



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Nat Rev Genet. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Rev Genet. 2020 September ; 21(9): 555–571. doi:10.1038/s41576-020-0245-9.

Maternal H3K27me3-dependent autosomal and X-chromosome imprinting

Zhiyuan Chen^{1,2,3}, Yi Zhang^{1,2,3,4,5,†}

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston MA 02115, USA

²Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA

³Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA

⁴Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

⁵Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Abstract

Genomic imprinting and X chromosome inactivation (XCI) are classic epigenetic phenomena that involve transcriptional silencing of one parental allele. Germline-derived differential DNA methylation is the best-studied epigenetic mark that initiates imprinting, but evidence indicates that other mechanisms exist. Recent studies have revealed that maternal trimethylation of H3 on lysine 27 (H3K27me3) mediates autosomal maternal allele-specific gene silencing and has an important role in imprinted XCI through repression of maternal *Xist*. Furthermore, loss of H3K27me3-mediated imprinting contributes to the developmental defects observed in cloned embryos. This novel maternal H3K27me3-mediated noncanonical imprinting mechanism further emphasizes the important role of parental chromatin in development, and could provide the basis for improving the efficiency of embryo cloning.

ToC blurb

The role of DNA methylation in genomic imprinting and X chromosome inactivation (XCI) is well-documented, but other imprinting mechanisms exist. Here, the authors review the role of oocyte-derived histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation in establishing autosomal imprinting and imprinted XCI.

Introduction

Most autosomal genes in diploid cells are transcribed at similar levels from both alleles. However, for a small subset of genes, one parental allele is transcriptionally silenced by

[†]Correspondence should be addressed to: yzhang@genetics.med.harvard.edu.

Author contributions

The authors contributed equally to all aspects of the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

genomic imprinting, and expression depends on whether the allele is inherited from the oocyte or the sperm¹. In addition to autosomal imprinting, the paternal X chromosome is preferentially silenced in female mouse preimplantation embryos and placental lineages by a process known as imprinted X-chromosome inactivation (XCI) (a process distinct from random XCI, which occurs in post-implantation embryonic lineages in mouse and other mammals, BOX1)². As these imprints can persist from gametes to the next generation, genomic imprinting and imprinted XCI represent two examples of intergenerational epigenetic inheritance. Together, these two processes are critical for controlling gene dosage during embryonic development, and their dysregulation can cause developmental defects and diseases. For example, loss of imprinting contributes to childhood disorders such as the Prader-Willi/Angelman and Beckwith-Wiedemann/Silver-Russell syndromes^{2,3}.

The unequal contributions of parental genomes during development was first demonstrated by elegant pronuclear transfer experiments in the 1980s^{4,5}; bi-maternal and bi-paternal mouse embryos generated in these studies were found to be non-viable, indicating that both maternal and paternal genomes are required for normal development. The first imprinted genes were identified in the early 1990s⁶⁻⁹, and shortly afterwards parental-allele-specific DNA methylation was found to be critical for imprinted gene expression¹⁰. Parental-allele-specific DNA methylation originates from differential DNA methylation between oocytes and sperm and is maintained throughout development¹¹. These germline differentially methylated regions (DMRs) are the primary signals for establishing secondary allele-specific epigenetic features such as histone modifications and somatic DMRs that help to achieve imprinted expression^{12,13}. Germline DNA methylation-dependent allele-specific expression is the classic form of genomic imprinting, and is therefore referred to here as canonical imprinting.

However, several paternally-expressed imprinted genes in mouse placenta do not harbor germline DMRs and their imprinted expression is independent of oocyte DNA methylation^{14,15}. Furthermore, germline DNA methylation does not regulate the paternal-allele-specific expression of the long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) *X-inactive specific transcript (Xist)* in mouse extraembryonic cells, which causes the paternal-allele-specific silencing of most X-linked genes in this lineage^{2,16,17}. Taken together, these observations indicate the existence of a germline DNA methylation-independent imprinting mechanism.

Recently, low-input epigenomic profiling techniques¹⁸ have been used to demonstrate that Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2)-mediated trimethylation of H3 on lysine 27 (H3K27me3) in mouse oocytes is the cause of maternal-allele-specific silencing of both the autosomal imprinted genes and the imprinted *Xist*^{19,20} in the placenta (BOX 2). Because this new imprinting mechanism uses oocyte-inherited H3K27me3, rather than DNA methylation, to distinguish parental alleles in pre-implantation embryos, it is mechanistically different from classic imprinting and is therefore termed noncanonical imprinting. It should be noted that the noncanonical imprinting referred to here is different from the phenomenon of modest parental expression bias observed in specific brain regions, which has also been referred to as noncanonical imprinting in some contexts²¹.

In this Review, we will first briefly summarize the mechanisms involved in canonical imprinting. We will then describe our current understanding of noncanonical imprinting and compare and contrast it to canonical imprinting in terms of its establishment and maintenance. In addition, the role of noncanonical imprinting in imprinted XCI, placental development, and animal cloning will be discussed. Lastly, we will discuss how noncanonical imprinting might be conserved during evolution. Unless otherwise specified, both imprinting mechanisms will be discussed in the context of the mouse.

Mechanisms of canonical imprinting

Canonically imprinted genes typically are found in clusters of more than three genes and span genomic regions ranging in size from several Kb to a few Mb¹¹. The allele-specific expression of the transcripts within each cluster is regulated by a *cis*-regulatory element known as the imprinting control region (ICR)¹¹. ICRs exhibit germline-derived differential DNA methylation between parental alleles and genetic manipulation of the ICRs in either *in vitro* cell culture or *in vivo* mouse studies can cause loss of imprinting of all genes in an imprinted cluster¹¹. Given its essential role, the establishment, maintenance and erasure of allelic DNA methylation at ICRs is controlled by multiple regulators. In addition, ICRs use diverse *cis*-regulatory mechanisms to control imprinted gene expression. However, most mechanisms are not fully understood and, even for well-studied mechanisms such as the insulator model and lncRNA model described below, it is not clear how applicable they might be to other imprinted loci. For a more comprehensive discussion of canonical imprinting, readers are directed to excellent reviews of the topic^{11,22,3,23}.

Establishment of canonical imprinting during gametogenesis.

Primary imprinting marks need to be established during gametogenesis, a developmental window when the parental genomes are in separate compartments and are subject to different epigenetic modifications (Figure 1A). At this stage, both global *de novo* DNA methylation and methylation at individual germline DMRs are deposited by the DNA methyltransferase DNMT3A and its essential non-catalytic co-factor DNMT3L^{24,25}. Loss of DNMT3A or DNMT3L in oocytes causes maternal imprinting defects and embryonic lethality, and lack of either protein in the male germline leads to spermatogenesis defects and *de novo* methylation failure at two of the three paternally methylated DMRs (that is, the *H19/Igf2* and *Gtl2/Dlk1* ICRs)^{24,25}. The other paternally methylated DMR, *Rasgrf1*, depends on the piwi-interacting RNA pathway and the recently identified rodent-specific DNMT3C^{26–28}.

Whereas paternally methylated DMRs acquire DNA methylation prenatally, maternal DMRs are methylated postnatally during oocyte growth¹¹. Despite extensive studies, some aspects of *de novo* DNA methylation during oogenesis remain elusive. The current working model is that transcription elongation causes an enrichment of dimethylation and trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 36 (H3K36me2/3) at the transcribed regions, and these histone modifications recruit the DNMT3A/3L complex to establish DNA methylation in oocytes^{29–31}. In support of this model, premature termination of transcription at germline DMRs in oocytes leads to reduced H3K36me3 levels and a failure of *de novo* DNA methylation at

these loci^{29,31,32}. Furthermore, depletion of the H3K36 methyltransferase SETD2 in oocytes causes genome-wide loss of H3K36me3 and DNA hypomethylation, including at germline DMRs³³. In addition, removal of histone modifications that antagonize DNA methylation is also important for imprinting establishment as loss of the H3K4 demethylases KDM1A or KDM1B causes a substantial increase of H3K4me2 in oocytes and results in defective establishment of DNA methylation at maternally methylated DMRs^{30,34}.

Maintenance of canonical imprinting during development.

In canonical imprinting, parental allele-specific DNA methylation at ICRs needs to survive two waves of DNA methylation reprogramming; global demethylation during pre-implantation development, and the subsequent re-methylation at implantation³⁵ (Figure 1A). Genome-wide DNA methylation profiling has revealed that half of the sperm and oocyte genomes are differentially methylated; however, most of these DMRs become hypomethylated on both parental alleles before implantation³⁶. Germline DMRs that overlap ICRs are protected from this global DNA demethylation by the Krüppel-associated box (KRAB)-containing zinc finger proteins (KZFP) ZFP57 and ZFP445^{37,38}, as mouse embryos that lack ZFP57 and ZFP445 fail to maintain DNA methylation at most ICRs^{37,38}. Mechanistic studies in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) indicate that ZFP57 and ZFP445 bind to the methylated allele at ICRs and recruits the co-factor KAP1 (also known as TRIM28)^{38,39}. ZFP57/KAP1 complex also associates with other epigenetic modifiers including the DNA methylation maintenance machinery DNMT1 and UHRF1 and the H3K9 methyltransferase SETDB1 to protect allele-specific DNA methylation at ICRs^{39,40}. Recently, the N-alpha-acetyltransferase 10 protein (NAA10P) has been shown to facilitate DNMT1 binding to the methylated alleles and loss of NAA10P causes DNA hypomethylation at ICRs in both mouse embryos and mESCs⁴¹. How NAA10P recruits DNMT1 and interacts with other imprinting maintenance factors such as ZFP57 and KAP1 remains to be determined.

In addition to the methylated allele at ICRs escaping global DNA demethylation in pre-implantation development, it is equally important for the unmethylated allele to survive genome-wide re-methylation during implantation⁴². Although the underlying mechanism remains unclear, it is believed that the unmethylated allele at ICRs is protected from *de novo* DNA methylation by the presence of histone marks that can antagonize DNA methylation machinery, such as H3K4me3 and/or other modifications^{42,43}.

Erasure of canonical imprinting in primordial germ cells.

In order to re-establish DNA methylation in the germline according to the sex of the embryo, the allelic DNA methylation at ICRs must first be erased. The erasure of DNA methylation at ICRs occurs as part of the global DNA demethylation process in the primordial germ cells (PGCs), which involves passive demethylation of the bulk of the genome by DNA replication followed by active demethylation mainly of imprinted loci and germline-specific genes by the Ten-Eleven Translocation (TET) family enzymes^{44,45,46} (Figure 1A). TET enzymes can convert 5-methylcytosine (5mC) into 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) and its derivatives, which are then removed by replication-dependent dilution or by the DNA base excision repair pathway⁴⁴. Genetic studies in mouse indicate that TET1 deficiency causes aberrant

DNA hyper-methylation at only a subset of ICRs in germ cells and somatic tissues and results in dysregulated imprinted gene expression^{46,47}. For example, DNA demethylation at the *Snrpn* ICR is unaffected even in TET1/TET2 double mutants^{47,48}, suggesting that demethylation at *Snrpn* ICR occurs through passive dilution but not active demethylation. The mechanism underlying ICR-specific dependency on TET proteins remains unknown, but it has been suggested that the sequence composition of the *Snrpn* ICRs could explain why, it does not undergo active demethylation like other imprinted loci⁴⁷.

The insulator model of imprinted gene regulation.

The insulator model of imprinted gene regulation is best exemplified by the *H19/Igf2* locus, which has been the subject of a series of elegant mouse genetic studies. *H19* is a long non-coding RNA and is maternally expressed whereas the insulin-like growth factor *Igf2* is paternally expressed^{6,8,9}. The *H19/Igf2* ICR is located between the *H19* and *Igf2* genes and is methylated on the paternal allele but unmethylated on the maternal allele^{49,50}(Figure 1B). Deletion of the paternally inherited *H19/Igf2* ICR causes de-repression of paternal *H19* and reduced levels of *Igf2*, whereas deletion of the maternally transmitted ICR leads to activation of maternal *Igf2* and repression of *H19* expression⁵¹. The key to imprinting regulation by the *H19/Igf2* ICR is the DNA methylation-sensitive CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF), which binds only to the unmethylated maternal ICR^{52,53}. On the maternal allele, CTCF acts as an insulator and blocks interactions between the *Igf2* promoter and the downstream shared enhancers, preventing *Igf2* expression^{52,53}. In addition, CTCF binding facilitates initiation of *H19* expression and prevents ectopic DNA methylation on the unmethylated maternal ICR⁵⁴. Maternal inheritance of an *H19/Igf2* ICR that contains mutated CTCF binding sites abolishes maternal CTCF binding and causes DNA hypermethylation on the maternal allele, preventing *H19* expression⁵⁴. On the paternal allele, however, DNA methylation at the ICR prevents CTCF binding, which allows the enhancers to interact with the *Igf2* promoter to activate *Igf2* expression^{52,53}. In addition, DNA methylation at the ICR spreads into the *H19* promoter to silence paternal *H19* expression⁵¹.

The differential access of *H19* and *Igf2* to the shared downstream enhancers indicates distinct three-dimensional conformations between parental alleles at this locus. Using 4C-seq and DNA-fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), a recent study indicated that, in addition to allelic CTCF binding at the ICR, bi-allelic CTCF binding to sites that flank the *H19/Igf2* locus are also involved in modulating allelic chromatin looping in mESCs⁵⁵. Specifically, the bi-allelic CTCF binding correlates with a topologically associated domain (TAD) that is common to both alleles. However, on the maternal allele, the additional CTCF binding at the *H19/Igf2* ICR contributes to a sub-TAD, which can override the higher-level TAD and restrict the interaction between *Igf2* and the enhancers (Figure 1B). It remains to be shown if this allelic TAD model is universal for other imprinted clusters.

