

SHORT REPORT

Open Access



Prescription and dispensing guidelines in Lebanon: initiative of the Order of Pharmacists of Lebanon

Aline Hajj^{1,2}, Hala Sacre⁴, Souheil Hallit^{4,5*} , Rony M. Zeenny⁶, Georges Sili³ and Pascale Salameh^{4,7,8}

Abstract

Background: In Lebanon, difficulties in accessing medications are due to two main barriers, mainly: high cost and the lack of medication safety, related to poor-quality (irrational) prescription and use. The objective of this work is to suggest guidelines to implement a unified medical prescription in Lebanon. These guidelines are expected to promote medication safety and decrease pharmaceutical expenditures in the Lebanese context.

Methods: The Order of Pharmacists of Lebanon (OPL) developed a comprehensive set of guidelines for physicians and pharmacists, including a detailed workflow process to improve the use of the unified medical prescription. The guidelines were presented to the Lebanese Ministry of Public Health (MOPH).

Results: The project covered prescription guidelines to physicians (handwritten and electronic-prescriptions), and medication dispensing and generic substitution guidelines to pharmacists. Prescription guidelines included all required information about both the prescribing physician and the patient with the maximum of details, comprehensibility, and caution regarding specific populations/co-morbidities/co-prescriptions. Dispensing guidelines included details for safe and appropriate treatment dispensing, pearls for medications' counseling and generic substitution, as well as specific consideration for at-risk populations or those with concomitant medications and co-morbidities. Finally, a suggested workflow clarified the process for improving the unified medical prescription.

Conclusions: The implementation of the guidelines should now be formally evaluated, to assess if they achieve the aims to reduce prescribing and dispensing errors, to improve the quality of medicines' prescription and use, the patient care, and the interaction between all stakeholders

Keywords: e-Prescription, Lebanon, Physician prescribing pattern, Guideline

Main text

Background

Affordable and safe access to quality medicines is at the core of successful health systems; the World Health Organization (WHO) encourages countries to develop evidence-based policies and good governance throughout the supply chain, from selecting the right products

to using them correctly [1–3]. In Lebanon, difficulties in accessing medications owe to two main barriers, mainly: high costs and the lack of medication safety [4–6].

The pharmaceutical sector in Lebanon is based on imported medicines predominantly (more than 80% of the total market share) [6], and that over 25% of annual household expenditures are spent on medications [6]. Consequently, Lebanon's pharmaceutical spending per capita is among the highest in the Middle-East [7], and only around 20% of drugs used are reimbursed through public or private insurance [7].

*Correspondence: souheilhallit@hotmail.com

⁴ INSPECT-LB, Institut National de Santé Publique, Epidémiologie Clinique et Toxicologie-Liban, Beirut, Lebanon

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2020. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Medication safety is another significant public health concern, particularly in a country where medication-related knowledge and patient interactions with primary caregivers are suboptimal [8]. Therefore, medication errors and adverse drug reactions can be distressing to both the patient and health care providers, causing severe medical and economic harm [9–11]. While adverse drug reactions are sometimes difficult to avoid, a medication error is usually avertible and defined as “any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the health care professional, patient, or consumer” [12]. Some of these medication errors are seen at the early stages of medication use, particularly prescribing and dispensing, involving both the physician and the pharmacist [9].

Multicomponent interventions have been suggested worldwide to improve and secure access to medicine, improve the quality of prescribing/dispensing, and decrease pharmaceutical expenditures [9, 13]. As one of these strategies, the Lebanese Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) adopted in 2015 a unified medical prescription form among physicians, pharmacists, and patients (ministerial decision no. 1295) as a mean to promote generic drug use. The form, composed of several sections, targets physicians, pharmacists/radiologists/medical laboratories, insured patients, and third-party payers, but lacks essential fields such as age, gender, and diagnosis.

