

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Insect Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 April 01.

Published in final edited form as: Insect Sci. 2021 April ; 28(2): 495–508. doi:10.1111/1744-7917.12783.

Phylogenetic and sequence analyses of insect transferrins suggest that only transferrin 1 has a role in iron homeostasis

Diana G. Najera, **Neal T. Dittmer**, **Jacob J. Weber**, **Michael R. Kanost**, **Maureen J. Gorman** Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA

Abstract

Iron is essential to life, but surprisingly little is known about how iron is managed in nonvertebrate animals. In mammals, the well-characterized transferrins bind iron and are involved in iron transport or immunity, whereas other members of the transferrin family do not have a role in iron homeostasis. In insects, the functions of transferrins are still poorly understood. The goals of this project were to identify the transferrin genes in a diverse set of insect species, resolve the evolutionary relationships among these genes, and predict which of the transferrins are likely to have a role in iron homeostasis. Our phylogenetic analysis of transferrins from 16 orders of insects and two orders of non-insect hexapods demonstrated that there are four orthologous groups of insect transferrins. Our analysis suggests that transferrin 2 arose prior to the origin of insects, and transferrins 1, 3 and 4 arose early in insect evolution. Primary sequence analysis of each of the insect transferrins was used to predict signal peptides, carboxyl-terminal transmembrane regions, GPI-anchors, and iron binding. Based on this analysis, we suggest that transferrins 2, 3 and 4 are unlikely to play a major role in iron homeostasis. In contrast, the transferrin 1 orthologs are predicted to be secreted, soluble, iron-binding proteins. We conclude that transferrin 1 orthologs are the most likely to play an important role in iron homeostasis. Interestingly, it appears that the louse, aphid, and thrips lineages have lost the transferrin 1 gene and, thus, have evolved to manage iron without transferrins.

Keywords

hemolymph; insect; iron homeostasis; melanotransferrin; phylogeny; transferrin

Introduction

Iron is an essential micronutrient, but it is also potentially toxic; therefore, the amount, location and form of iron within an animal must be tightly regulated (Kosman 2010; Frazer & Anderson 2014). Different animal lineages have evolved distinct mechanisms of iron homeostasis that provide an adequate amount of iron to cells while limiting iron toxicity (Lambert 2012; Tang & Zhou 2013; Anderson & Leibold 2014; Galay *et al.* 2015). We are

Disclosure

Corresponding author information: Maureen J. Gorman, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, 141 Chalmers, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA, mgorman@ksu.edu, 785-532-6922.

The authors disclose that they have no conflicts of interest.

particularly interested in iron homeostasis in insects. Iron is essential for many aspects of an insect's life, including energy metabolism, DNA synthesis, and detoxification of xenobiotics (Locke & Nichol 1992; Mandilaras et al. 2013). In addition, iron homeostatic mechanisms prevent iron overload in blood-feeding insects and are likely to have an important role in immuntiy (Graça-Souza *et al.* 2006; Ong *et al.* 2006).

In vertebrates, some well-characterized members of the transferrin family participate in iron homeostasis by sequestering and transporting iron (Lambert 2012). Those transferrins are extracellular, soluble proteins that are composed of two homologous lobes, each of which binds one ferric ion (Mizutani *et al.* 2012). They include lactoferrin, which functions in immunity, serum transferrin, which transports iron, and ovotransferrin, which has both immune and transport functions (Farnaud and Evans 2003; Anderson and Vulpe 2009; Giansanti *et al.* 2012). In contrast, two transferrin family members, melanotransferrin and inhibitor of carbonic anhydrase, have functions unrelated to iron homeostasis (Wang *et al.* 2007; Suryo Rahmanto *et al.* 2012). Membrane-bound melanotransferrin binds just one ferric ion whereas inhibitor of carbonic anhydrase is not an iron-binding protein (Lambert 2012).

Much less is known about invertebrate transferrins, including those from insects. A previous study has indicated that there are four orthologous groups of insect transferrins (Bai et al. 2016). These groups are designated transferrin 1 (Tsf1 or hemolymph transferrin), transferrin 2 (Tsf2 or melanotransferrin-like), transferrin 3 (Tsf3) and transferrin 4 (Tsf4). Note that for our study, we have used nomenclature that has been in place for many years (for example, Dunkov & Georgieva 2006; Geiser & Winzerling 2012); this nomenclature is different from that used in the study by Bai et al. (2016), in which Tsf1, Tsf2, Tsf3 and Tsf4 are referred to as Tsf4, Tsf1, Tsf2 and Tsf3, respectively. Three Tsf1 orthologs are known to bind iron. Tsf1 from the cockroach Blaberus discoidalis binds two ferric ions, whereas Tsf1 from the fly Drosophila melanogaster and the moth Manduca sexta each bind one (Huebers et al. 1988; Bartfeld & Law 1990; Gasdaska et al. 1996; Weber et al. 2018). Tsf1 has been detected in hemolymph and other extracellular fluids (Geiser & Winzerling 2012; Simmons et al. 2013; Qu et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2014; Bonilla et al. 2015; Hattori et al. 2015; Brummett et al. 2017). Direct evidence suggests that Tsf1 orthologs can function in iron transport, immune-related iron sequestration, and protection against oxidative stress (Huebers et al. 1988; Kurama et al. 1995; Hirai et al. 2000; Lee et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2008; Brummett et al. 2017; Xiao et al. 2019). Tsf2 from D. melanogaster binds a single ferric iron (Tiklová et al. 2010). It is a membrane-bound epithelial protein that functions in septate junction formation and does not appear to be involved in iron homeostasis (Tiklová et al. 2010; Hall et al. 2014). Little is known about Tsf3 and Tsf4, including iron-binding status and function.

The mammalian transferrins that have a high affinity for iron have six conserved amino acid residues in each lobe that facilitate iron binding. Four of these residues directly bind iron, and the other two bind a carbonate anion that binds iron (Lambert *et al.* 2005; Mizutani *et al.* 2012). For example, in the amino-lobe of human serum transferrin, the iron-binding residues are Asp63, Tyr95, Tyr188 and His249, and the carbonate-binding residues are Thr120 and Arg124 (Mizutani *et al.* 2012). The iron-binding and carbonate-binding residues of insect

transferrins have not yet been biochemically identified; however, sequence alignments suggest that they may be similar to the mammalian signature residues (Lambert *et al.* 2005; Tiklová *et al.* 2010; Geiser & Winzerling 2012).

The goals of this project were to identify the transferrin genes in a diverse set of insect species, perform a phylogenetic analysis to resolve the evolutionary relationships among these genes, and predict which of the insect transferrins are likely to have a role in iron homeostasis. We found that almost all insect transferrins cluster into one of four orthologous groups, that Tsf2 must have arisen prior to the evolution of insects, and that the other three orthologous groups probably arose early in insect evolution. In addition, the Tsf1 orthologs are the only insect transferrins likely to have a significant role in iron homeostasis, and, surprisingly, some insect lineages lack a Tsf1 ortholog.

