PROCEEDINGS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb

Research



Cite this article: Morris J, Darolti I, van der Bijl W, Mank JE. 2020 High-resolution characterization of male ornamentation and reevaluation of sex linkage in guppies. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **287**: 20201677. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.1677

Received: 13 July 2020 Accepted: 22 September 2020

Subject Category:

Evolution

Subject Areas:

evolution, genetics

Keywords:

colour, Y-linkage, sex-linked, sexual dimorphism, sexual selection

Author for correspondence:

Jake Morris e-mail: jake.morris.11@ucl.ac.uk

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.5144377.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY

High-resolution characterization of male ornamentation and re-evaluation of sex linkage in guppies

Jake Morris¹, Iulia Darolti², Wouter van der Bijl² and Judith E. Mank^{1,2}

(i) JM, 0000-0002-0137-2610; WvdB, 0000-0002-7366-1868

Coloration plays a key role in the ecology of many species, influencing how an organism interacts with its environment, other species and conspecifics. Guppies are sexually dimorphic, with males displaying sexually selected coloration resulting from female preference. Previous work has suggested that much of guppy colour pattern variation is Y-linked. However, it remains unclear how many individual colour patterns are Y-linked in natural populations as much of the previous work has focused on phenotypes either not found in the wild, or aggregate measures such as total colour area. Moreover, ornaments have traditionally been identified and delineated by hand, and computational methods now make it possible to extract pixels and identify ornaments with automated methods, reducing the potential for human bias. Here we developed a pipeline for semi-automated ornament identification and high-resolution image analysis of male guppy colour patterns and applied it to a multigenerational pedigree. Our results show that loci controlling the presence or the absence of individual male ornaments in our population are not predominantly Y-linked. However, we find that ornaments of similar colour are not independent of each other, and modifier loci that affect whole animal coloration appear to be at least partially Y-linked. Considering these results, Y-linkage of individual ornaments may not be important in driving colour changes in natural populations of guppies, or in expansions of the non-recombining Y region, while Y-linked modifier loci that affect aggregate traits may well play an important role.

1. Introduction

Coloration is an important morphological character in many species. It has the potential to greatly influence how an organism interacts with its environment via thermoregulation, ultraviolet protection [1–3], with other species via aposematism, mimicry and crypsis [4–7], and with conspecifics via intra- and intersexual selection [8,9]. Moreover, some colour phenotypes under sexual selection can incur significant metabolic production costs [10,11] or increased predation risks owing to increased conspicuousness [12,13]. In these cases, the costs affect both sexes, but only one sex gains a mating advantage, resulting in sexual conflict [14,15]. In these cases, colour traits are likely to be dimorphic when the genetic architecture can be separated between females and males [15].

For species with male heterogametic sex chromosomes, one important way to establish separate male and female genetic architecture, and thereby resolve sexual conflict, is Y-linkage. Moreover, sexual conflict is thought to accelerate the evolution of the Y chromosome and its divergence from the X [16] when a sexually antagonistic allele is in close linkage with the sex-determining (Y) locus. In males, this will lead to selection against recombinants to maintain linkage, ultimately resulting in a non-recombining Y chromosome region [17–19]. Theoretical models [16,17] predict an accumulation of sexually antagonistic genes on Y

¹Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, London, UK
²Department of Zoology and Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

chromosomes, particularly in nascent sex chromosome systems before large-scale gene loss has occurred.

Male guppies (Poecilia reticulata) are brightly coloured and highly variable in their patterning [20]. These patterns are composed of spots and bars that can be categorized into three types, orange and red carotenoid and pterin ornaments, melanic black ornaments, and green and blue structural colours [21,22]. By contrast, females lack all of these ornaments and are instead a uniform colour. Although coloration leads to increased predation [23], male guppy coloration is the result of sexual selection, with females showing a preference for more colourful, brightly patterned males [20,21,24-26]. Guppies face fewer predators in the upper reaches of the streams and in these more recently colonized upstream populations, guppies have evolved more conspicuous coloration owing to increased female preference [27,28]. Male guppy coloration is therefore a sexually antagonistic trait, being selectively disadvantageous via predation if it were found in females, but being sexually advantageous for males.

Previous work has suggested that a sizable proportion of guppy male coloration is Y-linked, and a summary by Lindholm & Breden [25] identified 16 male ornament characters as fully Y-linked, 24 that recombine between the X and Y and two that are X-linked. Indeed, Y-linkage of male colour traits in this system has been suggested as a major factor in the evolution of recombination suppression [29] between both the small region of the sex chromosomes that never recombines, as well as a larger region of the sex chromosomes where recombination occurs infrequently [30]. However, as Lindholm & Breden [25] point out, much of the evidence for this Y-linkage has come from studies on phenotypes not typically found in the wild [31,32], or from studies that do not or cannot account for potential variation in female sensitivity to hormone treatments [32-34], and/or from studies using aggregate measures of total colour area rather than specific ornaments [21,34,35]. Moreover, ornaments have traditionally been identified and delineated by hand, leading to the potential for human bias if samples are not analysed blind to parentage or place of origin [36].

