

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Drug Alcohol Rev.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Drug Alcohol Rev. 2020 March; 39(3): 216–222. doi:10.1111/dar.13019.

Contingency Management Treatment for Methamphetamine Use Disorder in South Africa

Chukwuemeka N Okafor¹, Dan J Stein^{2,5}, Lisa Dannatt², Jonathan Ipser², Lara J van Nunen², Marilyn T. Lake^{2,3}, Tamar Krishnamurti⁴, Edythe D. London⁶, Steve Shoptaw^{2,6,7} ¹Division of Infectious Diseases, David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California, Los Angeles, 10833 Le Conte Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90095-1688, USA

²Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, South Africa

³Department of Psychology, University of Cape Town, South Africa

⁴Division of General Internal Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA.

⁵South African Medical Research Council Unit on Risk and Resilience in Mental Disorders, Cape Town, South Africa.

⁶Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

⁷Department of Family Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

Introduction: As South Africa, especially the Western Cape Province, faces an epidemic of methamphetamine use disorder (MUD), therapeutic approaches suited to the South African context are needed.

Aims: This secondary analysis assessed retention and methamphetamine abstinence outcomes in response to an 8-week pilot contingency management (CM) intervention trial of neural correlates of methamphetamine abstinence, exploring sociodemographic and clinical differences between responders and nonresponders.

Design and Methods: Research participants provided thrice-weekly, monitored urine samples, which were analyzed by qualitative radioimmunoassay. The primary outcome for this analysis was therapeutic response, defined as abstinence from methamphetamine (23 of 24 possible methamphetamine-negative urine samples).

Results: Data from 30 adults living in Cape Town, South Africa $(34 \pm 6.1 \text{ years of age, mean age} \pm \text{SD}, 21 \text{ men})$ were included. Sixty-three percent (12 men) were responders. In bivariate

Conflict of interests

Corresponding author: Department of Public Health, Robbins College of Health and Human Sciences, Baylor University, One Bear Place #97343, Waco, Texas 76798, (254) 710-4676 (w), Emeka_okafor@baylor.edu.

None of the authors have any conflict of interest to report

comparisons, baseline measurements showed fewer responders reported monthly household income 25,000+ South African Rand (ZAR; ~ USD \$1,880; vs. ZAR <25,000) than nonresponders (15.8% vs. 63.6%; p=0.007). Furthermore, responders had higher median years of education (12 vs. 10; Kruskal-Wallis $\chi^2 = 4.25$, DF=1, p=0.039) and lower median body mass index (BMI) than nonresponders (19 vs. 24; Kruskal-Wallis $\chi^2 = 6.84$, p=0.008).

Discussions and Conclusions: Therapeutic response in this study were comparable to those obtained with CM for MUD in North America and Europe. Our findings suggest that CM may be a useful component of treatment strategies to boost retention and continuous abstinence from methamphetamine in Cape Town, South Africa. Larger efficacy studies are needed in this setting.

Keywords

Contingency Management; Behavioral Treatment; Methamphetamine Use Disorder; Stimulants; South Africa

1. Introduction

South Africa, particularly the Western Cape Province, is facing an ongoing epidemic of methamphetamine use disorder (MUD), as the prevalence of methamphetamine has increased steadily in the past decade (1). Data from the South African Community Epidemiological Network on Drug Use, which examines data from specialist substance use treatment centers in the nine provinces of South Africa, show that in 2016, of the 5,784 patients presenting for drug abuse treatment, 31% (n=1,766) reported methamphetamine as the primary substance of use (1). As in other countries, MUD in South Africa is associated with a broad range of negative health issues, including HIV sexual transmission behaviors (2,3), HIV seroconversion, (4) and mental disorders (e.g., mood and psychotic disorders) (5).