Materials and Methods

Identification of transferrin sequences

To identify transferrin sequences from insect and non-insect hexapod species, we performed BLAST searches of species-specific databases at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI Resource Coordiators, 2016) and the i5k Workspace at i5k.nal.usda.gov (Poelchau et al. 2015) using the D. melanogaster Tsf1, Tsf2 and Tsf3 sequences as queries. Our objective was to identify all of the transferrin family members in each of the 24 selected species. When possible, predicted protein databases (NCBI reference proteins or i5k Workspace proteins) were used. NCBI transcriptome shotgun assembly databases were used when adequate genome information was unavailable. Gene predictions for transferrins from Rhodnius prolixus were previously reported (Walter-Nuno et al. 2018), and we edited these based on recent transcriptomic data. Transferrin sequences that were much shorter than a typical transferrin were omitted from further analysis because we assumed that they were probably incomplete. Several gene predictions contained apparent gaps or insertions. For those genes, when possible, we used additional information (mainly from transcript data) to edit the sequences. In four cases, it was obvious that open reading frames were encoded by two incomplete gene predictions (each with their own accession number); therefore, we combined partial sequences to create complete open reading frames. We also added to our dataset the sequence of the well-studied hemolymph transferrin from *B. discoidalis* (Gasdaska et al. 1996). Table 1 summarizes information about the identification of transferrin sequences, including query accession numbers, the species analyzed, the type and source of the databases for each species, the accession numbers of the transferrins identified, and an indication of which sequences were edited.

We did not find Tsf1 sequences in the genomes of Pediculus humanus (louse),

Acyrthosiphon pisum (aphid) or *Frankliniella occidentalis* (thrips). To determine whether the genomes of lice, aphids and thrips contain Tsf1, we used the *T. castaneum* Tsf1 sequence as a query to search the following datasets: the NCBI transcriptome shotgun assembly databases for Psocodea and Phthiraptera (lice), the NCBI non-redundant protein database for Aphidoidea (aphids), and the NCBI transcriptome shotgun assembly database for Thysanoptera (thrips). For Phthiraptera, Aphidoidea and Thysanoptera, all BLAST hits with an E value exponent less than zero were evaluated, and for Psocodea, the top 50 BLAST hits

were evaluated. Redundant sequences and those with large gaps were omitted from further analysis. Phylogenetic analysis (described below) was used to determine whether or not the sequences were Tsf1 orthologs.

We did not find Tsf1 sequences in aphid genomes but did identify Tsf1 in true bugs; therefore, we wanted to know whether other types of Hemipteran insects have a Tsf1 ortholog. We used the *T. castaneum* Tsf1 sequence as a query to search the NCBI non-redundant protein databases for Sternorrhyncha, Heteroptera, and Hemiptera with heteropteran sequences excluded. Putative Tsf1 sequences were evaluated by phylogenetic analysis as described below. Hemipteran phylogeny is described by (Li *et al.* 2017).

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analyses were performed with MEGA 10.0.5 software (Kumar *et al.* 2018). Sequences were aligned with MUSCLE with the following settings: gap open penalty of –2.90, gap extend penalty of 0, hydrophobicity multiplier of 1.20, maximum memory of 2048 MB, maximum iterations of 16, cluster method of UPGMA, and minimum diagonal length of 24. Rooted phylogenetic trees were constructed with the maximum likelihood method using the following settings: the Jones-Taylor Thornton amino acid substitution model, uniform rates among sites, use all sites in cases of gaps and missing data, the Nearest-Neighbor-Interchange maximum likelihood heuristic method of tree inference, the default NJ/BioNJ for making the initial tree, no branch swap filter, and bootstrapping with 500 replications. The outgroup sequence (see Table 1) was the single transferrin sequence identified from the placozoan species *Trichoplax adhaerens*, a very simple animal near the base of the metazoan tree (Srivastava *et al.* 2008).

Prediction of signal peptides, transmembrane regions and GPI anchor sites

Signal sequences were predicted with Signal P (Bendtsen *et al.* 2004), and those sequences that were not predicted by Signal P to have a signal peptide were evaluated with PSORT II (Nakai & Horton 1999). Putative carboxyl-terminal transmembrane regions were predicted with TMPred software (Hofmann & Stoffel 1993). The presence or absence of GPI anchor sites was predicted with GPI-SOM (Fankhauser & Mäser 2005). A protein was categorized as membrane-bound if either a carboxyl-terminal membrane region or GPI anchor was predicted.

Prediction of iron-binding and carbonate-binding residues

To predict iron-binding and carbonate-binding residues of insect transferrins, all members of a particular orthologous group were aligned with bovine serum transferrin (NP_803450), lactoferrin (NP_851341) and melanotransferrin (NP_001179241) with the use of Clustal Omega (Sievers *et al.* 2011). Amino acid residues that aligned with conserved iron-binding or carbonate-binding residues in the bovine transferrins were analyzed.

Results

Insects have four orthologous groups of transferrins

To accomplish our goal of a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of insect transferrins, we attempted to identify all the transferrin family members in 21 species of insects and three species of non-insect hexapods. The selected insect species represent 16 orders that range from more recent lineages, such as the dipterans and siphonopterans, to more ancient lineages, such as the archaeognathans and zygentomans (Figure 1). We identified a total of 82 transferrin sequences and performed a phylogenetic analysis to establish the evolutionary relationships among these genes. Our analysis demonstrates that the insect transferrins fall into four clades (Figure 2). The only insect transferrins that did not cluster with one of the four orthologous groups were two sequences from *M. cundinamarcensis*, a species of jumping bristletail that represents the most ancient insect lineage in our study. Although these two sequences are shown grouped with the Tsf1 orthologs in the phylogenetic tree, statistical support for this grouping is weak. In contrast, all of the orthologous groups have strong statistical support, with bootstrap values of at least 95%.

Tsf2 orthologs were identified in the non-insect hexapod species, including a species of twopronged bristletail (*O. japonicus*), and two species of springtail (*F. candida* and *O. cincta*); therefore, Tsf2 must have arisen prior to the origin of insects (Figure 2). Shorter branch lengths suggest that Tsf2 orthologs are more highly conserved than the other insect transferrins. The Tsf1, Tsf3 and Tsf4 orthologs cluster with the non-Tsf2 sequences from the non-insect hexapods (Figure 2). Within this large cluster, the Tsf3 and Tsf4 groups appear to be more closely related to each other than they are to the Tsf1 group (Figure 2). Tsf1, Tsf3 and Tsf4 orthologs were identified in the silverfish (*A. formicaria*) and firebrat (*T. domestica*) but not in the jumping bristletail or the non-insect hexapods; therefore, Tsf1, Tsf3 and Tsf4 must have arisen prior to the zygentoman lineage, probably very early in the process of insect evolution.

