

# Association of elevated inflammatory markers and severe COVID-19

# A meta-analysis

Pan Ji, MD<sup>10</sup>, Jieyun Zhu, MD, Zhimei Zhong, MD, Hongyuan Li, MD, Jielong Pang, MD, Bocheng Li, MD, Jianfeng Zhang, MD, PhD<sup>\*</sup>

### Abstract

Our study aimed to assess the existing evidence on whether severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is associated with elevated inflammatory markers.

The PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Scopus, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, WanFang, and China Science and Technology Journal databases were searched to identify studies published between January 1 and April 21, 2020 that assayed inflammatory markers in COVID-19 patients. Three reviewers independently examined the literature, extracted relevant data, and assessed the risk of publication bias before including the meta-analysis studies.

Fifty-six studies involving 8719 COVID-19 patients were identified. Meta-analysis showed that patients with severe disease showed elevated levels of white blood cell count (WMD: 1.15, 95% CI: 0.78–1.52), C-reactive protein (WMD: 38.85, 95% CI: 31.19–46.52), procalcitonin (WMD: 0.08, 95% CI: 0.06–0.11), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (WMD: 10.15, 95% CI: 5.03–15.46), interleukin-6 (WMD: 23.87, 95% CI: 15.95–31.78), and interleukin-10 (WMD: 2.12, 95% CI: 1.97–2.28). Similarly, COVID-19 patients who died during follow-up showed significantly higher levels of white blood cell count (WMD: 4.11, 95% CI: 3.25–4.97), C-reactive protein (WMD: 74.18, 95% CI: 56.63–91.73), procalcitonin (WMD: 0.26, 95% CI: 0.11–0.42), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (WMD: 10.94, 95% CI: 4.79–17.09), and interleukin-6 (WMD: 59.88, 95% CI: 19.46–100.30) than survivors.

Severe COVID-19 is associated with higher levels of inflammatory markers than a mild disease, so tracking these markers may allow early identification or even prediction of disease progression.

**Abbreviations:**  $CI = confidence interval, COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019, CRP = C-reactive protein, ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate, IL-10 = interleukin-10, IL-6 = interleukin-6, = not reported, PCT = procalcitonin, TNF-<math>\alpha$  = tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , WBC count = white blood cell count, WMD = weighted mean difference.

Keywords: Coronavirus disease 2019, inflammatory marker, meta-analysis, severe disease

Editor: Bernhard Schaller.

PJ and JZ contributed equally to this work.

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81960343), the Emergency Science and Technology Brainstorm Project for the Prevention and Control of COVID-19, which is part of the Guangxi Key Research and Development Plan (GuikeAB20058002), and the High-level Medical Expert Training Program of Guangxi "139" Plan Funding (G201903027).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

Department of Emergency Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Jianfeng Zhang, Department of Emergency Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, No. 166 Daxuedong Road, Nanning, Guangxi 530007, China (e-mail: zhangjianfeng@stu.gxmu.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Ji P, Zhu J, Zhong Z, Li H, Pang J, Li B, Zhang J. Association of elevated inflammatory markers and severe COVID-19: a metaanalysis. Medicine 2020;99:47(e23315).

Received: 7 June 2020 / Received in final form: 8 September 2020 / Accepted: 21 October 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000023315

# 1. Introduction

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in December 2019, caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), poses a severe threat to global public health. Data from the World Health Organization indicate that as of April 26, 2020, there were more than 2 million confirmed COVID-19 infections and nearly 200,000 COVID-19 deaths in 208 countries or territories.<sup>[11]</sup> The number of new cases continues to rise rapidly worldwide, which poses a significant challenge to public health.<sup>[11]</sup> While the disease is mild or even asymptomatic in most patients, and usually self-resolves without the need for hospitalization, it can rapidly and unpredictably progress to a severe form requiring hospitalization and intensive care.

Single-center studies suggest that numerous inflammation markers are elevated in patients in the intensive care unit<sup>[2]</sup> or patients with severe disease<sup>[3–5]</sup> relative to patients with milder conditions. These markers include leukocyte count, procalcitonin level (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and interleukin-10 (IL-10). A meta-analysis also suggested that patients with increased PCT are nearly 5-fold more likely to have severe infection.<sup>[6]</sup>

Although several studies have suggested that severe disease may be associated with elevated WBC count, CRP, PCT, and IL-6,<sup>[5,7–9]</sup> the results across these studies are not entirely consistent. So far, it is unclear whether inflammatory markers are



significantly higher in patients with severe COVID-19 than in those with mild disease. Therefore, to gain a clearer picture of the potential association between inflammatory markers and severe COVID-19, we meta-analyzed the relevant literature. The results may provide a basis for detecting or even predicting disease progression quickly enough to improve prognosis.

# 2. Data and methods

# 2.1. Search strategy

According to the Preferred Reporting Items for Meta-Analyses of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Statement,<sup>[10]</sup> our metaanalysis was carried out. We selected relevant studies published between January 1, 2020 and April 21, 2020. The literature was systematically searched using 7 databases: PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Scopus, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, WanFang, and the China Science and Technology Journal Database. Only literature available online was included, and no language restriction was imposed. The following keywords were used, both separately and in combination, when searching each database: "Coronavirus,""2019-nCoV," "COVID-19," "SARS-CoV-2," "IL-6," "IL-8," "IL-10," "tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ )," "erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR)," "procalcitonin," "C-reactive protein," "ESR," or "Laboratory finding."

# 2.2. Study eligibility

A study was included in the meta-analysis if it had a cohort, casecontrol, or case series design involving more than 20 patients with confirmed COVID-19; if it contained patients with the mild,

