

# **HHS Public Access**

Travel Med Infect Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Travel Med Infect Dis. 2020; 37: 101792. doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101792.

## The Risk of Malaria Infection for Travelers Visiting the Brazilian Amazonian Region: a mathematical modelling approach

Eduardo Massad, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Gabriel Zorello Laporta, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Jan Evelyn Conn, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Leonardo Suveges Chaves, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Eduardo Sterlino Bergo, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Elder Augusto Guimarães Figueira, MSc<sup>6</sup>, Francisco Antonio Bezerra Coutinho, PhD<sup>7</sup>, Luis Fernandez Lopez, PhD<sup>7</sup>, Claudio Struchiner, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Maria Anice Mureb Sallum, PhD<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Escola de Matemática Aplicada, Fundação Getúlio Vargas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>Setor de Pós-graduação, Pesquisa e Inovação, Centro Universitário Saúde ABC, Fundação do ABC, Santo André, SP, Brazil.

<sup>3</sup>Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, NY, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Departamento de Epidemiologia, Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

<sup>5</sup>Superintendência de Controle de Endemias, Secretaria de Estado da Saúde de São Paulo, Araraquara, SP, Brazil.

<sup>6</sup>Fundação de Vigilância em Saúde do Amazonas, Manaus, AM, Brazil.

<sup>7</sup>Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo e LIM01-HCFMUSP, SP, Brazil.

approved the final manuscript.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of Interest/Disclosure

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Data statement

Malaria data is available in Table\_A1\_Malaria. Field collection data employed in the study is available under request from correspo

Field collection data employed in the study is available under request from corresponding author. The raw data will be publicly available on a data repository when the project is concluded in 2020.

Correspondence: Maria Anice Mureb Sallum, masallum@usp.br, Departamento de Epidemiologia, Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. Author contributions Conceptualization EM, MAMS. Supervision and data curation MAMS Project administration JEC, MAMS Formal mathematical modelling analysis EM, CS, FABC, LFC Funding acquisition JEC, MAMS, EM, ESB. GZL Field collections MAMS, ESB, GZL, LSC, EAGF. Specimens identification and curation MAMS. Original draft EM, MAMS Review & editing MAMS, EM, GZL, JEC, ESB, LSC and EAGF. All authors contributed to the final draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Author contributions EM, MAMS conceived the study. EM conducted all mathematical modelling analyses with valuable inputs by CS. MAMS, ESB, GZL, LSC, EAGF conducted all field collections. MAMS identified field specimens. EM, MAMS, JEC, GZL wrote the manuscript with valuable contributions from ESB, LSC and EAGF. All authors contributed to the final draft of the manuscript. All authors read and

## Abstract

**Background:** Human mobility between malaria endemic and malaria-free areas can hinder control and elimination efforts in the Amazon basin, maintaining *Plasmodium* circulation and introduction to new areas.

**Methods:** The analysis begins by estimating the incidence of malaria in areas of interest. Then, the risk of infection as a function of the duration of stay after  $t_0$  was calculated as the number of infected travelers over the number of arrived travelers. Differential equations were employed to estimate the risk of nonimmune travelers acquiring malaria in Amazonian municipalities. Risk was calculated as a result of the force of the infection in terms of local dynamics per time of arrival and duration of visit.

**Results:** Maximum risk occurred at the peak or at the end of the rainy season and it was nonlinearly (exponentially) correlated with the fraction of infected mosquitoes. Relationship between the risk of malaria and duration of visit was linear and positively correlated. Relationship between the risk of malaria and the time of arrival in the municipality was dependent on local effects of seasonality.

**Conclusions:** The risk of nonimmune travelers acquiring malaria is not negligible and can maintain regional circulation of parasites, propagating introductions in areas where malaria has been eliminated.

#### Keywords

Malaria risk; Mathematical modeling; Rural settlements; Amazon; Brazil

## 1. Background

Malaria is a frequently imported, life-threatening tropical disease in international travelers from malaria-free to malaria-endemic regions [1–4]. Globalization has increased the number of international travelers who travel for tourism, business, or visits with friends and families from industrialized countries to geographical destinations that have a high risk of malaria, increasing the risk of exposure to malaria-causing parasites [3]. Although national and international control efforts have led to declining malaria transmission in the majority of endemic countries [5, 6], those who visit endemic areas, especially in sub-Saharan Africa and Oceania, remain at high risk of acquiring *Plasmodium* infection [3]. For Swedish travelers, the relative risk of acquiring malaria was >250 in sub-Saharan endemic countries of Africa, followed by India and southern Africa (RR = 50), with a lower level in Southeast Asia, South America and Arab countries (RR < 10) [1].

Imported *Plasmodium* infection can threaten the success of malaria control programs in endemic countries [7, 8]. In China, residual transmission across Chinese international borders and imported malaria from African endemic countries represent major challenges to elimination [8, 9]. In Swaziland, Africa, malaria is in the elimination phase; however, 25- to 44-year-old males who travel to Mozambique and spend on average 28 nights represent the primary risk for malaria reemergence and risk to elimination [10]. On Bioko Island, imported malaria is responsible for the prevalence of the disease in much of the capital

Malabo and its surroundings [11]. Similarly, although there is a decline in malaria incidence throughout Nepal, imported malaria linked to population mobility from India challenges the success of the control effort that is primarily focused on decreasing the disease burden in indigenous populations [12].

The Brazilian Amazon is the destination of more than 650 thousand tourists annually. The majority are from malaria-free areas; therefore, tourists are highly susceptible to acquiring *Plasmodium* infection [13]. In addition, local and regional tourism and migration contribute to *Plasmodium* propagation to both new areas in the process of human occupation and other locations where the disease has been controlled or eliminated [14–16]. Malaria emergence was associated with ecological and socioeconomic factors and intensive human movement from both endemic and nonendemic areas to a new rural Amazonian settlement in Rondônia state [14]. Recently, the Venezuelan economic and humanitarian crisis has been held responsible for dispersing malaria and other vector-borne diseases to areas along the Brazilian, Colombian and Guyana borders [17]. Imported malaria threatens the success of control programs because of commercial activities and illegal mining along the international borders of Suriname, the Guyanas and other Amazonian countries in South America [18–21].

The most severe form of malaria is associated with *Plasmodium falciparum* [6]. There are only a few antimalarial drugs available for the treatment of *P. falciparum* infection. To complicate this scenario, the efficacy of current antimalarial drugs used either in prevention or treatment is decreasing worldwide because of the emergence of multidrug resistance. In 2014, resistance to artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) was reported in more than 60 countries worldwide [6, 5, 22, 23]. In the Greater Mekong Subregion, partial resistance to ACTs has been reported for *P. falciparum*, and chloroquine resistance is an increasing problem for the treatment of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria [24–26]. The origin of drug resistance has been associated with a convergence of factors such as socioeconomic status of a population, human movement, and environmental disturbance in the gem-mining industry in Pailai at the Thailand-Cambodia border. In addition, poor living conditions, social disorganization, a continuous flow of non-immune migrants from neighboring countries and antimalarial drug marketing are involved in the genesis of the chloroquine-resistance network [27].