Tsf1 orthologs are the only transferrins likely to have a major role in iron homeostasis

The vertebrate transferrins that function in iron homeostasis are extracellular, soluble, ironbinding proteins; therefore, we assumed that insect transferrins that function in iron homeostasis are likely to have similar characteristics (although membrane-bound transferrins could also play a role in iron homeostasis).

Extracellular proteins typically have an amino-terminal signal peptide that targets the protein to the secretory pathway (Nielsen 2017). Because all of the well-studied vertebrate transferrin family members are extracellular proteins (Lambert 2012), we expected that the insect transferrins would be secreted. Consistent with that expectation, we found that all but six of the insect transferrins have a predicted signal peptide (Table 2 and Table S1). Given the possibility of false negatives and missing 5' sequence information, it seems likely that all of the insect transferrins are secreted.

Extracellular proteins can be membrane-bound (attached to the plasma membrane) or soluble (released from the cell). We categorized a transferrin as membrane-bound if it had either a predicted carboxyl-terminal transmembrane region or a GPI anchor, whereas we

categorized a transferrin as soluble if it had neither. All of the Tsf1 orthologs were predicted to be soluble proteins (Table 2). In contrast, all but two of the remaining transferrin orthologs were predicted to be membrane-bound, with 42 of the 48 sequences having both a predicted carboxyl-terminal transmembrane region and a GPI anchor (Table 2 and Table S1). It is not obvious whether the two atypical transferrins are actually soluble or if the gene predictions are missing carboxyl-terminal codons.

Next, we used two types of sequence information to predict whether or not each insect transferrin is an iron-binding protein. First, we analyzed sequence alignments of insect and mammalian transferrins to identify conserved iron-binding and carbonate-binding residues. In particular, we looked for the presence of the iron-binding signature (Asp Tyr Tyr His) and carbonate-binding signature (Thr Arg) of the mammalian transferrins (Lambert *et al.* 2005; Mizutani *et al.* 2012). Second, we compared each of the insect sequences to the four insect transferrins that are known to bind iron (Huebers *et al.* 1988; Bartfeld and Law 1990; Gasdaska *et al.* 1996; Tiklová *et al.* 2010; Weber *et al.* 2018). Although the iron-binding and carbonate-binding residues of these three insect transferrins have not been biochemically verified, sequence alignments strongly suggest that they are similar to the mammalian signatures (Lambert *et al.* 2005; Tiklová *et al.* 2010; Geiser & Winzerling 2012).

Our analyses indicate that all of the Tsf1 orthologs are likely to be iron-binding proteins. Although the Tsf1 amino-lobe sequences lack a signature iron-binding histidine, and four have an aspartate to glutamate substitution (Figure 3A), biochemical studies have demonstrated that the signature histidine is not essential for iron binding in either insect or mammalian transferrins and that an aspartate to glutamate substitution does not interfere with iron binding (Huebers *et al.* 1988; Bartfeld & Law 1990; Gasdaska *et al.* 1996; He *et al.* 2000; MacGillivray *et al.* 2000; Weber *et al.* 2018). Taken together, the data suggest that all the Tsf1 orthologs have amino-lobe iron binding. In contrast, only six of the Tsf1 carboxyllobes are likely to bind iron; these six have complete iron-binding and carbonate-binding signatures, whereas the other Tsf1 carboxyl-lobes are missing at least one conserved iron-binding residue and at least one carbonate-binding residue (Figure 3A). Our conclusion is that all of the Tsf1 orthologs bind iron, with some binding one ferric ion and some (mostly from the older insect lineages) binding two.

Predicting whether or not the Tsf2 orthologs bind iron was challenging. The carboxyl-lobe of *D. melanogaster* Tsf2 is known to bind iron, even though it is lacking two signature ironbinding residues and one signature carbonate-binding residue (Tiklová *et al.* 2010). The *D. melanogaster* Tsf2 signature DYHNTT is present in four of the other Tsf2 orthologs and the similar DYYNTT is present in four additional sequences (Figure 3B); therefore, we predict that these eight Tsf2 orthologs also bind iron. Predicting iron-binding in the other Tsf2 orthologs was difficult due to an absence of relevant biochemical information, but their amino acid substitions suggest that they may not have a high affinity for iron. Unlike the carboxyl-lobe sequences, all of the Tsf2 amino-lobe sequences appear to be non-iron-binding (Figure 3B). We suggest that many but not all Tsf2 orthologs are iron-binding proteins.

The Tsf3 and Tsf4 orthologs have almost none of the iron-binding or carbonate-binding signature residues (Figure 3C and 3D); therefore, we predict that these transferrin family members do not bind iron.

Some insect lineages lack a Tsf1 ortholog

Our sequence analyses suggested that Tsf1 is the only orthologous group to have an important role in insect iron homeostasis; therefore, we were surprised to find that four of the species in our analysis had no identified Tsf1 ortholog. These four species included *P. humanus* (louse), *A. pisum* (aphid), *F. occidentalis* (thrips), and *Ephemera danica* (mayfly). A trivial explanation for this result is that the datasets used for our analyses were incomplete (i.e., that Tsf1 sequences were mistakenly absent in the datasets). A more interesting explanation is that the louse, aphid, thrips, and mayfly lineages may lack a Tsf1 gene. We reasoned that if the explanation was an incomplete dataset, we should find Tsf1 sequences in related species, but if the four lineages are missing the Tsf1 gene, we would not identify Tsf1 in other louse, aphid, thrips, or mayfly datasets. There were not enough data from mayfly species to pursue this line of reasoning, but we were able to search datasets for the other three lineages.

We identified transferrin sequences from 23 species of lice (Order Psocodea), including parasitic lice, booklice and barklice, and then did a phylogenetic analysis to evaluate whether any of the louse transferrins were Tsf1 orthologs. We found that all of the louse transferrins clustered with either the Tsf2, Tsf3 or Tsf4 groups (Figure S1); therefore, we conclude that lice are missing a Tsf1 ortholog. We also did a phylogenetic analysis of transferrins from seven species of aphid (order Hemiptera) and eight species of thrips (order Thysanoptera). We found that the aphid sequences clustered with the Tsf2 and Tsf3 groups, and the thrips sequences clustered with the Tsf2 and Tsf4 groups (Figures S2 and S3). These results suggest that aphids lack Tsf1 and Tsf4 and that thrips lack Tsf1 and Tsf3.

