Histone acetyltransferase Hbo1 destabilizes estrogen receptor α by ubiquitination and modulates proliferation of breast cancers

Masayoshi lizuka,^{1,4} Takao Susa,¹ Yoshihisa Takahashi,² Mimi Tamamori-Adachi,¹ Takashi Kajitani,^{1,5} Hiroko Okinaga,³ Toshio Fukusato² and Tomoki Okazaki¹

Departments of ¹Biochemistry, ²Pathology, ³Internal Medicine, Teikyo University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

(Received August 6, 2013/Revised October 7, 2013/Accepted October 8, 2013/Accepted manuscript online October 11, 2013/Article first published online November 25, 2013)

The estrogen receptor (ER) is a key molecule for growth of breast cancers. It has been a successful target for treatment of breast cancers. Elucidation of the ER expression mechanism is of importance for designing therapeutics for ER-positive breast cancers. However, the detailed mechanism of ER stability is still unclear. Here, we report that histone acetyltransferase Hbo1 promotes destabilization of estrogen receptor α (ER α) in breast cancers through lysine 48-linked ubiquitination. The acetyltransferase activity of Hbo1 is linked to its activity for ERa ubiquitination. Depletion of Hbo1 and anti-estrogen treatment displayed a potent growth suppression of breast cancer cell line. Hbo1 modulated transcription by ERa. Mutually exclusive expression of Hbo1 and $ER\alpha$ was observed in roughly half of the human breast tumors examined in the present study. Modulation of ER stability by Hbo1 in breast cancers may provide a novel therapeutic possibility. (Cancer Sci 2013; 104: 1647-1655)

B reast cancer is the most common cancer among women and causes 458 000 deaths per year worldwide.⁽¹⁾ The estrogen receptor (ER), primarily ER α , has a central role in the proliferation of breast cancer. Approximately two-thirds of human breast cancers are positive for $ER\alpha$, and, therefore, are dependent on estrogen for proliferation and respond to anti-estrogen therapy.⁽²⁾ However, a substantial number of $ER\alpha$ positive breast cancers become resistant to anti-estrogens and recurrence occurs. Studies over the past decade have revealed that splicing variants of ER α , mutations of the ER α gene and alteration in the stability of the ER α protein are responsible for the resistance to anti-estrogens.⁽³⁻⁵⁾

Ubiquitination of ERa is one of the mechanisms for ERa degradation. Several E3 ligases for ERa have been characterized. Mouse double minute 2 homolog (Mdm2) physically interacts with ERa, and enhances ubiquitination of ERa in vivo.⁽⁶⁾ The breast cancer susceptibility gene 1/Brca1-associated RING domain protein 1 (BRCA1/BARD1) heterodimer ubiquitinates ERa and appears to inhibit transcriptional activity of $ER\alpha$.⁽⁷⁾ The estrogen-responsive finger protein (EFP), a member of the RING finger protein family, polyubiquitinates ERa through lysine 48 within the ubiquitin molecule and promotes degradation of ER α , although EFP contributes to ER α -mediated transcription.⁽⁸⁾ The carboxyl-terminus of heat shock protein 70 (Hsc70)-interacting protein (CHIP), a ubiquitin ligase with U-box domain, promotes ERa degradation in the nucleus.(9)

Hucleus. Huc

inhibitor of growth 4 (ING4) or inhibitor of growth 5 (ING5), and gene for apoptosis and differentiation-1 (Jade-1).⁽¹¹⁾ Tumor suppressor p53 inhibits histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity of Hbo1⁽¹⁸⁾ and Hbo1 is overexpressed in some human primary of Hbo1⁽¹⁸⁾ and Hbo1 is overexpressed in some human primary cancers,⁽¹²⁾ suggesting a link between Hbo1 and cancers. Indeed, overexpression of Hbo1 causes an increase in colony formation on soft agar in breast cancer cell lines.⁽¹⁹⁾ However, the molecular basis for growth control by Hbo1 in cancers is unclear. Here we report that Hbo1 promotes the degradation of ERa through ubiquitination. Hbo1 modulates transcription by ER α and growth of tamoxifen-treated breast cancers.

Materials and Method

Reverse-transcription and real-time quantitative PCR. Total RNA was isolated with Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or RNA iso-Plus (TaKaRa Biotechnology, Otsu, Japan). Total RNA (0.5 µg) was reverse transcribed using random hexamers, oligo(dT) primer, and Prime-Script RT regent kit (TaKaRa Biotechnology). Quantitative real-time PCR analysis was performed using the Thermal Cycler Dice TM TP860 (Ta-KaRa Biotechnology) and the SYBR Premix EXTaqII (TaKa-Ra Biotechnology). Relative gene expression was determined following the δCT method. The data were normalized to GAP-DH expression. Experiments were performed at least in triplicate. Synthetic oligonucleotides for PCR were purchased from Greiner Japan. Primer sequences for PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Plasmids, chemicals and antibodies. Mammalian expression plasmids for FLAG-tagged ING4 and ING5(20) were gifts from Dr Curtis Harris. Expression plasmids for HA-tagged wild-type, K48R and K0 ubiquitins⁽²¹⁾ were donated by Dr Yuichi Machida. A mammalian expression plasmid for human ER α was made by inserting human ERa cDNA (a gift from Dr Shigeaki Kato) into pFLAG-CMV-2 plasmid. The Jade-1 expression plasmid was an IMAGE clone, MGC40386. Cycloheximide and 17-βestradiol (E2) were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). MG-132 was from Biomol. The recombinant full-length $ER\alpha$ protein was from Invitrogen. The His-tagged Hbo1 recombinant protein was prepared as reported previously.⁽¹⁸⁾ The following commercial antibodies were used: anti-a-tubulin monoclonal and anti-FLAG monoclonal (Sigma-Aldrich); anti-ERa and anti-progesterone receptor (PgR) (Santa-Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA); anti-HA monoclonal (Roche, Basel, Switzerland); and anti-acetylated histone H4 polyclonal (H4KAc) (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Generation of anti-Hbo1 antibody was