The lncRNA model of imprinted gene regulation.

One of the best-characterized imprinted clusters that illustrates the lncRNA model of gene regulation is the *Kcnq1* imprinted cluster. The *Kcnq1* ICR, known as KvDMR1, is unmethylated on the paternal allele and methylated on the maternal allele (Figure 1C)^{56,57}. KvDMR1 contains a promoter for the paternally expressed lncRNA *Kcnq1ot1*, which

recruits repressive histone modifications H3K27me3 and H3K9me2 to silence ten flanking maternally expressed protein-coding genes including *Cdkn1c*, *Slc22a18*, and *Tssc4*^{58–62}. On the maternal allele, however, DNA methylation of KvDMR1 prevents *Kcnq1ot1* expression, thereby allowing the transcription of flanking genes. Deletion of the *Kcnq1ot1* promoter or premature termination of the lncRNA on the paternal allele causes de-repression of the neighboring protein coding genes in mouse embryos^{63,64}. By contrast, maternal transmission of the KvDMR1 deletion has no effect on imprinted regulation at this cluster^{63,64}.

Although the role of *Kcnq1ot1* in regulating imprinted gene expression is well established, how it recruits epigenetic modifiers and induces chromatin changes remain unknown. It is also unclear how *Kcnq1ot1* mediates gene silencing in a tissue- and stage-specific manner. For example, although *Kcnq1ot1* is ubiquitously imprinted, *Slc22a18* and *Tssc4* are imprinted only in the placenta and not the embryo. Evidence from mouse trophoblast stem cells (mTSCs) indicates that the stability and abundance of lncRNAs seem to be critical for determining the level of H3K27me3 enrichment at imprinted loci⁶⁵ as overexpression or knockdown of another imprinted lncRNA, *Aim*, in mTSCs causes enhanced or reduced H3K27me3 levels at the imprinted cluster, respectively⁶⁵. In addition, genomic structures (such as DNA loops and TADs) and DNA sequences (such as CpG islands) also seem to be involved in shaping the H3K27me3 domains⁶⁵. Therefore, the capacity of lncRNAs to induce gene silencing can be influenced by complex factors and their variable activities in different cell lineages may explain how lncRNAs can mediated tissue- and stage-specific imprinting.

Mechanisms of noncanonical imprinting

Oocyte H3K27me3 and noncanonical imprinting.

Although the very different epigenetic landscapes of the sperm and egg become largely equalized during preimplantation development, allelic analyses of DNase I hypersensitivity sites (DHSs) in preimplantation mouse embryos has revealed that known ICRs exhibit differential chromatin accessibility, with the hypomethylated allele showing a higher DHS signal⁶⁶. In addition to these known ICRs, a substantial number of paternal allele-specific DHSs (Ps-DHSs) were detected that are hypomethylated on both alleles, indicating that mechanisms other than DNA methylation determine the allele-specificity of these Ps-DHSs in early embryos²⁰. Notably, some of these Ps-DHSs are associated with paternally expressed genes known to be independent of oocyte-derived DNA methylation, such as *Gab1*, *Sfmbt2*, and *Slc38a4*^{14,15}. Further analyses indicated that the Ps-DHSs harbor maternal allele-specific H3K27me3 that is inherited from oocytes⁶⁷, suggesting that maternal H3K27me3 may reduce chromatin accessibility of the corresponding regions on the maternal allele²⁰. Acute depletion of H3K27me3 in mouse pre-implantation embryos by overexpressing the demethylase KDM6B causes bi-allelic DHSs and gene expression at these loci, demonstrating that maternally inherited H3K27me3 contributes to the Ps-DHSs and paternal allele-specific gene expression²⁰. Taken together, these observations suggest that oocyte H3K27me3 can serve as a primary epigenetic mark for imprinted gene expression (Figure 2).

A few differences should be noted between canonical and noncanonical imprinting mechanisms. For canonical imprinting, the imprints (that is, DNA methylation) that govern allele-specific gene expression can be inherited from either oocytes or sperm cells¹¹ (Figure 1A). However, the H3K27me3 that mediates noncanonical imprinting is only inherited from oocytes because most sperm DNA is packaged by protamines rather than histones and the minor amount of paternal H3K27me3 is completely reprogrammed at fertilization⁶⁷. The oocyte-inherited H3K27me3 also differs from the allelic H3K27me3 implicated in canonical imprinting, which is secondary to the germline DMRs⁶⁸. Lastly, while canonical imprinting is maintained in both embryonic and extraembryonic lineages, most noncanonical imprinting is transient, with only some genes important for placental development maintaining their imprinted expression in extraembryonic cells²⁰. As noncanonical imprinting is not maintained in the epiblast lineage that gives rise to germ cells, noncanonical imprints do not need to be erased in PGCs (Figure 2A); by contrast, canonical imprints must be erased in PGCs to reset imprinting for the next generation (Figure 1A).

Establishment of noncanonical imprinting during oocyte growth.

Analogous to DNA methylation, most H3K27me3 is deposited by PRC2 during oocyte growth⁶⁷ (Figure 2A, Box 2). However, H3K27me3 is generally anticorrelated with DNA methylation and H3K36me3 in oocytes³³. In addition, unlike somatic cells, H3K27me3 in oocytes is present not only at the classic genomic targets of Polycomb group (PcG) proteins, such as developmental gene promoters, but also at non-transcribed regions that can be several Mb in size^{67,69}. This acquisition of H3K27me3 during oogenesis is independent of DNA methylation as H3K27me3 domains are largely unaffected in *Dnmt3l* knockout mice³³. Furthermore, embryos derived from DNA methylation-deficient oocytes maintain intact maternal H3K27me3 domains, explaining why these embryos show normal noncanonical imprinting but abnormal canonical imprinting^{70,71}. Conversely, DNA methylation acquisition in oocytes is independent of H3K27me3 as embryos from H3K27me3-deficient oocytes (that is, oocytes from conditional PRC2 null mice) exhibit proper canonical, but not noncanonical, imprinting⁷². Therefore, canonical and noncanonical imprints are independently established during oogenesis.

One intriguing question is how genomic regions are selected for DNA methylation or H3K27me3 during oogenesis, which will determine whether a gene, if imprinted, will be regulated by canonical or noncanonical imprinting. It is likely that H3K27me3 promiscuously marks transcriptionally inactive regions during oogenesis and is antagonized by H3K36me3 at the actively transcribed regions. In support of this notion, H3K27me3 can ectopically occupy regions that are normally marked by H3K36me3 in *Setd2*-null oocytes³³. However, H3K36me3 cannot be the sole mechanism that defines H3K27me3 boundaries because not all non-transcribed regions in oocytes are marked by H3K27me3³³. Given that disruption of Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1, a repressive complex that ubiquitinylates lysine 119 of histone H2A (H2AK119ub), BOX2) causes more severe defects in oogenesis than disruption of PRC2⁷²⁻⁷⁵, it is likely that PRC1 recruitment may be upstream of H3K27me3 acquisition during oocyte development. Indeed, Kdm2b (also known as Fbx110), an H3K36 demethylase^{76,77}, binds to unmethylated CpG islands and recruits the PRC1 member RING1B to mediate H2AK119ub deposition in mESCs⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰. In

addition, Kdm2b is responsible for protecting genes bound by PRC1 and PRC 2 from ectopic *de novo* DNA methylation in mESCs⁸¹. However, a role for Kdm2b in recruiting PRC1 and antagonizing DNA methylation during oogenesis remains to be demonstrated.

Maintenance of noncanonical imprinting during development.

In contrast to DNA methylation at ICRs, which is generally maintained throughout development, the maternally inherited H3K27me3 domains that mediate noncanonical imprinting are only temporarily maintained in pre-implantation embryos (Figure 2A)^{70,71}. This maintenance depends on genomic context. For example, H3K27me3 profiling in mouse early embryos indicates that H3K27me3 at typical PcG targets is erased by the late 1-cell stage and then re-established at implantation⁶⁷. Notably, RNA-sequencing based analyses revealed that PcG targets remain inactive even in the absence of H3K27me3⁶⁷, suggesting that either transcription factors required for gene activation are not present or additional repressive epigenetic mechanisms compensate for the loss of H3K27me3 to silence PcG targets in early embryos. Nonetheless, maternally inherited H3K27me3 is essential at this developmental stage to preserve the parental allele specificity at noncanonically imprinted loci, as acute depletion of H3K27me3 by overexpressing the demethylase KDM6B in mouse pre-implantation embryos results in loss of imprinted expression of these genes²⁰.

Maternally inherited H3K27me3 diminishes during pre-implantation development and is largely absent after implantation (Figure 2)^{70,71}, possibly explaining why most noncanonical imprinting is transient and not maintained beyond implantation²⁰. However, the fact that some noncanonically imprinted genes do maintain their imprinted expression in the placental lineage suggests that an additional epigenetic modification takes over from H3K27me3 to repress maternal allele transcription at these loci. Analyses of allelic DNA methylome and H3K27me3 in pre-implantation embryos and post-implantation placental lineages revealed that although these genes lose their maternally inherited H3K27me3, they acquire DNA methylation (that is, somatic DMRs) specifically on the maternal allele to maintain imprinted expression in extraembryonic cells (Figure 2B)^{70,71}. Furthermore, the somatic DMR acquisition depends on the zygotic *de novo* DNA methyltransferases DNMT3A/3B as DNMT3A/3B double mutant embryos fail to acquire the somatic DMRs and show de-repression of the maternal allele at the noncanonical imprinting loci⁷⁰. It should be noted that this switch from a dependence on maternal H3K27me3 in pre-implantation embryos to allelic DNA methylation after implantation is the opposite of the placenta-specific canonical imprinting that occurs at the *Kcnq1* cluster, in which imprinted expression initially depends on allelic DNA methylation but then switches to H3K27me3 to maintain imprinting (Figure 1C)^{59,61,62}.

It remains unclear how these few genes are selected to acquire somatic DMRs and maintain imprinted expression in the placenta. It has been observed that the noncanonically imprinted loci that preferentially acquire somatic DMRs overlap active endogenous retrovirus-K (ERVK) long terminal repeats (LTR)⁷¹. These ERVK LTRs seem to have a role in maintaining noncanonical imprinting as disruption of the ERVK promoter at the *Gab1* locus caused weakened paternal gene expression bias, although DNA methylation at the promoter was not determined⁷¹. Furthermore, the somatic DMRs become hypermethylated on both

alleles in the epiblast, which explains why noncanonical imprinting is not maintained in this lineage⁷¹. However, it remains unclear how insertions of ERVK LTRs, but not other repeat types, can maintain imprinting and what placenta-specific transcription factors protect the paternal allele from global *de novo* DNA methylation at implantation. In addition, it is not known why *Sfmbt2* retains allelic H3K27me3 in early post-implantation development and acquires somatic DMRs later than other noncanonically imprinted loci⁷⁰. Nonetheless, the switch from allelic H3K27me3 to allelic DNA methylation indicates that transient allelic histone modifications in early embryos can have long-term consequences in mouse embryonic development.

Mechanisms of imprinted X inactivation

Xist imprinting by oocyte H3K27me3.

What controls imprinted X inactivation in mouse pre-implantation embryos has been a long-standing question. In mouse embryos generated by nuclear transfer using either non-growing oocytes or fully-grown oocytes, the X-chromosome derived from the non-growing oocyte, which resembles a normal Xp, is preferentially silenced⁸². This observation suggests that a maternal imprint is established during oocyte growth to prevent Xm from being silenced in early embryos. Consistent with this hypothesis, *Xist* initially remains silenced until the morula stage in diploid bi-maternal mouse embryos generated by parthenogenetic activation⁸³. By contrast, it has also been proposed that Xp could inherit a pre-inactive state from the male germ line, in which meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI) occurs⁸⁴. Although these two possibilities are not mutually exclusive, results from further studies argue against the pre-inactivation of Xp prior to imprinted XCI. An *Xist* transgene on autosomes (which do not undergo MSCI in the male germline) can still cause imprinted *in cis* inactivation when paternally inherited⁸⁵, indicating that MSCI is not required for imprinted XCI. In addition, single-cell RNA sequencing of mouse pre-implantation embryos reveals that Xp silencing begins at the 4-cell stage instead of being pre-activated¹⁶. Furthermore, mouse embryos in which the paternal allele of *Xist* has been deleted cannot initiate Xp inactivation¹⁶. These results suggest that Xp inactivation occurs *de novo* after zygotic genome activation (ZGA) and is fully dependent on expression of *Xist* from the paternal allele¹⁶. By contrast, the maternal allele of *Xist* remains repressed in early embryos to keep Xm active (Figure 3A).

With this in mind, what is the epigenetic imprint that represses maternal *Xist*? Recent studies in early mouse embryos indicate that oocyte-inherited H3K27me3 silences maternal *Xist* expression, whereas paternally-expressed *Xist* silences Xp *in cis* (Figure 3B)^{19,72,86}. This conclusion is supported by several pieces of evidence. Firstly, H3K27me3, but not DNA methylation, is gradually established at the *Xist* locus during oocyte growth and maternally inherited H3K27me3 is maintained until the blastocyst stage¹⁹. Secondly, acute depletion of H3K27me3 by overexpressing the histone demethylase KDM6B causes loss of maternal H3K27me3 at the *Xist* locus, ectopic maternal *Xist* expression, and aberrant XmCI in both male and female mouse embryos¹⁹. Lastly, depletion of EED, a core PRC2 subunit, in oocytes causes loss of maternal H3K27me3, ectopic expression of maternal *Xist*, and aberrant XmCI in embryos of both sexes^{72,86}. Therefore, after fertilization, the oocyte-

inherited H3K27me3 silences maternal *Xist* and protects Xm from being inactivated. By contrast, *Xist* on the paternal allele is transcriptionally accessible and is expressed to induce Xp silencing *in cis*¹⁶(Figure 3B).

