

Aerosolized Calfactant in Infants With RDS: Surfactant Replacement 2.0?

Kirsten Glaser, MD,^a Clyde J. Wright, MD^b

The burden of preterm birth remains substantial, with immature infants surviving at rates higher than ever before but with significant morbidities.¹ Bronchopulmonary dysplasia is a leading cause of mortality and long-term impairments.² Given the direct relationship between invasive mechanical ventilation and lung injury, the search continues for strategies that help to successfully establish noninvasive support for management of respiratory distress syndrome. Alternative modes of surfactant administration in preterm infants spontaneously breathing on continuous positive airway pressure have been tested, with the goal of limiting exposure to mechanical ventilation.³ However, there has not been clear-cut evidence that these approaches improve outcomes in high-risk infants so far.⁴ Offered as a truly noninvasive approach, nebulization of surfactants avoids the harms of laryngoscopy.³ Despite ongoing research, clinical data are scarce, and efficacy has yet to be assessed.^{4–8}

In this issue of *Pediatrics*, Cummings et al⁹ report rates of intubation and intratracheal surfactant therapy in 457 infants receiving noninvasive respiratory support for respiratory distress syndrome randomly assigned to either aerosolized calfactant or standard therapy (liquid surfactant per clinician judgment). The authors report that the bovine surfactant calfactant can be readily administered and that it significantly decreases the need for intubation and liquid surfactant administration within the first 4 days of

age. Of note, respiratory support at day 3, 7, and 28 was not different among study groups.

This was a large randomized multicenter trial introducing a practical approach of nebulization that is compatible with continuous positive airway pressure, high-flow nasal cannula, and noninvasive ventilation. Importantly, application of surfactant aerosols was well tolerated by using a modified nebulizer with a pacifier interface. This result is promising and in accordance with previous pilot studies and a recent phase I clinical study documenting feasibility and safety of aerosolized surfactants in infants receiving noninvasive ventilation.^{5–8}

Despite these promising results, the study design potentially introduced significant bias into the study. Notably, there were no criteria for surfactant therapy in the randomly assigned groups. The authors acknowledge this point, but it deserves further discussion. The study design did not dictate treatment thresholds in the liquid surfactant arm given the heterogeneity in clinical practice among the different study sites. Furthermore, there were not strict criteria defining treatment failure in the subjects randomly assigned to aerosolized surfactants, leaving the objective assessment of treatment effect unknown. Because this trial was unblinded, clinicians were aware that every infant randomly assigned to the nebulized surfactant arm received the intervention. It is possible that clinicians delayed intubation and



^aCenter for Pediatric Research Leipzig, Division of Neonatology, Department of Women and Child Health, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; and ^bSection of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Colorado and Children's Hospital Colorado, Aurora, Colorado

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-021576>

Accepted for publication Jul 27, 2020

Address correspondence to Clyde J. Wright, MD, Perinatal Research Facility, Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Colorado, 13243 E 23rd Ave, Room 106, Aurora, CO 80045.
E-mail: clyde.wright@cuanschutz.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2020 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Dr Wright's work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant R01HL132941. Dr Glaser received no external funding. Funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2019-3967.

To cite: Glaser K and Wright CJ. Aerosolized Calfactant in Infants With RDS: Surfactant Replacement 2.0? *Pediatrics*. 2020;146(5):e2020021576

endotracheal surfactant instillation in this group, being biased by aerosolization and the hypothesis of lower risk of air leak and lung injury.

Without a strict definition of failure, it is likely that there was great variation in the clinical decision to intervene with liquid surfactant treatment in the intervention arm. Importantly, clinical data at the time of treatment with liquid surfactants in both groups are missing. Objectively, such bias may have become manifest in higher airway pressures, higher oxygen supply, and delay in endotracheal surfactant instillation in the aerosolized group. Unfortunately, data on fraction of inspired oxygen, P_{aCO_2} , positive end-expiratory pressure, and mean airway pressure are not provided. Delay in endotracheal surfactant instillation was observed, occurring at 24 and 10 hours, respectively. Furthermore, this delay could be associated with unanticipated negative effects, such as increased oxygen exposure or delay in initiation of feeds. Importantly, these measures will have to be assessed in future trials.

In contrast to early surfactant trials, the burden of bronchopulmonary dysplasia has shifted to less mature infants. Today, it primarily affects infants born at <28 weeks' gestational age.¹ Despite the promising results presented here, only a minority of neonates enrolled represent this cohort. With gestational ages of 23 to 41 (median 33) weeks and birth weights of 595 to 4802 g (median 1960 g), most infants

were more mature. The high risk of invasive mechanical ventilation-induced lung injury in tiny infants clearly contrasts with a rather low risk of laryngoscopy-associated complications in moderate preterm neonates. Although study infants at 33 weeks' average gestational age stood little risk of long-term complications associated with exposure to mechanical ventilation, we can hope that these results can be replicated in larger studies enrolling infants at the most vulnerable gestational ages (<28 weeks).

Nebulization of surfactants remains an attractive route because it avoids endotracheal manipulation, with the potential of reducing exposure to mechanical ventilation and, ultimately, decreasing the risk of lung injury. Although this study is promising and reveals safety and feasibility, a trial targeted at a less mature population is necessary before adopting this approach for preterm infants at highest risk of lung injury.

REFERENCES

1. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, et al.; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Trends in care practices, morbidity, and mortality of extremely preterm neonates, 1993-2012. *JAMA*. 2015; 314(10):1039-1051
2. Doyle LW, Faber B, Callanan C, Freezer N, Ford GW, Davis NM. Bronchopulmonary dysplasia in very low birth weight

subjects and lung function in late adolescence. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(1): 108-113

3. Barkhuff WD, Soll RF. Novel surfactant administration techniques: will they change outcome? *Neonatology*. 2019; 115(4):411-422
4. Isayama T, Iwami H, McDonald S, Beyene J. Association of noninvasive ventilation strategies with mortality and bronchopulmonary dysplasia among preterm infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2016;316(6): 611-624
5. Berggren E, Liljedahl M, Winbladh B, et al. Pilot study of nebulized surfactant therapy for neonatal respiratory distress syndrome. *Acta Paediatr*. 2000; 89(4):460-464
6. Finer NN, Merritt TA, Bernstein G, Job L, Mazela J, Segal R. An open label, pilot study of Aerosurf[®] combined with nCPAP to prevent RDS in preterm neonates. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2010;23(5):303-309
7. Minocchieri S, Berry CA, Pillow JJ; CureNeb Study Team. Nebulized surfactant to reduce severity of respiratory distress: a blinded, parallel, randomised controlled trial. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2019;104(3): F313-F319
8. Sood BG, Cortez J, Kolli M, Sharma A, Delaney-Black V, Chen X. Aerosolized surfactant in neonatal respiratory distress syndrome: phase I study. *Early Hum Dev*. 2019;134: 19-25
9. Cummings JJ, Gerday E, Minton S, et al. Aerosolized calfactant for newborns with respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics*. 2020; 146(5):e20193967