⁴To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: miizuka@med.teikyo-u.ac.jp ⁵Present address: Innovation Center for Immunoregulative Technologies and Drugs, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

documented.^(10,12) Anti-Jade-1 antibody was generated by immunizing rabbits with a synthetic peptide LFTHLRQDLERV-MIDTDTL. Two different siRNAs targeting nucleotide 92 (#1) and 1313 (#2) of the Hbo1 coding region were used. Knocking down by siRNA was undertaken as reported previously.⁽¹⁸⁾ Antibodies for immunohistochemistry are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

In vitro acetylation assay. Hbo1 or its mutant⁽¹⁸⁾ (1 μ M) and recombinant ER α (0.5 μ M) proteins were incubated in 20 μ L of protein acetylation buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 5% glycerol and 1 mM EDTA) with 1 μ L (0.02 μ Ci) of [¹⁴C]-acetyl-CoA (Perkin Elmer) for 1 h at 30°C. The proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE, and transferred onto membrane, followed by fluorography.

In vivo ubiquitination assay. The *in vivo* ubiquitination assay was performed according to a previous report.⁽²²⁾ In brief, 293T cells were transfected using the calcium-phosphate-mediated gene transfer method.⁽²³⁾ Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the cells were treated with MG-132 for 6 h, lysed with pre-boiled buffer (50 mM Tis-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 1 mM DTT) and boiled for 10 min. After centrifugation, supernatant was diluted with buffer A (50 mM Tris-Cl, 0.5% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM NaVO₃) with proteinase inhibitors, and incubated with the primary antibody and protein A beads. The immunoprecipitates were washed three times with buffer A, separated on SDS-PAGE, transferred onto the membrane and subjected to western blotting.

Immunohistochemistry. A formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded section of a tissue microarray containing 24 breast tumors was immunostained using the EnVision FLEX system (DakoCytomation, Grostrup, Denmark) or the Histofine Simple Stain MAX-PO kit (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan) according to the instruction manuals. The results were evaluated as follows: negative, <10% of tumor cells stained; weakly posivite, 10–50% of tumor cells stained; and strongly positive, >50% of tumor cells stained.

Statistical analysis. Statistical data analysis of the mRNA expression levels was conducted using a one-factor ANOVA and the Tukey–Kramer test. The differences were considered significant at P < 0.05. The relationship between protein levels in the immunohistochemistry study was analyzed using cross-tabulation and Pearson's χ^2 .

Results

Hbo1 promotes destabilization of estrogen receptor α protein. Hbo1 modulates ER-dependent transcription.⁽²⁴⁾ However, it remained a question whether Hbo1 physically interacts with ER α . We were unable to demonstrate interaction between endogenous Hbo1 and ERa proteins by coimmunoprecipitation experiments under multiple conditions, suggesting that the interaction might be weak or dynamic. To circumvent the difficulty, we lysed 293T cells transfected with Hbo1 and ERa, and immunoprecipitated the whole cell extracts with anti-Hbo1 antibody. The immunoprecipitates were assayed for ERa protein by immunoblotting (Fig. 1a). ERa was coimmunoprecipitated with Hbo1 (lane 4), whereas no ERa signal was detected in the control reaction performed by immunoprecipitaion with the purified rabbit IgG (lane 8), indicating that Hbo1 and ERa are in the same protein complex. To test whether Hbo1 acetylates ERa in vitro, a recombinant wild-type Hbo1 protein and a catalytically-inactive mutant (G485A) of Hbo1 were incubated with the recombinant ER α protein in the presence of ¹⁴C-labelled acetyl-CoA, and acetylation was detected by fluorography (Fig. 1b). The wild-type Hbo1 acetylated ERa protein (lane 1) in addition to Hbo1 itself, whereas the catalytically-inactive mutant Hbo1 did not (lane 2), suggesting that Hbo1 acetylates ERa in vitro. To explore the biological signifi-

cance of physical interaction between Hbo1 and ER α , we assayed protein and mRNA amounts of ERa by immunoblotting (Fig. 1c) and RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1d), respectively, after knocking down Hbo1 expression in a breast cancer cell line, MCF-7. Depletion of Hbo1 caused an increase in ERa protein (second panel). An equivalent amount of protein was loaded onto each lane judged by blotting with anti-a-tubulin antibody (third panel). Decrease in histone H4 acetylation upon Hbo1 depletion (fourth panel) has been reported previously.⁽¹²⁾ In contrast, knockdown of Hbo1 expression caused a decrease in ERa mRNA (Fig. 1d), suggesting that the increase in ERa protein levels upon Hbo1 knockdown was not due to transcriptional activation of the ER α gene. Therefore, we tested whether Hbo1 affected the stability of the ER α protein. Following knockdown of Hbo1, the MCF-7 cells were treated with cycloheximide, an inhibitor of protein synthesis, and were assayed for ER α expression (Fig. 1e). The ER α protein started to degrade 30 min after cycloheximde treatment in the control siRNA-transfected cells (lanes 1–4), whereas the ER α protein was stable in the Hbo1 knocked-down cells for up to 90 min (lanes 5-8). This result suggests that Hbo1 negatively regulates the stability of the ER α protein.