In addition to H3K27me3, maternal H3K9me3 has been proposed to prevent activation of maternal *Xist* in early embryos because acute depletion of H3K9me3 by overexpressing the H3K9me3 demethylase KDM4B caused a partial de-repression of *Xist* in diploid parthogenetic 4-cell embryos⁸⁷. However, this result is not reproducible in bi-parental embryos generated by *in vitro* fertilization¹⁹. Importantly, neither the *Xist* promoter nor the gene body is enriched for H3K9me3 in fully grown oocytes⁸⁸. Therefore, oocyte H3K9me3 may not be the imprint that suppresses maternal *Xist* in early embryos.

Reactivation of Xp in the inner cell mass.

Xist-induced paternal XCI is complete by around the 32-cell stage (~E3.0), and Xp then initiates reactivation in the inner cell mass (ICM) of early blastocysts (~E3.5)^{89,90}. Single-cell RNA sequencing-based analyses of early and mid ICM revealed that X-linked genes undergo reactivation at different kinetics, with some genes reactivating early at E3.5 and others only fully reactivating at E4.0–4.5 when the epiblast has formed⁹¹. Notably, the early-reactivated genes in the ICM undergo re-silencing in ICM-derived primitive endoderm (PrE), thus maintaining imprinted XCI in PrE that will develop into the yolk sac⁹¹. Meanwhile, in the ICM-derived epiblast, Xp is fully reactivated and random XCI occurs shortly after.

What controls Xp reactivation remains largely unknown. Initiation of Xp reactivation has been linked to *Xist* repression by pluripotency factors expressed in ICM^{92,93}. In naive female mESCs, in which both Xs are active, pluripotent factors NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2 bind to *Xist* intron 1 and repress *Xist* transcription⁹⁴. In addition, loss of PRDM14, a guardian of naïve pluripotency⁹⁵, causes defective Xp reactivation in mouse blastocysts⁹². Mechanistic studies in mESCs suggest that PRDM14 represses *Xist* by directly binding to *Xist* intron 1 and indirectly silencing the *Xist* activator RNF12⁹². Therefore, the relationships between the pluripotent factors and *Xist* seem to be complex. To what extent the insights obtained in mESCs is applicable to Xp reactivation in embryos remains to be determined. It is also intriguing that some X-linked genes initiate reactivation before the loss of *Xist* coating and repressive H3K27me3 in ICM, two cytological hallmarks of Xp reactivation^{91,96}. It is unclear how the early reactivation is initiated, although transcription factors such as MYC have been proposed to play a part in driving transcriptional activation of these genes in early blastocysts⁹¹. On the other hand, erasure of H3K27me3 by histone demethylase KDM6A (also known as UTX) contributes to the transcription of late-reactivated genes⁹¹. How removal of additional repressive chromatin marks associated with paternal XCI, such as H3K9me2 and H2AK119ub, contributes to Xp reactivation remains to be studied.

Maintenance of imprinted *Xist* in placenta.

Following Xp reactivation, random XCI occurs in the embryo proper with *Xist* expressed from either the maternal or paternal X. By contrast, *Xist* imprinting is maintained in

extraembryonic lineages by the maternally expressed lncRNA *Tsix*, which is transcribed in an antisense direction from the *Xist* locus and represses *Xist* transcription in *cis*^{97–99}. When a *Tsix* knock-out allele is maternally inherited, maternal *Xist* is ectopically expressed in extraembryonic lineages, leading to aberrant maternal XCI and embryonic lethality^{98,99}. Given the essential role of *Tsix* in *Xist* imprinting and the absence of H3K27me3 from the *Xist* region after implantation, it is likely that oocyte H3K27me3-mediated maternal repression of *Xist* is replaced by *Tsix*-mediated repression in early post-implantation development. It should be noted that *Tsix* does not initiate maternal *Xist* silencing because it is not expressed until the morula stage^{99,100}.

As occurs at autosomal noncanonically imprinted loci, the *Xist* promoter becomes differentially methylated in extraembryonic lineages after implantation¹⁰¹. However, disruption of DNMT1 does not affect the imprinted expression of an X-linked reporter gene in extraembryonic lineages, indicating that this DNA methyltransferase is not responsible for maintaining *Xist* imprinting in this lineage¹⁰². Furthermore, simultaneous disruption of both DNMT3A and DNMT3B, *de novo* DNA methyltransferases that potentially compensate for DNMT1 in *Dnmt1* mutants¹⁰², does not affect *Xist* coating and only one X is inactivated¹⁰³. These observations imply that, unlike autosomal noncanonical imprinting, *Xist* maintains monoallelic expression in the absence of *de novo* DNA methylation in extraembryonic lineages.

Another notable difference between autosomal noncanonical imprinting and *Xist* imprinting is the developmental consequences of loss-of-imprinting in *Eed* maternal knock-out embryos. For noncanonical imprinting on autosomes, loss of maternal EED causes ectopic expression of the maternal allele in both pre-implantation embryos and placental lineages (Figure 2B)⁷². By contrast, in *Eed* maternal knock-out embryos both X-chromosomes in females and the sole X-chromosome in males are silenced at the morula stage owing to ectopic expression of maternal *Xist*, but aberrant *Xist* imprinting and XCI is resolved at the blastocyst stage^{72,86}. Interestingly, *Xist* and XCI are no longer imprinted but either Xm or Xp is inactivated in placental lineages of *Eed* maternal knock-out female embryos (Figure 3B)⁷². Analogously, androgenetic XpXp embryos, which do not have oocyte H3K27me3 to repress either *Xist* allele, also show bi-allelic XCI in early embryos but only one X-chromosome is randomly inactivated in later development¹⁰⁴. The correction of abnormal *Xist* imprinting in *Eed* maternal knock-out and XpXp embryos indicates that an X-chromosome counting mechanism exists in early embryos to ensure that a single X-chromosome is active regardless of its parental origin. However, aberrant XmCI in pre-implantation embryos already causes down-regulation of X-linked genes⁷² and may contribute to the developmental defects observed in these mouse models.

Noncanonical imprinting in placenta

As the majority of canonically imprinted genes are expressed prenatally, their functions have been best characterized in fetal development and placental biology³. Recently, roles for genomic imprinting in neuronal processes and adult behaviors have been reported²³, which is consistent with the brain, along with the placenta, being one of the organs with the highest number of imprinted genes¹⁰⁵. The physiological functions of canonical imprinting has

been thoroughly reviewed elsewhere^{2,3,23,106}, so here we focus on the role of noncanonical imprinting in development.

Most noncanonical imprinting is transient in pre-implantation embryos, with several genes maintaining imprinted expression in the placenta²⁰. The function of the transient noncanonical imprinting is unclear and whether it has any long-term effects on development remains to be shown. However, evidence from canonical imprinting indicates that transient imprinting in early embryos can regulate somatic DMR acquisition, which affects later physiological processes¹⁰⁷. Specifically, a transient maternal germline DMR (the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* DMR) causes paternal allele-specific expression of a lncRNA *Liz* in early mouse embryos¹⁰⁸. *Liz* is required to promote a paternally methylated intergenic somatic DMR (~10kb upstream of *Zdbf2*), which can antagonize H3K27me3-mediated repression of *Zdbf2*¹⁰⁷. Mouse embryos that lack early transient *Liz* expression fail to acquire the somatic DMR and to activate *Zdbf2* in the postnatal brain. These animals also show ~20% body weight reduction through adult life¹⁰⁷. Therefore, although some transient noncanonical imprinting may be a by-product of transient asymmetric parental H3K27me3 in early embryos, a functional role with life-long consequences remains possible.

Of the noncanonical imprinted genes whose imprinting state is maintained in the placenta (Table 1), *Slc38a4*, *Sfmbt2* and *Gab1* are the best characterized. Knock-out mouse models for each of these genes develop placenta hypoplasia and show lethality or sub-lethality^{109–111}. For *Slc38a4* and *Sfmbt2*, placental development is defective only when the knock-out allele is paternally inherited, consistent with imprinting and silencing of the maternal allele^{109,110}. SLC38A4 is an amino acid transporter that is likely involved in transporting amino acids at the maternal-fetal interface¹⁰⁹, which is consistent with the placental hypoplasia, and subsequent small-body phenotype, observed in mutant mice¹¹⁰. SFMBT2 is a mammalian homologue of the *Drosophila* Polycomb group protein *Sfmbt* but its molecular function remains poorly characterized¹¹². Paternal inheritance of a *Sfmbt2* knock-out allele results in embryonic lethality at mid-gestation due to severe placenta defects¹¹⁰. Intron 10 of *Sfmbt2* harbors one of the largest miRNA clusters in the mouse, which is imprinted like *Sfmbt2*¹¹³. Deletion of this miRNA cluster on the paternal allele severely impairs placental function and approximately one third of the paternal knock-out pups die around mid-gestation¹¹³. Therefore, *Sfmbt2* regulates placental development through both the SFMBT2 protein and the associated miRNA cluster¹¹³. Lastly, GAB1 functions as an adaptor protein downstream of tyrosine kinase signaling and GAB1 homozygous mutant embryos die at late gestation and display developmental defects in placenta and other organs such as heart and skin^{111,114}.

Although *Gab1*, *Sfmbt2*, and *Slc38a4* are required for normal mouse placental development, it has not yet been demonstrated whether these genes need to be imprinted. The maternal alleles at all noncanonically imprinted loci are derepressed in *Eed* maternal knock-out embryos and this mouse model has a variety of developmental defects, including embryonic sub-lethality, growth retardation at gastrulation, and postnatal overgrowth^{72,74}. However, these defects could be a combined effect of aberrant imprinted XCI, loss of noncanonical imprinting on autosomes, and imprinting-independent functions related to maternal H3K27me3 depletion. Given that *Gab1*, *Sfmbt2*, and *Slc38a4* mutant mice show placental

hypoplasia, it is possible that bi-allelic expression of these genes may cause enlarged placenta. Indeed, mouse embryos derived from somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) always express *Gab1*, *Sfmbt2*, and *Sc138a4* biallelically and show placenta hyperplasia¹¹⁵. However, mouse models with either bi-allelic expression or paternal-duplication of the individual loci are needed to further clarify the role of autosomal noncanonical imprinting in placental development.

Aberrant imprinting and XCI in SCNT

SCNT is a technique by which a differentiated somatic cell nucleus is reprogrammed by an enucleated oocyte to acquire totipotency (Figure 4A). SCNT makes possible not only reproductive cloning but also derivation of embryonic stem cells from cloned blastocysts¹¹⁶. Therefore, SCNT holds great potential for regenerative medicine and the agricultural industry. However, the efficiency of the process has remained low in the 20 years since it was first used to successfully clone the first mammal¹¹⁷. Aberrant genomic imprinting and imprinted XCI are two of the major known barriers impeding post-implantation development of cloned animals¹¹⁶.

Loss of canonical imprinting in SCNT embryos.

The initial assessment of canonical imprinting in cloned mouse embryos revealed that SCNT only alters transcript abundance but not allelic expression of imprinted genes¹¹⁸. However, this study was based on the analyses of only a few imprinted genes. Later, a comprehensive RNA sequencing-based study indicated that canonical imprinting is stochastically disrupted in the brain and the placenta of cloned mice and the aberrant imprinting involves both loss of monoallelic gene expression and alterations of transcriptional abundance¹⁵. It should be noted that some of the imprinting errors in cloned embryos may not be solely caused by SCNT reprogramming as the assisted reproductive techniques (ARTs) used in SCNT, such as superovulation and embryo culture, are also known to induce epimutations¹¹⁹.

Nonetheless, at least some imprinting errors, such as those seen at the *Gtl2/Dlk1* locus are likely caused by SCNT as they are rarely observed in embryos generated with the use of ART¹²⁰. It has been previously shown that one third of SCNT embryos lose imprinting at the *Gtl2/Dlk1* locus. In these embryos, *Dlk1* becomes biallelically expressed and *Gtl2* becomes biallelically repressed (Figure 4B)¹⁵ and their loss of allele-specific expression is associated with the gain of DNA methylation on the normally unmethylated maternal ICR¹⁵. It remains unknown how such epimutations are caused by SCNT reprogramming. It is likely that ectopic gain of maternal DNA methylation occurs during the wave of global *de novo* DNA methylation at implantation as the *Gtl2/Dlk1* maternal ICR is still hypomethylated at the blastocyst stage of SCNT embryos¹¹⁵. Loss of imprinting at the *Gtl2/Dlk1* locus is strongly correlated with lethality of SCNT embryos¹⁵, so understanding the mechanisms underlying this epimutation could uncover the means to mitigate its effects and thereby improve cloning efficiency

Loss of noncanonical imprinting in SCNT embryos.