Here we developed a pipeline for high-resolution image analysis of male guppy colour patterns. We use this pipeline along with two datasets from our outbred stock population derived from the Quare river system to: (i) identify and characterize male colour ornaments agnostically with computational clustering methods, (ii) determine how these ornaments relate to each other, and (iii) use pedigreed families to compare and contrast inheritance patterns and possible Y-linkage of both individual ornament phenotypes and aggregate pattern phenotypes of total colour area.

2. Methods

Our stock population of guppies originates from the Quare River in Trinidad, originally collected in 1998 and subsequently maintained in large numbers (greater than 500) in benign freely mating conditions [37]. We established pedigrees from this population in 2016 by pairing four males and virgin females randomly in the first generation. We then paired offspring in subsequent generations from different families to maximize the number of grandparents in each brood, to minimize inbreeding which can make it difficult to differentiate different modes of inheritance, and to help increase the diversity of different phenotypes segregating in different families. This led to a minimum of six

great-grandparents per family in generation three (see the electronic supplementary material, figure S1). In all, our pedigree stretched across three generations and 19 crosses, and produced 214 male offspring across generations two and three (average per family = 14). We allowed between four and eight months for each generation to permit multiple clutches in most pairs. We maintained both the stock population and pedigree families on a 12:12 light cycle at 26°C and fed a standard hobby guppy diet and artemia daily.

(a) Imaging

We photographed males from the controlled pedigrees described above, as well as 171 males taken from the main stock population and not used in pedigrees, as a population baseline for ornamentation. For all males, fish were photographed as soon as possible after sexual maturation in a specially designed tank (photarium) with internal dimensions of length 100 mm × width 100 mm × depth 20 mm. Photos were taken with a tripod-mounted Canon EOS 100D and an 18-55 m lens, using ISO 400, shutter speed 1/640 and F4.5. Lighting was standardized using a Polaroid PLLED312 312 LED light set to 5600 K on full power. The standardized photographic conditions limited the need for preprocessing normalization, however, we used the R function histMatch() from the RSTOOLBOX v. 0.2.3 package [38] to correct the small amount of variation we did observe in brightness. In addition, we also used the R function focal() from the RASTER v. 2.6-7 package [39] to slightly blur images for downstream colour block extraction, thereby eliminating any potential problems with glare or reflectance.

Following pre-processing, we cropped each photo to a 1801×931 (XY) pixel image using custom functions. We then employed a k-means clustering algorithm with function kmeans() from the package Stats v. 3.5.0 from the R Core Team [40], which partitions the image based on the red, green, blue (RGB) values of pixels into k clusters, so that the sum of squares from pixels to the assigned cluster RGB centres is minimized. Each image was partitioned using both k = 20 and k = 40, so that the image was split into 20 or 40 clusters of different pixels to highlight patches of same-coloured pixels that could be considered ornaments. k = 20 and k = 40 were chosen as these values provided a level of granularity that enabled reasonable extraction of ornaments across all fish in our dataset. With images now split into k clusters of different colours, we were then able to choose clusters that allowed us to separately extract orange and black pixels. For both orange and black pixels, we used k-means images to reduce the complexity of the colour on the fish to ease pixel cluster selection for the user. This was done from the k-image that gave the most distinct and defined pixel patterns. We did however extract the original RGB values from the non *k*-means image.

Following the extraction of orange and black pixels for each fish, we used geometric morphometric methods to align both main population and pedigree fish against a reference shape. This method has previously been used in colour analysis R packages such as PATTERNIZE v. 0.0.1 [41]. We placed 99 semilandmarks (equally spaced points along a curve) around the body outlines, ignoring caudal, dorsal and other fins, in the original photos using the TPS suite (tpsDig 2.17 and tpsUtil 1.56) [42,43]. The gpagen() function from the Geomorph v. 3.0.7 package [44] was used to calculate the mean shape of the 171 male fish from the main population, and we used this as the reference shape against which the images of extracted pixels from all pedigree males were aligned. This alignment was done using Thin Plate Spline warping as implemented by the computeTransform() and applyTransform() functions from the package MORPHO v. 2.6 [45]. See the electronic supplementary material, figure S2 for pipeline overview.

Figure 1. Male ornamentation. (a) Heatmap showing the proportion of individuals from the full stock population with orange pigmentation at each pixel. (b) Heatmap showing the proportion of individuals from the full stock population with black pigmentation at each pixel, with the eye masked. (c) Mosaic plot showing the four orange and five black ornaments defined from the stock population. Grey pixels represent overlap between orange and black ornaments.

(b) Defining ornaments and ornament inheritance

After aligning the orange and black extracted images of all 171 males from the stock population, we had RGB scores for each pixel in each ornament in each fish. We then used these data to define and delimit the male ornaments in our stock population. Pixels were marked as part of an ornament based on the proportion of presence across fish. For a pixel to be marked as ornamental, it had to be found in greater than 11% of all assayed fish for orange pixels and greater than 10% of all assayed fish for black pixels. This slightly higher threshold for orange pigmentation was needed in order to separate orange ornaments OII and OIII which were otherwise joined by a few orange pixels (figure 1*a*,*b*). We then used the function hclust() from the package Stats v 3.5.0 to cluster pixels into a predefined number of distinct groups, defined as ornaments. For orange ornaments, we used a predefined number of four ornaments, as this was very clearly the number of distinct orange regions found in fish. For black ornaments, we tested clustering using six, seven and eight ornaments, with one of the black regions being the eye. From these results, it was clear that there were five main patches of black pigmentation, plus the eye and one very small region that we did not consider in downstream analyses. From this, we were able to measure the minimum and maximum XY coordinates or four orange and five black ornaments, and determine the size, hue and saturation of each ornament in each individual.