In this context, a study published in 2017 [14], exploring community pharmacists' views and reported practices about the new generic drug substitution policy, revealed that pharmacists did not perceive a significant decrease in overall patient expenditures, nor an increase in generic drug dispensing. The authors also outlined a poor adherence to the policy from all stakeholders, including pharmacists, patients, and physicians; the latter seemed to overuse the option of non-substitutable medications [14]. Finally, the absence of an electronic prescription and patient profile platform magnify the risk of error. Hence, a complete medical record/tracking option would allow the pharmacist to give their best medication counseling [15].

To address all aforementioned issues, the Order of Pharmacists of Lebanon (OPL), the official professional association of pharmacists in Lebanon, suggested a comprehensive process to implement the unified medical prescription in Lebanon. The objective of this work is to present the suggested guidelines and processes, which implementation is expected to reduce the risk of errors at the physician prescribing and medication dispensing levels.

Methods

Generating the guidelines

The scientific committee of the OPL worked to have clear guidelines for the application of the unified prescription policy, as previously decided by the MOPH. These guidelines are part of a governance framework of the health-system developed by the OPL, and inspired by the World Health Organization (WHO) [16]; their development took place through several steps.

Literature review

After a thorough literature desk-review, several internationally published documents were selected as adaptable to the Lebanese system. Out of dozens of documents found, the following were selected: Guide to Good Prescribing written by the WHO [17]; Guidelines for Dispensing of Medicines by the Pharmacy Board of Australia [18]; How to Write a Prescription: Guidelines for Dentist by the Irish Health Protection Surveillance [19]; General Prescribing Guidance by England National Health Service [20]; Writing a Prescription: Guidelines by Indian Medical Dialogues [21]; Appropriate Prescribing of Medications: An Eight-Step Approach by American researchers [22]; and Guideline for generic substitution-KNMP from Switzerland [23]. These documents were chosen since they were issued by international and national authorities and researchers such as American, Australian, European and India (a developing country).

Synthesis into four documents

The members of the scientific committee synthesized the literature and generated four documents. It is noteworthy that the committee is composed of pharmacists practicing in different settings: two community pharmacists, one clinical pharmacist, three academic pharmacists, and one managerial pharmacist.

After a series of meetings dedicated to this purpose, the committee submitted a draft to the OPL Board (elected members representing all pharmacy practice settings, i.e., community pharmacists, managerial pharmacists, marketing, and sales pharmacists) for review and approval. Two meetings were necessary to produce the final version of the three-part guidelines and the workflow process. They stated different points to the concerned parties:

- 1 To the physicians: Guidelines for writing prescriptions and E-prescriptions, and recommendations for the use of internationally approved abbreviations that are easy to read by the pharmacist (Additional file 1).
- 2 To the pharmacists: Guidelines for accurate prescription reading, safe medication dispensing, and generic substituting (Additional file 2).

- 3 To the MOPH: Suggested changes in the format of the unified medical prescription for better traceability, convenience, and ease of use (Additional file 3).
- 4 Workflow: Clarified the interaction between stakeholders throughout the process (Additional file 4).

Submission to concerned authorities

The OPL submitted a comprehensive project to the MOPH, including the guidelines, the changes suggested to the MOPH, the workflow process, and suggestions to improve the use of the unified prescription. The implementation of guidelines is expected to be soon, given that the MOPH administration welcomed the project and started to gather stakeholders' opinion about it; however, various factors can influence and delay this process, including political turmoil, economic difficulties, the rigidity of the healthcare system, and the willingness of healthcare professionals to collaborate.

Results

The details of the guidelines are presented and discussed below.

Handwritten and electronic e-prescription guidelines for physicians (details in Additional file 1) (24)

The guidelines include the basic legal requirements of a prescription, with specific details about the prescribing physician and the patient, in addition to elements of good practice, in addition to comprehensibility and avoiding abbreviations; the prescription also allows for detailed information. Required fields included the name of the drug, dose, dosage form, route, frequency, and duration of treatment, in addition to sections regarding potentially high-risk groups (pregnant/breastfeeding women, elderly, and children), allergies, contraindications, and drug–drug interactions for poly-medicated patients.