Basic char	acteristics o	of inclu	Ided studies						
First author	Publication date in 2020	=	Single- or multicenter	Patient population	Age <sup>↑</sup> , yr	Follow-up	Diagnosis and severity criteria $^{\sharp}$	Outcomes <sup>§</sup>	Quality score <sup>  </sup>
Huang CL <sup>[2]</sup>	Feb 15	41	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Hubei Province	49 (41–58)	Dec 2019 to	WHO interim		7
Wan SX <sup>[3]</sup>	Apr 16	123	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Chongqing Three	$43.1 \pm 13.1/$	Jan 26 to Feb 4	wHO interim		œ
Qin C <sup>[4]</sup>	Mar 12	452	Single	Gorges Central Hospital Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Tongji Hospital Affiliated to Huazhong University of Science and	61.2±15.6 52.1±15.1/ 60.3±13.4	Jan 10 to Feb 12	guideline Trial fifth Edition	1234567	8
Xiao KH <sup>(5)</sup>	Feb 27	143	Single	Technology Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in	$45.1 \pm 1.0$	Jan 23 to Feb 28	Trial fifth Edition		6
Yuan J <sup>[8]</sup>	Mar 06	223	Single	Chongqing Three Gorges Central Hospital Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in <i>Chongqing Public</i>	46.5±16	Jan 24 to Feb 23	Trial sixth Edition	) (] (]	6
Fang XW <sup>[9]</sup>	Feb 25	79	Single	Meucar Center Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Anhui Provincial	45±16.6	Jan 22 to Feb 18	Trial sixth Edition	(1)	9
Wei WX <sup>[13]</sup>	Mar 18	95	Single	Hospital Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in	$45.5 \pm 58.6/56.7 \pm$	Jan 16 to Feb 18	Trial fifth Edition	) (] (]	7
Shi YL <sup>[14]</sup>	Feb 27	164	Single	Criengou Fublic Health Unitical Medical Center Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in	39.8/00.3±28.2 NR	Jan to Feb	Trial fifth Edition	(1)(3)	8
Liu et al <sup>[15]</sup>	Feb 28	79	Multi	Guangzhou Eighth Peoples Hospital Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in 3 tertiary hospitals	38 (33,57)	Dec 30 to Jan 15	Trial fourth Edition	(1)(2)(3)(4)	7
Deng Y <sup>[16]</sup>	Mar 20	225	Multi	In Wuhan Survival and non-survival COVID-19 patients in 2 tertiary honoviriels in Muhan	43 土 18/68 土 9	Jan 1 to Feb 21	Survival and	(1)(2)	8
Zhou F <sup>(17]</sup>	Mar 11	191	Multi	Trospitals III Wurtan Survival and nonsurvival COVID-19 patients in Wuhan	56 (46–67)	Dec 2019 to	WHO interim	(1)3)5) () () () () () () () () () () () () () (	8
Chen T <sup>[18]</sup>	Mar 26	274	Single	Uniyinitati rospitat anu vuutati Puninutati Pospitat Survival and non-survival CoVID-19 patients in Tongji Postital Afflititetad to Huazhong University of Science	62 (44–70)	Jaul 31, 2020 Dec 2019 to Feb 28, 2020	guideline Survival and non-survival	(1)2)3(4)5	2
Wang Y <sup>[19]</sup>	Apr 8	344	Single	and recimorogy Survival and non-survival COVID-19 patients in Tongji Hospital Affiliated to Huazhong University of Science and Technolomy	52-72	Jan 25 to Feb 25	WHO interim guideline	(1)(2)(3)(5)	2
Cheng KB <sup>(20)</sup>	Mar 12	463	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Wuhan Jinyintan Hosoital	15–90	Dec 2019 to Feh 06 2020	Trial fifth Edition		7
Wang D <sup>[21]</sup>	Feb 08	138	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Zhongnan Hospital	56 (42–68)	Jan 1 to Jan 28	WHO interim	•	7
Liu M <sup>[22]</sup>	Feb 17	30	Single	of Wuhan University Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Jianghan University Affiliated Hesorial	35±8	Jan 10 to Jan 31	guideline Trial fifth Edition		9
Zhong SH <sup>[23]</sup>	Mar 26	62	Single	Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Hainan General Hosnital	$51.8 \pm 13.5$	Jan 21 to Feb 10	Trial third Edition		9
Guan W <sup>[24]</sup>	Feb 06	1099	Multi	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in 552 Hospitals in	47.0	NR	WHO interim guideline	) (	6
Qian GQ <sup>[25]</sup>	Mar 17	88	Multi	31 provinces Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in 5 hospitals in	50 (36.5–57)	Jan 20 to Feb 11	WHO interim guideline	(1)(2)	6
LI KH <sup>[26]</sup> Wan SX <sup>[27]</sup>	Feb 15 Feb 29	41 83	Single Single	Zhejiang province Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Hubei Province	49 (41–58) 45.5±12.3	Dec 2019 to Jan 2, 2020 Jan to Feb	Trial fifth Edition WHO interim guideline	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ \end{array}$	7 8
								(COI	intinued)

3

(continued)	÷								
First author	Publication date in 2020	=	Single- or multicenter	Patient population	Age <sup>†</sup> , yr	Follow-up	Diagnosis and severity criteria <sup>‡</sup>	Outcomes <sup>®</sup>	Quality score <sup>  </sup>
				Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in The Second Affiliated Hosorital of Chenoration Maclical University					
Gao Y <sup>[28]</sup>	Mar 21	135	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Chongqing Three Goroes Central Hosnital	47 (36–55)	Jan 23 to Feb 8	WHO interim guideline	CCT CCT	9
Zhang JJ <sup>[29]</sup>	Mar 17	43	Single	Mild age contraction of the patients in Fuyang Second Peorle's Hospital	45 ± 7.7/43 ± 14	Jan 23 to Feb 2	trail version 3–5		7
Chen W <sup>[30]</sup>	Mar 17	91	Single	Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Jingmen First People's Hospital	41.6±15.6	Dec 2019 to Feb 21, 2020	Trial seventh Edition	1034	7
Li D <sup>[31]</sup>	Mar 26	80	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in tertiary hospitals in Zhuzhou	47.8±19.5	Jan 20 to Feb 27	Trial fifth Edition		7
Li D <sup>[32]</sup>	Apr 2	62	Single	Mid. The state of	$49 \pm 37/59 \pm 31$	Jan 31 to Feb 25	Trial sixth Edition		9
Xiong J <sup>[33]</sup>	Mar 03	89	Single	Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University	$53 \pm 16.9$	Jan 17 to Feb 20	Trial sixth Edition		7
Liu J <sup>[34]</sup>	Mar 27	91	Single	Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Wuhan Children's Hospital	NR	Jan 25 to Feb 18	Trial fifth Edition		9
Gao W <sup>[35]</sup>	Mar 31	06	Single	Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Beijing Youan Hossifal	$51.7 \pm 18.6$	Jan to Feb	Trial sixth Edition		7
Xie HS <sup>[36]</sup>	Apr 2	79	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Wuhan Jinyintan Hosnital	60 (48–66)	Feb 2 to Feb 23	Trial sixth Edition		7
Zhang YF <sup>[37]</sup>	Apr 2	121	Single	Mild severe COVID-19 patients in Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan I hiversity	43.9±15/65±1	Dec 2019 to Feb 22, 2020	Trial fifth Edition	()(E)(E)(T)	7
Chen L <sup>[38]</sup>	Feb 6	29	Single	Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Tongii Hospital Affiliated to Huazhong University of Science	26–79	Jan	Trial fifth Edition		9
Peng YD <sup>[39]</sup>	Mar 2	112	Single	and Technology Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in western district of Union Hesoital in Wuhan	62 (5567)	Jan 20 to Feb 15	Trial sixth Edition	(1)(3)	7
Chen M <sup>[40]</sup>	Feb 27	54	Single	Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Hubei No. 3 Pennle's Hosnital	43.8–69	Jan 24 to Feb 8	Trial fifth Edition		9
Wan Q <sup>[41]</sup>	Feb 24	153	Single	Mild and severe contents in Chongqing Public Health Medical Center	43.4 ± 15/57.7 ± 13	Jan 26 to Feb 5	Trial fifth Edition	) (	80
Li D <sup>[42]</sup>	Mar 5	30	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Shenyang sixth	21–72	Jan 22 to Feb 8	Trial fifth Edition		9
Ling Y <sup>[43]</sup>	Mar 18	292	Single	proports inspired Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center	48.7 ± 16/65.5 ± 16	Jan 20 to Feb 10	Trial fifth Edition		6
Bin YF <sup>[44]</sup>	Feb 29	22	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Huangpi District Chinese Mericine Hostinal of Wuhan	53.9±17. 1	Jan 29 to Feb 16	Trial fifth Edition		9
Xia XT <sup>[45]</sup>	Apr 7	63	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Hubei Provincial 6 Hosnital of Intronated Chinese and Mestern Medicine	2.3±15.1/64.6±14.9	Jan 26 to Feb 20	Trial fifth Edition	• •	9
Chen C <sup>[46]</sup>	Mar 6	150	Single	Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Tongli Hospital Affiliated to Huazhong University of Science and	59 ± 16	Jan to Feb	Trial sixth Edition	-)	9
Liu SJ <sup>[47]</sup>	Apr 2	342	Single	Technology	NR	Jan 23 to Feb 12	Trial sixth Edition	(1)(2)(3)(4)	7