Malaria transmission is a dynamic process determined by multiple moving factors, including those associated with human parasite reservoirs and mosquito vectors that facilitate *Plasmodium* propagation from a human reservoir [28]. In the Brazilian Amazon, Sallum et al. [29] showed that vector competence of the exophilic population of the primary vector *Nyssorhynchus darlingi* was low in rural municipalities of Cruzeiro do Sul, Mâncio Lima, Lábrea, Machadinho D'Oeste and São Gabriel da Cachoeira. However, *Plasmodium* dispersion in the human population was enhanced by the high human-biting rate and the relatively high susceptibility of *Ny. darlingi* to *P. vivax* infection. The highest estimated value of the basic reproduction number ( $R_0$ ) for *P. vivax* was identified in a rural settlement in Machadinho D'Oeste ( $R_0 = 58.7$ ), and the second highest ( $R_0 = 55.5$ ) was found in São Gabriel da Cachoeira. These values indicate that one single human infected with *P. vivax* in that specific location, at the time of data collection, was able to produce approximately 60

secondary new cases of malaria taken into consideration the local mosquito population. This value was within the range of the estimated  $R_0$  for *P. falciparum* malaria in endemic areas of sub-Saharan Africa.

Considering the high potential of malaria transmission in the studied areas and the high number of susceptible visitors (approximately half million) to Amazonia yearly, the risk of non-immune host acquiring *Plasmodium* infection was estimated using reported malaria cases in 10 municipalities of the Amazon Region, including the 26 rural communities where entomological data were obtained as described by Sallum et al. [29]. The mathematical model used was an extension of the one presented by Massad et al. [13].

## 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Study sites

Malaria incidence data from the municipalities of Cruzeiro do Sul, Mâncio Lima, and Rodrigues Alves in Acre state; Guajará, Humaitá, Itacoatiara, Lábrea, Presidente Figueiredo, and São Gabriel da Cachoeira in Amazonas state; and Machadinho D'Oeste in Rondônia state, in Amazonian Brazil, were employed in the mathematical model analysis (Fig. 1). These municipalities are characterized by a wet season, a dry season and wet-dry transition months. The mean annual regional rainfall is higher than 2,000 mm, and the mean temperature is ~26 °C. The mean annual relative humidity is ~59% but varies substantially with rainfall and surface water. The rural and periurban communities were selected as representatives of moderate or high malaria transmission areas.

#### 2.2 Mosquito collections

Females of the Anophelinae subfamily were collected from 62 houses in the selected communities in the municipalities listed in Fig. 1, from January 2015 to November 2017. Human landing collections (HLCs) were outdoors in the peridomestic environment within ~5–10 m of each house. Localities were at least 2.5 km apart and were positioned in the center of a 1 km radius circle to avoid sampling more than one house within the same 3.14 km<sup>2</sup> area. Although there was active malaria transmission and reported cases in all communities, house selection was based on forest cover percentage as described in Sallum et al. [29].

Human landing collections were conducted for one night at each of the 62 houses, from 18:00-0:00 h. The number of collectors ranged from one to three individuals, depending on their availability during the field collections. Every hour, female mosquitoes were euthanized with ethyl acetate (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) vapors in the field and stored in silica gel separated by date, location, house, and hour of collection. Specimens were morphologically identified to species, labeled, and stored individually with silica gel at room temperature for subsequent analysis.

#### 2.3 Mosquito processing and Plasmodium identification

All *Ny. darlingi* DNA samples were tested for *Plasmodium* spp. infection following the procedures in Bickersmith et al. [30].

#### 2.4 Malaria epidemiological data

Data on the number of malaria cases by epidemiological week and annual parasite incidence (API) of *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* were requested from the Ministry of Health, Sistemas de Informações de Vigilância Epidemiológica (SIVEP) Malaria [31], through the Electronic System of the Citizen Information Service (Sistema Eletrônico do Serviço de Informações ao Cidadão - e-SIC) (https://esic.cgu.gov.br/sistema/site/index.aspx), protocols # 25820001316201742, # 25820003892201813, # 25820004426201847, and # 25820004717201835.

Malaria incidence data were collected for the 10 municipalities in Acre, Amazonas and Rondônia states. In the moderate to high malaria endemicity communities, most infections were locally acquired. As a proxy of the susceptible fraction of the affected population (see below), we employed the mean annual malaria parasite index (annual parasite incidence, API) of the municipalities in the month previous to the mosquito collections (Table A.1).

## 3. Calculations

#### 3.1 Fitting the incidence to a continuous function

The analysis began by considering malaria incidence in the localities studied. The data were obtained from SIVEP Malaria [31] through the Citizen Information Service, Ministry of Health of Brazil (https://esic.cgu.gov.br/sistema/site/index.aspx). We calculated the incidence of malaria at the time of data collection, denoted *Incidence(t)*, which is the number of new malaria cases per unit time. This value is equal to the product of the force of infection,  $\lambda$  (incidence-density rate or *per capita* incidence), times the number of susceptible humans,  $S_{H}(t)$ . This value is also the product of the ratio of mosquitoes-to-humans,  $N_{M}(t)/N_{H}(t)$ , times the mosquito biting rate, *a*, times the vector competence, *b* (see below); times the prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection in the mosquitoes,  $I_{M}(t)/N_{M}(t)$ ; and times the number of susceptible humans,  $S_{H}(t)$ , or:

$$Incidence(t) = \lambda(t)S_H(t) = \frac{N_M(t)}{N_H}ab\frac{I_M}{N_M(t)}S_H(t)$$
(1)

The incidence data from the 10 municipalities studied were then fitted to the continuous function:

Incidence (t) = 
$$c_1 exp\left[-\left(\frac{t-c_2}{c_3}\right)^2\right] + c_4$$
 (2)

Where  $c_i$ , (i = 1, ..., 4) are the fitting parameters.

#### 3.2 Calculating the risk of malaria

The risk of acquiring malaria is commonly defined as the frequency of infections, the number of new infections over the number of individuals at risk, for a given period. The simplest way to calculate the risk is to follow a cohort of non-immune visitors, such as  $S_{t_0}(t)$ , that arrive together at time  $t = t_0$  and calculate the total number of infected travelers as

Page 6

a function of the duration of stay after  $t_0$ ,  $I_{t_0}$ . Then, the risk of infection as a function of the duration of stay after  $t_0$  was calculated as the number of infected travelers over the number of arrived travelers, that is:

$$\pi_{t_0}(t) = \frac{\int_{t_0}^t I_{t_0}(s) ds}{S_{t_0}(t_0)} \tag{3}$$

**Remark 1:** In this simple cohort model, some of the travelers' state variables and parameters depend on the time of arrival  $t_0$  (that defines the cohort) and are indexed by it to maintain accountability.

Some assumptions are necessary and are as follows:

- **a.** The local population is assumed to be large enough in comparison to the number of visitors. Therefore, travelers are subject to the same force of infection as locals, but they do not contribute to the local force of infection.
- **b.** Spatial homogeneity is assumed; that is, the mosquitoes are uniformly distributed over the region.
- **c.** The model is compartmented. In such a model, the state variables are actual densities. To simplify their expression, the densities are multiplied by a small unitary area so that they become numbers.