Noncanonically imprinted genes always show bi-allelic expression in mouse SCNT pre-implantation embryos, placenta and the derived TSCs because somatic cells do not retain the primary imprint, the oocyte-derived H3K27me3 (Figure 4C)^{15,115,121}. Indeed, maternally-biased H3K27me3 domains that normally exist during pre-implantation development have been shown to be absent in SCNT morula stage embryos¹¹⁵. Intriguingly, the germline DMR on the maternal allele of *Slc38a4* is maintained in SCNT donor cells, but all resulting embryos exhibit bi-allelic expression and loss of maternal-specific DNA methylation of this locus by the blastocyst stage (Figure 4C)¹⁵. This observation suggests that the *Slc38a4* germline DMR cannot mediate imprinting in pre-implantation embryos in the absence of maternally-inherited H3K27me3. As noted above, *Gab1*, *Slc38a4* and *Sfmbt2* knock-out mice show placenta hypoplasia, and bi-allelic expression of these genes may contribute to the enlarged placenta observed in all cloned mouse embryos¹¹⁵. Whether using donor cells that are heterozygous for knock-out alleles of all three of these genes can reverse the enlarged placenta phenotype of SCNT embryos awaits to be shown.

Similar to noncanonical imprinting on autosomes, maternal H3K27me3 domains at the *Xist* locus also do not persist after implantation. Therefore, all SCNT-derived early embryos ectopically express *Xist* from the maternal allele, resulting in XmCI (Figure 4D)¹²². Remarkably, cloning efficiency (in terms of live pup rate) can be increased by around 10-fold by correcting *Xist* expression in SCNT embryos, either by using *Xist* knock-out donor cells or by knocking down *Xist* expression via siRNA injection at the 1-cell stage^{122,123}. Correcting *Xist* expression in SCNT embryos both reverses the downregulation of X-linked genes owing to aberrant XmCI and reduces the number of differentially expressed genes on autosomes¹²². These observations suggest that abnormal XCI in SCNT embryos disturbs the expression of both autosomal and X-linked genes. Similarly, aberrant XmCI may also contribute to the embryonic sub-lethality observed in the *Eed* maternal knock-out mouse model^{72,74,86}.

Conservation of noncanonical imprinting

Noncanonical imprinting is not conserved in humans.

In general, genomic imprinting in mice and humans is less conserved in the placenta than in the fetus^{124,125}. The oocyte H3K27me3-controlled mouse imprinted genes that have human orthologs such as *Gab1* and *Sfmbt2* are also not imprinted in the human placenta¹⁴. Recently, comprehensive profiling of histone modifications during human early embryonic development revealed that H3K27me3 is globally depleted on both parental alleles at the 8-cell stage¹²⁶. These results indicate that oocyte-derived H3K27me3 in humans is unable to preserve allele-specificity throughout development and is therefore unlikely to serve as an imprinting mark¹²⁶. Although oocyte H3K27me3 does not mediate imprinted gene expression in humans as it does in mice, paternal-specific expression of genes not associated with germline DMRs can occur in human morula embryos, suggesting that a DNA methylation-independent imprinting mechanism may exist¹²⁷. Recently, data from a comprehensive survey of allele-specific gene expression that compared transcriptomes between bi-maternal and bi-paternal human early embryos suggested that around half of

maternally- or paternally-biased gene expression cannot be explained by differential DNA methylation between parental alleles¹²⁸. Whether other epigenetic mechanisms modulate this allele-specific gene expression independently of DNA methylation remains to be investigated.

XCI dynamics in human early development is also distinct from mouse, although the detailed mechanisms remain elusive, partly owing to conflicting data. It was first proposed that one X chromosome is inactive in female human pre-implantation embryos as RNA-FISH detected *XIST* coating and some X-linked gene foci on only one of the two X chromosomes¹²⁹. However, another RNA-FISH based study reported that *XIST* coats both X-chromosomes in female and the sole X in male human early embryos¹³⁰. The discrepancies could be due to the different FISH conditions, which may compromise the detection of FISH signal on both alleles¹³⁰. Intriguingly, the *XIST*-coated X-chromosome lacks H3K27me3 and a few examined X-linked genes do not undergo silencing at these stages¹³⁰. Recently, data from single-cell RNA sequencing analyses indicated that dosage compensation of X-linked genes is achieved by reducing gene expression levels on both X chromosomes in female embryos¹³¹, although this model was later challenged when the dataset was reanalyzed using different computational criteria¹³². Despite the conflicting results and analyses, these studies highlight that important differences exist between XCI in human and mouse, and support the view that imprinted XCI is not conserved in human pre-implantation embryos. Interested readers are directed to a detailed review of human XCI dynamics¹³³.

Germline histone-mediated imprinting occurs in flowering plants.

Maternal H3K27me3 has been implicated as a primary imprint in the endosperm of flowering plants¹³⁴. Analogous to the mammalian placenta, the endosperm does not contribute to the next generation but is required for nourishment of the embryos. Similar to noncanonical imprinting in mice, H3K27me3-controlled imprinting in angiosperms is asymmetrically established in gametes and can persist in the endosperm¹³⁴. In addition, maternal H3K27me3 can recruit additional repressive epigenetic marks, including CHG methylation and H3K9me2, which may enforce gene silencing^{135,136}. In support of this notion, co-enrichment of H3K27me3, H3K9me2 and CHG methylation was observed on the maternal allele at paternally expressed genes in *Arabidopsis* endosperm¹³⁶. Furthermore, lack of PRC2 causes reduced CHG methylation suggesting that maternal CHG methylation depends on PRC2 activity¹³⁶. Given that the primary organs for germline H3K27me3-mediated imprinting in both plants and mice are involved in nutrient transfer, it is possible that this imprinting mechanism has evolved to respond to a similar selective pressure.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Recent advances in low-input epigenomic profiling have greatly enhanced our understanding of chromatin dynamics during the mammalian parental-to-zygotic transition. Accumulating evidence indicates that histone modifications can be transmitted from gametes to fertilized embryos to exert transcriptional regulation in the next generation. In particular, oocyte-inherited H3K27me3 can govern imprinted XCI and some placenta-specific imprinted genes

in mice. These findings expand the known mechanisms by which intergenerational epigenetic inheritance occurs and provide an opportunity to fully understand epigenetic reprogramming and totipotency acquisition in early development.

Although much has been discovered in recent years about the mechanisms underlying noncanonical imprinting, including how it is established and maintained, many details remain to be clarified. Firstly, it remains unknown whether PRC1-mediated H2AK119Ub plays a part in regulating noncanonical imprinting. PRC1-catalyzed H2AK119Ub usually overlaps with PRC2-mediated H3K27me3 in mESCs and plays a predominant role in silencing PcG targets and maintaining pluripotency¹³⁷. By contrast, removal of H3K27me3 alone can cause loss of noncanonical imprinting^{20,70,72}, suggesting a distinct interplay between PRC1 and PRC2 in early embryos, at least at the oocyte H3K27me3-controlled imprinted genes. Secondly, it is not clear why noncanonical imprinting cannot be maintained in the embryonic lineage after implantation. Thirdly, imprinted antisense lncRNAs have been identified upstream of the promoters of *Gab1*, *Sfmbt2*, and *Smoc1* and whether these lncRNAs are involved in imprinting regulation remains to be determined¹³⁸. At least for *Sfmbt2*, the transcription and/or splicing of its antisense RNA contributes to *Sfmbt2* activation, potentially by modulating the chromatin state at the *Sfmbt2* promoter¹³⁹. Fourthly, it remains a point of debate whether expression of the *Slc38a4* gene, which has a germline DMR that maintains paternal allele-expression in the epiblast, is controlled by canonical or noncanonical imprinting. The observation that *Slc38a4* imprinting is compromised in *Eed*, but not *Dnmt3l* or *Dnmt3a/3b* maternal knock-out embryos indicates that this gene is regulated by the noncanonical mechanism^{15,20,70,72}. However, it was reported recently that local oocyte DNA hypomethylation at the *Slc38a4* DMR can cause bi-allelic expression of *Slc38a4* in the placenta¹⁴⁰. Whether this discrepancy is caused by alternative promoter usage or lineage-specific imprinting regulation remains to be determined^{71,140}. Lastly, it remains challenging to correct canonical or noncanonical imprinting errors to rescue post-implantation defects in cloned embryos. It is unclear whether the modified epigenome of donor cells can persist to the next generation during the dynamic SCNT reprogramming in early embryos. In addition, although targeted DNA methylation or demethylation in oocytes and early embryos has been achieved^{141,142}, fixing the imprinting errors in SCNT embryos in an allele-specific manner is still challenging.

Beyond the role of maternal histones in genomic imprinting, the precise mechanisms and the extent to which parental chromatin affects the next generation remains unclear. For example, although oocyte-provided PRC2 in *Drosophila melanogaster* prevents precocious activation of some developmental regulators at ZGA by restricting enhancer function¹⁴³, it remains unknown whether a similar transcriptional repressive mechanism exists in mammals because there is no evidence to support that maternal H3K27me3 in mouse performs an analogous role. Notably, despite considerable achievements in mapping the chromatin landscape in mammalian early development, the dynamic control of this process remains unclear¹⁸. Thus, the function of, and regulatory mechanisms underlying, parental chromatin dynamics in gametogenesis and early development will remain important areas of research for years to come.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Amanda Liefeld for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by HHMI and NIH (R01HD092465). Y.Z. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Glossary

pronuclear transfer

A technique that involves moving one or both pronuclei (which are formed from the sperm and oocyte genomes shortly after fertilization) from a fertilized 1-cell embryo to a different recipient embryo.

DNA methylation

An epigenetic modification in which a methyl group is added to the 5th carbon of a cytosine in a DNA molecule. DNA methylation at gene promoters is generally associated with transcriptional silencing.

somatic DMRs

Also known as secondary DMRs, somatic DMRs are regions of the genome containing allele-specific DNA methylation that is established after fertilization.

primordial germ cells (PGCs)

precursors of the gametes that are specified from the somatic lineage during gastrulation.

4C-seq

A sequencing-based method that allows unbiased detection of all genomic regions that interact with a genomic region of interest.

topologically associated domain (TAD)

A major form of chromatin organization that represents genomic regions with high frequencies of self-interacting events.

CpG islands

Genomic regions with a high density of CpG dinucleotides. In mammalian genomes, CpG islands usually extend from 200bp to a few Kbp.

DNase I hypersensitivity sites

Chromatin regions that are less condensed and more sensitive to DNase I enzyme-mediated cleavage than other regions.

protamines

Basic proteins that replace histones in mature sperm cells and are involved in sperm DNA condensation.

epiblast

One of the two lineages that are derived from the inner cell mass (ICM) of the blastocyst. The epiblast contributes to all three primary germ layers. Primitive endoderm (PrE), the other lineage derived from ICM, contributes to the yolk sac.

parthenogenetic activation

A procedure that mimics sperm stimuli to trigger egg activation to initiate embryo development without the contribution of the paternal genome.

meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI)

The process of silencing X and Y chromosomes during the meiotic phase of spermatogenesis.

CHG methylation

DNA methylation typically occurs in a CpG context. In CHG methylation, H correspond to A, T or C, but not G.

References

1. Bartolomei MS & Ferguson-Smith AC Mammalian genomic imprinting. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 3, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a002592 (2011).
2. Lee JT & Bartolomei MS X-inactivation, imprinting, and long noncoding RNAs in health and disease. *Cell* 152, 1308–1323, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.016 (2013). [PubMed: 23498939]
3. Monk D, Mackay DJG, Eggermann T, Maher ER & Riccio A Genomic imprinting disorders: lessons on how genome, epigenome and environment interact. *Nature reviews. Genetics* 20, 235–248, doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0092-0 (2019).
4. McGrath J & Solter D Completion of mouse embryogenesis requires both the maternal and paternal genomes. *Cell* 37, 179–183 (1984). [PubMed: 6722870]
5. Surani MA, Barton SC & Norris ML Development of reconstituted mouse eggs suggests imprinting of the genome during gametogenesis. *Nature* 308, 548–550, doi:10.1038/308548a0 (1984). [PubMed: 6709062]
6. Bartolomei MS, Zemel S & Tilghman SM Parental imprinting of the mouse H19 gene. *Nature* 351, 153–155, doi:10.1038/351153a0 (1991). [PubMed: 1709450]
7. Barlow DP, Stoger R, Herrmann BG, Saito K & Schweifer N The mouse insulin-like growth factor type-2 receptor is imprinted and closely linked to the Tme locus. *Nature* 349, 84–87, doi:10.1038/349084a0 (1991). [PubMed: 1845916]
8. Ferguson-Smith AC, Cattanaach BM, Barton SC, Beechey CV & Surani MA Embryological and molecular investigations of parental imprinting on mouse chromosome 7. *Nature* 351, 667–670, doi:10.1038/351667a0 (1991). [PubMed: 2052093]
9. DeChiara TM, Robertson EJ & Efstratiadis A Parental imprinting of the mouse insulin-like growth factor II gene. *Cell* 64, 849–859 (1991). [PubMed: 1997210]
10. Li E, Beard C & Jaenisch R Role for DNA methylation in genomic imprinting. *Nature* 366, 362–365, doi:10.1038/366362a0 (1993). [PubMed: 8247133]
11. Barlow DP & Bartolomei MS Genomic imprinting in mammals. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 6, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a018382 (2014).
12. Sanli I & Feil R Chromatin mechanisms in the developmental control of imprinted gene expression. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 67, 139–147, doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2015.04.004 (2015). [PubMed: 25908531]
13. John RM & Lefebvre L Developmental regulation of somatic imprints. *Differentiation* 81, 270–280, doi:10.1016/j.diff.2011.01.007 (2011). [PubMed: 21316143]
14. Okae H et al. Re-investigation and RNA sequencing-based identification of genes with placenta-specific imprinted expression. *Human molecular genetics* 21, 548–558, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddr488 (2012). [PubMed: 22025075]
15. Okae H et al. RNA sequencing-based identification of aberrant imprinting in cloned mice. *Human molecular genetics* 23, 992–1001, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddt495 (2014). [PubMed: 24105465]