To better understand the correlational relationships among ornaments, referred to as modulation, we calculated Pearson's correlations between ornaments for size (where ornaments with 10 pixels or less were marked as NA) and saturation in R using the rcorr.adjust() function (with use = 'pairwise.complete.obs') from the package RCMDRMISC v. 2.7-1 [46] which uses Holm's method to apply a correction to *p*-values calculated using the rcorr() function from HMISC [47]. Furthermore, for each ornament we calculated Pearson's correlations between size and saturation using the rcorr() function from HMISC [47] and the p.adjust() function from the package STATS v 3.5.0 [40] (with method='holm') to account for multiple testing. We also calculated Spearman's correlations for presence/absence (with ornaments with 10 pixels or less marked as absent), again using rcorr.adjust().

With the ornaments we defined from our stock populations, we used functions from the R packages pedigreemm v. 0.3-3, sommer v. 4.1.0, and MCMCglmm v. 2.29 [48–51] to estimate

heritability for size (the number of pixels), and presence/absence for individual ornaments from our pedigrees. For size, heritability was calculated using the raw data for each ornament (in the number of pixels) for all fish that had that ornament, and with fish missing that ornament marked as NA. A matrix with the relatedness between all individuals in the pedigree was calculated using the functions pedigree() and getA(). We then used the mmer() function to fit a univariate mixed effect model to estimate heritability, with a random effect—'animal', which is linked to the relatedness matrix included in the model formula (ornament.size~(1 | animal)). For binary presence/absence data, we used a threshold of 10 pixels for presence with all sizes smaller than this marked as absent. We used the function MCMCglmm() to estimate heritability as implemented for binary traits [52]. We used MCMCglmm() for binary traits as it has been found to be more reliable for binary data than other methods available [53]. We used the priors (R = list(V = 1, fix = 1), G = list(G1 = list(V = 1, fix = 1)))nu = 1,000, alpha.mu = 0, alpha.V = 1) with the residual variance fixed to 1 as recommended for binary data [52], and used a burn-in of 100 000 and the number of iterations set to 2500 000.

We also calculated heritability for four aggregate traits: total orange area, total black area, average orange saturation and average black saturation. Total areas were calculated respectively by summing the areas of all orange or all black ornaments. Average orange and black saturation were calculated by first finding the mean saturation of pixels in each ornament, and then calculating the weighted mean of these means (weighted by the size of each ornament in order to control for differences in ornament size). This resulted in measures for average orange and average black saturation. This method described above was used for estimating heritability of our aggregate traits.

(c) Strength of Y-effects on ornamentation

We tested for potential Y-linked effects on all four aggregate traits, total orange area, total black area, average orange saturation and average black saturation, by looking at the relationship between the phenotypes of our generation three offspring and the phenotypes of their paternal and maternal grandfathers. Because the Y chromosome is passed down the patriline, grandsons will have the Y of their paternal grandfathers but not their maternal grandfathers. Therefore, we can hypothesize that if there are Y-linked

Figure 2. Significant correlations for the presence and absence among orange (a) and black (b) ornaments. Correlations are significant to adjusted p < 0.05.

loci affecting total black or orange area for example, then the slope of the paternal grandfather total black or orange area should be greater than the slope of maternal grandfather phenotype on male total black or orange area.

Unfortunately, we were unable to photograph and phenotype the grandfathers of our generation two offspring with our phenotype analysis pipeline. We therefore have a sample of 73 males across seven families from generation three. We carried out analyses of both maternal and paternal effects for all four aggregate traits, using mmer(). As per our heritability analysis, we used a random effect—'animal', which is linked to a relatedness matrix, and which controls for relatedness between individuals. We also included a fixed effect, which was either the maternal or paternal grandfather's phenotype. The coefficients of this model represent the slope of these grandfather phenotypes (paternal and maternal) on all four aggregate traits in our generation three offspring. The formula of this analysis was: (aggregate trait ~ Pat/Mat-GF phenotype + (1|animal)).

3. Results

Following Thin Plate Spline alignment of orange and black extracted images, we identified four orange (OI – OIV) and five black ornaments (BI – BV, figure 1) found in our stock population. Although all orange ornaments overlapped with at least one black ornament, the overlap regions were small, and 59% of potential ornament pixels in figure 1 were orange, 35% black, and only 6% both orange or black.

We determined correlations among ornaments in terms of size and saturation to understand the genetic relationship among different ornaments in our stock population. We observed generally positive correlations for both size and presence/absence among many of the orange ornaments, although many were not significant after adjusting for multiple testing (figure 2a and table 1 below the diagonal, electronic supplementary material, table S1). These correlations suggest that there may be modifier loci that globally affect the size of orange ornaments found in males, and thus also the total amount of orange pigmentation. Many previous studies that have thus used the total orange or black area as a trait may therefore have been at least in part measuring the heritability or inheritance of these loci rather than any loci related to the

presence or absence of any individual ornament. By contrast, many of the correlations in size between adjacent orange and black ornaments were found to be negative (table 1; electronic supplementary material, table S2), although few of these were significant. This perhaps indicates epistatic interactions between loci controlling ornaments, increasing the size of one ornament while reducing the size of others that are adjacent. Alternatively, it may reflect that where orange and black ornaments overlap, only the black area is visible.

