



## Correction to: A comparison of high-throughput plasma NMR protocols for comparative untargeted metabolomics

Nikolaos G. Bliziotis<sup>1</sup> · Udo F. H. Engelke<sup>1</sup> · Ruud L. E. G. Aspers<sup>2</sup> · Jasper Engel<sup>2,3</sup> · Jaap Deinum<sup>4</sup> · Henri J. L. M. Timmers<sup>4</sup> · Ron A. Wevers<sup>1</sup> · Leo A. J. Kluijtmans<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 20 May 2020  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

**Correction to: Metabolomics (2020) 16:64**  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-020-01686-y>

Following publication of the original article, the authors would like to correct a sentence in the paragraph “<sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K...” under the heading “NMR experiments”.

The sentence currently reads:

“The LED pulse sequence had the form -RD-90<sup>1</sup>-G1-180<sup>1</sup>-G1-90<sup>1</sup>-G2-T-90<sup>1</sup>-G1-180<sup>1</sup>-G1-90<sup>1</sup>-G2-t-90<sup>1</sup>-acquire FID, where RD is a relaxation delay, 90<sup>1</sup> is a 90<sup>1</sup> RF pulse, G1 is the pulsed-field gradient that is applied to allow editing, 180<sup>1</sup> is a 180<sup>1</sup> RF pulse, G2 is a spoil gradient applied to remove unwanted magnetization components. The diffusion delay D is the time during which the molecules are allowed to diffuse—this is the period (90<sup>1</sup>-G1-180<sup>1</sup>-G1-90<sup>1</sup>-G2-T-); and t is a delay to allow the longitudinal eddy currents caused within the sample to decay (Beckonert et al. 2007).”

The sentence should read:

“The LED pulse sequence had the form -RD-90°-G1-180°-G1-90°-G2-T-90°-G1-180°-G1-90°-G2-τ-90°-acquire FID, where RD is a relaxation delay, 90° is a 90° RF pulse, G1 is the pulsed-field gradient that is applied to allow editing, 180° is a 180° RF pulse, G2 is a spoil gradient applied to remove unwanted magnetization components. The diffusion delay Δ is the time during which the molecules are allowed to diffuse—this is the period (90°-G1-180°-G1-90°-G2 T-); and τ is a delay to allow the longitudinal eddy currents caused within the sample to decay (Beckonert et al. 2007).”

This has been corrected with this erratum.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-020-01686-y>.

✉ Nikolaos G. Bliziotis  
nick.bliziotis@radboudumc.nl

Leo A. J. Kluijtmans  
leo.kluijtmans@radboudumc.nl

- <sup>1</sup> Translational Metabolic Laboratory, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Radboudumc, Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10, 6525 GA Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- <sup>2</sup> Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University, Houtlaan 4, 6525 XZ Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup> Present Address: Biometris, Wageningen UR, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Radboudumc, Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10, 6525 GA Nijmegen, The Netherlands