Treatment for MUD in South Africa has predominantly focused on cognitive behavioral therapy, motivational interviewing, and problem-solving skills (6–8). In response to the methamphetamine epidemic in 2007, the City of Cape Town introduced Matrix treatment centers, which use an evidence-based treatment developed in the U.S. in the 1980s, at primary health care clinics (9). The treatment approach includes psychoeducation, individual sessions, early recovery groups, substance-use monitoring, peer support, family support and relapse prevention (10). In one recent study of Matrix Model, conducted within a community health center outside of Cape Town, only 129 of the 986 clients (majority whom presented with methamphetamine or heroin as the primary drug of choice) that initiated treatment (13%) completed the 16-week program. Most of these program enrollees presented with methamphetamine or heroin as the primary drug of choice, underscoring the need for alternative or complementary therapies to those already in place. Contingency management (CM), a behavioral therapy that provides positive reinforcers (such as financial incentives) in exchange for objective evidence of abstinence from substance use, has demonstrated efficacy in promoting continued abstinence from methamphetamine use and other stimulants with treatment effect sizes (Cohen's d) ranging from .32 to .42 (12–14). Furthermore, CM produces better retention rates than other psychosocial treatments, with 63% of individuals completing a 16 weeks CM program for stimulant use compared to 40% in cognitive behavioral therapy arm (15). Thus, CM may provide a better approach to

improving treatment retention by reducing the previously documented financial and transportation access barriers to treatment utilization and engagement experienced in South Africa (16). The aim of this article was to assess retention, methamphetamine abstinence outcomes in response to an 8-week pilot CM program and potential sociodemographic and clinical differences in response to the CM program among treatment-seeking adults who meet criteria for MUD in Cape Town, South Africa.

2. Methods

2.1. Study setting and participants

This was a secondary analysis of a pilot trial that assessed the neurobiological correlates underpinning outcomes for CM as a treatment for MUD (Clinical Trial Identifier: NCT02907853). Participants were treatment-seeking persons who use methamphetamine enrolled between August 2016 and January 2017 in an 8-week clinical trial of CM in Cape Town, South Africa. Participants were recruited via referrals from drug rehabilitation centers as well as using flyers distributed in homeless shelters, community centers, and shopping centers. They were eligible to participate in the study if they met DSM-5 criteria for MUD, provided a urine sample that tested positive for methamphetamine during screening, were aged 18-45 years, and had a good understanding of English. Participants were also included in the study if they reported secondary use of methaqualone, marijuana or tobacco. The exclusion criteria were: currently in treatment for addiction to a substance other than stimulants; meeting DSM-5 criteria for a substance-related diagnosis other than Methamphetamine, Tobacco, Marijuana or Methaqualone Use Disorder; current use of a prescribed psychoactive medication; inability to attend 4 visits during a 2-week screening period or to complete screening measures; physical or mental illness that would (a) require intervention (inpatient treatment) or (b) alter brain imaging findings, or (c) interfere with safe study participation; pregnancy, claustrophobia, or presence of metal prostheses, cardiac pacemakers, or metal clips that are incompatible with the MRI environment; HIV seropositive status; and previous head injury.

All participants provided written informed consent. A total of 269 individuals were screened for the study. Of these 148 (55%) were excluded as they did not meet inclusion/exclusion criteria. An additional 88 (33%) did not come for the enrollment visit, yielding a sample of 33 participants (12%). The Institutional Review Boards of the University of Cape Town, South Africa and the University of California, Los Angeles oversaw all aspects of the study, ensuring that it complied with ethical standards laid out by the Declaration of Helsinki (citation).

2.2. Contingency management (CM) procedure

Urine Testing Procedures.—Throughout, participants attended clinic thrice weekly to provide a monitored urine sample at each visit and to complete all other study activities. Eligibility for the CM program was determined during a 2-week baseline screening period. Participants who tested positive for methamphetamine at least once during screening and who met all inclusion and no exclusion criteria were allowed to begin the 8-week CM program. Urine sample integrity was verified using a urine collection cup with an affixed

temperature strip and personal escort to the toilet. Participants voided into the cup, placed a lid on the cup and handed the specimen to the research assistant. Valid samples required a reading of 92°-96° F on the temperature strip. If any of these procedures were not met exactly, participants were required to provide a different urine sample.