We also determined the correlation in saturation among ornaments (table 1 above the diagonal, electronic supplementary material, table S3). For both black and orange pigmentation, we observed generally positive correlations in saturation between adjacent ornaments of the same pigmentation type. Thus again, ornaments in the same pathway are not independent of each other, suggesting that modifier loci control saturation levels affecting multiple ornaments simultaneously. We found the correlation in saturation between orange and black ornaments was on average much lower (average = 0.049) than between ornaments of the same colour (orange average = 0.377, black average = 0.440), suggesting that modifier loci do not transcend biochemical pathways to affect both ornament types. We also found there to be significant positive correlations between size and saturation for orange ornaments (orange average = 0.465), however correlations between size and saturation for black ornaments were non-significant. This suggests there is no trade-off between ornament size and saturation, and in fact the opposite is true for orange ornaments.

We used our pedigreed males to investigate the pattern of inheritance from father to son (table 2). If the presence or absence of an ornament is exclusively Y-linked, all male offspring of a male will share their father's phenotype. However, in cases where the presence or absence of an ornament is genetically partially or fully under autosomal, X or pseudoautsomal control, sons will frequently have different phenotypes than their fathers. Our results show that no ornament displays the inheritance pattern expected for a purely male specific Y-linked trait. For two ornaments (OII and BV), we observe a majority of male offspring have the opposite phenotype to their father. Overall these results suggest that individual orange and black ornaments are not linked to the Y chromosome in our population.

Table 1. Strength of correlations for ornament size (below the diagonal) and saturation (above the diagonal) among orange and black ornaments. (Adjusted p-value <0.05 in italics.)

ornament	Ol	OII	OIII	OIV	BI	BII	BIII	BIV	BV
01	_	0.53	0.250	-0.05	-0.05	0.07	-0.28	0.04	-0.24
Oll	-0.21	_	0.720	0.40	0.01	-0.03	0.20	0.21	0.21
OIII	0.08	0.19		0.41	-0.01	0.03	0.21	0.17	0.02
OIV	-0.25	0.28	0.23	_	0.27	-0.10	0.13	-0.01	0.13
BI	-0.27	-0.29	-0.15	0.34	_	0.35	0.37	0.41	0.33
BII	0.13	0.12	0.01	-0.14	-0.47	_	0.21	0.54	0.48
BIII	-0.05	0.03	0.22	0.10	0.17	-0.24	_	0.52	0.62
BIV	0.05	-0.44	-0.14	-0.07	-0.14	0.02	-0.25	_	0.57
BV	-0.10	-0.24	-0.14	0.17	0.52	-0.03	0.12	0.24	_

Our analysis of paternal and maternal grandfather effects (table 3) identified a stronger relationship between males and their paternal grandfather compared to their maternal grandfather for total orange area and orange saturation. This indicates that there may indeed be Y-linked modifier loci that affect total orange area and average orange saturation in guppies, and is consistent with our previous analyses which show positive correlations in size and saturation among orange ornaments. Although there was also a greater coefficient for total black area between males and their paternal compared to maternal grandfather, the coefficients for black saturation were negative for both grandfathers.

4. Discussion

Our study uses high-resolution image analysis to define individual ornaments while reducing human bias, in order to examine inheritance patterns across a dataset of 214 offspring from 19 families. By computationally clustering pixels automatically in order to define individual ornaments [36], we provide a comprehensive and agnostic diagnostic method for coloration patterning in a semi-natural outbred population. Using this method, we identified four orange and five black ornaments (figure 1) in our population that we then used to study modulation, heritability and mode of inheritance.

(a) Modulation of ornamentation

The colourful ornaments found in animals are often treated as independent phenotypes, with the relationships among different ornaments less well considered [54]. However, in many cases the functions of these ornaments are not independent, but they work as whole patterns in conjunction [55]. Furthermore, some ornaments, particularly those that share the same biochemical basis, may have shared aspects of genetic architecture through the pathways that control them, and so treating them as individual units may not always be appropriate.

The colour patterns of guppies are used as a sexual signal. It has been proposed that such signals might be made more conspicuous, and therefore attractive to females, by greater differences between adjacent patches of colour [54]. If this is the case for guppies, we might expect selection for linkage between adjacent black and orange patches. We tested this by examining correlations in the presence and absence between different male ornaments (table 1). Although we found some

strong positive correlations for size within ornaments of the same colour, there was little correlation among adjacent ornaments of different colours (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Our results therefore do not provide evidence of linkage between adjacent and contrasting male ornaments in our population of guppies.

Although we find little correlation between ornaments from different biochemical pathways, we do find several lines of evidence that guppy ornaments of the same colour are not wholly independent of each other. Interestingly, orange and black ornaments displayed different genetic characteristics, with high heritability for size (table 2) and high correlations in size (table 1 and figure 2) between many of the orange ornaments, but not black ornaments. However, for both black and orange pigmentation, we observed generally positive correlations in saturation between adjacent ornaments of the same pigmentation type (table 1). This suggests the presence of one or more global modifier loci affecting saturation levels and total size for multiple ornaments simultaneously, while other independent loci encode for the presence or the absence of individual ornaments. Furthermore, the higher similarity between grandsons and their paternal grandfathers compared to maternal grandfathers in ornament size and orange saturation (table 3) suggests a Y-linked component to some of these modifier loci, discussed below.