16. Borensztein M et al. Xist-dependent imprinted X inactivation and the early developmental consequences of its failure. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 24, 226–233, doi:10.1038/nsmb.3365 (2017). [PubMed: 28134930]
17. Chiba H et al. De novo DNA methylation independent establishment of maternal imprint on X chromosome in mouse oocytes. *Genesis* 46, spc one, doi:10.1002/dvg.20496 (2008). This study and the 2012 study by Okae et al demonstrated the presence of germline DNA methylation-independent autosomal and X-chromosomal imprinting.
18. Eckersley-Maslin MA, Alda-Catalinas C & Reik W Dynamics of the epigenetic landscape during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* 19, 436–450, doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0008-z (2018). [PubMed: 29686419]
19. Inoue A, Jiang L, Lu F & Zhang Y Genomic imprinting of Xist by maternal H3K27me3. *Genes & development* 31, 1927–1932, doi:10.1101/gad.304113.117 (2017). [PubMed: 29089420] This study demonstrated that maternal Xist is repressed by oocyte H3K27me3, thus causing imprinted X inactivation.
20. Inoue A, Jiang L, Lu F, Suzuki T & Zhang Y Maternal H3K27me3 controls DNA methylation-independent imprinting. *Nature* 547, 419–424, doi:10.1038/nature23262 (2017). [PubMed: 28723896] This study demonstrated that maternal H3K27me3 can serve as a primary imprinting mark.
21. Bonthuis PJ et al. Noncanonical Genomic Imprinting Effects in Offspring. *Cell reports* 12, 979–991, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.07.017 (2015). [PubMed: 26235621]
22. Ferguson-Smith AC Genomic imprinting: the emergence of an epigenetic paradigm. *Nature reviews. Genetics* 12, 565–575, doi:10.1038/nrg3032 (2011).
23. Tucci V, Isles AR, Kelsey G, Ferguson-Smith AC & Erice Imprinting G Genomic Imprinting and Physiological Processes in Mammals. *Cell* 176, 952–965, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.043 (2019). [PubMed: 30794780]
24. Bourc'his D, Xu GL, Lin CS, Bollman B & Bestor TH Dnmt3L and the establishment of maternal genomic imprints. *Science* 294, 2536–2539, doi:10.1126/science.1065848 (2001). [PubMed: 11719692]
25. Kaneda M et al. Essential role for de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a in paternal and maternal imprinting. *Nature* 429, 900–903, doi:10.1038/nature02633 (2004). [PubMed: 15215868]
26. Barau J et al. The DNA methyltransferase DNMT3C protects male germ cells from transposon activity. *Science* 354, 909–912, doi:10.1126/science.aah5143 (2016). [PubMed: 27856912]
27. Jain D et al. rahu is a mutant allele of Dnmt3c, encoding a DNA methyltransferase homolog required for meiosis and transposon repression in the mouse male germline. *PLoS genetics* 13, e1006964, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006964 (2017). [PubMed: 28854222]
28. Watanabe T et al. Role for piRNAs and noncoding RNA in de novo DNA methylation of the imprinted mouse Rasgrf1 locus. *Science* 332, 848–852, doi:10.1126/science.1203919 (2011). [PubMed: 21566194]
29. Chotalia M et al. Transcription is required for establishment of germline methylation marks at imprinted genes. *Genes & development* 23, 105–117, doi:10.1101/gad.495809 (2009). [PubMed: 19136628] This study demonstrated that transcription through a maternally methylated DMR is required for de novo DNA methylation during oogenesis.
30. Stewart KR et al. Dynamic changes in histone modifications precede de novo DNA methylation in oocytes. *Genes & development* 29, 2449–2462, doi:10.1101/gad.271353.115 (2015). [PubMed: 26584620]
31. Veselovska L et al. Deep sequencing and de novo assembly of the mouse oocyte transcriptome define the contribution of transcription to the DNA methylation landscape. *Genome biology* 16, 209, doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0769-z (2015). [PubMed: 26408185]
32. Smith EY, Futtner CR, Chamberlain SJ, Johnstone KA & Resnick JL Transcription is required to establish maternal imprinting at the Prader-Willi syndrome and Angelman syndrome locus. *PLoS genetics* 7, e1002422, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002422 (2011). [PubMed: 22242001]
33. Xu Q et al. SETD2 regulates the maternal epigenome, genomic imprinting and embryonic development. *Nature genetics* 51, 844–856, doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0398-7 (2019). [PubMed:

- 31040401] This study demonstrated the critical role of histone methyltransferase SETD2 in regulating the oocyte epigenome, including the establishment of maternal imprints.
34. Ciccone DN et al. KDM1B is a histone H3K4 demethylase required to establish maternal genomic imprints. *Nature* 461, 415–418, doi:10.1038/nature08315 (2009). [PubMed: 19727073]
 35. Chen Z & Zhang Y Role of Mammalian DNA Methyltransferases in Development. *Annual review of biochemistry*, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-103019-102815 (2019).
 36. Smith ZD et al. A unique regulatory phase of DNA methylation in the early mammalian embryo. *Nature* 484, 339–344, doi:10.1038/nature10960 (2012). [PubMed: 22456710]
 37. Li X et al. A maternal-zygotic effect gene, *Zfp57*, maintains both maternal and paternal imprints. *Developmental cell* 15, 547–557, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2008.08.014 (2008). [PubMed: 18854139]
 38. Takahashi N et al. ZNF445 is a primary regulator of genomic imprinting. *Genes & development* 33, 49–54, doi:10.1101/gad.320069.118 (2019). [PubMed: 30602440] This study and Li et al (2008) demonstrated that the KRAB-containing zinc finger proteins ZFP57 and ZFP445 maintain allelic DNA methylation specifically at imprinting control regions during the global wave of DNA demethylation in early embryos.
 39. Quenneville S et al. In embryonic stem cells, ZFP57/KAP1 recognize a methylated hexanucleotide to affect chromatin and DNA methylation of imprinting control regions. *Molecular cell* 44, 361–372, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.08.032 (2011). [PubMed: 22055183]
 40. Messerschmidt DM et al. Trim28 is required for epigenetic stability during mouse oocyte to embryo transition. *Science* 335, 1499–1502, doi:10.1126/science.1216154 (2012). [PubMed: 22442485]
 41. Lee CC et al. The Role of N-alpha-acetyltransferase 10 Protein in DNA Methylation and Genomic Imprinting. *Molecular cell* 68, 89–103 e107, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.08.025 (2017). [PubMed: 28943313]
 42. Proudhon C et al. Protection against de novo methylation is instrumental in maintaining parent-of-origin methylation inherited from the gametes. *Molecular cell* 47, 909–920, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2012.07.010 (2012). [PubMed: 22902559]
 43. Hanna CW & Kelsey G The specification of imprints in mammals. *Heredity (Edinb)* 113, 176–183, doi:10.1038/hdy.2014.54 (2014). [PubMed: 24939713]
 44. Wu X & Zhang Y TET-mediated active DNA demethylation: mechanism, function and beyond. *Nature reviews. Genetics* 18, 517–534, doi:10.1038/nrg.2017.33 (2017).
 45. Yamaguchi S et al. Tet1 controls meiosis by regulating meiotic gene expression. *Nature* 492, 443–447, doi:10.1038/nature11709 (2012). [PubMed: 23151479]
 46. Yamaguchi S, Shen L, Liu Y, Sendler D & Zhang Y Role of Tet1 in erasure of genomic imprinting. *Nature* 504, 460–464, doi:10.1038/nature12805 (2013). [PubMed: 24291790]
 47. SanMiguel JM, Abramowitz LK & Bartolomei MS Imprinted gene dysregulation in a Tet1 null mouse model is stochastic and variable in the germline and offspring. *Development* 145, doi:10.1242/dev.160622 (2018).
 48. Dawlaty MM et al. Combined deficiency of Tet1 and Tet2 causes epigenetic abnormalities but is compatible with postnatal development. *Developmental cell* 24, 310–323, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.12.015 (2013). [PubMed: 23352810]
 49. Bartolomei MS, Webber AL, Brunkow ME & Tilghman SM Epigenetic mechanisms underlying the imprinting of the mouse H19 gene. *Genes & development* 7, 1663–1673, doi:10.1101/gad.7.9.1663 (1993). [PubMed: 7690336]
 50. Ferguson-Smith AC, Sasaki H, Cattanach BM & Surani MA Parental-origin-specific epigenetic modification of the mouse H19 gene. *Nature* 362, 751–755, doi:10.1038/362751a0 (1993). [PubMed: 8469285]
 51. Thorvaldsen JL, Duran KL & Bartolomei MS Deletion of the H19 differentially methylated domain results in loss of imprinted expression of H19 and *Igf2*. *Genes & development* 12, 3693–3702 (1998). [PubMed: 9851976]
 52. Bell AC & Felsenfeld G Methylation of a CTCF-dependent boundary controls imprinted expression of the *Igf2* gene. *Nature* 405, 482–485, doi:10.1038/35013100 (2000). [PubMed: 10839546]

53. Hark AT et al. CTCF mediates methylation-sensitive enhancer-blocking activity at the H19/Igf2 locus. *Nature* 405, 486–489, doi:10.1038/35013106 (2000). [PubMed: 10839547]
54. Engel N, Thorvaldsen JL & Bartolomei MS CTCF binding sites promote transcription initiation and prevent DNA methylation on the maternal allele at the imprinted H19/Igf2 locus. *Human molecular genetics* 15, 2945–2954, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddl237 (2006). [PubMed: 16928784]
55. Lleres D et al. CTCF modulates allele-specific sub-TAD organization and imprinted gene activity at the mouse Dlk1-Dio3 and Igf2-H19 domains. *Genome biology* 20, 272, doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1896-8 (2019). [PubMed: 31831055] This study investigated how allele-specific TAD formation is involved in imprinted gene activity.
56. Lee MP et al. Loss of imprinting of a paternally expressed transcript, with antisense orientation to KVLQT1, occurs frequently in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and is independent of insulin-like growth factor II imprinting. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 96, 5203–5208, doi:10.1073/pnas.96.9.5203 (1999). [PubMed: 10220444]
57. Smilnich NJ et al. A maternally methylated CpG island in KvLQT1 is associated with an antisense paternal transcript and loss of imprinting in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 96, 8064–8069, doi:10.1073/pnas.96.14.8064 (1999). [PubMed: 10393948]
58. Terranova R et al. Polycomb group proteins Ezh2 and Rnf2 direct genomic contraction and imprinted repression in early mouse embryos. *Developmental cell* 15, 668–679, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2008.08.015 (2008). [PubMed: 18848501]
59. Pandey RR et al. Kcnq1ot1 antisense noncoding RNA mediates lineage-specific transcriptional silencing through chromatin-level regulation. *Molecular cell* 32, 232–246, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.022 (2008). [PubMed: 18951091]
60. Wagschal A et al. G9a histone methyltransferase contributes to imprinting in the mouse placenta. *Molecular and cellular biology* 28, 1104–1113, doi:10.1128/MCB.01111-07 (2008). [PubMed: 18039842]
61. Umlauf D et al. Imprinting along the Kcnq1 domain on mouse chromosome 7 involves repressive histone methylation and recruitment of Polycomb group complexes. *Nature genetics* 36, 1296–1300, doi:10.1038/ng1467 (2004). [PubMed: 15516932]
62. Lewis A et al. Imprinting on distal chromosome 7 in the placenta involves repressive histone methylation independent of DNA methylation. *Nature genetics* 36, 1291–1295, doi:10.1038/ng1468 (2004). [PubMed: 15516931]
63. Fitzpatrick GV, Soloway PD & Higgins MJ Regional loss of imprinting and growth deficiency in mice with a targeted deletion of KvDMR1. *Nature genetics* 32, 426–431, doi:10.1038/ng988 (2002). [PubMed: 12410230]
64. Mancini-Dinardo D, Steele SJ, Levorse JM, Ingram RS & Tilghman SM Elongation of the Kcnq1ot1 transcript is required for genomic imprinting of neighboring genes. *Genes & development* 20, 1268–1282, doi:10.1101/gad.1416906 (2006). [PubMed: 16702402]
65. Schertzer MD et al. lncRNA-Induced Spread of Polycomb Controlled by Genome Architecture, RNA Abundance, and CpG Island DNA. *Molecular cell* 75, 523–537 e510, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.028 (2019). [PubMed: 31256989]
66. Lu F et al. Establishing Chromatin Regulatory Landscape during Mouse Preimplantation Development. *Cell* 165, 1375–1388, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.050 (2016). [PubMed: 27259149] This study described a low-input DNase I sequencing method and demonstrated that imprinting control regions exhibit allelic chromatin accessibility bias before onset of allelic expression in mouse early embryos.
67. Zheng H et al. Resetting Epigenetic Memory by Reprogramming of Histone Modifications in Mammals. *Molecular cell* 63, 1066–1079, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.08.032 (2016). [PubMed: 27635762]
68. Mager J, Montgomery ND, de Villena FP & Magnuson T Genome imprinting regulated by the mouse Polycomb group protein Eed. *Nature genetics* 33, 502–507, doi:10.1038/ng1125 (2003). [PubMed: 12627233]
69. Liu X et al. Distinct features of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 chromatin domains in pre-implantation embryos. *Nature* 537, 558–562, doi:10.1038/nature19362 (2016). [PubMed: 27626379] This study

and Zheng et al profiled H3K27me3 in mouse development and revealed noncanonical H3K27me3 domains that are unique for oocyte and early embryos.

