# MARVELD1 inhibited cell proliferation and enhance chemosensitivity via increasing expression of p53 and p16 in hepatocellular carcinoma

Youtao Yu,<sup>1,2,4</sup> Yubao Zhang,<sup>2,4</sup> Jianran Hu,<sup>1</sup> Hao Zhang,<sup>1</sup> Shan Wang,<sup>1</sup> Fang Han,<sup>1</sup> Lei Yue,<sup>1</sup> Youpeng Qu,<sup>1</sup> Yao Zhang,<sup>1</sup> Hongjian Liang,<sup>1</sup> Huan Nie<sup>1</sup> and Yu Li<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Life Science and Biotechnology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, Heilongjiang; <sup>2</sup>The Affiliated Tumor Hospital, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, Heilongjiang, China

(Received August 21, 2011 ⁄ Revised December 27, 2011 ⁄ Accepted December 27, 2011 ⁄ Accepted manuscript online February 9, 2012 ⁄ Article first published online March 8, 2012)

We have previously found that expression of MARVELD1 was remarkably downregulated in multiple tumor tissues, but unclear in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and its function has not been explored yet. In the present study, to uncover the underlying mechanism of MARVELD1 in the pathogenesis and development of HCC, we investigated the expression pattern of MARVELD1 and its effect on tumor proliferation in HCC. The results indicated the frequent downregulation of MARVELD1 in clinic samples and cell lines of HCC resulted from promoter methylation, as well as genetic deletion. Furthermore, treatment of MARVELD1 unexpressing Hep3B2.1-7 and PLC/PRF/5 cells with the demethylating agent 5-aza-2′ deoxycytidine restored its expression. Overexpression of MARVELD1 suppressed the proliferation of HCC cells in vitro and in vivo, whereas downregulation of endogenous MARVELD1 by shRNAs significantly enhanced these characters. MARVELD1 overexpression could enhance chemosensitivity of HCC cells to epirubicin and 10-hydroxycamptothecin. Corresponding to these results, the expression of p-ERK1/2 and cyclin D1 were decreased, whereas p16 and p53 were increased in MAR-VELD1-transfected cells. We also demonstrated that knockdown of MARVELD1 resulted in upregulation of p-ERK1/2 and cyclin D1, and downregulation of p16 and p53. Moreover, the effect of the decreased cell growth rate was significantly reversed when MAR-VELD1-overexpressing cells were trasfected with p53 or p16 siR-NA. Our findings suggest that MARVELD1 is a tumor suppressor by negatively regulating proliferation, tumor growth and chemosensitivity of HCC cells via increasing p53 and p16 in vitro and in vivo. MARVELD1 may be a potential target for HCC therapy. (Cancer Sci 2012; 103: 716–722)

epatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading<br>causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide, and its inci-<br>dance is still giving (1) Currently there are about 600,000 gases dence is still rising. $^{(1)}$  Currently there are about 600 000 cases of HCC each year, and nearly 78% of them are from Asian countries.<sup>(2)</sup> Substantial evidence from epidemiological studies indicates that HCC is strongly associated with alcohol abuse, chronic infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV) and/or hepatitis C virus (HCV), and liver cirrhosis.<sup>(3–5)</sup> More than one million people die of liver cancer worldwide every year.<sup>(6)</sup> Few patients are diagnosed in the early stage, and <20% of HCCs can be resected completely.<sup> $(7,8)$ </sup> Resistance to many of chemotherapy agents is a major obstacle to successful HCC treat-<br>ment.<sup>(9–11)</sup> Therefore, a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying HCC progression is urgently needed for leading to a more effective treatment.

Genetic and epigenetic aberrations, leading to the activation of oncogenes and inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, are thought to play major roles in the pathogenesis of HCC. Recently, several MARVEL (proteins of the myelin and lymphocytes [MAL] and related proteins for vesicle trafficking and membrane link) domain-containing proteins have attracted increasing interest because they exhibit tumor suppressor activities and are frequently decreased via promoter methylation in breast, cervical, prostate, hepatocellular, esophageal and gastric carcinoma or cell lines.<sup>(12–15)</sup> MARVELD1 is a member of MARVEL domain-containing proteins, located on human chromosome 10q24, a locus associate with multiple cancers. Our previous study shows that MARVELD1 is frequently downregulated in multiple cancers.<sup>(16)</sup> In addition, *MARVELD1* could be induced by multiple chemotherapeutic agents (data not shown), but its function is not investigated yet.