Hbo1 is ubiquitinated. Treatment of MCF-7 cells with MG-132 caused an increased signal of ER α protein in the absence of the ligand, indicating that ER α stability is regulated in a ubiquitin-dependent fashion.⁽²⁵⁾ Moreover, several histone acetyltransferases, including cAMP response element binding protein-binding protein (CBP), p300, P300/CBP-associated factor (PCAF) and TATA box binding protein (TBP)-associated factor 250 kDa (TAFII250) and one histone deacetylase (HDAC), HDAC6, have ubiquitin-associated capabilities.⁽²⁶⁾ These findings suggest that Hbo1 contributes to ubiquitin-dependent ER α degradation. We examined whether Hbo1 was ubiquitinated. We transfected 293T cells with Hbo1 and HA-tagged ubiquitin, and immunoprecipitated under denaturing conditions with anti-Hbo1 antibody, and the immunoprecipitates were assayed for HA-ubiquitin by immunoblotting (Fig. 2a). The slow-migrating bands above the size of Hbo1 (approximately 80 kDa) solely in the cells with overexpressed Hbo1 (lane 6) but not in the cells transfected with the empty vector (lane 5) suggested that the Hbo1 protein was ubiquitinated.

The Hbo1 protein complex promotes estrogen receptor α ubiquitination in vivo. To examine whether Hbo1 promotes ERa ubiquitination, we overexpressed Hbo1, ING4 or ING5, and Jade-1, which are components of the Hbo1 protein complex (Fig. 2b),⁽¹¹⁾ along with HA-ubiquitin and ERa, and immunoprecipitated the whole cell extracts with anti-ERa antibody under denaturing conditions. The immunoprecipitates were assayed for ubiquitin (Fig. 2c). An equivalent amount of ERa was precipitated among the cells transfected with $ER\alpha$ (lanes 7-10). Remarkable slow-migrating poly-ubiquitin signals were detected in the Hbo1, Hbo1/ING4/Jade-1 or Hbo1/ING5 /Jade-1 transfectants (lanes 13–15), whereas the ER α -only transfectant showed a weak intensity of poly-ubiquitination (lane 12). The protein complexes containing Hbo1/ING4 /Jade-1 and Hbo1/ING5/Jade-1 both appeared to have an equivalent level of ubiquitinating activity for ERa (lanes 14 and 15). Thus, Hbo1 contributes to ERa ubiquitination in vivo.

The HAT activity of Hbo1 is linked with its ubiquitinating activity. The HAT activity of Hbo1 is linked to global histone acetylation⁽¹²⁾ and replicational licensing.^(18,27) To check whether the Hbo1 HAT activity is associated with its ability to ubiquitinate ER α , we transfected Hbo1 (G485A), which is deficient in HAT activity,⁽¹²⁾ with ING4 or ING5 and Jade-1, and assayed its ability to ubiquitinate ER α *in vivo* (Fig. 3a). The Hbo1 mutant showed a marked decrease in ER α ubiquitination compared to the wild-type, irrespective of ING4 or ING5 transfected (lanes 9 vs 10 and lanes 11 vs 12), suggesting that



Fig. 1. Hbo1 contributes to destabilization of estrogen receptor α (ER α) protein. (a) 293T cells were transfected with Hbo1 and/or ER α , lysed, and immunoprecipitated with anti-Hbo1 antibody (lanes 3 and 4) and purified rabbit IgG (lanes 7 and 8). The immunoprecipitates were separated on SDS-PAGE, transferred onto membrane, and probed with anti-ER α antibody. Transfection of ER α into 293T cells reproducibly gave two bands corresponding to ER α , presumably due to post-translational modifications. Ten percent of the input was loaded. (b) Recombinant Hbo1 proteins, wild-type (WT) (lanes 1 and 3) and catalytically-inactive mutant (mut) (lanes 2 and 4), were incubated with recombinant ER α in the presence of ¹⁴C- acetyl-CoA, separated on SDS-PAGE, followed by fluorography and Coomassie staining (Sigma-Aldrich). *Autoacetylation of Hbo1. (c) MCF-7 whole cell extracts transfected with control (–) (lanes 1 and 3) and two different Hbo1 (+) (lanes 2 and 4) siRNA oligonucleotides were probed with the listed antibodies. (d) MCF-7 cells were transfected with Hbo1 or control siRNA and the expression of ER α mRNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR. A ratio of the ER α or Hbo1 mRNA to GAPDH mRNA in the control siRNA-treated cells was arbitrarily set to 100. Each value is represented as a mean \pm SD. (e) Three days post-transfection of Hbo1 siRNAs, MCF-7 cells were treated with cycloheximide (CHX) for indicated time, lysed and immunoblotted with the listed antibodies (left). The ratio of intensity of the ER α to α -tubulin was plotted with the time of CHX treatment (right).

the HAT activity of Hbo1 is linked to its ability to ubiquitinate the ER α protein.