Within the order Hemiptera, the bug *R. prolixus* (suborder Heteroptera) has a Tsf1 ortholog whereas aphids (suborder Sternorrhyncha) apparently do not. To evaluate which types of hemipteran insects have a Tsf1 ortholog, we searched hemipteran datasets to identify putative Tsf1 sequences and then performed a phylogenetic analysis to verify Tsf1 orthology. We identified Tsf1 in ten hemipteran species: six bugs (suborder Heteroptera), two planthoppers (suborder Fulgoromorpha), one leafhopper (suborder Cicadamorpha), and one psyllid (suborder Sternorrhyncha) (Figure S4). We conclude that while aphids lack a Tsf1 gene, other hemipteran species, including the closely related psyllids, have a Tsf1 ortholog.

Discussion

A previous phylogenetic study that included transferrin sequences from five insect orders found that there are four orthologous groups of insect transferrins (Bai *et al.* 2016). We have extended these previous findings by performing a phylogenetic analysis of transferrins from 16 orders of insects. Our analysis supports the results of the previous study. We identified only two insect transferrins that did not cluster with one of the four groups, and they were both from the oldest insect lineage in our analysis. The previous study noted that one insect

sequence, from the termite *Z. nevadensis*, was not orthologous to the others (Bai *et al.* 2016). To explain this outlying sequence, the authors suggested that *Z. nevadensis* had acquired an ortholog of a vertebrate serum transferrin by horizontal transfer; however, this termite sequence (accession #KDR19735.1) is actually the carboxyl-terminal part of *Z. nevadensis* Tsf4 and thus is not a unique sequence. Based on the results of our study and the one by (Bai *et al.* 2016), we conclude that almost all insect transferrins fall into one of four orthologous groups.

The Tsf2 orthologs appear to be more highly conserved than the other insect transferrins, given their shorter branch lengths in the phylogenetic tree. In addition, the Tsf2 group appears to be the most ancient of the insect transferrin groups. Our study demonstrates that Tsf2 must have arisen prior to the origin of insects, and this conclusion is consistent with the previous finding that insect Tsf2 sequences and flatworm melanotransferrin-like sequences cluster together in a phylogenetic tree that includes insects and flatworms as representative invertebrates (Bai et al. 2016). Many Tsf2 sequences are annotated as melanotransferrin-like. Although insect Tsf2 orthologs and vertebrate melanotransferrins are not orthologous proteins (Geiser & Winzerling 2012; Bai et al. 2016), overexpression of mouse melanotransferrin partially rescued a Tsf2 null phenotype in *D. melanogaster*, suggesting that Tsf2 and mammalian melanotransferrin have at least one shared function (Tiklová et al. 2010). D. melanogaster Tsf2 is a membrane-associated protein that functions in septate junction formation (Tiklová et al. 2010; Hall et al. 2014). It is unknown whether the other insect Tsf2 orthologs have a similar function, but their high sequence conservation and their predicted membrane association suggest that they do. The role of iron in Tsf2 function is unclear. D. melanogaster Tsf2 binds iron in its carboxyl-lobe, and a predicted iron-binding tyrosine residue is required for Tsf2 function (Tiklová et al. 2010). On the other hand, the putative iron-binding residues of D. melanogaster Tsf2 are not highly conserved in other Tsf2 orthologs, and we predict that some Tsf2 orthologs do not actually bind iron. In addition, while mouse melanotransferrin and *D. melanogaster* Tsf2 appear to have a similar function, mouse melanotransferrin binds iron in its amino-lobe, whereas D. melanogaster Tsf2 binds iron in its carboxyl-lobe (Tiklová et al. 2010; Suryo Rahmanto et al. 2012). Clearly, more information is needed about the role of iron binding by Tsf2 orthologs; however, the results from this and previous studies suggest that the Tsf2 orthologs are more ancient and more highly conserved than the Tsf1, Tsf3 and Tsf4 orthologs, and that they are likely to be membrane-associated proteins with a role in septate junction formation.

Little previous information was available about the Tsf3 and Tsf4 orthologs. The presence of Tsf3 and Tsf4 in *A. formicaria* and *T. domestica* suggest that these genes evolved early in insect evolution, prior to the emergence of the zygentoman lineage. We identified a Tsf3 ortholog in all insect species analyzed except *R. prolixus* (true bug), *F. occidentalis* (thrips) and *M. cundinamarcensis* (jumping bristletail); therefore, Tsf3 is present in a diverse set of insect species. Tsf4 was identified in only 13 of 20 species; therefore, it is likely that Tsf4 was lost in multiple insect lineages. Because Tsf3 and Tsf4 are predicted to be membrane-bound, and neither is expected to bind iron, we conclude that Tsf3 and Tsf4 are unlikely to be involved in iron homeostasis.

Most studies of insect transferring have focused on the Tsf1 orthologs, which are present in hemolymph and other extracellular fluids (Geiser & Winzerling 2012; Simmons et al. 2013; Qu et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2014; Bonilla et al. 2015; Hattori et al. 2015; Brummett et al. 2017). The Tsf1 orthologs that have been biochemically characterized were found to bind iron, and studies suggest that they participate in iron transport, immunity, and protection from oxidative stress (Huebers et al. 1988; Huebers et al. 1988; Bartfeld & Law 1990; Kurama et al. 1995; Gasdaska et al. 1996; Hirai et al. 2000; Lee et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2008; Brummett et al. 2017; Xiao et al. 2019). The results of our phylogenetic and primary sequence analyses, which predict that the Tsf1 orthologs are soluble, iron-binding proteins, are compatible with the conclusions of these previous studies. Because the Tsf1 orthologs appear to be the only transferring that function in iron homeostasis, we were surprised to find that lice, aphids and thrips lack Tsf1. Given their different predicted biochemical characteristics, it seems improbable that Tsf2, Tsf3 or Tsf4 could compensate for the loss of Tsf1; therefore, our study suggests the interesting possibility that some insect lineages have evolved iron homeostatic mechanisms that do not involve transferrins. In those insects, the iron-binding protein ferritin is likely to play a major role in iron transport (Tang & Zhou, 2013), but, because binding of iron to ferritin involves ferrous rather than ferric ions, it is not likely that ferritin could directly substitute for Tsf1 in immune-related iron sequestration or in protection against iron-induced oxidative stress. Future research will be needed to learn how lice, aphids and thrips have have evolved to accomplish these functions without transferrins.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Yoonseong Park for helpful suggestions regarding this work and Averi Baker for her help with identifying some insect transferrin sequences. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant 1656388 and National Institute of General Medical Sciences grant R37 GM041247. This is contribution 20-121-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station.

