

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *JAMA*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 November 02.

Published in final edited form as:

JAMA. 2020 October 20; 324(15): 1495–1496. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.19759.

The COVID-19 Pandemic and the \$16 Trillion Virus

David M. Cutler, PhD^{*},

Harvard University, 1805 Cambridge St, Cambridge, MA 02138

Lawrence H. Summers, PhD

Harvard Kennedy School, 79 John F. Kennedy Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is the greatest threat to prosperity and wellbeing facing the United States since the Great Depression. This viewpoint aggregates mortality, morbidity, anxiety, and direct economic losses to estimate the total cost of the pandemic in the United States, on the optimistic assumption that it will be substantially contained by the fall of 2021. These costs far exceed those associated with conventional recessions and the Iraq War, and are in the same range as those associated with global climate change. However, increased investment in testing and contact tracing could have economic benefits that are at least a 30 times greater than the estimated costs of the investment in these approaches.

Since the onset of COVID-19 in March, 60 million claims have been filed for unemployment insurance. Prior to COVID-19, the the greatest number of weekly new unemployment insurance claims (based on data from 1967 on) was 695,000 in the week of October 2, 1982. For 20 weeks beginning in late March, 2020 new unemployment claims exceeded 1 million per week; as of September 20, new claims hover just below that amount.

Recessions feed on themselves. Workers not at work have less to spend, and thus subsequent business revenue declines. The federal government offset much of the initial loss due to the shutdown, which has averted what would likely have been a new Great Depression. But the virus is ongoing, and thus full recovery is not expected until well into the future. The Congressional Budget Office projects an estimated total of \$7.6 trillion in lost output over the next decade.¹

Lower output is not the only economic cost of COVID-19; death and reduced quality of life also can be measured in economic terms.. To date, approximately 200,000 deaths have been directly attributable to COVID-19; many more will doubtless occur. In the US, approximately 5,000 COVID-19 deaths are occurring per week and the estimated effective reproductive number ($R_{t,i}$ e, the average number of people who become infected by a person with SARS-CoV-2 infection) is about 1. If these rates continue, another 250,000 deaths can be expected in the next year. Seasonal factors could increase mortality, although whether COVID-19 will display a large seasonal pattern is unknown. In addition to COVID-19 deaths, studies suggest increased deaths from other causes, amounting to almost 40% of

^{*}Corresponding Author: (dcutler@fas.harvard.edu).

Note: more information on the calculations in the viewpoint will be available on David Cutler's website, http://scholar.harvard.edu/ cutler.

COVID-19 related deaths. Thus, continuing on the current trajectories would lead to an estimated 625,000 cumulative deaths associated with the pandemic through the next year in the United States.

While putting a value on a given human life is impossible, economists have developed the technique of valuing "statistical lives"— that is measuring how much it is worth to people to reduce their risk of mortality or morbidity. This approach has been used on a standard basis in US regulatory policy and in discussions of global health policy.²

There is a lengthy economic literature assessing the value of a statistical life, for example in environmental and health regulation. While no single number is universally accepted, ranges are often used. In environmental and health policy, (3),³ for example, a statistical life is assumed to be worth \$10 million. Using a more conservative value of \$7 million per life, the economic cost of premature deaths expected through the next year is estimated at \$4.4 trillion.

Some individuals who survive COVID-19 are likely to have significant long-term complications, including respiratory, cardiac, and mental health disorders, and may have an increased risk of premature death. Data from SARS survivors suggest that long-term impairment occurs for about one-third of survivors with severe or critical disease. (4).⁴ Because there are about 7 times as many survivors with severe or critical COVID-19 disease as there are COVID-19 deaths, long-term impairment might affect more than twice as many people as the number of people who die.

Given the predominance of respiratory complications among COVID-19 survivors, affected individuals may be like those with moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), which has been estimated to have a quality of life disutility of around -0.25 to -0.35. Assuming a total reduction in quality-adjusted life expectancy, including length as well as quality of life, of 35%, and the assumed value of a year of life yields an estimated loss from long-term complications of \$2.6 trillion for cases forecast through the next year.

Even those who do not develop COVID-19 are affected by the virus. Loss of life among friends and loved ones, fear of contracting the virus, concern about economic security, and the effects of isolation and loneliness have all taken a toll on the population's mental health. The proportion of U.S. adults who report symptoms of depression or anxiety has averaged approximately 40% since April; the comparable figure in early 2019 was 11.0%. (5).⁵ These data translate to an estimated 80 million additional individuals with these mental health conditions related to COVID-19. If in line with prevailing estimates the cost of these conditions is valued at about \$20,000 per person per year and the mental health symptoms last for only one year, the valuation of these losses could reach approximately \$1.6 trillion.