**Table 1** 

(continued)

Medicine

4

Table 1 (continued)									
First author	Publication date in 2020	۲	Single- or multicenter <sup>*</sup>	Patient population	Age <sup>*</sup> , yr	Follow-up	Diagnosis and severity criteria $^{st}$	0 Outcomes <sup>§</sup>	Juality score <sup>∥</sup>
				Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Ezhou Central Hospital				(1)(3)(4)	
An W <sup>[48]</sup>	Apr 16	110	Single	Survival and nonsurvival COVID-19 patients in Hubei No.3	NR	Jan 24 to Feb 19	Current trail version		7
Feng Y <sup>[49]</sup>	Apr 10	476	Multi	People's Hospital of Jianghan University Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients from 3	NR	Jan 1 to Feb 15	Trial fifth Edition	(1234)	œ
Cai QX <sup>[50]</sup>	Apr 2	298	Single	hospitals in Wuhan, Shanghai and Anhui Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in The Third People's	42.7 ± 18.7/61.5 ± 7.6	Jan 11 to Feb 6	WHO interim guideline	(12345)	9
Zheng F <sup>[51]</sup>	Mar	161	Single	Hospital of Shenzhen Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Changsha First	40.7 ± 15.0/56.5 ± 15.2	Jan 17 to Feb 7	Trial fifth Edition	1)2	7
Tang JS <sup>[52]</sup>	Apr 13	40	Single	Hospital Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in The	NN	Jan to Feb	Trial sixth Edition	135	œ
Zheng YL <sup>[53]</sup>	Apr 10	66	Single	Ninth People's hospital of DongGuan Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Chengdu Public	42.5±15.1/63.8±16.5	Jan 16 to Feb 20	Trial fifth Edition	1)2	9
Wang L <sup>[54]</sup>	Mar 30	339	Single	Health Clinical Medical Center Survival and non-survival COVID-19 patients in Renmin	$68.7 \pm 7.5/76.3 \pm 9.9$	Jan 1 to Feb 6	Trial sixth Edition	(1) $(3)$ $(5)$	7
Ruan QR <sup>[55]</sup>	Apr 6	150	Multi	Hospital of Wuhan University Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Wuhan Jinyintan	58.3±27.9/54.3±50.0	NR	Survival and nonsurvival	125	ω
Tu WJ <sup>[56]</sup>	Apr 6	174	Single	Hospital and Tongli Hospital Attiliated to Huazhong University of Science and Technology Survival and non-survival COVID-19 patients in Zhongnan	50.0±18.7/71.3±21.6	Jan 3 to Feb 24	Survival and non-survival	(1)(5)	œ
Chen XH <sup>[57]</sup>	Apr 17	48	Single	Hospital of Wuhan University Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in General Hospital of	52.8±14.2/63.7±	Jan 1 to Feb 19	Trial sixth Edition	(135)	0
Ma J <sup>[58]</sup>	Apr 13	37	Single	Central Theater Command Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Renmin Hospital of	$15.2/79.6 \pm 12.6$ $61.0 \pm 4.9/66.5 \pm 9.6$	Jan 1 to Mar 30	Trial seventh Edition	15	7
Wang ZL <sup>[59]</sup>	Mar 16	69	Single	Wuhan University Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in western district of	40.0±14.5/69.8±12.4	Jan 16 to Jan 29	) Trial third Edition	(1234567)	7
Zou QX <sup>(60)</sup>	Apr 21	50	Single	Union Hospital in Wuhan Mild, severe, and critically ill COVID-19 patients in Sino-	62.3 ± 10.6/65.8 ±	Feb to Mar	Trial sixth Edition	(1)	9
He XW <sup>[61]</sup>	Apr 21	56	Single	Frenci new city campus or rough ruspina miniated to Huazhong University of Science and Technology Survival and non-survival COVID-19 patients in Sino- Eccency New City, Compuse of Tendi Hostikh Affilished to	11.17/2.4±6.0 64.8±12.3/69.7±13.0	Feb 3 to Feb 24	Trial seventh Edition	(1)(4)	ω
Zuo FT <sup>[62]</sup>	Apr 14	50	Single	Huazhon yew ony campus of Fungin ruspital Ammateu to Huazhong University of Science and Technology Mild and severe COVID-19 patients in Nanyang Central Hospital	46.6±16.3/53.7±10.1	Jan 19 to Mar 20	Trial fifth Edition	(12)	ω
* All othor of the	o site o contrar o								

5

\*All studies were retrospective. <sup>†</sup>Reported as range, mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range). <sup>‡</sup>Varsion of New Coronavirus Preumonia Prevention and Control Program in China, or WHO interim guideline. <sup>§</sup>NR = not reported. ① WBC = white blood cell count, ② CPP = C-reactive protein, ③ PCT = procalcitonin, ④ ESR = envitrocyte sedimentation rate, ⑤ IL-6 = interleukin-6, ⑥ IL-10 = interleukin-10, ⑦ TNF- $\alpha$  = tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ .

Study ID	WMD (95% CI)	% Weight
Yuan J[8]	0.70 (-0.49, 1.89)	3.06
Fang XW[9]	2.77 (0.72, 4.82)	1.90
Guan W[24]	-0.60 (-0.97, -0.23)	4.26
Qian GQ[25]	0.71 (-0.50, 1.92)	3.04
	0.51 (-0.67, 1.69)	3.08
Wan SX[27]	-0.16 (-0.94, 0.62)	3.73
Gao Y[28]	-0.70 (-1.78, 0.38)	3.25
Li DI311	2.17 (0.32, 4.02)	2.13
Li D[42]	2 78 (-0.16, 5.72)	1.19
Ling Y[43]	1.85 (-0.17, 3.87)	1.94
Zuo FT[62]	1.70 (-0.47, 3.87)	1.78
Cai QX[50]	0.24 (-0.28, 0.76)	4.09
Zheng F[51]	0.28 (-0.47, 1.03)	3.77
Shi YI [14]		1.82
Zheng YI [53]		3.04
	0.91 (-0.65, 2.47)	2 51
Cheng KB[20]	0.78 (0.28, 1.28)	4 11
Wang D[31]		2.46
		0.53
Huang CI [2]		1.08
	1.47 (0.42, 2.52)	3 20
	0.60 (.0.49, 1.69)	3.23
Peng VD[30]	0.28 (-1.60, 2.16)	2.00
	0.20 (-1.00, 2.10)	3.00
Ruan OR[55]	3 86 (2 50, 5 22)	2.80
Oin C[4]	1 20 (0 75 1 65)	1 18
Wang 71 [50]		2.54
		1 14
Zhong SH[23]		1.14
	4.52 (2.16, 0.40)	1.02
Gao W[35]		3.59
	1.37 (0.50, 2.24)	3.00
	1.03 (0.45, 1.61)	4.02
	1.54 (0.74, 2.34)	3.09
	1.45 (0.22, 2.68)	3.01
	-0.42 (-1.34, 0.50)	3.51
	1.51 (-0.21, 3.23)	2.29
	2.73 (0.04, 5.42)	1.35
Overall (I-squared = 77.0%, p = 0.000)	1.15 (0.78, 1.52)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

Figure 2. Meta-analysis of the difference in white blood cell count (×1012/L) between patients with mild or severe COVID-19. WMD = weighted mean difference, COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019.

severe, or critical disease, or it contained survivor and nonsurvivor groups; and if it reported sufficient details about inflammatory markers. The diagnosis and severity classification was based on the New Coronavirus Pneumonia Prevention and Control Program in China or WHO interim guideline, and patients were grouped into different types such as mild, moderate, severe, and critical diseases. The mild and moderate diseases were defined as "mild," while severe and critical patients were categorized as "severe" in this study. All analyses were based on previously published studies. Thus no ethical approval and patient consent are required.

#### 2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

Three reviewers independently screened the articles' titles and abstract to assess whether they were eligible for inclusion. The following data were extracted from included studies into an Excel database: the first author's surname, the publication date of the article, study design, sample size, age, outcome indicators, and assessment of bias risk. A fourth reviewer resolved disagreements. When necessary, authors of the original studies were contacted to obtain further information or clarification.

ID	WMD (95% CI)	% Weight
Fang XW[9]	41,50 (16.94, 66.06)	2.84
Qian GQ[25]	41.61 (-10.36, 93.58)	1.41
LI KH[26]	<b>76.72 (49.44, 104.00)</b>	2.66
Wan SX[27]	79.76 (59.36, 100.16)	3.12
Gao Y[28]	20.61 (4.37, 36.85)	3.39
Li D[31]	← 46.65 (25.36, 67.94)	3.06
Wan Q[41]	44.98 (24.17, 65.79)	3.09
Li D[42]	26.65 (-27.36, 80.66)	1.34
Ling Y[43]	45.08 (21.71, 68.45)	2.92
Zuo FT[62]	25.73 (-12.16, 63.62)	2.02
Cai QX[50]	24.74 (16.77, 32.71)	3.84
Zheng F[51]	- 36.66 (23.53, 49.79)	3.58
Zheng YL[53]	36.70 (19.45, 53.95)	3.33
Liu W[15]	- 24.10 (-1.84, 50.04)	2.75
Cheng KB[20]	32.41 (23.76, 41.06)	3.81
Zhang JJ[29]	21.67 (6.92, 36.42)	3.49
Xie HS[36]	19.40 (2.31, 36.49)	3.34
Zhang YF[37]	62.48 (37.30, 87.66)	2.80
Peng YD[39]	67.96 (44.14, 91.78)	2.89
Xia XT[45]	33.18 (2.98, 63.38)	2.47
Chen C[46]	<b>61.66 (31.80, 91.52)</b>	2.49
Ruan QR[55]	92.50 (64.88, 120.12)	2.63
Qin C[4]	26.97 (17.76, 36.18)	3.78
Wang ZL[59]	64.06 (39.13, 88.99)	2.81
Shi YL[14]	26.19 (-10.19, 62.57)	2.11
Gao W[35]	45.00 (30.01, 59.99)	3.47
Liu SJ[47]	3.37 (2.14, 4.60)	4.00
Feng Y[49]	12.23 (0.41, 24.05)	3.66
Wei WX[13]	18.75 (-23.78, 61.28)	1.79
Tang JS[52]	5.52 (1.30, 9.74)	3.96
Li D[32]	66.03 (42.86, 89.20)	2.93
Xiong J[33]	22.28 (12.45, 32.11)	3.76
Chen L[38]	33.71 (-5.91, 73.33)	1.93
Chen M[40]	81.46 (52.41, 110.51)	2.54
Overall (I-squared = 93.0%, p = 0.000)	38.85 (31.19, 46.52)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	40° - 10° - 10	

Figure 3. Meta-analysis of the difference in CRP (mg/L) between COVID-19 patients with mild or severe disease. WMD = weighted mean difference, COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019, CRP = C-reactive protein.