Poly-ubiquitination of estrogen receptor α by Hbo1 through lysine 48 of ubiquitin. The specific lysine position within the ubiquitin molecule is used to connect multiple ubiquitin moieties and the position is closely linked to the biological fate of the proteins tagged with ubiquitin. For instance, proteins modified with multiple ubiquitins through lysine 48 are destined for their degradation by the proteasome. In contrast, being tagged with ubiquitins through lysine 63 is associated with other biological events including DNA repair and signal transduction.^(28,29) As Hbo1 knockdown caused increased stability of the ER α protein (Fig. 1c,e), the ubiquitination of the ER α protein by Hbo1 through the lysine at position 48 was predicted. To examine the ubiquitin linkage, we transfected into 293T cells a ubiquitin mutant (K48R), whose lysine at position 48 is substituted to arginine, with Hbo1, ING4, Jade-1 and ER α , and analyzed the ubiquitination of the ER α protein (Fig. 3b). As a negative control, we transfected ubiquitin K0 mutant where all the lysines are substituted to arginine (lanes 4, 8, 12 and 16).



Fig. 2. Ubiquitination of Hbo1 and promotion of estrogen receptor α (ER α) ubiquitination by Hbo1. (a) Hbo1 (lanes 2, 4 and 6) and the empty vector (lanes 1, 3 and 5), together with HA-tagged ubiquitin, were transfected into 293T cells. Two days post-transfection, the cells were lysed under denaturing conditions and immunoprecipitated with anti-Hbo1 antibody. The immunoprecipitates were assayed for Hbo1 (lanes 3 and 4) and HA peptide (lanes 5 and 6). Ten percent of the input was analyzed. *Immunoglobulin heavy chain. (b) Components of the Hbo1 protein complex. (c) 293T cells were transfected with ER α , Hbo1, Jade-1, ING4 or ING5, with HA-tagged ubiquitin by the listed combination. Immunoprecipitation with anti-ER α antibody was done similarly to Figure 2(a). The immunoprecipitates were probed with anti-ER α and anti-HA antibodies. Ten percent of the input was analyzed. *Immunoglobulin heavy chain.

With an equivalent amount of ER α pulled down among the transfectants (lanes 13–16), the wild-type ubiquitin produced a poly-ubiquitination (lane 10), whereas the K48R mutant displayed a marked decrease of poly-ubiquitination (lane 11), suggesting that the poly-ubiquitination of ER α by Hbo1 was through lysine 48 of the ubiquitin molecule. The ubiquitin K0 mutant gave a robust signal of ER α ubiquitination (lane 12), in accordance with the previous study using the K0 mutant.⁽²¹⁾ Presumably, expression of the K0 mutant was not sufficient to suppress ubiquitin elongation of ER α due to an abundance of endogenous ubiquitin.

Hbo1 depletion potentiated growth suppression of anti-estrogen-treated breast cancer cells. Tamoxifen, a competitive inhibitor of estradiol binding to the ER, has been effective for the therapy of ER-positive breast cancer.⁽²⁾ In contrast, Hbo1 depletion causes inhibition of proliferation of 293T cells.⁽¹¹⁾



Fig. 3. Poly-ubiquitination of estrogen receptor α (ER α) by Hbo1 is dependent on the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity of Hbo1 and linked through lysine 48 of the ubiquitin. (a) Hbo1 wild-type (Hbo1 WT) and the catalytically-deficient mutant, Hbo1 (G485A) (Hbo1 mut), were transfected into 293T cells with the other Hbo1 complex components, and ER α and HA-ubiquitin, and analyzed similarly to Figure 2(a). Ten percent of the input was loaded. (b) The ING4-containing components of Hbo1 protein complex, and ER α were transfected with the empty vector, wild-type ubiquitin, K48R mutant, or K0 mutant tagged with the HA epitope. The transfectants were handled in a similar fashion to Figure 2(a). *Immunoglobulin heavy chain.

To test whether Hbo1 depletion affects the growth of breast cancer cells treated with tamoxifen, we examined growth suppression of breast cancer cell line MCF-7 by tamoxifen following knocking down Hbo1 expression (Fig. 4). Tamoxifen treatment *per se* (22% reduction in growth compared to the non-treated control) and Hbo1 depletion *per se* (20% reduction in growth for siRNA#1 and 34% reduction for siRNA#2, compared to the siRNA control) 1 had a comparable level of growth suppression. Hbo1 knockdown plus tamoxifen treatment had the more growth suppression (36% reduction in growth for #1 and 65% reduction in growth for #2).

Hbo1 modulates transcription by estrogen receptor α . By binding to a ligand, ER α modulates the transcription of downstream genes.⁽³⁰⁾ As Hbo1 contributes to the destabilization of the ER α protein, we predicted that knockdown of Hbo1 caused an increase in expression of the ER α protein, leading to potentiating estrogen-dependent transcription of the downstream genes. We depleted Hbo1 expression by siRNA, challenged with E2,



Fig. 4. Hbo1 influences growth suppression of anti-estrogen-treated breast cancer cell line. MCF-7 cells were transfected with control (siCON) or Hbo1 (siHbo1 #1, #2) siRNA oligonucleotides. Twenty-four hours post-transfection, the cells were treated with vehicle (black), E2 (10^{-7} M) (grey) or tamoxifen (10^{-7} M) (white) for 72 h. Cell numbers were counted. The average number of cells treated with control siRNA and vehicle was arbitrarily set to 1.