70. Chen Z, Yin Q, Inoue A, Zhang C & Zhang Y Allelic H3K27me3 to allelic DNA methylation switch maintains noncanonical imprinting in extraembryonic cells. *Science Advances* 5, doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aay7246 (2019).
71. Hanna CW et al. Endogenous retroviral insertions drive non-canonical imprinting in extraembryonic tissues. *Genome biology* 20, 225, doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1833-x (2019). [PubMed: 31665063] This study and Chen et al demonstrated that maintenance of noncanonical imprinting in extraembryonic cells involves a switch from allelic H3K27me3 to allelic DNA methylation.
72. Inoue A, Chen Z, Yin Q & Zhang Y Maternal Eed knockout causes loss of H3K27me3 imprinting and random X inactivation in the extraembryonic cells. *Genes & development*, doi:10.1101/gad.318675.118 (2018).
73. Posfai E et al. Polycomb function during oogenesis is required for mouse embryonic development. *Genes & development* 26, 920–932, doi:10.1101/gad.188094.112 (2012). [PubMed: 22499591]
74. Prokopuk L et al. Loss of maternal EED results in postnatal overgrowth. *Clinical epigenetics* 10, 95, doi:10.1186/s13148-018-0526-8 (2018). [PubMed: 30005706]
75. Du Z et al. Polycomb Group Proteins Regulate Chromatin Architecture in Mouse Oocytes and Early Embryos. *Molecular cell*, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.11.011 (2019).
76. He J, Kallin EM, Tsukada Y & Zhang Y The H3K36 demethylase Jhdml1b/Kdm2b regulates cell proliferation and senescence through p15(Ink4b). *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 15, 1169–1175, doi:nsmb.1499 [pii] 10.1038/nsmb.1499 (2008). [PubMed: 18836456]
77. He J, Nguyen AT & Zhang Y KDM2b/JHDM1b, an H3K36me2-specific demethylase, is required for initiation and maintenance of acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 117, 3869–3880, doi:blood-2010-10-312736 [pii] 10.1182/blood-2010-10-312736 (2011). [PubMed: 21310926]
78. Wu X, Johansen JV & Helin K Fbx110/Kdm2b recruits polycomb repressive complex 1 to CpG islands and regulates H2A ubiquitylation. *Molecular cell* 49, 1134–1146, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.01.016 (2013). [PubMed: 23395003]
79. Farcas AM et al. KDM2B links the Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1) to recognition of CpG islands. *Elife* 1, e00205, doi:10.7554/eLife.00205 (2012). [PubMed: 23256043]
80. He J et al. Kdm2b maintains murine embryonic stem cell status by recruiting PRC1 complex to CpG islands of developmental genes. *Nature cell biology* 15, 373–384, doi:10.1038/ncb2702 (2013). [PubMed: 23502314]
81. Boulard M, Edwards JR & Bestor TH FBXL10 protects Polycomb-bound genes from hypermethylation. *Nature genetics* 47, 479–485, doi:10.1038/ng.3272 (2015). [PubMed: 25848754]
82. Tada T et al. Imprint switching for non-random X-chromosome inactivation during mouse oocyte growth. *Development* 127, 3101–3105 (2000). [PubMed: 10862747]
83. Nesterova TB, Barton SC, Surani MA & Brockdorff N Loss of Xist imprinting in diploid parthenogenetic preimplantation embryos. *Dev Biol* 235, 343–350, doi:10.1006/dbio.2001.0295 (2001). [PubMed: 11437441]
84. Huynh KD & Lee JT Inheritance of a pre-inactivated paternal X chromosome in early mouse embryos. *Nature* 426, 857–862, doi:10.1038/nature02222 (2003). [PubMed: 14661031]
85. Okamoto I et al. Evidence for de novo imprinted X-chromosome inactivation independent of meiotic inactivation in mice. *Nature* 438, 369–373, doi:10.1038/nature04155 (2005). [PubMed: 16227973]
86. Harris C et al. Conversion of random X-inactivation to imprinted X-inactivation by maternal PRC2. *Elife* 8, doi:10.7554/eLife.44258 (2019).
87. Fukuda A et al. The role of maternal-specific H3K9me3 modification in establishing imprinted X-chromosome inactivation and embryogenesis in mice. *Nature communications* 5, 5464, doi:10.1038/ncomms6464 (2014).
88. Wang C et al. Reprogramming of H3K9me3-dependent heterochromatin during mammalian embryo development. *Nature cell biology* 20, 620–631, doi:10.1038/s41556-018-0093-4 (2018). [PubMed: 29686265]

89. Mak W et al. Reactivation of the paternal X chromosome in early mouse embryos. *Science* 303, 666–669, doi:10.1126/science.1092674 (2004). [PubMed: 14752160]
90. Okamoto I, Otte AP, Allis CD, Reinberg D & Heard E Epigenetic dynamics of imprinted X inactivation during early mouse development. *Science* 303, 644–649, doi:10.1126/science.1092727 (2004). [PubMed: 14671313]
91. Borensztein M et al. Contribution of epigenetic landscapes and transcription factors to X-chromosome reactivation in the inner cell mass. *Nature communications* 8, 1297, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01415-5 (2017).
92. Payer B et al. Tsix RNA and the germline factor, PRDM14, link X reactivation and stem cell reprogramming. *Molecular cell* 52, 805–818, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.10.023 (2013). [PubMed: 24268575]
93. Navarro P et al. Molecular coupling of Tsix regulation and pluripotency. *Nature* 468, 457–460, doi:10.1038/nature09496 (2010). [PubMed: 21085182]
94. Navarro P et al. Molecular coupling of Xist regulation and pluripotency. *Science* 321, 1693–1695, doi:10.1126/science.1160952 (2008). [PubMed: 18802003]
95. Yamaji M et al. PRDM14 ensures naive pluripotency through dual regulation of signaling and epigenetic pathways in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Cell stem cell* 12, 368–382, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2012.12.012 (2013). [PubMed: 23333148]
96. Williams LH, Kalantry S, Starmer J & Magnuson T Transcription precedes loss of Xist coating and depletion of H3K27me3 during X-chromosome reprogramming in the mouse inner cell mass. *Development* 138, 2049–2057, doi:10.1242/dev.061176 (2011). [PubMed: 21471155]
97. Lee JT, Davidow LS & Warshawsky D Tsix, a gene antisense to Xist at the X-inactivation centre. *Nature genetics* 21, 400–404, doi:10.1038/7734 (1999). [PubMed: 10192391]
98. Lee JT Disruption of imprinted X inactivation by parent-of-origin effects at Tsix. *Cell* 103, 17–27, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)00101-x (2000). [PubMed: 11051544]
99. Sado T, Wang Z, Sasaki H & Li E Regulation of imprinted X-chromosome inactivation in mice by Tsix. *Development* 128, 1275–1286 (2001). [PubMed: 11262229]
100. Debrand E, Chureau C, Arnaud D, Avner P & Heard E Functional analysis of the DXPas34 locus, a 3' regulator of Xist expression. *Molecular and cellular biology* 19, 8513–8525, doi:10.1128/mcb.19.12.8513 (1999). [PubMed: 10567576]
101. Ohhata T, Senner CE, Hemberger M & Wutz A Lineage-specific function of the noncoding Tsix RNA for Xist repression and Xi reactivation in mice. *Genes & development* 25, 1702–1715, doi:10.1101/gad.16997911 (2011). [PubMed: 21852535]
102. Sado T et al. X inactivation in the mouse embryo deficient for Dnmt1: distinct effect of hypomethylation on imprinted and random X inactivation. *Dev Biol* 225, 294–303, doi:10.1006/dbio.2000.9823 (2000). [PubMed: 10985851]
103. Sado T, Okano M, Li E & Sasaki H De novo DNA methylation is dispensable for the initiation and propagation of X chromosome inactivation. *Development* 131, 975–982, doi:10.1242/dev.00995 (2004). [PubMed: 14973270]
104. Okamoto I, Tan S & Takagi N X-chromosome inactivation in XX androgenetic mouse embryos surviving implantation. *Development* 127, 4137–4145 (2000). [PubMed: 10976046]
105. Andergassen D et al. Mapping the mouse Allelome reveals tissue-specific regulation of allelic expression. *Elife* 6, doi:10.7554/eLife.25125 (2017).
106. Peters J The role of genomic imprinting in biology and disease: an expanding view. *Nature reviews. Genetics* 15, 517–530, doi:10.1038/nrg3766 (2014).
107. Greenberg MV et al. Transient transcription in the early embryo sets an epigenetic state that programs postnatal growth. *Nature genetics* 49, 110–118, doi:10.1038/ng.3718 (2017). [PubMed: 27841881] This study demonstrated the important biological functions of a transient germline DMR.
108. Duffie R et al. The Gpr1/Zdbf2 locus provides new paradigms for transient and dynamic genomic imprinting in mammals. *Genes & development* 28, 463–478, doi:10.1101/gad.232058.113 (2014). [PubMed: 24589776]
109. Matoba S et al. Paternal knockout of Slc38a4/SNAT4 causes placental hypoplasia associated with intrauterine growth restriction in mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*

- United States of America 116, 21047–21053, doi:10.1073/pnas.1907884116 (2019). [PubMed: 31570606]
110. Miri K et al. The imprinted polycomb group gene *Sfmbt2* is required for trophoblast maintenance and placenta development. *Development* 140, 4480–4489, doi:10.1242/dev.096511 (2013). [PubMed: 24154523]
 111. Sachs M et al. Essential role of *Gab1* for signaling by the c-Met receptor in vivo. *The Journal of cell biology* 150, 1375–1384 (2000). [PubMed: 10995442]
 112. Klymenko T et al. A Polycomb group protein complex with sequence-specific DNA-binding and selective methyl-lysine-binding activities. *Genes & development* 20, 1110–1122, doi:10.1101/gad.377406 (2006). [PubMed: 16618800]
 113. Inoue K et al. The Rodent-Specific MicroRNA Cluster within the *Sfmbt2* Gene Is Imprinted and Essential for Placental Development. *Cell reports* 19, 949–956, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.04.018 (2017). [PubMed: 28467908]
 114. Itoh M et al. Role of *Gab1* in heart, placenta, and skin development and growth factor- and cytokine-induced extracellular signal-regulated kinase mitogen-activated protein kinase activation. *Molecular and cellular biology* 20, 3695–3704, doi:10.1128/mcb.20.10.3695-3704.2000 (2000). [PubMed: 10779359]
 115. Matoba S et al. Loss of H3K27me3 Imprinting in Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer Embryos Disrupts Post-Implantation Development. *Cell stem cell* 23, 343–354 e345, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2018.06.008 (2018). [PubMed: 30033120] This study demonstrated that loss of noncanonical imprinting contributes to placenta defects observed in cloned embryos.
 116. Matoba S & Zhang Y Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer Reprogramming: Mechanisms and Applications. *Cell stem cell* 23, 471–485, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2018.06.018 (2018). [PubMed: 30033121]
 117. Wilmut I, Schnieke AE, McWhir J, Kind AJ & Campbell KH Viable offspring derived from fetal and adult mammalian cells. *Nature* 385, 810–813, doi:10.1038/385810a0 (1997). [PubMed: 9039911]
 118. Inoue K et al. Faithful expression of imprinted genes in cloned mice. *Science* 295, 297, doi:10.1126/science.295.5553.297 (2002). [PubMed: 11786635]
 119. Rhon-Calderon EA, Vrooman LA, Riesche L & Bartolomei MS The effects of Assisted Reproductive Technologies on genomic imprinting in the placenta. *Placenta* 84, 37–43, doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2019.02.013 (2019). [PubMed: 30871810]
 120. de Waal E et al. In vitro culture increases the frequency of stochastic epigenetic errors at imprinted genes in placental tissues from mouse concepti produced through assisted reproductive technologies. *Biology of reproduction* 90, 22, doi:10.1095/biolreprod.113.114785 (2014). [PubMed: 24337315]
 121. Hirose M et al. Aberrant imprinting in mouse trophoblast stem cells established from somatic cell nuclear transfer-derived embryos. *Epigenetics : official journal of the DNA Methylation Society* 13, 693–703, doi:10.1080/15592294.2018.1507199 (2018).
 122. Inoue K et al. Impeding *Xist* expression from the active X chromosome improves mouse somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Science* 330, 496–499, doi:10.1126/science.1194174 (2010). [PubMed: 20847234] This study demonstrated that aberrant imprinted X inactivation is a major barrier in somatic cell nuclear transfer and that impeding *Xist* expression can greatly improve cloning efficiency.
 123. Matoba S et al. RNAi-mediated knockdown of *Xist* can rescue the impaired postimplantation development of cloned mouse embryos. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 20621–20626, doi:10.1073/pnas.1112664108 (2011). [PubMed: 22065773]
 124. Monk D et al. Limited evolutionary conservation of imprinting in the human placenta. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103, 6623–6628, doi:10.1073/pnas.0511031103 (2006). [PubMed: 16614068]
 125. Frost JM & Moore GE The importance of imprinting in the human placenta. *PLoS genetics* 6, e1001015, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001015 (2010). [PubMed: 20617174]