The quality of included studies was assessed based on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale guidelines.<sup>[11]</sup> Three reviewers assessed study quality, and differences were resolved through discussion. Studies scoring more than 6 out of the total possible 9 points were considered high quality.

#### 2.4. Statistical analyses

For studies that reported continuous data as ranges or as medians and interquartile ranges, the means and standard deviation were calculated as described.<sup>[12]</sup> All meta-analyses were performed using STATA 12 (StataCorp, TX) and a significance definition of 2-tailed P < .05. We calculated the weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for differences in continuous variables between patients with severe or mild COVID-19 and between all COVID-19 patients who survived or died follow-up. Heterogeneity between studies was analyzed using the  $\chi^2$  test with significance set at P < .10 and was quantified using the  $I^2$  statistic. The fixed-effect model was utilized when there was no significant heterogeneity in the pooled data. Otherwise, a sensitivity analysis was used to identify the study or studies explaining most of the heterogeneity, then these studies were removed, and the remaining ones were meta-analyzed using a random-effects model. Publication bias was



Figure 4. Meta-analysis of the difference in IL-6 (pg/mL) between COVID-19 patients with mild or severe disease. WMD = weighted mean difference, COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019, L-6 = interleukin-6.

assessed using funnel plots, Egger regression asymmetry test, and Begg test.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Literature screening and assessment

A total of 1417 records were identified from the various databases examined, and 35 additional records were identified from the Chinese Medical Journal Network. After a detailed assessment based on the inclusion criteria, 56 studies<sup>[2–5,8,9,13–62]</sup> involving 8719 COVID-19 patients were included in the meta-analysis (Fig. 1).

# 3.2. Characteristics of included studies

All studies included in the meta-analysis were conducted in China and published between February 6, 2020 and April 21, 2020. These retrospective studies examined Chinese patients distributed across 31 provinces. Follow-up data were reported for most patients. All studies received quality scores varying from 6 to 9 points, indicating high quality (Table 1).

#### 3.3. Meta-analysis

**3.3.1.** Inflammatory markers. Pooled results revealed that patients with severe disease showed significantly higher (WMD: 1.15, 95% CI: 0.78–1.52), CRP (WMD: 38.85, 95% CI: 31.19–46.52), PCT (WMD: 0.08, 95% CI: 0.06–0.11), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (WMD: 10.15, 95% CI: 5.03–15.46), IL-6 (WMD: 23.87, 95% CI: 15.95–31.78), and IL-10 (WMD: 2.12, 95% CI: 1.97–2.28) (Figs. 2–5, Table 2). In contrast, the 2 groups showed similar TNF- $\alpha$  levels.

Eight studies<sup>[16–19,48,54,56,61]</sup> comparing 543 COVID-19 patients who died during follow-up with 1713 who remained alive during the same period found that on admission, patients who subsequently died showed significantly higher white blood cell count (WMD: 4.11, 95% CI: 3.25–4.97), CRP (WMD: 74.18, 95% CI: 56.63–91.73), PCT (WMD: 0.26, 95% CI: 0.11–0.42), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (WMD: 10.94, 95% CI: 4.79–17.09), and IL-6 (WMD: 59.88, 95% CI: 19.46–100.30) (Table 2).

**3.3.2.** Sensitivity analysis. For most of the outcomes described in Section 3.3.1, there was heterogeneity in the pooled data, with  $I^2$  ranging from 60.1% to 98.5%. Therefore we repeated each meta-analysis after excluding 1 study at a time. We found that the

Study ID	WMD (95% CI)	% Weight
Yuan J[8]	→ 0.58 (0.01, 1.15)	0.21
LI KH[26] —	0.08 (0.03, 0.13)	5.63
Wan SX[27]	0.08 (0.06, 0.10)	6.93
Gao Y[28]	- 0.03 (-0.00, 0.06)	6.53
Li D[31]	→ 0.43 (0.11, 0.75)	0.62
Ling Y[43]	♦ 0.31 (0.04, 0.58)	0.84
Zuo FT[62]	• 0.06 (-0.02, 0.14)	4.20
Cai QX[50] -	-0.04 (-0.05, -0.03)	7.07
Liu W[15]	• 0.16 (-0.06, 0.38)	1.20
Cheng KB[20]	0.03 (0.02, 0.04)	7.05
Zhang JJ[29] -	0.09 (0.04, 0.14)	5.70
Peng YD[39]	• 0.16 (0.03, 0.29)	2.50
Ma J[58]	• 0.20 (0.06, 0.34)	2.35
Qin C[4] -	- 0.04 (0.02, 0.06)	6.95
Wang ZL[59]	0.00 (-0.01, 0.01)	7.09
Liu J[34]	- 0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	4.91
Shi YL[14]	• • 0.19 (-0.04, 0.42)	1.10
Xiao KH[5]	→ 0.79 (0.30, 1.28)	0.27
Gao W[35]	↔ → 0.35 (-0.20, 0.90)	0.22
Liu SJ[47]	0.18 (0.10, 0.26)	4.25
Feng Y[49]	- 0.04 (0.02, 0.06)	6.87
Wei WX[13]	→ 15.20 (-7.64, 38.04)	0.00
Tang JS[52]	0.23 (0.14, 0.32)	3.93
Li D[32]	• • • • • 0.31 (0.11, 0.51)	1.35
Xiong J[33] -	• 0.16 (0.05, 0.27)	3.22
Chen M[40]	0.09 (0.05, 0.13)	6.36
Chen XH[57] -	• 0.17 (0.04, 0.30)	2.64
Overall (I-squared = 90.3%, p = 0.000)	0.08 (0.06, 0.11)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analy	ysi <mark>s</mark>	

Figure 5. Meta-analysis of the difference in PCT (ng/mL) between COVID-19 patients with mild or severe disease. WMD = weighted mean difference. COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019, PCT = procalcitonin.

results did not change substantially (Fig. 6), suggesting our original meta-analyses' reliability.