and analyzed the expression of 15 genes whose expression is known to be activated in an ER α -dependent fashion (Fig. 5).^(31,32) The effect of Hbo1 depletion was categorized into three groups: repression (4 genes), activation (3 genes), and no change (8 genes) of the E2-dependent transcription. Unexpectedly, expression of four genes, E2F1, ribonucleotide reductase M2 (RRM2), cathepsin D (CTSD) and NRGN, was repressed by Hbo1 depletion (Fig. 5a). The decrease in transcription was probably due to reduced acetylation around the promoters because Hbo1 is bound to some of the active gene promoters.⁽³³⁾ In contrast, three genes, PgR, SDF1 and interleukin-24 (IL24), were activated by Hbo1 depletion (Fig. 5b), in agreement with the idea that Hbo1 promotes ubiquitin-dependent degradation of ER α .

Immunohistochemistry study of Hbo1, ING4, ING5 and Jade-1. To explore the *in vivo* biological role of the Hbo1 complex in breast cancers, we examined the expression of Hbo1, ING4, ING5 and Jade-1 in 24 primary breast cancers by immunohistochemistry. The breast cancers were composed of 3 non-invasive carcinomas and 21 invasive carcinomas (1 well differentiated, 10 moderately differentiated and 9 poorly



Fig. 5. Hbo1 activates (a) and represses (b) transcription by estrogen receptor α (ER α). MCF-7 cells were transfected with siRNA oligonucleotides for control (–) (siCON) or Hbo1 (+) (siHbo1) for 48 h followed by treatment with E2 (2 × 10⁻⁸ M) for 24 h. Amount of mRNA was quantified by qRT-PCR. GAPDH mRNA was used as an expression standard. A ratio of mRNA to GAPDH in the vehicle-treated cells transfected with the control siRNA was set to 100.



Fig. 6. Overexpression of Hbo1, ING4, ING5 and Jade-1 in human primary breast tumors. The immunohistochemical study showing staining of Hbo1 (a), nuclear staining of ING4 (b), cytoplasmic staining of ING4 (c), nuclear and cytoplasmic staining of ING4 (d), nuclear staining of ING5 (e), cytoplasmic staining of ING5 (f), and cytoplasmic staining of Jade-1 (g). Magnification: \times 400.

Table 1. Pathological features and staining pattern of 24 breast tumors

Patient	Invasiveness	Differentiation	Age	Hbo1	ING4	ING5	Jade-1	ER	PgR	HER2
1	Non	N/A	43	_	sNC	wN	S	w	S	_
2	Non	N/A	42	_	wC	wNC	_	_	-	-
3	Non	N/A	54	_	sC	sC	_	-	_	S
4	Non	N/A	80	_	sC	_	_	_	-	-
5	Inv	well	44	_	sNC	sN	S	S	S	-
6	Inv	mod	42	_	sC	_	w	w	S	-
7	Inv	mod	69	_	sC	wC	S	-	-	W
8	Inv	mod	64	_	sC	_	_	S	S	-
9	Inv	mod	82	_	sNC	_	S	S	S	-
10	Inv	mod	71	_	sC	wC	S	-	-	S
11	Inv	mod	68	_	sC	wC	S	-	S	-
12	Inv	mod	51	S	sNC	wNC	S	S	-	S
13	Inv	mod	50	-	wC	_	_	_	_	S
14	Inv	mod	57	S	sC	_	_	-	-	-
15	Inv	mod	29	-	sC	_	_	_	_	_
16	Inv	poorly	39	_	sC	wC	_	S	S	-
17	Inv	poorly	63	S	sN	sN	_	S	S	W
18	Inv	poorly	52	_	sC	_	w	S	S	-
19	Inv	poorly	61	-	wC	_	_	W	_	_
20	Inv	poorly	71	-	wC	sNC	_	S	w	W
21	Inv	poorly	35	_	sC	wC	_	-	-	-
22	Inv	poorly	65	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
23	Inv	poorly	41	S	sC	sN	_	S	W	W
24	Inv	poorly	42	w	sC	-	-	-	W	-

-, negative; C, cytoplasmic; Inv, invasive; mod, moderately; N, nuclear; N/A, not applied; Non, non-invasive; s, strong; w, weak.

differentiated carcinomas) (Fig. 6, Table 1, Suppl. Table S3). Staining of Hbo1, ING4, ING5 and Jade-1 was nuclear, nuclear and/or cytoplasmic, nuclear and/or cytoplasmic, and cytoplasmic, respectively. Cytoplasmic staining of ING4,⁽³⁴⁾ ING5⁽³⁵⁾ and Jade-1⁽³⁶⁾ by immunohistochemistry has been described previously. Out of the 24 cancers, 5 (21%) were

positive for Hbo1 and 9 were positive for Jade-1 (38%). In agreement with the destabilization of ER α by Hbo1, 11 breast tumors (46%) showed mutually exclusive expression of Hbo1 and ER α (Patients 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20 and 24; Table 1, Fig. 7), whereas the remaining 13 cases did not. We tested whether this mutually exclusive expression was statisti-



Fig. 7. Mutually exclusive expression of Hbo1 and estrogen receptor α (ER α) in human primary breast tumors. The immunohistochimestry staining of the tumors from the patients 8, 9, 14 and 24 with anti-Hbo1 (a, c, e and g) and anti-ER α (b, d, f and g) antibodies. Magnification: \times 400.

cally significant. We examined expression of ER α , PgR and HER2 by immunohistochemistry to see whether the staining pattern of Hbo1 was correlated with that of those factors important for expression profiles of breast cancers⁽³⁷⁾ by pairwise χ^2 analysis (Suppl. Table S4). As progesterone receptor is highly E2-sensitive,⁽³¹⁾ there was a strong correlation between expression of ER and progesterone receptor (P < 0.01). However, Hbo1 expression had no statistically significant correlation with ER α expression.