The CM Program.—The CM program provided vouchers that increased in value in exchange for consecutive urine samples documenting abstinence from MA. Over the course of the 8-week program, including three weekly visits, participants could provide up to 24 methamphetamine-negative samples. Using a high-value (in the setting of South Africa), escalating schedule, the first urine sample negative for methamphetamine was worth 25 South African Rand (ZAR; ~ USD \$1.88; 2017 exchange rates used). Consecutive negative samples increased in value by ZAR 12.50 (~USD \$0.94). For every three consecutive negative samples, a ZAR 100 (~USD \$7.53) bonus was provided. Thus, the total possible earnings for providing 24 methamphetamine-negative urine samples was ZAR 4,850 (~ USD \$366). To maintain motivation, a 'rapid reset' rule was employed, such that participants with a methamphetamine-positive or missing urine sample (on the third consecutive methamphetamine-negative urine test) returned to their prior place in the escalating schedule.

2.3. Measures

The primary outcomes for this analysis were retention rates and methamphetamine abstinence. Retention was defined as the percentage of participants enrolled that completed the 8-week CM program. Methamphetamine abstinence: urine samples were analyzed qualitatively for methamphetamine or amphetamine (500 ng/mL threshold indicating use of methamphetamine or amphetamine in the 72 hours before testing) via immunoassay-based dip cards (CLIAwaived, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). For this study, responders to the CMprogram were defined as those providing 23 of a possible 24 methamphetamine-negative urine samples across the 8-week CM program all others were defined as nonresponders. Furthermore, at the baseline screening visit, participants completed questionnaires providing sociodemographic information including age, income, years of schooling, race/ethnicity, alcohol, and other drug use history. Height and weight collected during the clinical appointment at baseline were used to calculate Body Mass Index (BMI). Drug and alcohol use in the prior 30 days was collected using the Addiction Severity Index (ASI). In addition, a skilled practitioner conducted the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (SCID) interview (to confirm diagnosis of MUD), the Revised Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (RHRSD) (17), the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND) (18) and the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI) (19).

Data analysis

Results were summarized using descriptive statistics, including means, medians, and percentages. For this study, *responders* to the CM-program were defined as those providing 23 of a possible 24 methamphetamine-negative urine samples across the 8-week CM program. We compared characteristics of *responders* to the CM-program with *nonresponders* using chi-square or Fisher's exact test (for categorical variables) and *t*-tests/Kruskal-Wallis χ^2 test (for continuous variables).

3. Results

Of the 33 individuals who completed an enrollment visit, 3 were excluded, for the following reasons: meningitis or brain abnormality (n = 2), previous history of cocaine dependence (n = 1). Of the 30 remaining individuals, who were included in the analysis, 2 dropped out of the study — a 93% treatment-completion rate. At baseline, median age was 34 years [standard deviation (SD) = 6.1], median number of days of methamphetamine use in the month prior to enrollment was 21, median years of schooling was 11, and median WASI-IQ score was 86 (Table 1). Seventy percent (n = 21) were males, 60% (n = 18) identified English as their primary language, 67% (n = 20) had a monthly household income less than ZAR 25,000 (~ USD \$1,880), and 37% (n = 11) were classified as having moderate to high nicotine dependence (score 5 on the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence).

