosomal positioning regulate lysosomal signaling in addition to mTOR, and do lysosomes recruit different proteins to the surface at peripheral and perinuclear regions for these regulations?
- **•** What is the interrelationship between lysosomal positioning and functional heterogeneity and signaling transduction, and how can we apply our knowledge of lysosomal positioning from cell culture systems to in vivo organisms?
- **•** Do the retrograde movement of lysosomes facilitate lysosome-to-nucleus signaling communication and the transcriptional activation of lysosomal functions?
- **•** Do changes in lysosomal positioning associated with diseases contribute to alternations in lysosome-to-nucleus communication and the transcriptional control of lysosomal adaptation?
- **•** How can we improve current imaging methods for better in vivo visualization of lysosomal heterogeneity, dynamics and interactions with other organelles?
- **•** How does different transcription factors coordinate to regulate lysosomal adaptation in response to different external and internal stimuli?
- **•** Is the lysosome-to-nucleus communication mechanism mediated by FABPs conserved in mammals? What factors recruit FABPs to the lysosome and facilitate its translocation into the nucleus? What lysosome transporters coordinate with FABPs to export signaling lipids, and the specific nuclear hormone receptors acting downstream of lysosomal FABPs?
- **•** Are there other lipid chaperone proteins and lipid signals mediating lysosome-to-nucleus retrograde signaling? Are there lipid chaperone proteins mediating lipid-related inter-organelle communication beyond the lysosome and the nucleus?
- **•** Are there a variety of transcription factors that can be shuttled between the cytosol, the lysosome and the nucleus in response to different stimuli, and what are the molecular mechanisms underlying this dynamic regulation?
- **•** What roles do epigenetic factors play in the fine tuning of lysosomal adaptation to various environmental cues, and how do they cooperate with various transcription factors?
- **•** At the organismal level, how heterogenous are lysosomes across different cell types and tissues? What is the physiological and functional relevance of lysosomal heterogeneity, and how is it related to different pathologies of

organs associated with lysosomal storage disorders, neurodegenerative diseases and aging?

Highlights:

- **•** Lysosomal and nuclear communication coordinates lysosomal positioning, metabolism and signaling with nuclear transcription to support fundamental cellular activities.
- **•** Lysosomal positioning between peripheral and perinuclear regions is subject to cooperative regulation in response to metabolic stimuli and contributes to lysosomal functions and signaling.
- **•** Retrograde transport of chaperone proteins and transcription factors from the lysosome to the nucleus is actively regulated by metabolic signaling.
- **•** Nuclear transcriptional networks comprise a variety of different transcription factors that act independently or coordinately to fine tune lysosomalautophagic gene expression.

Figure 1. Molecular mechanism of lysosome positioning

The perinuclear clustering of lysosomes (marked by blue) is driven by the following machineries: (A1) TMEM55B binding with the dynein adaptor JIP4 to recruit the dyneindynactin complex; (A2) ORP1L adopting a conformation to facilitate the detachment of lysosomes from ER and the recruitment of dynein-dynactin by the Rab7-RILP complex; (A3) Lysosomal lipid PI(3,5)P2 activating the TRPML1 calcium channel to allow the recruitment of dynein-dynactin by the calcium sensor ALG2; (A4) ER-located RNF26 ubiquitinating p62/SQSTM1 and recruiting various vesicle adaptors through their ubiquitinbinding-domains; and (A5) Lysosome-associated FLCN promoting the interaction between lysosomal Rab7 and RILP and Golgi-localized Rab34. On the other hand, periphery positioning of lysosomes (marked in pink) is mediated by (B1) VPS34-induced PtdIns3P to promote the transfer of kinesin-1 from ER Protrudin to lysosomal FYCO1 and (B2) BORC recruitment of Arl8 to interact with different kinesins, which is blocked by its interaction

with Ragulator. Each of these pathways can be regulated by different stimuli as indicated in light blue boxes, and lysosomal positioning regulates lysosomal acidity and mTOR activation. These pathways are shown as independent for presentation clarity, but multiple machineries can be present in a single lysosome.

Figure 2. Lysosome and nucleus interaction in signaling and transcription

For the nucleus-to-lysosome interaction, the lysosomal-autophagic pathway is transcriptionally regulated responding to the nutrient status. Upon starvation, transcription factors, TFEB/TFE3 (shown as TFEB), FOXO3, CREB-CRTC2 PPARα, and p53 bind to the promoter region of lysosomal-autophagic genes and activate their expression. Meanwhile, ZKSCAN3 represses the expression of lysosomal-autophagic genes, so does FXR through competing with PPARα and dissociating the CREB-CRTC2 complex in wellfed conditions. For the lysosome-to-nucleus interaction, starvation can induce the nuclear translocation of fatty acid binding protein LBP-8 that binds oleoylethanolamine (OEA) and deliver it to the nuclear hormone receptor complex NHR-49-NHR-80 to induce mitochondrial metabolic genes. In contrast, nutrient repletion results in lysosomal docking of mTORC1 that phosphorylates TFEB/TFE3. The binding of phosphorylated TFEB/TFE3 with cytosolic 14-3-3 proteins prevents its nuclear translocation.

Table1:

Lysosome positioning changes in human disease models.

 $*$ A broad definition of lysosomes, including lysosomes, autophagosomes¹ (1) and amphisomes² (fusion between autophagosomes and endosomes) is applied.