Discussion

Here we report a novel function of Hbo1: promotion of ER α degradation through ubiquitination. Two possible mechanisms for ER α degradation by Hbo1 are conceivable. The first is: as Hbo1 regulates global acetylation of core histones,⁽¹¹⁻¹⁴⁾ Hbo1 might activate the transcription of ubiquitinating enzyme(s) for ER α through changes in the chromatin structure by altering acetylation levels of core histones. However, even a large input of exogenous Hbo1, which induced ER α ubiquitination (Fig. 2c), did not cause changes in core histone acetylation.⁽³⁸⁾ Therefore, it is unlikely that ER α ubiquitination by transfec-

tion of Hbo1 (Fig. 2c) is caused by alteration of global histone acetylation. A second possibility is that aceylation of ER α by Hbo1 facilitates ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of ER α . Acetylation-induced ubiquitination/proteasomal degradation have been described for some proteins, including histone H2A.X,⁽³⁹⁾ DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1),⁽⁴⁰⁾ phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase 1 (PCK1),⁽⁴¹⁾ p27⁽⁴²⁾ and core histones.⁽⁴³⁾ This possibility is consistent with the *in vitro* acetylation of ER α by Hbo1 (Fig. 1b) and the close link between the Hbo1 HAT activity and ER α ubiquitination (Fig. 3a).

Hbo1 activated and suppressed transcription by ER α (Fig. 5). Hbo1 activated the basal level of E2F1, RRM2 and CTSD genes and suppressed IL24 transcription. E2F1 can stimulate proliferation.⁽⁴⁴⁾ RRM2 plays a direct role in tumor progression.⁽⁴⁵⁾ CTSD, a proteolytic enzyme, is abundant in cancers and is potential tumor marker.⁽⁴⁶⁾ IL24 can induce apoptosis in cancer cells.⁽⁴⁷⁾ Thus, Hbo1 might coordinately alter the expression profile through changes in histone acetylation and ER α stability to link cellular proliferation with initiation of DNA replication. In this context, it is of interest that Hbo1 depletion enhanced the growth suppression of tamoxifen-treated MCF-7 cells (Fig. 4). As ING5 depletion causes tamoxifen sensitivity,⁽⁴⁸⁾ we speculate that Hbo1, as a protein complex, modulates the survival of tamoxifen-treated breast cancer cells.

Since the identification of protein complex including Hbo1, Jade-1, and ING4/5,⁽¹¹⁾ it has been enigmatic that the proteins with contrasting functions are in the same protein complex, because Hbo1 acts as oncogene, whereas ING4/5 and Jade-1 behave as anti-oncogenes. Positive regulation of DNA replication by Hbo1⁽¹⁵⁾ and other findings^(11,12,18,19) indicate that Hbo1 is an oncogene. In contrast, ING4 and ING5 are tumor suppressors.^(20,49–51) Moreover, Jade-1 contributes to apoptosis and tumor suppression.^(52,53) The integrity of Hbo1/Jade-1/ING protein complex appears to be important in the suppression of cellular proliferation.^(33,54) However, the antagonism between Hbo1 and Jade-1 does not explain why the transcriptional profiles are not similar between the Hbo1-depleted cells and the Jade-1L overexpressing cells.⁽³³⁾ Havasi *et al.* (2013) report that Jade-1 depletion inhibits DNA replication and cell proliferation,⁽⁵⁵⁾ indicating a possibility that Jade-1 is oncogenic. ER α ubiquitination promoted by Hbo1 protein complex as well as Hbo1 alone (Fig. 2c) supports the notion.