Dispensing guidelines for pharmacists (details in Additional file 2) (25)

The main dispensing guidelines included sections on:

- the maximum validity of the prescription, set to one month after the date of issuing for acute conditions, and one year for chronic diseases.
- safe and appropriate treatment dispensing: the pharmacist should have an independent judgment and has to verify the prescription taking into account the following elements: drug dose, frequency, route of administration, duration of treatment, use of other medications, co-morbidities, medication history, and other relevant circumstances, including but not limited to allergies, compliance, and cost.
- medications counseling, including any oral or written information on dispensed medications to optimize the benefits of the therapy, improve patient's understanding of the treatment, and enhance compliance while minimizing adverse effects.
- generic substitution.
- special and at-risk populations, e.g., pregnant/breast-feeding women, elderly, and children.
- dispensing safety check taking into account allergies, dosing, contraindications, drug-drug interactions, and potential side effects, before providing the medication to the patient.
- reporting errors and adverse drug reactions of any type.

Prescription format amendments (details in Additional file 3)

The OPL suggestions that would improve the functionality of the unified prescription include:

- a) using a triplicate prescription form: one copy for the patient, one for the pharmacist, and the third copy for the third-party payer (if available);
- b) adding essential missing fields as available through the electronic Lebanese Advanced Patient Profile, such as gender, age, and diagnosis and replacing the serial number of the prescription by a QR code (for better security and confidentiality);
- c) removing the medical laboratory tests section, and creating separate forms with no serial number for both medical laboratory tests and medical reports; those forms would be developed by concerned parties in collaboration with the MOPH.

Standard operation procedure for prescriptions workflow

In addition to these guidelines, standard operating procedures were suggested to improve the workflow of prescribing and dispensing medications (Additional file 4).

Discussion

These guidelines are of primary importance, in the absence of any prior related recommendations in Lebanon. Guidelines for generating e-prescriptions are particularly important under the current COVID-19 pandemic circumstances and related confinement measures. Indeed, the COVID-19 outbreak has challenged all healthcare team members, including pharmacists who are required to secure adequate clinical pharmacy practice management, especially to high-risk patients such as those undergoing hematopoietic cell transplantation or cellular therapy [26]. Such a system would allow pharmacists to continue monitoring patients, providing

them with specific education they might need during the pandemic. In that context, some already published recommendations about optimizing technology resources [26] can be added to the current guidelines. A recent published commentary described the “success story” of two Greek hospitals that allowed the continuity of care/therapies of patients with inflammatory bowel disease using telemedicine services, electronic-prescriptions, and home delivery of medications during the COVID-19 lockdown [27].

Moreover, although previous studies have shown that medication errors related to prescriptions were common in Lebanese hospitals [28, 29], and the community [30], the early stages of implementation of the medication safety system in Lebanon is in its infancy, as it is still in the early stages of implementation by the MOPH [31]. The suggested guidelines are thus expected to reduce the risk of prescription and dispensing errors, assess and decrease the risk of medications’ off-label use, decrease medication-related expenditures, promote better reporting of safety issues to authorities, and eventually improve patients’ health. The system might also help capture professional practice mal-adherence to clinical guidelines, potential lack of efficacy, which can, in turn, identify misprescribed medications, poor-quality medicines, or even clusters of antimicrobial resistance.

Hence, piloting these guidelines among a small sample of volunteer healthcare professionals would optimize those guidelines and confirm the value of the project before implementing it on a larger scale: The implementation of the guidelines should be formally evaluated, to assess if they achieve the stated short and long-term objectives to improve the quality of medicines’ prescriptions and use, the patients care, and the communication among health care professionals, as well as to help rationalizing the pharmaceutical expenses.

Conclusions

The Order of Pharmacists of Lebanon suggested guidelines and procedures for physicians and pharmacists in Lebanon to enhance the application of the Lebanese unified medical prescription; further assessment studies are necessary to confirm the value of this project in improving patients’ safety and optimizing the healthcare system in Lebanon.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40545-020-00273-9>.

Additional file 1: Prescription and E-prescription guidelines for physicians.

Additional file 2: Dispensing guidelines for pharmacists.

Additional file 3: Prescription format amendments.