Across the 8-week CM program, 30 participants provided 704 urine samples; of these 579 (82%) were methamphetamine-negative (range across visits: 76% to 88%; Figure 1). Participants earned vouchers worth an average ZAR 3,375 (~USD 253), representing 70% of the total possible earnings in the program. Sixty-eight percent of the sample (n = 19)provided 23 methamphetamine-negative urine samples over the 8-week CM program and were classified as responders. Furthermore, 61% of the sample (n = 17), remained abstinent from methamphetamine throughout the 8 weeks. Median number of methamphetaminenegative urine samples for non-responders was 10 [interquartile range (IQR) = 6, 15]. Of the 248 urine samples provided by the nonresponders, 50% (n = 125) were methamphetaminenegative, and the percent of methamphetamine-negative samples across the visit ranged from 29% to 72% (Figure 1). Nonresponders earned vouchers worth a median of ZAR 987.50 (~USD 73) per month (range: ZAR 175 to ZAR 2, 425; ~USD 13.16 to ~USD 182.46) across the 8-week CM program compared to ZAR 4, 850 among responders. In bivariable analysis, income, body mass index (BMI) and education differed significantly between nonresponders and responders. Specifically, significantly fewer responders reported ZAR 25, 000+ of monthly household baseline income compared to nonresponders (15.8% vs. 66.7%; p = 0.007), median BMI was significantly lower in responders than in nonresponders (19 vs 24; Kruskal-Wallis $\chi^2 = 6.84$, DF=1, p=0.008), and median number of years of education was significantly higher in responders compared to nonresponders (12 vs 10; Kruskal-Wallis $\chi^2 = 4.25$, DF=1, p=0.039).

4. Discussion

This study shows that in an 8-week CM program for MA dependence, 93% of participants completed the 8-week CM program and over two-thirds of the sample were responders, maintaining continuous abstinence from MA throughout the program. Studies of CM for methamphetamine in North America have found between 18% to 60% continuous methamphetamine abstinence following CM programs of varied duration (20–22). As such, the response rates observed were higher than expected, and only 2 participants dropped out of the study without completing the full 24 sessions (i.e., 93% treatment retention rate). The Matrix Model, a 16-week program, consisting of 8 sessions of early recovery, 32 sessions of relapse prevention and other optional weekly individual or conjoint sessions was recently implemented in South Africa. In that study, of 986 who initiated treatment, only 45%

Okafor et al.

attended at least 4 sessions, with 13% completing the full 16-week program. Furthermore, of the 57% of those who had a urine toxicology screen at treatment exit, just over half had a negative result for all substances. These findings suggest that a voucher-based reinforcement therapy CM program may be a useful component of treatment approaches to increase treatment retention and abstinence rates and addressing the methamphetamine problem in South Africa.

That individuals with lower baseline monthly household income were more likely to respond to the CM program compared to those with higher income is inconsistent with observations in the majority of studies in North America and Europe, where income did not affect the efficacy of CM for substance use disorders (23–25). We speculate that for some participants, the high-value CM program in this study provided some stability in their socioeconomic status that promoted abstinence from methamphetamine. The CM schedule was targeted at a total possible payout of approximately 10% of monthly household income in Cape Town, a guide used in our high-value CM schedules in the U.S (19,23). Findings showed that the Cape Town and U.S. schedules approximated each other, though both retention and maximum earned were substantially greater in the application of CM in Cape Town. It is encouraging that this level of success was observed and is consistent with findings from conditional incentive programs in low- to moderate-income countries, showing that earnings from the programs enhance healthy behaviors for the index participants (and their families) over and above improvement for the specific target behavior – in this case, methamphetamine addiction (26)

Responders had significantly lower median BMI than nonresponders, and BMI was significantly positively correlated with income, such that individuals with lower baseline monthly household income (i.e., ZAR <25,000) had lower BMI than those with higher income (ZAR 25,000). As associations of CM success with income and BMI are not separable in this study, it is possible that both reflect socioeconomic links in response to the high-value CM program in this study. We caution that although these findings suggest potential differences in CM for MUD in this setting, the small sample size limits the interpretability of these results.

Our finding that responders had significantly more years of education compared to nonresponders merits discussion. This finding is inconsistent with the current finding of a positive association between lower-income and responding to the CM program. It is possible that the positive association between level of education and income, observed in many North American and European studies (27,28), is not applicable to the current setting in South Africa. Indeed, in additional analysis (results not shown), we did not find a significant association between education and income in this sample. Moreover, additional investigations on the association between education and response to CM is warranted, given that prior studies have found that persons who use substances including smoking (24) and cocaine addiction (29) respond equally well to CM regardless of educational level.