In sum, we report that Hbo1 contributes to degradation of ER α by ubiquitination through lysine 48. As this control of ER α ubiquitination by Hbo1 is linked to histone acetyltransferase activity of Hbo1 (Fig. 3) and Hbo1 acetylase activity is important for replicational licensing,^(18,27) Hbo1 might be a molecule linking activated DNA replication, enhanced ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation of ER α and resistance to anti-estrogen in breast cancers.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the Science Research Promotion Fund from the Promotion and Mutual Aid Corporation for Private Schools of Japan and a grant from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan. We thank Masato Watanabe for technical assistance and Drs Curtis Harris, Shigeaki Kato, Yuichi Machida and Tomohiko Ohta for reagents. We also thank Dr Koji Okamoto for critical reading of the manuscript.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer* 2010; **127**: 2893–917.
- 2 Brunton LL, Chabner BA, Knollmann BC. Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 12th edn. New York: McGraw-Hill Professional, 2010.
- 3 Weinberg OK, Marquez-Garban DC, Pietras RJ. New approaches to reverse resistance to hormonal therapy in human breast cancer. *Drug Resist Updat* 2005; **8**: 219–33.
- 4 Billam M, Witt AE, Davidson NE. The silent estrogen receptor-Can we make it speak? *Cancer Biol Ther* 2009; **8**: 485–96.
- 5 Barone I, Brusco L, Fuqua SA. Estrogen receptor mutations and changes in downstream gene expression and signaling. *Clin Cancer Res* 2010; 16: 2702–8.
- 6 Duong V, Boulle N, Daujat S et al. Differential regulation of estrogen receptor alpha turnover and transactivation by Mdm2 and stress-inducing agents. *Cancer Res* 2007; 67: 5513–21.
- 7 Ma Y, Fan S, Hu C et al. BRCA1 regulates acetylation and ubiquitination of estrogen receptor-alpha. Mol Endocrinol 2010; 24: 76–90.
- 8 Nakajima A, Maruyama S, Bohgaki M et al. Ligand-dependent transcription of estrogen receptor alpha is mediated by the ubiquitin ligase EFP. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2007; 357: 245–51.
- 9 Fan M, Park A, Nephew KP. CHIP (carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein) promotes basal and geldanamycin-induced degradation of estrogen receptor-alpha. *Mol Endocrinol* 2005; 19: 2901–14.
- 10 Iizuka M, Stillman B. Histone acetyltransferase HBO1 interacts with the ORC1 subunit of the human initiator protein. J Biol Chem 1999; 274: 23027–34.
- 11 Doyon Y, Cayrou C, Ullah M et al. ING tumor suppressor proteins are critical regulators of chromatin acetylation required for genome expression and perpetuation. *Mol Cell* 2006; 21: 51–64.
- 12 Iizuka M, Takahashi Y, Mizzen CA et al. Histone acetyltransferase Hbo1: catalytic activity, cellular abundance, and links to primary cancers. Gene 2009; 436: 108–14.
- 13 Kueh AJ, Dixon MP, Voss AK, Thomas T. HBO1 is required for H3K14 acetylation and normal transcriptional activity during embryonic development. *Mol Cell Biol* 2011; **31**: 845–60.
- 14 Mishima Y, Miyagi S, Saraya A *et al.* The Hbo1-Brd1/Brpf2 complex is responsible for global acetylation of H3K14 and required for fetal liver erythropoiesis. *Blood* 2011; **118**: 2443–53.
- 15 Iizuka M, Matsui T, Takisawa H, Smith MM. Regulation of replication licensing by acetyltransferase Hbo1. *Mol Cell Biol* 2006; 26: 1098–108.
- 16 Miotto B, Struhl K. HBO1 histone acetylase is a coactivator of the replication licensing factor Cdt1. *Genes Dev* 2008; 22: 2633–8.
- 17 Johmura Y, Osada S, Nishizuka M, Imagawa M. FAD24 acts in concert with histone acetyltransferase HBO1 to promote adipogenesis by controlling DNA replication. J Biol Chem 2008; 283: 2265–74.
- 18 Iizuka M, Sarmento OF, Sekiya T, Scrable H, Allis CD, Smith MM. Hbo1 Links p53-dependent stress signaling to DNA replication licensing. *Mol Cell Biol* 2008; 28: 140–53.
- 19 Hu X, Stern HM, Ge L et al. Genetic alterations and oncogenic pathways associated with breast cancer subtypes. Mol Cancer Res 2009; 7: 511-22.
- 20 Shiseki M, Nagashima M, Pedeux RM et al. p29ING4 and p28ING5 bind to p53 and p300, and enhance p53 activity. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 2373–8.
- 21 Machida YJ, Machida Y, Vashisht AA, Wohlschlegel JA, Dutta A. The deubiquitinating enzyme BAP1 regulates cell growth via interaction with HCF-1. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 34179–88.
- 22 Nishikawa H, Ooka S, Sato K *et al.* Mass spectrometric and mutational analyses reveal Lys-6-linked polyubiquitin chains catalyzed by BRCA1-BARD1 ubiquitin ligase. *J Biol Chem* 2004; **279**: 3916–24.
- 23 Green MR, Sambrook J. Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, 4th edn. New York: Cold Spring Harbor, 2012.
- 24 Georgiakaki M, Chabbert-Buffet N, Dasen B et al. Ligand-controlled interaction of histone acetyltransferase binding to ORC-1 (HBO1) with the N-terminal transactivating domain of progesterone receptor induces steroid receptor coactivator 1-dependent coactivation of transcription. *Mol Endocrinol* 2006; **20**: 2122–40.
- 25 Tateishi Y, Kawabe Y, Chiba T et al. Ligand-dependent switching of ubiquitin-proteasome pathways for estrogen receptor. EMBO J 2004; 23: 4813–23.
- 26 Sadoul K, Boyault C, Pabion M, Khochbin S. Regulation of protein turnover by acetyltransferases and deacetylases. *Biochimie* 2008; 90: 306–12.
- 27 Miotto B, Struhl K. HBO1 histone acetylase activity is essential for DNA replication licensing and inhibited by Geminin. *Mol Cell* 2010; **37**: 57–66.
- 28 Haglund K, Dikic I. Ubiquitylation and cell signaling. *EMBO J* 2005; 24: 3353–9.