Visitors, once in the Amazon region, may leave the region, become infected, recover, or die (either from the infection or from natural causes), in any order, even simultaneously. Table 1 shows the state variables and parameters used in the models.

The number of new infections among travelers as a function of time is:

$$I_{t_0}(t) = \lambda(t)S_{t_0}(t) \tag{4}$$

Next, we calculated the number of susceptible individuals as a function of time. Given the assumptions above, and specifically condition (d), that number is given by the following differential equation:

$$\frac{ds_{t_0}(t)}{dt} = -\left[\lambda(t) + \sigma_{t_0}(s) + \mu\right] S_{t_0}(t)$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

The solution of equation (5) is straightforward:

$$S_{t_0}(t) = S_{t_0}(t_0) exp \left\{ -\int_{t_0}^t \left[ \lambda(s) + \sigma_{t_0}(s) + \mu \right] ds \right\}$$
(6)

From equations (4) and (6), the following is obtained:

$$I_{t_0}(t) = \lambda(t) S_{t_0}(t_0) exp \left\{ -\int_{t_0}^t \left[ \lambda(s) + \sigma_{t_0}(s) + \mu \right] ds \right\}$$
(7)

Finally, the risk of malaria in travelers is given by:

$$\pi_{t_0}(t) = \frac{\int_{t_0}^t I_{t_0}(s)ds}{s_{t_0}(t_0)} = \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(s)exp\left\{-\int_{t_0}^s \left[\lambda(s') + \sigma_{t_0}(s') + \mu\right]ds'\right\}ds \tag{8}$$

Equation (8) can be calculated analytically or numerically depending on the form of  $\lambda(t)$  and  $\sigma_{tn}(t)$ , and it provides an upper bound to the risk of infection.

**Remark 2:** In the particular case in which all parameters are constant, the risk can be calculated analytically in a simple way as follows:

$$\pi_{t_0}(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \sigma + \mu} \{ 1 - exp[-(\lambda + \sigma + \mu)t - t_0] \}$$
(9)

Finally, equation (8) is a function of two variables: the duration of the visit  $(t - t_0)$  and the time of arrival  $t_0$ . Varying both variables, it is possible to build a three-dimensional surface of risk.

## 4. Results

The parameters obtained from the fitting equation (1) for the locations studied, grouped in accordance with the municipalities, are presented in Table 2. Figure 2 shows the results of the fitting procedures for Lábrea, Amazonas state, which was included here to illustrate the results. The results of the fitting model for the remaining 9 municipalities are shown in Appendix A.

The calculated risks of acquiring malaria in Lábrea are presented in Table 3, which was included to illustrate the results. Figure 3 shows the risks of acquiring malaria calculated for Lábrea that were included to illustrate the results of the mathematical modeling analyses.

The maximum risk of a susceptible person acquiring malaria calculated according to equation (8) for the 10 municipalities studied is presented in Table 4. For the purpose of comparison, the maximum risk of malaria was normalized for the municipalities studied. The results are shown in Figure 4.

#### 4.1 Correlation between risk of infection and prevalence of infection in mosquitoes

Figure 5 shows the prevalence of infection of *Plasmodium*, both *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum*, in all anopheline mosquitoes collected in the localities studied for each municipality.

Figure 6 shows the correlation between the fraction of infected mosquitoes and the maximum risk of malaria infection of visitors remaining in each city for four weeks for the 10 municipalities studied. Mâncio Lima was an outlier and was excluded from the

association between both variables above. However, Mâncio Lima is included in the figure to illustrate the difference between Mâncio Lima and the remaining municipalities.

The maximum risk is nonlinearly (exponentially) correlated with the fraction of infected mosquitoes. This can be understood by considering equation (8), which correlated the risk of infection with the force of infection  $\lambda(t)$ . The force of infection for vector-borne diseases such as malaria is given by the product of the ratio of mosquitoes to humans,  $N_M(t)/N_H(t)$ , times the mosquito biting rate, *a*, times the vector competence, *b* and times the prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection in the mosquitoes,  $I_M(t)/N_M(t)$ . Equation (8) can now be rewritten in terms of the components of the force of infection, as:

$$\pi_{t_0}(t) = ab \frac{N_M}{N_H} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{I_M(s)}{N_M(s)} exp \left\{ -ab \frac{N_M}{N_H} \int_{t_0}^s \left[ \frac{I_M(s)}{N_M(s)} ds' \right] ds' + \int_{t_0}^t \left[ +\sigma_{t_0}(s') + \mu \right] ds' \right\} ds$$

$$(10)$$

Therefore, a nonlinear relationship should be expected between the risk,  $\pi_{t_0}(t)$ , and the fraction of infected mosquitoes,  $\frac{I_M(s)}{N_M(s)}$ .

## 5. Discussion

The risk of non-immune travelers acquiring *Plasmodium* infection varies according to the time of arrival and the duration of the visit in 10 municipalities of the Amazon region with active transmission. On one hand, the relationship between the risk of acquiring malaria and the duration of the visit is linear and positively correlated. Longer visits (e.g., up to 4 weeks) always increase this risk, independent of the municipality. On the other hand, the relationship between the risk of malaria and the time of arrival in the municipality is more complex and dependent on local effects of seasonality. The maximum risk occurred (1) in the middle of the rainy season in Mâncio Lima (13%; March), São Gabriel da Cachoeira (5%; May), Cruzeiro do Sul (4%; March), Presidente Figueiredo (3%; March), and Machadinho D-Oeste (1%; February); (2) at the end of the rainy season in Guajará (7%; May), Humaitá (7%; May), and Itacoatiara (8%; July); and (3) in the middle of the dry season in Lábrea (1%; July) and Rodrigues Alves (6%; July).

The maximum risk of *Plasmodium* infection can explain malaria prevalence in some localities studied and in their surroundings. The dependence of local communities on the economic activities and town health centers promotes mobility and migration between ruralurban and urban-rural areas, in the westernmost municipalities of Cruzeiro do Sul, Mâncio Lima, Rodrigues Alves-AC, and Guajará-AM [32], thus exacerbating the persistence of high malaria incidence [33]. In Mâncio Lima, the maximum risk of malaria was nonlinearly correlated with the fraction of infected mosquitoes, likely due to the time of the field collections, which were conducted in the low transmission season for this locality, when mosquito frequency was low. This contradictory scenario of low human exposure to anopheline female bites and high malaria risk can be understood when considering human behavior, such as the host tolerance threshold to mosquito bites. In an entomological

scenario of low mosquito frequency, human defensive behavior against mosquito bites decreases, sometimes resulting in successful *Plasmodium*-infective bites [34]. In addition, a low biting rate can be compensated by an increased number of blood meals per gonotrophic cycle, boosting the transmission potential of the local mosquito population as proposed by Tedrow et al. [35]. In Presidente Figueiredo-AM, the human biting rate was high, but the fraction of anopheline-infected mosquitoes was low. In a scenario of high exposure to mosquitoes, human threshold tolerance can be easily reached, decreasing the success of *Plasmodium* transmission [34]. Transmission intensity is determined by *Plasmodium* infection acquired from a local or an imported source and human *Plasmodium* infection duration. Poor access to antimalarial treatment or a high prevalence of both subpatent and asymptomatic infections increase *Plasmodium* infection duration in an infectious host, and thus the local transmission potential [28]. A high percentage of undetected asymptomatic and subpatent *P. vivax* infections (61.9%) were recorded in the Remansinho, Lábrea-AM [36]. The prevalence of undetected infection in Mâncio Lima is unknown, but it could be high enough to explain the force of infection detected in this study.