To investigate the expression and role of MARVELD1 in HCC, the expression pattern of MARVELD1 was firstly detected in primary HCC and matched adjacent normal/benign liver tissues. Then, we determined promoter methylation and DNA copy number of *MARVELD1* in clinic samples and cell lines of HCC. Subsequently, we examined tumor cell proliferation, anchorage-independent growth, tumorigenicity in xeno-<br>graft mouse model and chemosensitivity following and chemosensitivity upregulation or downregulation of MARVELD1. We finally found that expression of p53 and p16, inhibitors of the cell proliferation, could be increased by MARVELD1.

#### Materials and Methods

Clinical samples. Thirty-three pairs of matched HCCs and adjacent normal tissues were obtained from the Affiliated Tumor Hospital, Harbin Medical University. We also obtained 115 formalin fixed and paraffin embedded HCC tissues, in which 23 were provided by the same hospital and 92 were from a tissue microarray (Shanghai Outdo Biotech, Shanghai, China). This tissue array comprised 27 hepatitis, 37 hepatocirrhosis, two fatty liver and 26 normal liver samples. All samples were collected between December 2008 and July 2010, and written informed consent was obtained from each donor. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics and Human Clinical Trial Committee at the Affiliated Tumor Hospital, Harbin Medical University.

Cell lines. Human HCC cell lines HepG2, Hep3B2.1-7, and PLC/PRF/5 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). BEL7402 and SMMC7721 were from The Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CBTCCCAS). All cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) at  $37^{\circ}$ C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator.

Immunohistochemical staining. The immunohistochemical staining was performed as described previously. Immunohisto-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: liyugene@hit.edu.cn <sup>4</sup>These authors made equal contributions to this work.

chemical staining was determined by the staining intensity and the percentage of immunoreactive cells as reported previ- $\text{ouslv}^{1.}(17)$  The criteria of intensity are as follows: 0 (negative), absent or staining <5% of cells; grade 1, mild to moderate staining of 5–50% of cells; grade 2, moderate to intense staining of more than 50% of the cells.

Cell transfection and generation of stable MARVELD1 knockdown cells. MARVELD1 ORF region were cloned into pcDNA3.1/V5-His-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). HepG2 cells were transfected with MARVELD1 construct using Lipofectamine 2000. Two siRNAs targeting MAR-VELD1 correspond to the sequences 5′-CCTCAAGGATTACC-CGCTCTT-3′, and 5′-ATTGGAACCAGGCTTCTGGTT-3′. HeLa cells were transfected with siRNA constructs and cultured for 3 weeks in 800 µg/mL G418 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). MARVELD1 overexpressing HepG2 cells were transfected with p53 siRNA pool or p16 siRNA pool (Gene-Pharma, Shanghai, China) using Lipofectamine 2000.

Quantitative real-time PCR. Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR for DNA and qRT-PCR for cDNA) was performed using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Foster City, CA, USA). Primers for qPCR are described in Table S1. Normal human peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) DNA acted as control. PCR conditions were:  $95^{\circ}$ C 10 s; 95 $^{\circ}$ C 5 s, 60 $^{\circ}$ C 34 s for 31 cycles at GAPDH or 38 cycles at MARVELD1. Reaction specificity was controlled by post-amplification melting curve analyses and gel electrophoresis of products. Relative gene expression levels were calculated by the formula  $2^{-\Delta C_t}$ , where  $\Delta \hat{C}_t$  (critical threshold) =  $C_t$  of MARVELD1– $C_t$  of GAPDH.

Bisulfite DNA sequencing. Bisulfite modification of DNA was performed with Applied Biosystems methylSEQr Bisulfite Conversion kit. Bisulfite-treated DNA was amplified using nest PCR with primers described in Table S1. After the PCR products were cloned into the pMD18-T vector (Takara, Dalian, China), not <10 colonies in each case were randomly sequenced.

5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine treatment. Hep3B2.1-7, PLC/PRF/5 and HepG2 cells were separately treated with 2.0 and 5.0  $\mu$ M 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dCyd; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 24, 48 and 72 h. Control dishes received DMEM complete culture medium. Cells were harvested and used for RNA analysis and demethylation analysis of promoter region at the end of the experiments.