- 29 Skaug B, Jiang X, Chen ZJ. The role of ubiquitin in NF-kappaB regulatory pathways. Annu Rev Biochem 2009; 78: 769–96.
- 30 Ikeda K, Inoue S. Estrogen receptors and their downstream targets in cancer. Arch Histol Cytol 2004; 67: 435–42.
- 31 Coser KR, Chesnes J, Hur J, Ray S, Isselbacher KJ, Shioda T. Global analysis of ligand sensitivity of estrogen inducible and suppressible genes in MCF7/BUS breast cancer cells by DNA microarray. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U* S A 2003; 100: 13994–9.
- 32 Hall JM, Korach KS. Stromal cell-derived factor 1, a novel target of estrogen receptor action, mediates the mitogenic effects of estradiol in ovarian and breast cancer cells. *Mol Endocrinol* 2003; **17**: 792–803.
- 33 Avvakumov N, Lalonde ME, Saksouk N et al. Conserved molecular interactions within the HBO1 acetyltransferase complexes regulate cell proliferation. Mol Cell Biol 2012; 32: 689–703.
- 34 Wang QS, Li M, Zhang LY et al. Down-regulation of ING4 is associated with initiation and progression of lung cancer. *Histopathology* 2010; 57: 271–81.
- 35 Zheng HC, Xia P, Xu XY, Takahashi H, Takano Y. The nuclear to cytoplasmic shift of ING5 protein during colorectal carcinogenesis with their distinct links to pathologic behaviors of carcinomas. *Hum Pathol* 2011; 42: 424–33.
- 36 Lian X, Duan X, Wu X et al. Expression and clinical significance of von Hippel-Lindau downstream genes: Jade-1 and beta-catenin related to renal cell carcinoma. Urology 2012; 80(485): e7–13.
- 37 Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2000; 406: 747–52.
- 38 Foy RL, Song IY, Chitalia VC et al. Role of Jade-1 in the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) HBO1 complex. J Biol Chem 2008; 283: 28817–26.
- 39 Ikura T, Tashiro S, Kakino A et al. DNA damage-dependent acetylation and ubiquitination of H2AX enhances chromatin dynamics. *Mol Cell Biol* 2007; 27: 7028–40.
- 40 Du Z, Song J, Wang Y *et al.* DNMT1 stability is regulated by proteins coordinating deubiquitination and acetylation-driven ubiquitination. *Sci Signal* 2010; **3**: ra80.
- 41 Jiang W, Wang S, Xiao M et al. Acetylation regulates gluconeogenesis by promoting PEPCK1 degradation via recruiting the UBR5 ubiquitin ligase. *Mol Cell* 2011; 43: 33–44.
- 42 Perez-Luna M, Aguasca M, Perearnau A et al. PCAF regulates the stability of the transcriptional regulator and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27 Kip1. Nucleic Acids Res 2012; 40: 6520–33.
- 43 Qian MX, Pang Y, Liu CH *et al.* Acetylation-mediated proteasomal degradation of core histones during DNA repair and spermatogenesis. *Cell* 2013; 153: 1012–24.
- 44 Polager S, Ginsberg D. E2F at the crossroads of life and death. *Trends Cell Biol* 2008; **18**: 528–35.
- 45 Furuta E, Okuda H, Kobayashi A, Watabe K. Metabolic genes in cancer: their roles in tumor progression and clinical implications. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010; 1805: 141–52.
- 46 Benes P, Vetvicka V, Fusek M. Cathepsin D-many functions of one aspartic protease. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2008; 68: 12–28.
- 47 Tahara I, Miyake K, Hanawa H et al. Systemic cancer gene therapy using adeno-associated virus type 1 vector expressing MDA-7/IL24. Mol Ther 2007; 15: 1805–11.
- 48 Mendes-Pereira AM, Sims D, Dexter T et al. Genome-wide functional screen identifies a compendium of genes affecting sensitivity to tamoxifen. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012; 109: 2730–5.
- 49 Garkavtsev I, Kozin SV, Chernova O *et al.* The candidate tumour suppressor protein ING4 regulates brain tumour growth and angiogenesis. *Nature* 2004; 428: 328–32.
- 50 Kim S, Chin K, Gray JW, Bishop JM. A screen for genes that suppress loss of contact inhibition: identification of ING4 as a candidate tumor suppressor gene in human cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004; 101: 16251–6.
- 51 Shah S, Smith H, Feng X, Rancourt DE, Riabowol K. ING function in apoptosis in diverse model systems. *Biochem Cell Biol* 2009; 87: 117–25.
- 52 Zhou MI, Foy RL, Chitalia VC *et al.* Jade-1, a candidate renal tumor suppressor that promotes apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; **102**: 11035–40.
- 53 Chitalia VC, Foy RL, Bachschmid MM et al. Jade-1 inhibits Wnt signalling by ubiquitylating beta-catenin and mediates Wnt pathway inhibition by pVHL. Nat Cell Biol 2008; 10: 1208–16.
- 54 Hung T, Binda O, Champagne KS et al. ING4 mediates crosstalk between histone H3 K4 trimethylation and H3 acetylation to attenuate cellular transformation. *Mol Cell* 2009; 33: 248–56.
- 55 Havasi A, Haegele JA, Gall JM *et al.* Histone acetyl transferase (HAT) HBO1 and JADE1 in epithelial cell regeneration. *Am J Pathol* 2013; **182**: 152–62.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Sequences for PCR primers.

Table S2. Antibodies for immunohistochemistry.

Table S3. Pathological details of 24 breast tumors.

Table S4. Correlation of expression of Hbo1 in relation to ER, PgR and HER2 in breast cancers.