Socioeconomic and political instabilities is not uncommon in malaria endemic countries and together can cause either discontinuity or interruption of control programs, thus leading to increase and intensification of transmission. In malaria-endemic areas of South America, the recent humanitarian crisis in Venezuela caused an unprecedent Venezuelan exodus to neighboring endemic countries, which have been facing decrease in malaria incidence for almost a decade. Cross border mobilization of *Plasmodium*-infected hosts increased imported malaria into Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Guiana, further fueling the risks of *Plasmodium* infections in endemic and nonendemic areas of the neighboring countries [17, 37–41]. The impact of *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax* and mixed *Plasmodium*-infected hosts may have contributed to dispersion of infection and reintroduction of *P. falciparum* into areas where this parasite was eliminated, such as Sao Gabriel da Cachoeira-AM [29], and other localities studied.

In Brazil, malaria transmission has been controlled in areas outside the Amazon, however, these areas maintain a low annual malaria incidence, with imported and local infections, but the latter linked to *Nyssorhynchus* and *Kerteszia* vector species. Within the country-level transmission network, the Amazon basin is the major spreader of *P. vivax* parasites to areas outside the Amazon [42]. Mathematical models proposed herein to calculate the risk of acquiring malaria by a susceptible person visiting the Amazon region can be employed to indicate the risk of exporting parasites both within and outside the Amazon region. The potential of local, regional, and country level *Plasmodium* parasite dispersion should not be ignored when targeting malaria elimination. Furthermore, knowledge of the potential for Amazonian regional dispersion is important for tailoring policies for economic development, poverty reduction and malaria control.

The Amazonian biome offers multiple opportunities for international tourism. A key destination for gastronomic tourism is in the Peruvian region of Iquitos, Loreto. The cultural heritage and biological diversity of this region create an environment for an exquisite and tremendously varied gastronomy [43]. This region is one of the hotspots of malaria incidence in South America, ranked in the top 5 in South America in 2018 [6]. Some of the

greatest numbers of species of certain groups of fauna and flora on the planet are found in Brazil's Amazonian forest [44]. Thus, this region is a highly desirable destination for ecological tourism in Brazil. Most foreign tourists are from malaria-free areas. The origins of foreign tourists visiting Amazonia are Europe (65%), North America (19%) and other areas (Japan, Australia and Latin America; 16%) [45]. The predominant motivation of these non-immune travelers is contact with nature, and most stay on average 3 to 5 nights during the July – August or December – January seasons [45]. Of the possible visit lengths, one week is associated with the lowest risk of acquiring malaria, whereas the tourism seasons may be associated with a higher risk depending on the locality visited.

In the present study, the municipalities where the risk of acquiring malaria was estimated represent ecological and social attractions for national and international visitors. Modern spiritual movements based on the use of ayahuasca, a mixture of Amazonian plant that includes *Banisteriopsis caapi*, *Psychotria viridis*, *Diplopterys cabrerana*, have emerged in Brazil [46]. The ayahuasca shamanic ceremonial claim to be able to cure mental and physical illness and allow communication with the spirit world [47]. These healing retreats are an important economic activity receiving caravans of people from everywhere in the Cruzeiro do Sul municipality, where the maximum risk of acquiring malaria for a four-week visit is 4% in March.

The municipalities of Humaitá and Presidente Figueiredo are ecological tourist attractions in Brazil. The forest commodities exploitation is the emblematic economic activity of communities inhabiting the settlement project Santa Maria Auxiliadora in Humaitá [48]. This area is one of the localities in which mosquito fauna was sampled in the current study. During field work, the potential of this settlement as a tourist paradise became clear (Fig. 7A). The municipality of Presidente Figueiredo, Amazonas state, emerged as a location for ecological tourism due to its abundance of fresh waters, jungle, natural resources, caves and waterfalls (e.g., Uherek and Gouveia [49]), such as the Urubuí River falls (Fig. 7B). The ecotourism occurs all year around, but it intensifies in the dry season, and in the weekends. As a result, a larger number of tourists visit the river beaches of Humaitá (Fig. 7A) or the waterfalls of Presidente Figueiredo (Fig. 7B) during three to four months in the dry season (June – September). The associated risk of acquiring malaria for a non-immune traveler staying one week in Presidente Figueiredo or Humaitá in July is 0.1 - 0.5% or 1 - 5 cases in 1,000 visitors. Finally, a word of advice for travelers planning to visit one of the regions analysed in this paper: discuss with your doctor the risk of acquiring malaria and costbenefit of malaria chemoprophylaxis [50].

## 6. Conclusions

Mathematical modeling calculations demonstrated that the risk of a susceptible person acquiring *Plasmodium* infection is not negligible in the Brazilian Amazon basin. The risk is heterogeneous and varies depending on the length of stay, time of arrival to a locality, entomological potential, and force of infection of local vector population. The model adopted in the study quantified components of transmission dynamics, and the analytical approach adopted can provide import information for planning malaria interventions. Our

results highlight the need for further investigations focusing on risk of malaria transmission outdoors with involvement of *Ny. darlingi* and other anophelines.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgements

MAMS is thankful to Caio Cesar Moreira and Denise Cristina Sant'Ana for their help for arranging field trips to the Amazon. Authors are in debt to the staff of the municipalities responsible for malaria control for providing useful information that guided field collections in Mâncio Lima, Rodrigues Alves, and Cruzeiro do Sul in Acre state; Machadinho D'Oeste in Rondônia state; Guajará, Humaitá, Itacoatiara, Lábrea, Presidente Figueiredo, and São Gabriel da Cachoeira in Amazonas state. Authors greatly appreciate all anonymous inhabitants of the rural communities where malaria is a trap for maintaining poverty, inequality, and human suffering. Without their help this study would not have been possible.

#### Sources of funding

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) R01AI110112-01A1 (to JEC); Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, FAPESP [grant number 2014/26229-7 to MAMS, EM, ESB] and [grant number 2014/09774-1 to GZL]; Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa, CNPq [grant number 301877/2016-5 to MAMS]; ZikaPLAN European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme [grant no. 734584 to EM, CJS]; Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro [grant no. E-26/202.828/2017 to CJS]; and Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa, CNPq [grant no. 304612/2014-6 to CJS].