References

- Anderson CP, and Leibold EA (2014) Mechanisms of iron metabolism in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 5, 113. [PubMed: 24904417]
- Anderson GJ, and Vulpe CD (2009) Mammalian iron transport. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 66, 3241. [PubMed: 19484405]
- Bai L, Qiao M, Zheng R, Deng C, Mei S, and Chen W (2016) Phylogenomic analysis of transferrin family from animals and plants. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics & Proteomics, 17, 1–8.
- Bartfeld NS, and Law JH (1990) Isolation and molecular cloning of transferrin from the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta*: sequence similarity to the vertebrate transferrins. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 265, 21684–21691.
- Bendtsen JD, Nielsen H, von Heijne G, and Brunak S (2004) Improved prediction of signal peptides: SignalP 3.0. Journal of Molecular Biology, 340, 783–795. [PubMed: 15223320]
- Bonilla ML, Todd C, Erlandson M, and Andres J (2015) Combining RNA-seq and proteomic profiling to identify seminal fluid proteins in the migratory grasshopper *Melanoplus sanguinipes* (F). BMC Genomics, 16, 1096. [PubMed: 26694822]

- Brummett LM, Kanost MR, and Gorman MJ (2017) The immune properties of *Manduca sexta* transferrin. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 81, 1–9. [PubMed: 27986638]
- Dunkov B, and Georgieva T (2006) Insect iron binding proteins: insights from the genomes. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 36, 300–309. [PubMed: 16551544]
- Fankhauser N, and Mäser P (2005) Identification of GPI anchor attachment signals by a Kohonen selforganizing map. Bioinformatics, 21, 1846–1852. [PubMed: 15691858]
- Farnaud S, and Evans RW (2003) Lactoferrin—a multifunctional protein with antimicrobial properties. Molecular Immunology, 40, 395–405. [PubMed: 14568385]
- Frazer DM, and Anderson GJ (2014) The regulation of iron transport. BioFactors, 40, 206–214. [PubMed: 24132807]
- Galay RL, Umemiya-Shirafuji R, Mochizuki M, Fujisaki K, and Tanaka T (2015) Iron metabolism in hard ticks (Acari: Ixodidae): the antidote to their toxic diet. Parasitology International, 64, 182– 189. [PubMed: 25527065]
- Gasdaska JR, Law JH, Bender CJ, and Aisen P (1996) Cockroach transferrin closely resembles vertebrate transferrins in its metal ion-binding properties: a spectroscopic study. Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry, 64, 247–258. [PubMed: 8916413]
- Geiser DL, and Winzerling JJ (2012) Insect transferrins: multifunctional proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta General Subjects, 1820, 437–451.
- Giansanti F, Leboffe L, Pitari G, Ippoliti R, and Antonini G (2012) Physiological roles of ovotransferrin. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta General Subjects, 1820, 218–225.
- Graça-Souza AV, Maya-Monteiro C, Paiva-Silva GO, Braz GRC, Paes MC, Sorgine MHF, et al. (2006) Adaptations against heme toxicity in blood-feeding arthropods. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 36, 322–335. [PubMed: 16551546]
- Hall S, Bone C, Oshima K, Zhang L, McGraw M, Lucas B, et al. (2014) Macroglobulin complementrelated encodes a protein required for septate junction organization and paracellular barrier function in Drosophila. Development, 141, 889–898. [PubMed: 24496625]
- Harrison MC, Jongepier E, Robertson HM, Arning N, Bitard-Feildel T, Chao H, et al. (2018) Hemimetabolous genomes reveal molecular basis of termite eusociality. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2, 557–566. [PubMed: 29403074]
- Hattori M, Komatsu S, Noda H, and Matsumoto Y (2015) Proteome analysis of watery saliva secreted by green rice leafhopper, *Nephotettix cincticeps*. PloS One, 10, e0123671. [PubMed: 25909947]
- He QY, Mason AB, Pakdaman R, Chasteen ND, Dixon BK, Tam BM, et al. (2000) Mutations at the histidine 249 ligand profoundly alter the spectral and iron-binding properties of human serum transferrin N-lobe. Biochemistry, 39, 1205–1210. [PubMed: 10684597]
- Hirai M, Watanabe D, and Chinzei Y (2000) A juvenile hormone-repressible transferrin-like protein from the bean bug, *Riptortus clavatus*: cDNA sequence analysis and protein identification during diapause and vitellogenesis. Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, 44, 17–26. [PubMed: 10790182]
- Hofmann K, and Stoffel W (1993) TMbase A database of membrane spanning proteins segments. Nucleic Acids Research, 31, 406–409.
- Huebers HA, Huebers E, Finch CA, Webb BA, Truman JW, Riddiford LM, et al. (1988) Iron binding proteins and their roles in the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta* (L.). Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology, 158, 291–300.
- Inward D, Beccaloni G, and Eggleton P (2007) Death of an order: a comprehensive molecular phylogenetic study confirms that termites are eusocial cockroaches. Biology Letters, 3, 331–335. [PubMed: 17412673]
- Kim BY, Lee KS, Choo YM, Kim I, Je YH, Woo SD, et al. (2008) Insect transferrin functions as an antioxidant protein in a beetle larva. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 150, 161–169.
- Kosman DJ (2010) Redox cycling in iron uptake, efflux, and trafficking. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 285, 26729–26735. [PubMed: 20522542]
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, and Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 35, 1547–1549. [PubMed: 29722887]