(b) Sex linkage

Many guppy colour traits have been shown to be Y-linked, reviewed by Lindholm & Breden [25] and Kottler & Schartl [56]. However, much of this work has either been done on ornaments in domestic guppy breeds and therefore unlikely to be found in the wild [31,32], or on composite traits, such as aggregate colour area and saturation [21,33,34]. We assessed the potential for Y-linkage in individual ornaments from our semi-natural populations under the expectation that any Y-linked ornament displayed by a father would also be present in all his sons. Despite this previous work, we did not observe Y inheritance patterns for the presence or the absence of any single one of our nine ornaments. This suggests that individual male colour patterns in guppies from our population are not Y-linked. This is also reflected in the heritability for the presence/absence of these ornaments, with more than half of ornaments with heritability less than 0.5. Even for the

Table 2. Father—son heritability of presence/absence and area for each ornament, mean heritability of presence/absence across ornaments and heritability for total orange area, total black area, average orange saturation and average

ornament	10	IIO	IIIO	VIO	BI	BII	BIII	BIV	BV	total or.	total bl.	sat. or.	sat. bl.
present	57%	%68	%96	57%	24%	%86	27%	64%	36%		1	1	1
same: different to father			•		•	•		•	•				
same as father	26%	44%	73%	%06	%09		73%	52%		1		I	I
h² (pres./abs.)	0.669	0.456	0.255	0.776	0.121	0.414	0.519	0.581	0.414	0.539 0.410 — —	0.410	l	l
h² (area/sat.)	0.508	0.461	0.459	0.484	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.186	0.000	0.678		0.232 0.607	0.607

Table 3. Coefficients from our analysis of paternal and maternal grandfather (GF) effects on aggregate offspring phenotypes.

	paternal GF effect	maternal GF effect
total orange area	0.807	0.232
total black area	0.452	-0.214
average orange saturation	0.313	-0.186
average black saturation	-0.465	-0.056

ornaments inherited with the highest heritability (OIV), 9.7% of offspring males display a different phenotype to their father.

Recent studies have suggested that the guppy Y chromosome is divided into a relatively small region where recombination between the X and Y never occurs [57-60], and a larger adjacent region where recombination is rare, occurring in between 1% and 0.1% of males [58,61,62]. However, because the vast majority of recombination in males is confined to the telomeric ends of the sex chromosome, nearly the entire chromosome is passed on as a Y chromosome in greater than 99% of male offspring. Therefore, rare X-Y recombination events cannot explain the difference between paternal and filial phenotypes we observe in our ornaments.

These results are at first perplexing in light of previous work, and there are several potential explanations. First, it is possible that our population has lost Y-linkage of ornaments in the more than 20 years since it was collected from the wild. However, we think this is not terribly likely given the large size of the founding colony and the fact that it has been subsequently maintained as an outbred, freely mating population. Both of these strategies have maximized genetic diversity and minimized potential bottlenecks. Moreover, Y-linkage has been observed in other laboratory and inbred populations [21,63], and it is not clear how or why Y-linkage would erode.

Second, considerable variation in Y-linkage exists among wild Trinidadian populations [64], with notable differences between high predation and low predation populations in particular [33,34,58,65]. It is therefore possible that the difference between our results and others may simply be owing to differences between populations. Although we also think this is unlikely given that the Quare population upon which our stock population is founded has been studied using aggregate methods, described below [34], it is however important for future studies to quantify Y-linkage of individual ornaments in multiple populations.

However, our results are consistent with studies which have characterized aggregate ornamental area, which often identify significant Y contributions. For example, Postma et al. [35] and Brooks & Endler [21] both identified significant levels of Y-linked genetic variation when assessing total ornamental areas, while the latter also found evidence for significant Y-linkage of mean saturation (termed mean chroma [21]). Our analysis suggests that although the presence or absence of individual ornaments are not often Y-linked in natural populations, the Y chromosome does carry one or more modifier loci that affect the sizes and saturations of many ornaments in aggregate (table 3), and that heritability for these aggregate traits can be very high (notably so for total orange area and black saturation). Therefore, when studies use the total orange or black area as a trait, they are in large part measuring the mode of inheritance of these loci rather than

any loci related to the presence or absence of any individual ornament [21,34].

It may be that these Y-linked modifier loci work through a simple mechanism, such as testosterone level or testosterone sensitivity, which has been shown to affect total ornament area [32–34]. Indeed, the extensive variation exhibited in total ornament area among natural [34] and introduced [33] populations hints at a simple genetic architecture, as the alternative of rapid and repeated movement of many underlying genes to the relatively small Y chromosome, is somewhat improbable. Given the importance of testosterone level and sensitivity in fish growth rates, maturation and coloration [66–68], and the variation observed in natural guppy populations in these traits [69], it is likely that testosterone and/or testosterone sensitivity vary across populations, and this may interact with coloration. The nature of these interactions, and the identification of the loci responsible for these Y-linked effects remain interesting areas of future research.