The estimated cumulative financial costs of the COVID-19 pandemic related to the lost output and health reduction is shown in Table 1. The total cost is estimated at more than \$16 trillion, or roughly 90% of annual GDP of the United States. For a family of 4, the estimated loss would be nearly \$200,000. About half of this amount is the lost income from the COVID-19-induced recession; the remainder is the economic impact of shorter and less healthy life.

JAMA. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 November 02.

Cutler and Summers

Output losses of this magnitude are immense. The lost output in the Great Recession was only one-quarter as large. The economic loss is more than twice the total monetary outlay for all the wars the US has fought since 9/11, including those in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria. (6).⁶ By another metric, this cost is roughly the estimate of damages (such as from decreased agricultural productivity and more frequent severe weather events) from 50 years of climate change. (7).⁷

For this reason, policies that can materially reduce the spread of SARS-CoV-2 have enormous social value. Consider a policy of wide-scale population testing, contact tracing, and isolation. For example, assuming 100,000 individuals are tested, the cost of testing would be approximately \$6 million. Based on current values for SARS-CoV-2 prevalence in some areas, approximately 5,000 people will test positive.

Many infections could be prevented by this approach. Not every person who tests positive for SARS-CoV-2 is infectious; perhaps 20% of people who test positive are sufficiently late in the course of infection that transmission probabilities are low. (8).⁸ In addition, about 25% of people who test positive would likely not quarantine. (9).⁹ However, given an R_t of about 1, reducing transmission by 45% could lead to about 2,750 fewer positive cases. In expectation, this could prevent about 14 deaths (value = \$96 million) and about 33 critical and severe cases (value = \$80 million). These subsequent cases not occurring could ultimately lead to even fewer cases, but even ignoring that, the projected economic return from the test and trace strategy is approximately 30 times the cost (ie, investment of approximately \$6 million, averted costs of an estimated \$176 million).

The Rockefeller Foundation estimates that a policy of 30 million tests weekly would require an additional \$75 billion in spending over the next year; $(10)^{10}$ adding the cost of contact tracing might bring the total to about \$100 billion.

Congress is currently discussing whether to provide economic support to mitigate the economic damage caused by COVID with legislation following up on the CARES Act. The highest return investments that should be included in such legislation is increased testing and contact tracing. A minimum of 5% of any COVID economic relief intervention should be devoted to such health measures.

More generally, the immense financial loss from COVID-19 suggests a fundamental rethinking of government's role in pandemic preparation. Currently the U.S. prioritizes spending on acute treatment, with far less spending on public health services and infrastructure. As the nation works to recover from COVID-19, investments that are made in testing, contact tracing, and isolation should be established on a permanent basis, not to be dismantled when the concerns about COVID-19 begin to recede.

References

- 1. Congressional Budget Office, An Update to the Economic Outlook: 2020 to 2030, Washington, D.C.: Congressional Budget Office, 7 2020.
- 2. Jameson D, Summers L, Alleyne G, et al., "Global Health 2035: A World Converging in a Generation," the Lancet, 2013; 382:1898–955.

JAMA. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 November 02.

- Robinson L, "COVID-19 and Uncertainties in the Value Per Statistical Life," The Regulatory Review, 8 5, 2020.
- 4. Ahmed H, Patel K, Greenwood D, et al., "Long-Term Clinical outcomes in Survivors of Coronavirus Outbreaks After Hospitalization or ICU Admission: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Follow-Up Studies," mimeo, 10.1101/2020.04.16.20067975.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Mental Health: Household Pulse Survey," 2020, https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/covid19/pulse/mental-health.htm, accessed September 5, 2020.
- Crawford NC, "United States Budgetary Costs and Obligations of Post-9/11 Wars through FY2020: \$6.4 Trillion," mimeo, 11 13, 2019.
- 7. Nordhaus W "Projections and Uncertainties about Climate Change in an Era of Minimal Climate Policies." American Economic Journal: Economic Policy, 2018; 10 (3): 333–60.
- 8. World Health Organization, "Criteria for Releasing COVID-19 Patients from Isolation," Scientific Brief, 6 17, 2020.
- Bilinski A, Mostashari F, Salomon JA. Modeling Contact Tracing Strategies for COVID-19 in the Context of Relaxed Physical Distancing Measures. JAMA Netw Open. 2020; 3(8): e2019217. [PubMed: 32821920]
- The Rockefeller Foundation, National Covid-19 Testing and Tracing Action Plan, 7 16, 2020, https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/ TheRockefellerFoundation_ExecutiveSummary_7_20.pdf, accessed September 5, 2020.

Table 1:

Estimated Economic Cost of the COVID-19 Crisis

Category	Amount (billion)
Lost GDP	\$7,592
Health loss	
- Premature death	\$4,375
- Long-term health impairment	\$2,572
- Mental health impairment	\$1,581
Total	\$16,121
Total for a family of 4	\$196,475
% of annual GDP	90%