- Kurama T, Kurata S, and Natori S (1995) Molecular characterization of an insect transferrin and its selective incorporation into eggs during oogenesis. European Journal of Biochemistry, 228, 229– 235. [PubMed: 7705333]
- Lambert LA (2012) Molecular evolution of the transferrin family and associated receptors. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta General Subjects, 1820, 244–255.
- Lambert LA, Perri H, Halbrooks PJ, and Mason AB (2005) Evolution of the transferrin family: conservation of residues associated with iron and anion binding. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part B, Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, 142, 129–141.
- Lee KS, Kim BY, Kim HJ, Seo SJ, Yoon HJ, Choi YS, et al. (2006) Transferrin inhibits stress-induced apoptosis in a beetle. Free Radical Biology & Medicine, 41, 1151–1161. [PubMed: 16962940]
- Li H, Leavengood JM, Chapman EG, Burkhardt D, Song F, Jiang P, et al. (2017) Mitochondrial phylogenomics of Hemiptera reveals adaptive innovations driving the diversification of true bugs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 284, 20171223.
- Locke M, and Nichol H (1992) Iron economy in insects: transport, metabolism, and storage. Annual Review of Entomology, 37, 195–215.
- MacGillivray RT, Bewley MC, Smith CA, He QY, Mason AB, Woodworth RC, et al. (2000) Mutation of the iron ligand His 249 to Glu in the N-lobe of human transferrin abolishes the dilysine 'trigger' but does not significantly affect iron release. Biochemistry, 39, 1211–1216. [PubMed: 10684598]
- Mandilaras K, Pathmanathan T, and Missirlis F (2013) Iron absorption in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Nutrients, 5, 1622–1647. [PubMed: 23686013]
- Misof B, Liu S, Meusemann K, Peters RS, Donath A, Mayer C, et al. (2014). Phylogenomics resolves the timing and pattern of insect evolution. Science, 346, 763–767. [PubMed: 25378627]
- Mizutani K, Toyoda M, and Mikami B (2012) X-ray structures of transferrins and related proteins. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - General Subjects, 1820, 203–211.
- Nakai K, and Horton P (1999) PSORT: a program for detecting sorting signals in proteins and predicting their subcellular localization. Trends in Biochemical Sciences, 24, 34–36. [PubMed: 10087920]
- NCBI Resource Coordiators (2016) Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Nucleic Acids Research, 44, D7–D19. [PubMed: 26615191]
- Nielsen H (2017) Predicting secretory proteins with SignalP. Methods in Molecular Biology, 1611, 59– 73. [PubMed: 28451972]
- Ong ST, Ho JZS, Ho B, and Ding JL (2006) Iron-withholding strategy in innate immunity. Immunobiology, 211, 295–314. [PubMed: 16697921]
- Poelchau M, Childers C, Moore G, Tsavatapalli V, Evans J, Lee C-Y, et al. (2015). The i5k Workspace@NAL—enabling genomic data access, visualization and curation of arthropod genomes. Nucleic Acids Research, 43, D714–D719. [PubMed: 25332403]
- Qu M, Ma L, Chen P, and Yang Q (2014) Proteomic analysis of insect molting fluid with a focus on enzymes involved in chitin degradation. Journal of Proteome Research, 13, 2931–2940. [PubMed: 24779478]
- Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. (2011) Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Molecular Systems Biology, 7, 539. [PubMed: 21988835]
- Simmons LW, Tan Y-F, and Millar AH (2013) Sperm and seminal fluid proteomes of the field cricket *Teleogryllus oceanicus*: identification of novel proteins transferred to females at mating. Insect Molecular Biology, 22, 115–130. [PubMed: 23211034]
- Srivastava M, Begovic E, Chapman J, Putnam NH, Hellsten U, Kawashima T, et al. (2008) The Trichoplax genome and the nature of placozoans. Nature, 454, 955–960. [PubMed: 18719581]
- Suryo Rahmanto Y, Bal S, Loh KH, Yu Y, and Richardson DR (2012) Melanotransferrin: search for a function. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta General Subjects, 1820, 237–243.
- Tang X, and Zhou B (2013) Iron homeostasis in insects: Insights from Drosophila studies. IUBMB Life, 65, 863–872. [PubMed: 24078394]
- Tiklová K, Senti K-A, Wang S, Gräslund A, and Samakovlis C (2010) Epithelial septate junction assembly relies on melanotransferrin iron binding and endocytosis in Drosophila. Nature Cell Biology, 12, 1071–1077. [PubMed: 20935638]

- Walter-Nuno AB, Taracena ML, Mesquita RD, Oliveira PL, and Paiva-Silva GO (2018) Silencing of iron and heme-related genes revealed a paramount role of iron in the physiology of the hematophagous vector *Rhodnius prolixus*. Frontiers in Genetics, 9, 19. [PubMed: 29456553]
- Wang F, Lothrop AP, James NG, Griffiths TAM, Lambert LA, Leverence R, et al. (2007) A novel murine protein with no effect on iron homoeostasis is homologous with transferrin and is the putative inhibitor of carbonic anhydrase. Biochemical Journal, 406, 85–95.
- Weber J, Brummett L, Geisbrecht B, Kanost M, and Gorman M (2018) A biochemical and structural look into the functional role of transferrin in *D. melanogaster*. Faseb Journal, 32, 1_supplement: 652.39.
- Xiao G, Liu Z-H, Zhao M, Wang H-L, and Zhou B (2019) Transferrin 1 functions in iron trafficking and genetically interacts with ferritin in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Cell Reports, 26, 748–758. [PubMed: 30650364]
- Zhang J, Lu A, Kong L, Zhang Q, and Ling E (2014) Functional analysis of insect molting fluid proteins on the protection and regulation of ecdysis. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 289, 35891– 35906.



Figure 1. A diverse group of insect orders was chosen for a phylogenetic analysis of insect transferrins.

The tree shown is based on the phylogeny described by Misof *et al.* (2014) with a modification to include termites in the order Blattodea (Inward *et al.* 2007; Harrison *et al.* 2018). (Branch lengths do not represent evolutionary time.) The 18 orders represented in our phylogenetic analysis are in red text. The three non-insect hexapod orders are shown above the dashed line. The species included in our analyses are listed on the right.



Figure 2. Insects have four orthologous groups of transferrins.

A phylogenetic analysis of transferrin sequences from 21 insect and three non-insect hexapod species was performed with the maximum likelihood method, and the resulting rooted tree is shown. Bootstrap values greater than 70 percent are shown. Tsf1 orthologs are indicated by green text, Tsf2 by blue, Tsf3 by red, and Tsf4 by orange. Non-insect hexapod transferrins are marked with a plus sign. The bootstrap value for each orthologous group is circled. The branch length scale indicates number of substitutions per site. Species abbreviations are as follows: Aa, *Aedes aegypti*; Af, *Atelura formicaria*; Am, *Apis mellifera*; Ap, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*; Asp, *Annulipalpia* species; Bd, *Blaberus discoidalis*; Cf, *Ctenocephalides felis*; Dm, *Drosophila melanogaster*; Ed, *Ephemera danica*; Fc, *Folsomia candida*; Fo, *Frankliniella occidentalis*; Lf, *Ladona fulva*; Lm, *Locusta migratoria*; Mc, *Meinertellus cundinamarcensis*; Me, *Medauroidea extradentata*; Ml, *Micropterna lateralis*; Ms, *Manduca sexta*; Oc, *Orchesella cincta*; Oj, *Occasjapyx japonicus*; Ph, *Pediculus humanus*; Px, *Papilio xuthus*; Rp, *Rhodnius prolixus*; Ta, *Trichoplax adhaerens* (outgroup); Tc, *Tribolium castaneum*; Td, *Thermobia domestica*; Zn, *Zootermopsis nevadensis*.