Western blotting. Cells were directly lysed in  $2\times$  Laemmli buffer. The same amount of cell lysates were electrophoresed in 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and blotted onto PVDF membranes, which were subsequently probed with the indicated primary antibodies and incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated with HRP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). ECL western blotting analysis system (Amersham Biosciences, Chalfont St. Giles, Buckinghamshire, UK) was used to detect the substrates.

Cell proliferation and soft-agar colony formation assay. Cells were seeded in 12-well plates at  $3 \times 10^4$  cells/well. The number of the cells was counted 2 days after seeding, and every day from the fifth day, each in fourfold. The cells were continuously counted for 10 days. Meanwhile, cells  $(1 \times 10^5)$  were seeded into 6-well plates with a bottom layer of 0.8% lowmelting-temperature agar in DMEM and a top layer of 0.4% agar in DMEM. Colonies were scored after 10 days of growth.

In vivo tumor xenograft study. HepG2/MARVELD1 and HepG2/vector cells were inoculated subcutaneously into right backside with  $4 \times 10^6$  cells. Tumor size was measured weekly using calipers until 7 weeks and their volumes were calculated using the following standard formula: width<sup>2</sup>  $\times$  length  $\times$  0.52.

Epirubicin and 10-hydroxycamptothecin treatment. Mice were randomized into six groups (six mice per group, three groups for MARVELD1 experiment and other three groups for vector control) and injected subcutaneously with  $4 \times 10^6$  cells (HepG2/MARVELD1 cells of stably expressing MARVELD1 and HepG2/vector cells) into the right flank of each animal. Mice subsequently were treated with epirubicin (ADM), 10-hydroxycamptothecin (HCPT), or ADM and HCPT combination by abdomen after xenograft of mice was approximately 0.3 cm<sup>3</sup>. Physiological saline was used as a blank control. The concentrations of ADM and HCPT were 0.5 and 1.5 mg/kg body weight in 0.5 mL, respectively, and the same dosage combined ADM and HCPT were also used in 0.5 mL. Tumor size was monitored two times weekly, and tumor tissues were fixed in 10% formaldehyde for routine paraffin sections and H&E staining.

Statistical analysis. Two-tailed Student's t-test and chi-square tests were applied where appropriate. Results were considered to be statistically significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

## Results

MARVELD1 was frequently downregulated in HCC. To investigate MARVELD1's role in HCC, the expression of MAR-VELD1 mRNA was first examined in tumors and histologically normal adjacent tissues from HCC patients ( $n = 33$ , primary tumors) by quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). As expected, pairwise comparison between individual HCC and paired normal tissues revealed that MAEVELD1 expression in tumors was reduced by more than  $50\%$  in 23 of  $\overline{3}3$  (69.7%) tumors (Fig. 1a). Mean MARVELD1 mRNA levels observed in HCCs were 50% lower than those observed in normal surrounding tissues.

To further confirm qRT-PCR results, immunohistochemistry was performed in 115 formalin fixed, paraffin embedded HCC tissues in which normal/benign liver tissues were found in 74 cases. Representative immunoreactivity results are shown in Figure 1b. The positive staining was localized in cytoplasm and nuclei, occasionally in the plasma membrane. MARVELD1 proteins were significantly decreased compared with that of normal/benign liver tissues. Further quantitative analysis revealed that positive MARVELD1 staining (staining score of grade 1 and 2) was found in 89 of 100 cases of normal/benign liver tissues (89%) (Fig. 1c), whereas only 34 of 115 cases (29.6%) in HCC specimens. Moreover, the expression of MAR-VELD1 in benign liver tissues was not downregulated compared with that of HCC specimens, including hepatitis, hepatocirrhosis and fatty liver tissues. No correlation of MAR-VELD1 expression with sex, age or clinical stage was observed (Table S2). Collectively, these findings indicated that downregulation of  $MARVELDI$  was a common and tumor-specific event in the pathogenesis of HCC.

Meanwhile, we analyzed *MARVELD1* expression in five HCC cell lines by qRT-PCR to select suitable cell lines for function analysis. We found that MARVELD1 expression was lower observably in Hep G2, Hep 3B2.1-7 and PLC/PRF/5 cells (Fig. 1d).