# 4. Discussion

Inflammation markers can appear elevated in infected individuals, including those infected with SARS-CoV-2.<sup>[54]</sup> Previous work suggested that the magnitude of the elevation WBC count, CRP, PCT, and IL-6 may relate to the severity of the resulting COVID-19.<sup>[17,59]</sup> The National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China included elevated inflammatory factors such as IL-6 and CRP as potential early warning indicators of severe disease in its widely used "COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment plan (for version 7)."<sup>[63]</sup> While these considerations imply that monitoring levels of inflammatory markers may help identify progression to severe disease, the literature has not been entirely consistent on which markers may be useful in this regard. For example, at least 2 studies found white blood cell count is similar or even lower in severe disease than in mild disease<sup>[23,44]</sup> in severe disease, yet other studies found the same marker to be higher in severe disease.<sup>[32,35]</sup> To help clarify the inflammatory markers whose elevation may signal severe COVID-19, we meta-analyzed the relevant literature from January 1, 2020, at the beginning of what would quickly become a global pandemic. Our analysis of 56 studies<sup>[2–5,8,9,13–62]</sup> involving 8719 patients with confirmed COVID-19 suggests that WBC, CRP, PCT, ESR, and IL-6 are significantly higher with mild disease, and higher in those who die during follow-up than in those who survive. It is also noteworthy that our results are also in keeping with those of previous studies,<sup>[6,64,65]</sup> but our study included larger sample size and the analyzed inflammation markers that are more comprehensive. However, there is no insufficient evidence that shows a ranking

Table 2					
Meta-analysi	is of inflammatory	marker lev	els in Chir	nese COVID-1	9 patients

			Hetero	geneity		Meta-analysis	3
Marker	No. studies	No. patients	Р	<sup>2</sup>	Model <sup>*</sup>	WMD (95%CI)	Р
Mild versus severe disea	ise						
WBC, $\times$ 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	37	8973	<.001	77.0%	R	1.15 (0.78,1.52)	<.001
CRP, mg/L	34	4910	<.001	93.0%	R	38.85 (31.19,46.52)	<.001
PCT, ng/mL	27	4250	<.001	90.3%	R	0.08 (0.06,0.11)	<.001
ESR, mm/h	11	2684	<.001	75.7%	R	10.25 (5.03,15.46)	<.001
IL-6, pg/mL	11	1359	<.001	93.1%	R	23.87 (15.95,31.78)	<.001
IL-10, pg/mL	4	673	.791	0.0%	F	2.12 (1.97,2.28)	<.001
TNF-α, pg/mL	5	723	<.001	91.1%	R	0.20 (-0.60,1.01)	.622
Nonsurvivors versus surv	vivors						
WBC, $\times 10^{12}$ /L	4	1034	.057	60.1%	R	4.11 (3.25,4.97)	<.001
CRP, mg/L	7	1522	<.001	76.4%	R	74.18 (56.63,91.73)	<.001
PCT, ng/mL	4	1067	<.001	93.2%	R	0.26 (0.11,0.42)	.001
ESR, mm/h	3	440	.902	0.0%	F	10.94 (4.79,17.09)	<.001
IL-6, pg/mL	5	1322	<.001	98.0%	R	59.88 (19.46,100.30)	.004

CI = confidence interval, CRP = C-reactive protein, ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate, IL-10 = interleukin-10, IL-6 = interleukin-6, PCT = procalcitonin, TNF- $\alpha$  = tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , WBC = white blood cell count, WMD = weighted mean difference.

\* R means random model; F means fixed model.

for inflammatory markers in terms of correlation with the severity of COVID-19. These findings justify the monitoring of inflammatory markers to detect COVID-19 progression as early as possible for timely intervention.

to 10 times.<sup>[38]</sup> Our results are also consistent with a positive correlation between IL-6 levels and the risk of mortality.<sup>[57]</sup> IL-6 has strong pro-inflammatory effects.<sup>[66–68]</sup> Increases in IL-6 also trigger increases in PCT, which may explain why both are significantly higher in severe COVID-19.<sup>[69]</sup> The reason for there is no significant difference in TNF- $\alpha$  levels between mild and severe groups is unclear, but it may be related to the inhibition of

Our results are consistent with the idea that IL-6 levels spositively correlate with COVID-19 severity,<sup>[38]</sup> with levels in critically ill patients exceeding those with the milder disease by up



Th2 cells involved in humoral immunity in the early stage of infection<sup>[3]</sup> or the sample size is small, and the results are not representative.

The increase in inflammatory markers seen with severe COVID-19 is reminiscent of increases in similar markers during infection. For example, upon bacterial infection, PCT is released into the circulation, and elevated levels in peripheral blood correlate with infection severity.<sup>[5]</sup> Tan et al<sup>[70]</sup> found that CRP increased significantly in the initial stage of severe COVID-19 infection, while there was no significant difference in CT imaging between the severe group and the mild group. Research is needed to clarify to what extent the increases in inflammatory markers are caused directly by SARS-CoV-2 or reflect comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and other chronic diseases that, like infectious diseases, trigger a chronic proinflammatory state. Patients with such comorbidities are more likely to develop severe COVID-19 than patients who are otherwise healthy,<sup>[71]</sup> at least partly because such conditions weaken the innate immune response, increasing the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>[70]</sup>

Our results suggest that monitoring inflammatory markers may serve as an early warning system for progression to severe COVID-19. Simultaneously, monitoring levels of IL-6, CRP, and PCT can allow early detection of bacterial infections, which may reduce overprescription of antibiotics for patients who do not need them and trigger early antibiotic therapy to prevent sepsis and other severe conditions.<sup>[72]</sup>

Although our meta-analysis rigorously analyzed data from a large sample of COVID-19 patients, our results are limited by the heterogeneity observed across studies, such as in the disease course and severity, reflecting the difficulties of standardizing methods during an emerging epidemic. We could not control for these and other potential confounders because all studies in our meta-analysis were retrospective. Due to the nature of reporting in the emerging outbreak, we did not perform a risk of bias assessment and presume it to be high across studies, which should be considered when interpreting results.

#### 5. Conclusion

In summary, current evidence showed that higher levels of inflammatory markers such as WBC, CRP, PCT, ESR, IL-6, and IL-10 are associated with the severity of COVID-19 and thus could be used as significant prognostic factors of the disease.

#### Author contributions

Zhimei Zhong, Hongyuan Li, Jielong Pang, and Bocheng Li collected and analyzed the data. Jianfeng Zhang acquired the funding. Pan Ji and Jieyun Zhu designed the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Jianfeng Zhang designed and supervised the study, and finalized the manuscript, which all authors read and approved. Conceptualization: Pan Ji, Jielong Pang, Bocheng Li.

Data curation: Zhimei Zhong, Hongyuan Li, Jielong Pang.

Formal analysis: Pan Ji, Zhimei Zhong.

Funding acquisition: Jianfeng Zhang.

Investigation: Zhimei Zhong.