## References

- Askling HH, Nilsson J, Tegnell A, Janzon R, Ekdahl K. Malaria risk in travelers. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:436–41. [PubMed: 15757560]
- [2]. Lüthi B, Schlagenhauf P. Risk factors associated with malaria deaths in travellers: a literature review. Travel Med Infect Dis 2015;13:48–60. [PubMed: 25022610]
- [3]. Lalloo DG, Magill AJ. Malaria: Epidemiology and Risk to the Traveler, Editor(s): Keystone Jay S., Kozarsky Phyllis E., Connor Bradley A., Nothdurft Hans D., Mendelson Marc, Leder Karin, Medicine Travel (Fourth Edition), Elsevier, 2019, Pages 137–144.
- [4]. Rampling T, Sutherland CJ, Whitty CJ. Imported malaria: key messages in an era of elimination. Clin Med (Lond) 2019;19:153–156. [PubMed: 30872301]
- [5]. Shretta R, Liu J, Cotter C, Cohen J, Dolenz C, Makomva K, Newby G, Ménard D, Phillips A, Tatarsky A, Gosling R, Feachem R. Malaria Elimination and Eradication In: Holmes KK, Bertozzi S, Bloom BR, Jha P, editors. Major Infectious Diseases. 3rd edition. Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank; 2017 Chapter 12.
- [6]. World Health Organization. World Malaria Report 2018. WHO, 2018.
- [7]. Sturrock HJW, Roberts KW, Wegbreit J, Ohrt C, Gosling RD. Tackling imported malaria: an elimination endgame. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2015;93:139–144. [PubMed: 26013369]
- [8]. Lai S, Sun J, Ruktanonchai NW, Zhou S, Yu J, Routledge I, Wang L, Zheng Y, Tatem AJ, Li Z. Changing epidemiology and challenges of malaria in China towards elimination. Malar J 2019;18:107. [PubMed: 30922301]
- [9]. Zhang SS, Feng J, Zhang L, Ren X, Geoffroy E, Manguin S, Frutos R, Zhou SS. Imported malaria cases in former endemic and non-malaria endemic areas in China: are there differences in case profile and time to response? Infect Dis Poverty 2019;8:61. [PubMed: 31272497]
- [10]. Tejedor-Garavito N, Dlamini N, Pindolia D, Soble A, Ruktanonchai NW, Alegana V, Le Menach A, Ntshalintshali N, Dlamini B, Smith DL, Tatem AJ, Kunene S. Travel patterns and demographic characteristics of malaria cases in Swaziland, 2010–2014. Malar J 2017;16:359. [PubMed: 28886710]

- [11]. Guerra CA, Kang SY, Citron DT, Hergott DEB, Perry M, Smith J, Phiri WP, Osá Nfumu JO, Mba Eyono JN, Battle KE, Gibson HS, García GA, Smith DL. Human mobility patterns and malaria importation on Bioko Island. Nat Commun 2019;10:2332. [PubMed: 31133635]
- [12]. Smith JL, Ghimire P, Rijal KR, Maglior A, Hollis S, Andrade-Pacheco R, Das Thakur G, Adhikari N, Thapa Shrestha U, Banjara MR, Lal BK, Jacobson JO, Bennett A. Designing malaria surveillance strategies for mobile and migrant populations in Nepal: a mixed-methods study. Malar J 2019;18:158. [PubMed: 31053075]
- [13]. Massad E, Behrens RH, Burattini MN, Coutinho FA. Modeling the risk of malaria for travelers to areas with stable malaria transmission. Malar J 2009;8:296. [PubMed: 20015392]
- [14]. Castro MC de Monte-Mór RL, Sawyer DO Singer BH. Malaria risk on the Amazon frontier. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103:2452–7. [PubMed: 16461902]
- [15]. Angelo JR, Katsuragawa TH, Sabroza PC, de Carvalho LA, Silva LH, Nobre CA. The role of spatial mobility in malaria transmission in the Brazilian Amazon: The case of Porto Velho municipality, Rondônia, Brazil (2010–2012). PLoS One 2017;12:e0172330. [PubMed: 28222159]
- [16]. Chaves LSM, Conn JE, López RVM, Sallum MAM. Abundance of impacted forest patches less than 5 km(2) is a key driver of the incidence of malaria in Amazonian Brazil. Sci Rep 2018;8:7077. [PubMed: 29728637]
- [17]. Grillet ME, Hernández-Villena JV, Llewellyn MS, Paniz-Mondolfi AE, Tami A, Vincenti-Gonzalez MF, Marquez M, Mogollon-Mendoza AC, Hernandez-Pereira CE, Plaza-Morr JD, Blohm G, Grijalva MJ, Costales JA, Ferguson HM, Schwabl P, Hernandez-Castro LE, Lamberton PHL, Streicker DG, Haydon DT, Miles MA, Acosta-Serrano A, Acquattela H, Basañez MG, Benaim G, Colmenares LA, Conn JE, Espinoza R, Freilij H, Graterol-Gil MC, Hotez PJ, Kato H, Lednicky JA, Martinez CE, Mas-Coma S, Morris JG Jr, Navarro JC, Ramirez JL, Rodriguez M, Urbina JA, Villegas L, Segovia MJ, Carrasco HJ, Crainey JL, Luz SLB, Moreno JD, Noya Gonzalez OO, Ramírez JD, Alarcón-de Noya B. Venezuela's humanitarian crisis, resurgence of vector-borne diseases, and implications for spillover in the region. Lancet Infect Dis 2019;19(5):e149–e161. [PubMed: 30799251]
- [18]. Douine M, Musset L, Corlin F, Pelleau S, Pasquier J, Mutricy L, Adenis A, Djossou F, Brousse P, Perotti F, Hiwat H, Vreden S, Demar M, Nacher M. Prevalence of Plasmodium spp. in illegal gold miners in French Guiana in 2015: a hidden but critical malaria reservoir. Malar J 2016;15:315. [PubMed: 27277831]
- [19]. Douine M, Sanna A, Galindo M, Musset L, Pommier de Santi V, Marchesini P, Magalhaes ED, Suarez-Mutis M, Hiwat H, Nacher M, Vreden S, Garancher L. Malakit: an innovative pilot project to self-diagnose and self-treat malaria among illegal gold miners in the Guiana Shield. Malar J 2018;17:158. [PubMed: 29631588]
- [20]. Douine M, Sanna A, Hiwat H, Briolant S, Nacher M, Belleoud D, Le Tourneau FM, Bogreau H, De Laval F. Investigation of a possible malaria epidemic in an illegal gold mine in French Guiana: an original approach in the remote Amazonian forest. Malar J 2019;18:91. [PubMed: 30902054]
- [21]. Ferreira MU, Castro MC. Malaria Situation in Latin America and the Caribbean: Residual and Resurgent Transmission and Challenges for Control and Elimination. Methods Mol Biol 2019;2013:57–70. [PubMed: 31267493]
- [22]. Ashley EA, Dhorda M, Fairhurst RM, Amaratunga C, Lim P, Suon S, Sreng S, Anderson JM, Mao S, Sam B, Sopha C, Chuor CM, Nguon C, Sovannaroth S, Pukrittayakamee S, Jittamala P, Chotivanich K, Chutasmit K, Suchatsoonthorn C, Runcharoen R, Hien TT, Thuy-Nhien NT, Thanh NV, Phu NH, Htut Y, Han KT, Aye KH, Mokuolu OA, Olaosebikan RR, Folaranmi OO, Mayxay M, Khanthavong M, Hongvanthong B, Newton PN, Onyamboko MA, Fanello CI, Tshefu AK, Mishra N, Valecha N, Phyo AP, Nosten F, Yi P, Tripura R, Borrmann S, Bashraheil M, Peshu J, Faiz MA, Ghose A, Hossain MA, Samad R, Rahman MR, Hasan MM, Islam A, Miotto O, Amato R, MacInnis B, Stalker J, Kwiatkowski DP, Bozdech Z, Jeeyapant A, Cheah PY, Sakulthaew T, Chalk J, Intharabut B, Silamut K, Lee SJ, Vihokhern B, Kunasol C, Imwong M, Tarning J, Taylor WJ, Yeung S, Woodrow CJ, Flegg JA, Das D, Smith J, Venkatesan M, Plowe CV, Stepniewska K, Guerin PJ, Dondorp AM, Day NP, White NJ. Tracking resistance to