DNA copy number of MARVELD1 altered in HCC. To determine loss of the MARVELD1 expression whether to related deletions of this gene, we screened MARVELD1 gene region of genomic DNA of low expression MARVELD1 in HCC clinical samples and HCC cells by quantitative PCR, using specific primer for MARVELD1 of the genomic DNA (Fig. S1a). Normal human PBL DNA was used as control criterion. We identified that the number of MARVELD1 DNA copies significantly decreased in five tumor tissues of 16 pairs of HCC samples than their normal adjacent tissues and normal human PBL DNA, and MAR-VELDI DNA of tumor tissue in case 22 was lowest (Fig. S1b). Furthermore, we examined MARVELD1 DNA content in five HCC cell lines. The results from cell lines showed that MAR-VELD1 DNA copies of HepG2 cells were 10-fold lower, and



Fig. 1. The downregulated expression of MARVELD1 in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). (a) Relative MARVELD1 expression was determined by real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) from 33 pairs of frozen HCC (T) and adjacent normal tissues (N). Statistical analysis was performed comparing HCCs with normal surrounding tissues,  $P < 0.01$ . (b) Immunostaining of MARVELD1 in HCC, normal benign liver, hepatitis and hepatocirrhosis tissues. (c) Statistics of MARVELD1 levels in HCC, normal and benign liver disease tissues. The highest expression was scored as 2, and the lowest scored as 0. (d) Real-time PCR analysis of MARVELD1 mRNA analysis was performed in five HCC cell lines, with normal liver tissue as control (N). Copies of MARVELD1 were normalized to 1 000 000 copies of GAPDH.

MARVELD1 DNA copies of PLC/PRF/5 was half of the normal human PBL DNA (Fig. S1c). The results suggested that intragenic deletions represented an important genetic mechanism for inactivating MARVELD1 in HCC cells and that this event was not an artifact that arose during establishment of the cell lines. In addition, MARVELD1 DNA copies in five cases: cases 8, 9, 14, 15 and 18, were superabundant in the 16 pair samples (Fig. S1b), suggesting that other mechanisms of inactivating of MARVELD1 should be detected.

MARVELD1 promoter was methylated in HCC. Based on the above results, we set out to investigate the promoter region  $(-1)$  to approximately  $-447$  bp) methylation status of five pairs of samples (cases 3, 4, 8, 9, 12) with low expression of MARVELD1 in HCC tissue. Bisulfite-sequencing showed that the MARVELD1 promoter region was clearly methylated in those tumors and methylation rate of 29 CpG sites<sup>(16)</sup> was 80.9% in the promoter region of tumor samples (32.18% in normal adjacent tissues). We also found that all of 29 CpG sites were full methylated in a lot of clones from tumor tissues. Moreover, the third and fourth of five SP1 binding sites on upstream of transcript start sites were 100% methylated in tumor samples, and hypermethylation close-by the third SP1 binding sites. Considering MARVELD1 DNA content in the analyzed five samples, two tumors (cases 8 and 9) have more MARVELD1 DNA than PBL, two tumors (cases 3 and 4) were similar *MARVELD1* DNA levels to PBL, and one tumor (case 12) had quite lower MARVELD1 DNA copies than its normal adjacent tissue and PBL. However, the hypermethylation was detected in all of the five tumor cases (Fig. 2a) and also in PLC/PRF/5 (Fig. 2b) and Hep3B2.1-7 cells lacking MAR-VELD1expression. The results suggested that hypermethylation of the promoter region was a considerable common mechanism for downregulating MARVELD1 level in HCC. These observations led us to conjecture that, in addition to homozygous deletion, hypermethylation of the CpG sites might be a frequent cause of silence of MARVELD1 in HCCs.

5-Aza-dCyd induced restoration of MARVELD1 expression by demethylation in HCC cell lines. To explore whether demethylation treatment could restore expression of MARVELD1 mRNA in HCC cells, we treated cells with 2.0 and 5.0  $\mu$ M 5-Aza-dCyd. Induction of MARVELD1 mRNA occurred after treatment with 5-Aza-dCyd in PLC/PRF/5 and Hep3B2.1-7



Fig. 2. Frequent methylation of MARVELD1 promoter in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and 5-Aza-dCyd induced restoration of MARVELD1 expression by demethylation. (a) Methylation status of MARVELD1 promoter region by bisulfite sequencing in five paired HCCs. N, normal adjacent tissue; T, tumor. (b) PLC/PRF/5 cell was treated with 2.0 µM 5-aza-dCyd for 24 h and methylation status of MARVELD1 promoter region was detected by bisulfite sequencing. (c) The restoration of MARVELD1 at mRNA levels in PLC/PRF/5 and Hep3B2.1-7 cells was analyzed by real-time PCR.

cells but without its DNA deletion, and MARVELD1 expression was rush hour at 24 h in PLC/PRF/5 cells treated with 2.0  $\mu$ M 5-Aza-dCyd (Fig. 2c). In contrast, 5-Aza-dCyd treatment of Hep G2 cells, which do harbor a homozygous deletion of MARVELD1, failed to enhance the expression of MARVELD1 (data not shown). With the performance of bisulfite-sequencing, the demethylation of MARVELD1 promoter region was observed distinctly in PLC/PRF/5 (Fig. 2b) and Hep3B2.1-7 cells. The results indicated that restoration of MARVELD1 expression in HCC cells can be induced by 5-Aza-dCyd.

MARVELD1 inhibited cell proliferation. With respect to the potential tumor-suppressor activity of MARVELD1, we analyzed the role of MARVELD1 on cell proliferation in vitro. After exogenous MARVELD1 recombinants were transfected into HepG2 cells with this gene deletion and NIH3T3 cells without expression of *MARVELD1*, stable cell clones were established. As shown in Figure 3a, Fig. S2 and Fig. S3, the proliferation of HepG2 cells and NIH3T3 cells with overexpressing MARVELD1 was clearly suppressed. Furthermore, the soft agar colony formation assay showed that the number of colonies produced by MARVELD1-transfected HepG2 cells decreased remarkably compared with control (Fig. 3b). On the other hand, downregulation of MARVELD1 greatly enhanced the proliferation (Fig. S 4a) and anchorage-independent growth of HeLa cells (Fig. S4b). The results indicated that anchorageindependent growth ability of HepG2 was also influenced (Fig. 3b). Corresponding to the above results, we found an upward trend in p16 and p53 protein expression and a downtrend in cyclin D1 and p-ERK1/2 protein expression in MAR-VELD1-transfected HepG2 cells (Fig. 3c). Besides, we also observed a downtrend in p16 and p53 protein expression and an upward trend in cyclin D and p-ERK1/2 protein expression in MARVELD1-knockdown HeLa cells (Fig. S4c). To further elucidate the mechanisms underlying the function of MAR-VELD1 in HCC, the MARVELD1-overexpressing Hep G2 cells were transfected with p53 or p16 specific siRNA by using Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent. Interestingly, the effect of the decreased cell growth rate was significantly reversed when MARVELD1-overexpressing cells were transfected with p53 or p16 siRNA (Fig. S5).

Overexpression of MARVELD1 inhibited xenograft tumor growth. To further explore the suppressing role of MAR-VELD1 on tumor growth, nude mice xenografts were modeled using HepG2 cells following expression of *MARVELD1*. HepG2/MARVELD1 and HepG2/Vector were separately injected into nude mice, each group containing seven mice. The results showed that the wet weights of tumors excised in HepG2/MARVELD1 group were obviously smaller than that of HepG2/Vector group after 7 weeks ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 4a). Moreover, according to results in vitro, we detected the expression of p16 and p53 and results showed that the proteins were also increased, but the expression of cyclin D1 was suppressed (Fig. 4b). Collectively, these results indicated MARVELD1 could slower the xenograft tumor growth through the same pathway in HepG2 cells.

Increasing MARVELD1 expression promoted chemosensitivity of HCC. Previously, we found that expression of MARVELD1 could be induced by multi-chemotherapeutic agents (Youtao Yu, Lei Yue, Fang Han and Yu Li, unpublished data, 2009). According to the result, we selected two drugs targeted to topoisomerase I and II and detected chemotherapeutic sensitivity in nude mice. Our results showed that MARVELD1 could improve the sensitivity of ADM and HCPT combination and reduce the xenograft tumor growth and final wet weights (Fig. 5a). Furthermore, histopathology of the xenograft tumors was detected by H&E staining, and expression of p16 and p53 was revealed by immunohistochemical staining. The results



Fig. 3. Overexpression of MARVELD1 inhibited hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell proliferation in vitro. (a) Hep G2 cell proliferation assay. Hep G2 cells were overexpressed MARVELD1 and control vector. The cell number was counted at the indicated time points. (b) Overexpression of MARVELD1 inhibits the anchorage-independent growth ability of Hep G2; the colonies a well of a 6-well plate were counted and each experiment was repeated three times (\*P < 0.05; scale bar = 50 µm). (c) Several proliferation related-genes were detected in Hep G2 cells with overexpressing of MARVELD1 and the expression levels of these proteins were normalized to those of tubulin protein (\*P < 0.05).



Fig. 4. MARVELD1 inhibited hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell proliferation in vivo. (a) HepG2/MARVELD1 and Hep G2/Vector were injected into nude mice to generate xenograft tumor. Xenograft tumor wet weight was determined after 7 weeks following the cell inoculation. (b) Several proliferation-related genes were detected in xenograft tumor and the expression levels of these proteins were normalized to those of tubulin protein ( $P < 0.05$ ).

exhibited that activity of cells was ruptured in the xenograft tumor tissues of HepG2/MARVELD1 group treated with chemotherapeutic agents (Fig. 5b), and p53 and p16 were upregulated significantly, especially in the HepG2/MARVELD1 group with ADM combining HCPT (Fig. 5c).

Collectively, all of the results indicated that MARVELD1 was frequently downregulated in HCCs, and promoter methylation was the main mechanism for its suppression in tumor. MARVELD1 negatively regulated proliferation of HCC cells via increasing expression of p53 and p16, and improved the sensitivity of chemotherapeutic agents.

#### Discussion

MARVEL domain-containing proteins are evolutionarily conserved and widely expressed in normal adult tissues. They are involved in a variety of biological processes, including cell cycle progression, chemotactic activity, tight junction, and clathrin-mediated endocytosis.<sup>(15,18–20)</sup> It is documented that MARVEL domain-containing proteins are decreased in various types of cancer, and exert tumor-suppressive functions.(12–15) MARVELD1 is a novel member of this family, with very limited work performed so far about its molecular function. Recently, we established that *MARVELD1* is frequently downregulated in multiple human cancers. We further identified that the reduced expression of MARVELD1 was owing to DNA methylation and could be reversed by pharmacologic demethylation in breast cancer cell lines<sup>(16)</sup> and HCC in this paper. Based on our study and other reported findings, we propose that MARVELD1 may act as a potential tumor suppressor in HCC.

Although development is continually made in diagnostic and therapeutic modalities, the mortality rate of HCC has remained



Fig. 5. MARVELD1 enhanced the chemosensitivity of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). (a) Tumor wet weight was detected in NaCl, epirubicin (ADM), ADM and 10-hydroxycamptothecin (HCPT) combination groups and was presented as mean tumor weight ± standard deviation  $(*P < 0.05)$ . (b) H&E staining of all kinds of xenografts. Magnification: 100 $\times$ . (c) Immunohistochemical staining of p16 and p53 in xenografts with NaCl, ADM, ADM and HCPT combination. Magnification:  $400 \times$ .

unchanged over the past decades. This is mainly due to poor understanding of its pathogenesis, thus identification and characterization of novel aberrant genes in HCC development is of great value for developing more effective treatment. Tumor suppressor gene deletion is one of the major contributors of tumorigenesis, it is reported that deletion of the p16 and p15 genes is frequent in most cell lines and such deletions are common in certain cancers.(21,22) DNA methylation is one of the important epigenetic modifications of the genome, aberrant DNA methylation is a common phenomenon in human cancer. Studies have revealed that many tumor suppressor genes such as p16, RARb, DAPK and MGMT, showed frequently hypermethylation at promoters, thus leading to gene silencing and affecting plenty of cellular processes, including apoptosis, cell adherence, DNA repair and cell-cycle control.<sup> $(23,24)$ </sup> ERK is a critical member in Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling cascade and its activation plays important roles in cell proliferation through regulating cyclin D1, thus it affects the G1/S transition. Also, ERK activation has great effects on G2/M transition.(25) It is reported that sustained activity of ERK is required for the downregulation of many antiproliferative genes, such as p53, p16, throughout the whole  $\overline{G1}$  phase of the cell cycle.<sup>(26)</sup> These molecules also play critical roles in the pathogenesis of  $HCC$ .<sup>(27–29)</sup> Overexpression of  $MARVELDI$  results in an

increased expression of p16 and p53 and a decreased expression of cyclin D1 and p-ERK2. Knockdown of endogenous MAR-VELD1 in Hela cells had an expected opposite result. These data suggest that MARVELD1 inhibited cell proliferation may be mediated through regulating the expression of p53, p16, cyclin D1 or being regulated by them. Considering these molecules share a common effect in cell cycle G1 control, we infer that MARVELD1 inhibits HCC proliferation by cooperating with p53, p16, cyclin D1 and p-ERK1/2, resulting in G1 phrase arresting. Moreover, in our in vivo mouse model study, MAR-VELD1 significantly reduced tumor volume and weight and the expression of p53, p16, cyclin D1 showed the same patterns of in vitro. Taking in vitro and in vivo results together, we draw the conclusion that MARVELD1 exerts tumor-suppressive functions in HCC via inhibiting cell proliferation.

Hepatocellular carcinomas are highly resistant to chemotherapeutic agents and it is urgent to discover effective therapeutic molecules to enhance liver tumor sensitivity to drugs. In this study, we found that MARVELD1 could promote the tumor sensitivity to ADM and HCPT, drugs targeted to topoisomerase I and II, respectively. Additionally, immunohistochemical staining of p16 and p53 showed that they were further improved in Hep G2/MARVELD1 xenograft mice and contributed to enhanced drug sensitivity in HCC. Therefore, we postulate that transferring MARVELD1 into these cancers may sensitize them to standard chemotherapy drugs.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Professor Qiong Wu and Dr. Fengwei Zhang for helpful suggestions on the methylation analysis. We are grateful to Dr Sheng Xiao (Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard

#### References

- 1 Befeler AS, Di Bisceglie AM. Hepatocellular carcinoma: diagnosis and treatment. Gastroenterology 2002; 122: 1609-19.
- 2 Poon D, Anderson BO, Chen LT et al. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma in Asia: consensus statement from the Asian Oncology Summit 2009.<br>Lancet Oncol 2009; 10: 1111-8.
- Lancet Oncol 2009; 10: 1111-8.<br>3 Kamangar F, Dores GM, Anderson WF. Patterns of cancer incidence, mortality, and prevalence across five continents: defining priorities to reduce cancer disparities in different geographic regions of the world. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 2137–50.
- 4 Yuen MF, Tanaka Y, Fong DY et al. Independent risk factors and predictive score for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B. J Hepatol 2009; 50: 80–8.
- 5 Chen KF, Yeh PY, Yeh KH et al. Down-regulation of phospho-Akt is a major molecular determinant of bortezomib-induced apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 6698–707.
- 6 Block TM, Mehta AS, Fimmel CJ et al. Molecular viral oncology of hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncogene 2003; 22: 5093–107.
- 7 Bruix J, Boix L, Sala M et al. Focus on hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Cell 2004; 5: 215–9.
- 8 Farazi PA, DePinho RA. Hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis: from genes to environment. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 674-87.
- to environment. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006; 6: 674–87.<br>9 Cancer. World Health Organization. February 2009. [Cited 10 Feb 2009] Available from URL: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs297/en/.
- 10 Kerr SH, Kerr DJ. Novel treatments for hepatocellular cancer. Cancer Lett 2009: **286**: 114–20.
- 2009; 286: 114–20.<br>11 Sterpetti P, Marucci L, Candelaresi C et al. Cell proliferation and drug resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma are modulated by Rho GTPase signals. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2006;  $290:$  G624–32.
- J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2006; 290: G624–32.<br>12 Hatta M, Nagai H, Okino K et al. Down-regulation of members of glycolipid-enriched membrane raft gene family, MAL and BENE, in cervical squamous cell cancers. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2004; 30: 53-8.
- 13 Luning Shao, Yan Cui, Hongyu Li et al. CMTM5 exhibits tumor suppressor activities and is frequently silenced by methylation in carcinoma cell lines. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13: 5756–62.
- 14 Yu Wang, Jisheng Li, Yan Cui et al. CMTM3, located at the critical tumor suppressor locus 16q22.1, is silenced by CpG methylation in carcinomas and inhibits tumor cell growth through inducing apoptosis. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 5194–201.

Medical School) for helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81071702/H1603 and No. 30871271/C0707) and The China Scholarship Council (CSC).

### Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflict of interest.

- 15 Mimori K, Shiraishi T, Mashino K et al. MAL gene expression in esophageal cancer suppresses motility, invasion and tumorigenicity and enhances apoptosis through the Fas pathway. Oncogene 2003; 22: 3463–71.
- 16 Wang S, Li Y, Han F et al. Identification and characterization of MAR-VELD1, a novel nuclear protein that is down-regulated in multiple cancers and silenced by DNA methylation. Cancer Lett 2009; 282: 77–86.
- 17 Shi Y, Ding X, He ZH et al. Critical role of TRPC6 channels in G2 phase transition and the development of human esophageal cancer. Gut 2009; 58: 1443–50.
- 18 Han W, Lou Y, Tang V et al. Molecular cloning and characterization of chemokine-like factor 1 (CKLF1), a novel human cytokine with unique structure and potential chemotactic activity. Biochem J 2001; 357: 127–35.
- 19 Ikenouchi J, Sasaki H, Tsukita S et al. Loss of occludin affects tricellular localization of tricellulin. Mol Biol Cell 2008; 19: 4687–93.
- 20 Martín-Belmonte F, Martínez-Menárguez JA, Aranda JF et al. MAL regulates clathrin-mediated endocytosis at the apical surface of Madin–Darby canine kidney cells. J Cell Biol 2003; 163: 155-64.
- 21 Eissa S, Ali-Labib R, Khalifa A. Deletion of p16 and p15 genes In schistosomiasis associated bladder cancer (SABC). Clin Chim Acta 2000; <sup>300</sup>: 159– 69.
- 22 Jones CJ, Shaw JJ, Wyllie FS et al. High frequency deletion of the tumour suppressor gene P16'NK4a (MTSI) in human thyroid cancer cell lines. Mol<br>Cell Endocrinol 1996: 116: 115-9.
- Cell Endocrinol 1996; 116: 115-9.<br>23 Zhang Y, Wang R, Song H et al. Methylation of multiple genes as a candidate biomarker in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Lett 2011; 303: 21–8.
- 24 Qureshi SA, Bashir MU, Yaqinuddin A. Utility of DNA methylation markers for diagnosing cancer. *Int J Surg* 2010; **8**: 194–8.
- for diagnosing cancer. *Int J Surg 2010*; 8: 194–8.<br>25 Chambard JC, Lefloch R, Pouysségur J et al. ERK implication in cell cycle regulation. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007; 1773: 1299–310.
- 26 Meloche S, Pouysségur J. The ERK1/2 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway as a master regulator of the G1- to S-phase transition ERK1/2 MAP kinases in cell cycle control. Oncogene 2007; 26: 3227–39.
- 27 Arnold JL. The tumor suppressor genes. Annu Rev Biochem 1993; 62: 623– 51.
- 28 Maofu Fu, Chenguang Wang, Zhiping Li et al. Cyclin D1: normal and abnormal functions. Endocrinology 2004; 145: 5439–47.
- 29 McCubrey JA, Steelman LS, Chappell WH et al. Roles of the Raf/MEK/ ERK pathway in cell growth, malignant transformation and drug resistance. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007; 1773: 1263–84.

### Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

- Fig. S1. DNA copy number alterations of MARVELD1 gene in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).
- Fig. S2. Overexpression of MARVELD1 inhibited hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell proliferation in vitro.
- Fig. S3. Overexpression of MARVELD1 inhibited NIH3T3 cell proliferation in vitro.
- Fig. S4. Downregulation of MARVELD1 promoted HeLa cell proliferation in vitro.

Fig. S5. The effect of the decreased cell growth rate was significantly reversed when MARVELD1-overexpressing cells were transfected with p53 or p16 siRNA.

Table S1. The primer sequences for studies of the MARVELD1 gene.

Table S2. Correlation between MARVELD1 expression and clinicopathological features of HCC patients.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.