126. Xia W et al. Resetting histone modifications during human parental-to-zygotic transition. *Science*, doi:10.1126/science.aaw5118 (2019).
127. Zhang W et al. Maternal-biased H3K27me3 correlates with paternal-specific gene expression in the human morula. *Genes & development* 33, 382–387, doi:10.1101/gad.323105.118 (2019). [PubMed: 30808660]
128. Leng L et al. Single-Cell Transcriptome Analysis of Uniparental Embryos Reveals Parent-of-Origin Effects on Human Preimplantation Development. *Cell stem cell* 25, 697–712 e696, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2019.09.004 (2019). [PubMed: 31588047]
129. van den Berg IM et al. X chromosome inactivation is initiated in human preimplantation embryos. *Am J Hum Genet* 84, 771–779, doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2009.05.003 (2009). [PubMed: 19481196]
130. Okamoto I et al. Eutherian mammals use diverse strategies to initiate X-chromosome inactivation during development. *Nature* 472, 370–374, doi:10.1038/nature09872 (2011). [PubMed: 21471966]
131. Petropoulos S et al. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Reveals Lineage and X Chromosome Dynamics in Human Preimplantation Embryos. *Cell* 167, 285, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.009 (2016). [PubMed: 27662094]
132. Moreira de Mello JC, Fernandes GR, Vibranovski MD & Pereira LV Early X chromosome inactivation during human preimplantation development revealed by single-cell RNA-sequencing. *Sci Rep* 7, 10794, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11044-z (2017). [PubMed: 28883481]
133. Patrat C, Ouimette JF & Rougeulle C X chromosome inactivation in human development. *Development* 147, doi:10.1242/dev.183095 (2020).
134. Batista RA & Kohler C Genomic imprinting in plants-revisiting existing models. *Genes & development* 34, 24–36, doi:10.1101/gad.332924.119 (2020). [PubMed: 31896690]
135. Klosinska M, Picard CL & Gehring M Conserved imprinting associated with unique epigenetic signatures in the Arabidopsis genus. *Nat Plants* 2, 16145, doi:10.1038/nplants.2016.145 (2016). [PubMed: 27643534]
136. Moreno-Romero J, Del Toro-De Leon G, Yadav VK, Santos-Gonzalez J & Kohler C Epigenetic signatures associated with imprinted paternally expressed genes in the Arabidopsis endosperm. *Genome biology* 20, 41, doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1652-0 (2019). [PubMed: 30791924]
137. Schuettengruber B, Bourbon HM, Di Croce L & Cavalli G Genome Regulation by Polycomb and Trithorax: 70 Years and Counting. *Cell* 171, 34–57, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.002 (2017). [PubMed: 28938122]
138. Calabrese JM, Starmer J, Schertzer MD, Yee D & Magnuson T A survey of imprinted gene expression in mouse trophoblast stem cells. *G3 (Bethesda)* 5, 751–759, doi:10.1534/g3.114.016238 (2015). [PubMed: 25711832]
139. Engreitz JM et al. Local regulation of gene expression by lncRNA promoters, transcription and splicing. *Nature* 539, 452–455, doi:10.1038/nature20149 (2016). [PubMed: 27783602]
140. Bogutz AB et al. Evolution of imprinting via lineage-specific insertion of retroviral promoters. *Nature communications* 10, 5674, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13662-9 (2019).
141. Horii T et al. Successful generation of epigenetic disease model mice by targeted demethylation of the epigenome. *Genome biology* 21, 77, doi:10.1186/s13059-020-01991-8 (2020). [PubMed: 32234052]
142. Wei Y et al. DNA methylation analysis and editing in single mammalian oocytes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116, 9883–9892, doi:10.1073/pnas.1817703116 (2019). [PubMed: 31010926]
143. Zenk F et al. Germ line-inherited H3K27me3 restricts enhancer function during maternal-to-zygotic transition. *Science* 357, 212–216, doi:10.1126/science.aam5339 (2017). [PubMed: 28706074]
144. Rainger J et al. Loss of the BMP antagonist, SMOC-1, causes Ophthalmo-acromelic (Waardenburg Anophthalmia) syndrome in humans and mice. *PLoS genetics* 7, e1002114, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002114 (2011). [PubMed: 21750680]
145. McCarrey JR et al. X-chromosome inactivation during spermatogenesis is regulated by an Xist/Tsix-independent mechanism in the mouse. *Genesis* 34, 257–266, doi:10.1002/gene.10163 (2002). [PubMed: 12434336]

146. Lyon MF Gene action in the X-chromosome of the mouse (*Mus musculus* L.). *Nature* 190, 372–373 (1961). [PubMed: 13764598]
147. Takagi N & Sasaki M Preferential inactivation of the paternally derived X chromosome in the extraembryonic membranes of the mouse. *Nature* 256, 640–642, doi:10.1038/256640a0 (1975). [PubMed: 1152998]
148. Brown CJ et al. A gene from the region of the human X inactivation centre is expressed exclusively from the inactive X chromosome. *Nature* 349, 38–44, doi:10.1038/349038a0 (1991). [PubMed: 1985261]
149. Penny GD, Kay GF, Sheardown SA, Rastan S & Brockdorff N Requirement for Xist in X chromosome inactivation. *Nature* 379, 131–137, doi:10.1038/379131a0 (1996). [PubMed: 8538762]
150. Dossin F et al. SPEN integrates transcriptional and epigenetic control of X-inactivation. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-1974-9 (2020).
151. Almeida M et al. PCGF3/5-PRC1 initiates Polycomb recruitment in X chromosome inactivation. *Science* 356, 1081–1084, doi:10.1126/science.aal2512 (2017). [PubMed: 28596365]
152. Pintacuda G et al. hnRNPK Recruits PCGF3/5-PRC1 to the Xist RNA B-Repeat to Establish Polycomb-Mediated Chromosomal Silencing. *Molecular cell* 68, 955–969.e910, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.11.013 (2017). [PubMed: 29220657]
153. Colognori D, Sunwoo H, Kriz AJ, Wang CY & Lee JT Xist Deletional Analysis Reveals an Interdependency between Xist RNA and Polycomb Complexes for Spreading along the Inactive X. *Molecular cell* 74, 101–117 e110, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.015 (2019). [PubMed: 30827740]
154. Zylcz JJ et al. The Implication of Early Chromatin Changes in X Chromosome Inactivation. *Cell* 176, 182–197 e123, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.041 (2019). [PubMed: 30595450]
155. Galupa R & Heard E X-Chromosome Inactivation: A Crossroads Between Chromosome Architecture and Gene Regulation. *Annu Rev Genet* 52, 535–566, doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-120116-024611 (2018). [PubMed: 30256677]
156. Jegu T, Aeby E & Lee JT The X chromosome in space. *Nature reviews. Genetics* 18, 377–389, doi:10.1038/nrg.2017.17 (2017).
157. Gao Z et al. PCGF homologs, CBX proteins, and RYBP define functionally distinct PRC1 family complexes. *Molecular cell* 45, 344–356, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2012.01.002 (2012). [PubMed: 22325352]
158. de Napolés M et al. Polycomb group proteins Ring1A/B link ubiquitylation of histone H2A to heritable gene silencing and X inactivation. *Developmental cell* 7, 663–676, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2004.10.005 (2004). [PubMed: 15525528]
159. Wang H et al. Role of histone H2A ubiquitination in Polycomb silencing. *Nature* 431, 873–878, doi:10.1038/nature02985 (2004). [PubMed: 15386022]
160. Wang L et al. Hierarchical recruitment of polycomb group silencing complexes. *Molecular cell* 14, 637–646, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2004.05.009 (2004). [PubMed: 15175158]
161. Cao R et al. Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Polycomb-group silencing. *Science* 298, 1039–1043, doi:10.1126/science.1076997 (2002). [PubMed: 12351676]
162. Tavares L et al. RYBP-PRC1 complexes mediate H2A ubiquitylation at polycomb target sites independently of PRC2 and H3K27me3. *Cell* 148, 664–678, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.12.029 (2012). [PubMed: 22325148]
163. Morey L, Aloia L, Cozzuto L, Benitah SA & Di Croce L RYBP and Cbx7 define specific biological functions of polycomb complexes in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Cell reports* 3, 60–69, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2012.11.026 (2013). [PubMed: 23273917]
164. Czermin B et al. Drosophila enhancer of Zeste/ESC complexes have a histone H3 methyltransferase activity that marks chromosomal Polycomb sites. *Cell* 111, 185–196, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00975-3 (2002). [PubMed: 12408863]
165. Kuzmichev A, Nishioka K, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P & Reinberg D Histone methyltransferase activity associated with a human multiprotein complex containing the Enhancer of Zeste protein. *Genes & development* 16, 2893–2905, doi:10.1101/gad.1035902 (2002). [PubMed: 12435631]

166. Muller J et al. Histone methyltransferase activity of a Drosophila Polycomb group repressor complex. *Cell* 111, 197–208, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00976-5 (2002). [PubMed: 12408864]
167. Li H et al. Polycomb-like proteins link the PRC2 complex to CpG islands. *Nature* 549, 287–291, doi:10.1038/nature23881 (2017). [PubMed: 28869966]
168. Cooper S et al. Jarid2 binds mono-ubiquitylated H2A lysine 119 to mediate crosstalk between Polycomb complexes PRC1 and PRC2. *Nature communications* 7, 13661, doi:10.1038/ncomms13661 (2016).
169. Fursova NA et al. Synergy between Variant PRC1 Complexes Defines Polycomb-Mediated Gene Repression. *Molecular cell* 74, 1020–1036 e1028, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.03.024 (2019). [PubMed: 31029541]
170. O'Carroll D et al. The polycomb-group gene *Ezh2* is required for early mouse development. *Molecular and cellular biology* 21, 4330–4336, doi:10.1128/MCB.21.13.4330-4336.2001 (2001). [PubMed: 11390661]
171. Akasaka T et al. Mice doubly deficient for the Polycomb Group genes *Mel18* and *Bmi1* reveal synergy and requirement for maintenance but not initiation of Hox gene expression. *Development* 128, 1587–1597 (2001). [PubMed: 11290297]
172. Moussa HF et al. Canonical PRC1 controls sequence-independent propagation of Polycomb-mediated gene silencing. *Nature communications* 10, 1931, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09628-6 (2019).
173. Blackledge NP, Rose NR & Klose RJ Targeting Polycomb systems to regulate gene expression: modifications to a complex story. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* 16, 643–649, doi:10.1038/nrm4067 (2015). [PubMed: 26420232]
174. Kuroda MI, Kang H, De S & Kassis JA Dynamic Competition of Polycomb and Trithorax in Transcriptional Programming. *Annual review of biochemistry*, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-120219-103641 (2020).

BOX1.**Random and imprinted X inactivation**

X-chromosome inactivation (XCI) is a mechanism of dosage compensation by which one of the X chromosomes of XX females is transcriptionally silenced so that expression levels of X-linked genes are equalized between XX female and XY male cells¹⁴⁶. In somatic cells, XCI is random, with either the maternal (X_m) or paternal (X_p) X being silenced¹⁴⁶. However, in mouse pre-implantation embryos, XCI is imprinted so that X_p is preferentially repressed⁸⁵. After implantation, X_p remains inactive in the extraembryonic lineages that contribute to the placenta whereas it gets reactivated in the epiblast, which gives rise to the embryo proper and in which random XCI subsequently takes place^{89,90,147}. Once random XCI is complete, the inactive X remains stably silenced during cell propagation.

The long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) *X-inactive specific transcript (Xist)* is only expressed from the future inactive X and is required to initiate both imprinted and random XCI *in cis*^{16,148,149}. Although the details of *Xist*-induced silencing are not fully understood, it is well established that *Xist* associates with a number of partners to inactivate the entire X chromosome. For example, a recent study revealed that a region of the *Xist* RNA, the repeat A element, recruits the RNA-binding protein SPEN at the onset of XCI to elicit gene silencing. Protein interactome analyses of the SPEN effector domain suggest that SPEN mediates gene silencing by recruiting transcriptional co-repressors to the X chromosome¹⁵⁰. In addition, the *Xist* RNA repeat B element associates with another RNA-binding protein, hnRNPK, which recruits variant Polycomb Repressive Complexes 1.3 and 1.5 (vPRC1.3/1.5) to deposit the transcriptional repressive chromatin mark H2AK119Ub^{151–153}. Together with other mechanisms, these processes lead to the formation of facultative heterochromatin and stable XCI through depletion of active histone marks (such as H3K4me3, H3K27ac, and H3K9ac) and establishment of the repressive histone marks (such as H2AK119Ub, H3K27me3, and H3K9me2)^{2,154}. For more detailed information on how *Xist* induces XCI, readers can refer to recent reviews^{155,156}.

BOX2.**Polycomb Repressive Complexes 1 and 2**

Polycomb repressive complexes 1 and 2 (PRC1 and PRC2) are multi-subunit protein complexes that mediate transcriptional repression, mainly by altering chromatin activity¹³⁷. The catalytic core of PRC1 contains one of two E3 ubiquitin ligases, RING1A or RING1B, and one of six PCGF proteins (PCGF1–6)¹⁵⁷. PRC1 deposits mono-ubiquitin to lysine 119 on histone H2A (H2AK119Ub)^{158,159}. PRC1 can be sub-divided into canonical PRC1 (cPRC1) and variant PRC1 (vPRC1) based on their distinct accessory subunits. The cPRC1 is composed of either PCGF2 or 4 and one of the CBX subunits that can recognize H3K27me3^{157,160,161}. By contrast, vPRC1 can utilize any of the six PCGF proteins but incorporates either YAF2 or RYBP instead of the CBX subunit^{157,162,163}. Therefore, unlike cPRC1, vPRC1 cannot recognize H3K27me3.