artemisinin collaboration (TRAC). Spread of artemisinin resistance in Plasmodium falciparum malaria. N Engl J Med 2014;371:411–23. [PubMed: 25075834]

- [23]. Ashley EA, Pyae Phyo A, Woodrow CJ. Malaria. Lancet 2018;391(10130):1608–1621. [PubMed: 29631781]
- [24]. Imwong M, Suwannasin K, Kunasol C, Sutawong K, Mayxay M, Rekol H, Smithuis FM, Hlaing TM, Tun KM, van der Pluijm RW, Tripura R, Miotto O, Menard D, Dhorda M, Day NPJ, White NJ, Dondorp AM. The spread of artemisinin-resistant Plasmodium falciparum in the Greater Mekong subregion: a molecular epidemiology observational study. Lancet Infect Dis 2017;17:491–497. [PubMed: 28161569]
- [25]. Menard D, Dondorp A. Antimalarial Drug Resistance: A Threat to malaria elimination. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2017;7:a025619. [PubMed: 28289248]
- [26]. Woodrow CJ, White NJ. The clinical impact of artemisinin resistance in Southeast Asia and the potential for future spread. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2017;41:34–48. [PubMed: 27613271]
- [27]. Packard RM. The origins of antimalarial-drug resistance. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:397–9. [PubMed: 25075832]
- [28]. Cohen JM, Le Menach A, Pothin E, Eisele TP, Gething PW, Eckhoff PA, Moonen B, Schapira A, Smith DL. Mapping multiple components of malaria risk for improved targeting of elimination interventions. Malar J 2017;16:459. [PubMed: 29132357]
- [29]. Sallum MAM, Conn JE, Bergo ES, Laporta GZ, Chaves LSM, Bickersmith SA, de Oliveira TMP, Figueira EAG, Moresco G, Olívêr L, Struchiner CJ, Yakob L, Massad E. Vector competence, vectorial capacity of *Nyssorhynchus darlingi* and the basic reproduction number of *Plasmodium vivax* in agricultural settlements in the Amazonian Region of Brazil. Malar J 2019;18:117. [PubMed: 30947726]
- [30]. Bickersmith SA, Lainhart W, Moreno M, Chu VM, Vinetz JM, Conn JE. A sensitive, specific and reproducible real-time polymerase chain reaction method for detection of *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium falciparum* infection in field-collected anophelines. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2015;110:573–576. [PubMed: 26061150]
- [31]. Ministério da Saúde do Brasil. Datasus. Sivep Malária. Sistema Eletrônico do Serviço de Informações ao Cidadão (e-SIC). 2018 https://esic.cgu.gov.br/sistema/site/index.aspx
- [32]. Richards P, VanWey L. Where deforestation leads to urbanization: How resource extraction is leading to urban growth in the Brazilian Amazon. Ann Assoc Am Geogr 2015;105:806–823. [PubMed: 26985079]
- [33]. de Oliveira Padilha MA, de Oliveira Melo J, Romano G, de Lima MVM, Alonso WJ, Sallum MAM, Laporta GZ. Comparison of malaria incidence rates and socioeconomic-environmental factors between the states of Acre and Rondônia: a spatio-temporal modelling study. Malar J 2019;18:306. [PubMed: 31484519]
- [34]. Laporta GZ, Lopez de Prado PI, Kraenkel RA, Coutinho RM, Sallum MA. Biodiversity can help prevent malaria outbreaks in tropical forests. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013;7:e2139. [PubMed: 23556023]
- [35]. Tedrow RE, Zimmerman PA, Abbott KC. Multiple Blood Feeding: A force multiplier for transmission. Trends Parasitol 2019;pii:S1471–4922(19)30215–6.
- [36]. Lima NF, Bastos MS, Ferreira MU. *Plasmodium vivax*: reverse transcriptase real-time PCR for gametocyte detection and quantitation in clinical samples. Exp Parasitol 2012;132:348–54. [PubMed: 22940017]
- [37]. Gutiérrez-Ocampo E, Villamizar-Peña R, Holguin-Rivera Y, Molina-Ortiz K, Puerta-Laverde V, Rodríguez-Morales AJ. Malaria in Bogotá, Colombia (2007–2017) An analysis of notified domestic and international cases. Travel Med Infect Dis 2020;33:101560.
- [38]. Rodríguez-Morales AJ, Suárez JA, Risquez A, Cimerman S, Valero-Cedeño N, Cabrera M, Grobusch MP, Paniz-Mondolfi A. In the eye of the storm: Infectious disease challenges for border countries receiving Venezuelan migrants. Travel Med Infect Dis 2019;30:4–6. [PubMed: 31129271]
- [39]. Grillet ME, Villegas L, Oletta JF, Tami A, Conn JE. Malaria in Venezuela requires response. Science 2018;359(6375):528.