Additional file 4: Suggested prescription workflow.

Abbreviations

MOPH: Lebanese Ministry of Public Health; OPL: Order of Pharmacists of Lebanon; WHO: World Health Organization.

Acknowledgments

All members of the OPL scientific committee.

Authors’ contributions

AH drafted the manuscript; HS assisted in drafting and reviewing the manuscript; PS designed the study; PS, RMZ, and SH reviewed the manuscript and corrected it. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All related data are attached as additional files.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable; no ethics committee approval was needed since the study did not involve any human or animal participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Laboratoire de Pharmacologie, Pharmacie Clinique Et Contrôle de Qualité Des Médicaments, Saint-Joseph University, Beirut 1107 2180, Lebanon.

² Faculty of Pharmacy, Saint-Joseph University, Beirut 1107 2180, Lebanon.

³ Drug Information Center, Order of Pharmacists in Lebanon, Beirut, Lebanon.

⁴ INSPECT-LB, Institut National de Santé Publique, Epidémiologie Clinique et Toxicologie-Liban, Beirut, Lebanon. ⁵ Faculty of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Holy Spirit University of Kaslik (USEK), Jounieh, Lebanon. ⁶ Clinical Pharmacy Department, American University Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon. ⁷ Faculty of Pharmacy, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon. ⁸ Faculty of Medicine, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon.

Received: 6 April 2020 Accepted: 22 September 2020

Published online: 06 November 2020

References

- Buss AH, Perry M. The aggression questionnaire. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1992;63(3):452.
- Critchfield KL, Levy KN, Clarkin JF, Kernberg OF. The relational context of aggression in borderline personality disorder: Using adult attachment style to predict forms of hostility. *J Clin Psychol*. 2008;64(1):67–82.
- Van Buren A, Cooley EL. Attachment styles, view of self and negative affect. 2002.
- Akel M, Ramia E, Hallit S, Hajj A, Lahoud N, Hajj H, et al. Medication safety spontaneous reporting system: the Lebanese Order of Pharmacists Initiative. *Bull Fac Pharm Cairo Univ*. 2019;57(1):66–81.
- Sacre H, Hajj A, Aoun R, Hallit S, Zeitoun A, Salameh P. Drug safety in Lebanon. 2020. In: *Drug safety in developing countries: achievements and challenges*. Elsevier Inc. 1. <https://www.elsevier.com/books/drug-safety-in-developing-countries/al-worafi/978-0-12-819837-7>.
- Finzi R, Cohen O, Ram A. Attachment and divorce. *J Fam Psychother*. 2000;11(1):1–20.

7. Bowlby J. Attachment and loss: Volume II: separation, anxiety and anger. Attachment and Loss: Volume II: Separation, Anxiety and Anger. London: The Hogarth press and the institute of psycho-analysis; 1973. p. 1–429.
8. Ramia E, Zeenny RM, Hallit S, Salameh P, Order of Pharmacists Scientific Committee-Medication Safety. Assessment of patients' knowledge and practices regarding their medication use and risks in Lebanon. *Int J Clin Pharm*. 2017;39(5):1084–94.
9. World Health Organization. Medication errors: technical series on safer primary care. 2016 <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/252274/9789241511643-eng.pdf;jsessionid=C50DDA56823A26D2D79A7317B59D2A5F?sequence=1>. Accessed 8 Jan 2019. .
10. Buss AH, Warren W. Aggression questionnaire:(AQ): Western Psychological Services Torrence; 2000.
11. Campbell PJ, Patel M, Martin JR, Hincapie AL, Axon DR, Warholak TL, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of community pharmacy error rates in the USA: 1993–2015. *BMJ Open Qual*. 2018;7(4):e000193.
12. Alia-Klein N, Gan G, Gilam G, Bezek J, Bruno A, Denson TF, et al. The feeling of anger: from brain networks to linguistic expressions. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2020;108:480–97.
13. Kee KW, Char CWT, Yip AYF. A review on interventions to reduce medication discrepancies or errors in primary or ambulatory care setting during care transition from hospital to primary care. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2018;7(3):501–6.
14. El-Jardali F, Fadlallah R, Morsi RZ, Hemadi N, Al-Gibbawi M, Haj M, et al. Pharmacists' views and reported practices in relation to a new generic drug substitution policy in Lebanon: a mixed methods study. *Implement Sci*. 2017;12(1):23.
15. Sacre H, Hallit S, Hajj A, Zeenny R, Sili G, Salameh P. The pharmacy profession in a developing country: Challenges and suggested governance solutions in Lebanon. *J Res Pharm Practice*. 2019;8(2):39–44.
16. Baghdadi-Sabeti G, Serhan F. WHO Good Governance for Medicines programme: an innovative approach to prevent corruption in the pharmaceutical sector. Compilation of country case studies and best practices. World Health Report, Background Paper, 25. <https://www.who.int/healthsystems/topics/financing/healthreport/25GGM.pdf?ua=1>, 2010.
17. De Vries TPG, Henning RH, Hogerzeil HV, Fresle D. WHO Action Programme on Essential Drugs, World Health Organization. Essential Drugs and Medicines Policy. Guide to good prescribing: a practical manual 1994. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/59001>. Accessed 6 June 2020.
18. Pharmacy Board of Australia. Guidelines for dispensing of medicines. 2015 [
19. Health Service Executive. How to write a prescription: Guidelines for dentist. 2018. <https://www.hse.ie/eng/services/list/2/gp/Antibiotic-Prescribing/Conditions-and-Treatments/Dental/Dental-prescription-guidelines/>. Accessed 6 June 2020.
20. Harding M, Tidy C. General Prescribing Guidance. NHS England's Information Standard certification. <https://patient.info/doctor/general-prescribing-guidance>. Accessed 6 June 2020.
21. Singhania M. Medical Dialogues. Writing a Prescription: Guidelines. 2017. <https://medicaldialogues.in/writing-a-prescription-guidelines/>. Accessed 6 June 2020.
22. Pollock M, Bazaldua OV, Dobbie AE. Appropriate prescribing of medications: an eight-step approach. *Am Fam Phys*. 2007;75(2):231–6.
23. Grandia L, Vulto A. Generics substitution in primary care: summary of the Dutch community pharmacies guidelines. *Generics Biosim Init J*. 2012;1(2):102–3.
24. Mikulincer M, Dolev T, Shaver PR. Attachment-related strategies during thought suppression: ironic rebounds and vulnerable self-representations. *J Person Soc Psychol*. 2004;87(6):940.
25. Mikulincer M, Shaver PR. The attachment behavioral system in adulthood: activation, psychodynamics, and interpersonal processes. 2003.
26. Mahmoudjafari Z, Alexander M, Roddy J, Shaw R, Shigle TL, Timlin C, et al. American society for transplantation and cellular therapy pharmacy special interest group position statement on pharmacy practice management and clinical management for COVID-19 in hematopoietic cell transplantation and cellular therapy patients in the United States. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2020;26(6):1043–9.
27. Bamias G, Lagou S, Gizis M, Karampekos G, Kyriakoulis KG, Pontas C, et al. The Greek Response to COVID-19: a true success story from an IBD perspective. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2020;26(8):1144–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izaa143>.
28. Al-Hajje A, Awada S, Rachidi S, Chahine NB, Azar R, Zein S, et al. Medication prescribing errors: data from seven Lebanese hospitals. *J Med Liban*. 2012;60(1):37–44.
29. Musharrafieh U, Nammani R, Badaoui J, Baddour K, Issa N, Youssef S, et al. Barriers to medical error disclosure at a tertiary care hospital in Lebanon: physicians versus nurses. *Health*. 2019;4:1–6.
30. Saleh N, Awada S, Awwad R, Jibai S, Arfoul C, Zaiter L, et al. Evaluation of antibiotic prescription in the Lebanese community: a pilot study. *Infect Ecol Epidemiol*. 2015;5:27094.
31. Karam R. Quality assurance of pharmaceutical products program: the Way forward. 2020.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