The core subunits of PRC2 include one of two histone methyltransferases, EZH1 or EZH2, and the regulatory subunits EED, SUZ12, and either RBAP46 or RBAP48. PRC2 is responsible for mono-, di-, and tri-methylation at lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27me1/2/3)^{161,164–166}. PRC2 can be further divided into PRC2.1 and PRC2.2. PRC2.1 associates with the PCL1, PCL2 or PCL3 subunits that are known to bind CpG islands¹⁶⁷, whereas PRC2.2 contains AEBP2 and JARID2; JARID2 recognizes H2AK119Ub deposited by PRC1¹⁶⁸.

How PRC1 and PRC2 are recruited to their specific targets and how they exert transcriptional silencing is not fully understood. In the extensively studied mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC) model, vPRC1, but not cPRC1 or PRC2, mediates transcriptional silencing of the majority of Polycomb-group protein (PcG) targets¹⁶⁹. However, it should be noted that PRC function is context-dependent as PRC2 and cPRC1 are critical in other processes^{170–172}. In mESCs, a compelling model for PRC recruitment is that vPRC1 is first recruited to PcG targets where it deposits H2AK119Ub, which then serves as a docking site for JARID2-mediated recruitment of PRC2.2^{168,173}. Subsequently, the chromo domain of CBX may bind to PRC2-deposited H3K27me3 to recruit cPRC1¹⁶¹. Whether this 'vPRC1-PRC2-cPRC1' model applies to other systems remains to be determined. For more detailed information on PRC1 and PRC2 regulation and function, readers may refer to recent reviews^{137,174}.

Key points:

1. Germline differential DNA methylation governs canonical imprinting.
2. Oocyte H3K27me3 initiates noncanonical imprinting independent of germline DNA methylation.
3. Oocyte-derived H3K27me3 controls imprinted X inactivation by repressing maternal *Xist*.
4. Noncanonical imprinting on autosomes are implicated in placental development.
5. Loss of both canonical and noncanonical imprinting impede mouse cloning efficiency.




Figure 1. Germline inherited DNA methylation governs canonical imprinting.

A) During oogenesis, transcription across imprinting control regions (ICRs) recruits the histone methylase SETD2 to deposit H3K36me3, which then guides the *de novo* DNA methyltransferases DNMT3A and DNMT3L to establish DNA methylation. Removal of H3K4me2/3 by the demethylases KDM1A/1B is also required for *de novo* DNA methylation. During spermatogenesis, DNA methylation is dependent on either DNMT3A and DNMT3L (for example, at the *H19/Igf2* and *Gtl2/Dlk1* ICRs) or DNMT3C and the piRNA pathway (for example, at the *Rasgrf1* ICR). Differential DNA methylation at ICRs is

protected from global DNA demethylation during pre-implantation development by ZFP57, ZFP445 and TRIM28, which bind to the methylated ICRs and recruit the maintenance methyltransferase DNMT1 and its cofactor UHRF1. N-alpha-acetyltransferase 10 protein (NAA10P) is also required to facilitate binding of DNMT1 to the methylated allele for imprinting maintenance. During implantation, the presence of active histone marks such as H3K4me3 (and possibly others) may prevent unmethylated ICRs from gaining DNA methylation in the wave of global *de novo* methylation. Secondary allelic DNA methylation (that is, somatic differentially methylated regions (DMRs)) is established at some imprinted genes during this period. To reset imprints for the next generation, allelic DNA methylation at ICRs is erased in primordial germ cells (PGCs). This demethylation process is mainly mediated by passive dilution and TET1-mediated oxidation of 5-methylcytosine at ICRs.

B) The insulator model of imprinted gene regulation is illustrated by the *H19/Igf2* cluster. At this locus, the ICR for the long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) *H19* is paternally DNA methylated. In the conceptus, DNA methylation extends to the *H19* promoter to silence its transcription on the paternal allele. DNA methylation at the *H19* ICR also prevents binding of CTCF to the ICR, which results in formation of a topologically associated domain (TAD, blue triangle) that permits transcriptional activation of *Igf2* by the downstream enhancers (long double-headed arrow). On the maternal allele, CTCF-binding to the unmethylated *H19* ICR forms two sub-TADs (pink triangles) that prevent the interaction between *Igf2* and the enhancers and *Igf2* remains transcriptionally repressed. CTCF-binding also facilitates the initiation of *H19* transcription by preventing gain of DNA methylation on the maternal allele.

C) The long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) model of imprinted gene regulation is illustrated by the *Kcnq1* cluster. Here, the ICR (also known as KvDMR1) serves as the promoter for the lncRNA *Kcnq1ot1*. On the paternal allele, the unmethylated KvDMR1 allows *Kcnq1ot1* transcription, which recruits Polycomb repressive complexes 1 and 2 (PRC1/2) to deposit H3K27me3 and H2AK119Ub, respectively, to silence flanking protein coding genes. On the maternal allele, *Kcnq1ot1* is repressed by the methylated ICR, allowing expression of the flanking genes. At this cluster, while *Kcnq1ot1* and *Cdkn1c* exhibit ubiquitous imprinting, *Slc22a18* and *Tssc4* are only imprinted in placental lineages. The size and signal of H3K27me3 domains, and the allelic gene expression are drawn based on publicly available datasets^{20,67,70}. Not all genes in this cluster are shown for simplicity.




Figure 2. Oocyte inherited H3K27me3 initiates noncanonical imprinting.

A) The figure depicts the dynamics of H3K27me3 at noncanonical imprinting loci and at genomic targets of Polycomb group (PcG) proteins. Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) mediates H3K27me3 deposition during oogenesis; whether PRC1-mediated H2AK119ub is involved in PRC2 function in oogenesis remains unknown. After fertilization, H3K27me3 at PcG targets is largely reprogrammed, but maternally inherited H3K27me3 at noncanonically imprinted loci is maintained during pre-implantation development and is responsible for silencing the maternal allele of these genes. After implantation, H3K27me3 is re-established

at PcG targets whereas the maternal H3K27me3 that initiates noncanonical imprinting disappears from both the epiblast (EPI) and extraembryonic ectoderm (EXE). The maintenance of some noncanonical imprinting in EXE depends on the acquisition of somatic differentially methylated regions (DMRs) during implantation via the DNA methyltransferases DNMT3A and DNMT3B. Active endogenous virus-K (ERV-K) long terminal repeats (LTR) in the somatic DMRs may have a role in the maintenance of noncanonical imprinting in the placental lineage. In the epiblast, both alleles at noncanonically imprinted loci are repressed by DNA methylation. In primordial germ cells (PGCs), DNA methylation at noncanonical imprinting loci is expected to be erased (dashed line) during the wave of global DNA demethylation mediated by TET1 and DNA replication.

B) The typical dynamics of H3K27me3 and DNA methylation at noncanonically imprinted loci (represented by *Gab1*) in wild type mice (Ba) and *Eed* maternal knockout (KO) mice (Bb) are shown. Oocyte-specific depletion of EED, an essential subunit of PRC2, causes loss of H3K27me3 in mature oocytes. Embryos that develop from *Eed*-null oocytes (that is, *Eed* maternal KO embryos) lack maternally-provided H3K27me3 and lose noncanonical imprinting in both pre-implantation embryos and extraembryonic cells. Furthermore, somatic DMRs are unmethylated on both alleles in EXE of these embryos. The *Slc38a4* locus differs from other noncanonically imprinted loci because its DMR is established during oogenesis. However, it becomes hypomethylated in *Eed* maternal KO EXE, suggesting that maternal H3K27me3 is essential to maintain differential DNA methylation at this locus. DNA methylation status of the loci in embryonic lineages of *Eed* maternal KO has not been analyzed, but predicted patterns are included and indicated by dashed boxes. ZGA: zygotic genome activation.





Figure 3. Maternal H3K27me3 controls imprinted X inactivation by repressing maternal *Xist*.

A) Depicted are the life cycle of X-chromosome inactivation (XCI) and the allelic expression dynamics of *Xist*. After fertilization, *Xist* is paternally expressed in female embryos and induces paternal X chromosome inactivation (Xp) during pre-implantation development. At the late blastocyst stage, the silenced Xp becomes reactivated in the epiblast and then both X chromosomes undergo random X inactivation in the embryonic lineage. However, XCI remains imprinted in the extraembryonic lineages. X reactivation also takes place in primordial germ cells (PGCs). During oogenesis, H3K27me3 is

established at the *Xist* locus, which then represses maternal *Xist* in pre-implantation embryos. During spermatogenesis, X and Y chromosomes are condensed into the sex body and become inaccessible to transcriptional machinery, which is referred to as meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI) and is independent of *Xist*¹⁴⁵.

B) The figure shows how ectopic maternal XCI occurs in *Eed* (an essential component of Polycomb repressive complex 2, PRC2) maternal knock out (KO) embryos. In wild type female pre-implantation embryos (Ba), *Xist* on the maternal X-chromosome (Xm) is repressed by oocyte-inherited H3K27me3. *Xist* on the paternal X-chromosome (Xp) is expressed, which recruits PRC1 and PRC2 to deposit repressive H2AK119Ub and H3K27me3 respectively to silence X-linked genes on Xp. Although maternally inherited H3K27me3 is no longer present at *Xist* in extraembryonic lineages, *Xist* is still only expressed from the paternal allele and XCI remains imprinted in this lineage. In *Eed* maternal KO embryos (Bb), Xp undergoes XCI normally. However, the lack of maternally-provided H3K27me3 at *Xist* leads to ectopic *Xist* expression from Xm, leading to its inactivation. However, the ectopically expressed *Xist* is silenced at the blastocyst stage and random XCI takes place in the extraembryonic lineage.




Figure 4. Defects in genomic imprinting and imprinted X inactivation occur in somatic cell nuclear transfer reprogramming.

A) Depicted are the general processes involved in somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT). A metaphase II oocyte is first enucleated and a donor cell nucleus from a differentiated somatic cell is transferred to the enucleated oocyte. The oocyte is then artificial activated by applying a chemical or electrical stimulus to initiate the developmental program to form cloned embryos.

B) Stochastic loss of canonical imprinting occurs at the *Gtl2/Dlk1* locus in SCNT embryos. At this locus, the ICR is known as IG DMR and paternal-specific DNA methylation of the donor somatic cell is maintained in pre-implantation embryos derived by SCNT. However, after implantation, around one third of SCNT embryos exhibit gain of DNA methylation on the normally unmethylated maternal allele. The abnormal gain of DNA methylation is

associated with biallelic expression of *Dlk1* and biallelic repression of *Gtl2*, and with fetal lethality.

C) Imprinting is consistently lost at noncanonically imprinted loci in SCNT embryos. In donor cells such as cumulus and sertoli cells, the typical noncanonical imprinted loci (represented by *Gab1*) are marked by neither maternal H3K27me3 nor somatic differentially methylated regions (DMRs). Therefore, all placenta derived by SCNT show loss of noncanonical imprinting; they are also enlarged, which may be caused by disrupted noncanonical imprinting. Although the DMR is maintained at the noncanonically imprinted *Slc38a4* locus in the donor cell, *Slc38a4* becomes bi-allelically expressed in all cloned embryos, suggesting that the *Slc38a4* DMR cannot be maintained without maternal H3K27me3 in early embryos.

D) Aberrant X inactivation occurs in SCNT embryos. In donor cells, one X-chromosome is randomly inactivated and *Xist* is not marked by maternal H3K27me3. Therefore, cloned embryos always express ectopic maternal *Xist* in addition to paternal *Xist*, and both X-chromosomes undergo XCI. Analogous to *Eed* maternal knockout embryos or XpXp androgenetic embryos, the bi-allelic inactivation of XCI is likely to be resolved at the late blastocyst stage (dashed boxes). However, insufficient expression of X-linked genes in pre-implantation development may still contribute to the post-implantation defects of cloned embryos, and correction of *Xist* expression has been shown to increase cloning efficiency by about 10-fold¹²²

Table 1.

List of noncanonically imprinted genes in extraembryonic cells.

Gene	Molecular function	Mouse knock-out phenotype	Antisense imprinted lncRNA?	Germline DMR?	Somatic DMR?	DMR overlapped repeat element
<i>Gab1</i>	A docking protein involved in cell signaling	Embryonic lethality; placenta, heart, and skin defects ^{111,114}	Yes, paternal	No	Yes, E6.5	ERVK:RLTR15
<i>Simt2</i>	A Polycomb group protein	Embryonic lethality due to severe placenta defects ¹¹⁰	Yes, paternal	No	Yes, E7.5	ERVK:RLTR11B
<i>Slc38a4</i>	An amino acid transporter	Placenta hypoplasia, reduced fetal weight, 20% survival rate ¹⁰⁹	No	Yes	NA *	ERVK:MLTR31F
<i>Phf17</i>	A co-factor involved in histone acetylation	NA	No	No	Yes, E6.5	ERVK:RLTR20C and RLTR31B
<i>Smoc1</i>	A matricellular protein involved in cell signaling	Perinatal lethality ¹⁴⁴	Yes, paternal	No	Yes, E6.5	ERVK:RLTR11B
<i>Platr20</i>	A lncRNA with unknown function	NA	Yes, paternal	No	Yes, E6.5	ERVK:RLTR15
<i>Gm32885</i>	A lncRNA with unknown function	NA	No	No	Yes, E6.5	ERVK:RLTR31A

* *Slc38a4* germline DMR maintenance requires maternal H3K27me3 and zygotic DNMT3A/3B.

NA: Not applicable