- [40]. Rodríguez-Morales AJ, Suárez JA, Risquez A, Villamil-Gómez WE, Paniz-Mondolfi A. Consequences of Venezuela's massive migration crisis on imported malaria in Colombia, 2016– 2018. Travel Med Infect Dis 2019;28:98–99. [PubMed: 30802652]
- [41]. Rodríguez-Morales AJ, Suárez JA, Risquez A, Delgado-Noguera L, Paniz-Mondolfi A. The current syndemic in Venezuela: Measles, malaria and more co-infections coupled with a breakdown of social and healthcare infrastructure. Quo vadis? Travel Med Infect Dis 2019;27:5– 8.
- [42]. Carlos BC, Rona LDP, Christophides GK, Souza-Neto JA. A comprehensive analysis of malaria transmission in Brazil. Pathog Glob Health 2019;113:1–13. [PubMed: 30829565]
- [43]. Pasco M, Zambrano MES, Esparza ME. Sustainable gastronomy in the Peruvian Amazon: An observational approach to touristic restaurants. J Tourism & Leisure Studies 2018;3:1–22.
- [44]. Wiens JJ, Pyron RA, Moen DS. Phylogenetic origins of local-scale diversity patterns and the causes of Amazonian megadiversity. Ecol Lett 2011;14:643–52. [PubMed: 21535341]
- [45]. Ruschmann DVDM. Ecological tourism in Brazil. Tourism Management 1992;13:125-128.
- [46]. de Rios MD, Rumrrill R. A hallucinogenic tea, laced with controversy. Ayahuasca in the Amazon and the United States. Anthropology of Consciousness 2010;16:298.
- [47]. Winkelman M Drug tourism or spiritual healing? Ayahuasca seekers in Amazonia. J Psychoactive Drugs 2005;37:209–18. [PubMed: 16149335]
- [48]. Silveira LB, Wiggers R. Protegendo a floresta, reconfigurando espaços na Amazônia: o caso do Projeto de Assentamento Extrativista Santa Maria Auxiliadora, Humaitá (AM). Rev Admin Publica 2013;47:671–93.
- [49]. Uherek CB, Gouveia FBP. Biological monitoring using macroinvertebrates as bioindicators of water quality of Maroaga Stream in the Maroaga Cave System, Presidente Figueiredo, Amazon, Brazil. Int J Ecol 2014;(1–2):1–7.
- [50]. Massad E, Behrens BC, Coutinho FA, Behrens RH. Cost risk benefit analysis to support chemoprophylaxis policy for travellers to malaria endemic countries. Malar J. 2011;10:130. [PubMed: 21586155]

## Highlights

- Risk of a susceptible tourist acquiring malaria is not negligible in the Brazilian Amazon.
- Maximum risk is nonlinearly correlated with the fraction of infected mosquitoes, but it is correlated with the force of infection.
- Human migration within the Amazon basin maintains *Plasmodium* propagation in the region.
- Human movement back and forth Amazon facilitate *Plasmodium* dispersion to areas with potential for parasite reemergence.
- Malaria transmission can peak either in the dry or rainy season, depends on the region.



Cruzeiro do Sul	2•	Machadinho	6 *	São Gabriel da Cachoe
<b>Rodrigues Alves</b>	<b>3</b> ×	Humaitá	7 *	Presidente Figueiredo

- Mâncio Lima 4 Itacoatiara
  - Guajará **5** Láb
    - Lábrea

#### Figure 1.

.

Specimen collection sites in ten municipalities in the states of Acre, Amazonas and Rondônia, Brazil. Locations are represented by black dots. Numbers 1–7 represent the municipalities as follows: 1 - Cruzeiro do Sul, Mâncio Lima, Rodrigues Alves, AC and Guajará, AM; 2 - Machadinho D'Oeste, RO; 3 – Humaitá; 4 -Itacoatiara; 5 - Lábrea; 6 - São Gabriel da Cachoeira; 7 - Presidente Figueiredo, AM. AM = Amazonas state; AC = Acre state; RO = Rondônia state.

Massad et al.



Figure 2.

Results of the fitting modeling of the incidence of malaria for Lábrea, Amazonas state, according to equation (1). Blue dots are actual data. The continuous line represents the mean incidence, and the dotted lines represent the 95% confidence interval. The arrows show the time travelers arrive at the town





Results of the risks of malaria calculated for the municipality of Lábrea, Amazonas state, Brazil.



#### Figure 4.

Maximum risk of malaria calculated according to equation (8) and normalized for comparison purposes for the 10 municipalities studied.

Massad et al.



## Figure 5.

Proportion of infected Anophelinae mosquitoes with both *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* for the 10 municipalities studied.

Massad et al.



Figure 6.

Correlation between maximum risk of infection and the fraction of infected *Anopheles* mosquitoes with both *P. vivax* and *P. falciparum* for 10 municipalities studied. Mâncio Lima (red dot) was an outlier.

Massad et al.

## Article TMAID 101792



#### Figure 7.

Paradise hotspots for tourism in th municipalities where the risk of non-immune travelers acquiring *Plasmodium* spp. is not negligible. (A) The shorelines of the Ipixuna River offer an amazing scenario for river beach tourists in Santa Maria Auxiliadora and Humaitá-AM. This image was taken July 17, 2016, by GZL using a Nikon D3000 camera (aperture and depth of field = f/7.1; shutter speed = 1/200 s; ISO speed = ISO-100). (B) Waterfalls of the Urubuí River in the tourist city of Presidente Figueiredo-AM receive hundreds of thousands of tourists every year. This image was taken August 18, 2017, by ESB using a Canon PowerShot SX60 HS camera (aperture and depth of field = f/5; shutter speed = 1/1000 s; ISO speed = ISO-320). The images were not edited or cropped from the originals.

#### Table 1.

## State variables and parameters used in the models.

$S_{t_0}(t)$	Number of susceptible travelers at time <i>t</i> that belong to cohort $t_0$
$I_{t_0}(t)$	Number of new infections of travelers belonging to the cohort $t_0$ during the interval between t and $t + dt$
$\lambda(t)$	Force of infection in the Amazon region at time $t$
$\sigma_{t_0}$	Rate at which the travelers belonging to cohort $t_0$ return home
μ	Natural mortality rate of travelers (assumed constant)

## Table 2.

Fitting parameters of fitting equation (1) for the studied locations grouped according to the municipalities.

Municipality	c <sub>1</sub> (95% C.I.)	c <sub>2</sub> (95% C.I.)	c <sub>3</sub> (95% C.I.)	c <sub>4</sub> (95% C.I.)	RMSE*
Lábrea	57.77 (42.37–79.96)	31.50 (30.78–33.41)	349.84 (179.81–786.64)	10.26 (-14.75-23.03)	12.46
Cruzeiro do Sul	596.59 (565.24-637.29)	15.21 (14.13–16.10)	451.54 (362.21–570.97)	-31.35 (-79.85-2.06)	49.43
São Gabriel da Cachoeira	272.96 (232.84–360.63)	23.03 (21.21–30.50)	103.32 (24.17–279.42)	121.40 (67.16–188.25)	53.55
Rodrigues Alves	74.52 (62.81–86.93)	32.09 (30.64–34.15)	225.61 (126.75-385.81)	53.46 (42.96-63.60)	14.97
Presidente Figueiredo	58.35 (48.53-68.07)	17.57 (16.78–18.67)	249.10 (139.95-425.98)	-0,035 (-20,038-29.97)	13.51
MâncioLima	277.65 (230.93–323.91)	18.99 (18.11–20.18)	388.56 (218.31–664.47)	9.42×10 <sup>-4</sup> (-49.81-53.45)	27.94
Guajará	92.61 (77.02–108.04)	17.79 (16.97–18.91)	178.24 (100.14–295.63)	1.28×10 <sup>-4</sup> (-29.98-36.45)	15.69
Humaitá	43.36 (36.07–50.58)	14.65 (13.97–15.57)	151.75 (85.26–251.69)	2.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-7.05-8.98)	5.30
Itaquatiara	97.24 (80.89–113.43)	33.39 (31.84–35.48)	149.08 (83.76–247.26)	3.71×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-19.01-20.08)	15.91
Machadinho D'Oeste	16.64 (13.84–19.41)	8.76 (8.35–9.31)	205.132 (115.25– 340.22)	-1,54×10 <sup>-3</sup> (-4.01-4.99)	5.18

Root Mean Square Errors

#### Table 3.

Risks of malaria calculated according to equation (8) for the city of Lábrea, Amazonas state, as a function of the time in epidemiological weeks of arrival in the city and the duration of the visit in weeks.

Duration in weeks of the visit	1				
Time of arrival in the locality	1	2	3	4	
1	9.42×10 <sup>-4</sup> (0-9.42×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	1.01×10 <sup>-3</sup> . (0–1.66×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.57×10 <sup>-3</sup> . (0–2.54×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	2.17×10 <sup>-3</sup> . (0-3.46×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	
7	7.24×10 <sup>-4</sup> (9.36×10 <sup>-5</sup> –	1.50×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.37×10 <sup>-4</sup> –	2.33×10 <sup>-3</sup> (4.34×10 <sup>-4</sup> –	3.22×10 <sup>-3</sup> (6.86×10 <sup>-4</sup> -	
	1.05×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	2.15×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	3.30×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	4.50×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	
14	1.13×10 <sup>-3</sup> (4.96×10 <sup>-4</sup> –	2.32×10 <sup>-3</sup> (1.06×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	3.58×10 <sup>-3</sup> (1.68×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	4.90×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.38×10 <sup>-3</sup> -	
	1.45×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	2.97×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	4.55×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	6.20×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	
21	1.57×10 <sup>-3</sup> (9.36×10 <sup>-4</sup> –	3.19×10 <sup>-3</sup> (1.93×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	4.87×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.99×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	6.58×10 <sup>-3</sup> (4.06×10 <sup>-3</sup> -	
	1.89×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	3.84×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	5.84×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	7.88×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	
28	1.85×10 <sup>-3</sup> (1.22×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	3.72×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.45×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	5.59×10 <sup>-3</sup> (3.70×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	7.75×10 <sup>-3</sup> (4.90×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	
	2.17×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	4.36×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	6.56×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	8.766×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	
35	1.81×10 <sup>-3</sup> (1.18×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	3.36×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.36×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	5.35×10 <sup>-3</sup> (3.46×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	7.06×10 <sup>-3</sup> (4.53×10 <sup>-3</sup> -	
	2.13×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	4.24×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	6.32×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	8.35×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	
42	1.05×10 <sup>-3</sup> (8.63×10 <sup>-4</sup> –	2.93×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.66×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	4.30×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.40×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	5.60×10 <sup>-3</sup> (3.08×10 <sup>-3</sup> -	
	1.82×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	3.58×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	5.27×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	6.90×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	
49	1.05×10 <sup>-3</sup> (4.17×10 <sup>-4</sup> – 1.37×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	2.04×10 <sup>-3</sup> (7.73×10 <sup>-4</sup> – 2.68×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	2.97×10 <sup>-3</sup> (1.07×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 3.94×10 <sup>-3</sup> )		

#### Table 4.

Maximum risk of acquiring malaria by visiting the ten Amazonian municipalities studied. The risk was calculated according to equation (8).

City (week)	Risk for 1- week visit	Risk for 2- week visit	Risk for 3- week visit	Risk for 4- week visit
	(95% C.I.)	(95% C.I.)	(95% C.I.)	(95% C.I.)
Lábrea (week 28)	1.85×10 <sup>-3</sup> (1.22×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 2.17×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	3.72×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.45×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 4.36×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	5.59×10 <sup>-3</sup> (3.70×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 6.56×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	7.75×10 <sup>-3</sup> (4.90×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 8.76×10 <sup>-3</sup> )
Cruzeiro do Sul	8.89×10 <sup>-3</sup> (7.71×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	1.76×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.54×10 <sup>-2</sup> – 2.01×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	2.69×10 <sup>-2</sup> (2.29×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	3.58×10 <sup>-2</sup> (3.04×10 <sup>-2</sup> –
(week 14)	1.06×10 <sup>-2</sup> )		3.05×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	4.03×10 <sup>-2</sup> )
São Gabriel da	1.21×10 <sup>-2</sup> (9.27×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	2.43×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.81×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	3.65×10 <sup>-2</sup> (2.60×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	4.86×10 <sup>-2</sup> (3.27×10 <sup>-2</sup> –
Cachoeira (week 21)	1.42×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	2.90×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	4.43×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	5.99×10 <sup>-2</sup> )
Rodrigues Alves	1.43×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.19×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	2.88×10 <sup>-2</sup> (2.40×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	4.34×10 <sup>-2</sup> (3.62×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	$\begin{array}{c} 5.82{\times}10^{-2}~(4.84{\times}10^{-2}-\\ 6.89{\times}10^{-2})\end{array}$
(week 28)	1.68×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	3.40×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	5.13×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	
Presidente Figueiredo	6.47×10 <sup>-3</sup> (3.08×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 1.10×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	1.31×10 <sup>-2</sup> (6.29×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	1.97×10 <sup>-2</sup> (9.57×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	2.65×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.28×10 <sup>-2</sup> –
(week 14)		2.21×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	3.33×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	4.46×10 <sup>-2</sup> )
MâncioLima (week	3.04×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.93×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	6.15×10 <sup>-2</sup> (3.94×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	9.30×10 <sup>-2</sup> (5.99×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25{\times}10^{-1}~(8.10{\times}10^{-2}{-}\\ 1.69{\times}10^{-1}) \end{array}$
14)	4.17×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	8.40×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	1.27×10 <sup>-1</sup> )	
Guajará (week 14)	1.00×10 <sup>-2</sup> (4.89×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 1.59×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	2.04×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.02×10 <sup>-2</sup> – 3.20×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	3.09×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.55×10 <sup>-2</sup> – 4.84×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	$\begin{array}{c} 4.16{\times}10^{-2}(2.09{\times}10^{-2}{-}\\ 6.50{\times}10^{-2})\end{array}$
Humaitá (week 14)	4.99×10 <sup>-3</sup> (3.32×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 5.55×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	9.97×10 <sup>-3</sup> (6.55×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 1.37×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	1.49×10 <sup>-2</sup> (9.56×10 <sup>-3</sup> – 2.05×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	1.96×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.24×10 <sup>-2</sup> – 2.73×10 <sup>-2</sup> )
Itacoatiara (week 35)	1.08×10 <sup>-2</sup> (5.71×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	2.13×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.07×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	3.13×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.49×10 <sup>-2</sup> –	4.07×10 <sup>-2</sup> (1.82×10 <sup>-2</sup> –
	1.53×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	3.06×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	4.58×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	6.07×10 <sup>-2</sup> )
Machadinho D'Oeste	1.90×10 <sup>-3</sup> (1.12×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	3.19×10 <sup>-3</sup> (2.25×10 <sup>-3</sup> –	5.37×10 <sup>-3</sup> (3.67×10 <sup>-3</sup> -	7.62×10 <sup>-3</sup> (4.43×10 <sup>-3</sup> –
(week 7)	2.79×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	5.06×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	8.41×10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.12×10 <sup>-2</sup> )