Our study had some limitations. Importantly, the absence of a control group that did not receive CM limits our ability to claim efficacy of CM for MUD. The sample size was small, which precluded additional analysis on differences between the responders and

nonresponders to CM. This was a secondary analysis of data from a pilot trial assessing the neural correlates of methamphetamine-abstinence in adults with MUD. Accordingly, some participants were excluded as a result of ineligibility based on the parent study inclusion/ exclusion criteria, limiting the generalizability of the findings reported here. Particularly, individuals with a use disorder involving a substance other than methamphetamine were excluded, which may have bearing on the high response rates, as individuals with single substance use disorders may be easier to treat than those with polysubstance use disorders. Additionally, because this sample included treatment-seeking participants, it is possible that some participants sought out and engaged in unmeasured behavioral support at local agencies. Finally, our study relied on self-reported data on some of the demographic data including income – recorded as monthly household income – and years of education which may be prone to reporting biases.

5. Conclusion

As one of the first investigations of CM for methamphetamine use disorder in a treatmentseeking sample of adults in Cape Town, South Africa, our findings provide initial evidence that CM is feasible, may increase treatment retention and potentially promote continuous methamphetamine abstinence in this setting. These findings suggest the need for further research, testing the efficacy of CM alone or in combination with other psychosocial or pharmacological treatment. Additionally, further research will benefit from involving larger samples drawn from a range of socio-economic backgrounds, longer treatment durations, and different reinforcement schedules, to address the problem of methamphetamine addiction in Low- and Middle-Income Countries, such as South Africa.

Acknowledgments

We thank the individuals who participated in this study. Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (R21DA040492, PI: Shoptaw). SS is supported by NIMH P30 058107 - CHIPTS UCLA CFAR grant AI028697. CNO is supported by the UCLA Postdoctoral Fellowship Training Program in Global HIV Prevention Research (T32MH080634, PIs: Currier and Gorbach). TK is supported by an Institutional K-award at the University of Pittsburgh (NIH KL2 TR001856.) DJS is supported by the SAMRC. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References

- Dada S, Burnhams BH, Erasmus J, Parry C, Bhana A, Timol F, et al. South African Community Epidemiology Network on Drug Use (SACENDU): Monitoring alcohol, tobacco and other drug abuse treatment admissions in South Africa, March 2018 (Phase 42). 2018 [cited 2018 Nov 26]; Available from: http://www.samrc.ac.za/sites/default/files/attachments/2018-05-09/ SACENDUFullReportPhase42.pdf
- Wechsberg WM, Jones HE, Zule WA, Myers BJ, Browne FA, Kaufman MR, et al. Methamphetamine ("tik") Use and Its Association with Condom Use among Out-of-School Females in Cape Town, South Africa. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 2010 7 1;36(4):208–13. [PubMed: 20560840]
- Meade CS, Watt MH, Sikkema KJ, Deng LX, Ranby KW, Skinner D, et al. Methamphetamine use is associated with childhood sexual abuse and HIV sexual risk behaviors among patrons of alcoholserving venues in Cape Town, South Africa. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2012 11 1;126(1–2):232–9. [PubMed: 22717338]