Methodology: Pan Ji, Jielong Pang, Bocheng Li, Jianfeng Zhang. Resources: Jianfeng Zhang.

Software: Jieyun Zhu, Hongyuan Li, Bocheng Li.

Supervision: Jianfeng Zhang. Validation: Jianfeng Zhang.

Writing – original draft: Pan Ji, Jieyun Zhu. Writing - review & editing: Jianfeng Zhang.

# References

- [1] WHO. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Dashboard [Internet]. Available at: https://covid19.who.int/ [Accessed April 27, 20201
- [2] Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395:497-506.
- [3] Wan S, Yi Q, Fan S, et al. Relationships among lymphocyte subsets, cytokines, and the pulmonary inflammation index in coronavirus (COVID-19) infected patients. Br J Haematol 2020;189:428-37.
- [4] Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis 2020;ciaa248.
- [5] Xiao KH, Shui LL, Pang XH, et al. Clinical features of coronavirus disease 2019 in Northeast area of Chongqing: analysis of 143 cases. J Third Mil Med Univ 2020;42:549-54.
- [6] Lippi G, Plebani M. Procalcitonin in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a meta-analysis. Clin Chim Acta 2020; 505:190-1
- [7] Ulhaq ZS, Soraya GV. Interleukin-6 as a potential biomarker of COVID-19 progression. Med Mal Infect 2020;50:382-3.
- [8] Yuan J, Sun YL, Zuo YJ, et al. Clinical characteristics of 223 novel coronavirus pneumonia cases in Chongqing. J Southwest Univ (Natural Science Ed) 2020;42:17-24.
- Fang XW, Mei Q, Yang TJ, et al. Clinical characteristics and treatment analysis of 79 cases of COVID-19. Chin Pharmacol Bull 2020;36:453-9.
- [10] Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. JAMA 2000; 283:2008-12.
- [11] Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur I Epidemiol 2010;25:603-5.
- [12] Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. BMC Med Res Methodol 2005;5:13.
- [13] Wei WX, He XP, Ying BW, et al. The correlation between acute phase protein combined biochemical indicators and clinical classification of COVID-19. Int J Lab Med 2020;41:1602-7.
- [14] Shi YL, Qu JY, Chen X, et al. Expressions of multiple inflammation markers in the patients with 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia and their clinical values. Chin J Lab Med 2020;43:E013-113.
- [15] Liu W, Tao ZW, Wang L, et al. Analysis of factors associated with disease outcomes in hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus disease. Chin Med J (Engl) 2020;133:1032-8.
- [16] Deng Y, Liu W, Liu K, et al. Clinical characteristics of fatal and recovered cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China: a retrospective study. Chin Med J (Engl) 2020;133:1261-7.
- [17] Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1054-62.
- [18] Chen T, Wu D, Chen H, et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. BMJ 2020;368:m1091.
- [19] Wang Y, Lu X, Chen H, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of 344 intensive care patients with COVID-19. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020;201:1430-4.
- [20] Cheng KB, Wei M, Shen H, et al. Clinical characteristics of 463 patients with common and severe type coronavirus disease 2019. Shanghai Med J 2020:1-5
- [21] Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 Novel Coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020;323:1061-9.
- [22] Liu M, He P, Liu HG, et al. Clinical characteristics of 30 medical workers infected with new coronavirus pneumonia. Chin J Tuberculosis Respir Diseases 2020;209-14.
- [23] Zhong SH, Lin F, Shi L. The clinical characteristics and outcome of 62 patients with COVID-19. Medical J Chin People's Liberation Army 2020:1-9.
- [24] Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of 2019 novel corona virus infection in China. N Engl J Med 2020;382:1708-20.
- [25] Qian GQ, Yang NB, Ding F, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of 91 Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 in Zhejiang,

China: a retrospective, multi-centre case series. QJM 2020;hcaa089-doi:10.1093/qjmed/hcaa089.

- [26] Li K, Wu J, Wu F, et al. The clinical and chest CT features associated with severe and critical COVID-19 pneumonia. Invest Radiol 2020;1–29. doi:10.1097/RLI.00000000000672.
- [27] Wan S, Xiang Y, Fang W, et al. Clinical features and treatment of COVID-19 patients in northeast Chongqing. J Med Virol 2020;92:797– 806.
- [28] Gao Y, Li T, Han M, et al. Diagnostic utility of clinical laboratory data determinations for patients with the severe COVID-19. J Med Virol 2020;92:791–6.
- [29] Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. Allergy 2020;75:730–41.
- [30] Chen W, Xu L, Zhang Q, et al. Clinical characteristics of 91 novel coronavirus pneumonia patients in Jingmen First People' s Hospital. J Inner Mongolia Medical Univ 2020;1–5. https://doi.org/10.16343/j. cnki.issn.2095-512x.20200317.001.
- [31] Li D, Wang ML, He B, et al. Laboratory test analysis of sixty-two COVID-19 patients. Med J Wuhan Univ 2020;1–5. https://doi.org/ 10.14188/j.1671-8852.2020.0220.
- [32] Li D, Long YZ, Huang P, et al. Clinical characteristics of 80 patients with COVID-19 in Zhuzhou City. Chinese J Infect Control 2020;19:227–33.
- [33] Xiong J, Jiang WL, Zhou Q, et al. Clinical characteristics, treatment, and prognosis in 89 cases of COVID-2019. Medical J Wuhan Univ (Health Sciences) 2020;1–5. https://doi.org/10.14188/j.1671-8852.2020.0103.
- [34] Liu J, Luo WJ, Deng ZH, et al. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of 91 children conformed with COVID-19. Chinese J Nosocomiol 2020;1–5. http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/11.3456. R.20200326.1721.007.html.
- [35] Gao W, Yang X, Zheng XQ, et al. Clinical characteristic of 90 patients with COVID-19 hospitalized in a Grade-A hospital in Beijing. Academic J Chin PLA Med School 2020;1–4. http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/ 10.1117.R.20200330.1000.008.html.
- [36] Xie H, Zhao J, Lian N, et al. Clinical characteristics of non-ICU hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 and liver injury: a retrospective study. Liver Int 2020;40:1321–6.
- [37] Zhang Y, Zheng L, Liu L, et al. Liver impairment in COVID-19 patients: a retrospective analysis of 115 cases from a single centre in Wuhan city, China. Liver Int 2020;40:2095–103.
- [38] Chen L, Liu HG, Liu W, et al. Analysis of clinical features of 29 patients with 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia. Chin J Tuberc Respir Dis 2020;43:203–8.
- [39] Peng YD, Meng K, Guan HQ, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of 112cardiovascular disease patients infected by 2019-nCoV. Chin J Cardiol 2020;48:E0004–14.
- [40] Chen M, An W, Xia F, et al. Retrospective analysis of COVID-19 patients with different clinical subtypes. Herald Med 2020;39:459–64.
- [41] Wan Q, Shi AQ, He T, et al. Analysis of clinical features of 153 patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia in Chongqing. Chin J Clin Infect Dis 2020;16–20.
- [42] Li D, Liu HY, Wang Y, et al. Clinical features of 30 cases with novel coronavirus pneumonia. Chin J Infect Dis 2020;38:E018–118.
- [43] Ling Y, Lin YX, Qian ZP, et al. Clinical analysis of risk factors for severe patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. Chinese J Infect Dis 2020;1– 0. http://rs.yiigle.com/yufabiao/1185115.htm.
- [44] Bin YF, Ji P, Liang XD, et al. Clinical characteristics of 55 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan. China. J Guangxi Medical Univ 2020;37:338–42.
- [45] Xia XT, Wen MY, Zhan SF, et al. An increased neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio is an early warning signal of severe COVID-19. J South Med Univ 2020;40:333–6.
- [46] Chen C, Chen T, Yan JT, et al. Analysis of myocardial injury in patients with COVID-19 and association between concomitant cardiovascular diseases and severity of COVID-19. Chinese J Cardiol 2020;48:567–71.
- [47] Liu SJ, Cheng F, Yang XY, et al. A study of laboratory confirmed cases between laboratory indexes and clinical classification of 342 cases with Corona Virus Disease 2019 in Ezhou. Lab Med 2020;1–2.
- [48] An W, Xia F, Chen M, et al. Analysis of clinical features of 11 death cases caused by COVID-19. J Pract Med 2020;1–6.
- [49] Feng Y, Ling Y, Bai T, et al. COVID-19 with different severity: a multicenter study of clinical features. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020; 201:1380–8.