5. Conclusion

Surprisingly, we did not observe inheritance patterns for the presence and absence for any of our nine ornaments that were consistent with Y-linkage. However, we did find evidence of Y-linked modifier loci that affect the aggregate phenotypes of total orange and black area. Given the results of our study, the Y-linkage of individual male colour traits may therefore not

be as important in the rapid evolution of colour changes in natural populations of guppies [33], or in the expansions of the non-recombining Y region [30] as previously thought. However, Y-linked modifier loci that affect aggregate traits may well play a role. Alternatively other mechanisms to resolve sexual conflict, such as changes in gene regulation [70] may alone be enough to explain colour dimorphism in this species.

Ethics. All animals were kept according to institutional and national guidelines in a licensed fish facility (licence no. PEL PCD 70/2716). The ethical requirements for this project were justified under the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986, and there was therefore no requirement for a specific project licence.

Data accessibility. All images used for analysis as well as scripts are available on Figshare. doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.12640766.

Authors' contributions. Both J.M. and J.E.M. contributed to study design and wrote the manuscript; J.M. and I.D. carried out data collection; J.M. and W.v.d.B. carried out quantitative genetic analyses. All authors provided comments on the manuscript.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by a grant from the European Research Council (grant agreement no. 680951) to J.E.M., who also gratefully acknowledges support from a Canada 150 Research Chair and NSERC.

Acknowledgements. We thank Natasha Bloch for her early input, and Ben Furman, Jacelyn Shu, David Metzger, Ben Sandkam, Yuying Lin, Lydia Fong, Pedro Almeida and Alberto Corral-Lopez for their helpful comments. We thank Jessica Stephenson and an anonymous reviewer for very constructive suggestions.

References

- Williams P. 2007 The distribution of bumblebee colour patterns worldwide: possible significance for thermoregulation, crypsis, and warning mimicry. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 92, 97–118. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2007.00878.x)
- 2. Cuthill IC *et al.* 2017 The biology of color. *Science* **357**, eaan0221. (doi:10.1126/science.aan0221)
- Roulin A. 2014 Melanin-based colour polymorphism responding to climate change. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 3344–3350. (doi:10.1111/gcb.12594)
- Merrill RM et al. 2015 The diversification of Heliconius butterflies: what have we learned in 150 years? J. Evol. Biol. 28, 1417–1438. (doi:10.1111/jeb.12672)
- 5. Langham GM. 2005 Rufous-tailed jacamars and aposematic butterflies: do older birds attack novel prey? Behav. Ecol. 17, 285–290. (doi:10.1093/beheco/arj027)
- Summers K, Clough ME. 2001 The evolution of coloration and toxicity in the poison frog family (Dendrobatidae). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 6227–6232. (doi:10.1073/pnas.101134898)
- van't Hof AE, Edmonds N, Dalikova M, Marec F, Saccheri IJ. 2011 Industrial melanism in British peppered moths has a singular and recent mutational origin. *Science* 332, 958–960. (doi:10. 1126/science.1203043)
- Steffen JE, Guyer CC. 2014 Display behaviour and dewlap colour as predictors of contest success in brown anoles. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 111, 646–655. (doi:10.1111/bij.12229)
- Hughes KA, Houde AE, Price AC, Rodd FH. 2013 Mating advantage for rare males in wild guppy

- populations. *Nature* **503**, 108–110. (doi:10.1038/nature12717)
- Garratt M, Brooks RC. 2012 Oxidative stress and condition-dependent sexual signals: more than just seeing red. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 279, 3121–3130. (doi:10. 1098/rspb.2012.0568)
- Svensson PA, Wong BBM. 2011 Carotenoid-based signals in behavioural ecology: a review. *Behaviour* 148, 131–189. (doi:10.1163/000579510X548673)
- Ruell EW, Handelsman CA, Hawkins CL, Sofaer HR, Ghalambor CK, Angeloni L. 2013 Fear, food and sexual ornamentation: plasticity of colour development in Trinidadian guppies. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 280, 20122019. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.2019)
- Morehouse NI, Rutowski RL. 2010 In the eyes of the beholders: female choice and avian predation risk associated with an exaggerated male butterfly color. Am. Nat. 176, 768–784. (doi:10.1086/ 657043)
- Mank JE. 2017 Population genetics of sexual conflict in the genomic era. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 18, 721–730. (doi:10.1038/nrg.2017.83)
- Cox RM, Calsbeek R. 2009 Sexually antagonistic selection, sexual dimorphism, and the resolution of intralocus sexual conflict. Am. Nat. 173, 176–187. (doi:10.1086/595841)
- 16. Rice WR. 1987 The accumulation of sexually antagonistic genes as a selective agent promoting the evolution of reduced recombination between primitive sex chromosomes. *Evolution* **41**, 911–914. (doi:10.2307/2408899)

- Rice WR. 1984 Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism. *Evolution* 38, 735–742. (doi:10.2307/2408385)
- Charlesworth B, Jordan CY, Charlesworth D. 2014
 The evolutionary dynamics of sexually antagonistic mutations in pseudoautosomal regions of sex chromosomes. *Evolution* 68, 1339–1350. (doi:10. 1111/evo.12364)
- Furman BLS, Metzger DCH, Darolti I, Wright AE, Sandkam BA, Almeida P, Shu JJ, Mank JE. 2020 Sex chromosome evolution: so many exceptions to the rules. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 12, 750–763. (doi:10. 1093/gbe/evaa081)
- Endler JA. 1983 Natural and sexual selection on color patterns in poeciliid fishes. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* 9, 173–190. (doi:10.1007/BF00690861)
- Brooks R, Endler JA. 2001 Direct and indirect sexual selection and quantitative genetics of male traits in guppies (*Poecilia Reticulata*). *Evolution* 55, 1002. (doi:10.1554/0014-3820(2001)055[1002: daissa]2.0.co;2)
- 22. Pitcher TE, Rodd FH, Rowe L. 2007 Sexual coloration and sperm traits in guppies. *J. Fish Biol.* **70**, 165–177. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2006.01292.x)
- Endler JA. 1980 Natural selection on color patterns in *Poecilia reticulata. Evolution* (N.Y.) 34, 76. (doi:10.2307/2408316)
- Houde AE. 1992 Sex-linked heritability of a sexually selected character in a natural population of *Poecilia reticulata* (Pisces: Poeciliidae) (guppies). *Heredity* 229–235. (doi:10.1038/hdy.1992.120)

- Lindholm A, Breden F. 2002 Sex chromosomes and sexual selection in Poeciliid fishes. *Am. Nat.* 160, S214–S224. (doi:10.1086/342898)
- 26. Houde AE. 1987 Mate choice based upon naturally occurring color-pattern variation in a guppy population. *Evolution* **41**, 1–10. (doi:10.1111/j. 1558-5646.1987.tb05766.x)
- 27. Reznick D, Endler JA. 1982 The impact of predation on life history evolution in Trinidadian guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Soc. Study Evol.* **36**, 160–177. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1982.tb05021.x)
- 28. Breden F, Stoner G. 1987 Male predation risk determines female preference in the Trinidad guppy.

 Nature 329, 831–833. (doi:10.1038/329831a0)
- 29. Fisher RA. 1931 The evolution of dominance. *Biol. Rev.* **6**, 345–368. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.1931. tb01030.x)
- Wright AE et al. 2017 Convergent recombination suppression suggests role of sexual selection in guppy sex chromosome formation. Nat. Commun. 8, 14251. (doi:10.1038/ncomms14251)
- 31. Winge Ö. 1927 The location of eighteen genes in *Lebistes reticulatus. J. Genet.* **18**, 1–43. (doi:10. 1007/BF03052599)
- Haskins CP, Young P, Hewitt RE, Haskins EF. 1970 Stabilised heterozygosis of supergenes mediating certain Y-linked colour patterns in populations. Heredity 25, 575–589. (doi:10.1038/hdy.1970.64)
- Gordon SP, López-Sepulcre A, Rumbo D, Reznick DN.
 Rapid changes in the sex linkage of male coloration in introduced guppy populations. *Am. Nat.* 189, 196–200. (doi:10.1086/689864)
- Gordon SP, López-Sepulcre A, Reznick DN. 2012 Predation associated differences in sex linkage in wild guppy coloration. *Evolution* (N.Y.) 66, 912–918. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01495.x)
- 35. Postma E, Spyrou N, Rollins LA, Brooks RC. 2011 Sex-dependent selection differentially shapes genetic variation on and off the guppy Y chromosome. *Evolution* **65**, 2145–2156. (doi:10. 1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01314.x)
- Holman L, Head ML, Lanfear R, Jennions MD. 2015
 Evidence of experimental bias in the life sciences:
 why we need blind data recording. *PLoS Biol.* 13, 1–12. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002190)
- 37. Kotrschal A *et al.* 2013 Artificial selection on relative brain size in the guppy reveals costs and benefits of evolving a larger brain. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, 168–171. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.11.058)
- Leutner B, Horning N, Schwalb-Willmann J. 2018
 RStoolbox: tools for remote sensing data analysis.
 R package version 0.2.6. See https://cran.r-project.org/package=RStoolbox.
- Hijmans RJ, van Etten J. 2012 raster: geographic analysis and modeling with raster data. R package version 2.0-12. See https://cran.r-project.org/ package=raster.
- R Core Team. 2018 R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 41. Van Belleghem SM, Papa R, Ortiz-zuazaga H, Hendrickx F, Jiggins CD, Mcmillan WO, Counterman

- BA. 2018 Patternize: an R package for quantifying color pattern variation. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **9**, 390–398. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12853)
- 42. Rohlf JF. 2013 tpsDig, digitize landmarks and outlines. See https://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/morphmet/tpsdig2w32.exe.
- 43. Rohlf JF. 2013 tpsUtil, file utility program. See https://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/morphmet/tpsutilw32.exe.
- Adams DC, Otárola-Castillo E. 2013 geomorph: an R package for the collection and analysis of geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 4, 393–399. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12035)
- 45. Schlager S. 2017 Morpho and Rvcg shape analysis in R: R-packages for geometric morphometrics, shape analysis and surface manipulations. (doi:10. 1016/B978-0-12-810493-4.00011-0)
- 46. Fox J. 2020 RcmdrMisc: R commander miscellaneous functions. R package version 2.7-1. See https://cran.r-project.org/package=RcmdrMisc.
- Harrell Jr FE, with contributions from Charles Dupont and many others. 2019 Hmisc: Harrell miscellaneous. R package version 4.2.0. See https://cran.r-project.org/ package=Hmisc.
- Vazquez AI, Bates DM, Rosa GJM, Gianola D, Weigel KA. 2010 Technical note: an R package for fitting generalized linear mixed models in animal breeding. J. Anim. Sci. 88, 497—504. (doi:10.2527/ ias.2009-1952)
- Covarrubias-Pazaran G. 2016 Genome-assisted prediction of quantitative traits using the R package sommer. PLoS ONE 11, 1–15. (doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0156744)
- Covarrubias-Pazaran G. 2018 Software update: Moving the R package sommer to multivariate mixed models for genome-assisted prediction. bioRxiv, 354639. (doi:10.1101/354639)
- Hadfield JD. 2010 MCMC methods for multiresponse GLMMs in R. *J. Stat. Softw.* 33, 1–22. (doi:10.18637/jss.v033.i02)
- de Villemereuil P. 2012 Estimation of a biological trait heritability using the animal model: how to use the MCMCglmm R package. Tutorial. See http:// devillemereuil.legtux.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/ 12/tuto_en.pdf.
- De Villemereuil P, Gimenez O, Doligez B. 2013
 Comparing parent-offspring regression with frequentist and Bayesian animal models to estimate heritability in wild populations: a simulation study for Gaussian and binary traits. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 4, 260–275. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12011)
- Endler JA. 2012 A framework for analysing colour pattern geometry: adjacent colours. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **107**, 233–253. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.01937.x)
- Endler JA, Cole GL, Kranz AM. 2018 Boundary strength analysis: combining colour pattern geometry and coloured patch visual properties for use in predicting behaviour and fitness. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 9, 2334–2348. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13073)
- 56. Kottler VA, Schartl M. 2018 The colorful sex chromosomes of teleost fish. *Genes* **9**, 233. (doi:10. 3390/qenes9050233)

- Yamamoto T. 1975 The medaka, Oryzias latipes, and the guppy, Lebistes. In Handbook of genetics (ed. RC King), pp. 133–149. Boston, MA: Springer.
- Haskins CP, Haskins EF, Mclaughlin JJA, Hewitt RE.
 1961 Polymorphism and population structure in Lebistes reticulatus, an ecological study. In Vertebrate speciation (ed. WF Blair), pp. 320–395.
 Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.
- Tripathi N, Hoffmann M, Willing E-M, Lanz C, Weigel D, Dreyer C. 2009 Genetic linkage map of the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata*, and quantitative trait loci analysis of male size and colour variation. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 276, 2195–2208. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2008.1930)
- 60. Darolti I, Wright AE, Mank JE. 2020 Guppy Y chromosome integrity maintained by incomplete recombination suppression. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **12**, 965–977. (doi:10.1093/qbe/evaa099)
- 61. Lisachov AP, Zadesenets KS, Rubtsov NB, Borodin PM. 2015 Sex chromosome synapsis and recombination in male guppies. *Zebrafish* **12**, 174–180. (doi:10.1089/zeb.2014.1000)
- Bergero R, Gardner J, Bader B, Yong L, Charlesworth D. 2019 Exaggerated heterochiasmy in a fish with sex-linked male coloration polymorphisms. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 6924–6931. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.1818486116)
- 63. Khoo G, Lim TM, Chan W, Phang VPE, Khoo G, Lim TM, Chan W, Phang VPE. 1999 Linkage analysis and mapping of three sex-linked color pattern genes in the guppy, *Poecilia reticulata. Zool. Sci.* **16**, 893–903. (doi:10.2108/zsj.16.893)
- Fraser BA, Künstner A, Reznick DN, Dreyer C, Weigel D. 2015 Population genomics of natural and experimental populations of guppies (*Poecilia* reticulata). Mol. Ecol. 24, 389–408. (doi:10.1111/ mec.13022)
- 65. Hughes KA, Rodd FH, Reznick DN. 2005 Genetic and environmental effects on secondary sex traits in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *J. Evol. Biol.* **18**, 35–45. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2004.00806.x)
- 66. Yue M, Zhao J, Tang S, Zhao Y. 2018 Effects of estradiol and testosterone on the expression of growth-related genes in female and male nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. J. World Aquac. Soc. 49, 216–228. (doi:10.1111/jwas.12428)
- 67. Bayley M, Junge M, Baatrup E. 2002 Exposure of juvenile guppies to three antiandrogens causes demasculinization and a reduced sperm count in adult males. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **56**, 227–239. (doi:10. 1016/S0166-445X(01)00210-7)
- 68. Matty AJ, Lone KP. 2009 The effect of androgenic steroids as dietary additives on the growth of carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Proc. World Maric. Soc.* **10**, 735–745. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-7345.1979.tb00072.x)
- Zandonà E et al. 2011 Diet quality and prey selectivity correlate with life histories and predation regime in Trinidadian guppies. Funct. Ecol. 25, 964–973. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01865.x)
- Mank JE. 2017 The transcriptional architecture of phenotypic dimorphism. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 0006. (doi:10.1038/s41559-016-0006)