A. Tsf	1											
		A	minc	-lob	е			Ca	rbox	yl-lo	be	
		Iro	n		Ani	on		Iro	n		An	ion
HsTf	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R
Af	D	Y	Y	Κ	Т	R	D	Y	Ν	Н	S	R
Td	Е	Y	Y	L	Т	R	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R
Lf	D	Y	Y	Ρ	Т	R	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R
Lm	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R
Me	Е	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	S	-	Y	Н	Т	R
Bd	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	D	Y	Y	Н	Т	R
Zn	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	D	Y	Y	Н	Т	R
Rp	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	D	Q	S	R	V	-
Am	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	S	R	G	R	S	D
Tc	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R
Asp	Е	Y	Y	А	Т	R	Р	Q	Ν	L	Κ	S
Ms	D	Υ	Υ	Q	Т	R	D	Ν	D	R	S	Т
Px	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	D	Ν	D	R	Ν	S
Cf	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	Q	Y	н	D	G	-
Aa	D	Y	Y	Q	Т	R	K	-	-	Т	- 1	R
Dm	Е	Y	Y	S	Т	R	R	- 1	-	R		R

		A	min	o-lok	be			Ca	arbox	kyl-lo	be	
	_	Iro	n		Ar	nion		Irc	on		An	ion
HsTf	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R
Lf	D	Е	Y	Н	А	Т	D	Y	D	Ν	S	L
Ed	D	Y	F	н	А	Т	D	Y	н	Ν	Т	Т
Lm	D	Y	S	N	G	Т	D	Y	н	N	Т	Т
Me	D	Y	F	н	S	Т	D	Y	Y	Ν	Т	Т
Zn	D	Y	F	Н	А	Т	D	Y	Y	Ν	Т	Т
Fo	D	Y	F	н	G	Т	D	Y	Y	Ν	Т	Т
Rp	D	Y	F	А	Ρ	Т	D	Y	Y	Ν	G	Т
Ap5	D	Y	D	D	А	Т	D	Y	Y	Ν	Ρ	S
Ap9	D	Y	D	D	А	Т	D	Y	Y	Ν	Ρ	S
Ph	D	Y	Y	Q	А	Т	D	Y	Н	Ν	Т	Т
Am	D	Н	Y	R	А	Μ	D	Y	Y	Ν	Т	Т
Tc	D	Y	Y	D	А	Т	D	Y	н	Ν	G	Т
Asp	D	S	F	N	Ρ	S	D	Y	S	Ν	S	Т
MI	D	А	Y	N	Ρ	Т	D	Y	Ν	Ν	S	Т
Ms	D	Q	Y	D	Ρ	S	D	Y	н	S	Т	Μ
Px	D	Q	Y	D	Ρ	А	D	Y	н	А	Т	Т
Cf	D	F	Y	D	А	Y	D	Y	н	Ν	Т	Т
Aa	D	Y	F	Н	S	S	D	Y	Ν	N	S	Т
Dm	D	Y	Y	D	Ρ	S	D	Y	Н	Ν	Т	Т

B. Tsf2

C. IST	3											
		A	min	o-lot	be			Ca	rbo	kyl-lo	be	
	_	Iro	n		Ar	nion	_	Irc	on		An	ion
HsTf	D	Y	Υ	Н	Т	R	D	Y	Y	Н	Т	R
Af	G	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	G	Y	D	D	S	G
Td	Е	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	Ν	Y	Е	G	Ρ	G
Lf	Q	Y	Ρ	G	Ρ	L	D	?	Е	G	?	?
Ed	Q	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	Н	Y	D	G	Ρ	G
Lm	D	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	G	Y	Е	G	Т	G
Me	Т	Μ	R	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	L	Y	Е	G	Ρ	G
Zn	L	Y	А	А	S	Υ	Q	Н	Е	G	S	G
Ap	Q	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Е	D	Y	Е	G	Ρ	G
Ph	н	Н	?	Κ	Ρ	Ν	S	н	?	Ν	L	G
Am	Е	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Т	Κ	I.	D	G	Т	D
Тс	Т	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	Ρ	Y	Е	G	Ρ	G
Asp	S	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	н	Y	Е	G	Ρ	G
MI	т	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Y	S	н	Е	G	Ρ	G
Ms	S	R	D	Ρ	Ρ	L	D	S	Е	G	S	G
Px	S	R	D	Ρ	Ρ	L	D	н	Е	G	Ρ	G
Cf	S	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	Q	Y	Е	G	А	G
Aa	S	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	1	D	Y	Е	G	Ρ	G
Dm	Т	Y	R	Ρ	Ρ	L	D	Y	D	G	Ρ	G

D. Tsf	4											
		A	min	o-lok	be			Ca	rbo	kyl-lo	be	
	1	Iro	n		Ar	nion	-	Irc	on	_	An	ion
HsTf	D	Y	Y	Н	Т	R	D	Y	Y	н	Т	R
Af	D	F	Т	D	Т	Ν	D	Н	D	G	Ρ	Κ
Td	S	F	-	S	Ρ	Κ	D	Y	D	G	Ρ	G
Ed	S	F	н	S	Ρ	N	Ν	н	D	А	Ρ	G
Me	Т	F	-	D	Ρ	R	D	Ν	D	G	Ρ	G
Zn	Т	F	-	Κ	Ρ	Κ	S	F	Ν	G	Ρ	G
Fo	Т	F	-	Ν	Ρ	D	D	Y	D	G	Ρ	G
Rp	S	L	-	Ν	Ρ	1	Υ	Y	Ν	А	Ρ	G
Tc	Т	S	F	D	Ρ	Н	S	S	S	S	Ρ	G
Asp	т	F	-	G	Ρ	Μ	D	S	Ν	S	А	G
MI	S	F	-	Ρ	Ρ	Κ	D	S	Т	S	Ρ	G
Ms	S	F	\sim	G	Ρ	Q	Ρ	S	Ν	А	Ρ	G
Px	S	F	-	S	Ρ	Е	Ρ	А	Ν	S	Ρ	G
Aa	S	F	-	Ρ	Ρ	Υ	D	S	Т	G	Ρ	G
	D. Tsf HsTf Af Td Ed Me Zn Fo Rp Tc Asp MI Ms Px Aa	D.Tsf4 HsTf D Af D Td S Ed S Me T Zn T Rp S Tc T Asp T MI S Ms S Px S Aa S	D.Tsf4 HSTf D Y Af D F Td S F Ed S F Ed S F Me T F Rp T F Rp S L Tc T S Asp T F MI S F MS S F Px S F Aa S F	D.Tsf4 HsTf D Y Y Af D F T Td S F - Ed S F H Me T F - Zn T F - To T F - Rp S L - Tc T S F Asp T F - MI S F - MI S F - MS S F - Px S F - Aa S F -	D.Tsf4 HsTf D Y H Af D F T D Td S F - S Ed S F H S Me T F - S Me T F - N Rp S L - N Tc T S F O Asp T F - G MI S F - G MI S F - S Aa S F - S Aa S F - S	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Figure 3. Tsf1 orthologs are predicted to bind iron.

Sequences from each insect transferrin group were aligned with mammalian transferrin sequences to identify positions of putative iron-binding and putative carbonate-binding residues. The residues in these positions are shown. Insect transferrin lobes that are known to bind iron are boxed in orange. Species abbreviations are the same as those used for Figure 2. Human serum transferrin residues are labeled HsTf. *Acyrthosiphon pisum* has two Tsf2 orthologs, indicated by Ap5 and Ap9 (accession numbers XP_016660805 and XP_001947699). All of the Tsf1 orthologs are predicted to bind to one or two ferric ions, whereas only some of the Tsf2 orthologs and none of the Tsf3 and Tsf4 orthologs are predicted to bind iron.

Table 1.

Sequences used for phylogenetic analysis of insect transferrins.

Order	Species	Genome, Transcriptome or cDNA	Source	Accession number	Orthologous Group (based on this study)
Diptera	Drosophila melanogaster (fly)	Genome	NCBI	AAC67389	Tsf1
		Genome	NCBI	NP_524044	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	NP_523759	Tsf3
	Aedes aegypti (mosquito)	Genome	NCBI	XP_001647719	Tsf1
		Genome	NCBI	XP_021699709	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	EAT34844 edited	Tsf3
		Genome	NCBI	XP_001661801	Tsf4
Siphonaptera	Ctenocephalides felis (flea)	Genome	NCBI	XP_026466165	Tsf1
		Genome	NCBI	XP_026479930	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	XP_026471608 and 026471609	Tsf3
Lepidoptera	Manduca sexta (moth)	cDNA	NCBI	P22297	Tsf1
		Genome	i5k	Msex2.11184 edited	Tsf2
		Genome	i5k	Msex2.10792 and 10793	Tsf3
		Genome	i5k	Msex2.12754-RB	Tsf4
	Papilio xuthus (butterfly)	Genome	NCBI	XP_013173464	Tsf1
		Genome	NCBI	KPJ03186	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	KPI99226	Tsf3
		Genome	NCBI	KPI99233 edited	Tsf4
Trichoptera	Annulipalpia species (caddisfly)	Transcriptome	NCBI	GATX01086443	Tsf1
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GATX01000541	Tsf2
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GATX01016449 edited	Tsf3
			NCBI	GATX01086805	Tsf4
	<i>Micropterna lateralis</i> (caddisfly)	Transcriptome	NCBI	GELV01013828	Tsf2
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GELV01010679	Tsf3
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GELV01015247	Tsf4
Coleoptera	<i>Tribolium castaneum</i> (beetle)	Genome	NCBI	XP_001808066	Tsf1
		Genome	NCBI	XP_015839046	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	XP_015838610	Tsf3
		Genome	NCBI	XP_008199941 edited	Tsf4
Hymenoptera	Apis mellifera (bee)	Genome	NCBI	NP_001011572	Tsf1
		Genome	NCBI	XP_396618	Tsf2
		Genome		XP_001122328 edited	Tsf3
Psocodea	Pediculus humanus (louse)	Genome	NCBI	XP_002422999	Tsf2

Order	Species	Genome, Transcriptome or cDNA	Source	Accession number	Orthologous Group (based on this study)
		Genome	NCBI	XP_002425773 edited	Tsf3
Hemiptera	Acyrthosiphon pisum (aphid)	Genome	NCBI	XP_001947699	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	XP_016660805	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	XP_001946481	Tsf3
	Rhodnius prolixus (bug)	Transcriptome	NCBI	GECK01013297	Tsf1
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GECK01101790	Tsf2
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GECK01023379	Tsf4
Thysanoptera	Frankliniella occidentalis (thrips)	Genome	NCBI	XP_026287309	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	XP_026287716	Tsf4
Blattodea	Zootermopsis nevadensis (termite)	Genome	NCBI	XP_021919348	Tsf1
		Genome	NCBI	XP_021922858	Tsf2
		Genome	NCBI	XP_021919332 edited	Tsf3
		Genome	NCBI	XP_021919264	Tsf4
	Blaberus discoidalis (cockroach)	cDNA	NCBI	Q02942	Tsf1
Phasmatodea	Medauroidea extradentata (walking stick)	Transcriptome	NCBI	GAWD01077063	Tsf1
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAWD01046554	Tsf2
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAWD01030369	Tsf3
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAWD01074570	Tsf4
Orthoptera	Locusta migratoria (locust)	Genome	NCBI	BBE27867	Tsf1
		Genome	i5k	JAMg_model_8133	Tsf2
		Genome	i5k	JAMg_model_4881	Tsf3
Ephemeroptera	Ephemera danica (mayfly)	Genome	i5k	Ed_EDAN016810 edited	Tsf2
		Genome	i5k	EDAN009681_PA	Tsf3
		Genome	i5k	EDAN001636_PA	Tsf4
Odonata	Ladona fulva (dragonfly)	Genome	i5k	LFUL008155_PA edit	Tsf1
		Genome	i5k	LFUL002433 and LFUL002434 (has gap)	Tsf2
		Genome	i5k	LFUL016472 and LFUL016473 (has gap)	Tsf3
Zygentoma	Atelura formicaria (silverfish)	Transcriptome	NCBI	GAYJ02040055	Tsf1
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAYJ02040158	Tsf3
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAYJ02044273	Tsf4
	<i>Thermobia domestica</i> (firebrat)	Transcriptome	NCBI	GASN02065056	Tsf1
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GASN02058069	Tsf3
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GHEH01000467	Tsf4

Order	Species	Genome, Transcriptome or cDNA	Source	Accession number	Orthologous Group (based on this study)
Archaeognatha	<i>Meinertellus</i> <i>cundinamarcensis</i> (jumping bristletail)	Transcriptome	NCBI	GAUG02039070	
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAUG02047150	
Diplura	Occasjapyx japonicus (two- pronged bristletail)	Transcriptome	NCBI	GAXJ02018425	
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAXJ02018310	
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAXJ02017766	
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAXJ02019413	
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAXJ02017728	
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAXJ02018531	
		Transcriptome	NCBI	GAXJ02019650	Tsf2
Collembola	Folsomia candida (springtail)	Genome	NCBI	XP_021946057	
		Genome	NCBI	XP_021948718	·
		Genome	NCBI	XP_021960906	Tsf2
	Orchesella cincta (springtail)	Genome	NCBI	ODM93913	
		Genome	NCBI	ODM92907	
		Genome	NCBI	ODM95217	
		Genome	NCBI	ODM96803	Tsf2
Phylum Placozoa	Trichoplax adhaerens	Genome	NCBI	XP_002108117	outgroup

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2.

Predicted signal peptide and membrane association of orthologous groups of transferrins.

Orthologous Group	Signal Peptide	Membrane Bound
Tsf1	yes (15/16)	no (0/16)
Tsf2	yes (18/19)	yes (18/19)
Tsf3	yes (15/18)	yes (17/18)
Tsf4	yes (12/13)	yes (13/13)