- [50] Cai Q, Huang D, Ou P, et al. COVID-19 in a designated infectious diseases hospital outside Hubei Province, China. Allergy 2020;75:1742– 52.
- [51] Zheng F, Tang W, Li H, et al. Clinical characteristics of 161 cases of corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Changsha. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020;24:3404–10.
- [52] Tang JS, Xuan C, Lin JT, et al. Clinical significance of detecting c-reactive protein, interleukin-6 and procalcitonin in COVID-19. J Pract Med 2020;36:839–41.
- [53] Zheng Y, Xu H, Yang M, et al. Epidemiological characteristics and clinical features of 32 critical and 67 noncritical cases of COVID-19 in Chengdu. J Clin Virol 2020;127:104366.
- [54] Wang L, He W, Yu X, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in elderly patients: characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week follow-up. J Infect 2020;80:639–45.
- [55] Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, et al. Correction to: clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. Intensive Care Med 2020;1–4. doi:10.1007/ s00134-020-06028-z.
- [56] Tu WJ, Cao J, Yu L, et al. Clinicolaboratory study of 25 fatal cases of COVID-19 in Wuhan. Intensive Care Med 2020;46:1117–20.
- [57] Chen X, Zhao B, Qu Y, et al. Detectable serum SARS-CoV-2 viral load (RNAaemia) is closely correlated with drastically elevated interleukin 6 (IL-6) level in critically ill COVID-19 patients. Clin Infect Dis 2020; ciaa449doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa449.
- [58] Ma J, Yin J, Qian Y, et al. Clinical characteristics and prognosis in cancer patients with COVID-19: a single center's retrospective study. J Infect 2020;81:318–56.
- [59] Wang Z, Yang B, Li Q, et al. Clinical features of 69 cases with Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis 2020; ciaa272doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa272.
- [60] Zou QX, Lin FW, Wang Y, et al. Changes and clinical significance of serum IL-2R, IL-6 and TNF-α in elderly patients with COVID-19. J Changchun Univ Chinese Med 2020;1–3. http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/ detail/22.1375.R.20200420.1333.002.html.
- [61] He XW, Lai JS, Cheng J, et al. Impact of complicated myocardial injury on the clinical outcome of severe or critically ill COVID-19 patients. Chin J Cardiol 2020;1–9. http://rs.yiigle.com/yufabiao/1184840.htm.
- [62] Zuo FT, Li CL, Dong ZG, et al. Analysis of the orrelation between clinical characteristics and disease severity in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. Tianjin Med J 2020;5:455–60.
- [63] National Health and Health Commission of the people's Republic of China. Diagnosis and Treatment of Pneumonia of New Coronavirus Infection (Trial Version 7). Available at: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yzygj/ s7653p/202003/46c9294a7dfe4cef80dc7f5912eb1989.shtml. Accessed March 3, 2020.
- [64] Aziz M, Fatima R, Assaly R. Elevated interleukin-6 and severe COVID-19: a meta-analysis. J Med Virol 2020;Online ahead of print.
- [65] Zeng F, Huang Y, Guo Y, et al. Association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19: a meta-analysis. Int J Infect Dis 2020;96:467–74.
- [66] Baran P, Hansen S, Waetzig GH, et al. The balance of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-6 soluble IL-6 receptor (sIL-6R), and IL-6 sIL-6R sgp130 complexes allows simultaneous classic and trans-signaling. J Biol Chem 2018; 293:6762–75.
- [67] Taniguchi K, Karin M. IL-6 and related cytokines as the critical lynchpins between inflammation and cancer. Semin Immunol 2014;26:54–74.
- [68] Lai HS, Lin WH, Lai SL, et al. Interleukin-6 mediates angiotensinogen gene expression during liver regeneration. PLoS One 2013;8:e67868.
- [69] Fraunberger P, Wang Y, Holler E, et al. Prognostic value of interleukin 6, procalcitonin, and C-reactive protein levels in intensive care unit patients during first increase of fever. Shock 2006;26:10–2.
- [70] Tan C, Huang Y, Shi F, et al. C-reactive protein correlates with computed tomographic findings and predicts severe COVID-19 early. J Med Virol 2020;92:856–62.
- [71] Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in coronavirus disease 2019 patients: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Int J Infect Dis 2020;94:91–5.
- [72] Zhang C, Wu Z, Li JW, et al. The cytokine release syndrome (CRS) of severe COVID-19 and Interleukin-6 receptor (IL-6R) antagonist Tocilizumab may be the key to reduce the mortality. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020;105954.