- Gouse H, Joska JA, Lion RR, Watt MH, Burnhams W, Carrico AW, et al. HIV testing and seroprevalence among methamphetamine users seeking substance abuse treatment in Cape Town. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2016;35(5):580–3. [PubMed: 26661781]
- Akindipe T, Wilson D, Stein DJ. Psychiatric disorders in individuals with methamphetamine dependence: prevalence and risk factors. Metab Brain Dis. 2014 6;29(2):351–7. [PubMed: 24532047]
- 6. Jones HE, Myers B, O'Grady KE, Gebhardt S, Theron GB, Wechsberg WM. Initial feasibility and acceptability of a comprehensive intervention for methamphetamine-using pregnant women in South Africa. Psychiatry J. 2014;2014:929767. [PubMed: 24829904]
- 7. Sorsdahl K, Stein DJ, Corrigall J, Cuijpers P, Smits N, Naledi T, et al. The efficacy of a blended motivational interviewing and problem solving therapy intervention to reduce substance use among patients presenting for emergency services in South Africa: A randomized controlled trial. Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy [Internet]. 2015 11 14 [cited 2018 May 24];10 Available from: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4650345/
- Sorsdahl K, Myers B, Ward CL, Matzopoulos R, Mtukushe B, Nicol A, et al. Adapting a blended motivational interviewing and problem-solving intervention to address risky substance use amongst South Africans. Psychother Res J Soc Psychother Res. 2015;25(4):435–44.
- Magidson JF, Gouse H, Burnhams W, Wu CYY, Myers B, Joska JA, et al. Beyond methamphetamine: Documenting the implementation of the Matrix model of substance use treatment for opioid users in a South African setting. Addict Behav. 2017 3 1;66:132–7. [PubMed: 27940387]
- Rawson RA, Shoptaw SJ, Obert JL, McCann MJ, Hasson AL, Marinelli-Casey PJ, et al. An intensive outpatient approach for cocaine abuse treatment. The Matrix model. J Subst Abuse Treat 1995 4;12(2):117–27. [PubMed: 7623389]
- Gouse H, Magidson JF, Burnhams W, Remmert JE, Myers B, Joska JA, et al. Implementation of Cognitive-Behavioral Substance Abuse Treatment in Sub-Saharan Africa: Treatment Engagement and Abstinence at Treatment Exit. PLOS ONE. 2016 1 27;11(1):e0147900. [PubMed: 26816208]
- Prendergast M, Podus D, Finney J, Greenwell L, Roll J. Contingency management for treatment of substance use disorders: a meta-analysis. Addict Abingdon Engl. 2006 11;101(11):1546–60.
- Lussier JP, Heil SH, Mongeon JA, Badger GJ, Higgins ST. A meta-analysis of voucher-based reinforcement therapy for substance use disorders. Addict Abingdon Engl. 2006 2;101(2):192– 203.
- Benishek LA, Dugosh KL, Kirby KC, Matejkowski J, Clements NT, Seymour BL, et al. Prizebased Contingency Management for the Treatment of Substance Abusers: A Meta-analysis. Addict Abingdon Engl. 2014 9;109(9):1426–36.
- Rawson RA, McCann MJ, Flammino F, Shoptaw S, Miotto K, Reiber C, et al. A comparison of contingency management and cognitive-behavioral approaches for stimulant-dependent individuals. Addiction. 2006;101(2):267–74. [PubMed: 16445555]
- Myers BJ, Louw J, Pasche SC. Inequitable access to substance abuse treatment services in Cape Town, South Africa. Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy. 2010 11 15;5:28. [PubMed: 21073759]
- 17. Riskind JH, Beck AT, Brown G, Steer RA. Taking the measure of anxiety and depression. Validity of the reconstructed Hamilton scales. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1987 8;175(8):474–9. [PubMed: 3625186]
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerström KO. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. Br J Addict. 1991 9;86(9):1119–27. [PubMed: 1932883]
- Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale® Third Edition [Internet]. [cited 2016 Jun 10]. Available from: http://www.pearsonclinical.com/psychology/products/100000243/wechsler-adult-intelligencescale--third-edition-wais-iii.html
- Chudzynski J, Roll JM, McPherson S, Cameron JM, Howell DN. Reinforcement Schedule Effects on Long-Term Behavior Change. Psychol Rec. 2015 6 1;65(2):347–53. [PubMed: 26139942]
- Roll JM, Petry NM, Stitzer ML, Brecht ML, Peirce JM, McCann MJ, et al. Contingency management for the treatment of methamphetamine use disorders. Am J Psychiatry. 2006 11;163(11):1993–9. [PubMed: 17074952]

Okafor et al.

- Shoptaw S, Huber A, Peck J, Yang X, Liu J, Jeff Dang, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of sertraline and contingency management for the treatment of methamphetamine dependence. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2006 10 15;85(1):12–8. [PubMed: 16621339]
- Rash CJ, Olmstead TA, Petry NM. Income Does Not Affect Response to Contingency Management Treatments Among Community Substance Abuse Treatment-seekers. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2009 10 1;104(3):249–53. [PubMed: 19586727]
- López-Núñez C, Secades-Villa R, Peña-Suárez E, Fernández-Artamendi S, Weidberg S. Income Levels and Response to Contingency Management for Smoking Cessation. Subst Use Misuse. 2017 6 7;52(7):875–83. [PubMed: 28426355]
- 25. Secades-Villa R, García-Fernández G, Peña-Suárez E, García-Rodríguez O, Sánchez-Hervás E, Fernández-Hermida JR. Contingency management is effective across cocaine-dependent outpatients with different socioeconomic status. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2013 3 1;44(3):349–54. [PubMed: 22999380]
- Baird SJ, Garfein RS, McIntosh CT, Özler B. Effect of a cash transfer programme for schooling on prevalence of HIV and herpes simplex type 2 in Malawi: a cluster randomised trial. The Lancet. 2012 4 7;379(9823):1320–9.
- 27. Blanden J, Gregg P. Family Income and Educational Attainment: A Review of Approaches and Evidence for Britain. Oxf Rev Econ Policy. 2004 6 1;20(2):245–63.
- Lynch SM. Explaining Life Course and Cohort Variation in the Relationship between Education and Health: The Role of Income. J Health Soc Behav. 2006 12 1;47(4):324–38. [PubMed: 17240923]
- 29. García-Fernández G, Secades-Villa R, García-Rodríguez O, Alvarez-López H, Sánchez-Hervás E, Fernández-Hermida JR, et al. Individual characteristics and response to Contingency Management treatment for cocaine addiction. Psicothema. 2011 2;23(1):114–8. [PubMed: 21266151]

Okafor et al.



Figure 1:

Methamphetamine-negative urine samples over the 8-week CM program

Table 1.

Participant characteristics stratified by response to CM for methamphetamine addiction

	Overall		Responders		Non-responders		p-value
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Total	30	100	19	67.9	11	32.1	
Sex							
Male	21	70.0	12	63.2	9	81.8	0.2825
Female	9	30.0	7	36.8	2	18.2	
Monthly household income							
ZAR5,001-R25,000	20	66.7	16	84.2	4	36.4	0.0074
ZAR25,000+	10	33.3	3	15.8	7	63.6	
Primary language							
NOT-English	12	40.0	9	47.4	3	27.3	0.2789
English	18	60.0	10	52.6	8	72.7	
Antisocial Personality Disorder							
No	25	83.3	16	84.2	9	81.8	0.8655
Yes	5	16.7	3	15.8	2	18.2	
Other substance use							
No	13	43.3	9	47.4	4	36.4	0.5578
Yes	17	56.7	10	52.6	7	63.6	
Fagerström Test for Nicotine Depende	ence						
< 5	19	63.3	11	57.9	8	72.7	0.4166
5	11	36.7	8	42.1	3	27.3	
	Median	Q1, Q3	Median	Q1, Q3	Median	Q1, Q3	
Age	34	30, 40	33	28, 40	38	31, 41	0.3315
No of years of MA use	12	10, 15	12	8,14	12	10, 15	0.5017
Days used MA in previous 30 days	21	15, 26	21	20, 25	20	7, 28	0.5157
Cigarettes smoked in previous 30 days	5	4, 10	6	4, 10	5	3, 10	0.7945
Education	11	9, 12	12	10, 14	10	8, 11	0.0390
BMI	22	19, 26	20	19, 22	24	22, 28	0.0070
WASI-IQ	86	73, 94	87	73, 101	85	70, 89	0.3544
RHRSD	22	7,42	20	10, 42	24	7, 47	0.9485
Number of psychotic symptoms	3	2, 6	3	1,6	4	2, 8	0.4227

Note - BMI =Body Mass Index; WASI-IQ= Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence – Intelligence Quotient; RHRSD= Revised Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression